RECEIVED: July 12, 2020

REVISED: September 5, 2020

ACCEPTED: September 24, 2020

PUBLISHED: October 27, 2020

A field theoretical model for quarkyonic matter

Gaoqing Cao^a and Jinfeng Liao^b

^a*School of Physics and Astronomy, Sun Yat-sen University,
Guangzhou 510275, China*

^b*Physics Department and Center for Exploration of Energy and Matter,
Indiana University, 2401 N Milo B. Sampson Lane, Bloomington, Indiana 47408, U.S.A.*

E-mail: caogaoqing@mail.sysu.edu.cn , liaoji@indiana.edu

ABSTRACT: The possibility that nuclear matter at a density relevant to the interior of massive neutron stars may be a quarkyonic matter has attracted considerable recent interest. In this work, we construct a phenomenological model to describe the quarkyonic matter, that would allow quantitative calculations of its various properties within a well-defined field theoretical framework. This is implemented by synthesizing the Walecka model together with the quark-meson model, where both quark and nucleon degrees of freedom are present based on the quarkyonic scenario. With this model we compute at mean-field level the thermodynamic properties of the symmetric nuclear matter and calibrate model parameters through well-known nuclear physics measurements. We find this model gives a very good description of the symmetric nuclear matter from moderate to high baryon density and demonstrates a continuous transition from nucleon-dominance to quark-dominance for the system.

KEYWORDS: Chiral Lagrangians, Effective Field Theories, Phase Diagram of QCD

ARXIV EPRINT: [2007.02028](https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.02028)

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	An effective model for quarkyonic matter	2
2.1	Lagrangian and thermodynamic potential	2
2.2	Gap equations and energy density	4
3	Model parameters	6
4	The quarkyonic matter properties	8
5	Conclusions	10

---

1 Introduction

To understand the phases and properties of strong interaction matter at high baryon density, especially in the region relevant to the interior of massive neutron stars, is a very active frontier in the research field of nuclear physics and nuclear astrophysics. The study of high baryon density region is also very relevant to ongoing experimental measurements (e.g. STAR at RHIC and HADES at SPS) of relativistic heavy ion collisions at low beam energy as well as planned programs at future facilities like the FAIR, NICA and HIAF. Both neutron star observations and heavy ion experiments will help promote our understanding of the phase diagram over a broad range of temperature and baryon density for the strong interaction matter governed by Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD). For recent reviews, see e.g. refs. [1–5].

While a lot has been learned about the QCD matter properties at zero or very small baryon density, the high density region remains a significant challenge. There are several interesting proposals about possible phases of high density QCD matter, such as (two-flavor) color superconductivity [6, 7], color-flavor locking [8, 9], or quarkyonic matter [10]. In the density region comparable with the neutron star interior, the quarkyonic matter might be more directly relevant, thus we shall focus on that phase in this work. The quarkyonic matter was first proposed by following insights from the large N_c analysis and emphasizing the coexistence of nucleon/quark degrees of freedom [10–13]. In the large isospin density (but small baryon density) region, an analogous “quarksonic matter” was proposed by following similar arguments in ref. [14]. Recently, there has been increasing interest to explore the possible existence of quarkyonic matter inside compact stars or in heavy ion collisions, and the relevant consequences for astrophysical and experimental observations [15–25].

Certain issues require improvements over previous studies, many of which were based on simple (and often oversimplified) picture implementations with crude and ad hoc approximations. The chiral symmetry restoration with increasing density often lacked a

dynamical treatment. The important physics constraints from the lower density side, e.g. nuclear matter saturation properties, were not carefully checked. Given these issues, it is therefore important to develop a more sophisticated phenomenological model to study the quarkyonic matter. In particular, it would be very useful if the model allows calculations of its various properties and quantitative scrutiny of important physics constraints within a well-defined field theoretical framework. This is the main goal of our study, with the first successful step to be reported in the present paper. It may be noted that our approach is different from an effective field theory approach such as the Chiral Perturbation Theory.

The rest of paper is organized as follows. In section 2, the model Lagrangian density is constructed and the main formalism is developed in great details by focusing on symmetric nuclear matter. Then in section 3, the model parameters are fixed according to the saturation properties observed from low energy nuclear experiments. In section 4, the thermodynamic properties are computed for quarkyonic matter for a wide range of baryon densities within our new model. Finally, we conclude in section 5.

2 An effective model for quarkyonic matter

2.1 Lagrangian and thermodynamic potential

By following the spirit of quark-baryonic (or quarkyonic) matter (QBM) with both quarks and baryons as the effective degrees of freedom of the strong interaction system, we construct a field theoretical model which combines the quark-meson (QM) model [26] together with the well-known Walecka model [27]. The quark-meson and Walecka models are common on one aspect: mesons are the “messengers” of the interactions between quarks or baryons. The overall Lagrangian density of our two-flavor model is composed of three parts, that is, $\mathcal{L}_{QBM} \equiv \mathcal{L}_q + \mathcal{L}_N + \mathcal{L}_M$ with the following explicit forms:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L}_q &= \bar{q} \left[i \not{\partial} + \left(\mu_B / N_c + \frac{\mu_I}{2} \tau_3 \right) \gamma^0 - g_q (\sigma + i \gamma^5 \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}) \right] q, \\
 \mathcal{L}_N &= \bar{N} \left[i \not{\partial} + \left(\mu_B + \frac{\mu_I}{2} \tau_3 \right) \gamma^0 - g_{Ns} (\sigma + i \gamma^5 \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}) - g_{Nv} (\not{\boldsymbol{\phi}} - \gamma^5 \not{\boldsymbol{A}}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} \right] N, \\
 \mathcal{L}_M &= \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \sigma \partial^\mu \sigma + D_\mu \boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot D^\mu \boldsymbol{\pi}) - \frac{\lambda}{4} (\sigma^2 + \boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} - v^2)^2 + c \sigma \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} g_{sv} (\sigma^2 + \boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}) (\rho_\mu \cdot \rho^\mu + A_\mu \cdot A^\mu) - \frac{1}{4} (D_\mu \rho_\nu - D_\nu \rho_\mu) \cdot (D^\mu \rho^\nu - D^\nu \rho^\mu) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} m_v^2 \rho_\mu \cdot \rho^\mu - \frac{1}{4} (D_\mu A_\nu - D_\nu A_\mu) \cdot (D^\mu A^\nu - D^\nu A^\mu) + \frac{1}{2} m_a^2 A_\mu \cdot A^\mu. \quad (2.1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Here, the quantum fields are defined as the following: $q(x) = (u(x), d(x))^T$ denotes the two-flavor quark field with color degrees of freedom $N_c = 3$, $N(x) = (p(x), n(x))^T$ is the two-flavor nucleon field *outside* the Fermi spheres of quarks if exist, $\sigma(x)$ and $\boldsymbol{\pi}(x)$ are the scalar and pseudoscalar mesons, while ρ_μ^a (with ρ_μ^0 the ω meson) and A_μ^a ($a = 0, \dots, 3$) are vector and axial vector mesons, respectively. The baryon and isospin chemical potentials are given by μ_B and $\frac{\mu_I}{2}$, respectively. The isospin matrices are

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} = \left(1, \frac{\tau_x - i\tau_y}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{\tau_x + i\tau_y}{\sqrt{2}}, \tau_z \right)$$

with τ_x, τ_y and τ_z the Pauli matrices in flavor space. The derivative operators are defined as $D_0 = \partial_0 \mp i\mu_I$ for the charged $\pi^\pm, \rho_\mu^\pm$ and $A_\mu^\pm$, and $D_\mu = \partial_\mu$ for the others. For the isospin symmetric case with $\mu_I = 0$, the Lagrangian has exact chiral symmetry in the chiral limit $c = 0$ and when chiral anomaly is neglected by choosing $m_v = m_a$. In the realistic case, with the linear coefficient $c \neq 0$ and the masses $m_v < m_a$, there is only approximate chiral symmetry in the QBM model.

Let us first discuss the vacuum of the above model at temperature $T = 0$ and chemical potential $\mu = 0$. In mean field approximation, the thermodynamic potential is only given by the mesonic part in the vacuum, that is,

$$\Omega_v = \frac{\lambda}{4} (\langle \sigma \rangle^2 + \langle \boldsymbol{\pi} \rangle \cdot \langle \boldsymbol{\pi} \rangle - v^2)^2 - c \langle \sigma \rangle, \quad (2.2)$$

the global minimum of which locates at $\langle \boldsymbol{\pi} \rangle = 0$ and

$$\langle \sigma \rangle = \sum_{t=\pm} \left[\frac{c}{2\lambda} + t i \sqrt{\frac{v^6}{27} - \left(\frac{c}{2\lambda} \right)^2} \right]^{1/3}. \quad (2.3)$$

It can be checked that we simply have $\langle \sigma \rangle = v$ in the chiral limit $c \rightarrow 0$. Based on the ground state, the sigma and pion masses can then be derived as [26]

$$m_\sigma^2 = \lambda (3\langle \sigma \rangle^2 - v^2), \quad m_\pi^2 = \lambda (\langle \sigma \rangle^2 - v^2), \quad (2.4)$$

which indicate the σ and π mesons as the massive and Goldstone modes, respectively.

If we adopt the quark version of Goldberger-Treiman relation: $f_\pi^2 g_q^2 = m_q^2$ [28], the expectation value of σ is found to be $\langle \sigma \rangle_v = f_\pi$ in vacuum. Then, the parameters in the mesonic sector can be determined by the vacuum masses m_σ, m_π and pion decay constant f_π as

$$\lambda = \frac{m_\sigma^2 - m_\pi^2}{2f_\pi^2}, \quad v^2 = \frac{m_\sigma^2 - 3m_\pi^2}{m_\sigma^2 - m_\pi^2} f_\pi^2, \quad c = f_\pi m_\pi^2. \quad (2.5)$$

We next discuss the other model parameters in the quark and baryonic sectors. Firstly, the coupling constants between the scalar sector mesons and quarks or nucleons can be fixed by their vacuum masses as $g_q = m_q^v/f_\pi \equiv m_\sigma/(2f_\pi)$ [26] and $g_{Ns} = m_N^v/f_\pi$. The quantities m_π, f_π and m_N^v are well determined from the experiments. The other parameters like m_q^v (or m_σ), g_{Nv} and g_{sv} will be constrained later by the empirical saturation properties of nuclear matter. Note also that with the additional scalar-vector interaction, the vector mass is given by $m_v^2 + g_{sv}f_\pi^2 = (785 \text{ MeV})^2$ in the vacuum.

We now turn to compute thermodynamics at finite temperature and chemical potentials, where quarks and nucleons will also give contributions. In this paper, we will focus on the (isospin-)symmetric nuclear matter as a first step, by choosing $\mu_B > 0$ and $\mu_I = 0$. The thermodynamic contributions from the quark and baryon sectors are given below:

$$\Omega_q^t = -4N_c T \sum_{t=\pm} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \ln \left(1 + e^{-[E_q(\mathbf{p}) + t \frac{\mu_B}{N_c}]/T} \right), \quad (2.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_N^t = & -\frac{1}{2} (g_{sv} \langle \sigma \rangle^2 + m_v^2) (\langle \omega_0 \rangle^2 + (\langle \rho_0^3 \rangle)^2) \\ & -4T \sum_{t=\pm} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \ln \left(\frac{1 + e^{-[E_N(\mathbf{p}) + t(\mu_B - g_{Nv} \langle \omega_0 \rangle)]/T}}{1 + e^{-[E_N(\mathbf{p}) + t(\mu'_B - g_{Nv} \langle \omega_0 \rangle)]/T}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where the dispersion relations are $E_q(\mathbf{p}) = (\mathbf{p}^2 + m_q^2)^{1/2}$ with $m_q = g_q \langle \sigma \rangle$ and $E_N(\mathbf{p}) = (\mathbf{p}^2 + m_N^2)^{1/2}$ with $m_N = g_{Ns} \langle \sigma \rangle$. The vector mean-field condensate is subject to the physical constraint $0 \leq g_{Nv} \langle \omega_0 \rangle \leq \mu_B$, that is, the nucleon chemical potential is reduced by $\langle \omega_0 \rangle$ but never changes sign.

The crucial step here is to implement the quarkyonic picture in the momentum space, in which the interior of the Fermi sea is filled up by quarks while the nucleons are excluded to reside in an outside shell of the Fermi sea [10, 11]. In our model, the boundary for “Pauli-blocked” nucleon sphere is characterized by an effective chemical potential μ'_B . The nucleons in the quarkyonic matter exist between the Fermi sphere stretched by μ'_B and μ_B . As one can tell in Ω_N^t : the thermodynamics potential of the nucleonic part is obtained by subtracting the supposed inner contribution (with μ'_B) out of the naive total one (with μ_B). It is important to have an appropriate scheme for determining the μ'_B . One possible choice is the μ_B -linear form:

$$\mu'_B = \mu_B - (N_c m_q - m_N), \quad (2.8)$$

based on comparing kinetic energy of a baryon with that of N_c quarks. Another nonlinear choice assumes that the momenta of the valence quarks of proton (uud) and neutron (udd) are the same and nucleons are blocked by the free quarks from the Fermi sphere [18], that is,

$$\mu'_B = \sqrt{m_N^2 + (N_c k_F)^2}, \quad (2.9)$$

which is smaller than μ_B as $N_c m_q > m_N$. Here, the effective Fermi momentum of the u and d quarks is

$$k_F = \left[(\mu_B / N_c)^2 - m_q^2 \right]^{1/2}. \quad (2.10)$$

This definition is based on comparing momentum of a baryon with that of N_c quarks. We will perform computations with both choices of μ'_B and compare their results later. In principle, this “boundary” scale should be generated nonperturbatively by the underlying confinement dynamics, which however is not implemented in the present model. We mimic the emergence of such a scale by adopting kinematic matching conditions here, but one should note possible dynamical generation of this scale through other approaches, e.g. holographic QCD [25].

2.2 Gap equations and energy density

In mean field approximation, the total thermodynamic potential is then $\Omega = \Omega_v + \Omega_q^t + \Omega_N^t$ and the gap equations can be obtained from the extremal conditions $\partial \Omega / \partial X = 0$ ($X = \langle \omega_0 \rangle, \langle \sigma \rangle$) as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \omega_0 \rangle = & -4 \sum_{t=\pm} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{t \frac{g_{Nv}}{m_v^2 + g_{sv} \langle \sigma \rangle^2}}{1 + e^{[E_N(\mathbf{p}) + t(\mu_B - g_{Nv} \langle \omega_0 \rangle)]/T}} \\ & + 4 \sum_{t=\pm} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{t \frac{g_{Nv}}{m_v^2 + g_{sv} \langle \sigma \rangle^2}}{1 + e^{[E_N(\mathbf{p}) + t(\mu'_B - g_{Nv} \langle \omega_0 \rangle)]/T}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lambda (\langle \sigma \rangle^2 - v^2) \langle \sigma \rangle - c - g_{sv} \langle \sigma \rangle \langle \omega_0 \rangle^2 + 4N_c \sum_{t=\pm} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{g_q m_q / E_q(\mathbf{p})}{1 + e^{[E_q(\mathbf{p}) + t \frac{\mu_B}{N_c}] / T}} \\
 & + 4 \sum_{t=\pm} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{g_{Ns} m_N / E_N(\mathbf{p})}{1 + e^{[E_N(\mathbf{p}) + t(\mu_B - g_{Nv} \langle \omega_0 \rangle)] / T}} \\
 & - 4 \sum_{t=\pm} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{g_{Ns} m_N / E_N(\mathbf{p}) + t \partial \mu'_B / \partial \langle \sigma \rangle}{1 + e^{[E_N(\mathbf{p}) + t(\mu'_B - g_{Nv} \langle \omega_0 \rangle)] / T}} = 0,
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

where the derivatives of the effective chemical potential are $\frac{\partial \mu'_B}{\partial \langle \sigma \rangle} = g_{Ns} - g_q N_c$ for the linear choice and $\frac{\partial \mu'_B}{\partial \langle \sigma \rangle} = \frac{1}{\mu'_B} [g_{Ns} m_N - g_q N_c^2 m_q]$ for the nonlinear choice, respectively.

Furthermore, the baryon number and entropy densities can be derived directly according to the thermodynamic relationships $n_B = -\partial \Omega / \partial \mu_B$ and $s = -\partial \Omega / \partial T$ as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 n_B = -4 \sum_{t=\pm} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} t & \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{[E_q(\mathbf{p}) + t \frac{\mu_B}{N_c}] / T}} + \frac{1}{1 + e^{[E_N(\mathbf{p}) + t(\mu_B - g_{Nv} \langle \omega_0 \rangle)] / T}} \right. \\
 & \left. - \frac{\partial \mu'_B / \partial \mu_B}{1 + e^{[E_N(\mathbf{p}) + t(\mu'_B - g_{Nv} \langle \omega_0 \rangle)] / T}} \right),
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 s = 4 \sum_{t=\pm} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} & \left(N_c \ln \left(1 + e^{-[E_q(\mathbf{p}) + t \frac{\mu_B}{N_c}] / T} \right) + \frac{N_c E_q(\mathbf{p}) + t \mu_B}{T(1 + e^{[E_q(\mathbf{p}) + t \frac{\mu_B}{N_c}] / T})} \right. \\
 & + \ln \left(1 + e^{-[E_N(\mathbf{p}) + t(\mu_B - g_{Nv} \langle \omega_0 \rangle)] / T} \right) \\
 & + \frac{E_N(\mathbf{p}) + t(\mu_B - g_{Nv} \langle \omega_0 \rangle)}{T(1 + e^{[E_N(\mathbf{p}) + t(\mu_B - g_{Nv} \langle \omega_0 \rangle)] / T})} - \ln \left(1 + e^{-[E_N(\mathbf{p}) + t(\mu'_B - g_{Nv} \langle \omega_0 \rangle)] / T} \right) \\
 & \left. - \frac{E_N(\mathbf{p}) + t(\mu'_B - g_{Nv} \langle \omega_0 \rangle)}{T(1 + e^{[E_N(\mathbf{p}) + t(\mu'_B - g_{Nv} \langle \omega_0 \rangle)] / T})} \right),
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

where the explicit forms of the derivatives of the effective chemical potentials in eq. (2.13) are given by $\frac{\partial \mu'_B}{\partial \mu_B} = 1$ for the linear choice and $\frac{\partial \mu'_B}{\partial \mu_B} = \frac{\mu_B}{\mu'_B}$ for the nonlinear choice, respectively. Thus, the energy density of the quarkyonic matter is found to be

$$\begin{aligned}
 \epsilon & \equiv \Omega + \mu_B n_B + sT - (T = \mu_B = 0) \\
 & = \frac{\lambda}{4} (\langle \sigma \rangle^2 - v^2)^2 - c \langle \sigma \rangle - \frac{1}{2} (m_v^2 + g_{sv} \langle \sigma \rangle^2) \langle \omega_0 \rangle^2 \\
 & + 4 \sum_{t=\pm} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \left(\frac{N_c E_q(\mathbf{p})}{1 + e^{[E_q(\mathbf{p}) + t \frac{\mu_B}{N_c}] / T}} + \frac{E_N(\mathbf{p}) - t g_{Nv} \langle \omega_0 \rangle}{1 + e^{[E_N(\mathbf{p}) + t(\mu_B - g_{Nv} \langle \omega_0 \rangle)] / T}} \right. \\
 & \left. - \frac{E_N(\mathbf{p}) + t[(\mu'_B - \mu_B \partial \mu'_B / \partial \mu_B) - g_{Nv} \langle \omega_0 \rangle]}{1 + e^{[E_N(\mathbf{p}) + t(\mu'_B - g_{Nv} \langle \omega_0 \rangle)] / T}} \right) - (T = \mu_B = 0),
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

where we assume $m_N^2 + (N_c k_F)^2 > 0$ and the vacuum term is excluded to make sure the medium energy vanishes in the vacuum.

To fix the remaining parameters of the model, we turn to zero temperature limit where some empirical results are well known. The explicit form of the baryon density eq. (2.13)

for the quarkyonic matter becomes

$$n_B = n_B^q + n_B^N - n_B^{N'} \equiv \frac{2p_{qF}^3}{3\pi^2} + \frac{2p_{NF}^3}{3\pi^2} - \frac{\partial\mu'_B}{\partial\mu_B} \frac{2p_{N'F}^3}{3\pi^2}, \quad (2.16)$$

where p_{NF} and $p_{N'F}$ are the Fermi momenta of the occupied and Pauli-blocked nucleon states, and p_{qF} is the Fermi momentum of the occupied quark states, respectively. The Fermi momenta are related to the chemical potentials through the Fermi energies as

$$\begin{aligned} E_{qF} &\equiv E_q(p_{qF}) = \mu_B/N_c, \\ E_{NF} &\equiv E_N(p_{NF}) = \mu_B - g_{Nv}\langle\omega_0\rangle, \\ E_{N'F} &\equiv E_N(p_{N'F}) = \mu'_B - g_{Nv}\langle\omega_0\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

In this case, we're glad that the momentum integrations involved in the gap equations eqs. (2.11) and (2.12) and energy density eq. (2.15) can be carried out explicitly with the help of Fermi momenta as

$$0 = \langle\omega_0\rangle(m_v^2 + g_{sv}\langle\sigma\rangle^2) - g_{Nv} \Delta \frac{2p_{NF}^3}{3\pi^2}, \quad (2.18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & \lambda (\langle\sigma\rangle^2 - v^2) \langle\sigma\rangle - c - g_{sv}\langle\sigma\rangle\langle\omega_0\rangle^2 + \frac{g_{Ns}m_N}{\pi^2} \Delta \left[E_{NF}p_{NF} - m_N^2 \ln \left(\frac{E_{NF} + p_{NF}}{m_N} \right) \right] \\ & + N_c \frac{g_q m_q}{\pi^2} \left[E_{qF}p_{qF} - m_q^2 \ln \left(\frac{E_{qF} + p_{qF}}{m_q} \right) \right] + \frac{\partial\mu'_B}{\partial\langle\sigma\rangle} \frac{2p_{N'F}^3}{3\pi^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon = & \frac{\lambda}{4} (\langle\sigma\rangle^2 - v^2)^2 - c \langle\sigma\rangle + \frac{1}{2} (m_v^2 + g_{sv}\langle\sigma\rangle^2) \langle\omega_0\rangle^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \Delta \left[2E_{NF}^3 p_{NF} - m_N^2 E_{NF} p_{NF} - m_N^4 \ln \left(\frac{E_{NF} + p_{NF}}{m_N} \right) \right] \\ & + \frac{N_c}{4\pi^2} \left[2E_{qF}^3 p_{qF} - m_q^2 E_{qF} p_{qF} - m_q^4 \ln \left(\frac{E_{qF} + p_{qF}}{m_q} \right) \right] \\ & + \left(\mu'_B - \mu_B \frac{\partial\mu'_B}{\partial\mu_B} \right) \frac{2p_{N'F}^3}{3\pi^2} - (\mu_B = 0), \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

where the symbol “ Δ ” means excluding the corresponding one with $N \rightarrow N'$ for the energy and momentum. Combining eqs. (2.16) and (2.18), we find $\langle\omega_0\rangle(m_v^2 + g_{sv}\langle\sigma\rangle^2) = g_{Nv} \Delta n_B^N$ for the linear choice, which actually has a definite physical meaning: the vector condensate is proportional to the nucleon density [27].

3 Model parameters

From the experimental measurements associated with finite nuclei, some properties of the infinite and isospin symmetric nuclear matter were extracted: the saturation density $n_0 \approx 0.16 \text{ fm}^{-3}$ [29], the energy per nucleon at this density $E/N \equiv \epsilon/n_B - m_N^v = -16 \text{ MeV}$ [30, 31] as well as the compressibility $K_0 = 240 \pm 20 \text{ MeV}$ [32]. Theoretically, they are related with each other as:

$$\frac{\partial(E/N)}{\partial n_B} \Big|_{n_B=n_0}^{\langle\sigma\rangle} = -\frac{\epsilon}{n_0^2} + \frac{\mu_{Bc}}{n_0} = \frac{P_c}{n_0} = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

$$K_0 = 9 \frac{\partial^2(E/N)}{\partial(n_B/n_0)^2} \Big|_{n_B=n_0}, \quad (3.2)$$

Figure 1. The extracted values for the coupling g_{sv} and the associated nucleon fraction R_N as functions of the quark vacuum mass m_q^v for both linear (red dotted) and nonlinear (blue dashed) μ'_B choices.

from which it is easy to infer the pressure $P_c = 0$ and the critical chemical potential $\mu_{Bc} = E/N + m_N^v = 923$ MeV.

Now, we use these saturation properties to fix the remaining parameters. The dynamical quark mass is varied in the range $m_q^v \gtrsim m_N^v/3$ which guarantees the stability of nucleons against the decay to quarks in vacuum. Then the order parameters $\langle \sigma \rangle$ and $\langle \omega_0 \rangle$ and coupling constants g_{Nv} and g_{sv} are fixed by solving the gap equations eqs. (2.11) and (2.12), saturation equation eq. (3.1) and the saturation energy $E/N = -16$ MeV self-consistently. The extracted results for g_{sv} and the associated nucleon fraction $R_N \equiv \Delta n_B^N/n_B$ as functions of m_q^v are shown together in figure 1 for both linear and nonlinear μ'_B choices. As we can see, the results are quantitatively consistent with each other for these choices, with only minor differences in the relatively smaller mass region.

In order to further fix the vacuum quark mass in our model, we show our model calculations together with the empirical constraint [32] in figure 2 for the compressibility at saturation density n_0 . From the results, we find the best agreement is achieved for $m_q^v = 370.4 \pm 0.8$ MeV. In the rest of this paper, we will then adopt the value $m_q^v = 370.4$ MeV, with the corresponding nucleon ratio $R_N \approx 85.8\%$ for both choices of μ'_B at saturation density. We note that at this density there is a nonzero albeit very small fraction of quarks that already emerge and coexist with the nucleons. The corresponding coupling constants are also fixed to be $g_{Nv} \approx 7.2$ and $g_{sv} \approx 81$, respectively.

At this point, all of our model parameters are fixed and the model satisfactorily catches the nuclear matter properties at saturation density. Lastly we examine the liquid-gas transition at this density. In figure 3, we show the thermodynamic potential Ω as a function of the quark condensate $\langle \sigma \rangle$ for both choices of μ'_B at the critical chemical potential μ_{Bc} . As

Figure 2. The compressibility K_0 of quarkyonic matter at saturation density n_0 as a function of the quark vacuum mass m_q^v for both linear (red dotted) and nonlinear (blue dashed) μ'_B choices. The yellow band is the constraint from experiments [32].

Figure 3. The thermodynamic potential Ω as a function of the chiral condensate $\langle\sigma\rangle$ at the critical chemical potential μ_{Bc} . The conventions are the same as those in figure 1.

one can see, there is a typical first-order transition structure with two degenerate minima: one at the vacuum value $\langle\sigma\rangle = f_\pi$, and the other new one at $\langle\sigma\rangle = 69.2$ MeV. At μ_{Bc} , the chiral condensate jumps from the vacuum value to the smaller one. In the next section we will analyze the matter properties at chemical potential beyond this transition point.

4 The quarkyonic matter properties

In this section we present results for quarkyonic matter properties in the region of a few times the saturation density. The chiral and vector condensates as well as the corresponding nucleon ratio are shown in figure 4 as functions of baryon chemical potential. We find that both $\langle\sigma\rangle$ and R_N decreases rapidly while $\langle\omega_0\rangle$ increases with μ_B . This implies that with increasing density, the chiral symmetry gets gradually restored with the quarks becoming lighter and more abundant. The increasing of $\langle\omega_0\rangle$ could be understood as due to the enhancement of the nucleon density with μ_B , even though the nucleon fraction R_N decreases.

We now compute the energy density of the system and present the closely related E/N in the upper panel of figure 5. As we can see, the E/N starts from the minimum value of -16 MeV at the saturation density n_0 and steadily increases toward higher density. A key quantity related to the equation-of-state (EOS) for the quarkyonic matter is the speed of

Figure 4. The chiral condensate $\langle\sigma\rangle$, vector condensate $\langle\omega_0\rangle$ and nucleon ratio R_N as functions of chemical potential μ_B in the chiral symmetry partially restored phase. The conventions are the same as those in figure 1.

sound $C_v \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\partial P}{\partial \epsilon}}$ [33]. In the lower panel of figure 5, we show C_v^2 versus baryon density for both linear and nonlinear μ'_B choices, which show small deviation from each other. In both cases, the speed of sound increases quickly between $1 \sim 2n_0$ and then approaches the high density asymptotical limit rather smoothly, in consistency with a continuous transition feature [33]. We note that our results are consistent with those given in refs. [18, 20] for both small and large density, except that the prominent peak structure in the intermediate density is absent in our model. The difference could be due to the hard core feature in ref. [20] which we do not have. Actually, the monotonous feature of C_v was also found in a recent study when diquark dynamics is ignored [34]. Nevertheless, we emphasize that such a monotonic approach to $\sqrt{1/3}$ from below might be altered by certain factors, especially in the case of neutron star matter. At high density, the beyond-mean-field quantum fluctuations of vector fields could enhance repulsive interactions and harden the equation of state. And in the presence of large isospin asymmetry, the rho field may play a particularly important role and give rise to nontrivial changes. We plan to extend the present study by taking into account of these factors in a future work.

Finally, we proceed to compare our EOS with the experimental extraction as well as other model calculations [35], see figure 6. The comparison indicates that our results

Figure 5. The energy per nucleon E/N and speed of sound C_v^2 as functions of baryon density n_B , the range of which corresponds to that of μ_B in figure 4. The conventions are the same as those in figure 1.

Figure 6. The comparison between our quarkyonic matter model results with those from experimental constraints (shadow region) and other model predictions (colored lines) for the pressure P of symmetric nuclear matter as a function of baryon density. Note that this plot is made via adapting an original figure extracted from Re. [35], for which we keep the original notations of the various physical quantities. In particular, the baryon density ρ (ρ_0) in this plot corresponds to n_B (n_0) we use in other places of the paper.

based on quarkyonic matter are reasonably consistent with the experimental constraints, especially in the large density region where quarks become more and more important.

5 Conclusions

In this work, we propose a field theoretical model for quarkyonic matter by combining the Walecka model together with the quark-meson model. We have systematically calibrated the model parameters based on various hadron properties in the vacuum as well as nuclear

matter properties at the saturation density. Based on that, we then extend our calculations to the large baryon density region and find a number of interesting results. Firstly, the chiral symmetry is partially and smoothly restored with increasing baryon chemical potential μ_B (see the upper panel of figure 4), contrary to the first-order transition and nearly full restoration in Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model [28]. Secondly, the vector condensate increases with μ_B (see the middle panel of figure 4) as the nucleon density increases, which can be easily expected from the proportionality shown in eq. (2.18). Thirdly, the nucleon ratio reduces (see the lower panel of figure 4) as the quark density enhances more quickly than the nucleon density, which indicates gradual dominance of the quark degrees of freedom at larger chemical potential. Finally we have calculated the equation of state and especially the speed of sound for quarkyonic matter in this model. The results are found to be consistent with predictions of various other models as well as with experimental constraints for symmetric nuclear matter at a few times the saturation density. Our overall conclusion is that, quantitative results from our field theoretical model of quarkyonic matter provide a satisfactory description about the properties of vacuum as well as nuclear matter up to several times the saturation density. Apart from the first-order liquid-gas transition at $\mu_{Bc} = 923 \text{ MeV}$, the results feature a continuous transition from nucleon-dominated regime to quark-dominated one along with gradual restoration of the chiral symmetry. It will be a natural step to further explore the implications of this quarkyonic matter model for the interiors of neutron stars, such as had been done in ref. [18]. The results shall be reported in a future publication.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Charles Horowitz and Larry McLerran for very helpful discussions. G.C. is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China with Grant No. 11805290 and Young Teachers Training Program of Sun Yat-sen University with Grant No. 19lgpy282. J.L. is supported in part by the U.S. NSF Grant No. PHY-1913729 and by the U.S. DOE Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics, within the framework of the Beam Energy Scan Theory (BEST) Topical Collaboration.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] D. Page and S. Reddy, *Dense matter in compact stars: theoretical developments and observational constraints*, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **56** (2006) 327 [[astro-ph/0608360](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] J.M. Lattimer and M. Prakash, *The equation of state of hot, dense matter and neutron stars*, *Phys. Rept.* **621** (2016) 127 [[arXiv:1512.07820](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [3] P. Braun-Munzinger, V. Koch, T. Schäfer and J. Stachel, *Properties of hot and dense matter from relativistic heavy ion collisions*, *Phys. Rept.* **621** (2016) 76 [[arXiv:1510.00442](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] A. Bzdak, S. Esumi, V. Koch, J. Liao, M. Stephanov and N. Xu, *Mapping the phases of quantum chromodynamics with beam energy scan*, *Phys. Rept.* **853** (2020) 1 [[arXiv:1906.00936](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] X. Luo and N. Xu, *Search for the QCD critical point with fluctuations of conserved quantities in relativistic heavy-ion collisions at RHIC: an overview*, *Nucl. Sci. Tech.* **28** (2017) 112 [[arXiv:1701.02105](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] M.G. Alford, K. Rajagopal and F. Wilczek, *QCD at finite baryon density: nucleon droplets and color superconductivity*, *Phys. Lett. B* **422** (1998) 247 [[hep-ph/9711395](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] R. Rapp, T. Schäfer, E.V. Shuryak and M. Velkovsky, *Diquark bose condensates in high density matter and instantons*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81** (1998) 53 [[hep-ph/9711396](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] M.G. Alford, K. Rajagopal and F. Wilczek, *Color flavor locking and chiral symmetry breaking in high density QCD*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **537** (1999) 443 [[hep-ph/9804403](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] M.G. Alford, A. Schmitt, K. Rajagopal and T. Schäfer, *Color superconductivity in dense quark matter*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80** (2008) 1455 [[arXiv:0709.4635](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] L. McLerran and R.D. Pisarski, *Phases of cold, dense quarks at large N_c* , *Nucl. Phys. A* **796** (2007) 83 [[arXiv:0706.2191](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] L. McLerran, K. Redlich and C. Sasaki, *Quarkyonic matter and chiral symmetry breaking*, *Nucl. Phys. A* **824** (2009) 86 [[arXiv:0812.3585](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] A. Andronic et al., *Hadron production in ultra-relativistic nuclear collisions: quarkyonic matter and a triple point in the phase diagram of QCD*, *Nucl. Phys. A* **837** (2010) 65 [[arXiv:0911.4806](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] T. Kojo, Y. Hidaka, L. McLerran and R.D. Pisarski, *Quarkyonic chiral spirals*, *Nucl. Phys. A* **843** (2010) 37 [[arXiv:0912.3800](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [14] G. Cao, L. He and X.-G. Huang, *Quarksonic matter at high isospin density*, *Chin. Phys. C* **41** (2017) 051001 [[arXiv:1610.06438](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] J. Steinheimer, S. Schramm and H. Stöcker, *The hadronic SU(3) parity doublet model for dense matter, its extension to quarks and the strange equation of state*, *Phys. Rev. C* **84** (2011) 045208 [[arXiv:1108.2596](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] S. Lottini and G. Torrieri, *Quarkyonic percolation and deconfinement at finite density and number of colors*, *Phys. Rev. C* **88** (2013) 024912 [[arXiv:1204.3272](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [17] G. Torrieri, S. Vogel and B. Bäuiche, *Photon signals from quarkyonic matter*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (2013) 012301 [[arXiv:1302.1119](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] L. McLerran and S. Reddy, *Quarkyonic matter and neutron stars*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122** (2019) 122701 [[arXiv:1811.12503](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] K. Fukushima and T. Kojo, *The quarkyonic star*, *Astrophys. J.* **817** (2016) 180 [[arXiv:1509.00356](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] K.S. Jeong, L. McLerran and S. Sen, *Dynamically generated momentum space shell structure of quarkyonic matter via an excluded volume model*, *Phys. Rev. C* **101** (2020) 035201 [[arXiv:1908.04799](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [21] S. Sen and N.C. Warrington, *Finite-temperature quarkyonic matter with an excluded volume model for nuclear interactions*, [arXiv:2002.11133](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] D.C. Duarte, S. Hernandez-Ortiz and K.S. Jeong, *Excluded-volume model for quarkyonic matter: three-flavor baryon-quark mixture*, *Phys. Rev. C* **102** (2020) 025203 [[arXiv:2003.02362](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [23] T. Zhao and J.M. Lattimer, *Quarkyonic matter equation of state in beta-equilibrium*, *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020) 023021 [[arXiv:2004.08293](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [24] C.-J. Xia, S.-S. Xue and S.-G. Zhou, *Nuclear matter, quarkyonic matter, and phase transitions in hybrid stars*, *JPS Conf. Proc.* **20** (2018) 011010 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [25] N. Kovensky and A. Schmitt, *Holographic quarkyonic matter*, *JHEP* **09** (2020) 112 [[arXiv:2006.13739](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [26] B.-J. Schaefer and J. Wambach, *Susceptibilities near the QCD (tri)critical point*, *Phys. Rev. D* **75** (2007) 085015 [[hep-ph/0603256](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] J.D. Walecka, *A theory of highly condensed matter*, *Ann. Phys.* **83** (1974) 491.
- [28] S.P. Klevansky, *The Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model of quantum chromodynamics*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **64** (1992) 649 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] R. Hofstadter, *Electron scattering and nuclear structure*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **28** (1956) 214 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [30] A.E.S. Green and D.F. Edwards, *Discontinuities in the nuclear mass surface*, *Phys. Rev.* **91** (1953) 46 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [31] A.E.S. Green, *Coulomb radius constant from nuclear masses*, *Phys. Rev.* **95** (1954) 1006 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [32] S. Shlomo, V.M. Kolomietz and G. Coló, *Deducing the nuclear-matter incompressibility coefficient from data on isoscalar compression modes*, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **30** (2006) 23.
- [33] G. Baym, T. Hatsuda, T. Kojo, P.D. Powell, Y. Song and T. Takatsuka, *From hadrons to quarks in neutron stars: a review*, *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **81** (2018) 056902 [[arXiv:1707.04966](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [34] M. Leonhardt et al., *Symmetric nuclear matter from the strong interaction*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125** (2020) 142502 [[arXiv:1907.05814](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [35] P. Danielewicz, R. Lacey and W.G. Lynch, *Determination of the equation of state of dense matter*, *Science* **298** (2002) 1592 [[nucl-th/0208016](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].