RECEIVED: August 7, 2018

REVISED: November 3, 2018

ACCEPTED: November 21, 2018

PUBLISHED: December 3, 2018

Minimally extended left-right symmetric model for dark matter with $U(1)$ portal

M.J. Neves,^a J.A. Helayël-Neto,^b Rabindra N. Mohapatra^c and Nobuchika Okada^d

^a*Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro,
BR 465-07, 23890-971, Seropédica, RJ, Brazil*

^b*Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas,
Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud 150, Urca, Rio de Janeiro, CEP 22290-180, Brazil*

^c*Maryland Center for Fundamental Physics and Department of Physics, University of Maryland,
College Park, MD 20742, U.S.A.*

^d*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Alabama,
Tuscaloosa, AL 35487, U.S.A.*

E-mail: mariojr@ufrrj.br, helayel@cbpf.br, rmohapat@umd.edu,
okadan@ua.edu

ABSTRACT: A minimal extension of the left-right symmetric model for neutrino masses that includes a vector-like singlet fermion dark matter (DM) is presented with the DM connected to the visible sector via a gauged $U(1)$ portal. We discuss the symmetry breaking in this model and calculate the mass and mixings of the extra heavy neutral gauge boson at the TeV scale. The extra gauge boson can decay to both standard model particles as well to dark matter. We calculate the relic density of the singlet fermion dark matter and its direct detection cross section and use these constraints to obtain the allowed parameter range for the new gauge coupling and the dark matter mass.

KEYWORDS: Beyond Standard Model, Cosmology of Theories beyond the SM, Neutrino Physics

ARXIV EPRINT: [1808.00484](https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.00484)

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The model content and the Higgs sector	2
3	The masses of gauge bosons and the structure of neutral current interactions	4
4	The Dark matter relic density	10
5	Direct detection of dark matter	13
6	Conclusions	14

1 Introduction

Left-Right Symmetric Model (LRSM), based on the gauge group $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L}$ [1–3], was originally proposed to understand the origin of parity violation in the standard model (SM). The fact that the seesaw mechanism for understanding small neutrino masses [4–8] finds a natural home in these models, has made them more interesting for theory as well as experiments. In particular, the fact that the $SU(2)_R$ breaking scale is allowed to be in the TeV range by low energy flavor changing neutral current constraints [9, 10] has provided a strong motivation to look for signatures of neutrino mass related physics in colliders such as the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) and low energy processes such as neutrinoless double beta-decay. The current LHC limit on M_{W_R} is 4.4 TeV [11] from a study of the $\ell\ell jj$ final states and an ATLAS bound of 3 TeV from a study of $t\bar{b}$ final states [12]. There have also been recent speculations that an anomaly in understanding the CP violating parameter ϵ'/ϵ in the SM can possibly be resolved in the left-right models with TeV scale W_R [13, 14].

In this paper, we explore an extension of this model to understand the origin of dark matter (DM). Two key questions are (i) whether the DM particle is naturally stable and (ii) how it is connected to the SM sector. In recent years, an interesting class of models has been proposed where by adding certain fermion or scalar multiplets to the LRSM makes them naturally stable [15, 16] due to symmetries already present in the model and provide candidates for dark matter. It is then connected to the SM sector via the W_R and Z_R bosons. There have been extensive discussions of DM phenomenology in these models [17–20].¹ The most minimal of these models has triplet fermions with $B - L = 0$. The neutral member of this triplet is the dark matter. This triplet model also leads to coupling unification without the need for supersymmetry [22], which is an interesting property. The structure of these models however implies that there must be constraints between the W_R mass (M_{W_R}) and the DM mass (M_{DM}) for the neutral member of the triplet to be the

¹For a warm dark matter possibility in the minimal left-right model, see [21].

lightest and hence be a viable dark matter. In terms of particle content, the model has six new fermionic states in addition to the usual particle content of the LRSM.

In this paper, we present a slightly more minimal (in the sense of particle content) alternative extension of the left-right model, which also provides a dark matter fermion. The model is based on an extended gauge group $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L} \times U(1)_X$ with a left-right singlet fermion (called $\zeta_{L,R}$) being a non-singlet under the extra $U(1)_X$ as well as under $U(1)_{B-L}$ in such a way that it is electrically neutral. The extra $U(1)_X$ provides a gauge portal to the SM fermions with interesting implications. The detailed phenomenology of dark matter is also very different from the above class of models.

The electric charge formula for this model is

$$Q_{em} = I_{3L} + I_{3R} + \frac{Q_{BL}}{2} + \frac{X}{2} . \quad (1.1)$$

The new gauge bosons in the model are W_R , Z_R and the third neutral vector boson, that we call X , will provide the $U(1)$ portal to dark matter in our model.² In this paper, we will assume that all these bosons are in the multi-TeV mass range. An interesting implication of this model is that the extra neutral gauge boson, X , could be lighter than the current LHC bounds for the sequential Z' boson [29, 30] with mass closer to or even below a TeV. We find two parameter ranges for the masses of the DM fermion ζ and the X boson where we can avoid the stringent bounds on the elastic scattering DM cross section with nuclei by the direct DM detection experiments, in particular, the XENON1T experiment [31].

The paper is organized as follows: in section 2, we present the model content of $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L} \times U(1)_X$ and the Higgs sector. Section 3 is dedicated to the sector of gauge bosons to obtain their masses and the charged and neutral current interactions, after the spontaneous symmetry breaking (SSB) of the gauge symmetry. In section 4, we calculate the DM relic abundance and identify the model parameter region to reproduce the observed relic density. The constraint from the current direct DM detection experiments, in particular, the XENON1T experiment is derived in section 5. Finally, our concluding comments are cast in section 6.

2 The model content and the Higgs sector

Our model is based on the gauge group $\mathcal{G}_{LR} \equiv SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L} \times U(1)_X$. The quarks and leptons are assigned to the following irreducible representations under $\mathcal{G}_{LR}$:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{L,i} &= \begin{pmatrix} u_L \\ d_L \end{pmatrix}_i, \left(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}, +\frac{1}{3} \right) , \\ Q_{R,i} &= \begin{pmatrix} u_R \\ d_R \end{pmatrix}_i, \left(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, +\frac{1}{3} \right) , \\ \psi_{L,i} &= \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ e_L \end{pmatrix}_i, (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}, -1) , \\ \psi_{R,i} &= \begin{pmatrix} N_R \\ e_R \end{pmatrix}_i, (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, -1) , \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

²For some other examples of models with $U(1)$ portal to DM, see for instance [23–28].

where $i = 1, 2, 3$ is the generation index. The $U(1)_X$ charges are zero for all these fermions and are not shown in the above equations. To this fermion content, we add a $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ singlet vectorlike fermions ζ_L and ζ_R which have $U(1)_{B-L} \times U(1)_X$ charges of $(+a, -a)$. In the minimal version of left-right model, the Higgs sector consists of the following multiplets:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi &= \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^0 & \phi_2^+ \\ \phi_1^- & \phi_2^0 \end{pmatrix} : (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}, 0), \\ \Delta_L &= \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_L^+/\sqrt{2} & \Delta_L^{++} \\ \Delta_L^0 & -\Delta_L^+/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} : (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, 2), \\ \Delta_R &= \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_R^+/\sqrt{2} & \Delta_R^{++} \\ \Delta_R^0 & -\Delta_R^+/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} : (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}, 2).\end{aligned}\tag{2.2}$$

These fields are all chosen to be singlets under the $U(1)_X$ and $SU(3)_c$ gauge groups. To this Higgs sector, we add a Higgs field Ξ with a gauge quantum number $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, b, -b)$ whose vacuum expectation value (VEV) breaks the $U(1)_X$ gauge symmetry.

The Higgs sector of the model and the symmetry breaking are governed by the scalar potential [32–39]:

$$\begin{aligned}V(\Phi, \Delta_{L,R}, \Xi) &= -\mu_1^2 \text{Tr}(\Phi^\dagger \Phi) - \mu_2^2 [\text{Tr}(\tilde{\Phi} \Phi^\dagger) + \text{Tr}(\tilde{\Phi}^\dagger \Phi)] - \mu_3^2 \text{Tr}(\Delta_R \Delta_R^\dagger) \\ &\quad + \lambda_1 [\text{Tr}(\Phi^\dagger \Phi)]^2 + \lambda_2 \left\{ [\text{Tr}(\tilde{\Phi} \Phi^\dagger)]^2 + [\text{Tr}(\tilde{\Phi}^\dagger \Phi)]^2 \right\} \\ &\quad + \lambda_3 \text{Tr}(\tilde{\Phi} \Phi^\dagger) \text{Tr}(\tilde{\Phi}^\dagger \Phi) + \lambda_4 \text{Tr}(\Phi^\dagger \Phi) [\text{Tr}(\tilde{\Phi} \Phi^\dagger) + \text{Tr}(\tilde{\Phi}^\dagger \Phi)] \\ &\quad + \rho_1 [\text{Tr}(\Delta_R \Delta_R^\dagger)]^2 + \rho_2 \text{Tr}(\Delta_R \Delta_R) \text{Tr}(\Delta_R^\dagger \Delta_R^\dagger) \\ &\quad + \alpha_1 \text{Tr}(\Phi^\dagger \Phi) \text{Tr}(\Delta_R \Delta_R^\dagger) + [\alpha_2 e^{i\delta_2} \text{Tr}(\tilde{\Phi} \Phi^\dagger) \text{Tr}(\Delta_R \Delta_R^\dagger) + \text{H.c.}] \\ &\quad + \alpha_3 \text{Tr}(\Phi^\dagger \Phi \Delta_R \Delta_R^\dagger) + \beta_1 \text{Tr}[\Phi \Delta_R \Phi^\dagger \Delta_L^\dagger] + \beta_2 \text{Tr}[\tilde{\Phi} \Delta_R \tilde{\Phi}^\dagger \Delta_L^\dagger] \\ &\quad - \mu'^2 \Xi^\dagger \Xi + \lambda' (\Xi^\dagger \Xi)^2 + \eta_1 (\Xi^\dagger \Xi) [\text{Tr}(\Delta_L^\dagger \Delta_L)] \\ &\quad + \eta_2 (\Xi^\dagger \Xi) [\text{Tr}(\Phi^\dagger \Phi)] + L \leftrightarrow R,\end{aligned}\tag{2.3}$$

where μ_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$), μ' , λ_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$), λ' , ρ_i ($i = 1, 2$), α_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) and η_i ($i = 1, 2$) are real parameters. Parity symmetry implies that the model has three independent gauge coupling constants: $g_L = g_R = g$, g_{BL} and g_X . Note that this Higgs potential is invariant under the parity symmetry.

The Yukawa couplings of the model are:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_Y &= +h_{ij}^{(\ell)} \bar{L}_{iL} \Phi L_{jR} + \tilde{h}_{ij}^{(\ell)} \bar{L}_{iL} \tilde{\Phi} L_{jR} + h_{ij}^{(Q)} \bar{Q}_{iL} \Phi Q_{jR} + \tilde{h}_{ij}^{(Q)} \bar{Q}_{iL} \tilde{\Phi} Q_{jR} \\ &\quad + f_{Lij} L_{iL}^T \Delta_L L_{jL} + f_{Rij} L_{iR}^T \Delta_R L_{jR} - m_\zeta \bar{\zeta}_L \zeta_R + \text{H.c.},\end{aligned}\tag{2.4}$$

where $h_{ij}^{(\ell)}$, $\tilde{h}_{ij}^{(\ell)}$, $h_{ij}^{(Q)}$ and $\tilde{h}_{ij}^{(Q)}$ ($i, j = 1, 2, 3$) are complex Yukawa coupling constants that yield the masses for the fermions of the model, and m_ζ is the Dirac mass of the ζ fermion

which is the dark matter candidate of the model. The model has seesaw mechanisms of I- and II-type for neutrinos as in the usual left-right model.

The gauge symmetry $\mathcal{G}_{\text{LR}}$ is broken down to $\text{SU}(3)_c \times \text{U}(1)_{em}$ by the VEVs of the Higgs fields, which are defined as $\langle \phi_1^0 \rangle = v_1/\sqrt{2}$, $\langle \phi_2^0 \rangle = v_2/\sqrt{2}$, $\langle \Delta_L^0 \rangle = v_L/\sqrt{2}$, $\langle \Delta_R^0 \rangle = v_R/\sqrt{2}$, and $\langle \Xi \rangle = u/\sqrt{2}$. For simplicity, we choose the hierarchy among VEV scales such that $v_L \ll (v_1, v_2) \ll u \ll v_R$. In order to yield the right scale of the electroweak symmetry breaking, we have a relation of $v = \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2 + v_L^2} \simeq \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2} = 246 \text{ GeV}$ and parametrized the VEVs as $v_1 = v \sin \beta$ and $v_2 = v \cos \beta$ with a β -angle. The sequence of the SSB is as follows: first, the $\text{SU}(2)_R \times \text{U}(1)_{B-L}$ symmetry is broken by v_R to yield the heavy gauge bosons W_R and Z_R . Next, the $\text{U}(1)_X$ symmetry is broken by u and a mass of X boson is generated. The electroweak symmetry breaking is completed by v_1 and v_2 . The next section is dedicated to describe masses of the gauge bosons and their interactions with fermions along with phenomenological constraints.

3 The masses of gauge bosons and the structure of neutral current interactions

After the SSB, the charged gauge bosons acquire their masses as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}}^{WW_R} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{L\mu}^+ & A_{R\mu}^+ \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_L^{\mu-} \\ A_R^{\mu-} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\sqrt{2} A_{L(R)\mu}^\pm = A_{L(R)\mu}^1 \mp i A_{L(R)\mu}^2$, $A = g^2 (v^2 + 2v_L^2)/4 \simeq g^2 v^2/4$, $B = -g^2 v_1 v_2/2 \simeq -g^2 v^2 \sin \beta \cos \beta/2$ and $D = g^2 (v^2 + 2v_R^2)/4 \simeq g^2 v_R^2/4$. This mass matrix is diagonalized by a $\text{SO}(2)$ transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{L\mu}^\pm &= \cos \varphi W_{L\mu}^\pm + \sin \varphi W_{R\mu}^\pm, \\ A_{R\mu}^\pm &= -\sin \varphi W_{L\mu}^\pm + \cos \varphi W_{R\mu}^\pm, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where φ is a small mixing angle given by $\tan(2\varphi) \simeq -2v^2 \sin(2\beta)/v_R^2$. Thereby, the mass eigenvalues of W_L (which is identified as the SM W boson) and W_R bosons are calculated to be

$$M_{W_L} \simeq \frac{gv}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad M_{W_R} \simeq \frac{gv_R}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (3.3)$$

We find the charged current interactions among neutrinos-leptons with W_L and W_R of the form:

$$\mathcal{L}_{W_L, W_R}^{\text{int}} = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\nu}_{iL} W^+ \ell_{iL} + \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{N}_{iR} W_R^+ \ell_{iR} + \text{H.c.} \quad (3.4)$$

The neutral gauge boson sector consists of four vector fields: $A_L^{\mu 3}$, $A_R^{\mu 3}$, B^μ and C^μ . After the SSB, the neutral gauge boson mass matrix can be cast in the form:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} (V^\mu)^T M^2 V^\nu, \quad (3.5)$$

where $(V^\mu)^T = \left(A_L^{\mu 3} \ A_R^{\mu 3} \ B^\mu \ C^\mu \right)$, and the mass matrix M^2 is given by

$$M^2 = \begin{pmatrix} v_L^2 g^2 + \frac{v^2}{4} g^2 & -\frac{v^2}{4} g^2 & -gg_{BL} v_L^2 & 0 \\ -\frac{v^2}{4} g^2 & v_R^2 g^2 + \frac{v^2}{4} g^2 & -gg_{BL} v_R^2 & 0 \\ -gg_{BL} v_L^2 & -gg_{BL} v_R^2 & (v_L^2 + v_R^2) g_{BL}^2 + \frac{u^2 g_{BL}^2}{9} - \frac{g_{BL} g_X u^2}{9} & \frac{g_X^2 u^2}{9} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{g_{BL} g_X u^2}{9} & \frac{g_X^2 u^2}{9} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.6)$$

Here, we have chosen $b = +1/3$, for simplicity. In diagonalizing the mass matrix, we carry out an $\text{SO}(4)$ transformation $V \mapsto \tilde{V} = R^t V$, where R is an orthogonal matrix belonging to $\text{SO}(4)$. Once the massless photon mode is taken out, the remaining 3×3 matrix can be diagonalized by an $\text{SO}(3)$ matrix parameterized by three angles. The neutral gauge boson mass eigenvalues in the mass eigenstate basis (Z, Z_R, X, A) are given by (upto small mixings that we ignore)

$$M_{\text{diag}}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} M_Z^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M_{Z_R}^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & M_X^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.7)$$

In terms of symmetry breaking VEVs, the mass eigenvalues are given by

$$\begin{aligned} M_Z &\simeq \frac{gv}{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{g_{BL}^2 g_X^2}{g_{BL}^2 g^2 + g_X^2 g^2 + g_X^2 g_{BL}^2}}, \\ M_{Z_R} &\simeq v_R \sqrt{g^2 + g_{BL}^2}, \\ M_X &\simeq \frac{u}{3} \sqrt{g_X^2 + \frac{g^2 g_{BL}^2}{g^2 + g_{BL}^2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

The zero mass eigenstate that emerges in eq. (3.7) is identified as the electromagnetic massless photon, which is expressed as a linear combination of the original gauge bosons:

$$\frac{A^\mu}{e} = \frac{W_{3L}^\mu + W_{3R}^\mu}{g} + \frac{B^\mu}{g_{BL}} + \frac{C^\mu}{g_X}. \quad (3.9)$$

Defining

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{e}{g_{BL}} &= \sqrt{\cos 2\theta_W} \sin \theta_1, \\ \frac{e}{g_X} &= \sqrt{\cos 2\theta_W} \cos \theta_1, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

and using the parity symmetry to set $g_L = g_R = g$, we obtain the masses of W_L and Z just like in the Glashow-Weinberg-Salam (GWS) model: $M_W \simeq 80 \text{ GeV}$ and $M_Z \simeq 91 \text{ GeV}$. Here, we have used $v = 246 \text{ GeV}$ and the weak mixing angle of $\sin^2 \theta_W \simeq 0.23$, and have fixed the fundamental charge by the fine structure constant $e^2 = 4\pi/128$ at the M_Z scale.

Note that with the relation

$$g_{BL} \sin \theta_1 = g_X \cos \theta_1 = \frac{e}{\sqrt{\cos(2\theta_W)}} \simeq 0.43, \quad (3.11)$$

two parameters, θ_1 and g_X , are expressed in terms of g_{BL} as $\sin \theta_1 = 0.43/g_{BL}$ and $g_X = g_{BL} \tan \theta_1 = 0.43 g_{BL} / \sqrt{g_{BL}^2 - (0.43)^2}$. Thereby, we can use $g_{BL} \geq 0.43$ as a free parameter of the model for our analysis in the following sections. In this parameterization, we can express eq. (3.8) as

$$\begin{aligned} M_Z &\simeq \frac{e v}{\sin(2\theta_W)} , \\ M_{Z_R} &\simeq v_R \sqrt{\frac{e^2}{\sin^2 \theta_W} + g_{BL}^2} , \\ M_X &\simeq \frac{g_{BL} u}{3} \sqrt{\frac{(0.43)^2}{g_{BL}^2 - (0.43)^2} + \frac{e^2}{e^2 + g_{BL}^2 \sin^2 \theta_W}} . \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

As a benchmark value, we choose the W_R mass at the lower limit obtained by the LHC experiment, $M_{W_R} = 4.4 \text{ TeV}$ [11, 12], which means $v_R \simeq 9.6 \text{ TeV}$. This leads to the M_{Z_R} value as

$$M_{Z_R} \simeq \sqrt{2M_{W_R}^2 + g_{BL}^2 v_R^2} \geq \sqrt{2M_{W_R}^2 + (0.43)^2 v_R^2} \simeq 7.5 \text{ TeV}. \quad (3.13)$$

The mass eigenstates are approximately expressed in terms of the original fields as

$$\begin{aligned} W_L^{\mu 3} &= \cos \theta_W Z^\mu + \sin \theta_W A^\mu , \\ W_R^{\mu 3} &= -\tan \theta_W \sin \theta_W Z^\mu + \sin \theta_W A^\mu + \frac{\sqrt{\cos(2\theta_W)}}{\cos \theta_W} Z_R^\mu , \\ B^\mu &= \sin \theta_1 \sqrt{\cos(2\theta_W)} (-\tan \theta_W Z^\mu + A^\mu) - \sin \theta_1 \tan \theta_W Z_R^\mu + \cos \theta_1 X^\mu , \\ C^\mu &= \cos \theta_1 \sqrt{\cos(2\theta_W)} (-\tan \theta_W Z^\mu + A^\mu) - \cos \theta_1 \tan \theta_W Z_R^\mu - \sin \theta_1 X^\mu . \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

We ignore small mixings of order $(\frac{v}{v_R}, \frac{u}{v_R}, \frac{v}{u})$ among them in our discussion below. The neutral bosons, Z , Z_R , X and A , interact with a chiral fermion $f_{L(R)}$ (left- or right-handed) of the model as

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{int}} = -e \bar{f}_{L(R)} (Q_{em} \cancel{A} + Q_Z \cancel{Z} + Q_{Z_R} \cancel{Z}_R + Q_X \cancel{X}) f_{L(R)} . \quad (3.15)$$

Here, the charge generators, Q_Z , Q_{Z_R} and Q_X , are described as

$$\begin{aligned} Q_Z &= \frac{I_L^3 - \sin^2 \theta_W Q_{em}}{\sin \theta_W \cos \theta_W} , \\ Q_{Z_R} &= \frac{I_R^3 + \tan^2 \theta_W (I_L^3 - Q_{em})}{\tan \theta_W \sqrt{\cos(2\theta_W)}} , \\ Q_X &= \frac{\cot \theta_1 Q_{BL} - \tan \theta_1 X}{2\sqrt{\cos(2\theta_W)}} , \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

and the electric charge Q_{em} is given by eq. (1.1). All the neutral currents of the model are contained below:

$$\mathcal{L}_{NC}^{\text{int}} = e J_\mu^{em} A^\mu + \frac{g}{\cos \theta_W} J_\mu^0 Z^\mu + \frac{g \cos \theta_W}{\sqrt{\cos(2\theta_W)}} J_{Z_R \mu}^0 Z_R^\mu + \frac{g_X}{\sin \theta_1} J_{X \mu}^0 X^\mu , \quad (3.17)$$

where J_μ^{em} is the usual electromagnetic current, $J_\mu^0 = J_{L\mu}^3 - \sin^2 \theta_W J_\mu^{em}$ is the Z -neutral current of the GSW model, and the neutral currents of Z_R and X are given by

$$\begin{aligned} J_{Z_R\mu}^0 &= J_{R\mu}^3 + \tan^2 \theta_W (J_{L\mu}^3 - J_\mu^{em}) , \\ J_{X\mu}^0 &= J_{\mu Q} - \sin^2 \theta_1 (J_\mu^{em} - J_{L\mu}^3 - J_{R\mu}^3) . \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

More explicitly, the neutral currents can be written in terms of a Dirac fermion f :

$$\begin{aligned} J_{Z_R\mu}^0 &= \frac{1}{2} g_L^f \bar{f} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) f + \frac{1}{2} g_R^f \bar{f} \gamma_\mu (1 + \gamma_5) f , \\ J_{X\mu}^0 &= \frac{1}{2} h_L^f \bar{f} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) f + \frac{1}{2} h_R^f \bar{f} \gamma_\mu (1 + \gamma_5) f , \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

where f stand for a lepton, a quark or the dark matter particle ζ . The coefficients $g_{L(R)}^f$ and $h_{L(R)}^f$ are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} g_{L(R)}^f &= I_R^3 + \tan^2 \theta_W (I_L^3 - Q_{em}^f) , \\ h_{L(R)}^f &= Q_{BL}^f - \sin^2 \theta_1 (Q_{em}^f - I_{L(R)}^3) . \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Here, we list all the expressions of $g_{L(R)}^f$ and $h_{L(R)}^f$ in terms of coupling constant g_{BL} and θ_W :

$$\begin{aligned} g_L^{\ell_i} &= +\frac{1}{2} \tan^2 \theta_W , & g_R^{\ell_i} &= -\frac{1}{2} + \tan^2 \theta_W , \\ g_L^{\nu_i} &= +\frac{1}{2} \tan^2 \theta_W , & g_R^{\nu_i} &= +\frac{1}{2} , \\ g_L^{u,c,t} &= -\frac{1}{6} \tan^2 \theta_W , & g_R^{u,c,t} &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3} \tan^2 \theta_W , \\ g_L^{d,s,b} &= -\frac{1}{6} \tan^2 \theta_W , & g_R^{d,s,b} &= -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} \tan^2 \theta_W , \\ g_L^\zeta &= 0 , & g_R^\zeta &= 0 , \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h_{L(R)}^{\ell_i} &= h_{L(R)}^{\nu_i} = -\frac{1}{2} \cos^2 \theta_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \left(\frac{0.43}{g_{BL}} \right)^2 \right] , \\ h_{L(R)}^{u,c,t} &= h_{L(R)}^{d,s,b} = +\frac{1}{6} \cos^2 \theta_1 = +\frac{1}{6} \left[1 - \left(\frac{0.43}{g_{BL}} \right)^2 \right] , \\ h_{L(R)}^\zeta &= +a . \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

The interaction of neutrinos/leptons with the Z_R boson has the contribution of both vector and axial currents,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\nu}_i \nu_i Z_R}^{\text{int}} = \frac{g \cos \theta_W}{\sqrt{\cos(2\theta_W)}} Z_{R\mu} \left[\bar{N}_R \gamma^\mu N_R + \tan^2 \theta_W \bar{\nu}_L \gamma^\mu \nu_L \right] \quad (3.23)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\ell}_i \ell_i Z_R}^{\text{int}} = \frac{g}{4 \cos \theta_W \sqrt{\cos(2\theta_W)}} \bar{\ell}_i \not{Z}_R \left[\cos(2\theta_W) - 2 \sin^2 \theta_W + \cos(2\theta_W) \gamma_5 \right] \ell_i. \quad (3.24)$$

To obtain the decay width formula, it is convenient to write the neutral currents of eq. (3.19) in terms of vector and axial-vector components and we find the partial decay with of the Z_R boson into a fermion f as

$$\Gamma(Z_R \rightarrow \bar{f} f) = \frac{G_F M_{Z_R}}{24\pi\sqrt{2}} \frac{M_Z^2 \cos^4 \theta_W}{\cos(2\theta_W)} \left(|g_V^f|^2 + |g_A^f|^2 \right) \sqrt{1 - 4 \frac{m_f^2}{M_{Z_R}^2}} \left(1 + \frac{2m_f^2}{M_{Z_R}^2} \right), \quad (3.25)$$

where $G_F = (\sqrt{2}v^2)^{-1}$ is the Fermi constant, m_f is the fermion mass satisfying $2m_f < M_{Z_R}$, and the vector and axial-vector couplings are defined as $g_{V/A}^f = 2(g_L^f \pm g_R^f)$, respectively. For example, the partial decay widths into charged-leptons and neutrinos (with the right-component contribution) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(Z_R \rightarrow \bar{\ell}_i \ell_i) &= \frac{e^2 M_{Z_R}}{192\pi} \frac{1 - 4 \tan^2 \theta_W + 5 \tan^4 \theta_W}{\sin^2 \theta_W \cos(2\theta_W)}, \\ \Gamma(Z_R \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_i \nu_i) &= \frac{e^2 M_{Z_R}}{192\pi} \frac{1 + \tan^4 \theta_W}{\sin^2 \theta_W \cos(2\theta_W)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

For $M_Z = 91 \text{ GeV}$ and $M_{Z_R} = 7.5 \text{ TeV}$, we obtain

$$\Gamma(Z_R \rightarrow \bar{\ell}_i \ell_i) \simeq 2.6 \text{ GeV} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma(Z_R \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_i \nu_i) \simeq 9.8 \text{ GeV}. \quad (3.27)$$

Using eqs. (3.19) and (3.22), let us express the interaction of X boson with a SM fermion f and with the DM fermion ζ as

$$\mathcal{L}_X^{\text{int}} = g_f \bar{f} \not{X} f + g_\zeta \bar{\zeta} \not{X} \zeta, \quad (3.28)$$

where $g_f = Q_{BL}^f \tilde{g}_{BL}$ with $\tilde{g}_{BL} = \sqrt{1 - (0.43/g_{BL})^2} (g_{BL}/2)$ and Q_{BL}^f being the $B - L$ charge of the fermion, and $g_\zeta = 2a \tilde{g}_{BL}$. The effective coupling $\tilde{g}_{BL}$ is a monotonically increasing function of $g_{BL} \geq 0.43$ with limits of $\tilde{g}_{BL} \rightarrow 0$ for $g_{BL} \rightarrow 0.43$ and $\tilde{g}_{BL} \simeq g_{BL}$ for $g_{BL}^2 \gg (0.43)^2$. Note that even though the electric charge formula implies a lower bound on g_{BL} , the effective X coupling $\tilde{g}_{BL}$ can be smaller. In the following analysis, we use $\tilde{g}_{BL}$ as a free parameter, instead of g_{BL} . Note that the interaction of the X boson with the SM fermions is exactly the same as that of the $B - L$ gauge boson (Z' boson) in the minimal $B - L$ model [40–44] when identifying $\tilde{g}_{BL}$ with the $B - L$ gauge coupling. Since the ζ -charge a is a free parameter, we can use g_ζ as a free parameter in our analysis on DM physics.

The ATLAS and CMS collaborations have been searching for a narrow resonance with the dilepton final states (e^+e^- and $\mu^+\mu^-$) at the LHC. As a benchmark model, the production of the Z' boson of the minimal $B - L$ model has been analyzed by the ATLAS collaboration with a $36.1/\text{fb}$ luminosity and a collider energy of $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ at the LHC

Figure 1. The ATLAS bound on the effective coupling $\tilde{g}_{BL}$ as a function of M_X from figure 5 in ref. [29].

Run-2 [29], and the upper bound of the $B - L$ gauge coupling as a function of Z' boson mass has been obtained.³ By identifying the $B - L$ gauge coupling and the Z' boson mass with $\tilde{g}_{BL}$ and M_X , respectively, we show the current ATLAS bound in figure 1.

In the ATLAS analysis, the Z' boson is assumed to decay into only the SM fermions. If the X boson has additional decay modes into new particles, the upper bound must be modified. In our model, the X boson can also decay into a pair of DM particles for $2m_\zeta < M_X$. As we can see in the next section, the total decay width of the X boson is very small compared to the X mass, so that the narrow decay width approximation can be justified to evaluate the X production cross section at the LHC Run-2. In the approximation, the cross section of the process $q\bar{q} \rightarrow X \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$ at the parton level is described as

$$\sigma(q\bar{q} \rightarrow X \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-) \propto (\tilde{g}_{BL})^2 \times \text{BR}(X \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-) . \quad (3.29)$$

Hence, if the X boson can decay into a pair of the DM particles, $\text{BR}(X \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-)$ becomes smaller and as a result, the upper bound on $\tilde{g}_{BL}$ is increasing. In the presence of the decay of $X \rightarrow \bar{\zeta}\zeta$, we scale the result shown in figure 1 by a factor of

$$\sqrt{1 + \frac{\Gamma(X \rightarrow \bar{\zeta}\zeta)}{\Gamma_{SM}}} , \quad (3.30)$$

where Γ_{SM} is the partial decay width of X into all the kinematically allowed SM fermions, and $\Gamma(X \rightarrow \bar{\zeta}\zeta)$ is the partial decay width into a pair of the DM particles.

³See, for example, ref. [28] about how to interpret the upper bound on the production cross section of the dilepton final states into the relation between the $B - L$ gauge coupling and the Z' boson mass.

4 The Dark matter relic density

The DM particle in our model is the ζ fermion which is stable by its representation under the gauge group of the model. Provided $2a \neq b$, ζ is a Dirac fermion. Its pair annihilates to SM fermion pairs and a pair of X bosons (if kinematically allowed) via its coupling to the X boson. We assume that ζ and X are in thermal equilibrium in very early universe. This already imposes constraints on the parameters of the model. To see these constraints, note that the conditions for thermal equilibrium between ζ , SM fermions f and X in the early universe ($T \gg m_\zeta, M_X$) are

1. $n_\zeta \sigma(\zeta \bar{\zeta} \rightarrow f \bar{f}) > H$.
2. $n_X \sigma(X X \rightarrow f \bar{f}) > H$.

Here, $H \sim T^2/M_{Pl}$ is the Hubble parameter with the Planck mass, $M_{Pl} = 1.22 \times 10^{19}$ GeV. Using $n_{\zeta, X} \sim T^3$ for the number density of ζ or X and $\sigma(\zeta \bar{\zeta} \rightarrow f \bar{f}) \sim \frac{g_\zeta^2 g_f^2}{T^2}$, we obtain for the first case $n_\zeta \sigma(\zeta \bar{\zeta} \rightarrow f \bar{f}) = g_\zeta^2 g_f^2 T$. Similarly, using $\sigma(X X \rightarrow f \bar{f}) \sim \frac{g_f^4}{T^2}$, we obtain $n_X \sigma(X X \rightarrow f \bar{f}) = g_f^4 T$ for the second case. We define the freeze-in temperature (T_{FI}) as

$$n\sigma|_{T=T_{FI}} = H(T_{FI}) \sim \frac{T_{FI}^2}{M_{Pl}}, \quad (4.1)$$

at which ζ or X gets in thermal equilibrium with the SM particles. Requiring $T_{FI} > m_\zeta, M_X$, we find the lower bounds, $g_\zeta^2 g_f^2 > m_\zeta/M_{Pl}$ and $g_f^4 > M_X/M_{Pl}$, for the first and second cases, respectively. Roughly, $g_\zeta \sim g_f > 10^{-4}$ satisfies these conditions for $m_\zeta, M_X \sim 1$ TeV.

We are now ready to calculate the relic density of the ζ fermion. There are two typical annihilation processes: (1) $\zeta \bar{\zeta} \rightarrow X \rightarrow f \bar{f}$ through the exchange of X boson in the s -channel, where f 's are the SM fermions. (2) $\zeta \bar{\zeta} \rightarrow X X$, which is active only for $m_\zeta > M_X$. In some parameter region, the process (1) dominates the annihilation cross section (Case (i)), while the process (2) can dominate over the first process in some parameter region (Case (ii)). We discuss these two cases below. As we will see later on in the next section, the direct DM detection constraint is easier to satisfy in Case (ii) compared to Case (i) where it can be satisfied only in a narrow range for the masses and couplings.

We first consider Case (i), where the annihilation process is dominated by $\zeta \bar{\zeta} \rightarrow X \rightarrow f \bar{f}$. In the non-relativistic limit, the annihilation cross section times relative velocity for this process is given by [23, 24]

$$v_{\text{rel}} \sigma(\zeta \bar{\zeta} \rightarrow X \rightarrow f \bar{f}) \simeq \frac{g_\zeta^2}{2\pi} \sum_f N_c^f g_f^2 \frac{2m_\zeta^2 + m_f^2}{(M_X^2 - 4m_\zeta^2)^2 + M_X^2 \Gamma_X^2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{m_f^2}{m_\zeta^2}}, \quad (4.2)$$

where f denotes a SM fermion with mass of m_f , and N_c^f is the color number in the final state of a SM fermion: $N_c^f = 3$ for a quark, $N_c^f = 1$ for a charged lepton, $N_c^f = 1/2$ for a

SM neutrino ($m_f \rightarrow 0$). The total decay width of X boson is given by

$$\Gamma_X = \frac{M_X}{12\pi} \sum_f N_c^f g_f^2 \left(1 + \frac{2m_f^2}{M_X^2} \right) \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_f^2}{M_X^2}}, \quad (4.3)$$

with the condition $M_X > 2m_f$. If it is kinetically allowed, the partial decay width to $X \rightarrow \bar{\zeta}\zeta$

$$\Gamma(X \rightarrow \bar{\zeta}\zeta) = \frac{M_X}{12\pi} g_\zeta^2 \left(1 + \frac{2m_\zeta^2}{M_X^2} \right) \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_\zeta^2}{M_X^2}} \quad (4.4)$$

must be added to the total decay width. Here the important point is that the annihilation cross section is proportional to the product $g_\zeta^2 g_f^2 = g_\zeta^2 (Q_{BL}^f \tilde{g}_{BL})^2$.

With a good accuracy, the DM relic density is given as the asymptotic solution of the Boltzmann equation to be [45, 46]

$$\Omega_{DM} h^2 \simeq \frac{1.07 \times 10^9 x_f}{\sqrt{g_*} M_{Pl} \langle v_{rel} \sigma \rangle}, \quad (4.5)$$

where $\langle v_{rel} \sigma \rangle$ is the thermally averaged cross section, and the freeze-out temperature of the DM particle is approximately evaluated as $x_f = m_{DM}/T_f \simeq \ln(x) - 0.5 \ln(\ln(x))$ with $x = 0.038 \sqrt{g_{DM}/g_*} M_{Pl} m_{DM} \langle v_{rel} \sigma \rangle$. Here, g_* is the degree of freedom of relativistic particles ($g_* = 106.75$ for the SM particle content), and $g_{DM} = 4$ is the number of internal degree of freedom for the ζ fermion. Since the annihilation process occurs through s -wave, we approximate the thermal averaged cross section as $\langle v_{rel} \sigma \rangle \simeq v_{rel} \sigma$.⁴

A sample plot of the resultant DM relic density $\Omega_{DM} h^2$ is shown in the figure 2 for $g_\zeta = \tilde{g}_{BL} = 0.2$ and $M_X = 3 \text{ TeV}$, along with the observed DM relic abundance $\Omega_{DM} h^2 \simeq 0.12$ [47]. In this sample plot, $m_\zeta \simeq 1.34 \text{ TeV}$ and 1.68 TeV reproduce the observed relic abundance. In figure 3, we show the contours on the $(m_\zeta, \tilde{g}_{BL})$ -plane for $M_X = 3, 4$ and 5 TeV (solid black lines from left to right) along which the observed DM relic abundance is reproduced. In the left panel, we have fixed $g_\zeta = \tilde{g}_{B-L}$. In the figure, we have also shown the direct DM detection constraints (see the next section for details) and the ATLAS bounds from figure 1 with a scaling given in eq. (3.30). Due to strong direct detection constraints and the ATLAS bounds, the only viable region for DM masses where this case works is when we near the resonance point i.e. in the vicinity of $M_X \simeq 2m_\zeta$. The right-panel shows the same as the left panel, but for the coupling choice of $g_\zeta = 6 \tilde{g}_{B-L}$. Since the process $X \rightarrow \bar{\zeta}\zeta$ dominates the X boson decay modes, the ATLAS bounds for $2m_\zeta < M_X$ appear weaker than the results shown in the left panel.

Next, we consider Case (ii) where the annihilation process is dominated by $\bar{\zeta}\zeta \rightarrow XX$ for $m_\zeta > M_X$. In the non-relativistic limit, the annihilation cross section times relative velocity is in this case is given by

$$v_{rel} \sigma (\bar{\zeta}\zeta \rightarrow XX) \simeq \frac{g_\zeta^4}{16\pi m_\zeta^2} \left(1 - \frac{M_X^2}{m_\zeta^2} \right)^{3/2} \left(1 - \frac{M_X^2}{2m_\zeta^2} \right)^{-2}. \quad (4.6)$$

⁴Although eq. (4.5) is a good approximation, in our actual analysis we have numerically solved the Boltzmann equation with the thermal averaged cross section which is also numerically evaluated. Solid black lines in figures 2, 3, 4 and 5 show our numerical results.

Figure 2. A sample plot of DM relic density vs. m_ζ for $g_\zeta = \tilde{g}_{BL} = 0.2$ and $M_X = 3$ TeV, along with the observed relic density (dashed horizontal line).

Figure 3. Left panel: plots of $\tilde{g}_{BL}$ vs m_ζ (solid black lines) that give the observed relic density for $M_X = 3, 4$ and 5 TeV, respectively, from left to right. Here, we have fixed $g_\zeta = \tilde{g}_{BL}$. The dotted lines correspond to the ATLAS bounds and dashed lines are the direct detection bounds. The red, blue and green dashed and dotted lines correspond to the bounds for $M_X = 3, 4$ and 5 TeV for from bottom to top. The dips in the solid lines are where the $M_X \simeq 2m_\zeta$ and we see that constraints from the ATLAS searches for the Z' boson resonance and the direct DM searches are so stringent that only the parameter region near the resonance are allowed for Case (i). Right panel: same as the left panel but for $g_\zeta = 6\tilde{g}_{BL}$.

Note that the annihilation cross section in this case is independent of $\tilde{g}_{BL}$ and this is a crucial difference from Case (i). By taking $\tilde{g}_{BL}$ as small as possible, we can easily avoid the severe constraints from the direct DM detection experiments and the search for X boson at the LHC.

As in Case (i), we employ eq. (4.5) and evaluate the DM relic density. In the left panel of figure 4, we show g_ζ vs. m_ζ along which the observed DM relic abundance is reproduced. In this analysis, we have taken $M_X = m_\zeta/3$ as an example. We have also calculated the

Figure 4. Left panel: plots of g_ζ vs m_ζ along which the observed DM relic abundance is reproduced. Here, we have set $M_X = m_\zeta/3$. Right panel: upper bounds on $\tilde{g}_{BL}$ as a function of M_X along the solid line in the left panel. The solid and dashed lines correspond to the XENON1T and the ATLAS bounds, respectively.

upper bound on $\tilde{g}_{BL}$ as a function of $M_X (= m_\zeta/3)$ from the ATLAS bounds and the direct DM detection experiments (see the next section). These upper bounds are shown in the right panel of figure 4.

5 Direct detection of dark matter

There are constraints on the DM model parameters from the direct detection limits from various experiments, the latest and most stringent one being from the XENON1T experiment [31]. The key equation is the spin-independent cross section for the elastic scattering of the DM particle with a nucleon $\zeta N \rightarrow \zeta N$ in the model which occurs via the exchange of X boson. This spin-independent cross section is given by [23, 24]

$$\sigma_{SI} \simeq \frac{\mu_{\zeta N}^2}{\pi} \left[\frac{Z f_p + (A - Z) f_n}{A} \right]^2, \quad (5.1)$$

where $\mu_{\zeta N} = m_\zeta m_N / (m_\zeta + m_N)$ is the reduced mass, m_N is the nucleon mass, and

$$\begin{aligned} f_p &= \frac{g_\zeta}{M_X^2} (2g_u + g_d), \\ f_n &= \frac{g_\zeta}{M_X^2} (g_u + 2g_d). \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

In our case, $g_u = g_d = (1/3)\tilde{g}_{BL}$, so that $f_p = f_n$ and we obtain

$$\sigma_{SI} = \frac{\mu_{\zeta N}^2}{\pi} f_p^2 = \frac{1}{\pi} g_\zeta^2 \tilde{g}_{BL}^2 \frac{\mu_{\zeta N}^2}{M_X^4}. \quad (5.3)$$

From the XENON1T result [31], we parametrize the upper bound on the nucleon scattering cross section as

$$\sigma_{SI} \leq 9.0 \times 10^{-11} \text{ pb} \times \left(\frac{m_\zeta}{100 \text{ GeV}} \right). \quad (5.4)$$

Using eqs. (5.3) and (5.4), we have obtained the results shown in figure 3 (dashed lines) and the right panel of figure 4 (solid line).

Figure 5. Left panel: same as the left panel in figure 3 but with the future reach by the High-Luminosity LHC with a 3000/fb luminosity and the LUX-ZEPLIN DM experiment. Right panel: same as the right panel in figure 4 but for the future reach.

6 Conclusions

We have proposed a minimal extension of the Left-Right Symmetric Model (LRSM) for neutrino masses by introducing an extra $U(1)_X$ gauge group and a heavy gauge singlet Dirac fermion to provide a unified framework for neutrino masses as well as dark matter. The extra $U(1)$ does contribute to the electric charge formula. The model has an extra neutral gauge boson, X , in addition to the gauge bosons $W^\pm$, Z , W_R and Z_R , which plays a key role in the properties of the dark matter. It also connects the dark sector to the visible SM sector. We discuss the constraints on the mass and coupling of this extra gauge boson (X), by diagonalizing the 4×4 neutral gauge boson mass matrix to give the approximate eigenstates. We find that, depending on the relative mass hierarchy between the X -boson and the DM fermion, the allowed parameter space of the DM mass and the DM and SM fermion couplings to X lie in different ranges. The main constraints come from the direct detection bounds as well as the LHC bounds on X production. These constraints can be easily avoided when a pair of dark matter particles dominantly annihilates to a pair of X bosons.

Finally we discuss the prospective bounds on the parameters space from the future experiments. The LUX-ZEPLIN DM experiment [48] for the direct DM search is expected to improve the current upper bound on the nucleon scattering cross section about one order of magnitude:

$$\sigma_{SI} \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-12} \text{ pb} \times \left(\frac{m_\chi}{100 \text{ GeV}} \right). \quad (6.1)$$

The ATLAS and the CMS collaborations will continue the search for a narrow resonance at the LHC with a luminosity upgrade (High-Luminosity LHC). Since the number of SM background events is very small for a high mass resonance region, we naively scale the current upper bound on the cross section with the 36.1/fb luminosity to a future bound by a factor of 36.1/3000 for a 3000/fb integrated luminosity at the High-Luminosity LHC. Using the narrow decay width approximation (see eq. (3.29)), we scale the current upper bound on $\tilde{g}_{BL}$ by a factor of $\sqrt{36.1/3000}$. In figure 5, we show our results with these future prospective bounds.

Acknowledgments

The work of R.N.M. is supported by the US National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY1620074 and that of N.O. is supported by the US Department of Energy under Grant No. DE-SC0012447.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] J.C. Pati and A. Salam, *Lepton Number as the Fourth Color*, *Phys. Rev. D* **10** (1974) 275 [*Erratum ibid.* **D 11** (1975) 703] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] R.N. Mohapatra and J.C. Pati, *A Natural Left-Right Symmetry*, *Phys. Rev. D* **11** (1975) 2558 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] G. Senjanović and R.N. Mohapatra, *Exact Left-Right Symmetry and Spontaneous Violation of Parity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **12** (1975) 1502 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] P. Minkowski, *$\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ at a Rate of One Out of 10^9 Muon Decays?*, *Phys. Lett. B* **67** (1977) 421 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] R.N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanović, *Neutrino Mass and Spontaneous Parity Violation*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **44** (1980) 912 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] T. Yanagida, *Horizontal symmetry and masses of neutrinos*, *Conf. Proc. C* **7902131** (1979) 95 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond and R. Slansky, *Complex Spinors and Unified Theories*, *Conf. Proc. C* **790927** (1979) 315 [[arXiv:1306.4669](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] S.L. Glashow, *The Future of Elementary Particle Physics*, *NATO Sci. Ser. B* **61** (1980) 687.
- [9] Y. Zhang, H. An, X. Ji and R.N. Mohapatra, *General CP-violation in Minimal Left-Right Symmetric Model and Constraints on the Right-Handed Scale*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **802** (2008) 247 [[arXiv:0712.4218](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] A. Maiezza, M. Nemevšek, F. Nesti and G. Senjanović, *Left-Right Symmetry at LHC*, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 055022 [[arXiv:1005.5160](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] CMS collaboration, *Search for a heavy right-handed W boson and a heavy neutrino in events with two same-flavor leptons and two jets at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *JHEP* **05** (2018) 148 [[arXiv:1803.11116](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] ATLAS collaboration, *Search for $W' \rightarrow tb$ decays in the hadronic final state using pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *Phys. Lett. B* **781** (2018) 327 [[arXiv:1801.07893](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] V. Cirigliano, W. Dekens, J. de Vries and E. Mereghetti, *An ϵ' improvement from right-handed currents*, *Phys. Lett. B* **767** (2017) 1 [[arXiv:1612.03914](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [14] N. Haba, H. Umeeda and T. Yamada, *ϵ'/ϵ Anomaly and Neutron EDM in $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L}$ model with Charge Symmetry*, *JHEP* **05** (2018) 052 [[arXiv:1802.09903](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [15] J. Heeck and S. Patra, *Minimal Left-Right Symmetric Dark Matter*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115** (2015) 121804 [[arXiv:1507.01584](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] Y. Mambrini, N. Nagata, K.A. Olive, J. Quevillon and J. Zheng, *Dark matter and gauge coupling unification in nonsupersymmetric SO(10) grand unified models*, *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015) 095010 [[arXiv:1502.06929](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [17] C. Garcia-Cely and J. Heeck, *Phenomenology of left-right symmetric dark matter*, *JCAP* **03** (2016) 021 [[arXiv:1512.03332](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] A. Berlin, P.J. Fox, D. Hooper and G. Mohlabeng, *Mixed Dark Matter in Left-Right Symmetric Models*, *JCAP* **06** (2016) 016 [[arXiv:1604.06100](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] S. Patra, *Dark matter, lepton and baryon number and left-right symmetric theories*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 093001 [[arXiv:1512.04739](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] D. Borah, A. Dasgupta, U.K. Dey, S. Patra and G. Tomar, *Multi-component Fermionic Dark Matter and IceCube PeV scale Neutrinos in Left-Right Model with Gauge Unification*, *JHEP* **09** (2017) 005 [[arXiv:1704.04138](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] M. Nemešsek, G. Senjanović and Y. Zhang, *Warm Dark Matter in Low Scale Left-Right Theory*, *JCAP* **07** (2012) 006 [[arXiv:1205.0844](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] T. Bandyopadhyay and A. Raychaudhuri, *Left-right model with TeV fermionic dark matter and unification*, *Phys. Lett. B* **771** (2017) 206 [[arXiv:1703.08125](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [23] A. Alves, A. Berlin, S. Profumo and F.S. Queiroz, *Dirac-fermionic dark matter in $U(1)_X$ models*, *JHEP* **10** (2015) 076 [[arXiv:1506.06767](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [24] A. Alves, A. Berlin, S. Profumo and F.S. Queiroz, *Dark matter complementarity and the Z' portal*, *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015) 083004.
- [25] M.J. Neves and J.A. Helayël-Neto, *A Unified Hidden-Sector-Electroweak Model, Paraphotons and the X-Boson*, [arXiv:1611.07974](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [26] P.-H. Gu and R.N. Mohapatra, *Quark Seesaw, Dark $U(1)$ symmetry and Baryon-Dark Matter Coincidence*, *Phys. Rev. D* **96** (2017) 055011 [[arXiv:1705.01872](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] N. Okada, S. Okada and D. Raut, *SU(5) $\times$ $U(1)_X$ grand unification with minimal seesaw and Z' -portal dark matter*, *Phys. Lett. B* **780** (2018) 422 [[arXiv:1712.05290](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [28] S. Okada, *Z' Portal Dark Matter in the Minimal $B - L$ Model*, *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2018** (2018) 5340935 [[arXiv:1803.06793](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] ATLAS collaboration, *Search for new high-mass phenomena in the dilepton final state using 36 fb^{-1} of proton-proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13\text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector*, *JHEP* **10** (2017) 182 [[arXiv:1707.02424](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [30] CMS collaboration, *Search for high-mass resonances in dilepton final states in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13\text{ TeV}$* , *JHEP* **06** (2018) 120 [[arXiv:1803.06292](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [31] XENON collaboration, E. Aprile et al., *Dark Matter Search Results from a One Ton-Year Exposure of XENON1T*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121** (2018) 111302 [[arXiv:1805.12562](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [32] R.N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanović, *Neutrino Masses and Mixings in Gauge Models with Spontaneous Parity Violation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **23** (1981) 165 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [33] J.F. Gunion, J. Grifols, A. Mendez, B. Kayser and F.I. Olness, *Higgs Bosons in Left-Right Symmetric Models*, *Phys. Rev. D* **40** (1989) 1546 [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [34] P.S.B. Dev, R.N. Mohapatra and Y. Zhang, *Probing the Higgs Sector of the Minimal Left-Right Symmetric Model at Future Hadron Colliders*, *JHEP* **05** (2016) 174 [[arXiv:1602.05947](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [35] M. Nemevšek, F. Nesti and J.C. Vasquez, *Majorana Higgses at colliders*, *JHEP* **04** (2017) 114 [[arXiv:1612.06840](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [36] A. Maiezza, M. Nemevšek and F. Nesti, *Perturbativity and mass scales in the minimal left-right symmetric model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **94** (2016) 035008 [[arXiv:1603.00360](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [37] A. Maiezza, G. Senjanović and J.C. Vasquez, *Higgs sector of the minimal left-right symmetric theory*, *Phys. Rev. D* **95** (2017) 095004 [[arXiv:1612.09146](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [38] K. Kiers, M. Assis and A.A. Petrov, *Higgs sector of the left-right model with explicit CP-violation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **71** (2005) 115015 [[hep-ph/0503115](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [39] G. Barenboim, M. Gorbahn, U. Nierste and M. Raidal, *Higgs Sector of the Minimal Left-Right Symmetric Model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **65** (2002) 095003 [[hep-ph/0107121](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [40] R.N. Mohapatra and R.E. Marshak, *Local B-L Symmetry of Electroweak Interactions, Majorana Neutrinos and Neutron Oscillations*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **44** (1980) 1316 [Erratum *ibid.* **44** (1980) 1644] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [41] R.E. Marshak and R.N. Mohapatra, *Quark-Lepton Symmetry and B-L as the U(1) Generator of the Electroweak Symmetry Group*, *Phys. Lett. B* **91** (1980) 222 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [42] C. Wetterich, *Neutrino Masses and the Scale of B-L Violation*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **187** (1981) 343 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [43] A. Masiero, J.F. Nieves and T. Yanagida, *$B-l$ Violating Proton Decay and Late Cosmological Baryon Production*, *Phys. Lett. B* **116** (1982) 11 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [44] W. Buchmüller, C. Greub and P. Minkowski, *Neutrino masses, neutral vector bosons and the scale of B-L breaking*, *Phys. Lett. B* **267** (1991) 395 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [45] E.W. Kolb and M.S. Turner, *The Early Universe*, *Front. Phys.* **69** (1990) 1 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [46] G. Bertone, D. Hooper and J. Silk, *Particle dark matter: Evidence, candidates and constraints*, *Phys. Rept.* **405** (2005) 279 [[hep-ph/0404175](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [47] PLANCK collaboration, N. Aghanim et al., *Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters*, [arXiv:1807.06209](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [48] LUX, LZ collaboration, M. Szydagis, *The Present and Future of Searching for Dark Matter with LUX and LZ*, *PoS(ICHEP2016)* 220 [[arXiv:1611.05525](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].