Published for SISSA by 🖉 Springer

RECEIVED: August 7, 2019 REVISED: October 23, 2019 ACCEPTED: November 23, 2019 PUBLISHED: December 16, 2019

S-folds and (non-)supersymmetric Janus solutions

Adolfo Guarino^{*a,b,c*} and Colin Sterckx^{*c*}

- ^aDepartamento de Física, Universidad de Oviedo, Avda. Federico García Lorca 18, 33007 Oviedo, Spain
- ^bInstituto Universitario de Ciencias y Tecnologías Espaciales de Asturias (ICTEA), Calle de la Independencia 13, 33004 Oviedo, Spain
- ^c Université Libre de Bruxelles (ULB) and International Solvay Institutes, Service de Physique Théorique et Mathématique, Campus de la Plaine, CP 231, B-1050, Brussels, Belgium

E-mail: adolfo.guarino@uniovi.es, colin.sterckx@ulb.ac.be

ABSTRACT: The S-fold description of Janus-type solutions of type IIB supergravity is investigated. This is done by first studying a U(1) × U(1) invariant sector of the fourdimensional dyonically-gauged [SO(1,1) × SO(6)] $\ltimes \mathbb{R}^{12}$ maximal supergravity that arises upon reduction of type IIB supergravity on $\mathbb{R} \times S^5$. Two AdS₄ solutions preserving SU(3) and SO(6) gauge symmetry together with $\mathcal{N} = 1$ and $\mathcal{N} = 0$ supersymmetry are found within this sector. Fetching techniques from the E₇₍₇₎ exceptional field theory, these solutions are uplifted to ten-dimensional S-folds of type IIB Janus-type solutions of the form AdS₄ × $\mathbb{R} \times M_5$. The solutions presented here are natural candidates for the holographic duals of three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ and $\mathcal{N} = 0$ interface super-Yang-Mills theories with SU(3) and SU(4) internal symmetry.

KEYWORDS: Extended Supersymmetry, Flux compactifications, String Duality, Supergravity Models

ARXIV EPRINT: 1907.04177



Contents

1	Motivation and summary			
2	New vacua of $[\mathrm{SO}(1,1) imes\mathrm{SO}(6)]\ltimes\mathbb{R}^{12}$ maximal supergravity	4		
	2.1 The $\mathcal{N} = 8$ theory	5		
	2.2 $U(1) \times U(1)$ invariant sector	6		
	2.3 Scalar potential and AdS_4 solutions	ĝ		
3	Uplift to S-folds of type IIB supergravity			
	3.1 Exceptional Field Theory and consistent truncations	12		
	3.2 Type IIB uplift of AdS_4 solutions	14		
	3.3 Summary of type IIB backgrounds	21		
	3.4 S-fold interpretation	23		
4	Conclusions			
\mathbf{A}	A Canonical Sasaki-Einstein structure on S^5			
в	3 Type IIB supergravity			

1 Motivation and summary

Electromagnetic duality rotates the electric and magnetic fields of electromagnetism with an arbitrary angle ω [1]. In the presence of charged matter, like electric charges or magnetic monopoles, this transformation is not a symmetry of Maxwell's equations and produces inequivalent physics. An analogue situation occurs in the context of maximal ($\mathcal{N} = 8$) supergravity in four dimensions when a gauging of (a subgroup of) the $E_{7(7)}$ duality group is performed. At the bosonic level, the theory includes electric and magnetic non-Abelian gauge fields as well as charged scalar fields as matter. Electromagnetic duality again generates inequivalent physics [2].

The prototypical example is the SO(8)-gauged supergravity that arises from the reduction of eleven-dimensional supergravity on a seven-sphere S⁷ [3] and describes the near-horizon region of M2-branes. The action of electromagnetic duality leads to a oneparameter family of inequivalent theories depending on a continuous deformation parameter $\omega = \arg(1 + ic)$ with $c \in [0, \sqrt{2} - 1]$ [4]. The undeformed theory at c = 0 possesses a maximally supersymmetric AdS₄ solution that preserves the full SO(8) gauge group. This solution has an uplift to the Freund-Rubin solution of 11D supergravity [5] and is AdS₄/CFT₃ dual [6] to the three-dimensional ABJM theory [7] at low (k = 1, 2) Chern-Simons levels k and -k. In addition, other AdS₄ solutions of the undeformed theory with

11D supergravity	?	(massive) Type IIA	Type IIB
SO(8)		ISO(7)	$[\mathrm{SO}(1,1) \times \mathrm{SO}(6)] \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{12}$
c = 0	$c \neq 0$	$c \neq 0$	$c \neq 0$
$\mathcal{N} = 8 \ / \ \mathrm{SO}(8) \ [3]$	N = 8 / SO(8) [4]		
			$\mathcal{N} = 4 \ / \ \text{SO}(4) \ [12]$
	$\mathcal{N} = 3 \ / \ \text{SO}(4) \ [12]$	$\mathcal{N} = 3 \ / \ \text{SO}(4) \ [12]$	
$\mathcal{N} = 2 / U(3) [8]$	$\mathcal{N} = 2 / U(3) [11]$	$\mathcal{N} = 2 / U(3) [13]$	
$\mathcal{N} = 1 \ / \ \mathrm{G}_2 \ [8]$	$\mathcal{N} = 1 \ / \ \mathrm{G}_2 \ [4]$	$\mathcal{N} = 1 \ / \ \mathrm{G}_2 \ [14]$	
	$\mathcal{N} = 1 / \text{SU}(3)$ [11]	$\mathcal{N} = 1 \ / \ \text{SU}(3) \ [15]$	$\mathcal{N} = 1 / \mathrm{SU}(3)$ [here]
$\mathcal{N} = 1 \ / \ \text{SU}(2) \ [9]$			
$\mathcal{N} = 1 \ / \ \mathrm{U}(1)^2 \ [16]$			

Table 1. Supersymmetric AdS₄ solutions known up to date for the maximal supergravities with SO(8), ISO(7) and $[SO(1, 1) \times SO(6)] \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{12}$ gauge groups. An entry of the form \mathcal{N} / G_0 [ref] in the table corresponds to an AdS₄ solution preserving \mathcal{N} supersymmetries, G₀ residual gauge symmetry after Higgsing of the gauge group, and the reference where the solution was originally presented. It turns out that all the solutions with a given label \mathcal{N} / G_0 feature the same normalised mass spectra, which can be found in the corresponding reference, despite being solutions of different gauged supergravities and therefore having different uplifts to eleven-dimensional, (massive) type IIA or type IIB supergravity.

 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ [8, 9], 2 [8] supersymmetry have been found which preserve less supersymmetry and partially break the SO(8) gauge group via the Higgs mechanism. Some of these less supersymmetric solutions have been shown to be dual to various mass deformations of ABJM theory [10]. However, when turning on $c \neq 0$, new AdS₄ solutions preserving $\mathcal{N} = 1$ [11], 3 [12] supersymmetry appear. Therefore the question arises: does the electromagnetic deformation parameter c possess a higher-dimensional origin and a holographic interpretation? This question has been investigated during the last years without a satisfactory answer, thus singling out electromagnetic duality as a well-defined and controllable path to enter the (swamp)land of non-geometric supergravities in an M-theory context.

However more recent work has uncovered an unexpected connection with string theory. By studying the ISO(7)-gauged supergravity that arises from the reduction of type IIA ten-dimensional supergravity on a six-sphere S⁶ and describes the near-horizon region of D2-branes, the electromagnetic deformation parameter c was successfully identified with the Romans mass parameter of ten-dimensional type IIA supergravity and given a holographic interpretation as a Chern-Simons level in the dual CFT₃ [13]. Unlike for the M-theory story, in this case the electromagnetic deformation turns out to be a discrete (on/off) deformation, i.e. c = 0 or 1 [17]. Moreover there is no maximally supersymmetric AdS₄ solution in the massive IIA context. Still various examples of AdS₄ solutions with $\mathcal{N} = 1$ [15], 2 [13], 3 [12] supersymmetry have been found in the ISO(7)-gauged supergravity when the electromagnetic deformation is activated. These findings have led to the discovery of a new gauge/gravity correspondence between AdS₄ backgrounds of massive IIA supergravity and super-Chern-Simons-matter theories with simple gauge group SU(N)and level k given by the Romans mass parameter [18].

It is then natural to ask the same questions about the higher-dimensional origin and the dual field theory interpretation of electromagnetic duality now in the context of type IIB supergravity. This issue has been much less investigated in comparison with the M-theory and type IIA counterparts. Nonetheless the $[SO(1, 1) \times SO(6)] \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{12}$ -gauged supergravity has recenly been connected to a reduction of ten-dimensional type IIB supergravity on $\mathbb{R} \times S^5$ [19]. In this case the electromagnetic deformation c is again a discrete (on/off) parameter, and an AdS₄ solution preserving $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetry and SO(3) × SO(3) gauge symmetry was found in [12]. This solution uplifts to S-fold backgrounds of type IIB supergravity of the form $AdS_4 \times \Sigma \times S^2 \times S^2$ [19], which were shown to fall into the class of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Janus solutions presented in [20] (as certain limits of the type IIB solutions in [21, 22]). These are the gravity duals of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ interface super-Yang-Mills theories [23, 24].

The set of supersymmetric AdS_4 solutions discussed above¹ is summarised in table 1, together with a new one (highlighted in red) to be presented in this work and uplifted along the lines of [19] to S-fold backgrounds of type IIB supergravity. A quick inspection of table 1 reveals that certain supersymmetric AdS_4 solutions, labelled by \mathcal{N}/G_0 in there, turn out to occur in different four-dimensional supergravities despite their rather distinct higher-dimensional origin.² This motivates us to search for a simple solution occurring in the three different dyonically-gauged supergravities displayed in table 1. In other words, a supersymmetric AdS_4 solution that enjoys an uplift to both type IIA/IIB supergravity and might also offer some new insight into a possible connection with eleven-dimensional supergravity.

To this end we will perform an exhaustive search for AdS₄ solutions preserving at least a U(1)² residual gauge symmetry in the [SO(1,1)×SO(6)] $\ltimes \mathbb{R}^{12}$ dyonically-gauged maximal supergravity. This is the smallest residual symmetry preserved by a known supersymmetric solution of the undeformed SO(8)-gauged supergravity (see table 1). Note also that, at the simple supersymmetric AdS₄ solution we are searching for, the U(1)² symmetry might be enhanced up to SU(4) ~ SO(6) which is the largest compact subgroup that the SO(8), ISO(7) and [SO(1,1)×SO(6)] $\ltimes \mathbb{R}^{12}$ gauge groups have in common. The result of our study is that such a simple supersymmetric AdS₄ solution exists with $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry and SU(3) residual gauge symmetry (see table 1). As a by-product we also discard the existence of an $\mathcal{N} = 2 / U(3)$ solution in the [SO(1,1) × SO(6)] $\ltimes \mathbb{R}^{12}$ -gauged supergravity. Such a solution is nevertheless present in the SO(8) and ISO(7) theories and has been the subject of various holographic studies [13, 25].

In the second part of the paper we uplift the new $\mathcal{N} = 1 / SU(3)$ AdS₄ solution of the $[SO(1,1) \times SO(6)] \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{12}$ -gauged supergravity, as well as a companion $\mathcal{N} = 0 / SO(6)$ unstable solution first reported in [26], to non-compact Janus-type solutions of type IIB supergravity

¹An exhaustive classification of AdS_4 solutions in maximal gauged supergravity preserving $N \geq 3$ was performed in [12].

²No higher-dimensional embedding is known for any of the AdS₄ solutions of the maximal SO(8)-gauged supergravity whenever $c \neq 0$.

of the form $\operatorname{AdS}_4 \times \mathbb{R} \times M_5$. These solutions feature a varying axion-dilaton along a noncompact spatial direction we denote by η (see [27, 28]). The internal space M_5 is either the round S⁵ preserving SO(6) ~ SU(4) or a squashed version of it, $M_5 = \mathbb{CP}_2 \rtimes S^1$, preserving SU(3) \subset SU(4). To do the uplift we fetch techniques from the $E_{7(7)}$ Exceptional Field Theory ($E_{7(7)}$ -EFT) [29] and perform a generalised Scherk-Schwarz reduction along the lines of [19, 30]. In this way we make the most of symmetries and geometry so that there is no need for guessing during the uplift process. The dependence of the type IIB fields on the coordinate η turns out to be fully encoded into an SL(2)_{IIB} twist matrix $A(\eta)$. This matrix is specified by the generalised Scherk-Schwarz ansatz, and can be used to compactify the η direction and construct (compact) S-fold solutions for which the monodromy undergone by the axion-dilaton when going around η is of hyperbolic type. These S-fold solutions are therefore natural candidates for the holographic duals of three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ and $\mathcal{N} = 0$ interface super-Yang-Mills theories with SU(3) and SU(4) internal symmetry [23, 31].

Our approach relies on, first, finding an AdS_4 solution with the appropriate (super) symmetries and, then, uplifting it directly to ten dimensions using the $E_{7(7)}$ -EFT. This approach bypasses most of the difficulties that arise when one tries to, first, obtain a five-dimensional BPS domain-wall solution with AdS_4 slices in a five-dimensional supergravity and, then, uplift it to ten dimensions. This second approach makes analytic solutions difficult to obtain since, for example, in the case of supersymmetric domain-walls, one has to solve a complex system of first-order differential equations following from the vanishing of fermionic supersymmetry variations which usually can only be tackled numerically [32, 33]. Our approach is purely algebraic once the information about the internal geometry is codified into the generalised Scherk-Schwarz reduction of $E_{7(7)}$ -EFT.

The paper is organised as follows. In section 2 we construct the $U(1)^2$ invariant sector of the four-dimensional dyonically-gauged $[SO(1,1) \times SO(6)] \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{12}$ maximal supergravity and find two AdS₄ solutions. The first one is a new $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric solution preserving SU(3) gauge symmetry. The second one is non-supersymmetric and preserves SO(6) gauge symmetry. In section 3 we uplift these AdS₄ solutions to ten-dimensional S-folds of Janus-type solutions of type IIB supergravity with a hyperbolic monodromy. In section 4 we present our conclusions. Two appendices accompany the main text. Appendix A collects the geometrical data regarding the canonical Sasaki-Einstein structure on S⁵ necessary to interpret the ten-dimensional type IIB solutions. Appendix B summarises our conventions for type IIB supergravity and its equations of motion.

2 New vacua of $[SO(1,1) \times SO(6)] \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{12}$ maximal supergravity

The dyonically-gauged maximal supergravity with $G = [SO(1, 1) \times SO(6)] \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{12} \subset SL(8)$ gauge group arises as the massless sector of the reduction of ten-dimensional chiral type IIB supergravity on $\mathbb{R} \times S^5$ [19]. This theory corresponds to a gauging deformation of the ungauged maximal supergravity where the subgroup $G \subset E_{7(7)}$ of the global $E_{7(7)}$ duality group is promoted from global to local and therefore couples to the vector fields in the theory [34]. As shown in [17, 19], the group theoretical embedding

$$SL(8) \subset E_{7(7)} \subset Sp(56), \qquad (2.1)$$

where Sp(56) is the group of electromagnetic transformations of the theory, allows for two inequivalent gaugings of G. These are specified by a discrete (on/off) parameter c which specifies the choice of electromagnetic frame.³ As originally shown in [4], the choice of electric/magnetic frame is of physical relevance once the gauging procedure is applied and, as a result, matter fields in the theory turn to be charged under the gauge group G.

2.1 The $\mathcal{N} = 8$ theory

The dynamics of the maximal $\mathcal{N} = 8$ theory is carried out by the field content of the supergravity multiplet. At the bosonic level it includes the metric field $g_{\mu\nu}$, **28** electric $A_{\mu}{}^{AB} = A_{\mu}{}^{[AB]}$ and **28'** magnetic $\tilde{A}_{\mu AB} = \tilde{A}_{\mu [AB]}$ vector fields, with $A = 1, \ldots, 8$ being a fundamental index of SL(8), and 70 dynamical scalar fields serving as coordinates in the coset space $E_{7(7)}/SU(8)$. The vector fields⁴ can be assembled into an $E_{7(7)} \subset Sp(56)$ vector $A^M = (A^{AB}, \tilde{A}_{AB})$ with $M = 1, \ldots, 56$ being a fundamental $E_{7(7)}$ index. The scalar fields are encoded into a scalar-dependent matrix M_{MN} which is in turn constructed as $M = \mathcal{V} \mathcal{V}^t$ using the coset element $\mathcal{V} \in E_{7(7)}/SU(8)$. This is the bosonic field content of maximal supergravity. However, in the presence of magnetic charges, an additional set of auxiliary two-form tensor fields not carrying independent dynamics must be introduced for the consistency of the Lagrangian formulation [2].

The Lagrangian of the maximal four-dimensional supergravities was presented in [34]. It is specified in terms on an $E_{7(7)}$ -valued embedding tensor $X_{MN}^P \in \mathbf{912}$ subject to a set of quadratic constraints required by consistency of the gauging procedure (see also [2]). When the gauging is of the form $G \subset SL(8)$, as it is the case in the present work, the theory is fully specified by two symmetric matrices η_{AB} and $\tilde{\eta}^{AB}$. The former determines the piece of the gauge group that is spanned by electric vector $A_{\mu}{}^{AB}$ whereas the latter specifies the piece spanned by magnetic vectors $\tilde{A}_{\mu AB}$. This translates into a covariant derivative for the scalar fields of the form

$$DM_{MN} = dM_{MN} - 2g A^{AB} X_{AB(M}{}^{P} M_{N)P} - 2g \tilde{A}_{AB} X^{AB}{}_{(M}{}^{P} M_{N)P}, \qquad (2.2)$$

with g being the gauge coupling in the theory. Note that both electric and magnetic vectors appear in the gauge connection (2.2) thus specifying a so-called *dyonic* gauging.⁵ For the gauging $G = [SO(1, 1) \times SO(6)] \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{12}$ of interest in the present work one finds an embedding tensor of the form

$$X_{[AB][CD]}^{[EF]} = -X_{[AB]}^{[EF]}_{[CD]} = -8\,\delta^{[E}_{[A}\,\eta_{B][C}\,\delta^{F]}_{D]} ,$$

$$X^{[AB]}_{[CD]}^{[EF]} = -X^{[AB][EF]}_{[CD]} = -8\,\delta^{[A}_{[C}\,\tilde{\eta}^{B][E}\,\delta^{F]}_{D]} ,$$
(2.3)

³Whenever non-zero, the parameter c can be set to any value, i.e. c = 1, by a field redefinition [17].

 $^{{}^{4}}$ We use differential form notation for the various antisymmetric tensor fields in the theory.

⁵Note that an electromagnetic frame in which the gauging is purely electric always exists by virtue of the quadratic constraints satisfied by the embedding tensor [2].

with matrices given by^6

$$\eta_{AB} = \text{diag}(0, \mathbb{I}_6, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\eta}^{AB} = \text{diag}(-1, 0_6, 1) .$$
 (2.4)

Our goal in this section is to find new examples of anti-de Sitter (AdS_4) solutions in the four-dimensional supergravity. These correspond to maximally symmetric solutions in which vectors, as well as auxiliary tensor fields, must be set to zero. Before setting any field to zero, the Lagrangian is of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}=8} = \left(\frac{R}{2} - V_{\mathcal{N}=8}\right) * 1 + \frac{1}{96} \operatorname{Tr}\left(DM \wedge *DM^{-1}\right) + \dots, \qquad (2.5)$$

where the ellipsis stand for Maxwell's and topological terms which do not play any role for AdS_4 solutions. The scalar potential in (2.5) is determined in terms of the embedding tensor in (2.3) and reads

$$V_{\mathcal{N}=8} = \frac{g^2}{672} X_{MN}{}^R X_{PQ}{}^S M^{MP} \left(M^{NQ} M_{RS} + 7 \,\delta^Q_R \,\delta^N_S \right) \,. \tag{2.6}$$

We will search for new examples of AdS_4 solutions in the theory by direct minimisation of the scalar potential (2.6). However, due to the large number of scalar fields in maximal supergravity, this problem becomes quite complex and some simplifications are required. To this end we will restrict to the subspace of the coset space $E_{7(7)}/SU(8)$ that is invariant under the action of a U(1) × U(1) subgroup with group-theoretical embedding

$$U(1) \times U(1) \subset SU(3) \subset U(1)_{\mathbb{U}} \times SU(3) \subset SU(4) \sim SO(6) \subset G.$$
 (2.7)

This subsector of the theory turns out to be an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity coupled to various matter fields in the form of vector multiplets and a hypermultiplet, as we will explicitly show in the next section.

2.2 $U(1) \times U(1)$ invariant sector

The $U(1) \times U(1)$ invariant sector of maximal supergravity with group-theoretical embedding

$$\mathbf{U}(1) \times \mathbf{U}(1) \subset \mathbf{SO}(1,1) \times \mathbf{U}(1)_{\mathbb{U}} \times \mathbf{U}(1) \times \mathbf{U}(1) \subset \mathbf{SO}(1,1) \times \mathbf{SO}(6), \qquad (2.8)$$

was investigated in [35, 36] within the context of massive IIA and M-theory reductions on $\mathbf{H}^{(p,q)}$ spaces. The scalar sector describes a coset geometry of the form

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{scalar}} = \mathcal{M}_{\text{SK}} \times \mathcal{M}_{\text{QK}} \subset \frac{\text{E}_{7(7)}}{\text{SU(8)}}, \qquad (2.9)$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_{SK} = \left[\frac{SL(2)}{SO(2)}\right]^3 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}_{QK} = \frac{SU(2,1)}{SU(2) \times U(1)} \quad (2.10)$$

⁶We have set c = 1 in $\tilde{\eta}^{AB} = c \operatorname{diag}(-1, 0_6, 1)$ without loss of generality (see footnote 3). Similarly we will also set g = 1 which corresponds to an overall re-scaling of the embedding tensor.

denote a special Kähler (SK) and a quaternionic Kähler (QK) geometry. The scalar geometry in (2.9) involves a set of $t_A{}^B$ (with $t_A{}^A = 0$) and $t_{ABCD} = t_{[ABCD]}$ generators of $E_{7(7)}$ in the SL(8) basis (see appendix in [36] for conventions). The SK factor in (2.10) has associated generators given by

$$H_{\varphi_{1}} = t_{4}^{4} + t_{5}^{5} + t_{6}^{6} + t_{7}^{7} - t_{1}^{1} - t_{8}^{8} - t_{2}^{2} - t_{3}^{3},$$

$$H_{\varphi_{2}} = t_{2}^{2} + t_{3}^{3} + t_{6}^{6} + t_{7}^{7} - t_{1}^{1} - t_{8}^{8} - t_{4}^{4} - t_{5}^{5},$$

$$H_{\varphi_{3}} = t_{2}^{2} + t_{3}^{3} + t_{4}^{4} + t_{5}^{5} - t_{1}^{1} - t_{8}^{8} - t_{6}^{6} - t_{7}^{7},$$

$$q_{\chi_{1}} = t_{1238}, \quad q_{\chi_{2}} = t_{1458}, \quad q_{\chi_{3}} = t_{1678},$$

$$(2.11)$$

whereas the QK factor in (2.10) is generated by

$$H_{\phi} = \frac{1}{2} (t_8^8 - t_1^{-1}) , \quad g_{\sigma} = t_8^{-1} ,$$

$$g_{\zeta} = t_{8357} - t_{8346} - t_{8256} - t_{8247} ,$$

$$g_{\tilde{\zeta}} = t_{8246} - t_{8257} - t_{8347} - t_{8356} .$$

(2.12)

As a result the coset representative of \mathcal{M}_{scalar} in (2.9) factorises as $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_{SK} \times \mathcal{V}_{QK}$ and is constructed upon the exponentiations

$$\mathcal{V}_{SK} = \prod_{i} e^{-12\chi_{i}g_{\chi_{i}}} e^{\frac{1}{4}\varphi_{i}H_{\varphi_{i}}}
\mathcal{V}_{OK} = e^{\sigma g_{\sigma}} e^{-6(\zeta g_{\zeta} + \tilde{\zeta} g_{\tilde{\zeta}})} e^{-2\phi H_{\phi}}.$$
(2.13)

Finally the scalar matrix M_{MN} in (2.5) is obtained as $M = \mathcal{V} \mathcal{V}^t$ and, as anticipated, this sector of the theory can be recast in a canonical $\mathcal{N} = 2$ form.

Canonical $\mathcal{N} = 2$ formulation. Omitting again Maxwell's and topological terms, which are incidentally irrelevant for the discussion of AdS₄ vacua, the Lagrangian of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity coupled to n_v vector multiplets and n_h hypermultiplets takes the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}=2} = \left(\frac{R}{2} - V_{\mathcal{N}=2}\right) * 1 - K_{i\bar{j}} Dz^i \wedge *D\bar{z}^{\bar{j}} - h_{uv} Dq^u \wedge *Dq^v + \dots, \qquad (2.14)$$

with $i = 1, ..., n_v$ and $u = 1, ..., 4 n_h$. The matter multiplets of the U(1) × U(1) invariant sector of the maximal theory then correspond to three vector multiplets ($n_v = 3$) and the universal hypermultiplet [37] ($n_h = 1$) spanning the SK and QK geometries in (2.10), respectively.

The scalar kinetic terms in (2.14) can be read off from the scalar geometry data. The SK manifold in (2.10) is parameterised by three complex scalars $z^i = -\chi_i + ie^{-\varphi_i}$ serving as coordinates on the metric

$$ds_{\rm SK}^2 = K_{i\bar{j}} dz^i d\bar{z}^{\bar{j}} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_i \frac{dz^i d\bar{z}^i}{({\rm Im} z^i)^2} \qquad \text{with} \qquad K_{i\bar{j}} = \partial_{z^i} \partial_{\bar{z}^{\bar{j}}} K \,, \tag{2.15}$$

where $K = -\log(i \langle X, \bar{X} \rangle)$ is the Kähler potential expressed in terms of the Sp $(2n_v + 2)$ symplectic product

$$\langle X, \bar{X} \rangle = X^M \Omega_{MN} \bar{X}^N = X_\Lambda \bar{X}^\Lambda - X^\Lambda \bar{X}_\Lambda$$

$$(2.16)$$

of holomorphic sections $X^M(z^i) = (X^{\Lambda}, F_{\Lambda})$ with $\Lambda = 0, 1, \ldots, n_v$. These sections are taken to satisfy $F_{\Lambda} = \partial \mathcal{F} / \partial X^{\Lambda}$ for a prepotential \mathcal{F} . We will take sections of the form

$$(X^{0}, X^{1}, X^{2}, X^{3}, F_{0}, F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}) = (-z^{1}z^{2}z^{3}, -z^{1}, -z^{2}, -z^{3}, 1, z^{2}z^{3}, z^{3}z^{1}, z^{1}z^{2}), \quad (2.17)$$

which are consistent with the square-root prepotential

$$\mathcal{F} = -2\sqrt{X^0 X^1 X^2 X^3} . \tag{2.18}$$

For the hypermultiplet sector, the QK metric depends on coordinates $q^u = (\phi, \sigma, \zeta, \tilde{\zeta})$ and takes the form

$$ds_{\rm QK}^2 = h_{uv} \, dq^u \, dq^v = d\phi \, d\phi + \frac{1}{4} e^{4\phi} \left[d\sigma + \frac{1}{2} \, \vec{\zeta} \, \mathbb{C} \, d\vec{\zeta} \right] \left[d\sigma + \frac{1}{2} \, \vec{\zeta} \, \mathbb{C} \, d\vec{\zeta} \right] + \frac{1}{4} \, e^{2\phi} \, d\vec{\zeta} \, d\vec{\zeta} \,, \quad (2.19)$$

with

$$\mathbb{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{\zeta} = (\zeta, \, \tilde{\zeta}) \,. \tag{2.20}$$

We have verified that the scalar kinetic terms in (2.14) exactly match the ones obtained from the maximal theory in (2.5) when using the coset representatives in (2.13).

The dyonic gauging of the maximal theory gives rise, upon truncation to the U(1)×U(1) invariant sector, to a gauging of two Abelian hypermultiplet isometries with Killing vectors $k_{\alpha}^{u} = (k_{1}^{u}, k_{2}^{u})$. This gauging is specified by an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ embedding tensor of the form

$$\Theta_M{}^{\alpha} = \left(\frac{\Theta_{\Lambda}{}^{\alpha}}{\Theta^{\Lambda}{}^{\alpha}}\right) \quad \text{with} \quad \Theta_{\Lambda}{}^{\alpha} = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1\\ 0 & 1\\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta^{\Lambda}{}^{\alpha} = \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right), \quad (2.21)$$

where the index M now runs over the vector fields of the U(1) × U(1) invariant sector: electric A^{Λ} and magnetic \tilde{A}_{Λ} with $\Lambda = 0, 1, \ldots, n_v$. They are related with the vectors in the maximal theory as

$$\begin{array}{ll}
A^{0} = A^{18}, & A^{1} = A^{23}, & A^{2} = A^{45}, & A^{3} = A^{67}, \\
\tilde{A}_{0} = A_{18}, & \tilde{A}_{1} = A_{23}, & \tilde{A}_{2} = A_{45}, & \tilde{A}_{3} = A_{67}.
\end{array}$$
(2.22)

The embedding tensor in (2.21) results in covariant derivatives of the form

$$Dz^{i} = dz^{i}, Dq^{u} = dq^{u} + g A^{M} \Theta_{M}{}^{\alpha} k_{\alpha}^{u} = dq^{u} + g \tilde{A}_{0} k_{1}^{u} + g \mathcal{A} k_{2}^{u},$$
(2.23)

with $\mathcal{A} = \sum_i A^i$. There are three isometries of the QK geometry that play a prominent role in the reduction of type IIB supergravity on $\mathbb{R} \times S^5$. These are associated with Killing vectors that belong to the pair of duality-hidden symmetries [38] of the QK space

$$k_{\sigma} = -\partial_{\sigma},$$

$$\hat{k}_{\sigma} = \sigma \,\partial_{\phi} - (\sigma^2 - e^{-4\phi} - U) \,\partial_{\sigma} - \left[\sigma \vec{\zeta} - \mathbb{C} \left(\partial_{\vec{\zeta}} U\right)\right]^T \,\partial_{\vec{\zeta}},$$
(2.24)

with

$$U = \frac{1}{16} |\vec{\zeta}|^4 + \frac{1}{2} e^{-2\phi} |\vec{\zeta}|^2 , \qquad (2.25)$$

and the duality symmetry

$$k_{\mathbb{U}} = \tilde{\zeta} \,\partial_{\zeta} - \zeta \,\partial_{\tilde{\zeta}} \,. \tag{2.26}$$

While $\hat{k}_{\sigma} + k_{\sigma}$ and $k_{\mathbb{U}}$ — the latter being rotations in the $(\zeta, \tilde{\zeta})$ -plane — are compact Abelian isometries of (2.19), the combination $\hat{k}_{\sigma} - k_{\sigma}$ is non-compact. In the case of our type IIB model we find

$$k_1 = k_\sigma - k_\sigma$$
 and $k_2 = k_{\mathbb{U}}$, (2.27)

so that the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauging in the U(1) × U(1) invariant sector is identified as

$$\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{SO}(1,1) \times \mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathbb{U}} \ . \tag{2.28}$$

This is the same gauge group that arises from M-theory models based on reductions on $\mathrm{H}^{(7,1)}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{(5,3)}$ hyperbolic spaces, although in those cases, only electric vectors happen to enter the gauging [36]. For the type IIB model based on the reduction on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{S}^5$, the SO(1,1) factor in (2.28) is gauged by the magnetic graviphoton \tilde{A}_0 as it can be seen from (2.23). Finally note also that k_1 does not vanish at any point in field space so that SO(1,1) is always broken at any AdS₄ solution. On the contrary U(1)_U is preserved if $|\vec{\zeta}| = 0$, namely, if $k_2 = 0$.

2.3 Scalar potential and AdS_4 solutions

In order to find AdS₄ solutions we will set to zero all the vector fields (and auxiliary tensors) in the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity model and extremise the scalar potential $V_{\mathcal{N}=2}$ in (2.14). To compute the latter, it turns convenient to introduce symplectic Killing vectors \mathcal{K}_M and moment maps \mathcal{P}_M^x defined as

$$\mathcal{K}_M \equiv \Theta_M{}^{\alpha} k_{\alpha}$$
 and $\mathcal{P}_M^x \equiv \Theta_M{}^{\alpha} P_{\alpha}^x$, (2.29)

so that symplectic covariance becomes manifest [39]. The scalar potential is then expressed as [2, 40]

$$V_{\mathcal{N}=2} = 4 \mathcal{V}^M \, \bar{\mathcal{V}}^N \, \mathcal{K}_M{}^u \, h_{uv} \, \mathcal{K}_N{}^v + \mathcal{P}_M^x \, \mathcal{P}_N^x \left(K^{i\bar{j}} \, D_i \mathcal{V}^M \, D_{\bar{j}} \bar{\mathcal{V}}^N - 3 \mathcal{V}^M \, \bar{\mathcal{V}}^N \right) \,, \tag{2.30}$$

in terms of rescaled sections $\mathcal{V}^M \equiv e^{K/2} X^M$ and their Kähler derivatives $D_i \mathcal{V}^M = \partial_{z^i} \mathcal{V}^M + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{z^i} K) \mathcal{V}^M$. Therefore, in order to explicitly compute (2.30), the only piece of information that is still left are the moment maps for the QK isometries in (2.24) and (2.26) entering the gauging (2.28).

Following the general construction of [38], the Killing vectors in (2.24) are found to have associated moment maps of the form

$$P_{\sigma}^{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 0\\ -\frac{1}{2}e^{2\phi} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{P}_{\sigma}^{x} = \begin{pmatrix} -e^{-\phi}\,\widetilde{\zeta} + e^{\phi}\,\left(-\sigma\,\zeta + \frac{1}{4}\,|\vec{\zeta}|^{2}\,\widetilde{\zeta}\,\right)\\ e^{-\phi}\,\zeta + e^{\phi}\,\left(-\sigma\,\widetilde{\zeta} - \frac{1}{4}\,|\vec{\zeta}|^{2}\,\zeta\,\right)\\ -\frac{1}{2}\,e^{-2\phi} - \frac{1}{2}\,e^{2\phi}\,\sigma^{2} - \frac{1}{32}\,e^{2\phi}\,|\vec{\zeta}|^{4} + \frac{3}{4}\,|\vec{\zeta}|^{2}\,\end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.31)$$

whereas the moment maps for the Killing vector in (2.26) are given by the simpler expression

$$P_{\mathbb{U}}^{x} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\phi} \, \tilde{\zeta} \\ -e^{\phi} \, \zeta \\ 1 - \frac{1}{4} \, e^{2\phi} \, |\vec{\zeta}|^{2} \end{pmatrix} \,. \tag{2.32}$$

We have verified that the scalar potential in (2.30) exactly matches the one in (2.6) once the latter is restricted to the U(1) × U(1) invariant sector. Moreover, since the scalar potential is a gauge invariant quantity, it only depends on $\vec{\zeta} = (\zeta, \tilde{\zeta})$ through the combination $|\vec{\zeta}|$ which is invariant under the compact U(1)_U. As a result, the angle γ defined as $\vec{\zeta} = (\zeta, \tilde{\zeta}) = |\vec{\zeta}| (\cos \gamma, \sin \gamma)$ is identified with a Goldstone mode and corresponds with a flat direction in the scalar potential.

An explicit extremisation of the scalar potential in (2.30) using SINGULAR [41] yields two inequivalent AdS₄ solutions.⁷ The amount of supersymmetry preserved at a given AdS₄ solution can be determined by first evaluating the gravitino mass matrix [44]

$$S_{\mathcal{AB}} = \frac{1}{2} e^{K/2} X^M \mathcal{P}_M^x(\sigma^x)_{\mathcal{AB}}, \qquad (2.33)$$

where $(\sigma^x)_{\mathcal{AB}}$ are the Pauli matrices, and then checking how many (real) eigenvalues of $|\mathcal{S}|^2 = \mathcal{S} \mathcal{S}^{\dagger}$ take the same value as $\frac{1}{4}L^{-2}$. The number of such eigenvalues corresponds with the number of supersymmetries preserved at the AdS₄ solution.

 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ and SU(3) invariant solution. There is an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric AdS₄ extremum of the potential for which the scalars in the vector multiplets are located at

$$\sum \operatorname{Re} z^{i} = 0$$
, $\operatorname{Im} z^{i} = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{3}$, (2.34)

and those of the universal hypermultiplet take the values

$$e^{2\phi} = \frac{6}{5} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\sigma^2}}, \quad \sigma \in (-1,1), \quad |\vec{\zeta}|^2 = \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{1-\sigma^2}.$$
 (2.35)

Supersymmetry guarantees stability of this extremum as reflected by the normalised mass spectrum

$$m^{2}L^{2} = 0 \; (\times 4) \,, \; -2 \; (\times 2) \,, \; 4 \pm \sqrt{6} \; (\times 2) \,, \qquad (2.36)$$

with $L^2 = -3/V_0 = \frac{25\sqrt{5}}{54}$ being the AdS₄ radius. Additionally setting Re $z^i = 0$ in (2.34) produces a residual symmetry enhancement to SU(3) within the maximal theory despite the fact that the gauge group in (2.28) is fully broken, in agreement with the group-theoretical embeddings in (2.7) and (2.8). This new $\mathcal{N} = 1/SU(3)$ AdS₄ solution has the same normalised scalar mass spectrum as its counterparts in the dyonically-gauged SO(8) (see eq. (4.37) in [11]) and ISO(7) (see table 1 in [15]) supergravities.

⁷More concretely, we have decomposed the ideal $\langle \partial V_{\mathcal{N}=2} \rangle$ into primary factors using the Gianni-Trager-Zacharias (GTZ) primary decomposition algorithm, and then solved each of these (simpler) factors separately. We refer the reader to [42, 43] for a comprehensive review of Complex Algebraic Geometry techniques and their application to the study of string vacua. The specifics about the computer algebra system SIN-GULAR can be found at the official website [41].

 $\mathcal{N} = 0$ and SO(6) invariant solution. There is a non-supersymmetric AdS₄ extremum of the potential for which the scalars in the vector multiplets are located at

$$\operatorname{Re}z^{i} = \operatorname{free} , \qquad \operatorname{Im}z^{i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} , \qquad (2.37)$$

and those of the universal hypermultiplet take the values

$$e^{2\phi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\sigma^2}}, \quad \sigma \in (-1,1), \quad |\vec{\zeta}|^2 = 0.$$
 (2.38)

This extremum turns out to be perturbatively unstable as reflected by the normalised mass spectrum

$$m^{2}L^{2} = 0 \; (\times 4) \,, \; -3 \; (\times 2) \,, \; 6 \; (\times 2) \,, \; -\frac{3}{4} \left(1 - 2 \; \left(\sum \operatorname{Re} z^{i} \right)^{2} \right) \; (\times 2) \,,$$
 (2.39)

with $L^2 = -3/V_0 = \frac{3}{2\sqrt{2}}$ being the AdS₄ radius. Note the presence of two normalised modes with $m^2L^2 = -3$ defining two particular directions in the scalar subspace spanned by Im z^i , and violating the Breitenlohner-Freedman (BF) bound $m^2L^2 \ge -\frac{9}{4}$ for stability in AdS₄ [45]. Setting this time Re $z^i = 0$ in (2.37) makes the solution preserve a larger SO(6) ~ SU(4) symmetry within the maximal theory, in agreement with the grouptheoretical embeddings in (2.7) and (2.8). This SO(6) invariant solution, together with its normalised scalar mass spectrum, was reported in [26] (see solution x in table 4 therein).

3 Uplift to S-folds of type IIB supergravity

In this section we will construct the explicit uplift of the AdS_4 solutions in (2.34)-(2.35) and (2.37)-(2.38) to S-fold backgrounds of ten-dimensional type IIB supergravity. In particular, we will uplift the configurations with

$$\operatorname{Re}z^{i} = 0, \qquad (3.1)$$

for which we found an enhancement of symmetry from $U(1) \times U(1)$ to either SU(3) or SO(6). This symmetry enhancement allows us to work in the simpler SU(3) invariant setup of [15], which is related to the $U(1) \times U(1)$ invariant one upon the identification of the three vector multiplets

$$z^{1} = z^{2} = z^{3} \equiv z$$
 with $z = -\chi + i e^{-\varphi}$. (3.2)

Therefore the condition in (3.1) reduces to imposing $\chi = 0$. It is worth emphasising that this is only consistent at the level of the four-dimensional equation of motion $\partial_{\chi} V_{\mathcal{N}=2} = 0$ if the following relation holds

$$|\vec{\zeta}|^2 \left[Y^2 - e^{4\phi} \left(1 - \sigma^2 \right) \right] = 0, \qquad (3.3)$$

with

$$Y = 1 + \frac{1}{4} e^{2\phi} |\vec{\zeta}|^2, \qquad (3.4)$$

which is of course the case at both AdS_4 solutions. A direct evaluation shows that $Y = \frac{6}{5}$ for the $\mathcal{N} = 1 / SU(3)$ solution with hypermultiplet scalars in (2.35), and Y = 1 for the $\mathcal{N} = 0 / SO(6)$ solution with hypermultiplet scalars in (2.38).

In order to perform the uplift we will fetch techniques from the $E_{7(7)}$ Exceptional Field Theory ($E_{7(7)}$ -ExFT) constructed in [29]. This is a field theory formally living (4 + 56)-dimensional space-time which has a manifest $E_{7(7)}$ invariance under so-called generalised diffeomorphisms acting on a 56-dimensional internal space with coordinates Y^M . This generalised diffeomorphisms provide a unified description of both ordinary GL(n) diffeomorphisms and internal gauge transformations for the various p-form fields of eleven-dimensional and type IIB supergravity. Importantly, the $E_{7(7)}$ -ExFT requires a section constraint for its consistent formulation which essentially reduces it to either elevendimensional supergravity in a 4+7 dimensional split where internal GL(7) diffeomorphisms are manifest, or type IIB supergravity in a 4 + 6 dimensional split where internal GL(6) diffeomorphisms are manifest. In this work we will be concerned with the type IIB solution of the section constraint and will perform the uplift of the previous AdS₄ solutions to type IIB supergravity by employing a so-called generalised Scherk-Schwarz (SS) ansatz for the various fields of $E_{7(7)}$ -ExFT [30].

3.1 Exceptional Field Theory and consistent truncations

J

We are interested in uplifting AdS₄ solutions for which the four-dimensional scalars parameterising $M_{KL}(x) \in E_{7(7)}/SU(8)$ take constant vacuum expectation values and vectors, as well as tensor fields, vanish identically. The relevant fields in the $E_{7(7)}$ -ExFT of [29] are then the metric $g_{\mu\nu}(x, Y)$ and the generalised metric $\mathcal{M}_{MN}(x, Y)$. These fields are connected with the four-dimensional fields in (2.5) via the generalised Scherk-Schwarz ansatz [30]

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x,Y) = \rho^{-2}(Y)g_{\mu\nu}(x),$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN}(x,Y) = U_M{}^K(Y)U_N{}^L(Y)M_{KL}(x),$$
(3.5)

which is encoded into an SL(8) twist matrix $U_M{}^N(Y)$ and an \mathbb{R}^+ scaling function $\rho(Y)$. In order for this ansatz to factorise out the dependence on the internal coordinates Y^M at the level of the equations of motion and to give back the equations of motion of the four-dimensional theory, the twist matrix $U_M{}^N(Y)$ and the scaling function $\rho(Y)$ must fulfil the two conditions

$$(U^{-1})_{M}{}^{P} (U^{-1})_{N}{}^{Q} \partial_{P} U_{Q}{}^{K} |_{\mathbf{912}} = \frac{1}{7} \rho X_{MN}{}^{K},$$

$$\partial_{N} (U^{-1})_{M}{}^{N} - 3 \rho^{-1} \partial_{N} \rho (U^{-1})_{M}{}^{N} = 2 \rho \vartheta_{M},$$
(3.6)

where $X_{MN}{}^{K}$ is the embedding tensor in the four-dimensional gauged supergravity, ϑ_{M} is a constant (scaling) tensor, and $|_{\mathbf{912}}$ is the projection onto the $\mathbf{912} \in E_{7(7)}$ irreducible representation. For the twist matrix $U_{M}{}^{N}(Y)$ and the scaling function $\rho(Y)$ to be describing a background of type IIB supergravity, the dependence on the coordinates Y^{M} of the generalised internal space must be such that the section constraint holds.

We will make use of various group-theoretical decompositions in order to establish a mapping between physical coordinates on the ordinary internal space and generalised coordinates. The first one involves the fundamental SL(8) index and its decomposition A = (1, m, 8) with m = 2, ... 7 being a GL(6) fundamental index. Then the group-theoretical decomposition of the generalised coordinates Y^M that is relevant for a type IIB interpretation of $E_{7(7)}$ -ExFT is [29]

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{E}_{7(7)} \supset & \mathcal{GL}(6) \times \mathcal{SL}(2)_{\mathrm{IIB}} \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \\ & \mathbf{56} \rightarrow (\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1})_{+2} + (\mathbf{6}', \mathbf{2})_{+1} + (\mathbf{20}, \mathbf{1})_{0} + (\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{2})_{-1} + (\mathbf{6}', \mathbf{1})_{-2} \\ & Y^{M} \rightarrow & y^{m} + y_{m\alpha} + y^{mnp} + y^{m\alpha} + y_{m} \end{split}$$

$$\end{split}$$

where GL(6) accounts for ordinary six-dimensional internal diffeomorphisms and SL(2)_{IIB} is the global symmetry of type IIB supergravity. In (3.7) we have introduced a fundamental SL(2)_{IIB} index $\alpha = 1, 8$ that is raised and lowered using $\epsilon_{18} = \epsilon^{18} = 1.^8$ The fact that the six coordinates of the ordinary internal space of type IIB supergravity do not transform under SL(2)_{IIB} suggests the choice of coordinates in $(6, 1)_{+2}$, or equivalently in $(6', 1)_{-2}$, to be the physical coordinates. In this work we select

$$y^m \in (\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1})_{+2}$$
 (3.8)

This choice solves the section constraint of $E_{7(7)}$ -ExFT so that a type IIB generalised geometry in the sense of [46–48] can be consistently defined on the tangent space of the ordinary internal space.

The background we are interested in is of the form $\mathbb{R} \times S^5$ which further suggests a $\operatorname{GL}(1) \times \operatorname{GL}(5)$ splitting of coordinates m = (i, 7) with $i = 2, \ldots 6$ on the internal space. The specific mapping between $\operatorname{GL}(6)$ coordinates in (3.7), $\operatorname{GL}(1) \times \operatorname{GL}(5)$ coordinates and the generalised coordinates $Y^M = (Y^{AB}, Y_{AB})$ in the $\operatorname{SL}(8)$ basis reads [19]

rendering $y^i = Y^{i7}$ electric and $\tilde{y} \equiv y^7 = Y_{18}$ magnetic in the generalised internal space. The twist matrix $U_M{}^N(y^i, \tilde{y})$ and the scaling function $\rho(y^i, \tilde{y})$ will determine the generalised SS reduction suitable to uplift the AdS₄ solutions previously found in a four-dimensional setup to backgrounds of type IIB supergravity. For the gauging $G = [SO(1, 1) \times SO(6)] \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{12}$ the scaling function $\rho(y^i, \tilde{y})$ is given by the product

$$\rho(y^i, \tilde{y}) = \mathring{\rho}(\tilde{y}) \, \hat{\rho}(y^i) \,, \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$\hat{o}^4 = 1 + \tilde{y}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\rho}^4 = 1 - |\vec{y}|^2 .$$
(3.11)

Being valued in SL(8) $\in E_{7(7)}$, the (inverse) twist matrix $(U^{-1})_M{}^N(y^i, \tilde{y})$ takes the blockdiagonal structure

$$(U^{-1})_M{}^N = \begin{pmatrix} (U^{-1})_{[AB]}{}^{[CD]} & 0 \\ 0 & (U^{-1})^{[AB]}{}_{[CD]} = U_{[CD]}{}^{[AB]} \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.12)

⁸We adopt conventions $v^{\alpha} = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} v_{\beta}$ and $v_{\alpha} = v^{\beta} \epsilon_{\beta\alpha}$ for raising and lowering indices of SL(2)_{IIB}.

with

$$(U^{-1})_{[AB]}{}^{[CD]} = (U^{-1})_{A}{}^{C} (U^{-1})_{B}{}^{D} - (U^{-1})_{B}{}^{C} (U^{-1})_{A}{}^{D}, \qquad (3.13)$$

and

$$(U^{-1})_{A}{}^{B} = \left(\frac{\mathring{\rho}}{\widehat{\rho}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \mathring{\rho}^{-2} \tilde{y} \\ 0 & \delta^{ij} + \hat{K} y^{i} y^{j} \hat{\rho}^{2} y^{i} & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{\rho}^{2} y^{j} \hat{K} & \hat{\rho}^{4} & 0 \\ \mathring{\rho}^{-2} \tilde{y} & 0 & 0 & \mathring{\rho}^{-4} (1 + \tilde{y}^{2}) \end{pmatrix} .$$
(3.14)

The function $\hat{K}(y^i)$ entering the twist matrix in (3.14) reduces in this case to a hypergeometric function [19]

$$\hat{K} = -_2 F_1 \left(1, 2, \frac{1}{2}; 1 - |\vec{y}|^2 \right) .$$
(3.15)

The twist matrix $U_M{}^N$ and scaling function ρ introduced above give rise, upon using (3.6), to the embedding tensor $X_{MN}{}^P$ in (2.3) and vanishing "trombone" parameter ϑ_M [49].

Equipped with the SL(8) twist matrix in (3.14) and the scaling function in (3.10) it then becomes straightforward (but tedious) to uplift the AdS₄ solutions of section 2.3 to solutions of $E_{7(7)}$ -ExFT via the SS ansatz (3.5). Once the explicit form of the external metric $g_{\mu\nu}(x^{\mu}, y^{i}, \tilde{y})$ and the generalised metric $\mathcal{M}_{MN}(x^{\mu}, y^{i}, \tilde{y})$ is determined, one can make use of the dictionary between the fields of $E_{7(7)}$ -ExFT and the ones of type IIB supergravity [50, 51] to obtain an explicit type IIB background. To this end one must first perform a decomposition of the generalised metric \mathcal{M}_{MN} under the relevant GL(6) × SL(2)_{IIB} \subset E₇₍₇₎ embedding in (3.7) so that the dictionary reads

$$G^{mn} = G^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{M}^{mn},$$

$$\mathbb{B}_{mn}^{\alpha} = G^{\frac{1}{2}} G_{mp} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{M}^{p}{}_{n\beta},$$

$$C_{klmn} - \frac{3}{2} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \mathbb{B}_{k[l}^{\alpha} \mathbb{B}_{mn]}^{\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} G^{\frac{1}{2}} G_{k\rho} \mathcal{M}^{\rho}{}_{lmn},$$

$$m_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{6} G \left(\mathcal{M}^{mn} \mathcal{M}_{m\alpha n\beta} + \mathcal{M}^{m}{}_{k\alpha} \mathcal{M}^{k}{}_{m\beta} \right).$$
(3.16)

The expressions in (3.16) provide us with explicit formulas connecting the fields of $E_{7(7)}$ -ExFT with the internal components of the fields of type IIB supergravity. These include the (inverse) metric G^{mn} , the SL(2)_{IIB} doublet of two-form potentials \mathbb{B}_{mn}^{α} , the four-form potential C_{klmn} and the axion-dilaton matrix $m_{\alpha\beta}$ (see appendix B).

3.2 Type IIB uplift of AdS₄ solutions

In this section we perform the explicit uplift of the AdS_4 solutions of section 2.3 to S-fold backgrounds of type IIB supergravity. As discussed at the beginning of the section, the AdS_4 solutions we are uplifting are compatible with the SU(3) invariance in (3.2) together with the further simplification of setting $\chi = 0$. All the results we are presenting in this section are obtained in this simplified setup.

10D metric. Before computing the ten-dimensional metric using (3.5) and (3.16) it will prove convenient to introduce some geometric data regarding the description of a fivesphere. Firstly the metric on the round S^5 and its inverse take the form

$$\hat{G}_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \frac{\delta_{ik} \,\delta_{jl} \,y^k y^l}{1 - y^m \,\delta_{mn} \,y^n} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \hat{G}^{ij} = \delta^{ij} - y^i y^j \,. \tag{3.17}$$

Secondly let us also introduce embedding coordinates $\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{m}}$ on \mathbb{R}^6 of the form

$$\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{m}} = \left\{ y^i , \, \mathcal{Y}_7 \equiv \left(1 - \left| \vec{y} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \qquad \text{so that} \qquad \delta^{\underline{mn}} \, \mathcal{Y}_{\underline{m}} \, \mathcal{Y}_{\underline{n}} = 1 \,, \tag{3.18}$$

in terms of which the Killing vectors on S^5 are given by

$$\mathcal{K}_{\underline{m}\underline{n}}{}^{i} \equiv \hat{G}^{ij} \,\partial_{j} \,\mathcal{Y}_{[\underline{m}} \,\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{n}]} = \delta^{i}_{[\underline{m}} \,\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{n}]} \,. \tag{3.19}$$

Lastly we will also introduce the vector

$$\mathcal{K}^{i} \equiv \mathcal{K}_{\underline{mn}}{}^{i} J^{\underline{mn}} = J^{i\underline{m}} \mathcal{Y}_{\underline{m}}, \qquad (3.20)$$

built from the real two-form J of the SU(3)-holonomy of the Kähler cone $C(S^5) = \mathbb{R}^+ \times S^5$ (see appendix A).

Using the uplifting formula for the internal metric in (3.16) one finds an inverse sixdimensional metric of the form

$$G^{11} = \Delta \mathring{\rho}^4 M_{18\,18} = \Delta (1 + \tilde{y}^2) e^{3\varphi},$$

$$G^{1k} = \Delta \mathring{\rho}^2 \mathcal{K}_{\underline{ij}}{}^k M^{\underline{ij}}{}_{18} = 0,$$

$$G^{ij} = \Delta \mathcal{K}_{\underline{kl}}{}^i \mathcal{K}_{\underline{mn}}{}^j M^{\underline{kl}\,\underline{mn}} = \Delta e^{\varphi} Y \left[\hat{G}^{ij} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{Y}\right) \mathcal{K}^i \mathcal{K}^j \right],$$
(3.21)

where the blocks of the scalar matrix M^{MN} that appear in (3.21) are given by

$$M^{\underline{ij}\,\underline{kl}} = e^{\varphi} \left[(1 - Y) \left(J^{\underline{ij}} J^{\underline{kl}} - 3 J^{[\underline{ij}} J^{\underline{kl}}] \right) + 2 Y \delta^{\underline{k}[\underline{i}} \delta^{\underline{j}]\underline{l}} \right],$$

$$M^{\underline{ij}}_{18} = 0,^{9}$$

$$M_{18\,18} = e^{3\varphi},$$

(3.22)

and with

$$\Delta = G^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho^2 = \frac{e^{-\varphi}}{\sqrt{Y}} .$$
 (3.23)

We then find an internal six-dimensional metric of the form

$$ds_6^2 = \Delta^{-1} e^{-3\varphi} \frac{d\tilde{y}^2}{1+\tilde{y}^2} + \Delta^{-1} e^{-\varphi} Y^{-1} \left[\hat{G}_{ij} + (Y-1) \mathcal{K}_i \mathcal{K}_j \right] dy^i dy^j, \qquad (3.24)$$

where $\mathcal{K}_i \equiv \hat{G}_{ij} \mathcal{K}^j$. Performing a change of variable of the form

 $\tilde{y} = \sinh \eta$ with $\eta \in (-\infty, \infty)$, (⁹This block of M^{MN} is proportional to the axion χ that we are setting to zero by virtue of (3.1). (3.25)

and using embedding coordinates $\mathcal{Y}^{\underline{m}}$, the metric in (3.24) takes the form

$$ds_{6}^{2} = \Delta^{-1} e^{-3\varphi} d\eta^{2} + \Delta^{-1} e^{-\varphi} Y^{-1} \left[\delta_{\underline{ij}} + (Y-1) J_{\underline{ki}} J_{\underline{lj}} \mathcal{Y}^{\underline{k}} \mathcal{Y}^{\underline{l}} \right] d\mathcal{Y}^{\underline{i}} d\mathcal{Y}^{\underline{j}},$$

$$= \Delta^{-1} e^{-3\varphi} d\eta^{2} + \Delta^{-1} e^{-\varphi} Y^{-1} \left[ds_{S^{5}}^{2} + (Y-1) \eta^{2} \right],$$
(3.26)

so that

$$ds_{6}^{2} = \Delta^{-1} e^{-3\varphi} d\eta^{2} + \Delta^{-1} e^{-\varphi} Y^{-1} \left[ds_{\mathbb{CP}^{2}}^{2} + Y \eta^{2} \right],$$

$$= \sqrt{Y} e^{-2\varphi} d\eta^{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{Y}} \left[ds_{\mathbb{CP}^{2}}^{2} + Y \eta^{2} \right].$$
 (3.27)

In (3.26) and (3.27) we used the first relation in (A.1) and (A.4) in order to exhibit the SU(2)-structure of the five-sphere S⁵ when viewed as a Sasaki-Einstein manifold.

Including also the four-dimensional (external) part of the geometry, we find a simple and non-singular $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{R} \times M_5$ metric of the form

$$ds_{10}^2 = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{Y} e^{\varphi} \, ds_{\text{AdS}_4}^2 + \sqrt{Y} \, e^{-2\varphi} \, d\eta^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{Y}} \left[\, ds_{\mathbb{CP}^2}^2 + Y \, \eta^2 \, \right] \,, \tag{3.28}$$

in terms of the function Y in (3.4) depending on the hypermultiplet scalars, and the scalar $\text{Im}z = e^{-\varphi}$ in the vector multiplet. Our choice of *undeformed* frames for the metric (3.28) reads

$$ds^{2}_{\text{AdS}_{4}} : \hat{e}^{0} = \frac{L}{r} dr , \ \hat{e}^{i} = \frac{L}{r} dx^{i} \qquad (i = 1, 2, 3) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_{ij} = (-1, 1, 1)$$

$$ds^{2}_{\mathbb{R}} : \hat{e}^{4} = d\eta \qquad (a = 5, 6, 7, 8)$$

$$ds^{2}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2}} : \hat{e}^{a} \qquad (a = 5, 6, 7, 8)$$

$$ds^{2}_{\text{S}^{1}} : \hat{e}^{9} = \eta$$

with L being the AdS₄ radius at the four-dimensional solutions of section 2.3, and where \hat{e}^a and \hat{e}^9 describe a round S⁵ as discussed in detail in appendix A. The volume form of the ten-dimensional space-time specified by the metric (3.28) is then given by

$$\operatorname{vol}_{10} = \frac{1}{4} \,\Delta^{-1} \,\hat{e}^0 \wedge \hat{e}^1 \wedge \hat{e}^2 \wedge \hat{e}^3 \wedge \hat{e}^4 \wedge \hat{e}^5 \wedge \hat{e}^6 \wedge \hat{e}^7 \wedge \hat{e}^8 \wedge \hat{e}^9 \,\,. \tag{3.30}$$

Two cases are of interest for the uplift of the AdS_4 solutions obtained in the previous section:

i) For the $\mathcal{N} = 1 / SU(3)$ solution in (2.34)–(2.35) one has

$$Y = \frac{6}{5}$$
 and $e^{-\varphi} = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{3}$. (3.31)

This makes the internal metric in (3.27) conform $\mathbb{CP}_2 \rtimes S^1$ so that a $U(1)_\beta$ symmetry associated with η (see appendix A) is preserved together with the SU(3) symmetry of \mathbb{CP}_2 . We will see that this additional $U(1)_\beta$ symmetry is broken by the three-form fluxes, thus in agreement with the residual symmetry at the AdS₄ solution. *ii*) For the $\mathcal{N} = 0 / \text{SO}(6)$ solution in (2.37)–(2.38) one has

$$Y = 1$$
 and $e^{-\varphi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. (3.32)

In this case the round metric on S^5 is recovered with SO(6) symmetry in agreement with the residual symmetry at the AdS₄ solution.

 B_2 and C_2 potentials. The SL(2)_{IIB} doublet of two-form potentials $\mathbb{B}^{\alpha} = (B_2, C_2)$ can be obtained from the second uplift formula in (3.16). An explicit computation shows that

$$\mathbb{B}_{1j}^{\alpha} = 0,$$

$$\mathbb{B}_{ij}^{\alpha} = \Delta G_{ik} \mathcal{K}_{\underline{kl}}^{k} \partial_{j} \mathcal{Y}^{\underline{m}} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} (A^{-1})^{\gamma}{}_{\beta} M^{\underline{kl}}{\underline{m}}_{\underline{m}},$$
(3.33)

where the matrix

$$(A^{-1})^{\gamma}{}_{\beta} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1+\tilde{y}^2} & -\tilde{y} \\ -\tilde{y} & \sqrt{1+\tilde{y}^2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh\eta & -\sinh\eta \\ -\sinh\eta & \cosh\eta \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (3.34)$$

is an SO(1,1) \subset SL(2)_{IIB} element encoding the dependence of the two-form potentials \mathbb{B}^{α} on the direction η . The blocks of the scalar matrix M^{MN} entering (3.33) are given by

$$M^{\underline{kl}}_{\underline{m}1} = \frac{1}{2} e^{\varphi} \left[j_1 \left(\Omega^I \right)^{\underline{kl}}_{\underline{m}} - j_2 \left(\Omega^R \right)^{\underline{kl}}_{\underline{m}} \right] ,$$

$$M^{\underline{kl}}_{\underline{m}8} = \frac{1}{2} e^{2\phi + \varphi} \left[\tilde{\zeta} \left(\Omega^R \right)^{\underline{kl}}_{\underline{m}} - \zeta \left(\Omega^I \right)^{\underline{kl}}_{\underline{m}} \right] ,$$
(3.35)

in terms of the holomorphic three-form $\Omega = \Omega^R + i \Omega^I$ of the SU(3)-holonomy of the Kähler cone $C(S^5) = \mathbb{R}^+ \times S^5$ (see appendix A), and the scalar-dependent combinations

$$j_1 = \zeta Z + \tilde{\zeta} Y$$
, $j_2 = \tilde{\zeta} Z - \zeta Y$ with $Z = e^{2\phi} \sigma$. (3.36)

Inserting (3.35) into (3.33) one finds

$$\mathbb{B}_{ij}{}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} e^{\varphi} \Delta \epsilon^{\alpha \delta} (A^{-1})^{\gamma}{}_{\delta} H_{\gamma \beta} G_{ik} (\Omega^{\beta})^{k}{}_{j} = \frac{1}{2} Y^{-1} \epsilon^{\alpha \delta} (A^{-1})^{\gamma}{}_{\delta} H_{\gamma \beta} \hat{G}_{ik} (\Omega^{\beta})^{k}{}_{j}, \quad (3.37)$$

in terms of the scalar-dependent matrix

$$H_{\gamma\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} -j_2 & j_1 \\ e^{2\phi} \tilde{\zeta} & -e^{2\phi} \zeta \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (3.38)$$

and the geometric quantities

$$(\Omega^{\beta})^{i}{}_{j} \equiv (\Omega^{R}, \Omega^{I})^{i}{}_{j} = (\Omega^{R}, \Omega^{I})^{\underline{kl}}{}_{\underline{m}} \mathcal{K}_{\underline{kl}}{}^{i} \partial_{j} \mathcal{Y}^{\underline{m}}, \qquad (3.39)$$

which satisfy $\mathcal{K}_k(\Omega^\beta)_{j}^k = 0$. Then, using the relation

$$\hat{G}_{ik} \left(\Omega^{\beta}\right)^{k}{}_{j} dy^{i} \wedge dy^{j} = -(\Omega^{\beta})_{\underline{ijk}} \mathcal{Y}^{\underline{k}} d\mathcal{Y}^{\underline{i}} \wedge d\mathcal{Y}^{\underline{j}}, \qquad (3.40)$$

one arrives at the final expression

$$\mathbb{B}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{B}_{ij}{}^{\alpha} dy^{i} \wedge dy^{j} = -\frac{1}{4} Y^{-1} \epsilon^{\alpha \delta} (A^{-t})_{\delta}{}^{\gamma} H_{\gamma \beta} (\Omega^{\beta})_{\underline{k} \underline{i} \underline{j}} \mathcal{Y}^{\underline{k}} d\mathcal{Y}^{\underline{i}} \wedge d\mathcal{Y}^{\underline{j}}, \qquad (3.41)$$

or, using the third relation in (A.1), the equivalent one

$$\mathbb{B}^{\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2} Y^{-1} \epsilon^{\alpha \delta} (A^{-t})_{\delta}^{\gamma} H_{\gamma \beta} \mathbf{\Omega}^{\beta} \qquad \text{with} \qquad \mathbf{\Omega}^{\beta} \equiv (\mathbf{\Omega}^{R}, \mathbf{\Omega}^{I}) . \tag{3.42}$$

Taking an exterior derivative on (3.42) and using the SU(2)-structure relations in (A.3), one gets three-form fluxes $\mathbb{H}^{\alpha} = (H_3, F_3)$ of the form

$$\mathbb{H}^{\alpha} = -\frac{3}{2} Y^{-1} \epsilon^{\alpha \delta} (A^{-t})_{\delta}{}^{\gamma} H_{\gamma \beta} (i \, \Omega \wedge \eta)^{\beta} - \frac{1}{2} Y^{-1} \epsilon^{\alpha \delta} \partial_{\eta} (A^{-t})_{\delta}{}^{\gamma} H_{\gamma \beta} d\eta \wedge \Omega^{\beta} ,
= -\frac{1}{2} Y^{-1} \epsilon^{\alpha \delta} (A^{-t})_{\delta}{}^{\gamma} \left[3 H_{\gamma \beta} (i \, \Omega \wedge \eta)^{\beta} - \theta_{\gamma}{}^{\lambda} H_{\lambda \beta} d\eta \wedge \Omega^{\beta} \right] ,$$
(3.43)

in terms of the function Y in (3.4) and $H_{\gamma\beta}$ in (3.38) depending on the hypermultiplet scalars, and the matrix $A^{-1}(\eta)$ in (3.34). In (3.43) we have also introduced the constant matrix

$$\theta_{\gamma}^{\ \lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{3.44}$$

Two cases are again of interest for the uplift of the AdS_4 solutions obtained in the previous section:

i) For the $\mathcal{N} = 1 / SU(3)$ solution in (2.34)–(2.35) one has

$$H_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{Y\left|\vec{\zeta}\right|}{\sqrt{1-\sigma^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1-\sigma^2} \cos\gamma - \sigma & \sin\gamma & \sqrt{1-\sigma^2} & \sin\gamma + \sigma & \cos\gamma\\ & -\sin\gamma & & \cos\gamma & \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.45)

with

$$Y = \frac{6}{5}$$
 and $|\vec{\zeta}|^2 = \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{1-\sigma^2}$. (3.46)

The $H_{\alpha\beta}$ matrix in (3.45) depends on $\sigma \in (-1, 1)$ as well as on an angle γ defined as $\vec{\zeta} = (\zeta, \tilde{\zeta}) = |\vec{\zeta}| (\cos \gamma, \sin \gamma)$ and parameterising a U(1)_U transformation. As a result there is a (σ, γ) -family of three-form fluxes (3.43). The non-zero value $|\vec{\zeta}| \neq 0$ in (3.46) causes the Higgsing of the U(1)_U symmetry previously discussed in the fourdimensional context, where the flat direction in the scalar potential γ was identified with a Goldstone mode. In addition, the three-form fluxes (3.43) depend on the complex two-form Ω that is charged (see appendix A) under the U(1)_{β} preserved by the internal geometry (3.27). Therefore both U(1)_U and U(1)_{β} symmetries are broken due to the background fluxes at the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ solution.

ii) For the $\mathcal{N} = 0 / \text{SO}(6)$ solution in (2.37)–(2.38) one has $H_{\gamma\beta} = 0$ and therefore

$$\mathbb{H}^{\alpha} = 0, \qquad (3.47)$$

as a consequence of the internal geometry being the round S^5 .

 C_4 potential. An explicit computation using the third uplift formula in (3.16) in combination with the first equation in (3.33) shows that the purely internal four-form potential is of the form

$$C_{1jkl} = 0,$$

$$C_{1jkl} - \frac{3}{2} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \mathbb{B}_{i[j}^{\alpha} \mathbb{B}_{kl]}^{\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{1 - |\vec{y}|^2}} \epsilon_{jklk'l'} G_{ii'} \mathcal{K}_{\underline{mn}}^{i'} \mathcal{K}_{\underline{pq}}^{k'l'} M^{\underline{mn}\underline{pq}},$$
(3.48)

where we have introduced the geometric tensor

$$\mathcal{K}_{\underline{pq}}^{k'l'} = \delta_{\underline{pq}}^{k'l'} + 2\,\hat{K}\,\delta_{\underline{p}}^{k'}\,\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{q}}\,y^{l'} \,. \tag{3.49}$$

Substituting the first scalar-dependent block in (3.22) we arrive at

$$C_{ijkl} - \frac{3}{2} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \mathbb{B}_{i[j}{}^{\alpha} \mathbb{B}_{kl]}{}^{\beta} = \epsilon_{j'jklk'} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - |\vec{y}|^2}} \frac{Y - 1}{Y} \hat{G}_{i\,i'} \left[J^{i'j'} J^{k'\underline{l}} \mathcal{Y}_{\underline{l}} + \delta^{j'l'} \mathcal{K}^{i'} \mathcal{K}_{l'} y^{k'} \right] + \hat{C}_{ijkl} , \qquad (3.50)$$

with

$$\hat{C}_{ijkl} = \epsilon_{ijklk'} \frac{y^{k'}}{\sqrt{1 - |\vec{y}|^2}} \left(1 + \hat{K}\right) \,. \tag{3.51}$$

A careful analysis of the expression in (3.50) reveals that the contribution

$$-\frac{3}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\mathbb{B}_{i[j}{}^{\alpha}\mathbb{B}_{kl]}{}^{\beta} = -6\frac{1-Y}{Y}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\mathbf{\Omega}_{i[j}{}^{\alpha}\mathbf{\Omega}_{kl]}{}^{\beta}, \qquad (3.52)$$

in the left hand side precisely cancels against the contribution coming from the first term in the right hand side so that

$$C_{ijkl} = \hat{C}_{ijkl} . aga{3.53}$$

The purely internal five-form flux then takes the form

$$dC = \mathbf{\Omega} \wedge \bar{\mathbf{\Omega}} \wedge \boldsymbol{\eta} = 4Y^{\frac{3}{4}} \operatorname{vol}_{5}, \qquad (3.54)$$

where

$$\operatorname{vol}_{5} = Y^{-\frac{3}{4}} \, \hat{e}^{5} \wedge \hat{e}^{6} \wedge \hat{e}^{7} \wedge \hat{e}^{8} \wedge \hat{e}^{9} \,, \tag{3.55}$$

is the volume form on the *deformed* S^5 in (3.27). Finally the gauge-invariant five-form flux is given by

$$\widetilde{F}_5 = dC + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \mathbb{B}^{\alpha} \wedge \mathbb{H}^{\beta} = \left(4 + \frac{6(1-Y)}{Y}\right) Y^{\frac{3}{4}} (1+\star) \operatorname{vol}_5, \qquad (3.56)$$

which breaks the $U(1)_{\mathbb{U}}$ symmetry whenever $Y \neq 1$. When particularised to the AdS₄ solutions obtained in the previous section the result is:

i) For the $\mathcal{N} = 1 / SU(3)$ solution in (2.34)–(2.35) one has

$$\widetilde{F}_5 = 3 \left(\frac{6}{5}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} (1+\star) \operatorname{vol}_5.$$
 (3.57)

ii) For the $\mathcal{N} = 0 / \text{SO}(6)$ solution in (2.37)–(2.38) one has

$$F_5 = 4(1 + \star) \operatorname{vol}_5$$
. (3.58)

Axion-dilaton and Janus. The SL(2)-valued axion-dilaton $m_{\alpha\beta}$ of type IIB supergravity can be obtained from the last uplift formula in (3.16). A straightforward computation involving this time the blocks of the M^{MN} scalar matrix

$$M^{\gamma \underline{k} \, \delta \underline{l}} = e^{-\varphi} Y \, \delta^{\underline{k} \underline{l}} \, \mathfrak{m}^{\gamma \delta} + e^{-\varphi} \left(1 - Y\right) J^{\underline{k} \underline{l}} \, \epsilon^{\gamma \delta} ,$$

$$M_{\gamma \underline{k} \, \delta \underline{l}} = e^{\varphi} Y \, \delta_{\underline{k} \underline{l}} \, \mathfrak{m}_{\gamma \delta} + e^{\varphi} \left(1 - Y\right) J_{\underline{k} \underline{l}} \, \epsilon_{\gamma \delta} ,$$
(3.59)

yields an axion-dilaton of the form

$$m_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{\mathrm{Im}\tau} \begin{pmatrix} |\tau|^2 & -\mathrm{Re}\tau\\ -\mathrm{Re}\tau & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (A^{-t})_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma} \mathfrak{m}_{\gamma\delta} (A^{-1})^{\delta}{}_{\beta}, \qquad (3.60)$$

with $\tau = C_0 + i e^{-\Phi}$. Note that the full dependence on the coordinate η is again encoded into the matrix $A^{-1}(\eta)$ in (3.34) which acts as an SO(1, 1) \subset SL(2)_{IIB} twist on

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma\delta} = \frac{1}{Y} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-2\phi} (Y^2 + Z^2) - Z \\ -Z & e^{2\phi} \end{pmatrix} .$$
 (3.61)

The matrix $\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma\delta}$ in (3.61) only depends on the four-dimensional scalars in the universal hypermultiplet. At both the $\mathcal{N} = 1/\mathrm{SU}(3)$ and $\mathcal{N} = 0/\mathrm{SO}(6)$ solutions one has that it reduces to

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma\delta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\sigma^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\sigma \\ -\sigma & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad \sigma \in (-1,1), \quad (3.62)$$

or, using an alternative parameterisation in terms of $\sigma = \tanh \mu$, to the manifest SO(1, 1) expression

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma\delta} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh\mu - \sinh\mu\\ -\sinh\mu & \cosh\mu \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad \mu \in (-\infty, \infty) . \tag{3.63}$$

Using (3.34) and (3.63) the axion-dilaton matrix in (3.60) takes the form

$$m_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(2\eta + \mu) - \sinh(2\eta + \mu) \\ -\sinh(2\eta + \mu) & \cosh(2\eta + \mu) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (3.64)$$

and a direct comparison between (3.60) and (3.64) allows us to extract the profile for the complex axion-dilaton field

$$\operatorname{Re}\tau = \tanh(2\eta + \mu)$$
, $\operatorname{Im}\tau = \operatorname{sech}(2\eta + \mu)$. (3.65)

In order to establish a connection with type IIB solutions of Janus-type [27, 28], it will be convenient to combine the $A^{-1}(\eta)$ twist in (3.34) with a (global) duality transformation $\Lambda \in SO(2) \subset SL(2)_{IIB}$ of the form

$$\Lambda_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{3.66}$$

Applying the transformation (3.66) on our type IIB solutions, new but physically equivalent backgrounds are generated with

$$ds_{10}^{2 \text{ (new)}} = ds_{10}^{2},$$

$$\widetilde{F}_{5}^{(\text{new)}} = \widetilde{F}_{5},$$

$$\mathbb{H}^{\alpha \text{ (new)}} = (\mathbb{H} \Lambda^{-1})^{\alpha},$$
(3.67)

and

$$m_{\alpha\beta}^{(\text{new})} = (\Lambda \, m \, \Lambda^t)_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\eta+\mu} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-(2\,\eta+\mu)} \end{pmatrix} .$$
(3.68)

In this way the composed action of $\Lambda A^{-1}(\eta)$ on (3.63) reduces to just a shift of the form $\Phi^{(\text{new})} \rightarrow \Phi^{(\text{new})} - 2\eta$ and a Janus-like behaviour becomes manifest

$$e^{\Phi^{(\text{new})}} = g_s^{(\text{new})} = e^{-(2\eta + \mu)} \implies \Phi^{(\text{new})}(\eta) = -2\eta - \mu,$$
 (3.69)

with a linear dilaton $\Phi^{(\text{new})}$ running from $-\infty$ to ∞ or, equivalently, taking two different asymptotic values one of them yielding a divergent string coupling $g_s^{(\text{new})}$. Note that the free parameter $\sigma = \tanh \mu$ in the ten-dimensional solution, which appears as a modulus in four dimensions, can be used to set the dilaton to zero at an arbitrary value of η . A natural choice is to set $\mu = 0$ so that $\Phi^{(\text{new})}(0) = 0$. Lastly, we have also verified that the equations of motion and Bianchi identities of type IIB supergravity (see appendix B) are satisfied at both ten-dimensional SU(3) and SO(6) symmetric solutions.

3.3 Summary of type IIB backgrounds

By uplifting two families of AdS_4 vacua of the dyonically-gauged $[\operatorname{SO}(1,1) \times \operatorname{SO}(6)] \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{12}$ maximal supergravity, we have obtained two classes of ten-dimensional type IIB backgrounds. In both classes the metric is non-singular and of the form $\operatorname{AdS}_4 \times \mathbb{R} \times M_5$ with $\eta \in (-\infty, \infty)$ being the coordinate along the \mathbb{R} direction. The dependence of the backgrounds on the coordinate η is fully encoded in an $\operatorname{SO}(1,1) \subset \operatorname{SL}(2)_{\text{IIB}}$ matrix

$$(A^{-1})^{\gamma}{}_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \eta & -\sinh \eta \\ -\sinh \eta & \cosh \eta \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (3.70)$$

which acts as a twist on a *constant* type IIB axion-dilaton

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma\delta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\sigma^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\sigma \\ -\sigma & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad \sigma \in (-1,1), \quad (3.71)$$

and an SL(2)_{IIB} doublet of η -independent three-form fluxes. From an effective $\mathcal{N} = 2$ four-dimensional perspective, the free parameter σ in the type IIB solutions corresponds to a four-dimensional axion in the universal hypermultiplet (see section 2.2).

We find two classes of type IIB backgrounds:

i) The first class of solutions is $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric, and thus perturbatively stable, and preserves an SU(3) symmetry arising from a $\mathbb{CP}^2 \subset M_5$ factor in the geometry. The various ten-dimensional fields are given by

$$ds_{10}^{2} = \frac{3\sqrt{6}}{10} ds_{\mathrm{AdS}_{4}}^{2} + \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{\frac{10}{3}} d\eta^{2} + \left[\sqrt{\frac{5}{6}} ds_{\mathbb{CP}^{2}}^{2} + \sqrt{\frac{6}{5}} \eta^{2} \right],$$

$$\widetilde{F}_{5} = 3 \left(\frac{6}{5} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} (1 + \star) \operatorname{vol}_{5},$$

$$m_{\alpha\beta} = (A^{-t})_{\alpha} \gamma \mathfrak{m}_{\gamma\delta} (A^{-1})^{\delta}{}_{\beta},$$

$$\mathbb{H}^{\alpha} = -\frac{5}{12} \epsilon^{\alpha\delta} (A^{-t})_{\delta} \gamma \left[3 H_{\gamma\beta} (i \, \Omega \wedge \eta)^{\beta} - \theta_{\gamma}^{\lambda} H_{\lambda\beta} d\eta \wedge \Omega^{\beta} \right],$$
(3.72)

where, in order to present \mathbb{H}^{α} in a concise form, we have introduced the two constant matrices

$$\theta_{\gamma}{}^{\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \qquad (3.73)$$

and

$$H_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{2\sqrt{6}}{5\left(1-\sigma^2\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1-\sigma^2} \cos\gamma - \sigma \sin\gamma \sqrt{1-\sigma^2} \sin\gamma + \sigma \cos\gamma \\ \sin\gamma & -\cos\gamma \end{pmatrix} . \quad (3.74)$$

The latter depends on the free parameter $\sigma \in (-1, 1)$ specifying the constant axiondilaton in (3.71), as well as on an arbitrary angle $\gamma \in [0, 2\pi]$. As a result there is a (σ, γ) -family of three-form fluxes \mathbb{H}^{α} . Note also that the internal geometry in (3.72) has an additional U(1)_{β} isometry that is broken in the background by the dependence of the three-form fluxes \mathbb{H}^{α} on the complex (2,0)-form Ω (see appendix A).

• The second class of solutions is non-supersymmetric and preserves an SO(6) symmetry arising from an $M_5 = S^5$ factor in the geometry. The various ten-dimensional fields are given by

$$ds_{10}^{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} ds_{AdS_{4}}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} d\eta^{2} + ds_{S^{5}}^{2},$$

$$\widetilde{F}_{5} = 4 (1 + \star) \operatorname{vol}_{5},$$

$$m_{\alpha\beta} = (A^{-t})_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma} \mathfrak{m}_{\gamma\delta} (A^{-1})^{\delta}{}_{\beta},$$

$$\mathbb{H}^{\alpha} = 0.$$
(3.75)

This class of solutions features perturbative instabilities, as already noticed in (2.39) when looking at scalar fluctuations in the consistent truncation to a four-dimensional effective theory.

3.4 S-fold interpretation

Following the original reasoning in [19] we argue now that the two AdS₄ solutions presented in this work actually uplift to S-fold backgrounds of type IIB supergravity. Since the matrix $m_{\alpha\beta}(\eta)$ in (3.64) is of hyperbolic type, it is *not* possible to perform a coordinate shift of the form $\eta \to \eta + T$ such that $m_{\alpha\beta}(\eta + T) = m_{\alpha\beta}(\eta)$. As a result the type IIB background cannot be viewed as a globally geometric compactification on S¹ × S⁵.

However the η direction on the internal geometry (3.27) can still be made periodic with period $T \neq 0$ at the price of introducing an SO(1, 1) \subset SL(2)_{IIB} hyperbolic monodromy \mathfrak{M}_{S^1} on the axion-dilaton given by

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{S}^{1}} = A^{-1}(\eta) A(\eta + T) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh T & \sinh T \\ \sinh T & \cosh T \end{pmatrix} .$$
(3.76)

The monodromy in (3.76) can be brought to a generic hyperbolic (discrete) monodromy $\mathfrak{M}(k) \in \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{IIB}}$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ of the form

$$\mathfrak{M}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} k & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \qquad k \ge 3 , \qquad (3.77)$$

by performing a transformation

$$\mathfrak{M}(k) = g^{-1} \mathfrak{M}_{S^1} g \qquad \text{with} \qquad g(k) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(k^2 - 4)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0\\ \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}(k^2 - 4)^{\frac{1}{4}}} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{(k^2 - 4)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \end{pmatrix} .$$
(3.78)

In this manner one has that

$$\mathfrak{M}(k) = A_{(k)}^{-1}(\eta) \ A_{(k)}(\eta + T(k)), \qquad (3.79)$$

with

$$A_{(k)} = A g(k)$$
 and $T(k) = \ln(k + \sqrt{k^2 - 4}) - \ln(2)$. (3.80)

The resulting type IIB background can in this way be interpreted as a locally geometric compactification on $S^1 \times S^5$ with an S-duality monodromy $\mathfrak{M}(k) \in \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{IIB}}$ given by (3.77), namely, an S-fold background. To generate such a background one must replace $A^{-1}(\eta) \to A^{-1}_{(k)}(\eta)$ in the generalised Scherk-Schwarz anstaz, equivalently, in (3.42)–(3.43) and (3.60). This procedure must also be composed with the global transformation in (3.66) in order to make the Janus structure of the solution manifest. Moreover, since a generalised Scherk-Schwarz reduction satisfying the section constraint does not break supersymmetries and the monodromy in (3.76) is of hyperbolic type, the supersymmetries preserved at the AdS₄ solutions uplift to supersymmetries of the ten-dimensional backgrounds.¹⁰

¹⁰As discussed in [19], the fact that the monodromy in (3.76) is of hyperbolic type and can be interpreted as a dilaton shift upon applying the (global) duality transformation in (3.66), implies the existence of a global parameterisation of the axion-dilaton coset representative in (B.10) such that no (local) compensating SO(2) transformation is induced by the monodromy on the type IIB fermions. Therefore, a Killing spinor at a supersymmetric AdS₄ solution uplifts to a globally well-defined Killing spinor in ten dimensions.

Finally it is to be noted that the class of monodromies in (3.77) can be expressed as a composition of S and T transformations. More concretely one has that

$$\mathfrak{M}(k) = -\mathcal{S}\,\mathcal{T}^k\,,\tag{3.81}$$

with

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. (3.82)

This type of monodromies has been extensively studied in the context of three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ CFT known as T(U(N)) theories [24, 52]. It would be interesting to investigate general S-duality quotients of Janus-type non-compact solutions dual to three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ S-fold CFTs (see [53] for a study of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ S-fold CFTs) as well as their potential realisation in terms of brane configurations.

4 Conclusions

Fetching techniques from $E_{7(7)}$ -EFT and generalised Scherk-Schwarz reductions, in this work we have uplifted two different AdS₄ solutions of the four-dimensional and dyonicallygauged maximal supergravity with $[SO(1, 1) \times SO(6)] \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{12}$ gauge group to two classes of S-fold backgrounds of type IIB supergravity. These S-folds result from $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})_{IIB}$ quotients of Janus-type non-compact solutions of the form $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{R} \times M_5$. The first class of S-folds preserves $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry and an SU(3) symmetry originating from an internal geometry of the form $M_5 = \mathbb{CP}_2 \rtimes S^1$. The additional $U(1)_\beta$ symmetry associated with S^1 is broken due to the presence of three-form fluxes. The second class of S-folds is nonsupersymmetric and features an SO(6) symmetry as a consequence of the internal geometry $M_5 = S^5$ being a round five-sphere.

Both classes of S-folds are obtained from Janus-like solutions with a linear dilaton profile upon quotients by hyperbolic elements of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})_{IIB}$. This translates into a monodromy on the axion-dilaton of the form $-S \mathcal{T}^k$ with $k \geq 3$. While supersymmetry guarantees the stability of the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ S-folds with SU(3) symmetry, the issue of stability becomes more subtle in the absence of supersymmetry. The non-supersymmetric Janus-like non-compact solution with a linear dilaton and SO(6) symmetry presented in this work is of the "curious" type discussed in [54] (see also [55]). There the issue of stability was left open for this particular type of solutions. Here, by performing an analysis of normalised scalar masses in the effective four-dimensional gauged supergravity, we observe the presence of unstable modes in (2.39) violating the BF bound for perturbative stability in AdS₄. The consistency of the truncation of type IIB supergravity on $\mathbb{R} \times S^5$ down to a maximal D = 4 supergravity then renders the non-supersymmetric type IIB background also unstable.

The $\mathcal{N} = 1$ S-folds with SU(3) symmetry presented in this work pair up with the tendimensional massive IIA solution featuring the same (super) symmetries presented in [56]. It would be interesting to further investigate a possible connection between the two classes of type II solutions. On the contrary, the higher-dimensional origin (if any) of the analogous AdS₄ solution in the dyonically-gauged SO(8) theory (see table 1) remains elusive, and a no-go theorem has been proved against its existence as a compactification that is locally described by eleven-dimensional supergravity [57]. This makes the (four-dimensional) phenomenon of electromagnetic duality in maximal supergravity more mysterious from an M-theory perspective.

As a final point, it would be interesting to investigate possible brane setups underlying the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ S-folds with SU(3) symmetry, and to explore the associated dual threedimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ S-fold CFTs. Since an $\mathcal{N} = 1/SU(3)$ AdS₄ solution occurs in the three dyonically-gauged maximal supergravities of table 1 with exactly the same normalised scalar mass spectrum, a better understanding of the holographic aspects of the type IIA/IIB solutions could help in getting new insights into the dyonically-gauged SO(8) theory from a novel holographic perspective. We hope to come back to these and other related issues in the future.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Riccardo Argurio, Andrés Collinucci, Carlos Hoyos and Patrick Meessen for conversations and, especially, Mario Trigiante for initial collaboration on this project and helpful comments. The work of AG is partially supported by the Spanish government grant MINECO-16-FPA2015-63667-P, by the Principado de Asturias through the grant FC-GRUPIN-IDI/2018/000174, by the ERC Advanced Grant "High-Spin-Grav" and by F.R.S.-FNRS through the conventions PDRT.1025.14 and IISN-4.4503.15. AG would like to acknowledge the Université Libre de Bruxelles where this work was initiated as well as the Mainz Institute for Theoretical Physics (MITP) of the Cluster of Excellence PRISMA+ (Project ID 39083149) where this work was completed.

A Canonical Sasaki-Einstein structure on S⁵

The connection between the various components of the scalar matrix M^{MN} and the SU(2)structure of the five-sphere S⁵ when viewed as a Sasaki-Einstein manifold relies on the \mathbb{R}^6 embedding relations

$$\boldsymbol{\eta} = J_{\underline{mn}} \mathcal{Y}^{\underline{m}} d\mathcal{Y}^{\underline{n}} , \quad \boldsymbol{J} = \frac{1}{2} J_{\underline{mn}} d\mathcal{Y}^{\underline{m}} \wedge d\mathcal{Y}^{\underline{n}} , \quad \boldsymbol{\Omega} = \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{\underline{mnp}} \mathcal{Y}^{\underline{m}} d\mathcal{Y}^{\underline{n}} \wedge d\mathcal{Y}^{\underline{p}} , \quad (A.1)$$

determining the real one-form η , real two-form J and complex (2,0)-form Ω of the SU(2)structure in terms of the real two-form J and the holomorphic three-form Ω of the SU(3)holonomy of the Kähler cone $C(S^5) = \mathbb{R}^+ \times S^5$. The latter are given by

$$J = e^{2} \wedge e^{3} + e^{4} \wedge e^{5} + e^{6} \wedge e^{7},$$

$$\Omega = \Omega^{R} + i \,\Omega^{I} = (e^{2} + i \,e^{3}) \wedge (e^{4} + i \,e^{5}) \wedge (e^{6} + i \,e^{7}).$$
(A.2)

The closure of J and Ω translates into the torsion conditions for the SU(2)-structure

$$d\boldsymbol{\eta} = \boldsymbol{J} , \quad d\boldsymbol{J} = 0 , \quad d\boldsymbol{\Omega} = 3 \, i \, \boldsymbol{\eta} \wedge \boldsymbol{\Omega} .$$
 (A.3)

The metric on the round S⁵ can be expressed (locally) as a U(1)_{β} fibration with coordinate β over the Kähler-Einstein space \mathbb{CP}^2 with metric

$$ds_{S^5}^2 = ds_{\mathbb{CP}^2}^2 + \eta^2, \qquad (A.4)$$

where $\eta = d\beta + A_1$ and A_1 is the one-form potential on \mathbb{CP}^2 such that $dA_1 = 2J$. Following the conventions in [28] we (locally) choose angular coordinates on $S^5 \sim \mathbb{CP}_2 \rtimes S^1$ such that the coordinates y^i take the parametric form

$$y^{2} = \sin \alpha \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \left(\frac{\psi + \phi}{2} + \beta \right) ,$$

$$y^{3} = \sin \alpha \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \left(\frac{\psi + \phi}{2} + \beta \right) ,$$

$$y^{4} = \sin \alpha \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \left(\frac{\psi - \phi}{2} + \beta \right) ,$$

$$y^{5} = \sin \alpha \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \left(\frac{\psi - \phi}{2} + \beta \right) ,$$

$$y^{6} = -\cos \alpha \sin \beta ,$$

(A.5)

so that

$$\hat{\rho}^2 = \sqrt{1 - |\vec{y}|^2} = \cos\alpha \, \cos\beta \qquad \text{and} \qquad \hat{\rho}^2 = \sqrt{1 + \tilde{y}^2} = \cosh\eta \ . \tag{A.6}$$

The metric on \mathbb{CP}^2 takes the form

$$ds_{\mathbb{CP}_2}^2 = \delta_{ab} \,\hat{e}^a \,\hat{e}^b \qquad \text{with} \qquad a = 5, 6, 7, 8 \,, \tag{A.7}$$

when expressed in terms of the frame fields

$$\hat{e}^5 = d\alpha$$
, $\hat{e}^6 = \frac{1}{4}\sin(2\alpha)\sigma_3$, $\hat{e}^7 = \frac{1}{2}\sin(\alpha)\sigma_1$, $\hat{e}^8 = \frac{1}{2}\sin(\alpha)\sigma_2$ (A.8)

with

$$\sigma_{1} = -\sin\psi \, d\theta + \cos\psi \, \sin\theta \, d\phi \,,$$

$$\sigma_{2} = \cos\psi \, d\theta + \sin\psi \, \sin\theta \, d\phi \,,$$

$$\sigma_{3} = d\psi + \cos\theta \, d\phi \,,$$

(A.9)

being a set of SU(2) left-invariant forms. A direct substitution yields

$$ds_{\mathbb{CP}_2}^2 = d\alpha^2 + \frac{1}{4}\sin^2\alpha \left(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 + \cos^2\alpha \,\sigma_3^2\right) \,. \tag{A.10}$$

Moreover the metric in (A.10) can be brought into a Fubini-Study form

$$ds_{\mathbb{CP}_2}^2 = g_{i\bar{j}} \, dz^i \, d\bar{z}^{\bar{j}} \qquad \text{with} \qquad g_{i\bar{j}} = \partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} \, \ln\left(1 + |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2\right) \,, \tag{A.11}$$

by introducing two complex coordinates

$$z_1 = \tan \alpha \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) e^{i\frac{(\psi+\phi)}{2}}$$
 and $z_2 = \tan \alpha \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) e^{i\frac{(\psi-\phi)}{2}}$, (A.12)

in terms of which the one-form potential A_1 on \mathbb{CP}^2 reads

$$\boldsymbol{A}_{1} = -\frac{i}{2} \frac{\bar{z}_{i} \, dz_{i} - z_{i} \, d\bar{z}_{i}}{1 + |z_{1}|^{2} + |z_{2}|^{2}} = \frac{1}{2} \, \sin^{2} \alpha \, \sigma_{3} \; . \tag{A.13}$$

As a result the set of SU(3) invariant forms on S^5 is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\eta} = d\beta + \boldsymbol{A}_{1} = d\beta + \frac{1}{2}\sin^{2}\alpha\,\sigma_{3},$$

$$\boldsymbol{J} = d\boldsymbol{\eta} = 2\left(\hat{e}^{5}\wedge\hat{e}^{6} + \hat{e}^{7}\wedge\hat{e}^{8}\right),$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega} = e^{3i\beta}\left(\hat{e}^{5} + i\,\hat{e}^{6}\right)\wedge\left(\hat{e}^{7} + i\,\hat{e}^{8}\right),$$

(A.14)

and satisfy the algebraic relations

$$\mathbf{\Omega} \wedge \bar{\mathbf{\Omega}} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{J} \wedge \mathbf{J} = 4 \,\hat{e}^5 \wedge \hat{e}^6 \wedge \hat{e}^7 \wedge \hat{e}^8 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbf{J} \wedge \mathbf{\Omega} = 0 \;. \tag{A.15}$$

Note that Ω in (A.14) transforms with a phase under U(1)_{β} whereas J and η are neutral. Lastly, using (A.7), the metric (A.4) on the round S⁵ can be expressed as

$$ds_{S^5}^2 = \delta_{ab} \,\hat{e}^a \,\hat{e}^b + \hat{e}^9 \,\hat{e}^9 \qquad \text{with} \qquad \hat{e}^9 = \eta \;. \tag{A.16}$$

B Type IIB supergravity

The bosonic massless spectrum of ten-dimensional (chiral) type IIB supergravity contains — besides the universal NS-NS sector that includes the metric G, a two-form B_2 with field strength $H_3 = dB_2$, and the dilaton Φ — a set of even *p*-forms in the R-R sector. In particular, a fourth-rank antisymmetric self-dual tensor C_4 , a two-form C_2 and a scalar C_0 . The bosonic part of the type IIB supergravity action in the Einstein's frame consists of the three terms¹¹

$$S_{\rm bos} = S_{\rm NS-NS} + S_{\rm R-R} + S_{\rm CS} . \tag{B.1}$$

It contains the $S_{\text{NS-NS}}$ term accounting for the fields in the universal sector, namely,

$$S_{\rm NS-NS} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-G} \left(R - \frac{1}{2} \partial^M \Phi \partial_M \Phi - \frac{1}{2} e^{-\Phi} |H_3|^2 \right) . \tag{B.2}$$

The $S_{\text{R-R}}$ term in the action controlling the dynamics of the R-R fields C_0 , C_2 and C_4 is given by

$$S_{\text{R-R}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-G} \left(-\frac{1}{2} e^{2\Phi} |F_1|^2 - \frac{1}{2} e^{\Phi} |\widetilde{F}_3|^2 - \frac{1}{4} |\widetilde{F}_5|^2 \right), \quad (B.3)$$

where the tilded field strengths are defined as

$$F_{3} = F_{3} - C_{0} \wedge H_{3},$$

$$\widetilde{F}_{5} = F_{5} + \frac{1}{2} \left(B_{2} \wedge F_{3} - C_{2} \wedge H_{3} \right),$$
(B.4)

¹¹Our conventions are related to those of [58] by the rescaling $C_4^{[58]} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}C_4$. Moreover, we define $|H_3|^2 \equiv \frac{1}{3!}H_{MNP}H^{MNP}$ and similarly for the R-R field strengths.

in terms of the standard ones $F_{n+1} = dC_n$. Additionally, the self-duality condition

$$\widetilde{F}_5 = \star \widetilde{F}_5 \,, \tag{B.5}$$

with $(\star \tilde{F})^{MNOPQM} \equiv \frac{1}{5!\sqrt{-G}} \epsilon^{MNOPQM'N'O'P'Q'} \tilde{F}_{M'N'O'P'Q'}$ has to be supplemented by hand in order to have the correct number of bosonic degrees of freedom. The type IIB theory also incorporates a topological Chern-Simons term $S_{\rm CS}$ in the action given by

$$S_{\rm CS} = -\frac{1}{4\kappa^2} \int C_4 \wedge H_3 \wedge F_3 \ . \tag{B.6}$$

The equations of motion that follow from the action (B.1) with the various contributions in (B.2), (B.3) and (B.6) are given by

$$d \star F_{5} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \mathbb{H}^{\alpha} \wedge \mathbb{H}^{\beta} ,$$

$$d \star (e^{-\Phi} H_{3} - e^{\Phi} C_{0} \widetilde{F}_{3}) = -\widetilde{F}_{5} \wedge (\widetilde{F}_{3} + C_{0} H_{3}) ,$$

$$d \star (e^{\Phi} \widetilde{F}_{3}) = \widetilde{F}_{5} \wedge H_{3} ,$$

$$\nabla^{M} (e^{2\Phi} \partial_{M} C_{0}) = -\frac{1}{3!} e^{\Phi} H_{MNP} \widetilde{F}^{MNP} ,$$

$$\Box \Phi = e^{2\Phi} |F_{1}|^{2} - \frac{1}{2} e^{-\Phi} |H_{3}|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} e^{\Phi} |\widetilde{F}_{3}|^{2} ,$$

(B.7)

where $\widetilde{\mathbb{H}}^{\alpha} = (H_3, \widetilde{F}_3)$ and $\Box \Phi \equiv \nabla^M \partial_M \Phi$, together with the Einstein equation

$$R_{MN} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_M \Phi \,\partial_N \Phi + \frac{1}{2} e^{2\Phi} \,\partial_M C_0 \,\partial_N C_0 + \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4!} \left(\widetilde{F}_{MP_1 \cdots P_4} \,\widetilde{F}_N^{P_1 \cdots P_4} - \frac{1}{10} \,\widetilde{F}_{P_1 \cdots P_5} \,\widetilde{F}^{P_1 \cdots P_5} \,G_{MN} \right) + \frac{1}{4} e^{-\Phi} \left(H_{MP_1 P_2} \,H_N^{P_1 P_2} - \frac{1}{12} \,H_{P_1 P_2 P_3} \,H^{P_1 P_2 P_3} \,G_{MN} \right) + \frac{1}{4} e^{\Phi} \left(\widetilde{F}_{MP_1 P_2} \,\widetilde{F}_N^{P_1 P_2} - \frac{1}{12} \,\widetilde{F}_{P_1 P_2 P_3} \,\widetilde{F}^{P_1 P_2 P_3} \,G_{MN} \right) .$$
(B.8)

In addition, the set of Bianchi identities for the various gauge potentials reads

$$dH_3 = 0$$
, $dF_1 = 0$, $d\tilde{F}_3 = -F_1 \wedge H_3$, $d\tilde{F}_5 = H_3 \wedge F_3$. (B.9)

Note the equivalence between the first equation of motion in (B.7) and the last Bianchi identity in (B.9) for the self-dual C_4 potential.

The action (B.1) has a global $SL(2)_{IIB}$ invariance which becomes manifest when combining the axion C_0 and the dilaton Φ into a coset representative $\mathcal{V}_2 \in SL(2)_{IIB}/SO(2)$ such that the axion-dilaton matrix $m_{\alpha\beta}$ reads

$$m_{\alpha\beta} = (\mathcal{V}_2 \, \mathcal{V}_2^{\ t})_{\alpha\beta} = e^{\Phi} \left(\begin{array}{c} e^{-2\Phi} + C_0^2 \ -C_0 \\ -C_0 \ 1 \end{array} \right) \ . \tag{B.10}$$

In terms of this matrix the second and third equations of motion in (B.7) are re-expressed in an $SL(2)_{IIB}$ covariant form

$$d \star (m_{\alpha\beta} \mathbb{H}^{\beta}) = -\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \widetilde{F}_5 \wedge \mathbb{H}^{\beta}, \qquad (B.11)$$

where $\mathbb{H}^{\alpha} = (H_3, F_3).$

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] I. Larmor, Collected Papers, London U.K. (1928).
- [2] B. de Wit, H. Samtleben and M. Trigiante, Magnetic charges in local field theory, JHEP 09 (2005) 016 [hep-th/0507289] [INSPIRE].
- [3] B. de Wit and H. Nicolai, N = 8 Supergravity, Nucl. Phys. B 208 (1982) 323 [INSPIRE].
- [4] G. Dall'Agata, G. Inverso and M. Trigiante, Evidence for a family of SO(8) gauged supergravity theories, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 (2012) 201301 [arXiv:1209.0760] [INSPIRE].
- [5] P.G.O. Freund and M.A. Rubin, Dynamics of Dimensional Reduction, Phys. Lett. 97B (1980) 233 [INSPIRE].
- [6] J.M. Maldacena, The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 38 (1999) 1113 [hep-th/9711200] [INSPIRE].
- [7] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D.L. Jafferis and J. Maldacena, N = 6 superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theories, M2-branes and their gravity duals, JHEP 10 (2008) 091
 [arXiv:0806.1218] [INSPIRE].
- [8] N.P. Warner, Some New Extrema of the Scalar Potential of Gauged N = 8 Supergravity, Phys. Lett. 128B (1983) 169 [INSPIRE].
- [9] I.M. Comsa, M. Firsching and T. Fischbacher, SO(8) Supergravity and the Magic of Machine Learning, JHEP 08 (2019) 057 [arXiv:1906.00207] [INSPIRE].
- [10] N. Bobev, N. Halmagyi, K. Pilch and N.P. Warner, Holographic, N = 1 Supersymmetric RG Flows on M2 Branes, JHEP 09 (2009) 043 [arXiv:0901.2736] [INSPIRE].
- [11] A. Borghese, G. Dibitetto, A. Guarino, D. Roest and O. Varela, The SU(3)-invariant sector of new maximal supergravity, JHEP 03 (2013) 082 [arXiv:1211.5335] [INSPIRE].
- [12] A. Gallerati, H. Samtleben and M. Trigiante, The N > 2 supersymmetric AdS vacua in maximal supergravity, JHEP 12 (2014) 174 [arXiv:1410.0711] [INSPIRE].
- [13] A. Guarino, D.L. Jafferis and O. Varela, String Theory Origin of Dyonic N = 8 Supergravity and Its Chern-Simons Duals, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 (2015) 091601 [arXiv:1504.08009]
 [INSPIRE].
- [14] A. Borghese, A. Guarino and D. Roest, All G₂ invariant critical points of maximal supergravity, JHEP 12 (2012) 108 [arXiv:1209.3003] [INSPIRE].
- [15] A. Guarino and O. Varela, Dyonic ISO(7) supergravity and the duality hierarchy, JHEP 02 (2016) 079 [arXiv:1508.04432] [INSPIRE].
- [16] T. Fischbacher, Fourteen new stationary points in the scalar potential of SO(8)-gauged N = 8, D = 4 supergravity, JHEP **09** (2010) 068 [arXiv:0912.1636] [INSPIRE].
- [17] G. Dall'Agata, G. Inverso and A. Marrani, Symplectic Deformations of Gauged Maximal Supergravity, JHEP 07 (2014) 133 [arXiv:1405.2437] [INSPIRE].
- [18] L.J. Romans, Massive N=2a Supergravity in Ten-Dimensions, Phys. Lett. 169B (1986) 374 [INSPIRE].

- [19] G. Inverso, H. Samtleben and M. Trigiante, Type II supergravity origin of dyonic gaugings, Phys. Rev. D 95 (2017) 066020 [arXiv:1612.05123] [INSPIRE].
- [20] B. Assel, C. Bachas, J. Estes and J. Gomis, Holographic Duals of D = 3 N = 4 Superconformal Field Theories, JHEP 08 (2011) 087 [arXiv:1106.4253] [INSPIRE].
- [21] E. D'Hoker, J. Estes and M. Gutperle, Exact half-BPS Type IIB interface solutions. I. Local solution and supersymmetric Janus, JHEP 06 (2007) 021 [arXiv:0705.0022] [INSPIRE].
- [22] E. D'Hoker, J. Estes and M. Gutperle, Exact half-BPS Type IIB interface solutions. II. Flux solutions and multi-Janus, JHEP 06 (2007) 022 [arXiv:0705.0024] [INSPIRE].
- [23] E. D'Hoker, J. Estes and M. Gutperle, Interface Yang-Mills, supersymmetry and Janus, Nucl. Phys. B 753 (2006) 16 [hep-th/0603013] [INSPIRE].
- [24] D. Gaiotto and E. Witten, Janus Configurations, Chern-Simons Couplings, And The theta-Angle in N = 4 Super Yang-Mills Theory, JHEP 06 (2010) 097 [arXiv:0804.2907]
 [INSPIRE].
- [25] M. Benna, I. Klebanov, T. Klose and M. Smedback, Superconformal Chern-Simons Theories and AdS₄/CFT₃ Correspondence, JHEP 09 (2008) 072 [arXiv:0806.1519] [INSPIRE].
- [26] G. Dall'Agata and G. Inverso, On the Vacua of N = 8 Gauged Supergravity in 4 Dimensions, Nucl. Phys. B 859 (2012) 70 [arXiv:1112.3345] [INSPIRE].
- [27] D. Bak, M. Gutperle and S. Hirano, A Dilatonic deformation of AdS₅ and its field theory dual, JHEP 05 (2003) 072 [hep-th/0304129] [INSPIRE].
- [28] E. D'Hoker, J. Estes and M. Gutperle, Ten-dimensional supersymmetric Janus solutions, Nucl. Phys. B 757 (2006) 79 [hep-th/0603012] [INSPIRE].
- [29] O. Hohm and H. Samtleben, Exceptional field theory. II. E₇₍₇₎, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 066017 [arXiv:1312.4542] [INSPIRE].
- [30] O. Hohm and H. Samtleben, Consistent Kaluza-Klein Truncations via Exceptional Field Theory, JHEP 01 (2015) 131 [arXiv:1410.8145] [INSPIRE].
- [31] A.B. Clark, D.Z. Freedman, A. Karch and M. Schnabl, Dual of the Janus solution: An interface conformal field theory, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 066003 [hep-th/0407073]
 [INSPIRE].
- [32] A. Clark and A. Karch, Super Janus, JHEP 10 (2005) 094 [hep-th/0506265] [INSPIRE].
- [33] M. Suh, Supersymmetric Janus solutions in five and ten dimensions, JHEP 09 (2011) 064 [arXiv:1107.2796] [INSPIRE].
- [34] B. de Wit, H. Samtleben and M. Trigiante, *The Maximal* D = 4 supergravities, *JHEP* **06** (2007) 049 [arXiv:0705.2101] [INSPIRE].
- [35] A. Guarino, BPS black hole horizons from massive IIA, JHEP 08 (2017) 100 [arXiv:1706.01823] [INSPIRE].
- [36] A. Guarino, Hypermultiplet gaugings and supersymmetric solutions from 11D and massive IIA supergravity on H^(p,q) spaces, Eur. Phys. J. C 78 (2018) 202 [arXiv:1712.09549]
 [INSPIRE].
- [37] S. Cecotti, S. Ferrara and L. Girardello, Geometry of Type II Superstrings and the Moduli of Superconformal Field Theories, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 4 (1989) 2475 [INSPIRE].
- [38] H. Erbin and N. Halmagyi, Abelian hypermultiplet gaugings and BPS vacua in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity, JHEP 05 (2015) 122 [arXiv:1409.6310] [INSPIRE].

- [39] D. Klemm, N. Petri and M. Rabbiosi, Symplectically invariant flow equations for N = 2, D = 4 gauged supergravity with hypermultiplets, JHEP **04** (2016) 008 [arXiv:1602.01334] [INSPIRE].
- [40] J. Michelson, Compactifications of type IIB strings to four-dimensions with nontrivial classical potential, Nucl. Phys. B 495 (1997) 127 [hep-th/9610151] [INSPIRE].
- [41] W. Decker, G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister and H. Schönemann, SINGULAR 4-1-2 A computer algebra system for polynomial computations, http://www.singular.uni-kl.de (2019).
- [42] J. Gray, Y.-H. He and A. Lukas, Algorithmic Algebraic Geometry and Flux Vacua, JHEP 09 (2006) 031 [hep-th/0606122] [INSPIRE].
- [43] J. Gray, Y.-H. He, A. Ilderton and A. Lukas, A New Method for Finding Vacua in String Phenomenology, JHEP 07 (2007) 023 [hep-th/0703249] [INSPIRE].
- [44] J. Louis, P. Smyth and H. Triendl, Supersymmetric Vacua in N = 2 Supergravity, JHEP 08 (2012) 039 [arXiv:1204.3893] [INSPIRE].
- [45] P. Breitenlohner and D.Z. Freedman, Positive Energy in anti-de Sitter Backgrounds and Gauged Extended Supergravity, Phys. Lett. B 115 (1982) 197 [INSPIRE].
- [46] A. Coimbra, C. Strickland-Constable and D. Waldram, $E_{d(d)} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ generalised geometry, connections and M-theory, JHEP 02 (2014) 054 [arXiv:1112.3989] [INSPIRE].
- [47] A. Coimbra, C. Strickland-Constable and D. Waldram, Supergravity as Generalised Geometry II: $E_{d(d)} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ and M-theory, JHEP **03** (2014) 019 [arXiv:1212.1586] [INSPIRE].
- [48] K. Lee, C. Strickland-Constable and D. Waldram, Spheres, generalised parallelisability and consistent truncations, Fortsch. Phys. 65 (2017) 1700048 [arXiv:1401.3360] [INSPIRE].
- [49] A. Le Diffon and H. Samtleben, Supergravities without an Action: Gauging the Trombone, Nucl. Phys. B 811 (2009) 1 [arXiv:0809.5180] [INSPIRE].
- [50] A. Baguet, O. Hohm and H. Samtleben, E₆₍₆₎ Exceptional Field Theory: Review and Embedding of Type IIB, PoS(CORFU2014)133 (2015) [arXiv:1506.01065] [INSPIRE].
- [51] A. Baguet, O. Hohm and H. Samtleben, Consistent Type IIB Reductions to Maximal 5D Supergravity, Phys. Rev. D 92 (2015) 065004 [arXiv:1506.01385] [INSPIRE].
- [52] D. Gaiotto and E. Witten, S-duality of Boundary Conditions In N = 4 Super Yang-Mills Theory, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 13 (2009) 721 [arXiv:0807.3720] [INSPIRE].
- [53] B. Assel and A. Tomasiello, Holographic duals of 3d S-fold CFTs, JHEP 06 (2018) 019 [arXiv:1804.06419] [INSPIRE].
- [54] D.Z. Freedman, C. Núñez, M. Schnabl and K. Skenderis, Fake supergravity and domain wall stability, Phys. Rev. D 69 (2004) 104027 [hep-th/0312055] [INSPIRE].
- [55] T. Robb and J.G. Taylor, Anti-de Sitter $\times S^1 \times M_5$ compact solutions for N = 2 d = 10 chiral supergravity, Phys. Lett. B 155 (1985) 59 [INSPIRE].
- [56] O. Varela, AdS₄ solutions of massive IIA from dyonic ISO(7) supergravity, JHEP 03 (2016) 071 [arXiv:1509.07117] [INSPIRE].
- [57] K. Lee, C. Strickland-Constable and D. Waldram, New Gaugings and Non-Geometry, Fortsch. Phys. 65 (2017) 1700049 [arXiv:1506.03457] [INSPIRE].
- [58] E. Cremmer, B. Julia, H. Lü and C.N. Pope, *Dualization of dualities*. 1., *Nucl. Phys.* B 523 (1998) 73 [hep-th/9710119] [INSPIRE].