

RECEIVED: August 8, 2014

ACCEPTED: September 10, 2014

PUBLISHED: September 30, 2014

# The $Hb\bar{b}$ form factor to three loops in QCD

---

**Thomas Gehrmann and Dominik Kara**

*Physik-Institut, Universität Zürich,  
Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zürich, Switzerland*

*E-mail:* [thomas.gehrmann@uzh.ch](mailto:thomas.gehrmann@uzh.ch), [dkara@physik.uzh.ch](mailto:dkara@physik.uzh.ch)

**ABSTRACT:** We compute the three-loop QCD corrections to the vertex function for the Yukawa coupling of a Higgs boson to a pair of bottom quarks in the limit of vanishing quark masses. This QCD form factor is a crucial ingredient to third-order QCD corrections for the production of Higgs bosons in bottom quark fusion, and for the fully differential decay rate of Higgs bosons to bottom quarks. The infrared pole structure of the form factors agrees with the prediction from infrared factorization in QCD.

**KEYWORDS:** QCD Phenomenology, Hadronic Colliders

ARXIV EPRINT: [1407.8114](https://arxiv.org/abs/1407.8114)

---

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b><math>Hb\bar{b}</math> form factor in perturbative QCD</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Results at one loop	5
2.2	Results at two loops	6
<b>3</b>	<b>Calculation of the three-loop form factors</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Three-loop form factors</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Infrared pole structure</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>13</b>

---

### 1 Introduction

With the discovery of the Higgs boson at the CERN LHC [1, 2], the full Standard Model spectrum of matter particles and force carriers has been established successfully. To fully validate the mechanism of electroweak symmetry breaking, and to uncover potential deviations from its Standard Model realization, it is imperative to study the production mechanisms and decay channels of the Higgs boson to high precision. The interpretation of increasingly accurate experimental data from the upcoming run periods at the LHC demands equally precise theoretical predictions, requiring the inclusion of higher orders in the perturbative expansion for production and decay processes.

Currently, fully differential results are known for Higgs boson production in gluon fusion [3, 4], bottom quark annihilation [5] and associated production with vector bosons [6, 7] to next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) in QCD. Vector boson fusion [8–10] and associated production with top quarks [11–13] are known to next-to-leading order (NLO). The inclusive decay rates of the Higgs boson have been derived to fourth order in QCD for the decay mode to hadrons [14] and to bottom quarks [15]. To study the dominant decay mode to bottom quarks, especially the associated production with vector bosons is of relevance, and a fully differential description of production and decay is demanded. The decay distributions to NNLO have been derived in ref. [16], and a combined description with the associated production at NNLO was obtained recently [17].

In the Standard Model, the dominant Higgs boson production process is gluon fusion, while bottom quark annihilation contributes to the total production only at the per-cent level. In extensions of the Standard Model with an enlarged spectrum in the Higgs sector, the coupling of some of the Higgs bosons to bottom quarks can be enhanced, such that bottom quark annihilation could become their dominant production process. Bottom quark annihilation is moreover of conceptual interest since it allows to study different prescriptions for the treatment of bottom-quark induced processes at hadron colliders. In the fixed flavor number scheme (FFNS), bottom quarks are produced only from gluon splitting, introducing

potentially large logarithmic corrections at each order. These initial-state splittings are resummed into bottom quark parton distributions in the variable flavor number scheme (VFNS). To the same order in the strong coupling constant, the leading order process in the FFNS corresponds to NNLO in the VFNS. Higgs production from bottom quark annihilation is known to NLO in the FFNS [18, 19] and to NNLO in the VFNS [5, 20, 21]. Using the NLO calculation of Higgs-plus-jet production in bottom quark annihilation [22], the Higgs production with a jet veto was also derived to NNLO [23]. Most calculations are carried out in the limit of vanishing bottom quark mass, which is justified by the large mass hierarchy between the bottom quark and the Higgs boson.

The calculation of perturbative higher order QCD corrections requires the derivation of virtual loop corrections to the relevant matrix elements. In the case of Higgs production and decay involving bottom quarks, the form factor describing the Yukawa coupling of the Higgs boson to bottom quarks is the crucial ingredient. Corrections up to two loops were derived for this form factor for massless bottom quarks [5, 20, 24] and also including the full mass dependence [25]. The pole structure of the massless form factor at three loops can be predicted [24] from factorization properties of QCD amplitudes [26–30]. Two-loop corrections to the Higgs decay amplitude describing the decay to a pair of bottom quarks and a gluon were also derived [31] in massless QCD. It is the aim of the present paper to derive the three-loop QCD corrections to the  $Hb\bar{b}$  form factor, working in the limit of vanishing  $b$ -quark mass. This form factor enters the  $N^3LO$  corrections to the Higgs production cross section from bottom quark annihilation and the differential description of Higgs decays to bottom quarks at this order. Both types of applications require a substantial extension of current technical methods in order to perform calculations of collider observables to  $N^3LO$ . First steps in this direction have been taken recently [32–37], cumulating in the calculation of the  $N^3LO$  threshold contribution to Higgs production in gluon fusion [38]. Exploiting universal QCD factorization properties at threshold [39, 40], the result of ref. [38] can be combined with the form factor derived here to obtain the  $N^3LO$  threshold contribution to Higgs production in bottom quark annihilation.

This paper is structured as follows: in section 2 we define the  $Hb\bar{b}$  form factor, discuss its renormalization and summarize results at one and two loops. The calculation of the three-loop form factor proceeds along the lines of the calculations of the three-loop QCD corrections to the vector and scalar form factors [41–43] and is described in section 3. The results are presented in section 4 and the infrared pole structure is analyzed in section 5. We conclude with an outlook in section 6.

## 2 $Hb\bar{b}$ form factor in perturbative QCD

In general, form factors are scalar functions which couple an external off-shell current with four-momentum  $q^2 = s_{12}$  to a pair of partons with on-shell momenta  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ . They are computed by contracting the respective basic vertex functions with projectors. In the  $Hb\bar{b}$  case, the unrenormalized form factor  $\mathcal{F}$  is obtained from a scalar vertex function  $\Gamma$  according to

$$\mathcal{F} = -\frac{1}{2q^2} \text{Tr} (p_1^\mu p_2^\nu \Gamma), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $p'_i = p_{i,\mu} \gamma^\mu$ . Note that the vertex function is evaluated in dimensional regularization with  $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$  dimensions. It is described by a single form factor only in the case of massless partons. In fact, we consider a Higgs boson coupling to the bottom quarks via an unrenormalized Yukawa coupling  $y^b$ ,

$$y^b = \frac{m^b}{v}, \quad (2.2)$$

with the Standard Model Higgs vacuum expectation value  $v = (\sqrt{2}G_F)^{-1/2}$ , Fermi's constant  $G_F$  and the bare mass  $m^b$  of the bottom quark. However, we treat the bottom mass as independent of the Yukawa coupling and suppose it to be massless in the calculation of matrix elements. This is justified by the fact that the Higgs boson is much heavier than the bottom quark.

Evaluating the Feynman diagrams contributing to the vertex function in perturbative QCD at a given loop order yields the unrenormalized form factor as an expansion in powers of the coupling constant. With the mass parameter  $\mu_0^2$ , which is introduced in dimensional regularization to maintain a dimensionless coupling in the bare Lagrangian density, and the definition

$$S_\epsilon = e^{-\epsilon\gamma}(4\pi)^\epsilon, \quad \text{with the Euler constant } \gamma = 0.5772\dots, \quad (2.3)$$

this expansion can be written as

$$\mathcal{F}(\alpha_s^b, s_{12}) = y^b \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\alpha_s^b}{4\pi} \right)^n \left( \frac{-s_{12}}{\mu_0^2} \right)^{-n\epsilon} S_\epsilon^n \mathcal{F}_n \right). \quad (2.4)$$

Each power of the coupling constant corresponds to a virtual loop, i.e. eq. (2.1) is normalized in such a way that the tree-level form factor is equal to unity.

The ultraviolet (UV) renormalization of the form factor requires two ingredients: first, the bare coupling  $\alpha_s^b$  is replaced with the renormalized coupling  $\alpha_s \equiv \alpha_s(\mu^2)$ , which is evaluated at the renormalization scale  $\mu^2$ :

$$\alpha_s^b \mu_0^{2\epsilon} = Z_{\alpha_s} \mu^{2\epsilon} \alpha_s(\mu^2). \quad (2.5)$$

For the sake of clarity, we set  $\mu^2 = |s_{12}|$  throughout so that the renormalization constant of the strong coupling in the  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  scheme [44] reads

$$Z_{\alpha_s} = S_\epsilon^{-1} \left[ 1 - \frac{\beta_0}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \right) + \left( \frac{\beta_0^2}{\epsilon^2} - \frac{\beta_1}{2\epsilon} \right) \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{\beta_0^3}{\epsilon^3} - \frac{7}{6} \frac{\beta_1 \beta_0}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{\beta_2}{\epsilon} \right) \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \right)^3 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4) \right]. \quad (2.6)$$

$\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  are the first three coefficients of the QCD beta function expanded in powers of the coupling constant:

$$\beta(\alpha_s) \equiv \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{d\alpha_s}{d \ln \mu^2} = -\beta_0 \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \right)^2 - \beta_1 \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \right)^3 - \beta_2 \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \right)^4 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^5). \quad (2.7)$$

They are given by [45–51]

$$\beta_0 = \frac{11C_A}{3} - \frac{2N_F}{3}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\beta_1 = \frac{34C_A^2}{3} - \frac{10C_AN_F}{3} - 2C_FN_F, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\beta_2 = \frac{2857C_A^3}{54} + C_F^2N_F - \frac{205C_FC_AN_F}{18} - \frac{1415C_A^2N_F}{54} + \frac{11C_FN_F^2}{9} + \frac{79C_AN_F^2}{54} \quad (2.10)$$

with the number of quark colors  $N$ , the number of active quark flavors  $N_F$  and the  $SU(N)$  Casimirs

$$C_F = \frac{N^2 - 1}{2N} \quad \text{and} \quad C_A = N. \quad (2.11)$$

Second, the renormalization of the Yukawa coupling is carried out by replacing the bare coupling  $y^b$  with the renormalized coupling  $y \equiv y(\mu^2)$  according to

$$y^b = Z_y y(\mu^2). \quad (2.12)$$

$Z_y$  is identical to the quark mass renormalization constant of QCD in the  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  scheme [20]. It can be evaluated to three loops with the help of the relation

$$\gamma_m = -\frac{d \ln Z_y}{d \ln \mu^2} = -\frac{\partial \ln Z_y}{\partial \alpha_s} \frac{d \alpha_s}{d \ln \mu^2} = -4\pi \frac{\partial \ln Z_y}{\partial \alpha_s} \left[ -\epsilon \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \right) + \beta(\alpha_s) \right] \quad (2.13)$$

from the quark mass anomalous dimension

$$\gamma_m \equiv -\gamma_0 \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \right) - \gamma_1 \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \right)^2 - \gamma_2 \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \right)^3 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4), \quad (2.14)$$

which is given in refs. [52–54]. This results in

$$\begin{aligned} Z_y = & 1 - \frac{3C_F}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \right) \\ & + \left[ C_F^2 \left( \frac{9}{2\epsilon^2} - \frac{3}{4\epsilon} \right) + C_FC_A \left( \frac{11}{2\epsilon^2} - \frac{97}{12\epsilon} \right) + C_FN_F \left( -\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{5}{6\epsilon} \right) \right] \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \right)^2 \\ & + \left[ C_F^3 \left( -\frac{9}{2\epsilon^3} + \frac{9}{4\epsilon^2} - \frac{43}{2\epsilon} \right) + C_F^2C_A \left( -\frac{33}{2\epsilon^3} + \frac{313}{12\epsilon^2} + \frac{43}{4\epsilon} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + C_FC_A^2 \left( -\frac{121}{9\epsilon^3} + \frac{1679}{54\epsilon^2} - \frac{11413}{324\epsilon} \right) + C_F^2N_F \left( \frac{3}{\epsilon^3} - \frac{29}{6\epsilon^2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{23}{3} - 8\zeta_3 \right) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + C_FC_AN_F \left( \frac{44}{9\epsilon^3} - \frac{242}{27\epsilon^2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{278}{81} + 8\zeta_3 \right) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + C_FN_F^2 \left( -\frac{4}{9\epsilon^3} + \frac{10}{27\epsilon^2} + \frac{35}{81\epsilon} \right) \right] \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \right)^3 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

The renormalized form factor  $F$  is defined as follows:

$$F(\alpha_s(\mu^2), s_{12}, \mu^2 = |s_{12}|) = y \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\alpha_s(\mu^2)}{4\pi} \right)^n F_n \right). \quad (2.16)$$

In order to derive the  $i$ -loop contributions  $F_i$  to the renormalized form factor from the unrenormalized coefficients  $\mathcal{F}_i$ , two possible configurations have to be distinguished: the partons can be both either in the initial or in the final state ( $s_{12} > 0$ , time-like) or one parton can be in the initial and one in the final state ( $s_{12} < 0$ , space-like). We will indicate

the results for the renormalized form factors in the time-like case, which corresponds to the Higgs decay into bottom quarks or to the  $b\bar{b}$  annihilation process into a Higgs boson. In this case, the renormalized form factor acquires imaginary parts from the  $\epsilon$ -expansion of

$$\Delta(s_{12}) = (-\text{sgn}(s_{12}) - i0)^{-\epsilon}. \quad (2.17)$$

Up to three loops, the renormalized coefficients for the  $Hb\bar{b}$  form factor are then obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= \mathcal{F}_1 \Delta(s_{12}) - \frac{3C_F}{\epsilon}, \\ F_2 &= \mathcal{F}_2 (\Delta(s_{12}))^2 + \left[ -\frac{3C_F}{\epsilon} - \frac{11C_A}{3\epsilon} + \frac{2N_F}{3\epsilon} \right] \mathcal{F}_1 \Delta(s_{12}) \\ &\quad + \left[ C_F^2 \left( \frac{9}{2\epsilon^2} - \frac{3}{4\epsilon} \right) + C_F C_A \left( \frac{11}{2\epsilon^2} - \frac{97}{12\epsilon} \right) + C_F N_F \left( -\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{5}{6\epsilon} \right) \right], \\ F_3 &= \mathcal{F}_3 (\Delta(s_{12}))^3 + \left[ -\frac{3C_F}{\epsilon} - \frac{22C_A}{3\epsilon} + \frac{4N_F}{3\epsilon} \right] \mathcal{F}_2 (\Delta(s_{12}))^2 \\ &\quad + \left[ C_F^2 \left( \frac{9}{2\epsilon^2} - \frac{3}{4\epsilon} \right) + C_F C_A \left( \frac{33}{2\epsilon^2} - \frac{97}{12\epsilon} \right) + C_A^2 \left( \frac{121}{9\epsilon^2} - \frac{17}{3\epsilon} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + C_F N_F \left( -\frac{3}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{11}{6\epsilon} \right) + C_A N_F \left( -\frac{44}{9\epsilon^2} + \frac{5}{3\epsilon} \right) + \frac{4N_F^2}{9\epsilon^2} \right] \mathcal{F}_1 \Delta(s_{12}) \\ &\quad + \left[ C_F^3 \left( -\frac{9}{2\epsilon^3} + \frac{9}{4\epsilon^2} - \frac{43}{2\epsilon} \right) + C_F^2 C_A \left( -\frac{33}{2\epsilon^3} + \frac{313}{12\epsilon^2} + \frac{43}{4\epsilon} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + C_F C_A^2 \left( -\frac{121}{9\epsilon^3} + \frac{1679}{54\epsilon^2} - \frac{11413}{324\epsilon} \right) + C_F^2 N_F \left( \frac{3}{\epsilon^3} - \frac{29}{6\epsilon^2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{23}{3} - 8\zeta_3 \right) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + C_F C_A N_F \left( \frac{44}{9\epsilon^3} - \frac{242}{27\epsilon^2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{278}{81} + 8\zeta_3 \right) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + C_F N_F^2 \left( -\frac{4}{9\epsilon^3} + \frac{10}{27\epsilon^2} + \frac{35}{81\epsilon} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

The one- and two-loop relations agree with those in ref. [31].

## 2.1 Results at one loop

We define

$$S_R = \frac{16\pi^2 S_\Gamma}{S_\epsilon} = \frac{\exp(\epsilon\gamma)}{\Gamma(1-\epsilon)}, \quad (2.19)$$

where

$$S_\Gamma = \frac{(4\pi)^\epsilon}{16\pi^2 \Gamma(1-\epsilon)} \quad (2.20)$$

corresponds to the normalization of the one-loop bubble integral  $B_{2,1}$ . With this, the unrenormalized one-loop form factor can be written as

$$\mathcal{F}_1/S_R = C_F B_{2,1} \left( \frac{4}{(D-4)} + D \right). \quad (2.21)$$

The exact result for the one-loop bubble integral is indicated in ref. [55] under the name  $A_{2,\text{LO}}$ , i.e. eq. (2.21) can be understood as an all-order expression. The  $\epsilon$ -expansion of  $B_{2,1}$  can be found in appendix A of refs. [42, 43]. Inserting this expansion and keeping terms through to  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_1 = C_F \left[ & -\frac{2}{\epsilon^2} + (\zeta_2 - 2) + \epsilon \left( \frac{14\zeta_3}{3} - 4 \right) + \epsilon^2 \left( \frac{47\zeta_2^2}{20} + \zeta_2 - 8 \right) \\ & - \epsilon^3 \left( \frac{7\zeta_2\zeta_3}{3} - 2\zeta_2 - \frac{14\zeta_3}{3} - \frac{62\zeta_5}{5} + 16 \right) \\ & + \epsilon^4 \left( \frac{949\zeta_2^3}{280} + \frac{47\zeta_2^2}{20} - \frac{49\zeta_3^2}{9} + 4\zeta_2 + \frac{28\zeta_3}{3} - 32 \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

By renormalizing this result as described in eq. (2.18), we find that the one-loop form factor agrees with the  $\epsilon$ -expansion of eq. (3.2) in ref. [16].

## 2.2 Results at two loops

Written in terms of the two-loop master integrals specified in appendix A of refs. [42, 43], the unrenormalized two-loop form factor is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_2/S_R^2 = C_F^2 \left[ & B_{4,2} \left( D^2 + \frac{32}{(D-4)} + \frac{16}{(D-4)^2} + 8 \right) \\ & - C_{4,1} \left( \frac{7D^2}{8} - \frac{137D}{16} - \frac{265}{32(2D-7)} - \frac{58}{(D-4)} - \frac{40}{(D-4)^2} - \frac{239}{32} \right) \\ & + B_{3,1} \left( \frac{27D^2}{8} - \frac{969D}{16} + \frac{1855}{32(2D-7)} - \frac{3}{2(D-3)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{730}{(D-4)} - \frac{720}{(D-4)^2} - \frac{288}{(D-4)^3} - \frac{3079}{32} \right) \\ & - C_{6,2} \left( \frac{D^2}{16} - \frac{21D}{32} - \frac{53}{64(2D-7)} + \frac{29}{64} \right) \Big] \\ & + C_F C_A \left[ -C_{4,1} \left( \frac{D^2}{16} - \frac{7D}{32} + \frac{265}{64(2D-7)} + \frac{1}{3(D-1)} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \frac{53}{3(D-4)} + \frac{16}{(D-4)^2} + \frac{367}{64} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - B_{3,1} \left( \frac{75D^2}{16} - \frac{1129D}{32} + \frac{1855}{64(2D-7)} + \frac{1}{4(D-3)} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \frac{241}{(D-4)} - \frac{228}{(D-4)^2} - \frac{96}{(D-4)^3} - \frac{903}{64} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + C_{6,2} \left( \frac{D^2}{32} - \frac{21D}{64} - \frac{53}{128(2D-7)} + \frac{29}{128} \right) \right] \\ & + C_F N_F \left[ -C_{4,1} \left( D + \frac{2}{3(D-1)} + \frac{4}{3(D-4)} - 2 \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

As in the one-loop case, the all-order result is obtained by replacing  $B_{4,2}$ ,  $B_{3,1}$ ,  $C_{4,1}$  and  $C_{6,2}$  with  $A_{2,\text{LO}}^2$ ,  $A_3$ ,  $A_4$  and  $A_6$  from ref. [55], respectively.

Inserting the expansion of the two-loop master integrals and keeping terms through to  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_2 = C_F^2 & \left[ \frac{2}{\epsilon^4} - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} (2\zeta_2 - 4) - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{64\zeta_3}{3} - 6\zeta_2 - 8 \right) - (13\zeta_2^2 - 12\zeta_2 + 30\zeta_3 - 22) \right. \\ & - \epsilon \left( \frac{96\zeta_2^2}{5} - \frac{112\zeta_2\zeta_3}{3} - 36\zeta_2 + \frac{404\zeta_3}{3} + \frac{184\zeta_5}{5} - 64 \right) \\ & \left. + \epsilon^2 \left( \frac{223\zeta_2^3}{5} - \frac{426\zeta_2^2}{5} + 2\zeta_2\zeta_3 + \frac{2608\zeta_3^2}{9} + 106\zeta_2 - \frac{1744\zeta_3}{3} - 126\zeta_5 + 192 \right) \right] \\ & + C_F C_A \left[ -\frac{11}{6\epsilon^3} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left( \zeta_2 - \frac{67}{18} \right) - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{11\zeta_2}{6} - 13\zeta_3 + \frac{220}{27} \right) \right. \\ & + \left( \frac{44\zeta_2^2}{5} - \frac{103\zeta_2}{18} + \frac{305\zeta_3}{9} - \frac{1655}{81} \right) \\ & + \epsilon \left( \frac{1171\zeta_2^2}{60} - \frac{89\zeta_2\zeta_3}{3} - \frac{490\zeta_2}{27} + \frac{2923\zeta_3}{27} + 51\zeta_5 - \frac{12706}{243} \right) \\ & - \epsilon^2 \left( \frac{809\zeta_2^3}{70} - \frac{11819\zeta_2^2}{180} + \frac{127\zeta_2\zeta_3}{9} + \frac{569\zeta_3^2}{3} + \frac{4733\zeta_2}{81} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{30668\zeta_3}{81} - \frac{2411\zeta_5}{15} + \frac{99770}{729} \right) \Big] \\ & + C_F N_F \left[ \frac{1}{3\epsilon^3} + \frac{5}{9\epsilon^2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{\zeta_2}{3} + \frac{46}{27} \right) + \left( \frac{5\zeta_2}{9} - \frac{26\zeta_3}{9} + \frac{416}{81} \right) \right. \\ & - \epsilon \left( \frac{41\zeta_2^2}{30} - \frac{46\zeta_2}{27} + \frac{130\zeta_3}{27} - \frac{3748}{243} \right) \\ & \left. - \epsilon^2 \left( \frac{41\zeta_2^3}{18} + \frac{26\zeta_2\zeta_3}{9} - \frac{416\zeta_2}{81} + \frac{1196\zeta_3}{81} + \frac{242\zeta_5}{15} - \frac{33740}{729} \right) \right]. \quad (2.24) \end{aligned}$$

After renormalization, we find full agreement with eq. (3.6) of ref. [16] through to  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0)$  and provide the next two terms in the expansion.

### 3 Calculation of the three-loop form factors

As any multi-loop computation, the calculation of the  $Hb\bar{b}$  three-loop form factor can be separated into multiple steps: initially, one calculates the matrix elements in terms of three-loop integrals. Next, the algebraic reduction of all three-loop integrals appearing in the relevant Feynman diagrams is performed. Eventually, one computes the remaining master integrals. Let us elaborate on these three steps.

In order to determine the three-loop vertex function, we use QGRAF [56] to generate the 244 Feynman diagrams contributing to the  $Hb\bar{b}$  form factor at three loops. Every diagram is then contracted with the projector of eq. (2.1) and can be expressed as a

linear combination of many scalar three-loop Feynman integrals with up to nine different propagators. The integrands depend on the three loop momenta and on the two on-shell external momenta, leading to twelve different combinations of scalar products involving loop momenta. Hence, we are left with irreducible scalar products in the numerator since they do not cancel against all linear independent combinations of denominators.

Using relations between different integrals based on integration-by-parts [57] and Lorentz invariance [58], the large number of integrals can be expressed in terms of a small number of master integrals. These identities yield large linear systems of equations, which are solved in an iterative manner using lexicographic ordering as suggested by the Laporta algorithm [59]. From the available implementations of the Laporta algorithm [59–63], we apply the C++ package REDUZE [62, 63] to carry out the reduction. For this purpose, we define so-called auxiliary topologies, each of which is a set of twelve linearly independent propagators.

After the reduction, we are left with 22 master integrals. All of them were computed analytically in the past [64–67] and are summarized in detail in refs. [42, 43] so that they will not be reproduced here.

## 4 Three-loop form factors

The unrenormalized three-loop form factor can be decomposed into the following color structures:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_3/S_R^3 = & C_F^3 X_{C_F^3} + C_F^2 C_A X_{C_F^2 C_A} + C_F C_A^2 X_{C_F C_A^2} + C_F^2 N_F X_{C_F^2 N_F} \\ & + C_F C_A N_F X_{C_F C_A N_F} + C_F N_F^2 X_{C_F N_F^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

It should be noted that, in contrast to the quark form factor for a photonic coupling [41–43], no contribution from the Higgs boson coupling to closed quark loops appears at three loops in massless QCD. This contribution requires a helicity flip on both the internal and external quark lines, and is consequently mass-suppressed. After the reduction of the integrals appearing in the Feynman diagrams, the coefficients  $X_i$  of the color structures include linear combinations of master integrals. These coefficients are somewhat lengthy and will not be presented here. Inserting the expansion of the three-loop master integrals and keeping terms through to  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0)$ , we find that the unrenormalized three-loop coefficients are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_3 = & C_F^3 \left[ -\frac{4}{3\epsilon^6} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} (2\zeta_2 - 4) - \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left( 12\zeta_2 - \frac{100\zeta_3}{3} + 8 \right) \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left( \frac{213\zeta_2^2}{10} - 26\zeta_2 + 60\zeta_3 - 28 \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{126\zeta_2^2}{5} - \frac{214\zeta_2\zeta_3}{3} - 94\zeta_2 + \frac{784\zeta_3}{3} + \frac{644\zeta_5}{5} - \frac{238}{3} \right) \\ & - \left( \frac{9095\zeta_2^3}{252} - \frac{887\zeta_2^2}{10} - 202\zeta_2\zeta_3 + \frac{1826\zeta_3^2}{3} \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{1085\zeta_2}{3} - 538\zeta_3 - 676\zeta_5 + \frac{385}{3} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + C_F^2 C_A \left[ \frac{11}{3\epsilon^5} - \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \left( 2\zeta_2 - \frac{67}{9} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left( \frac{11\zeta_2}{6} - 26\zeta_3 + \frac{539}{27} \right) \right. \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left( \frac{83\zeta_2^2}{5} - \frac{631\zeta_2}{18} + 135\zeta_3 - \frac{4507}{81} \right) \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{31591\zeta_2^2}{360} - \frac{215\zeta_2\zeta_3}{3} - \frac{10199\zeta_2}{54} + \frac{1721\zeta_3}{3} + 142\zeta_5 - \frac{38012}{243} \right) \\
& \quad - \left( \frac{18619\zeta_2^3}{1260} + \frac{305831\zeta_2^2}{1080} + \frac{1663\zeta_2\zeta_3}{18} - \frac{1616\zeta_3^2}{3} \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - \frac{131161\zeta_2}{162} + \frac{17273\zeta_3}{9} + \frac{27829\zeta_5}{45} - \frac{332065}{729} \right) \right] \\
& + C_F C_A^2 \left[ -\frac{242}{81\epsilon^4} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left( \frac{88\zeta_2}{27} - \frac{3254}{243} \right) - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left( \frac{88\zeta_2^2}{45} + \frac{553\zeta_2}{81} - \frac{1672\zeta_3}{27} + \frac{9707}{243} \right) \right. \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{802\zeta_2^2}{15} - \frac{88\zeta_2\zeta_3}{9} - \frac{15983\zeta_2}{243} + \frac{8542\zeta_3}{27} - \frac{136\zeta_5}{3} - \frac{385325}{4374} \right) \\
& \quad - \left( \frac{6152\zeta_2^3}{189} - \frac{100597\zeta_2^2}{540} + \frac{980\zeta_2\zeta_3}{9} + \frac{1136\zeta_3^2}{9} \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \frac{478157\zeta_2}{1458} - \frac{306992\zeta_3}{243} - \frac{3472\zeta_5}{9} + \frac{1870897}{26244} \right) \right] \\
& + C_F^2 N_F \left[ -\frac{2}{3\epsilon^5} - \frac{10}{9\epsilon^4} - \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left( \frac{\zeta_2}{3} + \frac{104}{27} \right) - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left( \frac{53\zeta_2}{9} - \frac{146\zeta_3}{9} + \frac{865}{81} \right) \right. \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{337\zeta_2^2}{36} - \frac{736\zeta_2}{27} + \frac{1882\zeta_3}{27} - \frac{15511}{486} \right) \\
& \quad \left. + \left( \frac{15769\zeta_2^2}{540} - \frac{343\zeta_2\zeta_3}{9} - \frac{16885\zeta_2}{162} + \frac{27812\zeta_3}{81} + \frac{278\zeta_5}{45} - \frac{307879}{2916} \right) \right] \\
& + C_F C_A N_F \left[ \frac{88}{81\epsilon^4} - \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left( \frac{16\zeta_2}{27} - \frac{1066}{243} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left( \frac{316\zeta_2}{81} - \frac{256\zeta_3}{27} + \frac{3410}{243} \right) \right. \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{44\zeta_2^2}{5} - \frac{5033\zeta_2}{243} + \frac{5140\zeta_3}{81} - \frac{90305}{2187} \right) \\
& \quad \left. - \left( \frac{3791\zeta_2^2}{135} - \frac{368\zeta_2\zeta_3}{9} - \frac{63571\zeta_2}{729} + \frac{23762\zeta_3}{81} + \frac{208\zeta_5}{3} - \frac{1451329}{13122} \right) \right] \\
& + C_F N_F^2 \left[ -\frac{8}{81\epsilon^4} - \frac{80}{243\epsilon^3} - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left( \frac{4\zeta_2}{9} + \frac{32}{27} \right) - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{40\zeta_2}{27} - \frac{136\zeta_3}{81} + \frac{9616}{2187} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \left( \frac{83\zeta_2^2}{135} + \frac{16\zeta_2}{3} - \frac{1360\zeta_3}{243} + \frac{109528}{6561} \right) \right]. \tag{4.2}
\end{aligned}$$

The UV renormalization of the  $Hb\bar{b}$  form factor has been derived in section 2. Applying eq. (2.18) yields the expansion coefficients of the renormalized form factors. In the time-like

kinematics, the real part reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Re } F_3 = & C_F^3 \left[ -\frac{4}{3\epsilon^6} - \frac{6}{\epsilon^5} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} (38\zeta_2 - 13) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left( 66\zeta_2 + \frac{100\zeta_3}{3} - 23 \right) \right. \\
& - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left( \frac{1947\zeta_2^2}{10} - \frac{191\zeta_2}{2} - 124\zeta_3 + \frac{235}{4} \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{861\zeta_2^2}{5} - \frac{2914\zeta_2\zeta_3}{3} + \frac{899\zeta_2}{4} + \frac{1117\zeta_3}{3} + \frac{644\zeta_5}{5} - \frac{550}{3} \right) \\
& - \left( \frac{19301\zeta_2^3}{252} - \frac{4495\zeta_2^2}{8} + 2298\zeta_2\zeta_3 + \frac{1826\zeta_3^2}{3} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{3635\zeta_2}{6} - \frac{1877\zeta_3}{2} - \frac{3932\zeta_5}{5} + \frac{1060}{3} \right) \\
& + C_F^2 C_A \left[ -\frac{11}{\epsilon^5} - \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \left( 2\zeta_2 + \frac{361}{18} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left( \frac{181\zeta_2}{2} - 26\zeta_3 + \frac{79}{54} \right) \right. \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left( \frac{187\zeta_2^2}{5} - \frac{2789\zeta_2}{18} - \frac{158\zeta_3}{9} + \frac{4699}{324} \right) \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{8267\zeta_2^2}{72} - \frac{2321\zeta_2\zeta_3}{3} + \frac{28031\zeta_2}{108} + \frac{1135\zeta_3}{3} + 142\zeta_5 - \frac{16823}{972} \right) \\
& \quad + \left( \frac{239933\zeta_2^3}{1260} + \frac{78529\zeta_2^2}{270} + \frac{3917\zeta_2\zeta_3}{2} + \frac{1616\zeta_3^2}{3} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{30463\zeta_2}{81} - \frac{7765\zeta_3}{6} - \frac{4514\zeta_5}{9} + \frac{31618}{729} \right) \\
& + C_F C_A^2 \left[ -\frac{1331}{81\epsilon^4} - \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left( \frac{110\zeta_2}{27} - \frac{2866}{243} \right) - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left( \frac{88\zeta_2^2}{45} - \frac{1625\zeta_2}{81} + \frac{902\zeta_3}{27} - \frac{11669}{486} \right) \right. \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{166\zeta_2^2}{15} + \frac{88\zeta_2\zeta_3}{9} + \frac{7163\zeta_2}{243} - \frac{3526\zeta_3}{27} + \frac{136\zeta_5}{3} + \frac{139345}{8748} \right) \\
& \quad + \left( \frac{19136\zeta_2^3}{945} - \frac{3137\zeta_2^2}{135} - \frac{1258\zeta_2\zeta_3}{3} - \frac{1136\zeta_3^2}{9} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{380191\zeta_2}{1458} + \frac{107648\zeta_3}{243} + \frac{106\zeta_5}{9} + \frac{5964431}{26244} \right) \\
& + C_F^2 N_F \left[ \frac{2}{\epsilon^5} + \frac{35}{9\epsilon^4} - \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left( 17\zeta_2 + \frac{23}{27} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left( \frac{199\zeta_2}{9} - \frac{110\zeta_3}{9} - \frac{641}{162} \right) \right. \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{577\zeta_2^2}{36} + \frac{3235\zeta_2}{54} + \frac{442\zeta_3}{27} - \frac{967}{486} \right) \\
& \quad - \left( \frac{8822\zeta_2^2}{135} + 85\zeta_2\zeta_3 - \frac{22571\zeta_2}{162} - \frac{15131\zeta_3}{81} + \frac{386\zeta_5}{9} + \frac{145375}{2916} \right) \\
& + C_F C_A N_F \left[ \frac{484}{81\epsilon^4} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left( \frac{20\zeta_2}{27} - \frac{752}{243} \right) - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left( \frac{476\zeta_2}{81} - \frac{212\zeta_3}{27} + \frac{2068}{243} \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{44\zeta_2^2}{15} + \frac{2594\zeta_2}{243} - \frac{964\zeta_3}{81} - \frac{8659}{2187} \right) \\
& - \left( \frac{836\zeta_2^2}{135} - \frac{148\zeta_2\zeta_3}{3} + \frac{59999\zeta_2}{729} + \frac{2860\zeta_3}{27} + \frac{4\zeta_5}{3} + \frac{521975}{13122} \right) \Big] \\
& + C_F N_F^2 \left[ -\frac{44}{81\epsilon^4} - \frac{8}{243\epsilon^3} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left( \frac{4}{9}\zeta_2 + \frac{46}{81} \right) - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{20}{27}\zeta_2 + \frac{8}{81}\zeta_3 - \frac{2417}{2187} \right) \right. \\
& \left. + \left( \frac{172}{135}\zeta_2^2 + \frac{388}{81}\zeta_2 - \frac{200}{243}\zeta_3 + \frac{2072}{6561} \right) \right]. \tag{4.3}
\end{aligned}$$

For the sake of completeness, let us state that the imaginary part of the UV renormalized three-loop form factor is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\text{Im } F_3}{\pi} = & C_F^3 \left[ -\frac{4}{\epsilon^5} - \frac{12}{\epsilon^4} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} (42\zeta_2 - 21) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left( 24\zeta_2 + 100\zeta_3 - \frac{93}{2} \right) \right. \\
& - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{873\zeta_2^2}{10} - \frac{15\zeta_2}{2} - 308\zeta_3 + 141 \right) \\
& \left. + \left( 372\zeta_2^2 - 1114\zeta_2\zeta_3 - \frac{177\zeta_2}{4} + 985\zeta_3 + \frac{1932\zeta_5}{5} - \frac{773}{2} \right) \right] \\
& + C_F^2 C_A \left[ -\frac{55}{3\epsilon^4} - \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left( 6\zeta_2 - \frac{1}{3} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left( \frac{283\zeta_2}{6} - 78\zeta_3 + \frac{715}{18} \right) \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{21\zeta_2^2}{5} - \frac{502\zeta_2}{3} - \frac{1531\zeta_3}{9} + \frac{1768}{27} \right) \\
& \left. - \left( \frac{5669\zeta_2^2}{40} - 917\zeta_2\zeta_3 - \frac{253\zeta_2}{36} + \frac{4222\zeta_3}{3} + 426\zeta_5 - \frac{35539}{162} \right) \right] \\
& + C_F C_A^2 \left[ -\frac{242}{27\epsilon^3} - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left( \frac{44\zeta_2}{9} - \frac{2086}{81} \right) - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{88\zeta_2^2}{15} - \frac{536\zeta_2}{27} + \frac{44\zeta_3}{9} + \frac{245}{9} \right) \right. \\
& \left. + \left( 2\zeta_2^2 - \frac{88\zeta_2\zeta_3}{3} + \frac{1036\zeta_2}{81} + \frac{13900\zeta_3}{27} - 136\zeta_5 - \frac{10289}{1458} \right) \right] \\
& + C_F^2 N_F \left[ \frac{10}{3\epsilon^4} + \frac{2}{3\epsilon^3} - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left( \frac{29\zeta_2}{3} + \frac{71}{9} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{76\zeta_2}{3} - \frac{74\zeta_3}{9} - \frac{403}{27} \right) \right. \\
& \left. + \left( \frac{3\zeta_2^2}{4} + \frac{487\zeta_2}{18} + \frac{1192\zeta_3}{9} - \frac{9649}{162} \right) \right] \\
& + C_F C_A N_F \left[ \frac{88}{27\epsilon^3} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left( \frac{8\zeta_2}{9} - \frac{668}{81} \right) - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{80\zeta_2}{27} - \frac{56\zeta_3}{9} - \frac{418}{81} \right) \right. \\
& \left. + \left( \frac{12\zeta_2^2}{5} - \frac{196\zeta_2}{81} - \frac{724\zeta_3}{9} + \frac{7499}{729} \right) \right] \\
& + C_F N_F^2 \left[ -\frac{8}{27\epsilon^3} + \frac{40}{81\epsilon^2} + \frac{8}{81\epsilon} - \left( \frac{16}{27}\zeta_3 + \frac{928}{729} \right) \right]. \tag{4.4}
\end{aligned}$$

It should be stressed that for every color structure of eq. (4.1), the coefficients of the leading poles in  $\epsilon$  agree with the ones of the  $\gamma^* q\bar{q}$  form factor of refs. [42, 43], as expected.

## 5 Infrared pole structure

A more powerful check consists in analyzing the complete infrared pole structure of our three-loop results.

As outlined in refs. [26–30], it can be predicted from infrared factorization properties of QCD. Accordingly, the infrared pole structure of the renormalized  $Hb\bar{b}$  form factors  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  and  $F_3$  can be derived from the same formulae as for the  $\gamma^* q\bar{q}$  form factor in refs. [42, 43]. They read

$$\text{Poles}(F_1) = -\frac{C_F \gamma_0^{\text{cusp}}}{2\epsilon^2} + \frac{\gamma_0^q}{\epsilon}, \quad (5.1)$$

$$\text{Poles}(F_2) = \frac{3C_F \gamma_0^{\text{cusp}} \beta_0}{8\epsilon^3} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left( -\frac{\beta_0 \gamma_0^q}{2} - \frac{C_F \gamma_1^{\text{cusp}}}{8} \right) + \frac{\gamma_1^q}{2\epsilon} + \frac{(F_1)^2}{2}, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Poles}(F_3) = & -\frac{11\beta_0^2 C_F \gamma_0^{\text{cusp}}}{36\epsilon^4} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left( \frac{5\beta_0 C_F \gamma_1^{\text{cusp}}}{36} + \frac{\beta_0^2 \gamma_0^q}{3} + \frac{2C_F \gamma_0^{\text{cusp}} \beta_1}{9} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left( -\frac{\beta_0 \gamma_1^q}{3} - \frac{C_F \gamma_2^{\text{cusp}}}{18} - \frac{\beta_1 \gamma_0^q}{3} \right) + \frac{\gamma_2^q}{3\epsilon} - \frac{(F_1)^3}{3} + F_2 F_1. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

These equations require knowing the coefficients  $\gamma_i^{\text{cusp}}$  of the cusp soft anomalous dimension up to three loops [28]:

$$\gamma_0^{\text{cusp}} = 4, \quad (5.4)$$

$$\gamma_1^{\text{cusp}} = C_A \left( \frac{268}{9} - \frac{4\pi^2}{3} \right) - \frac{40N_F}{9}, \quad (5.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_2^{\text{cusp}} = & C_A^2 \left( \frac{490}{3} - \frac{536\pi^2}{27} + \frac{44\pi^4}{45} + \frac{88\zeta_3}{3} \right) + C_A N_F \left( -\frac{836}{27} + \frac{80\pi^2}{27} - \frac{112\zeta_3}{3} \right) \\ & + C_F N_F \left( -\frac{110}{3} + 32\zeta_3 \right) - \frac{16N_F^2}{27}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Moreover,  $\gamma_i^q$  denotes the coefficients of the quark collinear anomalous dimension. To three-loop order, they are given by [68, 69]:

$$\gamma_0^q = -3C_F, \quad (5.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1^q = & C_F^2 \left( -\frac{3}{2} + 2\pi^2 - 24\zeta_3 \right) + C_F C_A \left( -\frac{961}{54} - \frac{11\pi^2}{6} + 26\zeta_3 \right) \\ & + C_F N_F \left( \frac{65}{27} + \frac{\pi^2}{3} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_2^q = & C_F^2 N_F \left( \frac{2953}{54} - \frac{13\pi^2}{9} - \frac{14\pi^4}{27} + \frac{256\zeta_3}{9} \right) + C_F N_F^2 \left( \frac{2417}{729} - \frac{10\pi^2}{27} - \frac{8\zeta_3}{27} \right) \\ & + C_F C_A N_F \left( -\frac{8659}{729} + \frac{1297\pi^2}{243} + \frac{11\pi^4}{45} - \frac{964\zeta_3}{27} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + C_F^3 \left( -\frac{29}{2} - 3\pi^2 - \frac{8\pi^4}{5} - 68\zeta_3 + \frac{16\pi^2\zeta_3}{3} + 240\zeta_5 \right) \\
& + C_A C_F^2 \left( -\frac{151}{4} + \frac{205\pi^2}{9} + \frac{247\pi^4}{135} - \frac{844\zeta_3}{3} - \frac{8\pi^2\zeta_3}{3} - 120\zeta_5 \right) \\
& + C_A^2 C_F \left( -\frac{139345}{2916} - \frac{7163\pi^2}{486} - \frac{83\pi^4}{90} + \frac{3526\zeta_3}{9} - \frac{44\pi^2\zeta_3}{9} - 136\zeta_5 \right). \quad (5.9)
\end{aligned}$$

The deepest infrared pole for the  $i$ -loop form factor  $F_i$  is proportional to  $\epsilon^{-2i}$ . Due to the last term of eq. (5.3), we thus need to include the renormalized form factors  $F_1$  through to  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3)$  and  $F_2$  through to  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ , both stated in section 2 above. In doing so, we succeed in reproducing the infrared poles of the renormalized form factor up to three loops.

## 6 Conclusions

In this paper, we have derived the three-loop QCD corrections to the form factor describing the Yukawa coupling of a Higgs boson to a pair of bottom quarks. We neglect the bottom quark mass in internal propagators and external states, which is justified by the large mass hierarchy between the Higgs boson and the bottom quark. The pole structure of our result is in agreement with the prediction of infrared factorization formulae [26–30].

Our results can be applied to derive the third-order QCD corrections to Higgs boson production from bottom quark fusion and to the fully differential description of Higgs boson decays into bottom quarks. Besides the three-loop corrections derived here, these reactions also require two-loop corrections to the matrix element for  $Hb\bar{b}g$ , derived recently in ref. [31] and higher multiplicity tree-level and one-loop matrix elements that can be now be derived using standard methods. The integration of all subprocess contributions over the relevant phase spaces is far from trivial, and methods are currently under intensive development [32–37].

A more imminent application is the N<sup>3</sup>LO soft-virtual threshold approximation to Higgs boson production in bottom quark fusion, using the recently derived result for Higgs boson production in gluon fusion to this order [38], combined with universal factorization properties [39, 40].

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank V. Ravindran for useful discussions leading to this project and M. Wiesemann for interesting comments throughout. This research was supported in part by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNF) under contract 200020-149517, as well as by the European Commission through the “LHCPhenoNet” Initial Training Network PITN-GA-2010-264564 and the ERC Advanced Grant “MC@NNLO” (340983).

**Open Access.** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](#)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

## References

- [1] ATLAS collaboration, *Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, *Phys. Lett. B* **716** (2012) 1 [[arXiv:1207.7214](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] CMS collaboration, *Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC*, *Phys. Lett. B* **716** (2012) 30 [[arXiv:1207.7235](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] C. Anastasiou, K. Melnikov and F. Petriello, *Fully differential Higgs boson production and the di-photon signal through next-to-next-to-leading order*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **724** (2005) 197 [[hep-ph/0501130](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] M. Grazzini, *NNLO predictions for the Higgs boson signal in the  $H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow \ell\nu\ell\nu$  and  $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$  decay channels*, *JHEP* **02** (2008) 043 [[arXiv:0801.3232](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] S. Bühler, F. Herzog, A. Lazopoulos and R. Müller, *The fully differential hadronic production of a Higgs boson via bottom quark fusion at NNLO*, *JHEP* **07** (2012) 115 [[arXiv:1204.4415](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] G. Ferrera, M. Grazzini and F. Tramontano, *Associated WH production at hadron colliders: a fully exclusive QCD calculation at NNLO*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107** (2011) 152003 [[arXiv:1107.1164](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] G. Ferrera, M. Grazzini and F. Tramontano, *Higher-order QCD effects for associated WH production and decay at the LHC*, *JHEP* **04** (2014) 039 [[arXiv:1312.1669](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] T. Figy, C. Oleari and D. Zeppenfeld, *Next-to-leading order jet distributions for Higgs boson production via weak boson fusion*, *Phys. Rev. D* **68** (2003) 073005 [[hep-ph/0306109](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] K. Arnold et al., *VBFNLO: a parton level Monte Carlo for processes with electroweak bosons*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **180** (2009) 1661 [[arXiv:0811.4559](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] F. Campanario, T.M. Figy, S. Plätzer and M. Sjödahl, *Electroweak Higgs Boson Plus Three Jet Production at Next-to-Leading-Order QCD*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (2013) 211802 [[arXiv:1308.2932](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] W. Beenakker, S. Dittmaier, M. Krämer, B. Plumper, M. Spira and P.M. Zerwas, *NLO QCD corrections to  $t\bar{t}H$  production in hadron collisions*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **653** (2003) 151 [[hep-ph/0211352](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] S. Dawson, C. Jackson, L.H. Orr, L. Reina and D. Wackerlo, *Associated Higgs production with top quarks at the large hadron collider: NLO QCD corrections*, *Phys. Rev. D* **68** (2003) 034022 [[hep-ph/0305087](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] R. Frederix, S. Frixione, V. Hirschi, F. Maltoni, R. Pittau and P. Torrielli, *Scalar and pseudoscalar Higgs production in association with a top-antitop pair*, *Phys. Lett. B* **701** (2011) 427 [[arXiv:1104.5613](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [14] K.G. Chetyrkin, B.A. Kniehl and M. Steinhauser, *Hadronic Higgs decay to order  $\alpha_s^4$* , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79** (1997) 353 [[hep-ph/9705240](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] P.A. Baikov, K.G. Chetyrkin and J.H. Kuhn, *Scalar correlator at  $O(\alpha_s^4)$ , Higgs decay into b-quarks and bounds on the light quark masses*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** (2006) 012003 [[hep-ph/0511063](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [16] C. Anastasiou, F. Herzog and A. Lazopoulos, *The fully differential decay rate of a Higgs boson to bottom-quarks at NNLO in QCD*, *JHEP* **03** (2012) 035 [[arXiv:1110.2368](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [17] G. Ferrera, M. Grazzini and F. Tramontano, *Associated ZH production at hadron colliders: the fully differential NNLO QCD calculation*, [arXiv:1407.4747](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] D. Dicus, T. Stelzer, Z. Sullivan and S. Willenbrock, *Higgs boson production in association with bottom quarks at next-to-leading order*, *Phys. Rev. D* **59** (1999) 094016 [[hep-ph/9811492](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] F. Maltoni, Z. Sullivan and S. Willenbrock, *Higgs-boson production via bottom-quark fusion*, *Phys. Rev. D* **67** (2003) 093005 [[hep-ph/0301033](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] R.V. Harlander and W.B. Kilgore, *Higgs boson production in bottom quark fusion at next-to-next-to leading order*, *Phys. Rev. D* **68** (2003) 013001 [[hep-ph/0304035](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] R.V. Harlander, A. Tripathi and M. Wiesemann, *Higgs production in bottom quark annihilation: transverse momentum distribution at NNLO+NNLL*, [arXiv:1403.7196](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] R.V. Harlander, K.J. Ozeren and M. Wiesemann, *Higgs plus jet production in bottom quark annihilation at next-to-leading order*, *Phys. Lett. B* **693** (2010) 269 [[arXiv:1007.5411](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [23] R. Harlander and M. Wiesemann, *Jet-veto in bottom-quark induced Higgs production at next-to-next-to-leading order*, *JHEP* **04** (2012) 066 [[arXiv:1111.2182](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [24] V. Ravindran, *Higher-order threshold effects to inclusive processes in QCD*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **752** (2006) 173 [[hep-ph/0603041](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [25] W. Bernreuther, R. Bonciani, T. Gehrmann, R. Heinesch, P. Mastrolia and E. Remiddi, *Decays of scalar and pseudoscalar Higgs bosons into fermions: two-loop QCD corrections to the Higgs-quark-antiquark amplitude*, *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 096002 [[hep-ph/0508254](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [26] S. Catani, *The Singular behavior of QCD amplitudes at two loop order*, *Phys. Lett. B* **427** (1998) 161 [[hep-ph/9802439](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] G.F. Sterman and M.E. Tejeda-Yeomans, *Multiloop amplitudes and resummation*, *Phys. Lett. B* **552** (2003) 48 [[hep-ph/0210130](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [28] S. Moch, J.A.M. Vermaasen and A. Vogt, *The quark form-factor at higher orders*, *JHEP* **08** (2005) 049 [[hep-ph/0507039](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] T. Becher and M. Neubert, *Infrared singularities of scattering amplitudes in perturbative QCD*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** (2009) 162001 [[arXiv:0901.0722](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [30] E. Gardi and L. Magnea, *Factorization constraints for soft anomalous dimensions in QCD scattering amplitudes*, *JHEP* **03** (2009) 079 [[arXiv:0901.1091](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [31] T. Ahmed, M. Mahakhud, P. Mathews, N. Rana and V. Ravindran, *Two-loop QCD corrections to Higgs  $\rightarrow b + \bar{b} + g$  amplitude*, *JHEP* **08** (2014) 075 [[arXiv:1405.2324](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [32] C. Anastasiou, C. Duhr, F. Dulat and B. Mistlberger, *Soft triple-real radiation for Higgs production at N3LO*, *JHEP* **07** (2013) 003 [[arXiv:1302.4379](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [33] C. Duhr and T. Gehrmann, *The two-loop soft current in dimensional regularization*, *Phys. Lett. B* **727** (2013) 452 [[arXiv:1309.4393](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [34] Y. Li and H.X. Zhu, *Single soft gluon emission at two loops*, *JHEP* **11** (2013) 080 [[arXiv:1309.4391](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [35] C. Anastasiou, C. Duhr, F. Dulat, F. Herzog and B. Mistlberger, *Real-virtual contributions to the inclusive Higgs cross-section at  $N^3LO$* , *JHEP* **12** (2013) 088 [[arXiv:1311.1425](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [36] Y. Li, A. von Manteuffel, R.M. Schabinger and H.X. Zhu,  *$N^3LO$  Higgs and Drell-Yan production at threshold: the one-loop two-emission contribution*, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 053006 [[arXiv:1404.5839](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [37] M. Höschele, J. Hoff and T. Ueda, *Adequate bases of phase space master integrals for  $gg \rightarrow h$  at NNLO and beyond*, *JHEP* **09** (2014) 116 [[arXiv:1407.4049](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [38] C. Anastasiou et al., *Higgs boson gluon-fusion production at threshold in  $N^3LO$  QCD*, *Phys. Lett. B* **737** (2014) 325 [[arXiv:1403.4616](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [39] T. Ahmed, M. Mahakhud, N. Rana and V. Ravindran, *Drell-Yan production at threshold in  $N^3LO$  QCD*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** (2014) 112002 [[arXiv:1404.0366](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [40] S. Catani, L. Cieri, D. de Florian, G. Ferrera and M. Grazzini, *Threshold resummation at  $N^3LL$  accuracy and soft-virtual cross sections at  $N^3LO$* , [arXiv:1405.4827](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [41] P.A. Baikov, K.G. Chetyrkin, A.V. Smirnov, V.A. Smirnov and M. Steinhauser, *Quark and gluon form factors to three loops*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** (2009) 212002 [[arXiv:0902.3519](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [42] T. Gehrmann, E.W.N. Glover, T. Huber, N. Ikizlerli and C. Studerus, *Calculation of the quark and gluon form factors to three loops in QCD*, *JHEP* **06** (2010) 094 [[arXiv:1004.3653](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [43] T. Gehrmann, E.W.N. Glover, T. Huber, N. Ikizlerli and C. Studerus, *The quark and gluon form factors to three loops in QCD through to  $O(\epsilon^2)$* , *JHEP* **11** (2010) 102 [[arXiv:1010.4478](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [44] W.A. Bardeen, A.J. Buras, D.W. Duke and T. Muta, *Deep Inelastic Scattering Beyond the Leading Order in Asymptotically Free Gauge Theories*, *Phys. Rev. D* **18** (1978) 3998 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [45] D.J. Gross and F. Wilczek, *Ultraviolet Behavior of Nonabelian Gauge Theories*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **30** (1973) 1343 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [46] H.D. Politzer, *Reliable Perturbative Results for Strong Interactions?*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **30** (1973) 1346 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [47] W.E. Caswell, *Asymptotic Behavior of Nonabelian Gauge Theories to Two Loop Order*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **33** (1974) 244 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [48] D.R.T. Jones, *Two Loop Diagrams in Yang-Mills Theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **75** (1974) 531 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [49] E. Egorian and O.V. Tarasov, *Two Loop Renormalization of the QCD in an Arbitrary Gauge*, *Teor. Mat. Fiz.* **41** (1979) 26 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [50] O.V. Tarasov, A.A. Vladimirov and A.Y. Zharkov, *The Gell-Mann-Low Function of QCD in the Three Loop Approximation*, *Phys. Lett. B* **93** (1980) 429 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [51] S.A. Larin and J.A.M. Verma, *The Three loop QCD  $\beta$ -function and anomalous dimensions*, *Phys. Lett. B* **303** (1993) 334 [[hep-ph/9302208](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [52] J.A.M. Vermaasen, S.A. Larin and T. van Ritbergen, *The four loop quark mass anomalous dimension and the invariant quark mass*, *Phys. Lett. B* **405** (1997) 327 [[hep-ph/9703284](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [53] K.G. Chetyrkin, *Quark mass anomalous dimension to  $O(\alpha_s^4)$* , *Phys. Lett. B* **404** (1997) 161 [[hep-ph/9703278](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [54] M. Czakon, *The Four-loop QCD  $\beta$ -function and anomalous dimensions*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **710** (2005) 485 [[hep-ph/0411261](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [55] T. Gehrmann, T. Huber and D. Maître, *Two-loop quark and gluon form-factors in dimensional regularisation*, *Phys. Lett. B* **622** (2005) 295 [[hep-ph/0507061](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [56] P. Nogueira, *Automatic Feynman graph generation*, *J. Comput. Phys.* **105** (1993) 279 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [57] K.G. Chetyrkin and F.V. Tkachov, *Integration by Parts: The Algorithm to Calculate  $\beta$ -functions in 4 Loops*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **192** (1981) 159 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [58] T. Gehrmann and E. Remiddi, *Differential equations for two loop four point functions*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **580** (2000) 485 [[hep-ph/9912329](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [59] S. Laporta, *High precision calculation of multiloop Feynman integrals by difference equations*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **15** (2000) 5087 [[hep-ph/0102033](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [60] C. Anastasiou and A. Lazopoulos, *Automatic integral reduction for higher order perturbative calculations*, *JHEP* **07** (2004) 046 [[hep-ph/0404258](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [61] A.V. Smirnov, *Algorithm FIRE — Feynman Integral REDuction*, *JHEP* **10** (2008) 107 [[arXiv:0807.3243](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [62] C. Studerus, *Reduze-Feynman Integral Reduction in C++*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **181** (2010) 1293 [[arXiv:0912.2546](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [63] A. von Manteuffel and C. Studerus, *Reduze 2 — Distributed Feynman Integral Reduction*, [arXiv:1201.4330](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [64] T. Gehrmann, G. Heinrich, T. Huber and C. Studerus, *Master integrals for massless three-loop form-factors: one-loop and two-loop insertions*, *Phys. Lett. B* **640** (2006) 252 [[hep-ph/0607185](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [65] G. Heinrich, T. Huber and D. Maître, *Master integrals for fermionic contributions to massless three-loop form-factors*, *Phys. Lett. B* **662** (2008) 344 [[arXiv:0711.3590](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [66] G. Heinrich, T. Huber, D.A. Kosower and V.A. Smirnov, *Nine-Propagator Master Integrals for Massless Three-Loop Form Factors*, *Phys. Lett. B* **678** (2009) 359 [[arXiv:0902.3512](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [67] R.N. Lee, A.V. Smirnov and V.A. Smirnov, *Analytic Results for Massless Three-Loop Form Factors*, *JHEP* **04** (2010) 020 [[arXiv:1001.2887](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [68] T. Becher, M. Neubert and B.D. Pecjak, *Factorization and Momentum-Space Resummation in Deep-Inelastic Scattering*, *JHEP* **01** (2007) 076 [[hep-ph/0607228](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [69] T. Becher and M. Neubert, *On the Structure of Infrared Singularities of Gauge-Theory Amplitudes*, *JHEP* **06** (2009) 081 [Erratum *ibid.* **1311** (2013) 024] [[arXiv:0903.1126](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].