RECEIVED: March 6, 2019

ACCEPTED: June 28, 2019

PUBLISHED: July 11, 2019

Gluon bremsstrahlung in finite media beyond multiple soft scattering approximation

Yacine Mehtar-Tani

*Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory,
Upton, NY 11973, U.S.A.*

E-mail: mehtartani@bnl.gov

ABSTRACT: We revisit the calculation of the medium-induced gluon spectrum in a finite QCD medium and develop a new approach that goes beyond multiple soft scattering approximation. We show by expanding around the harmonic oscillator that the first two orders encompass the two known analytic limits: single hard and multiple soft scattering regimes, valid at high and low frequencies, respectively. Finally, we investigate the sensitivity of our results to the infrared and observe that for large media the spectrum is weakly dependent on the infrared medium scale.

KEYWORDS: Heavy Ion Phenomenology, Jets

ARXIV EPRINT: [1903.00506](https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.00506)

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	LPM effect in QCD	2
3	Medium-induced gluon spectrum and its two limits	4
3.1	Single hard scattering approximation: Gyulassy-Levai-Vitev (GLV)	6
3.2	Multiple soft scattering approximation: Baier-Dokshitzer-Mueller-Peigne-Schiff (BDMPS)	7
4	Twist expansion in the Molière approximation	11
4.1	Next-to-leading order	11
4.2	Numerical results	15
5	Generalization to finite gluon energy	16
6	Summary and outlook	17

1 Introduction

In the early 80's [1], J.D. Bjorken suggested that the creation of a quark-gluon plasma in high energy hadronic collisions would cause the suppression of high-pt jets. Two decades later [2, 3], this phenomenon was successfully observed at RHIC in the suppression of high-pt hadrons, and confirmed at the LHC with the quenching of fully reconstructed jets [4, 5].

Medium-induced radiative energy loss resulting from multiple final state interactions of high energy partons with the quark-gluon plasma is believed to be the dominant mechanism for jet-quenching [6–10] (for recent reviews see [11, 12]). This inelastic process is triggered by multiple scattering centers that act coherently during the quantum mechanical radiation time leading to the Landau-Pomeranchuk-Migdal (LPM) suppression of the gluon radiation spectrum [13, 14]. A quantitative description of jet quenching requires a full account of the various regimes of medium-induced gluon radiation, which currently is only achieved by numerical methods [15, 16]. A closed analytic formula would be more practical in particular when the elementary radiative process is iterated to generate parton cascades in the context of a Monte Carlo event generators [17, 18]. In many phenomenological studies different approximations are adopted with limited control over the theoretical uncertainties associated with them.

There are two known analytic limits:

1. *Multiple soft scattering approximation* (MSSA): This approximation, in which high density effects are resummed to all orders, is expected to be important for short mean-free-path $\ell_{\text{mfp}} \ll L$. It is often referred to in the literature as the Baier-Dokshitzer-

Mueller-Peigné-Schiff-Zakharov (BDMPS-Z) approximation.¹ The BDMPS spectrum, generalized to include transverse momentum dependence and finite gluon energy [20, 21], is the building block for medium-induced QCD cascade [22–24].

2. *Single hard scattering approximation* (SHSA): In this approach the medium is assumed to be dilute, that is, $\ell_{\text{mfp}} \gg L$. It was first discussed by Gyulassy, Levai and Vitev (GLV) [25], and Wiedemann [19] and was recently extended beyond soft gluon approximation to finite gluon frequency [26, 27]. A similar approach, dubbed Higher-Twist (HT), involves further approximation, namely, that the gluon transverse momentum is much larger than the typical momentum transfer from the medium μ [28].

MSSA breaks down at high enough gluon frequencies where at most one scattering occurs due to color transparency: hard gluons with high transverse momentum can only be produced by large momentum transfer from medium though with a small cross-section. It can be computed order by order in powers of coupling constant. Although it is a strongly suppressed process it dominates the mean energy loss. On the other hand, SHSA is expected to break down at low gluon frequencies.

To remedy the lack of a unified formula for in-medium gluon bremsstrahlung, we propose in this work a new analytic approach inspired by the Molière scattering theory [29] (see also ref. [30] for a recent application to particle production in proton-nucleus collisions at forward rapidity), where instead of expanding around the first order in opacity, we split the interaction term into a soft and hard part. The former is resummed to all orders accounting for density effects and the latter is treated as perturbation that naturally accounts for the hard part of the radiation cross-section. We compute the first two orders and show that we recover the BDMPS and GLV-HT limits.

The article is organized as follows. In section 2, we review the LPM effect in QCD and present heuristic derivations of the medium-induced gluon spectrum. In section 3, we compute the medium induced spectrum in the multiple soft and single hard scattering approximations. In section 4, we expand the radiation spectrum around the harmonic oscillator and compute the first two orders. We then compare the result to the BDMPS and GLV spectra. In section 5, we generalize our approach to arbitrary gluon energies. Finally, we summarize and conclude in section 6.

2 LPM effect in QCD

Before we discuss the medium-induced gluon spectrum in more details we shall first introduce the basic concepts underlying the QCD analog of the LPM effect.

Consider a high energy parton created early in a heavy-ion collision for instance which then undergoes multiple scattering in the deconfined medium of length L . During its propagation, the hard parton acquires transverse momentum, $k_{\perp}$, w.r.t. the direction of its propagation due to brownian motion in momentum space caused by random kicks from

¹Note, however, that the formalism introduced by BDMPS-Z encompasses the single hard scattering limit as shown by Wiedemann [19].

the plasma constituents. This is characterized by the transport coefficient

$$\hat{q} \equiv \frac{d\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle}{dt}. \quad (2.1)$$

To leading order in the strong coupling constant the quenching parameter $\hat{q}$ is related to the 2 to 2 QCD matrix element $d\sigma_{\text{el}}/d^2q_{\perp} \simeq g^4 n/q_{\perp}^4$, where n is the density of medium color charges, as follows:

$$\hat{q}(Q^2) \simeq C_R \int_q q_{\perp}^2 \frac{d\sigma_{\text{el}}}{d^2q_{\perp}} \simeq 4\pi\alpha_s^2 C_R n \ln \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\alpha_s \equiv g^2/(4\pi)$ is the coupling constant, $C_R = C_F = (N_c^2 - 1)/(2N_c)$ ($C_R = C_A = N_c$) the quark (gluon) color charge and $\ln(Q^2/\mu^2)$ the Coulomb logarithm that depends on an infrared cut-off μ which is related to the Debye screening mass in the QGP. Q^2 is a process dependent hard scale, that will be specified below. Above and throughout we shall use the shorthand notation

$$\int_q \equiv \frac{d^2\mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^2}. \quad (2.3)$$

In addition to momentum broadening, multiple scatterings can trigger gluon radiation off the energetic parton as depicted in figure 1. Owing to the quantum nature of the radiation, many scattering centers act coherently during the radiation time $t_{\text{coh}} = \omega/k_{\perp}^2$, where ω is the radiated gluon frequency and $k_{\perp}^2 \sim \hat{q} t_{\text{coh}}$ (cf. eq. (2.1)) is the transverse momentum squared acquired by the gluonic fluctuation during t_{coh} . Solving for the coherence time and transverse momentum squared we obtain

$$t_{\text{coh}} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{\hat{q}}}, \quad \text{and} \quad k_{\perp,\text{coh}}^2 \equiv \sqrt{\omega \hat{q}}, \quad (2.4)$$

respectively. In the above parametric estimate, we have assumed $\hat{q}$ to be constant by ignoring the slowly varying Coulomb logarithm, which must be evaluated at $Q^2 \equiv \sqrt{\omega \hat{q}}$.

Because medium-induced radiation can occur anywhere along the medium with equal probability (provided $t_{\text{coh}} \ll L$ corresponding to gluon frequency $\omega \ll \omega_c \sim \hat{q} L^2$), the radiation spectrum is expected to scale linearly with L . Therefore, dimensional analysis yields

$$\omega \frac{dI}{d\omega} \simeq \alpha_s \frac{L}{t_{\text{coh}}} = \alpha_s \sqrt{\frac{\omega_c}{\omega}} \quad \text{for} \quad \omega \ll \omega_c. \quad (2.5)$$

This is the BDMPS-Z spectrum in the multiple soft scattering approximation. Note that the above spectrum is valid so long as $\ell_{\text{mfp}} \ll t_{\text{coh}} \ll L$. When $t_{\text{coh}} \sim \ell_{\text{mfp}}$ only one scattering is involved in the radiation process. This is the so-called Bethe-Heitler (BH) regime, where the spectrum scales with the number of scattering centers N_{scatt}

$$\omega \frac{dI_{\text{BH}}}{d\omega} \simeq \alpha_s \frac{L}{\ell_{\text{mfp}}} = \alpha_s N_{\text{scatt}}, \quad (2.6)$$

where $\ell_{\text{mfp}} \sim (n\sigma_{\text{el}})^{-1} \sim \mu^2/(\alpha_s^2 n)$. It corresponds to the incoherent limit of the radiation spectrum. In the BH regime the typical transverse momentum squared is of order the infrared scale, i.e., $k_{\perp}^2 \sim \hat{q} \ell_{\text{mfp}} \sim \mu^2$ (for a thermal medium $\mu \equiv m_D$, the Debye mass)

Figure 1. Diagrammatic representation of a high energy quark radiating a soft gluon induced by coherent multiple scattering in a medium of length L .

With increasing frequency the coherence time increases. As a result, the effective number of scattering centers decreases as $N_{\text{eff}} \equiv N_{\text{scatt}}/N_{\text{coh}} = L/t_{\text{coh}}$. This yields the suppression of the radiation spectrum that falls as $\omega^{-1/2}$ compared to the flat Bethe-Heitler spectrum shown in eq. (2.5).

Let us turn now to the regime $\omega > \omega_c$. In this case, the transverse momentum is bounded from below by $Q_s^2 \equiv \hat{q}L$. Moreover, the LPM effect suppresses the spectrum for formation times $\omega/k_\perp^2 \gg L$, which implies that $k_\perp^2 \gtrsim \omega/L \gg Q_s^2$, where the second inequality follows from the condition $\omega \gg \omega_c$. We see that the transverse momentum lies in the regime of single hard scattering since $k_\perp^2 \gg Q_s^2$, where the cross-section falls as $k_\perp^{-4}$ and scales linearly with $g^4 n$ and L , namely, Q_s^2 . Due to the steepness of the latter $k_\perp$ power spectrum, the integral over $k_\perp$ is dominated by the lower bound ω/L . Hence, we estimate the gluon spectrum integrated over $k_\perp$ as follows

$$\omega \frac{dI}{d\omega} \sim \alpha_s^3 n L \int_{\omega/L}^{\infty} \frac{dk_\perp^2}{k_\perp^4} \simeq \alpha_s \frac{\omega_c}{\omega} \quad \text{for} \quad \omega \gg \omega_c. \quad (2.7)$$

The above spectrum correspond to the UV limit of the GLV (HT) spectrum.

In what follows, our goal will be to develop an analytic approach that accounts for these two limiting cases.

3 Medium-induced gluon spectrum and its two limits

Our starting point is the general expression for the medium-induced gluon spectrum off a high energy parton with energy E [6, 7, 9, 10, 19] (see also [11, 12] for recent reviews),

$$\omega \frac{dI}{d\omega} = \frac{\alpha_s C_R}{\omega^2} 2\text{Re} \int_0^\infty dt_2 \int_0^{t_2} dt_1 \partial_x \cdot \partial_y \left[\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{x}, t_2 | \mathbf{y}, t_1) - \mathcal{K}_0(\mathbf{x}, t_2 | \mathbf{y}, t_1) \right]_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{0}}, \quad (3.1)$$

where the gluon is assumed to be soft, i.e. $\omega \ll E$ (cf. figure 1). We will relax this assumption in section 5.

The second term in eq. (3.1) subtracts the vacuum part of the Green's function $\mathcal{K}$ which is solution of the Schrödinger equation:

$$\left[i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2}{2\omega} + iv(\mathbf{x}) \right] \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{y}, t_1) = i\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})\delta(t - t_1), \quad (3.2)$$

where the imaginary potential $iv(\mathbf{x})$ accounts for the random kicks that the energetic parton as well as the radiated gluon experience while traversing the plasma. It is related to the in-medium elastic cross-section by a Fourier transform,

$$v(\mathbf{x}, t) = N_c \int_{\mathbf{q}} \frac{d\sigma_{\text{el}}}{d^2\mathbf{q}} (1 - e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}}), \quad (3.3)$$

where $\mathbf{q}$ is the transverse momentum exchange with the medium. The screening of the infrared (Coulomb) divergence depends on medium properties. In a thermal plasma, the HTL (Hard-Thermal-Loop) approximation yields [31]

$$\frac{d^2\sigma_{\text{el}}}{d^2\mathbf{q}} \equiv \frac{g^2 m_D^2 T}{\mathbf{q}^2 (\mathbf{q}^2 + m_D^2)}, \quad (3.4)$$

where $m_D^2 = (1 + N_f/6)g^2 T^2$ is the QCD Debye mass squared, with N_f the number of active quark flavors and T the plasma temperature. In other approaches [25], static scattering centers, introduced by Gyulassy and Wang (GW) [32], are assumed. This corresponds to the following elastic cross-section,

$$\frac{d^2\sigma_{\text{el}}}{d^2\mathbf{q}} \equiv \frac{g^4 n}{(\mathbf{q}^2 + \mu^2)^2}, \quad (3.5)$$

where n is the density of scattering centers ($n \sim T^3$ for a medium in thermal equilibrium).

The above two models for the elastic cross-section differ only when the moment transfer is of order the cut-off μ . The sensitivity of the gluon spectrum to the latter theoretical uncertainties is expected to be weak for dense enough media where, due to multiple scatterings, the relevant transverse momentum scales in much larger: $1/x_\perp^2 \sim Q^2 \sim \mu^2 N_{\text{scatt}} \sim g^4 n L \gg \mu$. This reduced infrared sensitivity is a consequence of the weak μ -dependence of the large Coulomb logarithm:

$$v(\mathbf{x}, t) \equiv \frac{1}{4} \hat{q}(\mathbf{x}^2, t) \mathbf{x}^2 \simeq \frac{g^4}{16\pi} N_c n(t) \mathbf{x}^2 \ln \frac{1}{\mu^2 \mathbf{x}^2}. \quad (3.6)$$

Here, we have used the leading logarithmic definition of the transport coefficient eq. (2.2).

For later convenience, we define the transport coefficient stripped of its Coulomb logarithm

$$\hat{q}_0(t) \equiv 4\pi\alpha_s^2 N_c n(t). \quad (3.7)$$

From eq. (2.2), one can infer that $\hat{q}_0 = \hat{q}(Q^2 = e\mu^2)$.

In the absence of interactions the Green's function $\mathcal{K}$ reduces the free propagator

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{x}, t_2 | \mathbf{y}, t_1) = \mathcal{K}_0(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}; t_2 - t_1) = \frac{\omega}{2\pi i(t_2 - t_1)} \exp \left[i \frac{\omega(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})^2}{2(t_2 - t_1)} \right], \quad (3.8)$$

which reads

$$\int_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}} \mathcal{K}_0(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}; t_2 - t_1) e^{i\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p} - i\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{q}} = (2\pi)^2 \delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}) \exp \left[-i \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2\omega} (t_2 - t_1) \right], \quad (3.9)$$

in momentum space.

Before we go on to discuss our method we shall first recall the main analytic limits, that are the basis of some phenomenological works, in somewhat more details than the above parametric discussion.

3.1 Single hard scattering approximation: Gyulassy-Levai-Vitev (GLV)

In a dilute medium, the probability to undergo one scattering is very small and it is sufficient to expand eq. (3.1) to leading order in $v(\mathbf{x})$ ($N = 1$ order in opacity), as follows [19, 25]

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x \cdot \partial_y \mathcal{K}^{(N=1)}(\mathbf{x}, t_2 | \mathbf{y}, t_1) \Big|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{0}} &= \\ \partial_x \cdot \partial_y \int d\mathbf{z} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} ds \mathcal{K}_0(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}; t_2 - s) v(\mathbf{z}, s) \mathcal{K}_0(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{y}; s - t_1) \Big|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{0}} &= \\ = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} ds \int_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}} \mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}) e^{-i \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2\omega} (t_2 - s)} v(\mathbf{q}, s) e^{-i \frac{(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q})^2}{2\omega} (s - t_1)}, & \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where we have performed a Fourier transform using eq. (3.9) and

$$v(\mathbf{q}, s) \equiv N_c \left[\frac{d^2 \sigma_{\text{el}}}{d^2 \mathbf{q}} - \delta(\mathbf{q}) \int_{\mathbf{q}'} \frac{d^2 \sigma_{\text{el}}}{d^2 \mathbf{q}'} \right]. \quad (3.11)$$

The integrations over t_1 and t_2 are now straightforward:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\text{Re} \int_0^\infty dt_2 \int_0^{t_2} dt_1 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} ds \int_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}} \mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}) e^{-i \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2\omega} (t_2 - s)} v(\mathbf{q}, s) e^{-i \frac{(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q})^2}{2\omega} (s - t_1)} &= \\ = 8\omega^2 \int_0^\infty ds \int_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}} \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q})}{\mathbf{p}^2 (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q})^2} v(\mathbf{q}, s) \left\{ 1 - \cos \left[\frac{(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q})^2}{2\omega} s \right] \right\}. & \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

The term proportional to $\mathbf{p}^2$ in the last line of eq. (3.12) vanishes owing to the fact that $\int_{\mathbf{q}} v(\mathbf{q}, s) = 0$. On the other hand, $\delta(\mathbf{q})$ in $v(\mathbf{q}, s)$ does not contribute to the term proportional to $\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q}$. It follows that

$$\omega \frac{dI_{\text{GLV}}}{d\omega} = 32\pi \alpha_s C_R \hat{q}_0 \int_0^L ds \int_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}} \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q}}{\mathbf{p}^2 (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q})^2 (q^2 + \mu^2)^2} \left\{ 1 - \cos \left[\frac{(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q})^2}{2\omega} s \right] \right\}, \quad (3.13)$$

where we have used the potential (3.5) and assumed that the color charges are uniformly distributed in a medium of length L , i.e., $n(t) = n\Theta(L - t)$.

Making the following change of variables: $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}$, $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\sqrt{2\omega/L}$ and $\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{p}\sqrt{2\omega/L}$, one can simplify further

$$\omega \frac{dI_{\text{GLV}}}{d\omega} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}\hat{q}_0 L^2}{\omega} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \times \int_0^\infty dp \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \frac{1}{(k^2 + p^2 - 2pk \cos \varphi + y)^2} [1 - \cos(k^2 x)] . \quad (3.14)$$

Finally, the p , φ and x integrals can be carried out analytically yielding [33]

$$\omega \frac{dI_{\text{GLV}}}{d\omega} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}\hat{q}_0 L^2}{\omega} \int_0^\infty du \frac{1}{u+y} \frac{u - \sin(u)}{u^2} , \quad (3.15)$$

where

$$y \equiv \frac{\mu^2 L}{2\omega} , \quad (3.16)$$

and

$$\bar{\alpha} \equiv \frac{\alpha_s C_R}{\pi} . \quad (3.17)$$

The GLV spectrum (3.15) is plotted in figure 2. It encompasses two regimes characterized by the scale $\mu^2 L/2$:

$$\omega \frac{dI_{\text{GLV}}}{d\omega} \simeq \bar{\alpha} \frac{\hat{q}_0 L}{\mu^2} \begin{cases} \ln\left(\frac{\mu^2 L}{2\omega}\right) & \text{for } \omega \ll \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 L \\ \frac{\pi}{4} \left(\frac{\mu^2 L}{2\omega}\right) & \text{for } \omega \gg \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 L \end{cases} \quad (3.18)$$

As we have alluded to in the parametric analysis performed in the previous section, another scale arises due to multiple scattering, $\omega_c \sim \hat{q}_0 L^2 \gg \mu^2 L$, and modifies the behavior of the spectrum in the infrared, for $\omega \ll \omega_c$.

3.2 Multiple soft scattering approximation: Baier-Dokshitzer-Mueller-Peigne-Schiff (BDMPS)

Let us now turn to the multiple soft scattering approximation often referred to as BDMPS (or Armesto-Salgado-Wiedemann (ASW) model [33, 34]). By neglecting the logarithmic dependence of the dipole cross section in eq. (3.6) assuming a constant scale $Q^2 \sim 1/\langle x_\perp^2 \rangle$, the problem reduces to that of a harmonic oscillator (HO) with a time dependent imaginary frequency $\Omega(t)$:

$$iv(\mathbf{x}, t) \simeq \frac{i}{4} \bar{q}(t) \ln \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2} \mathbf{x}^2 \equiv -\frac{\omega \Omega^2(t)}{2} \mathbf{x}^2 , \quad (3.19)$$

where

$$\Omega^2(t) = -\frac{i}{2} \frac{\hat{q}_0(t)}{\omega} \ln \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2} \equiv -\frac{i}{2} \frac{\hat{q}(t)}{\omega} . \quad (3.20)$$

That is

$$\Omega(t) = \frac{1-i}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{q}(t)}{\omega}} . \quad (3.21)$$

Figure 2. The medium-induced spectrum to leading order in opacity (GLV) (dashed) and in multiple soft scattering approximation (BDMPS) (solid blue) computed for the following set of parameters: $\hat{q}_0 = 0.1 \text{ GeV}^3$, $L = 4 \text{ fm}$, $\mu = 0.3 \text{ GeV}$ and we have set the overall pre-factor $\bar{\alpha} = 1$. This corresponds to $\hat{q} \sim \hat{q}_0 \ln(\hat{q}_0 L / \mu^2) = 1.5 \text{ GeV}^2/\text{fm}$, $\omega_{\text{BH}} \simeq 0.08 \text{ GeV}$ and $\omega_c \equiv \hat{q}_0 L^2 = 40 \text{ GeV}$.

In terms of these new variables eq. (3.2) reads:

$$\left[i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2}{2\omega} - \frac{\omega \Omega(t)^2}{2} \mathbf{x}^2 \right] \mathcal{K}_{\text{HO}}(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{y}, t_0) = i \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \delta(t - t_0). \quad (3.22)$$

Its solution reads [35, 36]

$$\mathcal{K}_{\text{HO}}(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{y}, t_0) = \frac{i\omega}{2\pi S(t, t_0)} \exp[iS_{\text{cl}}(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{y}, t_0)], \quad (3.23)$$

where the classical action and corresponding trajectory are defined as

$$S_{\text{cl}}(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{y}, t_0) = \frac{\omega}{2} \mathbf{r}_{\text{cl}}[\xi] \frac{d}{d\xi} \mathbf{r}_{\text{cl}}[\xi] \Big|_{t_0}^t, \quad (3.24)$$

and

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} + \Omega^2(\xi) \right] \mathbf{r}_{\text{cl}}[\xi] = 0, \quad (3.25)$$

respectively. The determinant $S(t, t_0)$ obeys the same wave equation:

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} + \Omega^2(\xi) \right] S(\xi, t_0) = 0, \quad (3.26)$$

with boundary conditions $S(t_0, t_0) = 0$ and $\partial_\xi S(\xi, t_0)|_{\xi=t_0} = 1$.

Given these boundary conditions the solution of eq. (3.25) reads:

$$\mathbf{r}_{\text{cl}}[\xi] = \frac{S(\xi, t_0) \mathbf{x} + S(t, \xi) \mathbf{y}}{S(t, t_0)} \quad (3.27)$$

Inserting (3.27) into the classical action (3.24) we find after some straightforward algebra,

$$S_{\text{cl}}(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{y}, t_0) = \frac{\omega}{2S(t, t_0)} \times \left[\partial_t S(t, t_0) \mathbf{x}^2 - \partial_{t_0} S(t, t_0) \mathbf{y}^2 + (\partial_\xi S(t, \xi)|_{\xi=t} - \partial_\xi S(\xi, t_0)|_{\xi=t_0}) \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} \right]. \quad (3.28)$$

Adopting the notations introduced in [37] we define the function

$$C(t, \xi) = -\partial_\xi S(t, \xi) = \partial_\xi S(\xi, t), \quad (3.29)$$

that corresponds to the other solution to eq. (3.26) with boundary condition $C(t, t) = 1$. It follows that

$$\partial_\xi S(t, \xi)|_{\xi=t} = -C(t, \xi)|_{\xi=t} = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_\xi S(\xi, t_0)|_{\xi=t_0} = C(t_0, \xi)|_{\xi=t} = 1, \quad (3.30)$$

where we have used the antisymmetric nature of $S(t, t_0)$, $S(t, t_0) = -S(t_0, t)$. Finally, we obtain

$$\mathcal{K}_{\text{HO}}(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{y}, t_1) = \frac{i\omega}{2\pi S(t, t_0)} \exp \left[i \frac{\omega}{2S(t, t_0)} [C(t_0, t) \mathbf{x}^2 + C(t, t_0) \mathbf{y}^2 - 2 \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}] \right]. \quad (3.31)$$

In the time independent case, $\Omega(t) \equiv \Omega$, the S and C functions reduce to

$$S(t, t_0) = \frac{1}{\Omega} \sin(\Omega(t - t_0)) \quad \text{and} \quad C(t, t_0) = \cos(\Omega(t - t_0)). \quad (3.32)$$

By analyzing the argument of the exponential in eq. (3.31) using eq. (3.32) one sees that the typical transverse scale in multiple-scattering regime is given by

$$\langle x_\perp^2 \rangle \sim \omega \Omega \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega \hat{q}}}, \quad (3.33)$$

which is the expected transverse momentum of medium-induced gluons $\langle k_\perp^2 \rangle \sim \langle x_\perp^2 \rangle^{-1} \sim \sqrt{\omega \hat{q}}$. This allows us to estimate the scale Q^2 using eq. (3.20) in eq. (3.33) (see detailed discussion in [39])

$$Q^2 \sim \langle x_\perp^2 \rangle^{-1} \simeq \sqrt{\omega \hat{q}} = \sqrt{\omega \hat{q}_0 \ln(Q^2/\mu^2)}. \quad (3.34)$$

Since for $Q^2 \gg \mu^2$ the logarithm is a slowly varying function Q^2 one can iterate the above equation to obtain the leading logarithmic contribution:

$$Q^2 \simeq \sqrt{\omega \hat{q}_0 \ln(\sqrt{\omega \hat{q}_0}/\mu^2)}. \quad (3.35)$$

This estimate is valid in the multiple-scattering regime. For $\omega > \omega_c = \hat{q}L^2/2$, the scale Q^2 is given by the maximum transverse momentum squared that multiple soft scatterings can transfer to the radiated gluon, namely,

$$Q^2 \simeq Q_s^2 \sim \hat{q}_0 L \ln \left(\frac{\hat{q}_0 L}{\mu^2} \right). \quad (3.36)$$

Inserting eq. (3.31) in eq. (3.1) yields

$$\omega \frac{dI_{\text{HO}}}{d\omega} = \frac{\alpha_s C_R}{\pi} 2\text{Re} \int_0^\infty dt_2 \int_0^{t_2} dt_1 \left[\frac{1}{S^2(t_2, t_1)} - \frac{1}{(t_2 - t_1)^2} \right]. \quad (3.37)$$

Using the following property [37]

$$\partial_t \left(\frac{C(t, s)}{S(t, s)} \right) = -\frac{1}{S^2(t, s)}, \quad (3.38)$$

the t_2 integration can be performed and reads

$$\int_{t_1}^\infty dt_2 \frac{1}{S^2(t_2, t_1)} = \frac{C(t_1, t_1)}{S(t_1, t_1)} - \frac{C(\infty, t_1)}{S(\infty, t_1)}. \quad (3.39)$$

The first term cancels against the vacuum piece, i.e., the second term in eq. (3.37), while the second one can be integrated further over t_1 using eq. (4.13):

$$\int_0^\infty dt_1 \frac{C(\infty, t_1)}{S(\infty, t_1)} = - \int_0^\infty dt_1 \frac{\partial_{t_1} C(t_1, L)}{C(t_1, L)} = \ln C(0, L) = \ln \cos(\Omega L). \quad (3.40)$$

Inserting the latter in eq. (3.37) yields the BDMPS-Z result

$$\omega \frac{dI_{\text{HO}}}{d\omega} = 2\bar{\alpha} \ln |\cos(\Omega L)|. \quad (3.41)$$

Eq. (3.41) encompasses two regimes

$$\omega \frac{dI_{\text{HO}}}{d\omega} = 2\bar{\alpha} \ln \left| \cos \left(\frac{1-i}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2\omega_c}{\omega}} \right) \right| \simeq 2\bar{\alpha} \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{\omega_c}{2\omega}} & \text{for } \omega \ll \omega_c \\ \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{\omega_c}{\omega} \right)^2 & \text{for } \omega \gg \omega_c \end{cases} \quad (3.42)$$

expressed in terms of the characteristic frequency

$$\omega_c = \frac{1}{2} \hat{q} L^2. \quad (3.43)$$

As discussed in the introduction of this section, the $\omega^{-1/2}$ is a consequence of the LPM effect for gluon radiation that occurs inside the medium corresponding to coherence time $t_{\text{coh}} = \omega/k_\perp^2 \ll L$. During the coherent scattering time t_{coh} , the gluon acquires a transverse momentum squared of order $k_\perp^2 \sim \hat{q} t_{\text{coh}}$. At large formation times, and equivalently for $\omega > \omega_c$, the spectrum is determined by a single hard scattering characterized by the $k_\perp^{-4}$ power spectrum. In section 3.1 (cf. eq. (3.18)), we have analyzed this regime and showed that it leads to the power spectrum ω^{-1} . It follows that the multiple soft scattering contribution, ω^{-2} , is negligible. This is because, ignoring the Coulomb logarithm in MSSA, results in a Gaussian transverse momentum distribution that falls more rapidly than the actual power spectrum. Therefore, at high frequency the GLV-HT spectrum is the correct one.

In figure 2, we have plotted the BDMPS spectrum (3.41) in comparison with the GLV spectrum. The hard scale is calculated using eq. (3.35) for $\omega < \omega_c$ and eq. (3.35) for $\omega > \omega_c$ with the additional shift: $Q^2 \rightarrow Q^2 + \mu^2$ to enforce the condition $Q^2 > \mu^2$. The choice

Figure 3. A sketch of the first two orders in the modified opacity expansion. Left: the zeroth order where only multiple soft scatterings are accounted for (thin wavy vertical lines). Right: The NLO contribution, where one hard scattering is included (thick wavy line) while multiple soft scatterings are resummed to all orders.

of parameters is such that the medium is dense: $Q_s^2 = \hat{q}L \simeq 6 \text{ GeV}^2$, and the opacity parameter that enters the Coulomb logarithm is much larger than one, $Q_s^2/\mu^2 \simeq 67$. It is also motivated by phenomenological studies [38]. In this situation, the BDMPS spectrum leads to a larger LPM suppression than the GLV spectrum in the soft sector, $\omega < \omega_c$, its regime of validity.

4 Twist expansion in the Molière approximation

In order to have a complete description of medium-induced gluon radiation our approach is to expand around the harmonic oscillator, such that the leading order reproduces the BDMPS approximation

$$\omega \frac{dI^{(0)}}{d\omega} \equiv \omega \frac{dI_{\text{HO}}}{d\omega}, \quad (4.1)$$

and the next-to-leading order (NLO), $dI^{(1)}$, accounts for the single hard scattering limit as depicted in figure 3.

To do so, we assume that $x_\perp \sim Q$, where the transverse scale Q is related to the typical transverse momentum broadening of the gluon during the radiation process (cf. eq. (3.34)) and decompose the scattering potential as follows:

$$v(t, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{4} \hat{q}_0(t) \mathbf{x}^2 \left(\ln \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2} + \ln \frac{1}{\mathbf{x}^2 Q^2} \right) \equiv v_{\text{HO}}(t, \mathbf{x}) + v_{\text{pert}}(t, \mathbf{x}), \quad (4.2)$$

and treat $v_{\text{pert}}(t, \mathbf{x})$ as a perturbation assuming

$$\ln \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2} \gg \ln \frac{1}{\mathbf{x}^2 Q^2}. \quad (4.3)$$

4.1 Next-to-leading order

The Green's function $\mathcal{K}$ to leading order (LO) obeys the equation (see also eq. (3.22))

$$\left[i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2}{2\omega} + i v_{\text{HO}}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right] \mathcal{K}_{\text{HO}}(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{y}, t_1) = i \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \delta(t - t_1). \quad (4.4)$$

The NLO obeys the inhomogeneous equation

$$\left[i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2}{2\omega} + i v_{\text{HO}}(\mathbf{x}) \right] \mathcal{K}_{\text{pert}}(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{y}, t_1) = -i v_{\text{pert}}(\mathbf{x}) \mathcal{K}_{\text{HO}}(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{y}, t_1). \quad (4.5)$$

Using eq. (4.4) together with eq. (4.5), we can readily write

$$\mathcal{K}_{\text{pert}}(\mathbf{x}, t_2 | \mathbf{y}, t_1) = - \int d^2 \mathbf{z} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} ds \mathcal{K}_{\text{HO}}(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{z}, s) v_{\text{pert}}(\mathbf{z}, s) \mathcal{K}_{\text{HO}}(\mathbf{z}, s | \mathbf{y}, t_1). \quad (4.6)$$

Making use of the form (3.31) we can perform the transverse derivatives at the endpoints yielding

$$\partial_y \mathcal{K}_{\text{HO}}(\mathbf{z}, s | \mathbf{y}, t_1) \Big|_{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{0}} = - \frac{\omega^2}{2\pi S^2(s, t_1)} \mathbf{z} \exp \left[i \frac{\omega}{2} \frac{C(t_1, s)}{S(s, t_1)} \mathbf{z}^2 \right]. \quad (4.7)$$

To integrate over t_1 we shall use eq. (3.38). Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^s dt_1 \partial_y \mathcal{K}_{\text{HO}}(\mathbf{z}, s | \mathbf{y}, t_1) \Big|_{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{0}} &= - \int_0^s dt_1 \frac{\omega^2}{2\pi S^2(s, t_1)} \mathbf{z} \exp \left[-i \frac{\omega}{2} \frac{C(t_1, s)}{S(t_1, s)} \mathbf{z}^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{i\omega}{\pi \mathbf{z}^2} \int_0^s dt_1 \frac{-i\omega}{2} \mathbf{z}^2 \partial_{t_1} \left(\frac{C(t_1, s)}{S(t_1, s)} \right) \mathbf{z} \exp \left[-i \frac{\omega}{2} \frac{C(t_1, s)}{S(t_1, s)} \mathbf{z}^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{i\omega}{\pi} \frac{\mathbf{z}}{\mathbf{z}^2} \left(\exp \left[-i \frac{\omega}{2} \frac{C(t_1, s)}{S(t_1, s)} \mathbf{z}^2 \right] \right)_{t_1=0}^{t_1=s} \\ &= - \frac{i\omega}{\pi} \frac{\mathbf{z}}{\mathbf{z}^2} \exp \left[-i \frac{\omega}{2} \frac{C(0, s)}{S(0, s)} \mathbf{z}^2 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where we have dropped the rapidly oscillating phase at $s = t_1$ in the last line. The other factor is evaluated in a similar fashion:

$$\partial_x \mathcal{K}_{\text{HO}}(\mathbf{x}, t_2 | \mathbf{z}, s) \Big|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}} = - \frac{\omega^2}{2\pi S^2(t_2, s)} \mathbf{z} \exp \left[i \frac{\omega}{2} \frac{C(t_2, s)}{S(t_2, s)} \mathbf{z}^2 \right] \quad (4.9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_s^\infty dt_2 \partial_x \mathcal{K}_{\text{HO}}(\mathbf{x}, t_2 | \mathbf{z}, s) \Big|_{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{0}} &= - \int_s^\infty dt_2 \frac{\omega^2}{2\pi S^2(t_2, s)} \mathbf{z} \exp \left[i \frac{\omega}{2} \frac{C(t_2, s)}{S(t_2, s)} \mathbf{z}^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{-i\omega}{\pi \mathbf{z}^2} \int_s^\infty dt_2 \frac{i\omega}{2} \mathbf{z}^2 \partial_{t_2} \left(\frac{C(t_2, s)}{S(t_2, s)} \right) \mathbf{z} \exp \left[i \frac{\omega}{2} \frac{C(t_2, s)}{S(t_2, s)} \mathbf{z}^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{-i\omega}{\pi} \frac{\mathbf{z}}{\mathbf{z}^2} \left(\exp \left[i \frac{\omega}{2} \frac{C(t_2, s)}{S(t_2, s)} \mathbf{z}^2 \right] \right)_{t_2=s}^{t_2=\infty} \\ &= \frac{-i\omega}{\pi} \frac{\mathbf{z}}{\mathbf{z}^2} \exp \left[i \frac{\omega}{2} \frac{C(\infty, s)}{S(\infty, s)} \mathbf{z}^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Putting the two pieces together, we obtain

$$\omega \frac{dI^{(1)}}{d\omega} = \frac{\alpha_s C_R}{\pi^2} 2\text{Re} \int_0^\infty ds \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{z}}{\mathbf{z}^2} v_{\text{pert}}(\mathbf{z}, s) \exp \left\{ -i \frac{\omega}{2} \left[\frac{C(0, s)}{S(0, s)} - \frac{C(\infty, s)}{S(\infty, s)} \right] \mathbf{z}^2 \right\}. \quad (4.11)$$

Now, we would like to rewrite $C(\infty, s)$ and $S(\infty, s)$ as a superposition of other solution to the wave equation [37]:

$$\begin{aligned} S(t, t_1) &= C(t_1, t_0)S(t, t_0) - S(t_1, t_0)C(t, t_0), \\ C(t, t_1) &= -\partial_{t_1}C(t_1, t_0)S(t, t_0) - \partial_{t_1}S(t_1, t_0)C(t, t_0). \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Letting $t = \infty$, $t_1 = s$ and $t_0 = L$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} S(\infty, s) &= C(s, L)S(\infty, L) - S(s, L)C(\infty, L) \\ C(\infty, s) &= -\partial_s C(s, L)S(\infty, L) + \partial_s S(s, L)C(\infty, L) \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

In vacuum, $S(\infty, L) = \infty$. As a result only the first terms contribute to the ratio:

$$\frac{C(\infty, s)}{S(\infty, s)} = -\frac{\partial_s C(s, L)}{C(s, L)} = \Omega^2(s) \frac{S(s, L)}{C(s, L)}. \quad (4.14)$$

Defining the ratio functions:

$$\text{Cot}(t, t_0) = \frac{C(t, t_0)}{S(t, t_0)}, \quad \text{Tan}(t, t_0) = \frac{S(t, t_0)}{C(t, t_0)}, \quad (4.15)$$

we can further simplify the exponential in eq. (4.11)

$$\exp \left\{ -i \frac{\omega}{2} \left[\frac{C(0, s)}{S(0, s)} - \frac{C(\infty, s)}{S(\infty, s)} \right] z^2 \right\} = \exp \left[-i \frac{\omega}{2} (\text{Cot}(0, s) - \Omega^2(s) \text{Tan}(s, L)) z^2 \right]. \quad (4.16)$$

In the case of the brick, that is, $n(t) = n\Theta(L - t)$, we obtain

$$\exp \left[-i \frac{\omega \Omega}{2} (\cot(\Omega s) - \tan(\Omega(L - s))) z^2 \right] \quad (4.17)$$

In terms of the quantity

$$k^2(s) = i \frac{\omega \Omega}{2} (\cot(\Omega s) - \tan(\Omega(L - s))). \quad (4.18)$$

Eq. (4.11) reads

$$\omega \frac{dI^{(1)}}{d\omega} = \frac{\alpha_s C_R}{\pi^2} 2\text{Re} \int_0^\infty ds \int \frac{d^2 z}{z^2} v_{\text{pert}}(z, s) e^{-k^2(s)z^2}. \quad (4.19)$$

After making the following change of variables, $x = z^2 Q^2$, $d^2 z = (\pi/Q^2)dx$, the integration over x can be performed noting that

$$\int_0^\infty dx \ln \frac{1}{x} e^{-ax} = \frac{1}{a} [\ln(a) + \gamma], \quad (4.20)$$

where $\gamma \approx 0.577$, is the Euler-Mascheroni constant.

As a final result, we find for the NLO contribution

$$\omega \frac{dI^{(1)}}{d\omega} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\alpha} \hat{q}_0 \text{Re} \int_0^L ds \frac{1}{k^2(s)} \left[\ln \frac{k^2(s)}{Q^2} + \gamma \right]. \quad (4.21)$$

Figure 4. The medium-induced spectrum to NLO in the expansion around the harmonic oscillator. The leading order (order 0) corresponds to the BDMPS spectrum (dotted) and the first correction (order 1) accounts for to single hard scattering regime (dotted-dashed). The total spectrum is represented by a blue solid line. Numerical values of the parameters are the same as in figure 2.

For completeness, let us show that we recover the GLV result at large frequency. When $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\tan(\Omega(L-s)) \rightarrow 0$ and $\cot(\Omega s) \rightarrow (\Omega s)^{-1}$. It follows that $k^2(s) \approx i\omega/2s$. Hence,

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{Re} \int_0^L ds \frac{1}{k^2(s)} \left[\ln \frac{k^2(s)}{Q^2} + \gamma \right] \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{L^2}{\omega}, \quad (4.22)$$

which yields the UV limit of eq. (3.18).

In the IR, $\Omega \rightarrow (1-i)\infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow 0$, and as result, $k^2(s) \rightarrow i\omega\Omega$. Therefore, eq. (4.21) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \frac{dI^{(1)}}{d\omega} &\simeq \frac{1}{2} \bar{\alpha} \hat{q}_0 \text{Re} \int_0^L ds \frac{2}{(1+i)\sqrt{\omega\hat{q}}} \left[\ln \frac{(1+i)\sqrt{\omega\hat{q}}}{2Q^2} + \gamma \right], \\ &\sim \bar{\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{q}L^2}{\omega}} \left(\frac{\hat{q}_0}{\hat{q}} \right), \\ &\sim \omega \frac{dI^{(0)}}{d\omega} \left(\frac{\hat{q}_0}{\hat{q}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

where we have used that $Q^2 \sim \sqrt{\hat{q}\omega}$.

This implies that in the soft regime the NLO exhibits the same scaling as the LO, i.e., $\omega^{-1/2}$, but is suppressed by one power of the Coulomb logarithm: $\hat{q}_0/\hat{q} \sim \ln^{-1}(\sqrt{\omega\hat{q}}/\mu^2)$ (cf. figures 4 and 5).

Figure 5. The medium-induced spectrum to NLO in the expansion around the harmonic oscillator (BDMPS) (blue solid line). The blue band represents the variation of the IR transverse scale between $\mu^2/2$ and $2\mu^2$, $\mu = 0.3 \text{ GeV}$ being the central value. The GLV spectrum (dashed) is also plotted for comparison.

4.2 Numerical results

To summarize our results, the total spectrum reads

$$\omega \frac{dI}{d\omega} \simeq 2\bar{\alpha} \ln |\cos(\Omega L)| + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\alpha} \hat{q}_0 \text{Re} \int_0^L ds \frac{1}{\bar{k}^2(s)} \left[\ln \frac{k^2(s)}{Q^2} + \gamma \right], \quad (4.24)$$

where

$$Q^2 \simeq \sqrt{\omega \hat{q}(Q^2)} \equiv \sqrt{\omega \hat{q}_0 \ln(Q^2/\mu^2)} \simeq \sqrt{\omega \hat{q}_0 \ln(\sqrt{\omega \hat{q}_0}/\mu^2)} \quad (4.25)$$

and Ω is given by eq. (3.21).

Eq. (4.24) is our main result. It is plotted in figure 4, where we can see that the BDMPS approximation (order 0) dominates below $\omega_c \sim \hat{q}L^2$ and the spectrum exhibits the characteristic $\omega^{-1/2}$ scaling, while in the UV the single hard scattering is the leading contribution. In figure 5 we compare our full result to the GLV spectrum and observe that they agree for $\omega \gg \omega_c$. We have also varied the IR transverse cut-off μ^2 , that appears in the Coulomb logarithm, by factors of 2 and 1/2 to gauge the sensitivity of the spectrum for $\omega \gg \omega_{\text{BH}}$ to modeling of the elastic cross-section $d\sigma_{\text{el}}(q_\perp)$ when $q_\perp \sim \mu$ as illustrated in eq. (3.4) and eq. (3.5). We obtain about $\pm 20\%$ variation in the multiple soft scattering regime, while in the UV the difference is not significant. The latter is to be expected since the transverse momentum is cut-off from below by the large transverse scale $\sqrt{\omega/L}$.

5 Generalization to finite gluon energy

So far, we have only addressed the case of soft gluon radiation for simplicity. Our approach, however, holds for arbitrary gluon frequency. As function of the energy fraction $z \equiv \omega/E$, the generalization beyond the soft gluon reads

$$z \frac{dI}{dz} = \frac{\alpha_s z P(z)}{[z(1-z)E]^2} \operatorname{Re} \int_0^\infty dt_2 \int_0^{t_2} dt_1 \partial_x \cdot \partial_y \left[\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{x}, t_2 | \mathbf{y}, t_1) - \mathcal{K}_0(\mathbf{x}, t_2 | \mathbf{y}, t_1) \right]_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{0}} \quad (5.1)$$

where $P(z) \equiv P_{gR}(z)$ is the Altarelli-Parisi splitting function for a parton in representation R radiating a gluon with energy fraction z . Here, the Green's function $\mathcal{K}$ solves the following Schrödinger equation:

$$\left[i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2}{2z(1-z)E} + iv(\mathbf{x}, z) \right] \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{y}, t_1) = i\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})\delta(t - t_1), \quad (5.2)$$

where the scattering potential reads

$$v(\mathbf{x}, z) \equiv \frac{1}{2} N_c \sigma(\mathbf{x}) + \left(C_R - \frac{1}{2} N_c \right) \sigma(z\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma((1-z)\mathbf{x}), \quad (5.3)$$

with

$$\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \int_{\mathbf{q}} \frac{d\sigma_{\text{el}}}{d^2\mathbf{q}} (1 - e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{x}}). \quad (5.4)$$

Introducing once again the separation scale Q^2 that we shall estimate shortly, we can split the above potential into a leading logarithmic contribution to be resummed to all orders and a perturbative piece

$$v(\mathbf{x}, z) = v_{\text{HO}}(\mathbf{x}, z) + v_{\text{pert}}(\mathbf{x}, z), \quad (5.5)$$

where

$$v_{\text{HO}}(\mathbf{x}, z) \simeq \frac{1}{8} \hat{q}_0 \mathbf{x}^2 \left[1 + \left(\frac{2C_R}{N_c} - 1 \right) z^2 + (1-z)^2 \right] \ln \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2} \equiv \frac{1}{4} \hat{q}_{\text{eff}} \mathbf{x}^2, \quad (5.6)$$

We have introduced the effective transport coefficient

$$\hat{q}_{\text{eff}}(Q^2) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \hat{q}_0 \left[1 + \left(\frac{2C_R}{N_c} - 1 \right) z^2 + (1-z)^2 \right] \ln \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \quad (5.7)$$

where we have generalized to arbitrary flavors adopting the notations leading to eqs. (5.5) and (5.6) in [20]. The typical branching time reads

$$t_{\text{br}} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{z(1-z)E}{\hat{q}_{\text{eff}}}}. \quad (5.8)$$

This allows to estimate the transverse scale Q^2 as follows

$$Q^2 \equiv \hat{q}_{\text{eff}} t_{\text{br}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} z(1-z)E \hat{q}_0 \left[1 + \left(\frac{2C_R}{N_c} - 1 \right) z^2 + (1-z)^2 \right] \ln \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}}. \quad (5.9)$$

Note that when $z = \omega/E \rightarrow 0$, we recover the soft limit $Q^2 \sim \sqrt{\omega \hat{q}_0 \ln(Q^2/\mu^2)}$. The perturbation part of the scattering potential reads and

$$v_{\text{pert}}(\mathbf{x}, z) \simeq \frac{1}{8} \hat{q}_0 \mathbf{x}^2 \left[\ln \frac{1}{\mathbf{x}^2 Q^2} + \left(\frac{2C_R}{N_c} - 1 \right) z^2 \ln \frac{1}{z^2 \mathbf{x}^2 Q^2} + (1-z)^2 \ln \frac{1}{(1-z)^2 \mathbf{x}^2 Q^2} \right], \quad (5.10)$$

Now, using the results obtained for the soft limit it is straightforward to generalize to finite z . Modulo the Altarelli-Parisi splitting, the leading order is obtained by making the substitution $\omega \rightarrow z(1-z)E$ and $\hat{q} \rightarrow \hat{q}_{\text{eff}}$,

$$z \frac{dI^{(0)}}{dz} = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} z P(z) \ln |\cos \Omega L| \quad (5.11)$$

where

$$\Omega = \frac{i-1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{q}_{\text{eff}}(z, Q^2)}{z(1-z)E}}. \quad (5.12)$$

The NLO is composed of three terms corresponding to the three terms in eq. (5.10). By introducing the function

$$F(x) \equiv \frac{1}{x} [\ln(x) + \gamma], \quad (5.13)$$

we can write the correction to the HO as follows

$$z \frac{dI^{(1)}}{dz} = \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} z P(z) \frac{\hat{q}_0}{Q^2} \text{Re} \int_0^L ds \left\{ F \left[\frac{k(s)}{Q^2} \right] + F \left[\frac{k(s)}{z^2 Q^2} \right] + F \left[\frac{k(s)}{(1-z)^2 Q^2} \right] \right\}, \quad (5.14)$$

with again

$$k^2(s) = i \frac{\omega \Omega}{2} (\cot(\Omega s) - \tan(\Omega(L-s))). \quad (5.15)$$

6 Summary and outlook

Medium-induced gluon radiation in a dense QCD medium is at the core of jet-quenching physics. A part from numerical solutions, the spectrum is analytically known only in limiting cases. At low frequency, the scattering potential can be approximated by that of a harmonic oscillator by neglecting the variation of the Coulomb logarithm. This approximation, where multiple scatterings can be resummed to all orders, leads to a Gaussian distribution for transverse coordinates and momenta. As a result, the physics of hard scattering, characterized by the power spectrum $k_{\perp}^{-4}$, is not correctly accounted for and the approximation fails for $\omega \gg \omega_c$.

In this work, we have developed a new approach to analytically account for both limits. It consists in expanding the radiation spectrum around the harmonic oscillator (BDMPS approximation) and we have shown that the NLO encompasses the UV limit of leading order in opacity expansion (GLV approximation). We have in particular demonstrated that this method is suited for analytic calculations and allows for systematically compute higher orders.

We have focused our discussion on the energy spectrum integrated over transverse momentum. In a future work, we plan to extend this approach by including transverse momentum dependence. Another improvement is account for the Bethe-Heitler regime by going beyond the leading-logarithmic approximation and take into account power corrections.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank K. Tywoniuk for fruitful discussions and careful reading of the manuscript. This work is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics, under contract No. DE-SC0012704, and in part by Laboratory Directed Research and Development (LDRD) funds from Brookhaven Science Associates.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] J.D. Bjorken, *Energy Loss of Energetic Partons in Quark-Gluon Plasma: Possible Extinction of High $p(t)$ Jets in Hadron-Hadron Collisions*, FERMILAB-PUB-82-059-THY, FERMILAB-PUB-82-059-T, [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] PHENIX collaboration, *Suppression of hadrons with large transverse momentum in central Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 130$ GeV*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88** (2002) 022301 [[nucl-ex/0109003](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] STAR collaboration, *Disappearance of back-to-back high p_T hadron correlations in central Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90** (2003) 082302 [[nucl-ex/0210033](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] ATLAS collaboration, *Observation of a Centrality-Dependent Dijet Asymmetry in Lead-Lead Collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.77$ TeV with the ATLAS Detector at the LHC*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** (2010) 252303 [[arXiv:1011.6182](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] CMS collaboration, *Observation and studies of jet quenching in PbPb collisions at nucleon-nucleon center-of-mass energy = 2.76 TeV*, *Phys. Rev. C* **84** (2011) 024906 [[arXiv:1102.1957](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] R. Baier, Y.L. Dokshitzer, A.H. Mueller, S. Peigné and D. Schiff, *Radiative energy loss and p_T broadening of high-energy partons in nuclei*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **484** (1997) 265 [[hep-ph/9608322](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] R. Baier, Y.L. Dokshitzer, A.H. Mueller, S. Peigné and D. Schiff, *Radiative energy loss of high-energy quarks and gluons in a finite volume quark-gluon plasma*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **483** (1997) 291 [[hep-ph/9607355](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] B.G. Zakharov, *Fully quantum treatment of the Landau-Pomeranchuk-Migdal effect in QED and QCD*, *JETP Lett.* **63** (1996) 952 [[hep-ph/9607440](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] B.G. Zakharov, *Radiative energy loss of high-energy quarks in finite size nuclear matter and quark-gluon plasma*, *JETP Lett.* **65** (1997) 615 [[hep-ph/9704255](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [10] P.B. Arnold, G.D. Moore and L.G. Yaffe, *Photon and gluon emission in relativistic plasmas*, *JHEP* **06** (2002) 030 [[hep-ph/0204343](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] Y. Mehtar-Tani, J.G. Milhano and K. Tywoniuk, *Jet physics in heavy-ion collisions*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **28** (2013) 1340013 [[arXiv:1302.2579](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] J.-P. Blaizot and Y. Mehtar-Tani, *Jet Structure in Heavy Ion Collisions*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E* **24** (2015) 1530012 [[arXiv:1503.05958](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] L.D. Landau and I. Pomeranchuk, *Electron cascade process at very high-energies*, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ser. Fiz.* **92** (1953) 735.
- [14] A.B. Migdal, *Bremsstrahlung and pair production in condensed media at high-energies*, *Phys. Rev.* **103** (1956) 1811 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] S. Caron-Huot and C. Gale, *Finite-size effects on the radiative energy loss of a fast parton in hot and dense strongly interacting matter*, *Phys. Rev. C* **82** (2010) 064902 [[arXiv:1006.2379](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] W. Ke, Y. Xu and S.A. Bass, *Modeling of quantum-coherence effects in parton radiative energy loss*, [arXiv:1810.08177](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [17] B. Schenke, C. Gale and S. Jeon, *MARTINI: An event generator for relativistic heavy-ion collisions*, *Phys. Rev. C* **80** (2009) 054913 [[arXiv:0909.2037](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] K. Zapp, G. Ingelman, J. Rathsmann, J. Stachel and U.A. Wiedemann, *A Monte Carlo Model for ‘Jet Quenching’*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **60** (2009) 617 [[arXiv:0804.3568](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] U.A. Wiedemann, *Gluon radiation off hard quarks in a nuclear environment: Opacity expansion*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **588** (2000) 303 [[hep-ph/0005129](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] J.-P. Blaizot, F. Dominguez, E. Iancu and Y. Mehtar-Tani, *Medium-induced gluon branching*, *JHEP* **01** (2013) 143 [[arXiv:1209.4585](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] L. Apolinário, N. Armesto, J.G. Milhano and C.A. Salgado, *Medium-induced gluon radiation and colour decoherence beyond the soft approximation*, *JHEP* **02** (2015) 119 [[arXiv:1407.0599](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] S. Jeon and G.D. Moore, *Energy loss of leading partons in a thermal QCD medium*, *Phys. Rev. C* **71** (2005) 034901 [[hep-ph/0309332](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [23] J.-P. Blaizot, F. Dominguez, E. Iancu and Y. Mehtar-Tani, *Probabilistic picture for medium-induced jet evolution*, *JHEP* **06** (2014) 075 [[arXiv:1311.5823](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [24] J.-P. Blaizot, E. Iancu and Y. Mehtar-Tani, *Medium-induced QCD cascade: democratic branching and wave turbulence*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (2013) 052001 [[arXiv:1301.6102](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [25] M. Gyulassy, P. Levai and I. Vitev, *Reaction operator approach to nonAbelian energy loss*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **594** (2001) 371 [[nucl-th/0006010](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [26] G. Ovanessian and I. Vitev, *Medium-induced parton splitting kernels from Soft Collinear Effective Theory with Glauber gluons*, *Phys. Lett. B* **706** (2012) 371 [[arXiv:1109.5619](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] M.D. Sievert and I. Vitev, *Quark branching in QCD matter to any order in opacity beyond the soft gluon emission limit*, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) 094010 [[arXiv:1807.03799](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [28] X.-N. Wang and X.-f. Guo, *Multiple parton scattering in nuclei: Parton energy loss*, *Nucl. Phys. A* **696** (2001) 788 [[hep-ph/0102230](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [29] G. Molière, *Theorie der Streuung schneller geladener Teilchen II Mehrfach- und Vielfachstreuung*, *Z. Naturforsch.* **3a** (1948) 78.
- [30] E. Iancu, K. Itakura and D.N. Triantafyllopoulos, *Cronin effect and high p -perpendicular suppression in the nuclear gluon distribution at small x* , *Nucl. Phys. A* **742** (2004) 182 [[hep-ph/0403103](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [31] P. Aurenche, F. Gelis and H. Zaraket, *A simple sum rule for the thermal gluon spectral function and applications*, *JHEP* **05** (2002) 043 [[hep-ph/0204146](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [32] X.-N. Wang and M. Gyulassy, *Gluon shadowing and jet quenching in $A + A$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68** (1992) 1480 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [33] C.A. Salgado and U.A. Wiedemann, *Calculating quenching weights*, *Phys. Rev. D* **68** (2003) 014008 [[hep-ph/0302184](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [34] N. Armesto, C.A. Salgado and U.A. Wiedemann, *Medium induced gluon radiation off massive quarks fills the dead cone*, *Phys. Rev. D* **69** (2004) 114003 [[hep-ph/0312106](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [35] R. Baier, Y.L. Dokshitzer, A.H. Mueller and D. Schiff, *Radiative energy loss of high-energy partons traversing an expanding QCD plasma*, *Phys. Rev. C* **58** (1998) 1706 [[hep-ph/9803473](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [36] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun eds., *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Dover Publ., New York, U.S.A., (1965).
- [37] P.B. Arnold, *Simple Formula for High-Energy Gluon Bremsstrahlung in a Finite, Expanding Medium*, *Phys. Rev. D* **79** (2009) 065025 [[arXiv:0808.2767](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [38] JET collaboration, *Extracting the jet transport coefficient from jet quenching in high-energy heavy-ion collisions*, *Phys. Rev. C* **90** (2014) 014909 [[arXiv:1312.5003](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [39] P.B. Arnold and C. Dogan, *QCD Splitting/Joining Functions at Finite Temperature in the Deep LPM Regime*, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 065008 [[arXiv:0804.3359](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].