Published for SISSA by 🖄 Springer

RECEIVED: November 18, 2014 REVISED: June 9, 2015 ACCEPTED: July 1, 2015 PUBLISHED: July 23, 2015

# The study of lepton EDM in CP violating BLMSSM

Shu-Min Zhao,<sup>a</sup> Tai-Fu Feng,<sup>a</sup> Xi-Jie Zhan,<sup>a</sup> Hai-Bin Zhang<sup>a,b</sup> and Ben Yan<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Physics, Hebei University, Baoding 071002, China
<sup>b</sup>Department of Physics, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, China
E-mail: zhaosm@hbu.edu.cn, fengtf@hbu.edu.cn, zhanxijie@gmail.com, hibzhang@163.com, Yb118sdfz@163.com

ABSTRACT: In the supersymmetric model with local gauged baryon and lepton numbers (BLMSSM), the CP violating effects are considered to study the lepton electric dipole moment (EDM). The CP violating phases in BLMSSM are more than those in the standard model (SM) and can give large contributions. The analysis of the EDMs for the leptons  $e, \mu, \tau$  is shown in this work. It is in favour of exploring the source of CP violation and probing the physics beyond SM.

KEYWORDS: Beyond Standard Model, Extended Supersymmetry, CP violation

ARXIV EPRINT: 1411.4210





# Contents

1	Introduction	1
<b>2</b>	The BLMSSM	2
3	Formulation	5
4	The numerical results	7
	4.1 The electron EDM	8
	4.2 The muon EDM	10
	4.3 The tau EDM	12
<b>5</b>	Discussion and conclusion	14

# 1 Introduction

The theoretical predictions for EDMs of leptons and neutron are very small in SM. The estimated SM value for the electron EDM is about  $|d_e| \simeq 10^{-38} e.cm$  [1, 2], which is too small to be detected by the current experiments. The ACME Collaboration [3] report the new result of electron EDM  $d_e = (-2.1 \pm 3.7_{\text{stat}} \pm 2.5_{\text{syst}}) \times 10^{-29} e.cm$ . The upper bound of electron EDM is  $|d_e| < 8.7 \times 10^{-29} e.cm$  at the 90% confidence level. Therefore, if large EDM of electron is probed, one can ensure it is the sinal of new physics beyond SM.  $|d_{\mu}| < 1.9 \times 10^{-19} e.cm$  and  $|d_{\tau}| < 10^{-17} e.cm$  are the EDM upper bounds of  $\mu$  and  $\tau$  respectively [4–6]. The minimal supersymmetric extension of SM (MSSM) [7–10] is very attractive and physicists have studied it for a long time. In MSSM, there are a lot of CP violating phases and they can give large contributions to the EDMs of leptons and neutron.

In MSSM, when the CP violating phases are of normal size and the SUSY particles are at TeV scale, big EDMs of elementary particles are obtained, and they can exceed the current experiment limits. Three approaches are used to resolve this problem. 1. make the CP violating phases small, i.e.  $O(10^{-2})$ . That is the so called tuning. 2. use mass suppression through making SUSY particles heavy(several TeV). 3. there is cancellation mechanism among the different components. For lepton EDM and neutron EDM, the main parts of chargino and the neutralino contributions are cancelled [11, 12].

BLMSSM is the minimal supersymmetric extension of the SM with local gauged B and L [13, 14]. Therefore, it can explain both the asymmetry of matter-antimatter in the universe and the data from neutrino oscillation experiment. We consider that BLMSSM is a favorite model beyond MSSM. Extending SM, the authors study the model with B and L as spontaneously broken gauge symmetries around TeV scale [15, 16]. The lightest CP-even Higgs mass and the decays  $h^0 \to \gamma\gamma$ ,  $h^0 \to ZZ(WW)$  are also studied in this model [17]. In our previous works [18, 19], we study the neutron EDM and  $B^0 - \overline{B}^0$  mixing in CP violating BLMSSM.

Research the MDMs [20–22] and EDMs [23–28] of leptons are the effective ways to probe new physics beyond the SM. In MSSM, the one-loop contributions to lepton MDM and EDM are well studied, and some two loop corrections are also investigated. In the two Higgs doublet models with CP violation, the authors obtain the one-loop and Barr-Zee type two-loop contributions to fermionic EDMs. A model-independent study of  $d_e$  in the SM is carried out [29, 30]. They take into account the right-handed neutrinos, the neutrino see-saw mechanism and the framework of minimal flavor violation. Their results show that when neutrinos are Majorana particles,  $d_e$  can reach its experiment upper bound.

After this introduction, in section 2 we briefly introduce the main ingredients of the BLMSSM. The one-loop corrections to the lepton EDM are collected in section 3. section 4 is devoted to the numerical analysis for the dependence of lepton EDM on the BLMSSM parameters. We show our discussion and conclusion in section 5.

# 2 The BLMSSM

The local gauge group of BLMSSM [13, 14] is  $SU(3)_C \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y \otimes U(1)_B \otimes U(1)_L$ , where the exotic leptons are introduced to cancel L anomaly. Similarly, they introduce the exotic quarks to cancel the B anomaly. In this work, the quarks, exotic quarks and exotic leptons have none one-loop contribution to lepton EDM, so we do not introduce them in detail. The Higgs mechanism is of solid foundation, because of the detection of the lightest CP even Higgs  $h^0$  at LHC [31–33]. The Higgs superfields are used to break lepton number spontaneously, and they need nonzero vacuum expectation values (VEVs).

The superpotential of BLMSSM is shown as

$$\mathcal{W}_{\text{BLMSSM}} = \mathcal{W}_{\text{MSSM}} + \mathcal{W}_B + \mathcal{W}_L + \mathcal{W}_X . \tag{2.1}$$

Here,  $\mathcal{W}_{\text{MSSM}}$  represents the superpotential of the MSSM. The concrete forms of  $\mathcal{W}_B$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_L$  and  $\mathcal{W}_X$  are shown in the work [17].  $\mathcal{W}_L$  includes the needed new term  $\mathcal{W}_L(n)$ , which is collected here

$$\mathcal{W}_L(n) = Y_\nu \hat{L} \hat{H}_u \hat{N}^c + \lambda_{N^c} \hat{N}^c \hat{N}^c \hat{\varphi}_L + \mu_L \hat{\Phi}_L \hat{\varphi}_L .$$

$$(2.2)$$

In BLMSSM, the complete soft breaking terms are very complex [17, 18], and only the terms relating with lepton are necessary for our study

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{soft}}(L) = -m_{\tilde{N}^c}^2 \tilde{N}^{c*} \tilde{N}^c - m_{\Phi_L}^2 \Phi_L^* \Phi_L - m_{\varphi_L}^2 \varphi_L^* \varphi_L - \left( M_L \lambda_L \lambda_L + \text{h.c.} \right) + \left( A_N Y_{\nu} \tilde{L} H_u \tilde{N}^c + A_{N^c} \lambda_{N^c} \tilde{N}^c \varphi_L + B_L \mu_L \Phi_L \varphi_L + \text{h.c.} \right).$$
(2.3)

In order to break the local gauge symmetry  $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L \otimes \mathrm{U}(1)_Y \otimes \mathrm{U}(1)_B \otimes \mathrm{U}(1)_L$  down to the electromagnetic symmetry  $\mathrm{U}(1)_e$ , the  $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$  doublets  $H_u$  and  $H_d$  should obtain nonzero VEVs  $v_u$  and  $v_d$ . While the  $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$  singlets  $\Phi_L$  and  $\varphi_L$  should obtain nonzero VEVs  $v_L$  and  $\overline{v}_L$  respectively. The needed Higgs fields and Higgs superfields are defined as

$$H_{u} = \begin{pmatrix} H_{u}^{+} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( v_{u} + H_{u}^{0} + iP_{u}^{0} \right) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad H_{d} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( v_{d} + H_{d}^{0} + iP_{d}^{0} \right) \\ H_{d}^{-} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad H_{d} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( v_{d} + H_{d}^{0} + iP_{d}^{0} \right) \\ H_{d}^{-} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \varphi_{L} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( v_{L} + \varphi_{L}^{0} + i\overline{P}_{L}^{0} \right). \qquad (2.4)$$

The detailed discussion of Higgs mass matrices can be found in ref. [17]. The super field  $\hat{N}^c$  in BLMSSM leads to that the neutrinos and sneutrinos are doubled as those in MSSM. Through the see-saw mechanism, light neutrinos obtain tiny masses.

In BLMSSM, there are 10 neutralinos: 4 MSSM neutralinos, 3 baryon neutralinos and 3 lepton neutralinos. The MSSM neutralinos, baryon neutralinos and lepton neutralinos do not mix with each other. Baryon neutralinos have zero contribution to the lepton EDM at one-loop level. While, lepton neutralinos can give contributions to lepton EDM through lepton-slepton-lepton neutralino coupling. The three lepton neutralinos are made up of  $\lambda_L$  (the superpartners of the new lepton boson) and  $\psi_{\Phi_L}, \psi_{\varphi_L}$  (the superpartners of the SU(2)<sub>L</sub> singlets  $\Phi_L, \varphi_L$ ). Here, we show the mass term of lepton neutralinos.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\chi_L^0} = \frac{1}{2} (i\lambda_L, \psi_{\Phi_L}, \psi_{\varphi_L}) \begin{pmatrix} 2M_L & 2v_L g_L & -2\bar{v}_L g_L \\ 2v_L g_L & 0 & -\mu_L \\ -2\bar{v}_L g_L & -\mu_L & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} i\lambda_L \\ \psi_{\Phi_L} \\ \psi_{\varphi_L} \end{pmatrix} + \text{h.c.}$$
(2.5)

Three lepton neutralino masses are obtained from diagonalizing the mass mixing matrix in eq. (2.5) by  $Z_{N_L}$ .

Though in BLMSSM there are six sleptons, their mass squared matrix is different from that in MSSM, because of the contributions from eqs. (2.2), (2.3). We deduce the corrected mass squared matrix of slepton, and the matrix  $Z_{\tilde{L}}$  is used to diagonalize it

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\mathcal{M}_L^2)_{LL} & (\mathcal{M}_L^2)_{LR} \\ (\mathcal{M}_L^2)_{LR}^{\dagger} & (\mathcal{M}_L^2)_{RR} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2.6)

 $(\mathcal{M}_L^2)_{LL}, \ (\mathcal{M}_L^2)_{LR} \text{ and } (\mathcal{M}_L^2)_{RR} \text{ are shown as}$ 

$$(\mathcal{M}_{L}^{2})_{LL} = \frac{(g_{1}^{2} - g_{2}^{2})(v_{d}^{2} - v_{u}^{2})}{8} \delta_{IJ} + g_{L}^{2}(\bar{v}_{L}^{2} - v_{L}^{2})\delta_{IJ} + m_{l^{I}}^{2}\delta_{IJ} + (m_{\tilde{L}}^{2})_{IJ},$$

$$(\mathcal{M}_{L}^{2})_{LR} = \frac{\mu^{*}v_{u}}{\sqrt{2}}(Y_{l})_{IJ} - \frac{v_{u}}{\sqrt{2}}(A_{l}')_{IJ} + \frac{v_{d}}{\sqrt{2}}(A_{l})_{IJ},$$

$$(\mathcal{M}_{L}^{2})_{RR} = \frac{g_{1}^{2}(v_{u}^{2} - v_{d}^{2})}{4}\delta_{IJ} - g_{L}^{2}(\bar{v}_{L}^{2} - v_{L}^{2})\delta_{IJ} + m_{l^{I}}^{2}\delta_{IJ} + (m_{\tilde{R}}^{2})_{IJ}.$$

$$(2.7)$$

The super field  $\hat{N}^c$  is introduced in BLMSSM, so the neutrino mass matrix and the sneutrino mass squared matrix are both  $6 \times 6$  and more complicated than those in MSSM. In the left-handed basis  $(\nu, N^c)$ , we deduce the mass matrix of neutrino after symmetry breaking

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}}^{\nu} = (\bar{\nu}_R^I, \bar{N}_R^{cI}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{v_u}{\sqrt{2}} (Y_\nu)_{IJ} \\ \frac{v_u}{\sqrt{2}} (Y_\nu^T)_{IJ} & \frac{\bar{v}_L}{\sqrt{2}} (\lambda_{N^c})_{IJ} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L^J \\ N_L^{cJ} \end{pmatrix} + \text{h.c.}$$
(2.8)

With the unitary transformations

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_{1L}^{I} \\ \nu_{2L}^{I} \end{pmatrix} = U_{\nu^{IJ}}^{\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{L}^{J} \\ N_{L}^{cJ} \end{pmatrix} , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{1R}^{I} \\ \nu_{2R}^{J} \end{pmatrix} = W_{\nu^{IJ}}^{\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{R}^{J} \\ N_{R}^{cJ} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.9)$$

the mass matrix of neutrino is diagonalized as

$$W_{\nu^{IJ}}^{\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{v_u}{\sqrt{2}} (Y_{\nu})_{IJ} \\ \frac{v_u}{\sqrt{2}} (Y_{\nu}^T)_{IJ} & \frac{\bar{v}_L}{\sqrt{2}} (\lambda_{N^c})_{IJ} \end{pmatrix} U_{\nu^{IJ}} = \operatorname{diag}(m_{\nu_1^I}, m_{\nu_2^I}).$$
(2.10)

The trilinear sneutrino-Higgs-sneutrino coupling  $A_{N^c}\lambda_{N^c}\tilde{N}^c\varphi_L$  in the soft breaking terms  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{soft}}(L)$  leads to large sneutrino masses. The VEV of  $\varphi_L$  is  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{v}_L$ , and the contribution from this term to sneutrino masses is at the order of  $A_{N^c}\lambda_{N^c}\bar{v}_L$ . The super potential of BLMSSM includes the new term  $\mathcal{W}_L(n)$ . Then two functions and the scalar supersymmetric potential are shown here

$$F_{i} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial A_{i}}, \qquad D^{a} = gA_{i}^{*}T_{ij}^{a}A_{j},$$
$$V = \frac{1}{2}D^{a}D^{a} + F_{i}^{*}F_{i}, \qquad (2.11)$$

where  $A_i$  represent the scalar fields. The first term  $Y_{\nu}\hat{L}\hat{H}_u\hat{N}^c$  in  $\mathcal{W}_L(n)$  is suppressed by  $Y_{\nu}$ . Using the formula eq. (2), the second term  $\lambda_{N^c}\hat{N}^c\hat{\varphi}_L$  in  $\mathcal{W}_L(n)$  can give important contributions to large sneutrino masses through nonzero VEV of Higgs superfield  $\varphi_L$ . This type correction is at the order of  $\lambda_{N^c}^*\lambda_{N^c}\bar{v}_L^2$ . The orders of both dominant contributions respectively are  $A_{N^c}\lambda_{N^c}\bar{v}_L$  and  $\lambda_{N^c}^*\lambda_{N^c}\bar{v}_L^2$ , that are much larger than the product of neutrino Yukawa and SUSY scale. The mass squared matrix of the sneutrino is obtained from the superpotential and the soft breaking terms from eqs. (2.2)(2.3),

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{n}}^{\text{mass}} = \tilde{n}^{\dagger} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{n}}^2 \cdot \tilde{n}, \qquad (2.12)$$

with  $\tilde{n}^T = (\tilde{\nu}, \tilde{N}^{c*})$ . The sneutrinos are enlarged by the superfield  $\hat{N}^c$  and the mass squared matrix of sneutrino reads as

$$\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{n}}^{2}(\tilde{\nu}_{I}^{*}\tilde{\nu}_{J}) = \frac{g_{1}^{2} + g_{2}^{2}}{8} (v_{d}^{2} - v_{u}^{2})\delta_{IJ} + g_{L}^{2}(\overline{v}_{L}^{2} - v_{L}^{2})\delta_{IJ} + \frac{v_{u}^{2}}{2} (Y_{\nu}^{\dagger}Y_{\nu})_{IJ} + (m_{\tilde{L}}^{2})_{IJ},$$
  

$$\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{n}}^{2}(\tilde{N}_{I}^{c*}\tilde{N}_{J}^{c}) = -g_{L}^{2}(\overline{v}_{L}^{2} - v_{L}^{2})\delta_{IJ} + \frac{v_{u}^{2}}{2} (Y_{\nu}^{\dagger}Y_{\nu})_{IJ} + 2\overline{v}_{L}^{2}(\lambda_{N^{c}}^{\dagger}\lambda_{N^{c}})_{IJ} + (m_{\tilde{N}^{c}}^{2})_{IJ} + \mu_{L}\frac{v_{L}}{\sqrt{2}}(\lambda_{N^{c}})_{IJ} - \frac{\overline{v}_{L}}{\sqrt{2}}(A_{N^{c}})_{IJ}(\lambda_{N^{c}})_{IJ},$$
  

$$\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{n}}^{2}(\tilde{\nu}_{I}\tilde{N}_{J}^{c}) = \mu^{*}\frac{v_{d}}{\sqrt{2}}(Y_{\nu})_{IJ} - v_{u}\overline{v}_{L}(Y_{\nu}^{\dagger}\lambda_{N^{c}})_{IJ} + \frac{v_{u}}{\sqrt{2}}(A_{N})_{IJ}(Y_{\nu})_{IJ}.$$
(2.13)

Using the formula  $Z_{\nu^{IJ}}^{\dagger} \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{n}}^2 Z_{\nu^{IJ}} = \text{diag}(m_{\tilde{\nu}_1^1}^2, m_{\tilde{\nu}_1^2}^2, m_{\tilde{\nu}_1^3}^2, m_{\tilde{\nu}_2^2}^2, m_{\tilde{\nu}_2^3}^2)$ , the masses of the sneutrinos are obtained.

Because of the introduction of the superfield  $\hat{N}^c$  in BLMSSM, the corrected charginolepton-sneutrino coupling is adapted as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\chi^{\pm}L\tilde{\nu}} = -\sum_{I,J=1}^{3} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \bar{\chi}_{j}^{-} \left( (Y_{l})_{IJ} Z_{-}^{2j*} (Z_{\nu^{IJ}}^{\dagger})^{i1} \omega_{+} + \left[ \frac{e}{s_{W}} Z_{+}^{1j} (Z_{\nu^{IJ}}^{\dagger})^{i1} + (Y_{\nu})_{IJ} Z_{+}^{2j} (Z_{\nu^{IJ}}^{\dagger})^{i2} \right] \omega_{-} \right) e^{J} \tilde{\nu}_{i}^{I*} + \text{h.c.}, \quad (2.14)$$

with  $\omega_{\mp} = \frac{1 \mp \gamma_5}{2}$ . Here we use the abbreviated form,  $s_W = \sin \theta_W$ ,  $c_W = \cos \theta_W$ , and  $\theta_W$  is the Weinberg angle.

From the interactions of gauge and matter multiplets  $ig\sqrt{2}T^a_{ij}(\lambda^a\psi_jA^*_i-\bar{\lambda}^a\bar{\psi}_iA_j)$ , the lepton-slepton neutralino couplings are deduced here

$$\mathcal{L}_{l\chi_{L}^{0}\tilde{L}} = \sqrt{2}g_{L}\bar{\chi}_{L_{j}}^{0} \left( Z_{N_{L}}^{1j} Z_{L}^{1i} \omega_{-} + Z_{N_{L}}^{1j*} Z_{L}^{(I+3)i} \omega_{+} \right) l^{I} \tilde{L}_{i}^{+} + \text{h.c.}$$
(2.15)

This type couplings give new contributions beyond MSSM to lepton EDM. Compared with MSSM, there are four new CP violating sources: 1. the mass  $M_L$  of gaugino  $\lambda_L$ ; 2. the superfield Higgsino's mass  $\mu_L$ , which is included in the mass matrices of both sneutrino and lepton neutralino. 3.  $v_L$  in  $\Phi_L$ ;  $4.\bar{v}_L$  in  $\varphi_L$ . In general, we take  $v_L$  and  $\bar{v}_L$  as real parameters to simplify the numerical discussion.

# 3 Formulation

To obtain the lepton EDM, we use the effective Lagrangian method, and the Feynman amplitudes can be expressed by these dimension-6 operators.

$$\mathcal{O}_{1}^{\mp} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{2}} \bar{l}(i\mathcal{D})^{3} \omega_{\mp} l,$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{2}^{\mp} = \frac{eQ_{f}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \overline{(i\mathcal{D}_{\mu}l)} \gamma^{\mu} F \cdot \sigma \omega_{\mp} l,$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{3}^{\mp} = \frac{eQ_{f}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \bar{l} F \cdot \sigma \gamma^{\mu} \omega_{\mp} (i\mathcal{D}_{\mu}l),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{4}^{\mp} = \frac{eQ_{f}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \bar{l} (\partial^{\mu} F_{\mu\nu}) \gamma^{\nu} \omega_{\mp} l,$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{5}^{\mp} = \frac{m_{l}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \bar{l} (i\mathcal{D})^{2} \omega_{\mp} l,$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{6}^{\mp} = \frac{eQ_{f}m_{l}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \bar{l} F \cdot \sigma \omega_{\mp} l.$$
(3.1)

with  $\mathcal{D}_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + ieA_{\mu}$ , *l* denoting the lepton fermion,  $m_l$  being the lepton mass,  $F_{\mu\nu}$  being the electromagnetic field strength. Adopting on-shell condition for external leptons, only  $\mathcal{O}_{2,3,6}^{\mp}$  contribute to lepton EDM. Therefore, the Wilson coefficients of the operators  $\mathcal{O}_{2,3,6}^{\mp}$  in the effective Lagrangian are of interest.

The lepton EDM is expressed as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm EDM} = -\frac{i}{2} d_l \bar{l} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 l F_{\mu\nu}. \tag{3.2}$$

The fermion EDM is a CP violating amplitude which can not be obtained at tree level in the fundamental interactions. However, in the CP violating electroweak theory, one loop diagrams should contribute nonzero value to fermion EDM. Considering the relations between the Wilson coefficients  $C_{2,3,6}^{\pm}$  of the operators  $\mathcal{O}_{2,3,6}^{\pm}$  [25–28], the lepton EDM is obtained

$$d_l = -\frac{2eQ_f m_l}{(4\pi)^2} \operatorname{Im}(C_2^+ + C_2^{-*} + C_6^+).$$
(3.3)

The one-loop triangle diagrams in BLMSSM are divided into three types according to the virtual particles: 1 the neutralino-slepton diagram; 2 the chargino-sneutrino diagram; 3 the lepton neutralino-slepton diagram. After the calculation, using the on-shell condition for the external leptons, we obtain the one-loop contributions to lepton EDM.

$$\begin{split} d_{l^{I}} &= \frac{e}{32\pi^{2}\Lambda_{\mathrm{NP}}} \mathrm{Im} \bigg\{ \sum_{i=1}^{6} \sum_{j=1}^{4} (\mathcal{A}_{1})_{ij}^{I} (\mathcal{A}_{2})_{ij}^{I} \sqrt{x_{\chi_{j}^{0}}} \bigg[ \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{\tilde{L}_{i}}^{2}} \varrho_{2,1}(x_{\chi_{j}^{0}}, x_{\tilde{L}_{i}}) - 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\tilde{L}_{i}}} \varrho_{1,1}(x_{\chi_{j}^{0}}, x_{\tilde{L}_{i}}) \bigg] \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{6} \sum_{j=1}^{3} 2g_{L}^{2} (Z_{N_{L}}^{1j*})^{2} Z_{L}^{Ii*} Z_{L}^{(I+3)i} \sqrt{x_{\chi_{L_{j}}^{0}}} \bigg[ \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{\tilde{L}_{i}}^{2}} \varrho_{2,1}(x_{\chi_{L_{j}}^{0}}, x_{\tilde{L}_{i}}) - 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\tilde{L}_{i}}} \varrho_{1,1}(x_{\chi_{L_{j}}^{0}}, x_{\tilde{L}_{i}}) \bigg] \\ &+ \sum_{J=1}^{3} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} (\mathcal{B}_{1})_{ij}^{IJ} (\mathcal{B}_{2})_{ij}^{IJ} \sqrt{x_{\chi_{j}^{\mp}}} \bigg[ \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{\tilde{\nu}_{i}^{J}}^{2}} \varrho_{2,1}(x_{\chi_{j}^{\mp}}, x_{\tilde{\nu}_{i}}) - 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\tilde{\nu}_{i}}} \varrho_{1,1}(x_{\chi_{j}^{\mp}}, x_{\tilde{\nu}_{i}}) \bigg] \\ &+ 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\chi_{j}^{\mp}}} \varrho_{1,1}(x_{\chi_{j}^{\mp}}, x_{\tilde{\nu}_{i}}) \bigg] \bigg\}, \end{split}$$

$$(3.4)$$

with  $x_i$  denoting  $\frac{m_i^2}{\Lambda_{\rm NP}^2}$ ,  $\Lambda_{\rm NP}$  representing energy scale of the new physics. The concrete form of the function  $\rho_{i,j}(x,y)$  is shown here

$$\varrho_{i,j}(x,y) = \frac{x^i \ln^j x - y^i \ln^j y}{x - y}.$$
(3.5)

The couplings  $(\mathcal{A}_1)_{ij}^I, (\mathcal{A}_2)_{ij}^I, (\mathcal{B}_1)_{ij}^{IJ}$  and  $(\mathcal{B}_2)_{ij}^{IJ}$  read as

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{A}_{1})_{ij}^{I} &= \frac{e}{\sqrt{2}s_{W}c_{W}} Z_{\tilde{L}}^{Ii*}(Z_{N}^{1j*}s_{W} + Z_{N}^{2j*}c_{W}) + (Y_{l})_{II}^{*}Z_{\tilde{L}}^{(I+3)i*}Z_{N}^{3j*}, \\ (\mathcal{A}_{2})_{ij}^{I} &= -\frac{e\sqrt{2}}{c_{W}} Z_{\tilde{L}}^{(I+3)i}Z_{N}^{1j*} + (Y_{l})_{II}Z_{\tilde{L}}^{Ii}Z_{N}^{3j*}, \\ (\mathcal{B}_{1})_{ij}^{IJ} &= \frac{e}{s_{W}} Z_{+}^{1j*}(Z_{\nu^{IJ}})^{1i} + (Y_{\nu})_{IJ}^{*}Z_{+}^{2j*}(Z_{\nu^{IJ}})^{2i}, \\ (\mathcal{B}_{2})_{ij}^{IJ} &= (Y_{l})_{IJ}Z_{-}^{2j*}(Z_{\nu^{IJ}})^{1i*}. \end{aligned}$$
(3.6)

The matrices  $Z_{\tilde{L}}, Z_N$  respectively diagonalize the mass matrices of slepton and neutralino.

To explicit the phase of  $\lambda_L$  obviously in the one loop contributions, we suppose  $M_L \gg \mu_L, g_L v_L, g_L \bar{v}_L$ . Then the lepton netralino-slepton contributions are simplified as

$$\begin{aligned} d_{l^{I}}^{\lambda_{L}\tilde{L}} &= \frac{e}{8\pi^{2}\Lambda_{\rm NP}^{2}} \operatorname{Im} \bigg\{ \sum_{i=1}^{6} g_{L}^{2} Z_{L}^{Ii*} Z_{L}^{(I+3)i} |M_{L}| e^{i\theta_{L}} \\ &\times \bigg[ \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{\tilde{L}_{i}}^{2}} \varrho_{2,1} \bigg( \frac{4|M_{L}|^{2}}{\Lambda_{\rm NP}^{2}}, x_{\tilde{L}_{i}} \bigg) - 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\tilde{L}_{i}}} \varrho_{1,1} \bigg( \frac{4|M_{L}|^{2}}{\Lambda_{\rm NP}^{2}}, x_{\tilde{L}_{i}} \bigg) \bigg] \bigg\}. \tag{3.7}$$

In this formula, the CP violating phase  $\theta_L$  is conspicuous.

## 4 The numerical results

For the numerical discussion, we take into account of the lightest neutral CP-even Higgs mass  $m_{_{b}0} \simeq 125.7 \text{ GeV} [31-33]$  and the neutrino experiment data [34-38]

$$\sin^{2} 2\theta_{13} = 0.090 \pm 0.009, \qquad \sin^{2} \theta_{12} = 0.306^{+0.018}_{-0.015}, \qquad \sin^{2} \theta_{23} = 0.42^{+0.08}_{-0.03}, \\ \Delta m_{\odot}^{2} = 7.58^{+0.22}_{-0.26} \times 10^{-5} \text{eV}^{2}, \qquad |\Delta m_{A}^{2}| = 2.35^{+0.12}_{-0.09} \times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^{2}.$$

$$(4.1)$$

In our previous works, the neutron EDM and muon MDM are studied [18, 39], so the constraints from them are also considered here.

We give out the used parameters [39-41]

$m_e = 0.51 \times 10^{-3} \text{GeV},$	$m_{\mu} = 0.105 \text{GeV},$	$m_{\tau} = 1.777 \text{GeV},$
$m_W = 80.385 \text{GeV},$	$\alpha(m_Z) = 1/128,$	$s_W^2(m_Z) = 0.23,$
$\tan\beta_L = 2,$	$L_4 = \frac{3}{2},$	$m_{Z_L} = 1 \text{TeV},$
$\Lambda_{\rm NP} = 1000 {\rm GeV},$		
$(Y_{\nu})_{11} = 1.3031 * 10^{-6},$	$(Y_{\nu})_{12} = 9.0884 * 10^{-8},$	$(Y_{\nu})_{13} = 6.9408 * 10^{-8},$
$(Y_{\nu})_{22} = 1.6002 * 10^{-6},$	$(Y_{\nu})_{23} = 3.4872 * 10^{-7},$	$(Y_{\nu})_{33} = 1.7208 * 10^{-6},$
$\lambda_{N^c} = 1,$	$g_L = 1/6,$	
$(A_{N^c})_{ii} = (A_N)_{ii} = 3000 \text{GeV},$	for  i=1,2,3,	
$m_1 = M1 * e^{i * \theta_1},$	$m_2 = M2 * e^{i * \theta_2},$	
$\mu_H = MU * e^{i * \theta_\mu},$	$\sqrt{v_L^2 + \overline{v}_L^2} = v_{L_t}.$	(4.2)

Here,  $\theta_1, \theta_2$  and  $\theta_{\mu}$  are the CP violating phases of the parameters  $m_1, m_2$ , and  $\mu_H$ . We consider two new CP violating parameters with the phases  $\theta_{\mu_L}$  and  $\theta_L$ 

$$\mu_L = muL * e^{i*\theta_{\mu_L}}, \quad M_L = ML * e^{i*\theta_L}.$$

$$(4.3)$$

To simplify the numerical discussion, we use the following relations.

$$(m_{\tilde{L}}^2)_{11} = (m_{\tilde{L}}^2)_{22} = (m_{\tilde{L}}^2)_{33} = S_L, \qquad (m_{\tilde{R}}^2)_{11} = (m_{\tilde{R}}^2)_{22} = (m_{\tilde{R}}^2)_{33} = S_R, (m_{\tilde{N}^c}^2)_{11} = (m_{\tilde{N}^c}^2)_{22} = (m_{\tilde{N}^c}^2)_{33} = S_\nu, \qquad (A_l)_{11} = (A_l)_{22} = (A_l)_{33} = AL.$$
(4.4)

If we do not specially declare, the non-diagonal elements of the used parameters should be zero.

To study the effects to lepton EDM from the non-diagonal elements of the used parameters, we consider the constraints from the lepton flavor violating processes  $l_j \rightarrow l_i + \gamma$  and  $l_j \rightarrow 3l_i$ . The experiment upper bounds of  $Br(\mu \rightarrow e + \gamma)$  and  $Br(\mu \rightarrow 3e)$  are respectively  $5.7 \times 10^{-13}$  and  $1.0 \times 10^{-12}$ . They are both strict and set severe limits on the

parameter space, especially for the sensitive parameters including non-diagonal elements for the lepton flavor violation. In our prepared work [42], we study  $Br(\mu \to e + \gamma)$  and  $Br(\mu \to 3e)$ , and find that the virtual particle masses,  $\tan \beta$  and the ratios of non-diagonal elements to diagonal elements for the slepton(sneurino) mass squared matrices are important parameters. When the slepton and sneutrino are at TeV order,  $\tan \beta$  should be in the region  $10 \sim 20$ . The effects to  $\mu \to e + \gamma$  and  $\mu \to 3e$  from the non-diagonal elements of  $m_{\tilde{N}^c}^2$ ,  $A_{N^c}$  and  $A_N$  are not large. On the other hand, the non-diagonal elements of  $m_{\tilde{L}}^2, m_{\tilde{R}}^2$ influence the both LFV processes strong. With the supposition  $(m_{\tilde{L}}^2)_{ij} = (m_{\tilde{R}}^2)_{ij} = FL^2$ , FL should be in the range  $(0 \sim 500)$ GeV except extreme parameter space.

#### 4.1 The electron EDM

At first, we study electron EDM, because its upper bound is the most strict one. The CP violating phases  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_\mu, \theta_{\mu_L}, \theta_L$ , and other parameters have close relationships with electron EDM. In this subsection, we suppose  $S_{\nu} = 1.0 \times 10^6 \text{GeV}^2, m_L = 1000 \text{GeV}$  and  $v_{L_t} = 3000 \text{GeV}$ .

Supposing  $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \theta_\mu = \theta_L = 0$ , we study the contributions from  $\theta_{\mu_L}$  to electron EDM.  $\mu_L$  relates with sneutrino mass squared matrix and lepton neutralino mass matrix. Here, the contributions to lepton EDM from the lepton neutralino-slepton diagram are dominant, because the chargino-sneutrino diagram contributions are suppressed by the tiny neutrino Yukawa couplings through  $\text{Im}[(Y_\nu)_{IJ}^*(Z_{\nu^{IJ}})^{2i}(Z_{\nu^{IJ}})^{1i*}]$ . With  $\theta_{\mu_L} = 0.5\pi$ ,  $\tan \beta =$  $15, \mu_H = -800 \text{GeV}, m_2 = 800 \text{GeV}, m_1 = 1000 \text{GeV}$ , in figure 1 we plot the solid line, dotted line and dashed line versus muL ( $-2000 \sim 2000 \text{ GeV}$ ) corresponding to  $S_R = S_L =$  $(6 \times 10^6, 8 \times 10^6, 10 \times 10^6) \text{GeV}^2$ . When |muL| is around 1000 GeV,  $|d_e|$  reaches its biggest value. For the same positive muL, the solid line is up the dotted line and the dotted line is up the dashed line. It implies that heavier slepton masses lead to smaller lepton neutralino-slepton contributions. The largest values of the three lines are respectively  $1.4 \times 10^{-28} e.cm, 0.8 \times 10^{-28} e.cm$  and  $0.4 \times 10^{-28} e.cm$ . As |muL| > 1000 GeV,  $|d_e|$  is the decreasing function of |muL|, which is reasonable because large muL should suppress the results.

In the follow of this subsection, the parameters  $S_L = 6.0 \times 10^6 \text{GeV}^2$ ,  $S_R = 1.0 \times 10^6 \text{GeV}^2$ ,  $\mu_L = -3000 \text{GeV}$  are adopted. The mass squared matrix of slepton has the parameter AL leading to the influence of electron EDM. With the parameters  $m_1 = 1000 \text{GeV}$ ,  $m_2 = 600 \text{GeV}$ , MU = -800 GeV,  $\theta_\mu = 0.5\pi$ ,  $(A'_l)_{11} = (A'_l)_{22} = (A'_l)_{33} = 200 \text{GeV}$  and  $\tan \beta = (10, 15, 25)$ , the results are shown by the solid line, dotted line and dashed line respectively in figure 2. These three lines are all decreasing functions of AL in the region  $(-3000 \sim 3000) \text{GeV}$ , and their values vary in the range  $(1.8 \sim 6.6) \times 10^{-29} e.cm$ . Generally speaking, larger  $\tan \beta$  leads to larger  $d_e$ . The contributions from the right-handed sneutrino have nothing to do with AL. For the dashed line, the right-handed sneutrino contributions are about  $1.4 \times 10^{-29} e.cm$ ; for the dotted line, the right-handed sneutrino contributions are about  $0.7 \times 10^{-29} e.cm$ . The lepton neutralino-slepton diagram also gives important contributions and they vary from  $0.5 \times 10^{-29} e.cm$  to  $1.5 \times 10^{-29} e.cm$  for the three lines. It



Figure 1. With  $\theta_{\mu_L} = 0.5\pi$  and  $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \theta_\mu = \theta_L = 0$ , the contributions to electron EDM varying with muL are plotted by the solid line, dotted line and dashed line respectively corresponding to  $S_R = S_L = (6 \times 10^6, 8 \times 10^6, 10 \times 10^6) \text{GeV}^2$ .



Figure 2. With  $\theta_{\mu} = 0.5\pi$ ,  $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \theta_{\mu_L} = \theta_L = 0$  and  $\tan \beta = (10, 15, 25)$ , the contributions to electron EDM varying with AL are plotted by the solid line, dotted line and dashed line respectively.

is obviously that the contributions from the right-handed sneutrino and lepton neutralino are very important.

 $m_1$  relates with the neutralino mass matrix. So we study the numerical results versus M1 with  $\theta_1 = 0.5\pi$ ,  $m_2 = 750$ GeV,  $(A'_l)_{11} = -135$ GeV,  $(A'_l)_{22} = (A'_l)_{33} = 200$ GeV,  $\tan \beta = 15$ , AL = -2000GeV,  $\mu_H = (-500, -1000, -2000)$ GeV, and the corresponding results are plotted by the solid line, dotted line and dashed line in figure 3. The three lines are very similar. The biggest value is about  $12 \times 10^{-29} e.cm$ , as M1 = -600GeV. The absolute value of  $d_e$  turns small slowly with the enlarging |M1| in the region( $600 \sim 3000$ )GeV. When |M1| is biggish, the masses of neutralinos are heavy, which suppresses the contributions to electron EDM. At the point M1 = 0, there is none CP violating effect and  $d_e = 0$  is reasonable. The right-handed sneutrino contributions are related with  $\theta_2$  and  $\theta_{\mu}$  through the coupling with chargino. The lepton neutralino-slepton contributions have relations with  $\theta_{\mu,}, \theta_{\mu_L}, \theta_L$ . In this condition, only  $\theta_1$  is nonzero, then both the right-handed sneutrino and lepton neutralino give none contribution to  $d_e$ .



Figure 3. With  $\theta_1 = 0.5\pi$ ,  $\theta_2 = \theta_\mu = \theta_{\mu L} = \theta_L = 0$  and  $\mu_H = (-500, -1000, -2000)$ GeV, the contributions to electron EDM varying with M1 are plotted by the solid line, dotted line and dashed line respectively.

 $m_2$  is included in the mass matrices of neutralino and chargino, so  $\theta_2$  is very important for electron EDM. As  $\theta_2 = 0.5\pi$ , we study the effects from some non-diagonal elements of  $m_{\tilde{N}c}^2$ . With the parameters  $m_1 = 1000 \text{GeV}, \mu_H = -800 \text{GeV}, (A'_l)_{11} = (A'_l)_{22} = (A'_l)_{33} =$  $200 \text{GeV}, \tan \beta = 15, AL = -2000 \text{GeV}, \text{ we adopt } (m_{\tilde{N}c}^2)_{12} = (m_{\tilde{N}c}^2)_{21} = MF^2, \text{ and the}$ other non-diagonal elements of  $m_{\tilde{N}c}^2$  are zero. For M2 = (700, 1000) GeV, the total one loop results are represented by the dashed line and dotted line respectively in the figure 4. At the same time, in figure 4 the contributions from the right-handed sneutrino are also plotted by the solid line and dot-dashed line corresponding to M2 = (700, 1000)GeV. The dashed line and dotted line are in the region  $(8.5 \sim 9.3) \times 10^{-29} e.cm$  and they are both very slowly increasing functions of MF. The solid line and dot-dashed line increase quickly, when MF turns from 0 to 60 GeV. In the MF region (60 ~ 1000) GeV, the solid line and dot-dashed line turn large slowly. As MF = 0, the right-handed sneutrino corrections are around  $1.0 \times 10^{-29} e.cm$ . When MF is larger than 60 GeV, the contributions from the righthanded sneutrino can reach  $2.0 \times 10^{-29} e.cm$ . Therefore, they are important and decrease the effects from the left-handed sneutrino to some extent with nonzero MF. Because of  $\theta_{\mu} = \theta_{\mu_L} = \theta_L = 0$ , lepton neutralino-slepton diagram does not give corrections to electron EDM in this condition. From the figures 1, 2, 3, 4, one can find the upper bound of electron EDM is strict and has rigorous bound on the parameter space.

## 4.2 The muon EDM

Lepton EDM is CP violating which is generated by the CP violating phases. In the similar way, the muon EDM is numerically studied. The parameters  $S_L = 7.0 \times 10^6 \text{GeV}^2$ ,  $S_R = 6.0 \times 10^6 \text{GeV}^2$ ,  $S_{\nu} = 2.0 \times 10^6 \text{GeV}^2$ , AL = -1000 GeV,  $\mu_L = -3000 \text{GeV}$ , ML = 2000 GeV,  $(A'_l)_{11} = (A'_l)_{22} = (A'_l)_{33} = 200 \text{GeV}$  are supposed here.

The lepton neutrino mass matrix includes the new CP violating phase  $\theta_L$ . Therefore, it obviously produces new contributions to the lepton EDM. As  $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \theta_\mu = \theta_{\mu_L} = 0$ ,  $m_1 = 700 \text{GeV}, m_2 = 800 \text{GeV}, \mu_H = -600 \text{GeV}, \tan \beta = 15$ , in figure 5 we study  $d_\mu$  versus  $\theta_L$  with  $v_{L_t} = (1,3,5)$  TeV, and the results are plotted by the solid line, dotted line and



Figure 4. With  $\theta_2 = 0.5\pi$ ,  $\theta_1 = \theta_\mu = \theta_{\mu_L} = \theta_L = 0$  and M2 = (700, 1000)GeV, the contributions to electron EDM varying with MF are plotted by the dashed line and dotted line respectively; the right-handed sneutrino contributions are plotted by the solid line and dot-dashed line respectively.



Figure 5. With  $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \theta_\mu = \theta_{\mu_L} = 0$  and  $v_{L_t} = (1, 3, 5)$  TeV, the contributions to muon EDM varying with  $\theta_L$  are plotted by the solid line, dotted line and dashed line respectively.

dashed line. The three lines are of the same shape, and all look like  $-\sin \theta_L$ . These lines are almost coincident, whose largest values are about  $1.9 \times 10^{-26} (e.cm)$ . The figure 5 implies the effects from  $v_{L_t}$  to muon EDM are small. These contributions are only come from lepton neutralino-slepton diagram. The chargino-sneutrino and netralino-slepton diagrams give zero contribution to  $d_{\mu}$ .

As noted in the front subsection,  $m_2$  is an important parameter for the lepton EDM. Using  $m_1 = 700 \text{GeV}, \theta_2 = 0.5\pi, \mu_H = -600 \text{GeV}, v_{L_t} = 3000 \text{GeV}, \tan \beta = (15, 25, 35)$ , we study  $d_{\mu}$  versus M2 in figure 6, and the numerical results are plotted by the solid line, dotted line and dashed line respectively. At the point  $M2 = \pm 400 \text{ GeV}$ , the absolute value of each line reaches its biggest value. The dashed line can arrive at  $2.0 \times 10^{-26} e.cm$ . Larger |M2| leads to smaller  $d_{\mu}$  for the three lines, when |M2| is larger than 400 GeV. As |M2| is very big, heavy neutralinos and charginos are produced, which suppresses the contributions to muon EDM. The order from big to small for the absolute values of the three lines is



Figure 6. With  $\theta_2 = 0.5\pi$ ,  $\theta_1 = \theta_\mu = \theta_{\mu_L} = \theta_L = 0$  and  $\tan \beta = (15, 25, 35)$ , the contributions to muon EDM varying with M2 are plotted by the solid line, dotted line and dashed line respectively.

the dashed line > the dotted line > the solid line. The corrections from the right-handed sneutrino are about  $(23 \sim 25)\%$  of  $d_{\mu}$ . As  $\theta_{\mu} = \theta_{\mu_L} = \theta_L = 0$ , lepton neutralino-slepton contributions are zero.

 $m_{\tilde{L}}^2$  and  $m_{\tilde{R}}^2$  influence the masses of slepton and sneutrino. The non-diagonal elements of  $m_{\tilde{L}}^2$  and  $m_{\tilde{R}}^2$  may give considerable contributions. To simplify the discussion, we suppose the relations  $(m_{\tilde{L}}^2)_{ij} = (m_{\tilde{R}}^2)_{ij} = FL^2$ , for  $(i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2, 3)$  and adopt the parameters  $m_1 = 1000 \text{GeV}, M2 = 750 \text{GeV}, v_{L_t} = 3000 \text{GeV}, \theta_2 = 0.5\pi, \tan \beta = 15$ . In figure 7, the solid line, dotted line and dashed line, respectively represent the results with  $\mu_H =$ (-500, -700, -1500)GeV. They are all increasing functions of FL, and the solid line is the highest line. The dotted line is the middle one. These numerical results are in the range  $0.6 \sim 1.2 \times 10^{-26} e.cm$ . When FL varies from 0 to 50GeV, though the total results do not have significant change, the contributions of the right-handed sneutrino increase quickly. The reason is that left-handed sneutrino corrections turn small fast with the non-diagonal elements of  $m_{\tilde{t}}^2$  and  $m_{\tilde{p}}^2$ . Right-handed sneutrino and left-handed sneutrino mix and should be regarded as an entirety. To some extent, non-zero FL moves the contributions from left-handed sneutrino to the right-handed sneutrino, without affecting the total results obviously. The ratios for the right-handed sneutrino contributions to  $d_{\mu}$  ran from 20% to bigger values, and can even reach 50%. With our used parameters, the numerical results for muon EDM shown as the figures 5, 6, 7 are about at the order of  $10^{-26}e.cm$ , which is almost seven-order smaller than muon EDM upper bound.

## 4.3 The tau EDM

Tau is the heaviest lepton, whose EDM upper bound is the largest one and at the order of  $10^{-17}e.cm$ . Tau EDM is also of interest and calculated here. In this subsection, we use  $S_{\nu} = 2.0 \times 10^{6} \text{GeV}^{2}$ , AL = -1000 GeV,  $(A'_{l})_{11} = (A'_{l})_{22} = (A'_{l})_{33} = 200 \text{GeV}$ ,  $m_{1} = 1000 \text{GeV}$ ,  $\mu_{L} = -3000 \text{GeV}$ , ML = 3000 GeV,  $v_{L_{t}} = 3000 \text{GeV}$ .

With  $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \theta_\mu = \theta_{\mu_L} = 0$ ,  $\tan \beta = 15$ ,  $m_2 = 800 \text{GeV}$ ,  $\mu_H = -800 \text{GeV}$  and supposing  $S_L = S_R = s_m^2$ , we plot the results versus  $s_m$  by the solid line, dotted line and



Figure 7. With  $\theta_2 = 0.5\pi$ ,  $\theta_1 = \theta_\mu = \theta_{\mu_L} = \theta_L = 0$  and MU = (-500, -700, -1500)GeV, the contributions to muon EDM varying with FL are plotted by the solid line, dotted line and dashed line respectively.



Figure 8. With  $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \theta_\mu = \theta_{\mu L} = 0$  and  $\theta_L = (-0.1, -0.3, -0.5)\pi$ , the contributions to tau EDM varying with  $s_m$  are plotted by the solid line, dotted line and dashed line respectively.

dashed line for  $\theta_L = (-0.1, -0.3, -0.5)\pi$ . Under this supposition, only lepton neutralinoslepton diagram gives nonzero corrections. In figure 8, one can find  $d_{\tau}$  is the decreasing function of  $s_m$ . In the  $s_m$  region (600 ~ 1000)GeV, the three lines decrease quickly with the enlarging  $s_m$ . As  $s_m = 600$ GeV, the dashed line can reach  $4 \times 10^{-24} e.cm$  and even larger. When  $s_m > 1000$ GeV, the results decrease slowly and are almost coincident as  $s_m > 2000$ GeV. At the points  $s_m = (1000, 2000)$ GeV, the results are at the order of  $(10^{-25}, 10^{-26})e.cm$ .

The relation between  $d_{\tau}$  and  $\mu_H$  is studied here. We use the parameters  $S_L = 7.0 \times 10^6 \text{GeV}^2$ ,  $S_R = 6.0 \times 10^6 \text{GeV}^2$ ,  $m_2 = 750 \text{GeV}$ ,  $\theta_{\mu} = 0.5\pi$ ,  $\tan \beta = (10, 15, 25)$ , and plot the results by the solid line, dotted line and dashed line respectively in the figure 9. The dashed line reaches  $2.4 \times 10^{-25} e.cm$  as MU = -500 GeV. When |MU| > 500 GeV, the abstract values of the numerical results shrink with the enlarging |MU|. Larger  $\tan \beta$  results in larger  $d_{\tau}$ , when the other parameters are same. The right-handed sneutrino contributions are about  $(15 \sim 20)\%$  of the total results for the three lines. At the same time, the lepton neutralino-slepton contributions can match the right-handed sneutrino contributions, and they are at the same order.



Figure 9. With  $\theta_{\mu} = 0.5\pi$ ,  $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \theta_{\mu_L} = \theta_L = 0$  and  $\tan \beta = (10, 15, 25)$ , the contributions to tau EDM varying with MU are plotted by the solid line, dotted line and dashed line respectively.

The parameters in BLMSSM and absent in MSSM should affect  $d_{\tau}$  to some extent. With the parameters  $S_L = 7.0 \times 10^6 \text{GeV}^2$ ,  $S_R = 6.0 \times 10^6 \text{GeV}^2$ ,  $\theta_2 = 0.5\pi$ ,  $\mu_H =$ -800GeV, tan  $\beta = 15$  and based on the assumption  $(A_{N^c})_{ij} = (A_N)_{ij} = NF$ , for  $i \neq j$  and i, j = 1, 2, 3, we research the effects from the non-diagonal elements of  $A_{N^c}$  and  $A_N$ . In figure 10, the solid line, dotted line and dashed line correspond respectively to the results obtained with M2 = (500, 1000, 1500) GeV. When NF is near 2000 GeV, the results increase observably. The solid line is up the dotted line, and the dotted line is up the dashed line. The values of the three lines are in the region  $(1.0 \sim 1.5) \times 10^{-25} e.cm$ . The righthanded sneutrino contributions largen quickly with the increasing |NF|, and their ratios to the total results improve from 24% to 50%. The reason should be that the non-diagonal elements of  $A_{N^c}$  and  $A_N$  weaken the left-handed sneutrino contributions. At the same time the contributions from the right-hand sneutrino are enhanced. Generally speaking, in BLMSSM the left-and right-handed sneutrinos are an integral whole, and should be discussed together. The figures 8, 9, 10 show that the one-loop contributions to tau EDM are at the order of  $10^{-25} \sim 10^{-24} (e.cm)$  in our used parameter space. These contributions are about eight-order smaller than the upper bound of tau EDM.

## 5 Discussion and conclusion

In the frame work of CP violating BLMSSM, we study the one-loop contributions to the lepton  $(e, \mu, \tau)$  EDM. The used parameters can satisfy the experiment data of Higgs and neutrino. The effects of the CP violating phases  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_\mu, \theta_{\mu_L}, \theta_L$  to the lepton EDM are researched. The upper bound of electron EDM is  $8.7 \times 10^{-29} e.cm$ , which gives strict confine on the BLMSSM parameter space. In our used parameter space, the contributions to electron EDM can easily reach its upper bound and even exceed it. The numerical values obtained for muon EDM and tau EDM are at the order of  $10^{-26} e.cm$  and  $10^{-25} \sim 10^{-24} e.cm$  respectively. They both are several-order smaller than their EDM upper bounds. Our numerical results mainly obey the rule  $d_e/d_{\mu}/d_{\tau} \sim m_e/m_{\mu}/m_{\tau}$ . The right-handed sneutrino contributions are considerable, and should be taken into account. The contributions from



Figure 10. With  $\theta_2 = 0.5\pi$ ,  $\theta_1 = \theta_\mu = \theta_{\mu_L} = \theta_L = 0$  and M2 = (500, 1000, 1500)GeV, the contributions to tau EDM varying with NF are plotted by the solid line, dotted line and dashed line respectively.

the lepton neutralino-slepton have two new CP violating sources, and include the coupling constant  $g_L$ . If we enlarge  $g_L$  and adopt other parameters, the lepton neutralino-slepton contributions to lepton EDM can enhance several orders. In general, the numerical results of the lepton EDM are large, and they maybe detected by the experiments in the near future.

## Acknowledgments

This work has been supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NNSFC) with Grants No. (11275036, 11447111), the open project of State Key Laboratory of Mathematics-Mechanization with Grant No. Y5KF131CJ1, the Natural Science Foundation of Hebei province with Grant No. A2013201277, and the Found of Hebei province with the Grant NO. BR2-201 and the Natural Science Fund of Hebei University with Grants No. 2011JQ05 and No. 2012-242, Hebei Key Lab of Optic-Electronic Information and Materials, the midwest universities comprehensive strength promotion project.

**Open Access.** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

# References

- M.E. Pospelov and I.B. Khriplovich, Electric dipole moment of the W boson and the electron in the Kobayashi-Maskawa model, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 53 (1991) 638 [Yad. Fiz. 53 (1991) 1030] [INSPIRE].
- [2] M. Pospelov and A. Ritz, CKM benchmarks for electron electric dipole moment experiments, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 056006 [arXiv:1311.5537] [INSPIRE].
- [3] ACME collaboration, J. Baron et al., Order of magnitude smaller limit on the electric dipole moment of the electron, Science 343 (2014) 269 [arXiv:1310.7534] [INSPIRE].

- [4] PARTICLE DATA GROUP collaboration, J. Beringer et al., Review of particle physics, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) 010001 [INSPIRE].
- [5] T. Ibrahim, A. Itani and P. Nath, Electron EDM as a sensitive probe of PeV scale physics, arXiv:1406.0083 [INSPIRE].
- [6] M. Jung, The electron EDM and EDMs in two-Higgs-doublet models, arXiv:1405.6389.
- J. Rosiek, Complete set of Feynman rules for the MSSM, Phys. Rev. D 41 (1990) 3464 [hep-ph/9511250] [INSPIRE].
- [8] T.-F. Feng and X.-Y. Yang, Renormalization and two loop electroweak corrections to lepton anomalous dipole moments in the standard model and beyond (I): heavy fermion contributions, Nucl. Phys. B 814 (2009) 101 [arXiv:0901.1686] [INSPIRE].
- [9] H.P. Nilles, Supersymmetry, supergravity and particle physics, Phys. Rept. **110** (1984) 1 [INSPIRE].
- [10] H.E. Haber and G.L. Kane, The search for supersymmetry: probing physics beyond the standard model, Phys. Rept. 117 (1985) 75 [INSPIRE].
- T. Ibrahim and P. Nath, The neutron and the electron electric dipole moment in N = 1 supergravity unification, Phys. Rev. D 57 (1998) 478 [Erratum ibid. D 58 (1998) 019901]
   [hep-ph/9708456] [INSPIRE].
- T. Ibrahim and P. Nath, The neutron and the lepton EDMs in MSSM, large CP-violating phases and the cancellation mechanism, Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998) 111301 [Erratum ibid. D 60 (1999) 099902] [hep-ph/9807501] [INSPIRE].
- [13] P.F. Perez, SUSY spectrum and the Higgs mass in the BLMSSM, Phys. Lett. B 711 (2012) 353 [arXiv:1201.1501] [INSPIRE].
- [14] J.M. Arnold, P. Fileviez Perez, B. Fornal and S. Spinner, On Higgs decays, baryon number violation and SUSY at the LHC, Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) 115024 [arXiv:1204.4458]
   [INSPIRE].
- [15] P. Fileviez Perez and M.B. Wise, Breaking local baryon and lepton number at the TeV scale, JHEP 08 (2011) 068 [arXiv:1106.0343] [INSPIRE].
- [16] P. Fileviez Perez and M.B. Wise, Baryon and lepton number as local gauge symmetries, *Phys. Rev.* D 82 (2010) 011901 [Erratum ibid. D 82 (2010) 079901] [arXiv:1002.1754] [INSPIRE].
- T.-F. Feng, S.-M. Zhao, H.-B. Zhang, Y.-J. Zhang and Y.-L. Yan, Gauged baryon and lepton numbers in supersymmetry with a 125 GeV Higgs, Nucl. Phys. B 871 (2013) 223
   [arXiv:1303.0047] [INSPIRE].
- [18] S.-M. Zhao et al., Neutron electric dipole moment in CP-violating BLMSSM, JHEP 10 (2013) 020 [arXiv:1306.0664] [INSPIRE].
- [19] F. Sun, T.-F. Feng, S.-M. Zhao, H.-B. Zhang, T.-J. Gao and J.-B. Chen, B<sup>0</sup>-B<sup>0</sup> mixing in supersymmetry with gauged baryon and lepton numbers, Nucl. Phys. B 888 (2014) 30 [arXiv:1311.7196] [INSPIRE].
- [20] S. Heinemeyer, D. Stöckinger and G. Weiglein, *Electroweak and supersymmetric two-loop* corrections to  $(g-2)(\mu)$ , Nucl. Phys. B 699 (2004) 103 [hep-ph/0405255] [INSPIRE].

- [21] T.-F. Feng, T. Huang, X.-Q. Li, X.-M. Zhang and S.-M. Zhao, Lepton dipole moments and rare decays in the CP-violating MSSM with nonuniversal soft supersymmetry breaking, Phys. Rev. D 68 (2003) 016004 [hep-ph/0305290] [INSPIRE].
- [22] X.Y. Yang and T.F. Feng, *Heavy fermions and two loop corrections to*  $(g-2)(\mu)$ , *Phys. Lett.* **B 675** (2009) 43 [INSPIRE].
- [23] T. Abe, J. Hisano, T. Kitahara and K. Tobioka, Gauge invariant Barr-Zee type contributions to fermionic EDMs in the two-Higgs doublet models, JHEP 01 (2014) 106 [arXiv:1311.4704] [INSPIRE].
- [24] S. Ipek, Perturbative analysis of the electron electric dipole moment and CP-violation in two-Higgs-doublet models, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 073012 [arXiv:1310.6790] [INSPIRE].
- [25] A. Pilaftsis, CP odd tadpole renormalization of Higgs scalar-pseudoscalar mixing, Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998) 096010 [hep-ph/9803297] [INSPIRE].
- [26] M. Carena, J.R. Ellis, A. Pilaftsis and C.E.M. Wagner, Renormalization group improved effective potential for the MSSM Higgs sector with explicit CP-violation, Nucl. Phys. B 586 (2000) 92 [hep-ph/0003180] [INSPIRE].
- [27] T.-F. Feng, L. Sun and X.-Y. Yang, Electroweak and supersymmetric two-loop corrections to lepton anomalous magnetic and electric dipole moments, Nucl. Phys. B 800 (2008) 221
   [arXiv:0805.1122] [INSPIRE].
- [28] N. Yamanaka, Two-loop level rainbowlike supersymmetric contribution to the fermion electric dipole moment, Phys. Rev. D 87 (2013) 011701 [arXiv:1211.1808] [INSPIRE].
- [29] X.G. He, C.J. Lee, S.F. Li and J. Tandean, Fermion EDMs with minimal flavor violation, JHEP 08 (2014) 019 [arXiv:1404.4436] [INSPIRE].
- [30] X.-G. He, C.-J. Lee, S.-F. Li and J. Tandean, Large electron electric dipole moment in minimal flavor violation framework with Majorana neutrinos, *Phys. Rev.* D 89 (2014) 091901 [arXiv:1401.2615] [INSPIRE].
- [31] CMS collaboration, Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B 716 (2012) 30 [arXiv:1207.7235] [INSPIRE].
- [32] ATLAS collaboration, Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B 716 (2012) 1
   [arXiv:1207.7214] [INSPIRE].
- [33] CMS collaboration, R. Salerno, *Higgs searches at CMS*, arXiv:1301.3405 [INSPIRE].
- [34] T2K collaboration, K. Abe et al., Indication of electron neutrino appearance from an accelerator-produced off-axis muon neutrino beam, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107 (2011) 041801
   [arXiv:1106.2822] [INSPIRE].
- [35] DAYA-BAY collaboration, F. An et al., Observation of electron-antineutrino disappearance at Daya Bay, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 (2012) 171803 [arXiv:1203.1669] [INSPIRE].
- [36] RENO collaboration, J. Ahn et al., Observation of reactor electron antineutrino disappearance in the RENO experiment, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 (2012) 191802
   [arXiv:1204.0626] [INSPIRE].
- [37] M. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, J. Salvado and T. Schwetz, Global fit to three neutrino mixing: critical look at present precision, JHEP 12 (2012) 123 [arXiv:1209.3023] [INSPIRE].

- [38] D.V. Forero, M. Trtola and J.W.F. Valle, *Global status of neutrino oscillation parameters* after Neutrino-2012, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) 073012 [arXiv:1205.4018] [INSPIRE].
- [39] S.M. Zhao et al., The corrections from one loop and two-loop Barr-Zee type diagrams to muon MDM in BLMSSM, JHEP 11 (2014) 119 [arXiv:1405.7561] [INSPIRE].
- [40] PARTICLE DATA GROUP, K.A. Olive et al., Review of particle physics, Chin. Phys. C 38 (2014) 090001[INSPIRE].
- [41] C. Biao et al., Neutrino Mixing in the BLMSSM, Commun. Theor. Phys. 61 (2014) 619 [INSPIRE].
- [42] S.M. Zhao et al., Lepton-flavor violation in the BLMSSM, in preparation.