

RECEIVED: February 14, 2017

REVISED: July 21, 2017

ACCEPTED: September 20, 2017

PUBLISHED: October 5, 2017

2D Seiberg-like dualities with an adjoint matter

Kyoungho Cho,^a Hyungchul Kim^{b,c} and Jaemo Park^d

^a*Department of Physics, Sogang University,
Mapo-gu, Seoul 121-742, Korea*

^b*Center of Mathematical Sciences and Applications, Harvard University,
Cambridge, 02138, U.S.A.*

^c*Jefferson Physical Laboratory, Harvard University,
Cambridge, MA 02138, U.S.A.*

^d*Department of Physics, POSTECH,
Pohang 790-784, Korea*

E-mail: khcho23@sogang.ac.kr, hyungchul_kim@g.harvard.edu,
jaemo@postech.ac.kr

ABSTRACT: We consider the analogue of Kutasov-Schwimmer-Seiberg duality for two-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ $U(k)$ gauge theory with one adjoint X with the superpotential $\text{Tr } X^{l+1}$ and with fundamental and anti-fundamental chiral multiplets. We give the evidences for the proposed dualities by analytically proving that the elliptic genus of dual pair coincides with each other. For some of the dual pairs flowing to the superconformal field theory, we show the nonperturbative truncation of the chiral ring. For the theory with one adjoint and $N_f > k$ fundamental fields, we argue the theory exhibits mass gap.

KEYWORDS: Field Theories in Lower Dimensions, Supersymmetric Gauge Theory, Supersymmetry and Duality

ARXIV EPRINT: [1702.00235](https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.00235)

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Elliptic genus of theories	2
2.1	U(1) gauge theory with N_f chiral multiplets	4
2.2	U(k) with N_f fundamentals and one adjoint	5
2.2.1	Dual theory	8
2.3	U(k) with N_f fundamentals, N_a anti-fundamentals and one adjoint	9
2.3.1	Characteristic of the theory	11
2.4	U(k) with N pairs of fundamentals/anti-fundamentals and one adjoint	12
2.4.1	Modular property	14
2.4.2	Chiral primaries	15
2.5	Summary of the phase structure	20
3	Relation to dualities in 3 and 4 dimensions	20
3.1	From 4-dimensional dualities to 3-dimensional dualities	21
3.2	From the 3-dimensional dualities to the 2-dimensional dualities	23
4	Mass gap	24
A	χ_y genus	26

1 Introduction

Recently there has been much progress on the understanding the Seiberg-like dualities in lower dimensions than 4. With the help of the recently developed localization results, substantial evidences were cumulated, especially in 3-dimensions. There's a close relation between the dualities in 4-dimensions and 3-dimensions [1], and we also expect the similar relation holds between 3-dimensions and 2-dimensions. Indeed 2-dimensional Seiberg-like dualities for $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ U(k) gauge theory with fundamental chiral multiplets with/without anti-fundamental chiral multiplets were studied in [2–7], and the elliptic genus was computed to give the evidences for such dualities. The peculiar feature is that such duality holds for asymptotically free theories as well, while in higher dimensions the duality holds for superconformal field theories (SCFT). Other aspects of 2-dimensional dualities were explored in [8–11]. In 3 and 4 dimensions, in addition to fundamental/anti-fundamental matter fields, one can also consider dualities with 2nd rank tensor matter field, so-called Kutasov-Schwimmer-Seiberg dualities and their 3-dimensional analogues [12–17]. In fact, there's conjecture about such dualities for 2-dimensional theories in [6] in the context of AGT correspondence. The authors of [6] gives the evidences for such dualities by checking

the S^2 partition function. Here we consider the $U(k)$ gauge theory with one adjoint and with fundamental / (anti-fundamental) chiral fields and show analytically that the elliptic genus of the dual pair coincides with each other, thereby providing additional evidences. For theories with adjoint and fundamental matter fields, the theory exhibits the mass gap, which is similar to $U(k)$ gauge theory with fundamental chiral multiplets, which leads to the non-linear σ -model with the target space Grassmannian. In fact, the argument for mass gap for the theory with adjoint and fundamental fields is similar to Grassmannian model [18]. For theories with adjoint and the same number of fundamentals/anti-fundamentals, the theory flows to SCFT. In this case, we also work out the chiral ring elements. In 3-dimensions and 4-dimensions, nonperturbative truncation of the chiral ring occurs and we also check that this also occurs in our 2-dimensional cases as well.

The contents of the paper are as follows. In the section 1, we introduce the basics of elliptic genera. We mainly work with the elliptic genus with the Ramond-Ramond boundary conditions. However, to work out the chiral ring elements, we have to use the elliptic genus with NS-NS boundary conditions. The relation between the R and NS sector is standard, known as spectral flow, which we summarize. In the section 2, we work out the elliptic genus and show that the dual pairs have the same elliptic genus. For $U(k)$ theories with an adjoint and fundamentals, we check it exhibits the mass gap numerically. For $U(k)$ theories with an adjoint and the same number of fundamentals/anti-fundamentals, the theory flows to SCFT and we compare their chiral ring structures as well. As occurring in higher dimensions, nonperturbative truncation of the chiral ring elements is observed. For $U(k)$ theories with different number of fundamental and anti-fundamental matter fields, we find that the theories have both discrete vacua and noncompact Higgs branches. This duality can be also obtained from the theory with the same number of fundamentals and anti-fundamentals and give the mass to anti-fundamentals. In the section 3, we obtain the 2-dimensional dualities from 3-dimensional dualities via dimensional reduction on a circle. The 3-dimensional dualities, in turn, can be obtained from the 4-dimensional dualities [19]. As explained in [20], in order to have dualities of $U(N)$ gauge group in 2-dimensions, non-zero FI-term should be turned on, which we assume in the subsequent discussions. In the section 4, we argue the existence of the mass gap for theories with an adjoint and fundamentals. The theory without adjoint leads to the Grassmannian model, and its low energy theory is described by gauged $U(k)/U(k)$ WZW model. It would be interesting to work out the analogue for the theory with an adjoint. In the appendix, we provide the explicit expressions for χ_y genus and Witten index for the theory with an adjoint and fundamentals.

2 Elliptic genus of theories

In this section, we review the basic facts about the elliptic genus and chiral primaries in 2d SCFTs. The elliptic genus [2, 21, 22] is computed in the RR sector,

$$Z(q, y) = \text{Tr}_{\text{RR}}(-1)^F q^{H_L} y^{J_L} \quad (2.1)$$

where H_L is the left-moving Hamiltonian and J_L is the left-moving U(1) R-charge. When the theory flows to a superconformal field theory (SCFT) in IR, H_L and J_L are identified as zero mode generators L_0, J_0 of the $N = 2$ superconformal algebra respectively. When the theory does not flow to SCFT, J_L takes discrete values. In later sections, we are interested in the chiral ring structures of various theories. For this purpose, one had better look for the elliptic genus defined in the NSNS sector [4],

$$\mathcal{I}(q, y) = \text{Tr}_{\text{NSNS}}(-1)^F q^{L_0} y^{J_0} . \tag{2.2}$$

The R sector and the NS sector are connected by continuously changing the boundary conditions for fermions. This is known as the spectral flow [23, 24]. The relation of $N = 2$ superconformal algebras between the R sector and the NS sector is given by

$$j_{\text{R}} = j_{\text{NS}} - \frac{c}{6} , \tag{2.3}$$

$$h_{\text{R}} = h_{\text{NS}} - \frac{1}{2}j_{\text{NS}} + \frac{c}{24} . \tag{2.4}$$

Thus the elliptic genus $Z(q, y)$ and the superconformal index $\mathcal{I}(q, y)$ are related by

$$Z(q, y) = -ay^{-\frac{c}{6}} \mathcal{I}\left(q, q^{-\frac{1}{2}}y\right) \tag{2.5}$$

where j is the left-moving U(1) R-charge and h is the left moving conformal dimensions.¹

The anti-commutation relation between the two supersymmetry generators in the $N = 2$ superconformal algebra is

$$\{G_r^-, G_s^+\} = 2L_{r+s} - (r - s)J_{r+s} + (c/3)(r^2 - 1/4)\delta_{r+s,0} \tag{2.6}$$

where r, s run over half-integral values in the NS sector and over integral values in the R sector. Chiral and anti-chiral states are states in the NS sector satisfying

$$G_{-1/2}^+|\phi\rangle = 0 \quad \text{chiral}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$G_{-1/2}^-|\phi\rangle = 0 \quad \text{anti-chiral}. \tag{2.8}$$

Chiral (resp. anti-chiral) primary states satisfy, in addition to (2.7) (resp. (2.8))

$$G_{n+1/2}^-|\phi\rangle = G_{n+1/2}^+|\phi\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } n \geq 0. \tag{2.9}$$

In a unitary theory, $G_{-1/2}^+ = (G_{1/2}^-)^\dagger$. The chiral primary states have the dimension h and left-moving U(1) charge j satisfying $h = j/2$. Similarly, the anti-chiral primary states have $h = -j/2$. The operator algebra of chiral (anti-chiral) primary fields forms a ring [24]. Under the spectral flow, the chiral primary states flow to the ground states of the Ramond sector which satisfy

$$\{G_0^-, G_0^+\}|\phi\rangle = 0 . \tag{2.10}$$

The ground states in the Ramond sector satisfy $h = c/24$.

¹The additional factor $-a$ occurs due to the definition of $(-1)^F$ in NS and R sectors and the regularization of the path integral of the elliptic genus.

The contributions of chiral primary states to the superconformal index can be obtained by a deformation $q \rightarrow qt$ and $y \rightarrow yt^{-1/2}$ and a limit,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{I}(qt, yt^{-1/2}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \text{Tr}_{\text{NSNS}}(-1)^F (qt)^{L_0} (yt^{-1/2})^{J_0}. \quad (2.11)$$

Only states satisfying $h = j/2$ survive in the limit.

For example, the superconformal index for a free chiral superfield Φ is given by

$$\mathcal{I}_{\Phi}(q, y, a) = \Delta(q, y, a) = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - ay^{-1}q^{i+\frac{1}{2}})(1 - a^{-1}yq^{i+\frac{1}{2}})}{(1 - aq^i)(1 - a^{-1}q^{i+1})} \quad (2.12)$$

where we assume chiral superfield has a left-moving U(1) R-charge 0. Instead when a chiral superfield have a left-moving U(1) R-charge r then the superconformal index can be obtained by

$$\mathcal{I}_{\Phi,r}(q, y, a) = \Delta(q, y, a(yq^{1/2})^r) \quad (2.13)$$

Contributions from the chiral primary states can be obtained by

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{I}_{\Phi,r}(qt, yt^{-1/2}, a) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \Delta(qt, yt^{-1/2}, a(yq^{1/2})^r) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - a(yq^{1/2})^r y^{-1}q^{i+\frac{1}{2}}t^{i+1})(1 - a^{-1}(yq^{1/2})^{-r}yq^{i+\frac{1}{2}}t^i)}{(1 - a(yq^{1/2})^r q^i t^i)(1 - a^{-1}(yq^{1/2})^{-r}q^{i+1}t^{i+1})} \\ &= \frac{1 - a^{-1}y^{1-r}q^{(1-r)/2}}{1 - ay^r q^{r/2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

The denominator and the numerator are the contributions of a scalar ϕ and a left-moving fermion $\bar{\psi}_-$ of the chiral multiplet Φ respectively. Because the chiral primary states of the NS sector flows to the ground states of the Ramond sector the contributions of chiral primary states can be also seen from the elliptic genus.

2.1 U(1) gauge theory with N_f chiral multiplets

Let's consider a simple gauge theory, U(1) gauge theory with N_f chiral multiplets of charge 1, CP^{N_f-1} model. For general gauge theories, the elliptic genus is worked out by evaluating Jeffrey-Kirwan (JK) residues. Elliptic genus of the theory is given by [22]

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\tau, z, \xi_{\alpha}) &= \sum_{u_i \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{sing}}^+} \oint_{u=u_i} du \frac{i\eta(q)^3}{\theta_1(q, y)} \prod_{k=1}^{N_f} \frac{\theta_1(\tau|u - \xi_k - z)}{\theta_1(\tau|u - \xi_k)} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_f} \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha} \frac{\theta_1(\tau|-z + \xi_{\alpha} - \xi_{\beta})}{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_{\alpha} - \xi_{\beta})} \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

where $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$, $y = e^{2\pi iz}$ and τ is the complex structure of a torus and z is a holonomy for the left-moving U(1) R-symmetry and ξ_{α} , $\alpha = 1, \dots, N_f$ are holonomies for the SU(N_f) flavor symmetry with a constraint $\sum_{\alpha} \xi_{\alpha} = 0$. $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{sing}}^+$ consists of N_f simple poles at $u_i = \xi_i$ associated with positive charges.

Single-valuedness condition requires $y^{N_f} = 1$ so the elliptic genus reduces to

$$Z(\tau, z, \xi_\alpha)|_{y^{N_f}=1} = y^{-(N_f-1)/2} (1 + y + \dots + y^{N_f-1}) \quad (2.16)$$

This has been checked numerically. The elliptic genus gets contributions only from ground states because the theory develops mass gap in IR. The UV interpretation is that we have nonlinear σ model whose target space is CP^{N_f-1} and each factor of y represents the cohomology ring elements of CP^{N_f-1} [25]. The truncation of the elliptic genus implies the cohomology ring relation $y^{N_f} = c$ for a suitable c number. Due to the single-valuedness of the elliptic genus we set $y^{N_f} = 1$.

2.2 $U(k)$ with N_f fundamentals and one adjoint

In this subsection, we consider a 2d dual pair and show that the elliptic genus coincides with each other. One theory is $U(k)$ gauge theory with N_f fundamental chiral multiplets and one adjoint chiral multiplets X with the superpotential

$$W = \text{Tr } X^{l+1} \quad (2.17)$$

The symmetries and charges of the theory are

	$U(k)$	$SU(N_f)$	$U(1)_L$	
Q	\square	$\bar{\square}$	0	
X	Ad	1	$\frac{1}{l+1}$	

(2.18)

where $U(1)_L$ is the left-moving $U(1)$ R-symmetry.

One-loop determinant is

$$Z_{1\text{-loop}} = (-1)^k \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{2\pi\eta(q)^3}{\theta_1(q, y^{-1})} \right)^k \left(\prod_{i \neq j}^k \frac{\theta_1(\tau|u_i - u_j)}{\theta_1(\tau|u_i - u_j - z)} \right) \times \left(\prod_{i,j=1}^k \frac{\theta_1(\tau|u_i - u_j + \frac{1}{l+1}z - z)}{\theta_1(\tau|u_i - u_j + \frac{1}{l+1}z)} \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_f} \frac{\theta_1(\tau|u_i - \xi_\alpha - z)}{\theta_1(\tau|u_i - \xi_\alpha)} \right) d^k u. \quad (2.19)$$

We have introduced gauge holonomies $u_i, i = 1, \dots, k$ and flavor holonomies $\xi_\alpha, \alpha = 1, \dots, N_f$ for $SU(N_f)$ symmetry with $\sum \xi_\alpha = 0$. The first line of (2.19) comes from the vector multiplet. In the second line, the first fraction comes from the adjoint chiral multiplet and the second fraction comes from the fundamental chiral multiplets. The adjoint chiral multiplet has a left-moving $U(1)$ R-charge $\frac{1}{l+1}$ fixed by the superpotential $W = \text{Tr } X^{l+1}$.

We have fixed the sign of the one-loop determinant by $(-1)^k$. A sign of an elliptic genus depends on the number of decoupled massive chiral fields, which can be seen from $Z_{\Phi, r=1/2} = -1$ where the left-moving R-charge r is fixed by a superpotential $W = \Phi^2$. But the number of decoupled massive chiral fields can be arbitrary so the sign is ambiguous. It is reasonable to fix the sign to have positive Witten index or consistent Renormalization Group (RG)flows to the known theories. With the sign $(-1)^k$, as we will see, the Witten index obtained by a limit $z \rightarrow 0$ is a positive integer. The sign is consistent with that of the

theory without the adjoint chiral field. When $l = 1$ the adjoint field become massive and its contribution in (2.19) becomes $(-1)^{k^2}$ which can be seen by using $\theta_1(\tau|-a) = -\theta_1(\tau|a)$. Thus the elliptic genus becomes that of the theory without the adjoint field if we have a sign factor $(-1)^{k+k^2} = 1$. Furthermore, it is also consistent with the dual description of the theory as we will see.

The holonomies u_i take values in T^2 and we have

$$Z_{1\text{-loop}}(\tau, z, u_1 + a + b\tau, u_2, \dots, u_k) = y^{bN_f} Z_{1\text{-loop}}(\tau, z, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k) \quad (2.20)$$

for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Single-valuedness of the one-loop determinant requires $y^{N_f} = 1$, i.e. $z \in \mathbb{Z}/N_f$. It reflects the fact that the left-moving R-symmetry $U(1)_L$ of the theory is anomalous, so that $U(1)_L$ is broken to Z_{N_f} .

JK residue is evaluated by [2].

$$Z(\tau, z, \xi_\alpha) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^k} \sum_{u^* \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{sing}}^*} \oint_{u=u^*} Z_{1\text{-loop}}(\tau, z, u, \xi_\alpha) \quad (2.21)$$

where $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{sing}}^*$ can be chosen to poles associated with positive charges so it is the set of solutions of poles

$$u_i = \xi_\alpha \quad (2.22)$$

$$u_i = u_j - \frac{1}{l+1}z. \quad (2.23)$$

Note that the poles $u_i = u_j + z$ from the gauge sector do not have contributions. Suppose a pole $u_i = u_j + z$ is picked up together with a pole at $u_j = \xi_{\bar{\alpha}}$. Then the numerator $u_i - \xi_{\bar{\alpha}} - z$ vanishes. That is the reason why the poles from gauge sector do not have contributions. The two types of poles have the charge covector $(1, 0)$ and $(1, -1)$ respectively in an i - j plane. The JK residue gets contributions only from linearly independent charge covectors. Thus pole configurations like $\{u_1 = u_2 - \frac{1}{l+1}z, u_2 = u_3 - \frac{1}{l+1}z, u_3 = u_1 - \frac{1}{l+1}z, \dots\}$ do not contribute to the JK residue. If all poles are chosen from (2.23) the charge covectors are linearly dependent so at least one pole should be chosen from (2.22). Furthermore, if any two of poles are chosen to be the same as in $\{u_1 = \xi_1, u_2 = \xi_1, \dots\}$, we get a zero from the numerator of the gauge sector, i.e. $u_i - u_j = 0$. Thus one can parametrize contributing poles by ordered sequences $\vec{n} = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{N_f})$ with $n_\alpha \geq 0$ and $\sum_\alpha n_\alpha = k$. If $n_\beta = 0$ it means that a pole $u_i = \xi_\beta$ is not chosen. If n_β is non-zero it corresponds to a case that n_β poles have a form of

$$u_{i_1}^* = \xi_\beta, u_{i_2}^* = u_{i_1}^* - \frac{1}{l+1}z, u_{i_3}^* = u_{i_2}^* - \frac{1}{l+1}z, \dots, u_{i_{n_\beta}}^* = u_{i_{n_\beta-1}}^* - \frac{1}{l+1}z \quad (2.24)$$

where indices i_1, \dots, i_{n_β} are in $\{1, \dots, k\}$ and distinct. It can be written as

$$u_{i_{m_\beta+1}}^* = \xi_\beta - m_\beta \frac{1}{l+1}z \quad \text{for } m_\beta = 0, \dots, n_\beta - 1 \quad (2.25)$$

The total number of poles is k so we have $\sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} = k$. Permutations of k poles lead to the same residue so it cancels the Weyl group dimension $|W| = k!$. The form of poles (2.25) and a replacement $\prod_{i=1}^k \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_f} \prod_{m_{\alpha}=0}^{n_{\alpha}-1}$ lead to

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\tau, z, \xi_{\alpha}) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^k} \sum_{\vec{n} \text{ s.t. } |\vec{n}|=k} \oint_{u_1=u_1^*} \cdots \oint_{u_k=u_k^*} Z_{1\text{-loop}}(\tau, z, u, \xi_{\alpha}) \\ &= (-1)^k \sum_{\vec{n} \text{ s.t. } |\vec{n}|=k} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_f} \prod_{m_{\alpha}=0}^{n_{\alpha}-1} \frac{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_{\alpha} - \xi_{\beta} + (n_{\beta} - m_{\alpha} - l - 1)\frac{z}{l+1})}{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_{\alpha} - \xi_{\beta} + (n_{\beta} - m_{\alpha})\frac{z}{l+1})}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

after many cancellations between the gauge sector and matter sector.

The elliptic genus do not get any contribution from configurations of $\{n_1, \dots, n_N\}$ which contains n_{α} such that $n_{\alpha} > l$. It can be seen from the fact that the numerator of (2.26) is zero if $\alpha = \beta$ and $m_{\alpha} = n_{\alpha} - l - 1$ which can be satisfied for $n_{\alpha} > l$ because $0 \leq n_{\alpha} - l - 1 \leq n_{\alpha} - 1$. Thus one can consider only the $\{n_1, \dots, n_N\}$ configurations where n_{α} are restricted by $\sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} = k$ and $0 \leq n_{\alpha} \leq l$.

One can also see that all contributions from the fundamental fields are canceled out and only vacuum contributions survive. We have computed the elliptic genus explicitly and expanded it in powers of $q = e^{2i\pi\tau}$. We have checked numerically that all higher order terms of q become zero by the single-valuedness condition $y^{N_f} = 1$ so the elliptic genus gets contributions only from q^0 terms. It implies that the theory is massive because the q^0 terms correspond to the ground states of the theory.

Let us compute the Witten index of the theory. The elliptic genus is reduced to the Witten index in the limit $z \rightarrow 0$, i.e. $y \rightarrow 1$. In the limit $z \rightarrow 0$, the factors of the form, $\frac{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_{\alpha} - \xi_{\beta} + (n_{\beta} - m_{\alpha} - l - 1)\frac{z}{l+1})}{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_{\alpha} - \xi_{\beta} + (n_{\beta} - m_{\alpha})\frac{z}{l+1})}$ becomes 1 if $\alpha \neq \beta$. Thus non-trivial contributions arise from when $\alpha = \beta$.

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} Z^A(\tau, z, \xi) &= (-1)^k \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \sum_{\vec{n} \text{ s.t. } |\vec{n}|=k} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_f} \prod_{m_{\alpha}=0}^{n_{\alpha}-1} \frac{\theta_1(\tau|(n_{\alpha} - m_{\alpha} - l - 1)\frac{z}{l+1})}{\theta_1(\tau|(n_{\alpha} - m_{\alpha})\frac{z}{l+1})} \\ &= (-1)^k \sum_{\vec{n} \text{ s.t. } |\vec{n}|=k} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_f} \prod_{m_{\alpha}=0}^{n_{\alpha}-1} \frac{n_{\alpha} - m_{\alpha} - l - 1}{n_{\alpha} - m_{\alpha}} \\ &= (-1)^{2k} \sum_{\vec{n} \text{ s.t. } |\vec{n}|=k} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_f} \binom{l}{n_{\alpha}} \\ &= \binom{N_f l}{k} \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

where the last line follows from the fact that $\binom{l}{n_{\alpha}}$ is the coefficient of $x^{n_{\alpha}}$ term in a polynomial $(1+x)^l$. Thus (2.27) is the coefficient of $x^{n_1} x^{n_2} \cdots x^{n_{N_f}} = x^k$ term of a polynomial $(1+x)^{N_f l}$. Note that the Witten index is always positive due to the sign $(-1)^k$. This result can also be derived by turning on twisted masses for the fundamental flavors and counting the discrete vacua of Coulomb branch.

2.2.1 Dual theory

The Seiberg-like dual theory is a $U(lN_f - k)$ gauge theory with matter fields of N_f fundamental chiral multiplets q and one adjoint chiral multiplet Y and the superpotential $W = \text{Tr } Y^{l+1}$ with the global symmetries,

	$U(lN_f - k)$	$SU(N_f)$	$U(1)_L$	
q	\square	\square	0	
Y	Ad	1	$\frac{1}{l+1}$	(2.28)

We would like to rewrite (2.26) in terms of the Seiberg-like dual theory. In order to obtain an expression for $U(lN_f - k)$ gauge group, we change parameters, $\tilde{n}_\alpha = l - n_\alpha$ and rearrange terms as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z(\tau, z, \xi_\alpha) &= (-1)^k \sum_{\vec{n} \text{ s.t. } |\vec{n}|=k} \prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{N_f} \prod_{m_\alpha=0}^{n_\alpha-1} \frac{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + (n_\beta - m_\alpha - l - 1)\frac{z}{l+1})}{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + (n_\beta - m_\alpha)\frac{z}{l+1})} \\
 &= (-1)^k \sum_{\vec{n} \text{ s.t. } |\vec{n}|=k} \prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{N_f} \frac{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + (n_\beta - l - 1)\frac{z}{l+1})}{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + (n_\beta)\frac{z}{l+1})} \cdots \frac{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + (n_\beta - n_\alpha - l)\frac{z}{l+1})}{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + (n_\beta - n_\alpha + 1)\frac{z}{l+1})} \\
 &= (-1)^k \sum_{\vec{n} \text{ s.t. } |\vec{n}|=lN - k} \prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{N_f} \frac{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + (-\tilde{n}_\beta - 1)\frac{z}{l+1})}{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + (-\tilde{n}_\beta + l)\frac{z}{l+1})} \cdots \frac{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + (-\tilde{n}_\beta + \tilde{n}_\alpha - l)\frac{z}{l+1})}{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + (-\tilde{n}_\beta + \tilde{n}_\alpha + 1)\frac{z}{l+1})} \\
 &= (-1)^k \sum_{\vec{n} \text{ s.t. } |\vec{n}|=lN - k} \prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{N_f} \prod_{\tilde{m}_\alpha = \tilde{n}_\alpha - l}^{-1} \frac{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + (-\tilde{n}_\beta + \tilde{m}_\alpha)\frac{z}{l+1})}{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + (-\tilde{n}_\beta + \tilde{m}_\alpha + l + 1)\frac{z}{l+1})} \\
 &= (-1)^k \sum_{\vec{n} \text{ s.t. } |\vec{n}|=lN - k} \prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{N_f} \prod_{\tilde{m}_\alpha = \tilde{n}_\alpha - l}^{\tilde{n}_\alpha - 1} \frac{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + (-\tilde{n}_\beta + \tilde{m}_\alpha)\frac{z}{l+1})}{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + (-\tilde{n}_\beta + \tilde{m}_\alpha + l + 1)\frac{z}{l+1})} \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{\tilde{m}_\alpha = 0}^{\tilde{n}_\alpha - 1} \frac{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + (-\tilde{n}_\beta + \tilde{m}_\alpha + l + 1)\frac{z}{l+1})}{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + (-\tilde{n}_\beta + \tilde{m}_\alpha)\frac{z}{l+1})}
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

The factor in the middle can be simplified as

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{N_f} \prod_{\tilde{m}_\alpha = \tilde{n}_\alpha - l}^{\tilde{n}_\alpha - 1} \frac{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + (-\tilde{n}_\beta + \tilde{m}_\alpha)\frac{z}{l+1})}{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + (-\tilde{n}_\beta + \tilde{m}_\alpha + l + 1)\frac{z}{l+1})} \\
 &= \prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{N_f} \frac{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + (-\tilde{n}_\beta + \tilde{n}_\alpha - l)\frac{z}{l+1})}{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + (-\tilde{n}_\beta + \tilde{n}_\alpha + 1)\frac{z}{l+1})} \times \cdots \times \frac{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + (-\tilde{n}_\beta + \tilde{n}_\alpha - 1)\frac{z}{l+1})}{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + (-\tilde{n}_\beta + \tilde{n}_\alpha + l)\frac{z}{l+1})} \\
 &= (-1)^{lN_f^2} \prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{N_f} \frac{\theta_1(\tau|-\xi_\alpha + \xi_\beta + (\tilde{n}_\beta - \tilde{n}_\alpha + l)\frac{z}{l+1})}{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + (-\tilde{n}_\beta + \tilde{n}_\alpha + 1)\frac{z}{l+1})} \times \cdots \times \frac{\theta_1(\tau|-\xi_\alpha + \xi_\beta + (\tilde{n}_\beta - \tilde{n}_\alpha + 1)\frac{z}{l+1})}{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + (-\tilde{n}_\beta + \tilde{n}_\alpha + l)\frac{z}{l+1})} \\
 &= (-1)^{lN_f^2} \prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{N_f} \frac{\theta_1(\tau|-\xi_\beta + \xi_\alpha + (\tilde{n}_\alpha - \tilde{n}_\beta + l)\frac{z}{l+1})}{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + (-\tilde{n}_\beta + \tilde{n}_\alpha + 1)\frac{z}{l+1})} \times \cdots \times \frac{\theta_1(\tau|-\xi_\beta + \xi_\alpha + (\tilde{n}_\alpha - \tilde{n}_\beta + 1)\frac{z}{l+1})}{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + (-\tilde{n}_\beta + \tilde{n}_\alpha + l)\frac{z}{l+1})} \\
 &= (-1)^{lN_f^2}
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

where we reverse all the signs of theta functions in the numerator using $\theta_1(\tau|-a) = -\theta_1(\tau|a)$ at the second line and exchange α and β dummy indices in the numerator at the third line, then all numerators and denominators are canceled against each other. Then the elliptic genus becomes

$$Z(\tau, z, \xi_\alpha) = (-1)^{lN_f - k} \sum_{\vec{n} \text{ s.t. } |\vec{n}| = lN_f - k} \prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{N_f} \prod_{\tilde{m}_\alpha=0}^{\tilde{n}_\alpha-1} \frac{\theta_1(\tau|-\xi_\alpha + \xi_\beta + (\tilde{n}_\beta - \tilde{m}_\alpha - l - 1)\frac{z}{l+1})}{\theta_1(\tau|-\xi_\alpha + \xi_\beta + (\tilde{n}_\beta - \tilde{m}_\alpha)\frac{z}{l+1})} \quad (2.31)$$

where we reversed all the signs of theta functions using $\theta_1(\tau|-a) = -\theta_1(\tau|a)$. This is nothing but the elliptic genus of the $U(lN_f - k)$ gauge theory

2.3 $U(k)$ with N_f fundamentals, N_a anti-fundamentals and one adjoint

We consider a $U(k)$ gauge theory with N_f chiral multiplets in fundamental representation, N_a chiral multiplets in anti-fundamental representation and one chiral multiplet in adjoint representation and the superpotential of the form, $W = \text{Tr } X^{l+1}$. The charges of flavor symmetries and a left-moving R-symmetry $U(1)_L$ are

	$U(k)$	$SU(N_f)$	$SU(N_a)$	$U(1)_a$	$U(1)_L$
Q	\square	$\bar{\square}$	$\mathbf{1}$	1	0
\tilde{Q}	$\bar{\square}$	$\mathbf{1}$	\square	1	0
X	Ad	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	0	$\frac{1}{l+1}$

(2.32)

Seiberg-like dual theory is a $U(lN_f - k)$ gauge theory with matter fields of N_f fundamentals q_α , N_a anti-fundamentals \tilde{q}^γ and one adjoint Y , and $lN_f N_a$ singlets $M_j^{\alpha\gamma}$, $j = 0, \dots, l-1$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, N_f$, $\gamma = 1, \dots, N_a$. It has the superpotential, $W = \text{Tr } Y^{l+1} + M_j \tilde{q} Y^{l-1-j} q$ which fixes, together with the identification $M_j \leftrightarrow Q X^j \tilde{Q}$, charges

	$U(lN_f - k)$	$SU(N_f)$	$SU(N_a)$	$U(1)_a$	$U(1)_L$
q	\square	\square	$\mathbf{1}$	-1	$\frac{1}{l+1}$
\tilde{q}	$\bar{\square}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\bar{\square}$	-1	$\frac{1}{l+1}$
M_j	$\mathbf{1}$	$\bar{\square}$	\square	2	$\frac{j}{l+1}$
Y	Ad	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	0	$\frac{1}{l+1}$

(2.33)

One-loop determinant of the $U(k)$ gauge theory is

$$Z_{1\text{-loop}} = (-1)^k \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{2\pi\eta(q)^3}{\theta_1(q, y^{-1})} \right)^k \left(\prod_{i \neq j}^k \frac{\theta_1(\tau|u_i - u_j)}{\theta_1(\tau|u_i - u_j - z)} \right) \left(\prod_{i, j=1}^k \frac{\theta_1(\tau|u_i - u_j + \frac{1}{l+1}z - z)}{\theta_1(\tau|u_i - u_j + \frac{1}{l+1}z)} \right) \\ \times \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_f} \frac{\theta_1(\tau|u_i - \xi_\alpha + \chi - z)}{\theta_1(\tau|u_i - \xi_\alpha + \chi)} \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{\gamma=1}^{N_a} \frac{\theta_1(\tau|-u_i + \eta_\gamma + \chi - z)}{\theta_1(\tau|-u_i + \eta_\gamma + \chi)} \right) d^k u. \quad (2.34)$$

where ξ_α , η_γ , χ are holonomies for the $SU(N_f) \times SU(N_a) \times U(1)_a$ flavor symmetry. Single-valuedness of the one-loop determinant requires $y^{N_f - N_a} = 1$.

Let us assume $N_f \geq N_a$. The JK residue comes from the same pole configurations as the case without anti-fundamentals because the JK residue can be evaluated by poles associated with positive charges so that the poles from anti-fundamentals do not contribute.

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z = (-1)^k \sum_{\vec{n} \text{ s.t. } |\vec{n}|=k} \prod_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{N_f} \prod_{m_\alpha=0}^{n_\alpha-1} \frac{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + (n_\beta - m_\alpha - l - 1)\frac{z}{l+1})}{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + (n_\beta - m_\alpha)\frac{z}{l+1})} \\
 \times \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_f} \prod_{\gamma=1}^{N_a} \prod_{m_\alpha=0}^{n_\alpha-1} \frac{\theta_1(\tau|-\xi_\alpha + \eta_\gamma + 2\chi + (m_\alpha - l - 1)\frac{z}{l+1})}{\theta_1(\tau|-\xi_\alpha + \eta_\gamma + 2\chi + m_\alpha\frac{z}{l+1})}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

The first line of (2.35) is the same as that of the theory without anti-fundamentals whose contributions appear only in the second line. It can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_f} \prod_{\gamma=1}^{N_a} \prod_{m_\alpha=0}^{n_\alpha-1} \frac{\theta_1(\tau|-\xi_\alpha + \eta_\gamma + 2\chi + \frac{(m_\alpha - l - 1)z}{l+1})}{\theta_1(\tau|-\xi_\alpha + \eta_\gamma + 2\chi + \frac{m_\alpha z}{l+1})} \\
 &= \left(\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_f} \prod_{\gamma=1}^{N_a} \prod_{m_\alpha=0}^{l-1} \frac{\theta_1(\tau|-\xi_\alpha + \eta_\gamma + 2\chi + \frac{(m_\alpha - l - 1)z}{l+1})}{\theta_1(\tau|-\xi_\alpha + \eta_\gamma + 2\chi + \frac{m_\alpha z}{l+1})} \right) \\
 &\times \left(\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_f} \prod_{\gamma=1}^{N_a} \prod_{m_\alpha=n_\alpha}^{l-1} \frac{\theta_1(\tau|-\xi_\alpha + \eta_\gamma + 2\chi + \frac{m_\alpha z}{l+1})}{\theta_1(\tau|-\xi_\alpha + \eta_\gamma + 2\chi + \frac{(m_\alpha - l - 1)z}{l+1})} \right) \\
 &= \left(\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_f} \prod_{\gamma=1}^{N_a} \prod_{j=0}^{l-1} \frac{\theta_1(\tau|-\xi_\alpha + \eta_\gamma + 2\chi + \frac{(j - l - 1)z}{l+1})}{\theta_1(\tau|-\xi_\alpha + \eta_\gamma + 2\chi + \frac{jz}{l+1})} \right) \\
 &\times \left(\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_f} \prod_{\gamma=1}^{N_a} \prod_{\tilde{m}_\alpha=0}^{\tilde{n}_\alpha-1} \frac{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \eta_\gamma - 2\chi + \frac{(\tilde{m}_\alpha - l + 1)z}{l+1})}{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \eta_\gamma - 2\chi + \frac{(\tilde{m}_\alpha + 2)z}{l+1})} \right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

where $\tilde{n}_\alpha = -n_\alpha + l$, $\tilde{m}_\alpha = -m_\alpha + l - 1$ and we used $\theta_1(\tau| - a) = -\theta_1(\tau| a)$. Note that the second line is possible because $0 \leq n_\alpha \leq l$. When $n_\alpha = l$, $\tilde{n}_\alpha = 0$ the second factors in the second and third lines of (2.36) are 1. Therefore, the elliptic genus can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z = (-1)^k \sum_{\vec{n} \text{ s.t. } |\vec{n}|=k} \prod_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{N_f} \prod_{m_\alpha=0}^{n_\alpha-1} \frac{\theta_1\left(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + \frac{(n_\beta - m_\alpha - l - 1)z}{l+1}\right)}{\theta_1\left(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + \frac{(n_\beta - m_\alpha)z}{l+1}\right)} \\
 \times \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_f} \prod_{\gamma=1}^{N_a} \prod_{m_\alpha=0}^{n_\alpha-1} \frac{\theta_1\left(\tau|-\xi_\alpha + \eta_\gamma + 2\chi + \frac{(m_\alpha - l - 1)z}{l+1}\right)}{\theta_1\left(\tau|-\xi_\alpha + \eta_\gamma + 2\chi + \frac{m_\alpha z}{l+1}\right)} \\
 = (-1)^{lN_f - k} \sum_{\vec{n} \text{ s.t. } |\vec{n}|=lN_f - k} \prod_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{N_f} \prod_{\tilde{m}_\alpha=0}^{\tilde{n}_\alpha-1} \frac{\theta_1\left(\tau|-\xi_\alpha + \xi_\beta + \frac{(\tilde{n}_\beta - \tilde{m}_\alpha - l - 1)z}{l+1}\right)}{\theta_1\left(\tau|-\xi_\alpha + \xi_\beta + \frac{(\tilde{n}_\beta - \tilde{m}_\alpha)z}{l+1}\right)} \\
 \times \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_f} \prod_{\gamma=1}^{N_a} \prod_{\tilde{m}_\alpha=0}^{\tilde{n}_\alpha-1} \frac{\theta_1\left(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \eta_\gamma - 2\chi + \frac{(\tilde{m}_\alpha - l + 1)z}{l+1}\right)}{\theta_1\left(\tau|\xi_\alpha - \eta_\gamma - 2\chi + \frac{(\tilde{m}_\alpha + 2)z}{l+1}\right)} \prod_{j=0}^{l-1} \frac{\theta_1\left(\tau|-\xi_\alpha + \eta_\gamma + 2\chi + \frac{(j - l - 1)z}{l+1}\right)}{\theta_1\left(\tau|-\xi_\alpha + \eta_\gamma + 2\chi + \frac{jz}{l+1}\right)}
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

The second expression is the elliptic genus of the $U(lN_f - k)$ gauge theory. The first fraction in the third line comes from $q_\alpha \tilde{q}_\gamma Y^{m_\alpha}$, $\mathcal{Q}(\overline{q_\alpha \tilde{q}_\gamma Y^{m_\alpha}})$ and their complex conjugates. The second fraction in the third line comes from M_j , $\mathcal{Q}(\overline{M_j})$ and their complex conjugates. All contributions coming from $q_\alpha \tilde{q}_\gamma Y^{m_\alpha}$ are canceled out. This can be seen from (2.36). The first and the second fractions in the second line of (2.36) are identified as contributions from M_j and $q_\alpha \tilde{q}_\gamma Y^{m_\alpha}$ respectively. All the second fractions are canceled out with factors $m_\alpha = n_\alpha, \dots, l - 1$ of the first fraction. When $k < l$ we have $0 \leq n_\alpha \leq k$ so only M_i , $i = 0, \dots, k - 1$ contributions $\left(\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_f} \prod_{\gamma=1}^{N_a} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{\theta_1(\tau | -\xi_\alpha + \eta_\gamma + 2\chi + \frac{(j-l-1)z}{l+1})}{\theta_1(\tau | -\xi_\alpha + \eta_\gamma + 2\chi + \frac{jz}{l+1})} \right)$ remain. When $k \geq l$ the non-trivial contributions are $0 \leq n_\alpha \leq l$ so all M_j , $j = 0, \dots, l - 1$ contribute.

2.3.1 Characteristic of the theory

Interestingly, the elliptic genus has non-trivial q dependence even though the single-valuedness condition $y^{N_f - N_a} = 1$ is imposed, which is different from $N_a = 0$ case. The nontrivial q dependence comes from $M_j = QX^j \tilde{Q}$, $j = 0, \dots, \min(l - 1, k - 1)$. Due to M_j , the q^0 terms representing ground state contributions also depend on the flavor symmetry fugacities, while the elliptic genus of the theories without anti-fundamental matter fields are independent of the flavor fugacities. This is related to the fact that the theory has additional Higgs branch, parametrized by M_j as well as discrete vacua. In fact, the special case of $U(1)$ theory with (N_f, N_a) was analyzed at [26]. They considered $U(1)$ gauge theory with N_f fundamental chiral fields Q^α of charge 1 and N_a anti-fundamental chiral fields \tilde{Q}^β of charge -1 and all chiral fields have twisted masses. When one pair of Q^α and \tilde{Q}^β have the same twisted mass and the other chiral fields have generic twist masses the theory has $\max(N_f - 1, N_a - 1)$ massive, discrete Coulomb vacua and the vacua of a sigma model on one-dimensional non-compact complex manifold parametrized by Q^α and \tilde{Q}^β for some α and β . When we turn off the twisted masses, the theory has $|N_f - N_a|$ discrete vacua and $N_f N_a$ dimensional Higgs branch. This is consistent with the elliptic genus result. As an example, consider $U(1)$ theory with N_f chiral fields of charge 1 and one chiral field of charge -1 . The elliptic genus is given by

$$Z = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_f} \left(\prod_{\beta \neq \alpha} \frac{\theta_1(\tau | \xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta - z)}{\theta_1(\tau | \xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta)} \right) \frac{\theta_1(\tau | -\xi_\alpha + 2\chi - z)}{\theta_1(\tau | -\xi_\alpha + 2\chi)} \tag{2.38}$$

The ground states contribution is obtained as

$$Z(q \rightarrow 0) = y^{-(N_f - 2)/2} (1 + y + \dots + y^{N_f - 2}) + \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_f} y^{-1/2} d^2 \frac{1 - y a_\alpha d^{-2}}{1 - a_\alpha^{-1} d^2} \tag{2.39}$$

where the first term is the contribution of $(N_f - 1)$ massive vacua and the second term comes from N_f dimensional Higgs vacua. Existence of both discrete vacua and continuous Higgs branch persists for generic $U(n)$ theory with $N_f \neq N_a$ with one adjoint. This can be confirmed by the effective action analysis similar to [26] and the elliptic genus result is consistent with it.

The mesonic fields $(M_j)^{\alpha\beta}$ parameterizing the Higgs branch are not free fields in general. If $k < N_a$, this can be easily seen since maximum rank of $(M_j)^{\alpha\beta}$ is constrained by the gauge group rank. For example, M_0 fields can be represented as a $N_f \times N_a$ matrix. If $k < N_a$ the rank of M_0 matrix is k so that we have the usual rank condition,

$$\epsilon_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{k+1}} \epsilon_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_{k+1}} M_0^{\alpha_1 \beta_1} \dots M_0^{\alpha_{k+1} \beta_{k+1}} = 0 \tag{2.40}$$

where $\alpha_i \in I, \beta_i \in J$ and I, J are $(k + 1)$ -combinations of $\{1, \dots, N_a\}$.

The adjoint field is constrained by the characteristic equation of $k \times k$ matrix.

$$X^k - (\text{Tr } X)X^{k-1} + \dots + (-1)^k (\det X)I_k = 0 \tag{2.41}$$

where I_k is $k \times k$ identity matrix. By contracting the equation with Q and \tilde{Q} , we have

$$M_k - (\text{Tr } X)M_{k-1} + \dots + (-1)^k (\det X)M_0 = 0. \tag{2.42}$$

This shows that if $k < l$ only M_0, \dots, M_{k-1} are independent. If $k \geq l$ then $M_k = M_{k-1} = \dots = M_l = 0$ and remaining fields are constrained by the equation. Also computation of the elliptic genus shows that the mesonic fields are not free fields generically.²

The exception occurs when $lN_f = k$ with $N_f \geq N_a > 0$. The corresponding theory is dual to the theory of $N_f N_a$ free chiral fields.

2.4 $U(k)$ with N pairs of fundamentals/anti-fundamentals and one adjoint

Here we consider the duality of the superconformal field theories. We consider the A theory, $U(k)$ gauge theory with N chiral multiplets in fundamental representation, N chiral multiplets in anti-fundamental representation and one chiral multiplet in adjoint representation and the superpotential of the form, $W = \text{Tr } X^{l+1}$. The charges of the flavor symmetries and a left-moving R-symmetry $U(1)_L$ are

	$U(k)$	$SU(N)$	$SU(N)$	$U(1)_a$	$U(1)_L$
Q	\square	$\bar{\square}$	$\mathbf{1}$	1	0
\tilde{Q}	$\bar{\square}$	$\mathbf{1}$	\square	1	0
X	\mathbf{Ad}	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	0	$\frac{1}{l+1}$

(2.44)

The dual theory, which is called B theory is $U(lN - k)$ gauge theory with matter fields of N pairs of fundamental, anti-fundamental and one adjoint, and lN^2 singlets $M_j^{\alpha\beta}, j =$

²As an example, $U(1)$ with $N_f = 2, N_a = 1$ the elliptic genus is given by

$$Z = 1 + \frac{d^4 (1 - ya_1 d^{-2})(1 - ya_2 d^{-2})}{y (1 - a_1^{-1} d^2)(1 - a_2^{-1} d^2)} + q \left(\frac{d^2(1 - y)(a_1 + a_2)(1 - ya_1 d^{-2})(1 - ya_2 d^{-2})(1 - d^2(1 - y)(a_1 + a_2) - yd^4)}{y^2(1 - a_1^{-1} d^2)(1 - a_2^{-1} d^2)} \right) + O(q^2). \tag{2.43}$$

where $O(q)$ term clearly shows that it is not just contribution of two free chiral fields.

$0, \dots, l-1$. It has the superpotential, $W = \text{Tr} Y^{l+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} M_j \tilde{q} Y^{l-1-j} q$ which fixes, together with the identification $M_j \leftrightarrow QX^j \tilde{Q}$, charges

	$U(lN-k)$	$SU(N)$	$SU(N)$	$U(1)_a$	$U(1)_L$
q	\square	\square	$\mathbf{1}$	-1	$\frac{1}{l+1}$
\tilde{q}	$\bar{\square}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\bar{\square}$	-1	$\frac{1}{l+1}$
M_j	$\mathbf{1}$	$\bar{\square}$	\square	2	$\frac{j}{l+1}$
Y	\mathbf{Ad}	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	0	$\frac{1}{l+1}$

(2.45)

We will see chiral ring generators of the theory by analyzing elliptic genus of the theories. Chiral ring generators coming from the adjoint field are $\text{Tr} X^i / \text{Tr} Y^i$, $i = 1, \dots, \min(l-1, k, lN-k)$, which are constrained by F -term condition and the characteristic equations of the adjoint fields. The A and B theories have different gauge groups so the characteristic equations are different. To have a consistent chiral ring with the duality, a characteristic equation of one theory is a quantum constraint of the dual theory if the constraint is stronger than the other. Chiral ring generators coming from the mesonic operators are $QX^j \tilde{Q} / M_j$, $j = 0, \dots, \min(l-1, k-1)$. Unlike the adjoint operators, the mesonic operators are constrained only by the A theory classical equations.

The elliptic genera of A theory and B theory are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z^A &= (-1)^k \sum_{\vec{n} \text{ s.t. } |\vec{n}|=k} \prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^N \prod_{m_\alpha=0}^{n_\alpha-1} \frac{\theta_1 \left(\tau | \xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + \frac{(n_\beta - m_\alpha - l - 1)z}{l+1} \right)}{\theta_1 \left(\tau | \xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + \frac{(n_\beta - m_\alpha)z}{l+1} \right)} \\
 &\quad \times \frac{\theta_1 \left(\tau | -\xi_\alpha + \eta_\beta + 2\chi + \frac{(m_\alpha - l - 1)z}{l+1} \right)}{\theta_1 \left(\tau | -\xi_\alpha + \eta_\beta + 2\chi + \frac{m_\alpha z}{l+1} \right)} \\
 &= (-1)^{lN-k} \sum_{\vec{n} \text{ s.t. } |\vec{n}|=lN-k} \prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^N \prod_{\tilde{m}_\alpha=0}^{\tilde{n}_\alpha-1} \frac{\theta_1 \left(\tau | -\xi_\alpha + \xi_\beta + \frac{(\tilde{n}_\beta - \tilde{m}_\alpha - l - 1)z}{l+1} \right)}{\theta_1 \left(\tau | -\xi_\alpha + \xi_\beta + \frac{(\tilde{n}_\beta - \tilde{m}_\alpha)z}{l+1} \right)} \\
 &\quad \times \frac{\theta_1 \left(\tau | \xi_\alpha - \eta_\beta - 2\chi + \frac{(\tilde{m}_\alpha - l + 1)z}{l+1} \right)}{\theta_1 \left(\tau | \xi_\alpha - \eta_\beta - 2\chi + \frac{(\tilde{m}_\alpha + 2)z}{l+1} \right)} \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{j=0}^{l-1} \frac{\theta_1 \left(\tau | -\xi_\alpha + \eta_\beta + 2\chi + \frac{(j-l-1)z}{l+1} \right)}{\theta_1 \left(\tau | -\xi_\alpha + \eta_\beta + 2\chi + \frac{jz}{l+1} \right)} \tag{2.46}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_\alpha, \eta_\beta, \chi$ are holonomies for $SU(N) \times SU(N) \times U(1)_a$ flavor symmetry. The single-valuedness condition of the one-loop determinant does not require any condition on y and this is consistent with the fact that the theories have no R-symmetry anomaly.

2.4.1 Modular property

Let us check the central charge of the theories. The central charge, c , can be obtained from the modular property of the elliptic genus.

$$Z\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{z}{\tau}, \frac{u_i}{\tau}\right) = e^{\frac{\pi i}{\tau}(-2A^i u_i z + \frac{c}{3} z^2)} Z(\tau, z, u_i) \quad (2.47)$$

where A^i is the t' Hooft anomaly between the left-moving R-symmetry and flavor symmetry K^i . It can be computed using the modular property of the theta function,

$$\theta_1\left(-\frac{1}{\tau} \middle| \frac{z}{\tau}\right) = -i \sqrt{-i\tau} e^{\pi i z^2 / \tau} \theta_1(\tau | z) \quad (2.48)$$

The modular transformation of the elliptic genus of the A-theory is

$$\begin{aligned} Z^A\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{z}{\tau}, \frac{\xi_\alpha}{\tau}, \frac{\eta_\beta}{\tau}, \frac{\chi}{\tau}\right) = & \sum_{\vec{n} \text{ s.t. } |\vec{n}|=k} \prod_{\alpha=1}^N \prod_{m_\alpha=0}^{n_\alpha-1} e^{\frac{\pi i}{\tau} \left(-2z(\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta) + z^2 \left(1 - \frac{2(n_\beta - m_\alpha)}{l+1}\right)\right)} e^{\frac{\pi i}{\tau} \left(-2z(-\xi_\alpha + \eta_\beta + 2\chi) + z^2 \left(1 - \frac{2m_\alpha}{l+1}\right)\right)} \\ & \frac{\theta_1\left(\tau \left|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + \frac{(n_\beta - m_\alpha - l - 1)z}{l+1}\right.\right) \theta_1\left(\tau \left|-\xi_\alpha + \eta_\beta + 2\chi + \frac{(m_\alpha - l - 1)z}{l+1}\right.\right)}{\theta_1\left(\tau \left|\xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta + \frac{(n_\beta - m_\alpha)z}{l+1}\right.\right) \theta_1\left(\tau \left|-\xi_\alpha + \eta_\beta + 2\chi + \frac{m_\alpha z}{l+1}\right.\right)} \end{aligned} \quad (2.49)$$

It can be written as

$$Z^A\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{z}{\tau}, \frac{\xi_\alpha}{\tau}, \frac{\eta_\beta}{\tau}, \frac{\chi}{\tau}\right) = e^{\frac{\pi i}{\tau}(-4zkN\chi + \frac{c}{3}z^2)} Z^A(\tau, z, \xi_\alpha, \eta_\beta, \chi) \quad (2.50)$$

where $\sum_{\beta=1}^N \eta_\beta = \sum_{\beta=1}^N \xi_\beta = 0$ has been used because they are $SU(N)$ flavor holonomies and the central charge is

$$\frac{c}{3} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^N \sum_{m_\alpha=0}^{n_\alpha-1} \left(2 - \frac{2n_\beta}{l+1}\right) = 2k \left(N - \frac{k}{l+1}\right) = 2kN - k^2 + k^2 \left(1 - \frac{2}{l+1}\right) \quad (2.51)$$

where $\sum_{\beta=1}^N n_\beta = k$. It is equal to the central charge of the GLSM, i.e. $2kN$ from chiral multiplets of (Q, \tilde{Q}) , $-k^2$ from $U(k)$ vector multiplet and $k^2 \left(1 - \frac{2}{l+1}\right)$ from adjoint chiral multiplet. The central charge of the B-theory computed from the elliptic genus is

$$\frac{c}{3} = 2(lN - k)N \left(1 - \frac{2}{l+1}\right) - (lN - k)^2 + (lN - k)^2 \left(1 - \frac{2}{l+1}\right) + N^2 \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \left(1 - \frac{2j}{l+1}\right) \quad (2.52)$$

which is equal to the central charge of the A-theory.

Alternatively when a two dimensional theory has at least $\mathcal{N} = (0, 2)$ supersymmetry the central charge can be obtained from the 't Hooft anomaly of the R-symmetry. Non-conformal R-symmetry can be mixed with flavor symmetries while the superconformal R-symmetry is identified by requiring that it does not have a cross anomaly with any

global symmetry. Furthermore, the superconformal right(left)-moving R-current should not be mixed with non-(anti-)holomorphic currents of flavor symmetries [27] where (anti-)holomorphic means right(left)-mover. The flavor symmetries of the theories of interest are $SU(N) \times SU(N) \times U(1)_a$ which are not purely left-moving or purely right-moving. In this situation the central charge of $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ superconformal theories can be computed as

$$\frac{c}{3} = \sum_{i: \text{Weyl fermions}} \gamma^3 R_i R_i = \sum_i \left(\frac{R_i}{2} - 1 \right)^2 - \left(\frac{R_i}{2} \right)^2 = \sum_{j: \text{Dirac fermions}} (1 - R_j) \quad (2.53)$$

where γ^3 is the chirality matrix taking 1 on right-movers and -1 on left-movers, R_i is the right-moving R-charge of a Weyl fermion ψ_{\pm} , $R_j - 1$ is the vector R-charge of a Dirac fermion $\begin{pmatrix} \psi_+ \\ \psi_- \end{pmatrix}$. The vector R-charge is the linear combination of left-moving R-charge and right-moving R-charge, which is the same as the usual R-charge of $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ multiplets. For example, a theory of a free chiral multiplet whose fermionic component field has vector R-charge -1 has the central charge 1. Let us compute the central charge of the theory. The fundamental and anti-fundamental chiral multiplets have vector R-charge 0 so fermion fields have vector R-charge -1 . The gaugino fields have the vector R-charge 1. The adjoint chiral multiplet have vector R-charge $\frac{2}{n+1}$ so its fermion field has vector R-charge $\frac{2}{n+1} - 1$. Therefore, the central charge is given by

$$\frac{c}{3} = 2kN - k^2 + k^2 \left(1 - \frac{2}{l+1} \right), \quad (2.54)$$

which is the same as the one obtained from the modular property of the elliptic genus.

2.4.2 Chiral primaries

In this subsection, we check the matching of the chiral primaries between the dual theory. By taking a limit $\tau \rightarrow i\infty$ the elliptic genus becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\tau \rightarrow i\infty} Z^A(\tau, z, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\beta}, \chi) \\ &= (-1)^k \sum_{\vec{n} \text{ s.t. } |\vec{n}|=k} \prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^N \prod_{m_{\alpha}=0}^{n_{\alpha}-1} (-1)^{\frac{n_{\beta}-m_{\alpha}}{l+1}-\frac{1}{2}} (a_{\alpha} a_{\beta}^{-1}) \frac{1 - y^{\frac{l+1-n_{\beta}+m_{\alpha}}{l+1}} a_{\alpha}^{-1} a_{\beta}}{1 - y^{\frac{n_{\beta}-m_{\alpha}}{l+1}} a_{\alpha} a_{\beta}^{-1}} \\ & \quad \times (-1)^{\frac{m_{\alpha}}{l+1}-\frac{1}{2}} d^2 (a_{\alpha}^{-1} b_{\beta}) \frac{1 - y^{\frac{l+1-m_{\alpha}}{l+1}} d^{-2} a_{\alpha} b_{\beta}^{-1}}{1 - y^{\frac{m_{\alpha}}{l+1}} d^2 a_{\alpha}^{-1} b_{\beta}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.55)$$

where $y = e^{2i\pi z}$, $a_{\alpha} = e^{2i\pi\xi_{\alpha}}$, $b_{\alpha} = e^{2i\pi\eta_{\alpha}}$, $d = e^{2i\pi\chi}$. It is simplified as

$$\begin{aligned} Z^A(i\infty, z, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\beta}, \chi) &= (-1)^k y^{\frac{k^2}{l+1}-kN} d^{2kN} \sum_{\vec{n} \text{ s.t. } |\vec{n}|=k} \prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^N \prod_{m_{\alpha}=0}^{n_{\alpha}-1} \frac{1 - y^{\frac{l+1-n_{\beta}+m_{\alpha}}{l+1}} a_{\alpha}^{-1} a_{\beta}}{1 - y^{\frac{n_{\beta}-m_{\alpha}}{l+1}} a_{\alpha} a_{\beta}^{-1}} \\ & \quad \times \frac{1 - y^{\frac{l+1-m_{\alpha}}{l+1}} d^{-2} a_{\alpha} b_{\beta}^{-1}}{1 - y^{\frac{m_{\alpha}}{l+1}} d^2 a_{\alpha}^{-1} b_{\beta}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.56)$$

where we used $\prod_{\alpha=1}^N a_{\alpha} = \prod_{\alpha=1}^N b_{\alpha} = 1$.

The spectral flow relation $Z(q, y) = -ay^{-\frac{c}{6}}\mathcal{I}(q, q^{-\frac{1}{2}}y)$ and the central charge obtained in the previous subsection can be used to identify the contributions of chiral primaries from the ground states contribution (2.56). Up to the factor $(-1)^k d^{2kN}$ the chiral primary contribution is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{CR}^A(q, y, a_\alpha, b_\beta, d) = & \sum_{\vec{n} \text{ s.t. } |\vec{n}|=k} \prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^N \prod_{m_\alpha=0}^{n_\alpha-1} \frac{1 - (yq^{1/2})^{\frac{l+1-n_\beta+m_\alpha}{l+1}} a_\alpha^{-1} a_\beta}{1 - (yq^{1/2})^{\frac{n_\beta-m_\alpha}{l+1}} a_\alpha a_\beta^{-1}} \\ & \times \frac{1 - (yq^{1/2})^{\frac{l+1-m_\alpha}{l+1}} d^{-2} a_\alpha b_\beta^{-1}}{1 - (yq^{1/2})^{\frac{m_\alpha}{l+1}} d^2 a_\alpha^{-1} b_\beta} \end{aligned} \quad (2.57)$$

where $Z(q \rightarrow 0, y, a_\alpha, b_\beta, d) = (-1)^k y^{-c/6} d^{2kN} \mathcal{I}_{CR}(q, q^{-1/2}y, a_\alpha, b_\beta, d)$. (2.57) also can be obtained directly from the superconformal index with NS-NS boundary conditions [4]. The factors $1/(1 - (yq^{1/2})^{\frac{m_\alpha}{l+1}} d^2 a_\alpha^{-1} b_\beta)$ are contributions of operators of the form $Q_\alpha X^{m_\alpha} \tilde{Q}_\beta$ and $1 - (yq^{1/2})^{\frac{l+1-m_\alpha}{l+1}} d^{-2} a_\alpha b_\beta^{-1}$ are contributions of $\mathcal{Q}_-(\overline{Q_\alpha X^{m_\alpha} \tilde{Q}_\beta})$ where \mathcal{Q}_- is the left-moving supercharge.

The denominator of the chiral primary contribution corresponds to bosonic generators of the chiral ring. We have numerically checked that (2.57) takes the form of

$$\mathcal{I}_{CR}(x, a_\alpha, b_\beta, d) = \left(\prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^N \prod_{j=0}^{\min(l, k)-1} \frac{1}{1 - x^{\frac{j}{l+1}} d^2 a_\alpha^{-1} b_\beta} \right) N(x, a_\alpha, b_\beta, d) \quad (2.58)$$

where $x = yq^{1/2}$ and $N(x, a_\alpha, b_\beta, d)$ is a polynomial in x , which starts with 1. The chiral ring generators are adjoint operators, $\text{Tr } X^j$, $j = 1, \dots, \min(l-1, k, lN-k)$ and mesonic operators $Q_\alpha X^j \tilde{Q}_\beta$ where $j = 0, \dots, \min(l-1, k-1)$. The constraint on the power of X comes from F -flatness condition, $X^l = 0$ and the characteristic equation of the adjoint field, which makes $Q_\alpha X^j \tilde{Q}_\beta$, $j \geq k$ not linearly independent. The adjoint contribution is finite because of the superpotential so it appears in the numerator. $N(x, a_\alpha, b_\beta, d)$ consists of terms corresponding to identity, adjoint contribution, fermion contributions $\mathcal{Q}_-(M_j)$ and relations of chiral primaries. Contributions of $\mathcal{Q}_-(M_j)$ can be distinguished from that of relations because global charges are different. The relations come from the rank of mesonic matrices $(M_j)_{\alpha\beta} = Q_\alpha X^j \tilde{Q}_\beta$ and F -term condition, $X^l = 0$. One example is $\det M = 0$ for $k < N$, which is encoded as $-\prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^N a_\alpha^{-1} b_\beta$ in the numerator. For example, when $N = 2$, $k = 1$ the operators $(M_0)_{11}(M_0)_{22}$ and $(M_0)_{12}(M_0)_{21}$ have the same flavor symmetry fugacity, $a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} b_1 b_2$ and are linearly dependent. Thus one linear combination of the operators is canceled by the term $-a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} b_1 b_2$ in the numerator. Another example is $(M_0)_{\alpha\beta} (\text{Tr } X)^2 - (M_1)_{\alpha\beta} \text{Tr } X = 0$ for $U(2)$ gauge theory with $X^2 = 0$, which corresponds to $-x^{\frac{2}{3}} a_\alpha^{-1} b_\beta$.

In the limit $\tau \rightarrow i\infty$, the elliptic genus of the dual theory becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{\tau \rightarrow i\infty} Z^B(\tau, z, \xi_\alpha, \eta_\beta, \chi) \\
 &= (-1)^{lN-k} \sum_{\vec{n} \text{ s.t. } |\vec{n}|=lN-k} \prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^N \left(\prod_{\tilde{m}_\alpha=0}^{\tilde{n}_\alpha-1} (-1)^y \frac{\tilde{n}_\beta - \tilde{m}_\alpha}{l+1} - \frac{1}{2} (a_\alpha^{-1} a_\beta) \frac{1-y \frac{l+1-\tilde{n}_\beta+\tilde{m}_\alpha}{l+1} a_\alpha a_\beta^{-1}}{1-y \frac{\tilde{n}_\beta - \tilde{m}_\alpha}{l+1} a_\alpha^{-1} a_\beta} \right. \\
 & \quad \times (-1)^y \frac{\tilde{m}_\alpha+2}{l+1} - \frac{1}{2} d^{-2} (a_\alpha b_\beta^{-1}) \frac{1-y \frac{l-1-\tilde{m}_\alpha}{l+1} d^2 a_\alpha^{-1} b_\beta}{1-y \frac{\tilde{m}_\alpha+2}{l+1} d^{-2} a_\alpha b_\beta^{-1}} \\
 & \quad \left. \times \prod_{j=0}^{l-1} (-1)^y \frac{j}{l+1} - \frac{1}{2} d^2 (a_\alpha^{-1} b_\beta) \frac{1-y \frac{l+1-j}{l+1} d^{-2} a_\alpha b_\beta^{-1}}{1-y \frac{j}{l+1} d^2 a_\alpha^{-1} b_\beta} \right) \quad (2.59)
 \end{aligned}$$

It is simplified as

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z^B(i\infty, z, \xi_\alpha, \eta_\beta, \chi) &= (-1)^k y^{2(lN-k)N(1-\frac{2}{l+1}) - \frac{2(lN-k)^2}{l+1} + N^2 \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} (1-\frac{2j}{l+1})} d^{2kN} \\
 & \sum_{\vec{n} \text{ s.t. } |\vec{n}|=lN-k} \prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^N \left(\prod_{\tilde{m}_\alpha=0}^{\tilde{n}_\alpha-1} \frac{1-y \frac{l+1-\tilde{n}_\beta+\tilde{m}_\alpha}{l+1} a_\alpha a_\beta^{-1}}{1-y \frac{\tilde{n}_\beta - \tilde{m}_\alpha}{l+1} a_\alpha^{-1} a_\beta} \frac{1-y \frac{l-1-\tilde{m}_\alpha}{l+1} d^2 a_\alpha^{-1} b_\beta}{1-y \frac{\tilde{m}_\alpha+2}{l+1} d^{-2} a_\alpha b_\beta^{-1}} \right) \\
 & \quad \times \prod_{j=0}^{l-1} \frac{1-y \frac{l+1-j}{l+1} d^{-2} a_\alpha b_\beta^{-1}}{1-y \frac{j}{l+1} d^2 a_\alpha^{-1} b_\beta} \quad (2.60)
 \end{aligned}$$

The chiral primary contributions are

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{I}_{CR}^B &= \sum_{\vec{n} \text{ s.t. } |\vec{n}|=lN-k} \prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^N \left(\prod_{\tilde{m}_\alpha=0}^{\tilde{n}_\alpha-1} \frac{1-(yq^{1/2}) \frac{l+1-\tilde{n}_\beta+\tilde{m}_\alpha}{l+1} a_\alpha a_\beta^{-1}}{1-(yq^{1/2}) \frac{\tilde{n}_\beta - \tilde{m}_\alpha}{l+1} a_\alpha^{-1} a_\beta} \frac{1-(yq^{1/2}) \frac{l-1-\tilde{m}_\alpha}{l+1} d^2 a_\alpha^{-1} b_\beta}{1-(yq^{1/2}) \frac{\tilde{m}_\alpha+2}{l+1} d^{-2} a_\alpha b_\beta^{-1}} \right) \\
 & \quad \times \prod_{j=0}^{l-1} \frac{1-(yq^{1/2}) \frac{l+1-j}{l+1} d^{-2} a_\alpha b_\beta^{-1}}{1-(yq^{1/2}) \frac{j}{l+1} d^2 a_\alpha^{-1} b_\beta} \quad (2.61)
 \end{aligned}$$

The factors $\frac{1-(yq^{1/2}) \frac{l-1-\tilde{m}_\alpha}{l+1} d^2 a_\alpha^{-1} b_\beta}{1-(yq^{1/2}) \frac{\tilde{m}_\alpha+2}{l+1} d^{-2} a_\alpha b_\beta^{-1}}$ are contributions of operators $q_\alpha Y^{\tilde{m}_\alpha} \tilde{q}_\beta$, $\mathcal{Q}_-(\overline{q_\alpha Y^{\tilde{m}_\alpha} \tilde{q}_\beta})$

and the factors $\prod_{j=0}^{l-1} \frac{1-(yq^{1/2}) \frac{l+1-j}{l+1} d^{-2} a_\alpha b_\beta^{-1}}{1-(yq^{1/2}) \frac{j}{l+1} d^2 a_\alpha^{-1} b_\beta}$ are contributions of singlets $M_j^{\alpha\beta}$, $\mathcal{Q}_-(\overline{M_j^{\alpha\beta}})$.

Because $\mathcal{I}_{CR}^A = \mathcal{I}_{CR}^B$ since this is the $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ limit of $Z_A = Z_B$, the generators should match. As explained below (2.37) all contributions from $q_\alpha Y^{\tilde{m}_\alpha} \tilde{q}_\beta$ are canceled out. When $k \geq l$ all singlets of the B theory M_j , $j = 0, \dots, l-1$ contribute to the index. However, when $k < l$ only M_j , $j = 0, \dots, k-1$ contribute to the index.

Let us compute the chiral primary contributions for some examples and define $x = yq^{1/2}$ for simplicity. For $k = lN$ the B theories become non-gauge theory and consist of singlet fields, M_j , $j = 0, \dots, l-1$. Chiral primary contribution is given by

$$\mathcal{I}_{CR}(q, y, a_\alpha, b_\beta, d) = \prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^N \prod_{m=0}^{l-1} \frac{1-x \frac{l+1-m}{l+1} d^{-2} a_\alpha b_\beta^{-1}}{1-x \frac{m}{l+1} d^2 a_\alpha^{-1} b_\beta} \quad (2.62)$$

The denominators come from $M_j = QX^j\tilde{Q}$ and the numerators come from $\mathcal{Q}_-(M_j) = \mathcal{Q}_-(QX^j\tilde{Q})$. The chiral primary operators do not have any non-trivial relation, which is manifest in the description of the B theory. Terms in the numerators of (2.62) cannot be interpreted as relations because any product of generators does not have corresponding charges, $x^{\frac{l+1-m}{l+1}}d^{-2}a_\alpha b_\beta^{-1}$. The elliptic genus of the theory is given by products of contributions of singlet fields M_j , $j = 0, \dots, l-1$,

$$Z(\tau, z, \xi_\alpha, \eta_\beta, \chi) = \prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^N \prod_{j=0}^{l-1} \frac{\theta_1(\tau | -\xi_\alpha + \eta_\beta + 2\chi + \frac{(j-l-1)z}{l+1})}{\theta_1(\tau | -\xi_\alpha + \eta_\beta + 2\chi + \frac{jz}{l+1})}. \quad (2.63)$$

Let us consider $N = 1$ cases. B theory is $U(l-k)$ gauge theory so $k \leq l$ is the valid range of the duality. The elliptic genus and chiral primary contribution are given by

$$Z(\tau, z, \chi) = \prod_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{\theta_1(\tau | \frac{k-m}{l+1}z - z)}{\theta_1(\tau | \frac{k-m}{l+1}z)} \frac{\theta_1(\tau | 2\chi + \frac{m}{l+1}z - z)}{\theta_1(\tau | 2\chi + \frac{m}{l+1}z)} \quad (2.64)$$

$$\mathcal{I}(x, d) = \prod_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{1 - x^{1 - \frac{k-m}{l+1}}}{1 - x^{\frac{k-m}{l+1}}} \frac{1 - x^{\frac{l+1-m}{l+1}}d^{-2}}{1 - x^{\frac{m}{l+1}}d^2} \quad (2.65)$$

where $d = e^{2\pi i\chi}$ is a fugacity for the global $U(1)$ symmetry under which the chiral multiplets have the same charge. The first factor is the contribution of $\text{Tr } X^j$, $j = 1, \dots, \min(k, l-k)$. For $l-k < k$, contributions of $\text{Tr } X^j$, $j = l-k+1, \dots, k$ are canceled out. The second factor comes from the operators $M_j = QX^j\tilde{Q}$, $j = 0, \dots, k-1$. The elliptic genus is the product of the elliptic genus of chiral fields u_i , $i = 1, \dots, \min(k, l-k)$ and v_j , $j = 0, \dots, k-1$ where the chiral fields are identified as $u_i = \text{Tr } X^i$ and $v_j = M_j$. The expression of u_i is reminiscent of the elliptic genus of the minimal models. It would be interesting to find the underlying CFT for the above theory. The particularly simple case is $l = k$ case. In this case, the B theory is the theory of k singlets M_j with $j = 0 \dots k-1$, whose central charge contribution is $(1 - \frac{2j}{k+1})$ so that the central charge of the CFT is $\frac{c}{3} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (1 - \frac{2j}{k+1}) = 2k - \frac{2k^2}{k+1}$. The chiral ring relation between different M_i and M_j is trivial since $M_i M_j \sim M_n$ is impossible since each M_i has $U(1)_a$ charge 2.

Now turn into $k = 1$ case. The elliptic genus is given by

$$Z(\tau, z, a_\alpha, b_\beta, d) = -\frac{\theta_1(\tau | -\frac{l}{l+1}z)}{\theta_1(\tau | \frac{1}{l+1}z)} \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \prod_{\beta=1, \beta \neq \alpha}^N \frac{\theta_1(\tau | \xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta - z)}{\theta_1(\tau | \xi_\alpha - \xi_\beta)} \prod_{\gamma=1}^N \frac{\theta_1(\tau | -\xi_\alpha + \eta_\beta + 2\chi - z)}{\theta_1(\tau | -\xi_\alpha + \eta_\beta + 2\chi)} \quad (2.66)$$

The first factor comes from the adjoint field and is the same as the elliptic genus of the l -th minimal model. Since the adjoint field is neutral, the underlying CFT is indeed the minimal model [21]. In this case, CFT consists of the tensor product of the l -th minimal model and the CFT of $N = (2, 2)$ $U(1)$ with N flavors. The central charge of $k = 1$ case is indeed given by the sum of that of the l -th minimal model and that of the $U(1)$ with N flavors. This theory has another dual description, which is $U(N-1)$ gauge theory with N fundamental/anti-fundamental chiral fields, q, \tilde{q} and decoupled chiral field Y with superpotential $W = Y^{l+1} + Mq\tilde{q}$.

Let us work out the matching of the chiral ring elements for some simple dual pairs. Let us consider A theory for U(1) gauge with $N = 1$ pair of fundamental and anti-fundamental chiral multiplets and an adjoint with superpotential $W = \text{Tr } X^4$ i.e. $l = 3$. B theory is a U(2) gauge theory with additional gauge singlet matter fields M_j , $j = 0, 1, 2$ with superpotential $W = \text{Tr } Y^4 + M_0 q Y^2 \tilde{q} + M_1 \tilde{q} Y q + M_2 \tilde{q} q$. Chiral primaries of the A theory are obtained as

$$\mathcal{I}_{CR}^A = \frac{1 - x^{3/4}}{1 - x^{1/4}} \frac{1 - xd^{-2}}{1 - d^2} \quad (2.67)$$

Because the gauge group is U(1) the linearly independent bosonic chiral ring generators are X and $Q\tilde{Q}$. The first factor $\frac{1-x^{3/4}}{1-x^{1/4}} = 1 + x^{1/4} + x^{2/4}$ correspond to the identity, X and X^2 . It reflects the constraint $X^3 = 0$. Another factor $\frac{1-xd^{-2}}{1-d^2}$ comes from the mesonic operator $Q\tilde{Q}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_-(\overline{Q\tilde{Q}})$. Chiral primaries of the B theory are computed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{CR}^B &= \frac{1 - x^{2/4}}{1 - x^{2/4}} \frac{1 - x^{3/4}}{1 - x^{1/4}} \cdot \frac{1 - x^{2/4}d^2}{1 - x^{2/4}d^{-2}} \frac{1 - x^{1/4}d^2}{1 - x^{3/4}d^{-2}} \cdot \frac{1 - xd^{-2}}{1 - d^2} \frac{1 - x^{3/4}d^{-2}}{1 - x^{1/4}d^2} \frac{1 - x^{2/4}d^{-2}}{1 - x^{2/4}d^2} \\ &= \frac{1 - x^{3/4}}{1 - x^{1/4}} \frac{1 - xd^{-2}}{1 - d^2} \end{aligned} \quad (2.68)$$

At first an operator $\text{Tr } Y^2$ is not constrained by the superpotential or the U(2) B theory characteristic equation which is

$$Y^2 - Y \text{Tr } Y + \frac{1}{2} ((\text{Tr } X)^2 - \text{Tr } X^2) I_2 = 0 \quad (2.69)$$

where I_2 is a 2×2 identity matrix. However it should not be a chiral primary in the IR to be consistent with the duality. Actually $\frac{1-x^{2/4}}{1-x^{2/4}}$ is the contribution of $\text{Tr } Y^2$ and $\mathcal{Q}_-(\overline{\text{Tr } Y^2})$, which cancel each other. This is consistent with the U(1) A theory in which $\text{Tr } X^2$ is not a linearly independent operator. The index also shows pair cancellations, $(\tilde{q}q, M_2)$ as $\frac{1-x^{2/4}d^2}{1-x^{2/4}d^{-2}} \frac{1-x^{2/4}d^{-2}}{1-x^{2/4}d^2} = 1$ and $(\tilde{q}Yq, M_1)$ as $\frac{1-x^{1/4}d^2}{1-x^{3/4}d^{-2}} \frac{1-x^{3/4}d^{-2}}{1-x^{1/4}d^2} = 1$. Due to the characteristic equation (2.69) $\tilde{q}Y^2q$ is not a linearly independent operator so it does not annihilate M_0 . Thus M_0 operator survives and corresponds to $Q\tilde{Q}$ operator of A theory.

Let us consider A theory with U(2) gauge group and $N = 1$ pair of fundamental and anti-fundamental chiral multiplets and an adjoint with a superpotential $W = \text{Tr } X^4$ i.e. $l = 3$. B theory is U(1) gauge theory with additional gauge singlet matter fields M_j , $j = 0, 1, 2$ with superpotential $W = \text{Tr } Y^4 + M_0 q Y^2 \tilde{q} + M_1 \tilde{q} Y q + M_2 \tilde{q} q$. Chiral primary contribution is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{CR}^A &= \frac{1 - x^{2/4}}{1 - x^{2/4}} \frac{1 - x^{3/4}}{1 - x^{1/4}} \frac{1 - xd^{-2}}{1 - d^2} \frac{1 - x^{3/4}d^{-2}}{1 - x^{1/4}d^2} \\ &= \frac{1 - x^{3/4}}{1 - x^{1/4}} \frac{1 - xd^{-2}}{1 - d^2} \frac{1 - x^{3/4}d^{-2}}{1 - x^{1/4}d^2} \end{aligned} \quad (2.70)$$

The first factor $\frac{1-x^{3/4}}{1-x^{1/4}} = 1 + x^{1/4} + x^{2/4}$ correspond to the identity, $\text{Tr } X$ and $(\text{Tr } X)^2$. Even though $\text{Tr } X^2$ is not constrained by the superpotential or the U(2) characteristic equation its contribution $\frac{1-x^{2/4}}{1-x^{2/4}}$ is canceled out. It is a quantum constraint consistent with the U(1)

B theory. The second and third factors correspond to $Q\tilde{Q}$, $QX\tilde{Q}$, $\mathcal{Q}_-(\overline{Q\tilde{Q}})$, $\mathcal{Q}_-(\overline{QX\tilde{Q}})$. Chiral primaries computed from the B theory are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{CR}^B &= \frac{1-x^{3/4}}{1-x^{1/4}} \cdot \frac{1-x^{2/4}d^2}{1-x^{2/4}d^{-2}} \cdot \frac{1-xd^{-2}}{1-d^2} \frac{1-x^{3/4}d^{-2}}{1-x^{1/4}d^2} \frac{1-x^{2/4}d^{-2}}{1-x^{2/4}d^2} \\ &= \frac{1-x^{3/4}}{1-x^{1/4}} \frac{1-xd^{-2}}{1-d^2} \frac{1-x^{3/4}d^{-2}}{1-x^{1/4}d^2} \end{aligned} \quad (2.71)$$

where $\tilde{q}\tilde{q}$ and M_2 are canceled out as $\frac{1-x^{2/4}d^2}{1-x^{2/4}d^{-2}} \frac{1-x^{2/4}d^{-2}}{1-x^{2/4}d^2} = 1$. Thus the chiral primaries are $\text{Tr} Y$, M_0 , M_1 consistent with the duality.

Let us consider A theory with $U(2)$ gauge group and $N = 2$ flavors and an adjoint with a superpotential $W = \text{Tr} X^3$. B theory is also $U(2)$ theory so the characteristic equation is the same as A theory. The chiral primary contribution is given by

$$\frac{1 + x^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(1 - d^4 \frac{b_1 b_2}{a_1 a_2}\right) + x^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(1 - d^2 \frac{b_1}{a_1} - d^2 \frac{b_1}{a_2} - d^2 \frac{b_2}{a_1} - d^2 \frac{b_2}{a_2} - d^4 \frac{b_1 b_2}{a_1 a_2}\right) + O(x)}{\prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^2 \left(1 - d^2 \frac{b_\beta}{a_\alpha}\right) \left(1 - x^{\frac{1}{3}} d^2 \frac{b_\beta}{a_\alpha}\right)} \quad (2.72)$$

The denominator comes from $(M_0)_{\alpha\beta} = Q_\alpha \tilde{Q}_\beta$ and $(M_1)_{\alpha\beta} = Q_\alpha X \tilde{Q}_\beta$. The numerator contains terms identified as $x^{\frac{1}{3}} \rightarrow \text{Tr} X$, $x^{\frac{2}{3}} \rightarrow (\text{Tr} X)^2$, $-x^{\frac{1}{3}} d^4 \frac{b_1 b_2}{a_1 a_2} \rightarrow \det M_0 \text{Tr} X + c\epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \epsilon^{\gamma\delta} (M_1)_{\alpha\gamma} (M_0)_{\beta\delta} = 0$ for some c , $-x^{\frac{2}{3}} d^2 \frac{b_\beta}{a_\alpha} \rightarrow (M_1)_{\alpha\beta} \text{Tr} X - (M_0)_{\alpha\beta} (\text{Tr} X)^2 = 0$. The rest of terms are the order of x up to x^3 .

2.5 Summary of the phase structure

We have considered theories with four parameters, k , N_f , N_a , l where $U(k)$ gauge group with N_f fundamental chiral fields and N_a anti-fundamental chiral fields and the superpotential $W = \text{Tr} X^{l+1}$. We assume twisted masses for the chiral fields are zero. The FI parameter ξ runs for $N_f \neq N_a$ under the RG and does not for $N_f = N_a$. The theta angle θ is appropriately tuned to minimize the potential energy [28].

We just have to consider $N_f \geq N_a$ cases since $N_f < N_a$ cases reduce to those cases under charge conjugation. If $k > lN_f$ we expect the supersymmetry is spontaneously broken. If $k \leq lN_f$ we show that the theory has the dual description, $U(lN_f - k)$ gauge theory in the IR. If $N_a = 0$ we argue that the theory has the mass gap and $\binom{lN_f}{k}$ isolated vacua. If $0 < N_a < N_f$ we expect that the theory has not only isolated vacua but also non-trivial non-linear sigma model on the Higgs branch. If $N_a = N_f$ the theory is superconformal and the duality holds for $\xi \neq 0$ where there are no vacua on the Coulomb branch. See [20], for related discussion. This phase structure is summarized at table 1.

3 Relation to dualities in 3 and 4 dimensions

In 3 and 4-dimensions, one can find the similar dualities studied in this paper. In 4-dimensions, one has Kutasov-Schwimmer-Seiberg dualities [12]. In 3-dimensions, the analogue was worked out in [16]. The natural question is if the 2-dimensional dualities considered in the paper are related to the dualities in 3-dimensions and 4-dimensions via

$lN_f < k$	$N_f \geq N_a$	spontaneous breaking of the supersymmetry
$lN_f = k$	$N_f \geq N_a > 0$	theory of $N_f N_a$ free chiral fields
	$N_f > 0, N_a = 0$	one massive isolated vacua
$lN_f > k$	$N_f = N_a > 0$	SCFT on Higgs branch, $\xi \neq 0$
	$N_f > N_a > 0$	massive isolated vacua and NLSM on Higgs branch
	$N_f > 0, N_a = 0$	$\binom{lN_f}{k}$ massive isolated vacua

Table 1. Phase structure of $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ $U(k)$ gauge theory with N_f fundamental, N_a anti-fundamental and one adjoint chiral fields with the superpotential $W = \text{Tr } X^{l+1}$.

dimensional reduction. In fact the 3-dimensional dualities of [16] can be obtained from the Kutasov-Schwimmer-Seiberg dualities [19, 29] following [1]. One can also obtain 2-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ gauge theories from 3-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories [30]. In this section, we briefly summarize the reduction of the 4-dimensional dualities to the 3-dimensional dualities. After that, we show that the 2-dimensional dualities follow from the 3-dimensional dualities following [30]. Thus we make the relation explicit between dualities in 2,3 and 4-dimensions.³

3.1 From 4-dimensional dualities to 3-dimensional dualities

4-dimensional Kutasov-Schwimmer dualities consider the following A and B theory and two theories flow to the same SCFT in the IR.

Theory A: $U(N_c)$ gauge theory with N_f fundamental Q^α and anti-fundamental fields \tilde{Q}^β , one adjoint field X and with the superpotential

$$W = \text{Tr } X^{l+1}. \tag{3.1}$$

Theory B: $U(lN_f - N_c)$ gauge theory with N_f fundamental q_α and anti-fundamental fields \tilde{q}_β , one adjoint field Y , singlet fields M_j with $j = 0 \dots l-1$ and with the superpotential

$$W = \text{Tr } Y^{l+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} M_j \tilde{q} Y^{l-1-j} q. \tag{3.2}$$

The 3-dimensional analogue was worked out in [16], where some evidences are presented that the following A and B theory are equivalent in the IR.

Theory A: $U(N_c)$ gauge theory with N_f fundamental Q^α and anti-fundamental fields \tilde{Q}^β , one adjoint field X and with the superpotential

$$W = \text{Tr } X^{l+1}. \tag{3.3}$$

³It is known that Seiberg-type dualities in two dimensions follow from similar dualities in four dimensions. Reducing 4-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theories to 2-dimensional $(0, 2)$ theories, some 2-dimensional Seiberg-type dualities have 4-dimensional origin [7, 31, 32]. One can directly relate the 4d Kutasov-Schwimmer-Seiberg and 2-dimensional dualities of the paper.

Theory B: $U(lN_f - N_c)$ gauge theory with N_f fundamental q_α and anti-fundamental fields \tilde{q}_β , one adjoint field Y , singlet fields M_j , $v_{j,\pm}$ with $j = 0 \cdots l-1$ and with the superpotential

$$W_B = \text{Tr} Y^{l+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} M_j \tilde{q} Y^{l-1-j} q + \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \left(v_{j,+} \tilde{V}_{l-1-j,-} + v_{j,+} \tilde{V}_{l-1-j,-} \right) \quad (3.4)$$

where $\tilde{V}_{j,\pm}$ are the monopole operators of $U(lN_f - N_c)$ while the monopole operators of $U(N_c)$ are mapped to $v_{j,\pm}$.

One can consider 3-dimensional reduction of 4-dimensional Seiberg dual theories on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^1$ with the circle radius $r \rightarrow 0$. But this naive dimensional reduction of the 4-dimensional dual theories results in 3-dimensional theories which are not dual to each other. This can be understood as follows [1]. The 4-dimensional Seiberg duality is the IR duality, which implies two theories A and B are identical at energies below their strong coupling scales, $E \ll \Lambda_A, \Lambda_B$. When a 4-dimensional theory is compactified on the circle the strong coupling scale is given by $\Lambda^b = \exp(-4\pi/(rg_3^2))$ where b is the one-loop beta function coefficient and g_3^2 is a 3-dimensional gauge coupling. If we take the limit $r \rightarrow 0$ with a fixed g_3^2 the strong coupling scale becomes $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ for an asymptotically free theory. In this limit, the low-energy limit $E \ll \Lambda_A, \Lambda_B$ where the duality is valid becomes meaningless.

In order to obtain 3-dimensional dualities from 4-dimensional dualities, we keep r fixed and look at energies $E \ll \Lambda_A, \Lambda_B, 1/r$ where the effective low-energy dynamics is three dimensional and deduces the 3d dualities. The finite radius leads to a compact Coulomb branch and an additional Affleck-Harvey-Witten (AHW) superpotential. The 4-dimensional theories of interest on a circle has $2l$ unlifted Coulomb branch parameterized by $v_{i,\pm}$ in theory A and $\tilde{v}_{i,\pm}$ in theory B and the superpotential [19],

$$W_A = \text{Tr} X^{l+1} + \eta \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} v_{j,+} v_{l-1-j,-} \quad (3.5)$$

$$W_B = \text{Tr} Y^{l+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} M_j \tilde{q} Y^{l-1-j} q + \tilde{\eta} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \tilde{v}_{j,+} \tilde{v}_{l-1-j,-} \quad (3.6)$$

where $\eta \equiv \Lambda^b$. Due to the AHW superpotential (η term), axial $U(1)$ flavor symmetry is explicitly broken. Such AHW superpotential can vanish in the theory deformed by a large real mass of a charged field so that the axial $U(1)$ symmetry is restored. The duality given in [16] can be obtained from the theory A with $N_f + 2$ flavors and real masses,

$$m_\alpha = (0, \dots, 0, m, -m), \quad \tilde{m}_\beta = (0, \dots, 0, -m, m) \quad (3.7)$$

where m_α and \tilde{m}_β are real masses for fundamental chiral fields Q_α and anti-fundamental chiral fields \tilde{Q}_β respectively. If a charged field is integrated out the monopole operators at high-energy and low-energy are related as $v_{\text{high}} = m_{\mathbb{C}}^{1/2} \cdot v_{\text{low}}$ where $m_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a complex mass of the charged field. The flavors have no complex mass but are integrated out due to the real masses so the AHW superpotential vanishes at low energies since $m_{\mathbb{C}} = 0$ [1].

Thus the theory A becomes $U(N_c)$ gauge theory with N_f flavors and an adjoint with the superpotential, $W_A = \text{Tr } X^{l+1}$, which is nothing but the theory A of [16].

The theory B is $U(l(N_f + 2) - N_c)$ gauge theory with $N_f + 2$ flavors and an adjoint with the superpotential (3.6) in the UV. In [19] this theory is perturbed by $W = \sum_{j=0}^l \frac{s_j}{k+1-j} \text{Tr } Y^{k+1-j}$. The effect of the perturbation is to break the gauge group $U(n_1) \times \dots \times U(n_l)$, $\sum_i n_i = l(N_f + 2) - N_c$ and the adjoint field becomes massive. Furthermore, the real mass deformation leads to a vacuum expectation value of scalar fields in each $U(n_i)$ vector multiplet, $\sigma_{U(n_i)} = \text{diag}(0, \dots, 0, -m, m)$. This breaks the $U(n_i)$ gauge groups to $U(n_i - 2) \times U(1)_{i,1} \times U(1)_{i,2}$. $U(n_i - 2)$ gauge group has N_f flavors and each of $U(1)_{i,a}$ with $a = 1, 2$ has one flavor. Thus the $U(1)_{i,a}$ sector can be dualized to the XYZ model. Under this duality, the monopole operators $v_{U(1)_{i,a}, \pm}$ of $U(1)_{i,a}$ theory are mapped to singlet fields of XYZ model. These singlet fields interact with the monopole operators of $U(n_i)$ through a superpotential. Thus we have $U(n_1 - 2) \times \dots \times U(n_l - 2)$ gauge theory interacting with $2l$ XYZ models. It is argued in [19], by turning off the perturbation $s_j \rightarrow 0$, ($j \neq 0$) the gauge group is enhanced to $U(lN_f - N_c)$ theory with N_f flavors, one adjoint and monopole operators, $\tilde{V}_{j, \pm}$. $2l$ singlet fields $v_{U(1)_{i,1}, +}$, $v_{U(1)_{i,2}, -}$ are identified with the singlet fields $v_{j, \pm}$ interacting with the monopole operators of $U(lN_f - N_c)$ gauge sector by the superpotential,

$$W_B = \text{Tr } Y^{l+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} M_j \tilde{q} Y^{l-1-j} q + \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} (v_{j,+} \tilde{V}_{l-1-j,-} + v_{j,+} \tilde{V}_{l-1-j,-}) \quad (3.8)$$

which is the theory B in [16]. Hence one can recover the duality of [16].

3.2 From the 3-dimensional dualities to the 2-dimensional dualities

Here we review the work [30] and apply it to the theory with one adjoint field. Let's consider 3-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $U(N_c)$ gauge theory with N_f pairs of fundamental and anti-fundamental chiral fields, $(Q^\alpha, \tilde{Q}^\beta)$. This theory has the IR dual description, $U(N_f - N_c)$ gauge theory with N_f pairs of fundamental and anti-fundamental chiral fields $(q_\alpha, \tilde{q}_\beta)$, N_f^2 singlet chiral fields, $M^{\alpha\beta}$ and two singlet chiral fields v_\pm which correspond to bare monopole fields in the original $U(N_c)$ gauge theory. If the theories are placed on $R^2 \times S_r^1$ with radius r , one finds effective 2-dimensional descriptions at energies below $1/r$. However, reducing the theories on the Coulomb branch (3d FI parameter $\zeta = 0$) is not consistent with the duality [30]. The Coulomb branch can be lifted by turning on non-zero, finite FI parameter, which can be seen from the potential,

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \frac{1}{2e^2} \text{Tr} [\sigma, \bar{\sigma}]^2 + \frac{e^2}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N_c} \left| \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_f} Q_i^\alpha \bar{Q}^{\alpha j} - \sum_{\beta=1}^{N_f} \tilde{Q}^{\beta j} \tilde{Q}_i^\beta - \zeta \delta_j^i \right|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_f} \bar{Q}^\alpha \{ \bar{\sigma}, \sigma \} Q^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta=1}^{N_f} \tilde{Q}^\beta \{ \bar{\sigma}, \sigma \} \tilde{Q}^\beta \\ &= \frac{e^2}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N_c} \left| \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_f} Q_i^\alpha \bar{Q}^{\alpha j} - \sum_{\beta=1}^{N_f} \tilde{Q}^{\beta j} \tilde{Q}_i^\beta - \zeta \delta_j^i \right|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_f} |\sigma^i|^2 (|Q_i^\alpha|^2 + |\tilde{Q}_i^\alpha|^2) \quad (3.9) \end{aligned}$$

where the second line is obtained by diagonalized σ due to the potential, $\text{Tr}[\sigma, \bar{\sigma}]^2$. If the FI parameter ζ is non-zero, σ should vanish, i.e. the Coulomb branch is lifted. Now we reduce the 3-dimensional theories to the 2-dimensional theories. To be more specific, the 3-dimensional $U(N_c)$ gauge theory is reduced to 2-dimensional $N = (2, 2)$ $U(N_c)$ gauge theory with N_f pairs of fundamental and anti-fundamental chiral fields and with non-zero 2-dimensional FI parameter $t = \zeta r$. On the other hand, the 3-dimensional $U(N_f - N_c)$ gauge theory has a non-zero FI parameter, which induces a non-zero real mass for the singlet chiral fields v_+, v_- . The FI parameter can be understood as a vacuum expectation value(vev) of the real scalar field in a background vector multiplet for the topological symmetry, $U(1)_J$. Monopole operators are charged under the topological symmetry. Hence v_{\pm} picks up a mass term in the presence of nonzero FI parameter,

$$\mathcal{S} = \int dx^3 d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \bar{v}_{\pm} e^{\pm i\zeta\theta\bar{\theta}} v_{\pm}. \tag{3.10}$$

Therefore, the singlet chiral fields v_{\pm} get massive and are integrated out at energies below $1/r$ where $\zeta > 1/r$. The resulting 2-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ theory is $U(N_f - N_c)$ with N_f pairs of fundamental and anti-fundamental chiral fields $(q_{\alpha}, \tilde{q}_{\beta})$ and the singlet chiral fields $M^{\alpha\beta}$, which is the dual description of the 2d $U(N_c)$ gauge theory.

Now let's consider the 3-dimensional theory with one adjoint chiral field X and the superpotential, $W = \text{Tr} X^{l+1}$ in addition to N_f pairs of fundamental and anti-fundamental chiral fields $(Q^{\alpha}, \tilde{Q}^{\beta})$. The dual description [16] is the $U(lN_f - N_c)$ gauge theory with N_f pairs of fundamental and anti-fundamental chiral fields $(q_{\alpha}, \tilde{q}_{\beta})$, lN_f^2 singlet chiral fields, $M_j^{\alpha\beta}$, $2l$ singlet chiral fields $v_{j,+}, v_{j,-}$ where $j = 0, \dots, l-1$ and an adjoint field Y and superpotential, $W = \text{Tr} Y^{l+1} + \sum_j (M_j q Y^{l-1-j} \tilde{q} + v_{j,\pm} \tilde{V}_{l-1-j, \mp})$ where $\tilde{V}_{j,\pm}$ are monopole fields in the dual theory and all flavor and gauge indices are contracted. All $v_{j,\pm}$ are charged under the topological symmetry with charges ± 1 , so as before, all of them are integrated out at energies below $1/r$ where $\zeta > 1/r$. Then the effective 2-dimensional description is $U(lN_f - N_c)$ gauge theory with N_f pairs of fundamental and anti-fundamental chiral fields $(q_{\alpha}, \tilde{q}_{\beta})$, lN_f^2 singlet chiral fields, $M_j^{\alpha\beta}$ and superpotential,

$$W = \text{Tr} Y^{l+1} + \sum_j M_j q Y^{l-1-j} \tilde{q}. \tag{3.11}$$

Therefore, the 2d dualities with $N_f = N_a$ can be derived from the 3d dualities. Other dualities with $N_f \neq N_a$ can be obtained by giving twisted masses to some chiral fields by weakly gauging corresponding $U(1)$ parts of $SU(N_f) \times SU(N_a)$.

4 Mass gap

In this section, we argue the existence of the mass gap for the $U(k)$ theory with one adjoint and $N_f > k$ fundamental chiral multiplets. We closely follow the argument in [18]. Let us consider 2d $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ $U(k)$ gauge theory with N_f fundamentals Q_i and one adjoint X

and a superpotential,⁴

$$W = \sum_{j=0}^l \frac{s_j}{l+1-j} \text{Tr} X^{l+1-j} \tag{4.1}$$

For generic coefficients $\{s_j\}$ the superpotential can have l distinct minima as

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial X} = \sum_{j=0}^l s_j X^{l-j} \equiv s_0 \prod_{j=1}^l (X - \lambda_j \mathbf{I}_{k \times k}) . \tag{4.2}$$

The classical potential is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V = & \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[\sigma, \bar{\sigma}]^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^k \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^N \phi_{\alpha}^i \bar{\phi}_{\alpha}^j + [X, \bar{X}]_j^i - r \delta_j^i \right)^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \bar{\phi}_{\alpha}^i \{ \bar{\sigma}, \sigma \}_j^i \phi_{\alpha}^j + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}([\bar{X}, \bar{\sigma}])([\sigma, X]) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}([\bar{X}, \sigma])([\bar{\sigma}, X]) \\ & + \left| s_0 \prod_{j=1}^l (X - \lambda_j \mathbf{I}_{k \times k}) \right|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Classically to have the zero potential we need $X = \lambda_j i_{k \times k}$ for some j so that

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^N \phi_{\alpha}^i \bar{\phi}_{\alpha}^j - r \delta_j^i = 0 \tag{4.4}$$

Thus some of ϕ_{α}^i should be nonzero. Quantum mechanically this is not possible since this implies the breaking of the global symmetry of $U(N_f)$, which is forbidden in 2 dimensions. The resolution of this puzzle is standard. Even though ϕ_{α}^i has zero expectation value the bilinear $O_j^i = \phi_{\alpha}^i \bar{\phi}_{\alpha}^j$ can have the nontrivial expectation value. Following [18], we have

$$\langle O \rangle = N_f \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{k^2 + \{\sigma, \bar{\sigma}\}} \tag{4.5}$$

from (4.3). Using the same regularization scheme of [18], we have

$$\langle O \rangle = -\frac{N_f}{4\pi} \ln(\{\sigma, \bar{\sigma}\}/2\mu^2). \tag{4.6}$$

The condition for vanishing energy in this approximation is

$$\{\sigma, \bar{\sigma}\} = 2\mu^2 \exp(-4\pi r/N_f) \tag{4.7}$$

From the classical Lagrangian we have $[\sigma, \bar{\sigma}] = 0$ and $X = \lambda_j i_{k \times k}$ so that $\sigma = \mu \exp(-2\pi r/N_f) g$ with g being a unitary matrix. The nonzero vev of O gives rise to masses for the fundamental flavors. The above computation is a typical large N_f computation. But the result is valid for finite N_f by going to a sufficiently large negative value of r [18].

⁴This computation is also valid for the special case $W = \text{Tr} X^{l+1}$.

A χ_y genus

In the appendix we compute χ_y genus of the $U(k)$ theory with one adjoint and $N_f > k$ fundamental chiral multiplets. We call it A theory. The contributions of the ground states of any $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ theory can be obtained by

$$Z(q, y) = Z(q \rightarrow 0, y) \equiv \chi_y . \quad (\text{A.1})$$

In the limit $q \rightarrow 0$, equivalently, $\tau \rightarrow i\infty$, the elliptic genus of a free chiral multiplet is reduced to

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow i\infty} \frac{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_1)}{\theta_1(\tau|\xi_2)} = \frac{x_1^{1/2} - x_1^{-1/2}}{x_2^{1/2} - x_2^{-1/2}} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where $x_i = e^{2i\pi\xi_i}$. The elliptic genus of A theory is reduced to χ_y genus

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{l,k,N_f}^A(q=0, y) &= (-1)^k \sum_{\vec{n} \text{ s.t. } |\vec{n}|=k} \prod_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{N_f} \prod_{m_\alpha=0}^{n_\alpha-1} \frac{a_\alpha^{1/2} a_\beta^{-1/2} y^{(n_\beta-m_\alpha-l-1)/2(l+1)} - a_\alpha^{-1/2} a_\beta^{1/2} y^{-(n_\beta-m_\alpha-l-1)/2(l+1)}}{a_\alpha^{1/2} a_\beta^{-1/2} y^{(n_\beta-m_\alpha)/2(l+1)} - a_\alpha^{-1/2} a_\beta^{1/2} y^{-(n_\beta-m_\alpha)/2(l+1)}} \\ &= (-1)^k \sum_{\vec{n} \text{ s.t. } |\vec{n}|=k} \prod_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{N_f} \prod_{m_\alpha=0}^{n_\alpha-1} \frac{a_\alpha y^{-1/2-m_\alpha/(l+1)} - a_\beta y^{1/2-n_\beta/(l+1)}}{a_\alpha y^{-m_\alpha/(l+1)} - a_\beta y^{-n_\beta/(l+1)}} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

As in CP^{N-1} and Grassmannian models the ground state contributions are independent of the global symmetry fugacities a_α . As a trick to obtain the explicit expressions, we take limits $a_\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ and $a_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ to obtain χ_y genus. We would like to consider $N_f + 1$ flavors case and take limits on a_{N_f+1} . It depends on values of n_{N_f+1} ,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{l,k,N_f}^A(0, y) &= (-1)^k \sum_{n_{N_f+1}=0}^k \left[\sum_{\vec{n} \text{ s.t. } |\vec{n}|=k-n_{N_f+1}} \left(\prod_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{N_f} \prod_{m_\alpha=0}^{n_\alpha-1} \frac{a_\alpha y^{-1/2-m_\alpha/(l+1)} - a_\beta y^{1/2-n_\beta/(l+1)}}{a_\alpha y^{-m_\alpha/(l+1)} - a_\beta y^{-n_\beta/(l+1)}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left(\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_f} \prod_{m_\alpha=0}^{n_\alpha-1} \frac{a_\alpha y^{-1/2-m_\alpha/(l+1)} - a_{N_f+1} y^{1/2-n_{N_f+1}/(l+1)}}{a_\alpha y^{-m_\alpha/(l+1)} - a_{N_f+1} y^{-n_{N_f+1}/(l+1)}} \right) \\ &\quad \left(\prod_{\beta=1}^{N_f} \prod_{m_{N_f+1}=0}^{n_{N_f+1}-1} \frac{a_{N_f+1} y^{-1/2-m_{N_f+1}/(l+1)} - a_\beta y^{1/2-n_\beta/(l+1)}}{a_{N_f+1} y^{-m_{N_f+1}/(l+1)} - a_\beta y^{-n_\beta/(l+1)}} \right) \\ &\quad \left. \left(\prod_{m_{N_f+1}=0}^{n_{N_f+1}-1} \frac{a_{N_f+1} y^{-1/2-m_{N_f+1}/(l+1)} - a_{N_f+1} y^{1/2-n_{N_f+1}/(l+1)}}{a_{N_f+1} y^{-m_{N_f+1}/(l+1)} - a_{N_f+1} y^{-n_{N_f+1}/(l+1)}} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The fourth line can be written in terms of q-binomial,

$$\binom{l}{n}_y = \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} \frac{y^{(l-m)/2} - y^{-(l-m)/2}}{y^{(n-m)/2} - y^{-(n-m)/2}} . \quad (\text{A.5})$$

It can be considered as contributions of $\text{Tr } X, \dots, \text{Tr } X^n$ where $n = \min(l-1, k, l-k)$. Note that $\binom{l}{n}_y = \binom{l}{l-n}_y$. We take limit $a_{N_f+1} \rightarrow \infty$ or $a_{N_f+1} \rightarrow 0$

$$\lim_{a_{N_f+1} \rightarrow \infty} Z_{l,k,N_f+1}^A(0, y) = \sum_{n=0}^k Z_{l,k-n,N_f}^A(0, y) \cdot y^{(k-n)/2} \cdot y^{-nN_f/2} \cdot \binom{l}{n}_{y^{\frac{1}{l+1}}} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$\lim_{a_{N_f+1} \rightarrow 0} Z_{l,k,N_f+1}^A(0, y) = \sum_{n=0}^k Z_{l,k-n,N_f}^A(0, y) \cdot y^{-(k-n)/2} \cdot y^{nN_f/2} \cdot \binom{l}{n}_{y^{\frac{1}{l+1}}} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Because $Z_{l,k,N_f}^A(0, y)$ is independent of a_α ,

$$\lim_{a_{N_f+1} \rightarrow \infty} Z_{l,k,N_f+1}^A(0, y) = \lim_{a_{N_f+1} \rightarrow 0} Z_{l,k,N_f+1}^A(0, y) \cdot \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Equating (A.6) and (A.7) gives

$$Z_{l,k,N_f}^A(0, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} Z_{l,m,N_f}^A(0, y) \frac{[(k-m)N_f - m]_y}{[k]_y} \binom{l}{k-m}_{y^{\frac{1}{l+1}}} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

where the summation is rearranged by $m = k - n$ and $[k]_y$ is the q -number,

$$[k]_y = \frac{y^{k/2} - y^{-k/2}}{y^{1/2} - y^{-1/2}} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Thus χ_y genus of $U(k)$ gauge theory can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{l,k,N_f}^A(0, y) &= \sum_{m_1=0}^{m_0-1} \frac{[(m_0 - m_1)N_f - m_1]_y}{[m_0]_y} \binom{l}{m_0 - m_1}_{y^{\frac{1}{l+1}}} \\ &\quad \sum_{m_2=0}^{m_1-1} \frac{[(m_1 - m_2)N_f - m_2]_y}{[m_1]_y} \binom{l}{m_1 - m_2}_{y^{\frac{1}{l+1}}} \\ &\quad \dots \sum_{m_k=0}^{m_{k-1}-1} \frac{[(m_{k-1} - m_k)N_f - m_k]_y}{[m_{k-1}]_y} \binom{l}{m_{k-1} - m_k}_{y^{\frac{1}{l+1}}} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

where we define $m_0 = k$ and m_{j+1} summation exist only when $m_j \neq 0$. We would like to write down explicitly χ_y genus for some k . For a trivial theory, $k = 0$ we set $Z_{l,0,N_f}^A(0, y) = 1$. When A theory is a $U(1)$ gauge theory,

$$Z_{l,1,N_f}^A(0, y) = \frac{y^{N_f/2} - y^{-N_f/2}}{y^{1/2} - y^{-1/2}} \cdot \frac{y^{l/2(l+1)} - y^{-l/2(l+1)}}{y^{1/2(l+1)} - y^{-1/2(l+1)}} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

where the first factor is the χ_y genus of CP^{N_f-1} model and the other factor comes from the adjoint field X . χ_y genus of $U(2)$ gauge theories are

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{l,2,N_f}^A(0, y) &= \frac{[2N_f]_y}{[2]_y} \binom{l}{2}_{y^{\frac{1}{l+1}}} + \frac{[N_f]_y [N_f - 1]_y}{[2]_y [1]_y} \binom{l}{1}_{y^{\frac{1}{l+1}}} \binom{l}{1}_{y^{\frac{1}{l+1}}} \\ &= \frac{y^{N_f} - y^{-N_f}}{y^1 - y^{-1}} \frac{(y^{\frac{l}{2(l+1)}} - y^{-\frac{l}{2(l+1)}})(y^{\frac{l-1}{2(l+1)}} - y^{-\frac{l-1}{2(l+1)}})}{(y^{\frac{1}{l+1}} - y^{-\frac{1}{l+1}})(y^{\frac{1}{2(l+1)}} - y^{-\frac{1}{2(l+1)}})} \\ &\quad + \frac{(y^{\frac{N_f}{2}} - y^{-\frac{N_f}{2}})(y^{\frac{N_f-1}{2}} - y^{-\frac{N_f-1}{2}})}{(y^1 - y^{-1})(y^{\frac{1}{2}} - y^{-\frac{1}{2}})} \left(\frac{y^{\frac{l}{2(l+1)}} - y^{-\frac{l}{2(l+1)}}}{y^{\frac{1}{2(l+1)}} - y^{-\frac{1}{2(l+1)}}} \right)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Acknowledgments

We thank for Seok Kim, Hee-Cheol Kim, Chiung Hwang, Francesco Benini and Cumrun Vafa for helpful discussions. JP and HK is supported in part by the NRF Grant 2015R1A2A2A01007058. The work of HK is supported in part by the NRF-2013-Fostering Core Leaders of the Future Basic Science Program and by the Center for Mathematical Sciences and Applications at Harvard University. The work of KC is supported by the NRF Grant 2015K1A3A1A21000302 and 2016R1D1A1B0101519.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] O. Aharony, S.S. Razamat, N. Seiberg and B. Willett, *3d dualities from 4d dualities*, *JHEP* **07** (2013) 149 [[arXiv:1305.3924](https://arxiv.org/abs/1305.3924)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] F. Benini, R. Eager, K. Hori and Y. Tachikawa, *Elliptic Genera of 2d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Gauge Theories*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **333** (2015) 1241 [[arXiv:1308.4896](https://arxiv.org/abs/1308.4896)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] F. Benini and S. Cremonesi, *Partition Functions of $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ Gauge Theories on S^2 and Vortices*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **334** (2015) 1483 [[arXiv:1206.2356](https://arxiv.org/abs/1206.2356)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] A. Gadde and S. Gukov, *2d Index and Surface operators*, *JHEP* **03** (2014) 080 [[arXiv:1305.0266](https://arxiv.org/abs/1305.0266)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] F. Benini, D.S. Park and P. Zhao, *Cluster Algebras from Dualities of 2d $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ Quiver Gauge Theories*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **340** (2015) 47 [[arXiv:1406.2699](https://arxiv.org/abs/1406.2699)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] J. Gomis and B. Le Floch, *M2-brane surface operators and gauge theory dualities in Toda*, *JHEP* **04** (2016) 183 [[arXiv:1407.1852](https://arxiv.org/abs/1407.1852)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] A. Gadde, S.S. Razamat and B. Willett, *On the reduction of 4d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theories on \mathbb{S}^2* , *JHEP* **11** (2015) 163 [[arXiv:1506.08795](https://arxiv.org/abs/1506.08795)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] K. Hori, *Duality In Two-Dimensional (2,2) Supersymmetric Non-Abelian Gauge Theories*, *JHEP* **10** (2013) 121 [[arXiv:1104.2853](https://arxiv.org/abs/1104.2853)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] H. Jockers, V. Kumar, J.M. Lapan, D.R. Morrison and M. Romo, *Nonabelian 2D Gauge Theories for Determinantal Calabi-Yau Varieties*, *JHEP* **11** (2012) 166 [[arXiv:1205.3192](https://arxiv.org/abs/1205.3192)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] B. Jia, E. Sharpe and R. Wu, *Notes on nonabelian (0, 2) theories and dualities*, *JHEP* **08** (2014) 017 [[arXiv:1401.1511](https://arxiv.org/abs/1401.1511)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] D. Orlando and S. Reffert, *Relating Gauge Theories via Gauge/Bethe Correspondence*, *JHEP* **10** (2010) 071 [[arXiv:1005.4445](https://arxiv.org/abs/1005.4445)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] D. Kutasov and A. Schwimmer, *On duality in supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory*, *Phys. Lett. B* **354** (1995) 315 [[hep-th/9505004](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9505004)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] D. Kutasov, A. Schwimmer and N. Seiberg, *Chiral rings, singularity theory and electric-magnetic duality*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **459** (1996) 455 [[hep-th/9510222](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9510222)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [14] V. Niarchos, *Seiberg Duality in Chern-Simons Theories with Fundamental and Adjoint Matter*, *JHEP* **11** (2008) 001 [[arXiv:0808.2771](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] A. Kapustin, H. Kim and J. Park, *Dualities for 3d Theories with Tensor Matter*, *JHEP* **12** (2011) 087 [[arXiv:1110.2547](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] H. Kim and J. Park, *Aharony Dualities for 3d Theories with Adjoint Matter*, *JHEP* **06** (2013) 106 [[arXiv:1302.3645](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [17] C. Hwang and J. Park, *Factorization of the 3d superconformal index with an adjoint matter*, *JHEP* **11** (2015) 028 [[arXiv:1506.03951](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] E. Witten, *The Verlinde algebra and the cohomology of the Grassmannian*, [hep-th/9312104](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] K. Nii, *3d duality with adjoint matter from 4d duality*, *JHEP* **02** (2015) 024 [[arXiv:1409.3230](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] O. Aharony, S.S. Razamat, N. Seiberg and B. Willett, *The long flow to freedom*, *JHEP* **02** (2017) 056 [[arXiv:1611.02763](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] E. Witten, *On the Landau-Ginzburg description of $N = 2$ minimal models*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **9** (1994) 4783 [[hep-th/9304026](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] F. Benini, R. Eager, K. Hori and Y. Tachikawa, *Elliptic genera of two-dimensional $N = 2$ gauge theories with rank-one gauge groups*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **104** (2014) 465 [[arXiv:1305.0533](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [23] A. Schwimmer and N. Seiberg, *Comments on the $N = 2$, $N = 3$, $N = 4$ Superconformal Algebras in Two-Dimensions*, *Phys. Lett. B* **184** (1987) 191 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [24] W. Lerche, C. Vafa and N.P. Warner, *Chiral Rings in $N = 2$ Superconformal Theories*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **324** (1989) 427 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [25] E. Witten, *Elliptic Genera and Quantum Field Theory*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **109** (1987) 525 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [26] A. Hanany and K. Hori, *Branes and $N = 2$ theories in two-dimensions*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **513** (1998) 119 [[hep-th/9707192](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] F. Benini and N. Bobev, *Two-dimensional SCFTs from wrapped branes and c -extremization*, *JHEP* **06** (2013) 005 [[arXiv:1302.4451](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [28] E. Witten, *Phases of $N = 2$ theories in two-dimensions*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **403** (1993) 159 [[hep-th/9301042](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] A. Amariti and C. Klare, *A journey to 3d: exact relations for adjoint SQCD from dimensional reduction*, *JHEP* **05** (2015) 148 [[arXiv:1409.8623](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [30] O. Aharony, S. Razamat, B. Willett and N. Seiberg, *2d Dualities from 3d Dualities*, Talk given at *Strings 2016*, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China, 2016, <http://ymsc.tsinghua.edu.cn:8090/strings/slides/parallel/3/Willett.pdf>.
- [31] D. Kutasov and J. Lin, *(0, 2) Dynamics From Four Dimensions*, *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) 085025 [[arXiv:1310.6032](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [32] D. Kutasov and J. Lin, *(0, 2) ADE Models From Four Dimensions*, [arXiv:1401.5558](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].