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## 1 Introduction

Chiral perturbation theory (ChPT) [1, 2] is a low energy effective field theory of QCD. It is built using the approximate chiral symmetry $\mathrm{SU}\left(N_{f}\right)_{L} \times \mathrm{SU}\left(N_{f}\right)_{R}$ of QCD, where $N_{f}$ is the number of quark flavours, which is spontaneously broken to $\mathrm{SU}\left(N_{f}\right)_{V}$ by a non-vanishing quark condensate $\langle\bar{q} q\rangle \neq 0$. The $N_{f}^{2}-1$ broken generators yield as many pseudo-Goldstone bosons. These are identified with the lightest pseudoscalar mesons living in the coset space $\mathrm{SU}\left(N_{f}\right)_{L} \times \mathrm{SU}\left(N_{f}\right)_{R} / \mathrm{SU}\left(N_{f}\right)_{V}$. For $N_{f}=2$ or $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ only pions appear, whereas for $N_{f}=3$ or $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ there are the pions, kaons and the eta.

The masses and decay constants of these composite particles can be calculated within ChPT to a given order in the chiral expansion, i.e., to order $\left(p^{2}\right)^{n}$ where the integer $n \geq 1$. These were known at next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) for the pions in both $\mathrm{SU}(2)[3-5]$ and $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ [6]. In this paper we extend the two-flavour or $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ case to the next order, $p^{8}$ or NNNLO. All relevant three-loop integrals are known [7, 8]. As a consistency check, we also calculate the mass at three-loop order in $O(N) \phi^{4}$ theory. The
general method to NNLO is described in detail in [5]. We extend it to one order higher in the expansion.

The motivation behind this work is twofold. The expressions themselves are of intrinsic interest but in so-called hard-pion ChPT it was argued that mass logarithms could be calculated also for pions with hard momenta. This was checked at two-loop order in ChPT [9]. At three-loop order it was found that the chiral mass logarithm does not agree with the prediction of [9] in [10]. This work is a first step towards checking the results of [10] and possibly being able to correct and extend the arguments of [9] towards a full proof.

## 2 Mass in the $O(N) \phi^{4}$ model

The Lagrangian for the $O(N) \phi^{4}$ model is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}= & \left(1+l_{1} \lambda+c_{1} \lambda^{2}+d_{1} \lambda^{3}\right) \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi^{T} \partial^{\mu} \phi-\left(1+l_{2} \lambda+c_{2} \lambda^{2}+d_{2} \lambda^{3}\right) \frac{1}{2} M^{2} \phi^{T} \phi \\
& -\left(1+l_{3} \lambda+c_{3} \lambda^{2}+d_{3} \lambda^{3}\right) \frac{\lambda}{4}\left(\phi^{T} \phi\right)^{2}-\phi^{T} f \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

$\phi$ is a vector of $N$ real fields $\phi_{a}$ and $f$ is the external current. We have indicated here the higher order terms with $l_{i}, c_{i}$ and $d_{i}$ as well and have used the equations of motion (or field redefinitions) to discard the higher order terms in the coupling to the external current $f$. Up to two-loop order the counterterms are given by ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l_{1}=(c \mu)^{-2 \varepsilon}\left(l_{1}^{r}\right), \\
& l_{2}=(c \mu)^{-2 \varepsilon}\left(l_{2}^{r}+\frac{N+2}{16 \pi^{2} \varepsilon}\right), \\
& l_{3}=(c \mu)^{-2 \varepsilon}\left(l_{3}^{r}+\frac{N+8}{16 \pi^{2} \varepsilon}\right), \\
& c_{1}=(c \mu)^{-4 \varepsilon}\left(c_{1}^{r}+\frac{1}{\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{2} \varepsilon}\left(-\frac{N+2}{2}\right)\right), \\
& c_{2}=(c \mu)^{-4 \varepsilon}\left(c_{2}^{r}+\frac{(N+5)(N+2)}{\left(16 \pi^{2} \varepsilon\right)^{2}}-\frac{3(N+2)}{\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{2} \varepsilon}+\frac{2(N+2)}{16 \pi^{2} \varepsilon}\left(-2 l_{1}^{r}+l_{2}^{r}+l_{3}^{r}\right)\right), \\
& c_{3}=(c \mu)^{-4 \varepsilon}\left(c_{3}^{r}+\frac{(N+8)^{2}}{\left(16 \pi^{2} \varepsilon\right)^{2}}-\frac{2(5 N+22)}{\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{2} \varepsilon}+\frac{2(N+8)}{16 \pi^{2} \varepsilon}\left(-l_{1}^{r}+l_{3}^{r}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We use dimensional regularization with $d=4-2 \varepsilon$ and modified minimal subtraction $(\overline{M S})$. The choice of $c$ determines which version of $\overline{M S}$ is used. In this manuscript we use the usual ChPT [2] version with

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=-\frac{1}{2}\left[\log (4 \pi)+\Gamma^{\prime}(1)+1\right] . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mass is defined as the pole of the two point function, see e.g. the discussion in [6], as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{i}{p^{2}-M^{2}-\Sigma\left(p^{2}\right)} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $\Sigma$ is the sum of one-particle irreducible diagrams. The relevant diagrams are shown in figure 1 when neglecting those involving vertices with more than four legs. $p^{2}$ corresponds to terms with $1, p^{4}$ with $l_{i}, p^{6}$ with $c_{i}$ and $p^{8}$ with $d_{i}$ in (2.1).

The physical mass $M_{\phi}$ is given by the solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\phi}^{2}-M^{2}-\Sigma\left(M_{\phi}^{2}\right)=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We write the mass as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\phi}^{2}=M^{2}\left(1+M_{4}^{2}+M_{6}^{2}+M_{8}^{2}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the self-energy as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma\left(p^{2}\right)=\Sigma_{4}\left(p^{2}\right)+\Sigma_{6}\left(p^{2}\right)+\Sigma_{8}\left(p^{2}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where we used the subscript $4,6,8$ for NLO, NNLO and NNNLO respectively. With this expansion we can solve for the mass perturbatively. We evaluate diagrams at $p^{2}=M_{\phi}^{2}$ as a perturbative expansion away from $M^{2}$. This is why derivatives of the self-energy show up. Taking into account that here $\partial^{2} \Sigma_{4} /\left(\partial p^{2}\right)^{2}=0$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& M^{2} M_{4}=\Sigma_{4}\left(M^{2}\right) \\
& M^{2} M_{6}=\Sigma_{6}\left(M^{2}\right)+M^{2} M_{4}^{2} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{4}}{\partial p^{2}} \\
& M^{2} M_{8}=\Sigma_{8}\left(M^{2}\right)+M^{2} M_{6}^{2} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{4}}{\partial p^{2}}+M^{2} M_{4}^{2} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{6}}{\partial p^{2}}\left(M^{2}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

All nonlocal divergences cancel as they should and we get a finite result by setting

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{2}-d_{1}= & (c \mu)^{-6 \varepsilon}\left\{d_{2}^{r}-d_{1}^{r}-\frac{\pi_{16}^{3}}{\varepsilon^{3}}\left(-60-52 N-13 N^{2}-N^{3}\right)\right. \\
& -\frac{\pi_{16}^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left(\frac{284}{3}+\frac{206}{3} N+\frac{32}{3} N^{2}\right)-\frac{\pi_{16}^{3}}{\varepsilon}\left(-74-47 N-5 N^{2}\right) \\
- & \frac{\pi_{16}^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left(-20 l_{3}^{r}-10 l_{2}^{r}-14 N l_{3}^{r}-7 N l_{2}^{r}-2 N^{2} l_{3}^{r}-N^{2} l_{2}^{r}+40 l_{1}^{r}+28 N l_{1}^{r}+4 N^{2} l_{1}^{r}\right) \\
- & \frac{\pi_{16}^{2}}{\varepsilon}\left(10 l_{3}^{r}+6 l_{2}^{r}+5 N l_{3}^{r}+3 N l_{2}^{r}-21 l_{1}^{r}-\frac{21}{2} N l_{1}^{r}\right) \\
- & \frac{\pi_{16}}{\varepsilon}\left(-2 c_{3}^{r}-2 c_{2}^{r}+4 c_{1}^{r}-2 l_{2}^{r} l_{3}^{r}-N c_{3}^{r}-N c_{2}^{r}+2 N c_{1}^{r}-N l_{2}^{r} l_{3}^{r}+4 l_{1}^{r} l_{3}^{r}+4 l_{1}^{r} l_{2}^{r}\right. \\
& \left.\left.-6 l_{1}^{r 2}+2 N l_{1}^{r} l_{3}^{r}+2 N l_{1}^{r} l_{2}^{r}-3 N l_{1}^{r 2}\right)\right\} \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we introduced the shorthand $\pi_{16}=1 /\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)$. We express the result for the mass in terms of the logarithm

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{M}=\log \frac{M^{2}}{\mu^{2}} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The full result for the mass at three-loop order is

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{4}^{2} / \lambda= & (N+2) \pi_{16} L_{M}+l_{2}^{r}-l_{1}^{r} \\
M_{6}^{2} / \lambda^{2}= & c_{2}^{r}-c_{1}^{r}-l_{1}^{r} l_{2}^{r}+l_{1}^{r 2}+\pi_{16}\left(2 l_{2}^{r}-2 l_{1}^{r}+N l_{2}^{r}-N l_{1}^{r}\right) \\
& +\pi_{16} L_{M}\left(2 l_{3}^{r}+2 l_{2}^{r}-6 l_{1}^{r}+N l_{3}^{r}+N l_{2}^{r}-3 N l_{1}^{r}\right)+\pi_{16}^{2}(3 / 2+3 / 4 N) \\
& +\pi_{16}^{2} L_{M}\left(-6-N+N^{2}\right)+\pi_{16}^{2} L_{M}^{2}\left(10+7 N+N^{2}\right) \\
M_{8}^{2} / \lambda^{3}= & d_{2}^{r}-d_{1}^{r}-l_{2}^{r} c_{1}^{r}-l_{1}^{r} c_{2}^{r}+2 l_{1}^{r} c_{1}^{r}+l_{1}^{r 2} l_{2}^{r}-l_{1}^{r 3} \\
& +\pi_{16}(N+2)\left(c_{2}^{r}-c_{1}^{r}+l_{2}^{r} l_{3}^{r}+(1 / 2) l_{2}^{r}-l_{1}^{r} l_{3}^{r}-4 l_{1}^{r} l_{2}^{r}+(7 / 2) l_{1}^{r} 2\right) \\
& +\pi_{16} L_{M}(N+2)\left(c_{3}^{r}+c_{2}^{r}-3 c_{1}^{r}+l_{2}^{r} l_{3}^{r}-3 l_{1}^{r} l_{3}^{r}-3 l_{1}^{r} l_{2}^{r}+6 l_{1}^{r 2}\right) \\
& +\pi_{16}^{2}\left(3 l_{3}^{r}-(9 / 2) l_{2}^{r}-(3 / 2) l_{1}^{r}+(3 / 2) N l_{3}^{r}-(1 / 4) N l_{2}^{r}-(11 / 4) N l_{1}^{r}+N^{2} l_{2}^{r}-N^{2} l_{1}^{r}\right) \\
& +\pi_{16}^{2} L_{M}\left(-12 l_{3}^{r}+14 l_{2}^{r}+10 l_{1}^{r}-2 N l_{3}^{r}+13 N l_{2}^{r}-9 N l_{1}^{r}+2 N^{2} l_{3}^{r}+3 N^{2} l_{2}^{r}-7 N^{2} l_{1}^{r}\right) \\
& +\pi_{16}^{2} L_{M}^{2}\left(20 l_{3}^{r}+10 l_{2}^{r}-50 l_{1}^{r}+14 N l_{3}^{r}+7 N l_{2}^{r}-35 N l_{1}^{r}+2 N^{2} l_{3}^{r}+N^{2} l_{2}^{r}-5 N^{2} l_{1}^{r}\right) \\
& +\pi_{16}^{3}\left(124 / 3+64 \zeta_{3}+(239 / 6) N+40 N \zeta_{3}+(115 / 12) N^{2}+4 N^{2} \zeta_{3}\right) \\
& +\pi_{16}^{3} L_{M}\left(217+131 N+(53 / 4) N^{2}+N^{3}\right) \\
& +\pi_{16}^{3} L_{M}^{2}\left(-128-64 N+5 N^{2}+(5 / 2) N^{3}\right)+\pi_{16}^{3} L_{M}^{3}\left(60+52 N+13 N^{2}+N^{3}\right) . \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

This result can be checked in a number of ways. The nonlocal divergences cancelled as they should. The terms leading in $N$ can be derived using a gap equation similar to what was done for the nonlinear sigma model in $[11,12]$. The renormalization group equations are known to five loop order [13], these can be used to check the $1 / \varepsilon$ terms in (2.9). All checks are satisfied.

## 3 Chiral perturbation theory

The effective Lagrangian in ChPT is expanded in powers of $p^{2}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}_{0}+\mathcal{L}_{4}+\mathcal{L}_{6}+\mathcal{L}_{8}+\ldots \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relevant degrees of freedom are the Goldstone Bosons from the spontaneous breakdown of $\mathrm{SU}\left(N_{f}\right)_{L} \times \mathrm{SU}\left(N_{f}\right)$ to $\mathrm{SU}\left(N_{f}\right)_{V}$. These can be described by a special unitary $N_{f} \times N_{f}$ matrix $u$. For two flavours the lowest order Lagrangian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{0}=\frac{F^{2}}{4}\left\langle u_{\mu} u^{\mu}+\chi_{+}\right\rangle \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $u_{\mu}=i\left(u^{\dagger}\left(\partial_{\mu}-i r_{\mu}\right) u-u\left(\partial_{\mu}-i l_{\mu}\right) u^{\dagger}\right), \chi_{+}=u^{\dagger} \chi u^{\dagger}+u \chi^{\dagger} u, \chi=B(s+i p)$. The fields $l_{\mu}, r_{\mu}, s$ and $p$ are the usual $N_{f} \times N_{f}$ external fields of ChPT. $F$ and $B$ are the two low-energy-constants (LECs) at leading order for the two-flavour case. The nextorder Lagrangian was classified in [2]. The NNLO Lagrangian can be found in [14]. The NNNLO Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{8}$ is at present not known, but there will be one combination of $p^{8}$ LECs contributing to the mass and another to the decay constant, we will call these combinations $r_{M 8}$ and $r_{F 8}$, respectively.

The NLO and NNLO low-energy-constants (LECs) are conventionally denoted as $l_{i}$ and $c_{i}$, respectively. The divergent parts needed to one- [2] and two-loop order [15] are known


Figure 1. The 34 diagrams contributing to $\Sigma$. The first one is LO, the next two NLO, the next 6 NNLO, all in the first line. The remaining diagrams are those needed at NNNLO.
in general and the equivalent formulas to (2.2) can be found there. For later convenience we introduce the lowest order pion mass

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{2}=2 B \hat{m} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $2 \hat{m}=m_{u}+m_{d}$. In the remainder we will work in the isospin limit with $m_{u}=m_{d}$.

## 4 The calculation and checks

The diagrams contributing are shown in figure 1. The Feynman diagrams are programmed in FORM [16]. The derivatives w.r.t. $p^{2}$ needed are obtained by taking the derivative diagram by diagram at this stage. Then the expressions are rewritten in integrals. These are reduced to a set of master integrals. This is done using integration-by-parts and Lorentz invariance identities through a Laporta algorithm. We have used the program Reduze [17] for this. The resulting master integrals are all known to the order in $\varepsilon$ required and we quote them in appendix A .

A three-loop calculation needs a large number of checks. We have checked that the nonlocal divergences cancel, that we reproduce the known two-loop and leading logarithm results. As a final check we use two different parametrizations for $u$ in terms of a traceless Hermitian $2 \times 2$ matrix $\Phi$, namely the exponential, $u=\exp \frac{i}{\sqrt{2} F} \Phi$, and a square root, $u=\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{2 F^{2}} \Phi^{2}}+\frac{i}{\sqrt{2} F} \Phi$, parametrization. The diagrams are quite different in these two parametrizations but the final result must of course be the same. All checks are satisfied by our results. Since essentially the same programs were used for $\phi^{4}$ the checks discussed in section 2 are another partial check on our main results.

## 5 The pion mass and decay constant

The physical mass is defined as the pole of the two-point function (2.4) with $\Sigma\left(p^{2}\right)$ the self-energy. The physical pion mass $M_{\pi}^{2}$ is then found as the solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\pi}^{2}-M^{2}-\Sigma\left(M_{\pi}^{2}\right)=0 . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The decay constant is defined through the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle 0| A_{\mu}(0)|\pi(p)\rangle=i \sqrt{2} p_{\mu} F_{\pi} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is calculated using diagrams of the same topology as those in $\Sigma$ (the only difference is that one of the external legs corresponds to the axial current). For the decay constant one also needs to calculate the wave function renormalization factor $Z$ defined as the residue of the propagator in (2.4), i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\frac{1}{1-\frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial p^{2}}} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

at $p^{2}=M_{\pi}^{2}$.
The physical pion mass and decay constant can be written in expanded form

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{\pi}^{2} & =M^{2}\left(1+M_{4}^{2}+M_{6}^{2}+M_{8}^{2}\right), \\
F_{\pi} & =F\left(1+F_{4}+F_{6}+F_{8}\right) . \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

### 5.1 Mass

We can solve (5.1) perturbatively and obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& M^{2} M_{4}^{2}=\Sigma_{4}\left(M^{2}\right), \\
& M^{2} M_{6}^{2}=\Sigma_{6}\left(M^{2}\right)+M^{2} M_{4}^{2} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{4}}{\partial p^{2}}\left(M^{2}\right), \\
& M^{2} M_{8}^{2}=\Sigma_{8}\left(M^{2}\right)+M^{2} M_{6}^{2} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{4}}{\partial p^{2}}\left(M^{2}\right)+M^{2} M_{4}^{2} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{6}}{\partial p^{2}}\left(M^{2}\right), \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

here we used the fact that $\partial^{2} \Sigma_{4} /\left(\partial p^{2}\right)^{2}=0$.
In order to obtain a finite result we need the subtraction

$$
\begin{align*}
r_{M 8}= & (c \mu)^{-6 \varepsilon}\left\{r_{M 8}^{r}-\frac{\pi_{16}^{3}}{\varepsilon^{3}}(125 / 72)-\frac{\pi_{16}^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}}(71 / 24)-\frac{\pi_{16}^{3}}{\varepsilon}(28223 / 12960)\right. \\
& -\frac{\pi_{16}^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left(-(7 / 2) l_{4}^{r}+(263 / 18) l_{3}^{r}-(7 / 3) l_{2}^{r}+(49 / 3) l_{1}^{r}\right) \\
& -\frac{\pi_{16}^{2}}{\varepsilon}\left((302 / 27) l_{3}^{r}+(433 / 60) l_{2}^{r}+(3 / 20) l_{1}^{r}\right) \\
& -\frac{\pi_{16}}{\varepsilon}\left(416 c_{18}^{r}+208 c_{17}^{r}+32 c_{16}^{r}-96 c_{14}^{r}-8 c_{13}^{r}+48 c_{12}^{r}+384 c_{11}^{r}+192 c_{10}^{r}-80 c_{9}^{r}\right. \\
& -160 c_{8}^{r}-80 c_{7}^{r}-96 c_{6}^{r}+8 c_{5}^{r}+56 c_{4}^{r}-16 c_{3}^{r}-32 c_{2}^{r}+96 c_{1}^{r}-14 l_{3}^{r} l_{4}^{r}+16 l_{3}^{r 2} \\
& \left.\left.-(16 / 3) l_{2}^{r} r_{3}^{r}-(8 / 3) l_{1}^{r} l_{3}^{r}\right)\right\} . \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

$r_{M 8}$ is the combination of $p^{8}$ LECs that contributes to the mass.

This is a single scale problem and only logarithms of the mass scale show up, the expression is thus fairly compact. We use the abbreviations

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{M^{2}}{16 \pi^{2} F^{2}}, \quad L_{M}=\log \frac{M^{2}}{\mu^{2}}, \quad l_{i}^{q}=16 \pi^{2} l_{i}^{r}, \quad c_{i}^{q}=\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{2} c_{i}^{r}, \quad r_{M 8}^{q}=\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{3} r_{M 8}^{r} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The results can be written in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{4}^{2}=x\left(a_{10}^{M}+a_{11}^{M} L_{M}\right) \\
& M_{6}^{2}=x^{2}\left(a_{20}^{M}+a_{21}^{M} L_{M}+a_{22}^{M} L_{M}^{2}\right) \\
& M_{8}^{2}=x^{3}\left(a_{30}^{M}+a_{31}^{M} L_{M}+a_{32}^{M} L_{M}^{2}+a_{33}^{M} L_{M}^{3}\right) \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The coefficients are

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{10}^{M}= & 2 l_{3}^{q}, \\
a_{11}^{M}= & 1 / 2, \\
a_{20}^{M}= & 64 c_{18}^{q}+32 c_{17}^{q}+96 c_{11}^{q}+48 c_{10}^{q}-16 c_{9}^{q}-32 c_{8}^{q}-16 c_{7}^{q}-32 c_{6}^{q}+l_{3}^{q}+2 l_{2}^{q}+l_{1}^{q}+(163 / 96), \\
a_{21}^{M}= & -3 l_{3}^{q}-8 l_{2}^{q}-14 l_{1}^{q}-(49 / 12), \\
a_{22}^{M}= & 17 / 8, \\
a_{30}^{M}= & r_{M 8}^{q}-3 l_{3}^{q} c_{9}^{q}-64 l_{3}^{q} c_{8}^{q}-32 l_{3}^{q} c_{7}^{q}-128 l_{3}^{q} c_{6}^{q}+32 c_{18}^{q}+16 c_{17}^{q}-4 c_{13}^{q}+24 c_{12}^{q}+48 c_{11}^{q} \\
& +24 c_{10}^{q}-8 c_{9}^{q}-16 c_{8}^{q}-8 c_{7}^{q}-40 c_{6}^{q}+12 c_{5}^{q}+4 c_{4}^{q}-8 c_{3}^{q}-7\left(l_{3}^{q}\right)^{2}-22 l_{1}^{q} l_{3}^{q}-4 l_{2}^{q} l_{3}^{q} \\
& +\frac{157}{48} l_{3}^{q}+\frac{8651}{1200} l_{2}^{q}+\frac{3823}{1200} l_{1}^{q}+\frac{4869659}{777600}-\frac{13}{6} \zeta_{3}, \\
a_{31}^{M}= & -416 c_{18}^{q}-208 c_{17}^{q}-32 c_{16}^{q}+96 c_{14}^{q}+8 c_{13}^{q}-48 c_{12}^{q}-384 c_{11}^{q}-192 c_{10}^{q}+72 c_{9}^{q}+144 c_{8}^{q} \\
& +72 c_{7}^{q}+64 c_{6}^{q}-8 c_{5}^{q}-56 c_{4}^{q}+16 c_{3}^{q}+32 c_{2}^{q}-96 c_{1}^{q}-8\left(l_{3}^{q}\right)^{2}-48 l_{2}^{q} l_{3}^{q}-84 l_{1}^{q} l_{3}^{q}-\frac{88}{3} l_{3}^{q} \\
& -\frac{231}{10} l_{2}^{q}-\frac{69}{5} l_{1}^{q}-\frac{74971}{8640}, \\
a_{32}^{M}= & \frac{23}{2} l_{3}^{q}-11 l_{2}^{q}-38 l_{1}^{q}-\frac{91}{24}, \\
a_{33}^{M}= & \frac{103}{24}, \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\zeta_{k}$ is the Riemann-Zeta function. The leading log coefficient $a_{33}^{M}$ agrees with [11, 12].
The LECs $l_{i}^{r}$ are well known but the $c_{i}^{r}$ less well. In [5, 15] combinations of the $p^{6}$ LECs appearing at $p^{6}$ in $\pi \pi$-scattering, the mass and decay constant were defined, $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{6}, r_{M}, r_{F}$, and numerical estimates using resonance saturation were done in [5]. The expressions in terms of the $c_{i}^{r}$ are given in appendix B . We can check whether the $c_{i}^{r}$ dependence can be rewritten in terms of those. This can be done for $a_{20}^{M}$ by definition and also for $a_{31}^{M}$. However not completely for $a_{30}^{M}$ which in any case contains the free $p^{8}$ LEC combination $r_{M 8}^{r}$. Defining $r_{i}^{q}=16 \pi^{2} r_{i}^{r}$ for $i=1, \ldots, 6, M, F$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{30}^{M}= & r_{M 8}^{q}-4 l_{3}^{q} r_{F}^{q}-128 l_{3}^{q} c_{6}^{q}-r_{6}^{q}+3 r_{5}^{q}+\frac{7}{2} r_{4}^{q}+\frac{1}{2} r_{3}^{q}+\frac{1}{2} r_{M}^{q}-7\left(l_{3}^{q}\right)^{2}-22 l_{1}^{q} l_{3}^{q}-4 l_{2}^{q} l_{3}^{q} \\
& +\frac{157}{48} l_{3}^{q}+\frac{8651}{1200} l_{2}^{q}+\frac{3823}{1200} l_{1}^{q}+\frac{4869659}{777600}-\frac{13}{6} \zeta_{3}, \\
a_{31}^{M}= & -6 r_{6}^{q}-14 r_{5}^{q}-11 r_{4}^{q}-5 r_{3}^{q}-2 r_{2}^{q}-\frac{5}{2} r_{1}^{q}+r_{M}^{q}-r_{F}^{q}-8\left(l_{3}^{q}\right)^{2}-48 l_{2}^{q} l_{3}^{q}-84 l_{1}^{q} l_{3}^{q}-\frac{88}{3} l_{3}^{q} \\
& -\frac{231}{10} l_{2}^{q}-\frac{69}{5} l_{1}^{q}-\frac{74971}{8640} . \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$

### 5.2 Decay constant

For the decay constant everything is analogous except that we need to evaluate the diagrams with one leg replaced by the axial current and take into account the wave function renormalization factor $Z$. Denoting the sum of one-particle-irreducible diagrams of the axial current as $A\left(p^{2}=M_{\pi}^{2}\right)=A_{4}\left(p^{2}\right)+A_{6}\left(p^{2}\right)+A_{8}\left(p^{2}\right)$ the expression for the decay constant is (normalized to 1 at lowest order)

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\pi}=F \sqrt{Z\left(M_{\pi}^{2}\right)} A\left(M_{\pi}^{2}\right) \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting in the expanded expressions for $\Sigma$ and $A$ and using $\partial^{2} \Sigma_{4} /\left(\partial p^{2}\right)^{2}=\partial A_{4} / \partial p^{2}=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{4}= & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{4}}{\partial p^{2}}+A_{4} \\
F_{6}= & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{6}}{\partial p^{2}}++\frac{3}{8}\left(\frac{\partial \Sigma_{4}}{\partial p^{2}}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{4}}{\partial p^{2}} A_{4}+A_{6} \\
F_{8}= & A_{8}+\Sigma_{4} \frac{\partial A_{6}}{\partial p^{2}}+\frac{5}{16}\left(\frac{\partial \Sigma_{4}}{\partial p^{2}}\right)^{3}+\frac{3}{8}\left[A_{4}\left(\frac{\partial \Sigma_{4}}{\partial p^{2}}\right)^{2}+2 \frac{\partial \Sigma_{4}}{\partial p^{2}} \frac{\partial \Sigma_{6}}{\partial p^{2}}\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\partial \Sigma_{4}}{\partial p^{2}} A_{6}+\frac{\partial \Sigma_{6}}{\partial p^{2}} A_{4}+\Sigma_{4} \frac{\partial^{2} \Sigma_{6}}{\left(\partial p^{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{\partial \Sigma_{8}}{\partial p^{2}}\right] \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

with all right hand sides evaluated at $p^{2}=M^{2}$.
In order to obtain a finite result we need the subtraction

$$
\begin{align*}
r_{F 8}= & (c \mu)^{-6 \varepsilon}\left\{r_{F 8}^{r}+\frac{\pi_{16}^{3}}{\varepsilon^{3}}(185 / 72)+\frac{\pi_{16}^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}}(2117 / 432)-\frac{\pi_{16}^{3}}{\varepsilon}(20183 / 12960)\right. \\
& -\frac{\pi_{16}^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left((659 / 72) l_{4}^{r}-2 l_{3}^{r}-(1 / 6) l_{2}^{r}-(34 / 3) l_{1}^{r}\right) \\
& -\frac{\pi_{16}^{2}}{\varepsilon}\left(-(13 / 108) l_{4}^{r}-(53 / 12) l_{3}^{r}+(27 / 40) l_{2}^{r}+(61 / 15) l_{1}^{r}\right) \\
& -\frac{\pi_{16}}{\varepsilon}\left(16 c_{20}^{r}+64 c_{18}^{r}+32 c_{17}^{r}-8 c_{16}^{r}+24 c_{14}^{r}+2 c_{13}^{r}-12 c_{12}^{r}+96 c_{11}^{r}+48 c_{10}^{r}+8 c_{9}^{r}\right. \\
& +16 c_{8}^{r}+8 c_{7}^{r}-48 c_{6}^{r}-4 c_{5}^{r}-28 c_{4}^{r}+4 c_{3}^{r}+8 c_{2}^{r}-24 c_{1}^{r}-(7 / 2) l_{4}^{r 2}+3 l_{3}^{r} l_{4}^{r}-(28 / 3) l_{2}^{r} l_{4}^{r} \\
& \left.\left.-16 l_{2}^{r} l_{3}^{r}-(44 / 3) l_{1}^{r} l_{4}^{r}-28 l_{1}^{r} l_{3}^{r}\right)\right\} \tag{5.13}
\end{align*}
$$

The results can be written in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{4}=x\left(a_{10}^{F}+a_{11}^{F} L_{M}\right) \\
& F_{6}=x^{2}\left(a_{20}^{F}+a_{21}^{F} L_{M}+a_{22}^{F} L_{M}^{2}\right) \\
& F_{8}=x^{3}\left(a_{30}^{F}+a_{31}^{F} L_{M}+a_{32}^{F} L_{M}^{2}+a_{33}^{F} L_{M}^{3}\right) \tag{5.14}
\end{align*}
$$

The full results for the coefficients are, with $r_{F 8}^{q}=\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{3} r_{F 8}^{r}$,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
a_{10}^{F}= & l_{4}^{q} \\
a_{11}^{F}= & -1 \\
a_{20}^{F}= & 8 c_{9}^{q}+16 c_{8}^{q}+8 c_{7}^{q}-2 l_{3}^{q}-l_{2}^{q}-(1 / 2) l_{1}^{q}-(13 / 192), \\
a_{21}^{F}= & -(1 / 2) l_{4}^{q}-2 l_{3}^{q}+4 l_{2}^{q}+7 l_{1}^{q}+(23 / 12), \\
a_{22}^{F}= & -(5 / 4), \\
a_{30}^{F}= & r_{F 8}^{q}-8 l_{4}^{q} c_{9}^{q}-16 l_{4}^{q} c_{8}^{q}-8 l_{4}^{q} c_{7}^{q}-32 l_{4}^{q} c_{6}^{q}-64 c_{18}^{q}-32 c_{17}^{q}+c_{13}^{q}-6 c_{12}^{q}-96 c_{11}^{q}-48 c_{10}^{q} \\
& +16 c_{9}^{q}+32 c_{8}^{q}+16 c_{7}^{q}+40 c_{6}^{q}-6 c_{5}^{q}-2 c_{4}^{q}+2 c_{3}^{q}-l_{3}^{q} l_{4}^{q}-2\left(l_{3}^{q}\right)^{2}+l_{2}^{q} l_{4}^{q}+4 l_{2}^{q} l_{3}^{q}+\frac{1}{2} l_{1}^{q} l_{4}^{q} \\
& +12 l_{1}^{q} l_{3}^{q}+\frac{313}{192} l_{4}^{q}+\frac{241}{48} l_{3}^{q}+\frac{1469}{800} l_{2}^{q}+\frac{2359}{600} l_{1}^{q}-\frac{383293667}{1555200}+\frac{8}{9} \zeta_{3}, \\
a_{31}^{F}= & -16 c_{20}^{q}-64 c_{18}^{q}-32 c_{17}^{q}+8 c_{16}^{q}-24 c_{14}^{q}-2 c_{13}^{q}+12 c_{12}^{q}-96 c_{11}^{q}-48 c_{10}^{q}+80 c_{6}^{q}+4 c_{5}^{q} \\
& +28 c_{4}^{q}-4 c_{3}^{q}-8 c_{2}^{q}+24 c_{1}^{q}-l_{3}^{q} l_{4}^{q}-4 l_{2}^{q} l_{4}^{q}+16 l_{2}^{q} l_{3}^{q}-7 l_{1}^{q} l_{4}^{q}+28 l_{1}^{q} l_{3}^{q}-\frac{13}{6} l_{4}^{q}+\frac{17}{2} l_{3}^{q} \\
& +\frac{569}{60} l_{2}^{q}+\frac{77}{10} l_{1}^{q}-\frac{7499}{2160}, \\
a_{32}^{F}= & \frac{3}{8} l_{4}^{q}+\frac{27}{2} l_{2}^{q}+33 l_{1}^{q}+\frac{1037}{144}, \\
a_{33}^{F}= & -\frac{83}{24} . \\
\text { Also here the leading log coefficient, } a_{33}^{F}, \text { agrees with }[12] . \\
\text { We can similarly to the previous subsection rewrite the results in terms of the combi- } \\
\text { nations } & r_{i}^{q} i=1, \ldots, 6, M, F . \text { The rewriting is not fully possible here. } \\
& +\frac{17}{2} l_{3}^{q}+\frac{569}{60} l_{2}^{q}+\frac{77}{10} l_{1}^{q}-\frac{7499}{2160} . \\
a_{30}^{F}= & r_{F 8}^{q}-l_{4}^{q} r_{F}^{q}-32 l_{4}^{q} c_{6}^{q}+(1 / 2) r_{6}^{q}-(3 / 2) r_{5}^{q}-(3 / 2) r_{4}^{q}-(1 / 4) r_{3}^{q}-r_{M}^{q}+4 c_{12}^{q}-2 c_{6}^{q}-c_{5}^{q} \\
& -l_{3}^{q} l_{4}^{q}-2\left(l_{3}^{q}\right)^{2}+l_{2}^{q} l_{4}^{q}+4 l_{2}^{q} l_{3}^{q}+\frac{1}{2} l_{1}^{q} l_{4}^{q}+12 l_{1}^{q} l_{3}^{q}+\frac{313}{192} l_{4}^{q}+\frac{241}{48} l_{3}^{q}+\frac{1469}{800} l_{2}^{q}+\frac{2359}{600} l_{1}^{q} \\
& -\frac{383293667}{1555200}+\frac{8}{9} \zeta_{3}, \\
a_{31}^{F}= & -(17 / 6) r_{6}^{q}+(33 / 2) r_{5}^{q}+(33 / 2) r_{4}^{q}+3 r_{3}^{q}-(1 / 8) r_{2}^{q}-r_{M}^{q}-(3 / 2) r_{F}^{q}-16 c_{20}^{q}-20 c_{14}^{q} \\
& -148 c_{6}^{q}-46 c_{5}^{q}+(80 / 3) c_{3}^{q}-l_{3}^{q} l_{4}^{q}-4 l_{2}^{q} l_{4}^{q}+16 l_{2}^{q} l_{3}^{q}-7 l_{1}^{q} l_{4}^{q}+28 l_{1}^{q} l_{3}^{q}-\frac{13}{6} l_{4}^{q}  \tag{5.16}\\
\hline
\end{array}\right)
$$

## 6 Numerical study: mass dependence

Now that the analytic forms of the mass and decay constant have been obtained, we can do a first numerical analysis of the mass dependence. We present only results for one choice of input parameters to give an impression of the size of the NNNLO correction.

The expansions given in the previous section correspond to an expansion expressed in terms of the lowest order mass $M$ and decay constant $F$ in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{\pi}^{2}=M^{2}\{ & 1+x\left(a_{10}^{M}+a_{11}^{M} L_{M}\right)+x^{2}\left(a_{20}^{M}+a_{21}^{M} L_{M}+a_{22}^{M} L_{M}^{2}\right) \\
& \left.+x^{3}\left(a_{30}^{M}+a_{31}^{M} L_{M}+a_{32}^{M} L_{M}^{2}+a_{33}^{M} L_{M}^{3}\right)\right\} \\
F_{\pi}=F\{1 & +x\left(a_{10}^{F}+a_{11}^{F} L_{M}\right)+x^{2}\left(a_{20}^{F}+a_{21}^{F} L_{M}+a_{22}^{F} L_{M}^{2}\right) \\
& \left.+x^{3}\left(a_{30}^{F}+a_{31}^{F} L_{M}+a_{32}^{F} L_{M}^{2}+a_{33}^{F} L_{M}^{3}\right)\right\} \tag{6.1}
\end{align*}
$$

with $x=M^{2} /\left(16 \pi^{2} F^{2}\right)$ and $L_{M}=\log \left(M^{2} / \mu^{2}\right)$.
There are many ways to rewrite this expansion but the second most standard version is the inverse, namely

$$
\begin{align*}
M^{2}=M_{\pi}^{2}\{ & \left\{1+\xi\left(b_{10}^{M}+b_{11}^{M} L_{\pi}\right)+\xi^{2}\left(b_{20}^{M}+b_{21}^{M} L_{\pi}+b_{22}^{M} L_{\pi}^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\xi^{3}\left(b_{30}^{M}+b_{31}^{M} L_{\pi}+b_{32}^{M} L_{\pi}^{2}+b_{33}^{M} L_{\pi}^{3}\right)\right\}, \\
F=F_{\pi}\{ & 1+\xi\left(b_{10}^{F}+b_{11}^{F} L_{\pi}\right)+\xi^{2}\left(b_{20}^{F}+b_{21}^{F} L_{\pi}+b_{22}^{F} L_{\pi}^{2}\right) \\
& \left.+\xi^{3}\left(b_{30}^{F}+b_{31}^{F} L_{\pi}+b_{32}^{F} L_{\pi}^{2}+b_{33}^{F} L_{\pi}^{3}\right)\right\} \tag{6.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\xi=M_{\pi}^{2} /\left(16 \pi^{2} F_{\pi}^{2}\right)$ and $L_{\pi}=\log \left(M_{\pi}^{2} / \mu^{2}\right)$. The analytic expressions of the $a_{i j}^{F, M}$ are in the previous section and the $b_{i j}^{F, M}$ can be found in appendix C. These are often referred to as the $x$ - and $\xi$-expansion, see e.g. [18].

As input we use $\mu=0.77 \mathrm{GeV}, \bar{l}_{1}=-0.4, \bar{l}_{2}=4.3$ from [19], $\bar{l}_{3}=3.41, \bar{l}_{4}=4.51$ from the $N_{f}=2$ estimates of [18]. The numerical values for the $r_{i}^{r}$ are taken from [5]

$$
\begin{align*}
& 10^{4} r_{1}^{r}=-0.6, \quad 10^{4} r_{2}^{r}=1.3, \quad 10^{4} r_{3}^{r}=-1.7, \quad 10^{4} r_{4}^{r}=-1.0, \\
& 10^{4} r_{5}^{r}=1.1 \text {, }  \tag{6.3}\\
& 10^{4} r_{6}^{r}=0.3 \text {, } \\
& r_{M}^{r}=0, \\
& r_{F}^{r}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

The remaining $c_{i}^{r}$ and $r_{M 8}^{r}, r_{F 8}^{r}$ have been set to zero. The resulting numerical values of the $a_{i j}^{F, M}$ and $b_{i j}^{F, M}$ can be found in table 1. Note that the numerical values of $a_{30}^{F}$ and $b_{30}^{F}$ are rather large. This is due to the very large numerical coefficient $383293667 / 1555200 \approx 246.5$ appearing there, the remaining coefficients are of more natural size.

The quantities in (6.1)-(6.2) are plotted in figure 2(a)-(d), with the same inputs as above. For the $\xi$-expansion we kept $F_{\pi}=92.2 \mathrm{MeV}$ constant while varying $M_{\pi}$ and for the $x$-expansion we kept $F=92.2 / 1.073 \mathrm{MeV}$ constant while varying $M$. The convergence around the physical value $M_{\pi}^{2} \approx 0.02 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ is excellent. For the mass, the $\xi$-expansion converges much better, for the decay constant it is somewhat better. The effect of the very large constants $a_{30}^{F}$ and $b_{30}^{F}$ is clearly visible in the results for the decay constant.

## 7 Conclusions

In this paper we presented the calculation of the NNNLO contributions to the pion mass and decay constant in the isospin limit of two-flavour ChPT. We also calculated the mass in the $O(N) \phi^{4}$ case to show the principle. This required the evaluation of $2 \times 34$ diagrams

| $i j$ | $a_{i j}^{M}$ | $b_{i j}^{M}$ | $a_{i j}^{F}$ | $b_{i j}^{F}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0.0028 | -0.0028 | 1.0944 | -1.0944 |
| 11 | 0.5 | -0.5 | -1.0 | 1.0 |
| 20 | 1.6530 | -1.6577 | -0.0473 | -1.1500 |
| 21 | 2.4573 | -3.2904 | -1.9058 | 4.1388 |
| 22 | 2.125 | -0.625 | -1.25 | -0.25 |
| 30 | 0.4133 | -6.8035 | -244.5350 | 242.2724 |
| 31 | -3.7044 | 4.2718 | -15.4989 | 28.5703 |
| 32 | 17.1476 | 0.6204 | -9.3946 | -6.7751 |
| 33 | 4.2917 | 5.1458 | -3.4583 | -0.4167 |

Table 1. Numerical values of the $a_{i j}^{M, F}$ and $b_{i j}^{M, F}$ for the input parameters given in the text.
and their derivatives w.r.t. the momentum. The master integrals needed for the calculation were known. The tree level contributions from the NNNLO Lagrangian are unknown, but were here parameterized as free renormalized parameters. We reproduced the known NNLO results and the known leading logarithms.

A small numerical study of the two quantities was performed, and there was continuing good convergence at the physical pion mass.
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## A Master integrals

Below, the master integrals needed for the calculation ${ }^{2}$ are listed. To simplify the expressions of the master integrals below, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left(\varepsilon, M^{2}\right)=(4 \pi)^{\varepsilon} \Gamma(1+\varepsilon) M^{-2 \varepsilon} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, we denote the external momentum as $p$ with $p^{2}=M^{2}$, as is relevant for the quantities considered here.

## A. 1 One loop

We only need one one-loop integral, the well-known tadpole:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{i} \int \frac{d^{d} k}{(2 \pi)^{d}} \frac{1}{k^{2}-M^{2}}=\frac{C\left(\varepsilon, M^{2}\right)}{16 \pi^{2}} M^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+1+\varepsilon+\varepsilon^{2}\right) \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]

Figure 2. (a) The $x$-expansion for the mass, (b) the $x$-expansion for the decay constant, (c) the $\xi$-expansion for the mass, (d) the $\xi$-expansion for the decay constant at NLO, NNLO and NNNLO. LO is constant at 1 for all four plots.

## A. 2 Two loops

All needed two-loop integrals can be reduced to the equal mass on-shell sunset or products of tadpoles. The sunset result is, see e.g. [20]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{i^{2}} \int \frac{d^{d} k}{(2 \pi)^{d}} \frac{d^{d} l}{(2 \pi)^{d}} \frac{1}{\left(k^{2}-M^{2}\right)\left(l^{2}-M^{2}\right)\left((p-k-l)^{2}-M^{2}\right)}= \\
&\left(\frac{C\left(\varepsilon, M^{2}\right)}{16 \pi^{2}}\right)^{2} M^{2}\left[\frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}+\frac{17}{4} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\frac{59}{8}+\left(\frac{65}{16}+8 \zeta_{2}\right) \varepsilon\right] \tag{A.3}
\end{align*}
$$

## A. 3 Three loops

We only need two more master integrals at three-loop order. The other combinations are products of the one- and two-loop integrals. The first one is a vacuum integral:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{1}{i^{3}} \int \frac{d^{d} k}{(2 \pi)^{d}} \frac{d^{d} l}{(2 \pi)^{d}} \frac{d^{d} q}{(2 \pi)^{d}} \frac{1}{\left(k^{2}-M^{2}\right)\left((k+l)^{2}-M^{2}\right)\left((l+q)^{2}-M^{2}\right)\left(q^{2}-M^{2}\right)}= \\
\left(\frac{C\left(\varepsilon, M^{2}\right)}{16 \pi^{2}}\right)^{3} M^{4}\left[2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{3}}+\frac{23}{3} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}+\frac{35}{2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\frac{275}{12}\right] \tag{A.4}
\end{array}
$$

The second needed three-loop integral has external momentum running through it

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{i^{3}} \int \frac{d^{d} k}{(2 \pi)^{d}} \frac{d^{d} l}{(2 \pi)^{d}} \frac{d^{d} q}{(2 \pi)^{d}} \frac{1}{\left(k^{2}-M^{2}\right)\left((k+l)^{2}-M^{2}\right)\left((p-l)^{2}-M^{2}\right)\left((l+q)^{2}-M^{2}\right)\left(q^{2}-M^{2}\right)}= \\
\left(\frac{C\left(\varepsilon, M^{2}\right)}{16 \pi^{2}}\right)^{3} M^{2}\left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{3}}+\frac{16}{3} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}+16 \frac{1}{\varepsilon}+20-2 \zeta_{3}+16 \zeta_{2}\right] . \tag{A.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

These two are denoted as $I_{12}$ and $I_{8}$, respectively, in [8].

## B The expressions for the $r_{i}^{r}$

These are reproduced from [15].

$$
\begin{align*}
r_{F}^{r}= & 8 c_{7}^{r}+16 c_{8}^{r}+8 c_{9}^{r}, \\
r_{M}^{r}= & -32 c_{6}^{r}-16 c_{7}^{r}-32 c_{8}^{r}-16 c_{9}^{r}+48 c_{10}^{r}+96 c_{11}^{r}+32 c_{17}^{r}+64 c_{18}^{r}, \\
r_{1}^{r}= & 64 c_{1}^{r}-64 c_{2}^{r}+32 c_{4}^{r}-32 c_{5}^{r}+32 c_{6}^{r}-64 c_{7}^{r}-128 c_{8}^{r}-64 c_{9}^{r}+96 c_{10}^{r}+192 c_{11}^{r}-64 c_{14}^{r} \\
& +64 c_{16}^{r}+96 c_{17}^{r}+192 c_{18}^{r}, \\
r_{2}^{r}= & -96 c_{1}^{r}+96 c_{2}^{r}+32 c_{3}^{r}-32 c_{4}^{r}+32 c_{5}^{r}-64 c_{6}^{r}+32 c_{7}^{r}+64 c_{8}^{r}+32 c_{9}^{r}-32 c_{13}^{r}+32 c_{14}^{r} \\
& -64 c_{16}^{r}, \\
r_{3}^{r}= & 48 c_{1}^{r}-48 c_{2}^{r}-40 c_{3}^{r}+8 c_{4}^{r}-4 c_{5}^{r}+8 c_{6}^{r}-8 c_{12}^{r}+20 c_{13}^{r}, \\
r_{4}^{r}= & -8 c_{3}^{r}+4 c_{5}^{r}-8 c_{6}^{r}+8 c_{12}^{r}-4 c_{13}^{r}, \\
r_{5}^{r}= & -8 c_{1}^{r}+10 c_{2}^{r}+14 c_{3}^{r}, \\
r_{6}^{r}= & 6 c_{2}^{r}+2 c_{3}^{r} . \tag{B.1}
\end{align*}
$$

## C Analytic expressions for $b_{i j}^{M, F}$

Below, the analytic forms of the coefficients $b_{i j}^{M, F}$ are given. The notation is the same as in section 5 . We only give here the result in terms of the $r_{i}^{r}$.

The mass coefficients are

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{10}^{M}= & -2 l_{3}^{r}, \\
b_{11}^{M}= & -\frac{1}{2}, \\
b_{20}^{M}= & -\frac{163}{96}-r_{M}^{q}-4 l_{3}^{q} l_{4}^{q}+8\left(l_{3}^{q}\right)^{2}-2 l_{2}^{q}-l_{1}^{q}, \\
b_{21}^{M}= & \frac{13}{3}-l_{4}^{q}+11 l_{3}^{q}+8 l_{2}^{q}+14 l_{1}^{q}, \\
b_{22}^{M}= & -\frac{5}{8}, \\
b_{30}^{M}= & -\frac{4209509}{777600}+r_{q}^{6}-3 r_{q}^{5}-\frac{7}{2} r_{q}^{4}-\frac{1}{2} r_{q}^{3}+\frac{13}{6} \zeta_{3}-\frac{163}{24} l_{4}^{q}-4 l_{4}^{q} r_{q}^{M}+\frac{93}{16} l_{3}^{q}+10 l_{3}^{q} r_{q}^{M} \\
& +128 l_{3}^{q} c_{6}^{q}-10 l_{3}^{q}\left(l_{4}^{q}\right)^{2}+40\left(l_{3}^{q}\right)^{2} l_{4}^{q}-40\left(l_{3}^{q}\right)^{3}-\frac{7451}{1200} l_{2}^{q}-8 l_{2}^{q} l_{4}^{q}+12 l_{2}^{q} l_{3}^{q}-\frac{3223}{1200} l_{1}^{q} \\
& -4 l_{1}^{q} l_{4}^{q}+6 l_{1}^{q} l_{3}^{q}, \\
b_{31}^{M}= & \frac{134551}{8640}+6 r_{q}^{6}+14 r_{q}^{5}+11 r_{q}^{4}+5 r_{q}^{3}+2 r_{q}^{2}+\frac{5}{2} r_{q}^{1}+\frac{11}{2} r_{q}^{M}+\frac{52}{3} l_{4}^{q}-\frac{5}{2}\left(l_{4}^{q}\right)^{2}-\frac{56}{3} l_{3}^{q} \\
& +54 l_{3}^{q} l_{4}^{q}-84\left(l_{3}^{q}\right)^{2}+\frac{291}{10} l_{2}^{q}+32 l_{2}^{q} l_{4}^{q}-48 l_{2}^{q} l_{3}^{q}+\frac{34}{5} l_{1}^{q}+56 l_{1}^{q} l_{4}^{q}-84 l_{1}^{q} l_{3}^{q}, \\
b_{32}^{M}= & -\frac{71}{3}-\frac{1}{2} l_{4}^{q}-\frac{161}{4} l_{3}^{q}-45 l_{2}^{q}-60 l_{1}^{q}, \\
b_{33}^{M}= & \frac{247}{48} . \tag{C.1}
\end{align*}
$$

The coefficients for the decay constant are

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{10}^{F}= & -l_{4}^{q}, \\
b_{11}^{F}= & 1, \\
b_{20}^{F}= & \frac{13}{192}-r_{q}^{F}-\left(l_{4}^{q}\right)^{2}+2 l_{3}^{q} l_{4}^{q}+l_{2}^{q}+\frac{1}{2} l_{1}^{q}, \\
b_{21}^{F}= & -\frac{29}{12}+3 l_{4}^{q}-4 l_{2}^{q}-7 l_{1}^{q}, \\
b_{22}^{F}= & -\frac{1}{4}, \\
b_{30}^{F}= & \frac{380653067}{1555200}-\frac{1}{2} r_{q}^{6}+\frac{3}{2} r_{q}^{5}+\frac{3}{2} r_{q}^{4}+\frac{1}{4} r_{q}^{3}-\frac{8}{9} \zeta_{3}-4 c_{12}^{q}+2 c_{6}^{q}+c_{5}^{q}+\frac{65}{192} l_{4}^{q}+l_{4}^{q} r_{q}^{M} \\
& -3 l_{4}^{q} r_{q}^{F}+32 l_{4}^{q} c_{6}^{q}-2\left(l_{4}^{q}\right)^{3}-\frac{35}{24} l_{3}^{q}+4 l_{3}^{q} r_{q}^{F}+8 l_{3}^{q}\left(l_{4}^{q}\right)^{2}-8\left(l_{3}^{q}\right)^{2} l_{4}^{q}-\frac{3069}{800} l_{2}^{q}+5 l_{2}^{q} l_{4}^{q} \\
& -\frac{2959}{600} l_{1}^{q}+\frac{5}{2} l_{1}^{q} l_{4}^{q}, \\
b_{31}^{F}= & \frac{58121}{8640}+\frac{17}{6} r_{q}^{6}-\frac{33}{2} r_{q}^{5}-\frac{33}{2} r_{q}^{4}-3 r_{q}^{3}+\frac{1}{8} r_{q}^{2}+\frac{13}{2} r_{q}^{F}+16 c_{20}^{q}+20 c_{14}^{q}+96 c_{12}^{q} \\
& -148 c_{6}^{q}+46 c_{5}^{q}-\frac{80}{3} c_{3}^{q}-\frac{145}{12} l_{4}^{q}+10\left(l_{4}^{q}\right)^{2}-\frac{5}{6} l_{3}^{q}-16 l_{3}^{q} l_{4}^{q}-\frac{389}{60} l_{2}^{q}-20 l_{2}^{q} l_{4}^{q} \\
& +\frac{63}{10} l_{1}^{q}-35 l_{1}^{q} l_{4}^{q}, \\
b_{32}^{F}= & \frac{859}{144}-\frac{25}{4} l_{4}^{q}+\frac{29}{2} l_{2}^{q}+16 l_{1}^{q}, \\
b_{33}^{F}= & -\frac{5}{12} . \tag{C.2}
\end{align*}
$$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ These are slightly different from [5] since we have chosen not to put $l_{1}^{r}=0$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ In this section, everything has been truncated so as to only include terms relevant for this particular calculation.

