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## 1 Introduction

One of the earliest examples of the AdS/CFT correspondence [1] is the D1/D5 system on $K 3$ or $T^{4}$. There, we expect that a marginal deformation of a 2d CFT with target $\operatorname{Sym}^{N}(K 3)$ is dual to large radius gravity on $\mathrm{AdS}_{3} \times S^{3} \times K 3$ (and similarly for $T^{4}$ ). In particular, there should be a region in the conformal moduli space where the low-lying CFT spectrum matches the 6 d supergravity Kaluza-Klein spectrum. A very nontrivial check of this conjecture was done in $[2,3]$ where the elliptic genus of $\operatorname{Sym}^{N}(K 3)$ was calculated at the symmetric orbifold point, and compared to the supergravity elliptic genus, and the two were found to match.

More generally, one can look at the growth of the low-lying states in a 2d CFT and see if it is consistent for it to be dual to large-radius gravity. In particular, elliptic genus of a purported 2d CFT dual to large-radius gravity must have the number of low-lying states grow subexponentially with dimension [4]. The growth of the low-lying states in the elliptic genus of $\operatorname{Sym}^{N}(K 3)$ is given by [5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\mathrm{Sym}^{N}(K 3)}^{\mathrm{EG}}(n) \sim N \exp (\sqrt{48} \pi \sqrt{n}) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{\mathrm{Sym}^{N}(K 3)}^{\mathrm{EG}}(n)$ counts states $n$ above the vacuum in the NS-sector elliptic genus of $\operatorname{Sym}^{N}(K 3)$, and crucially $1 \ll n \ll c=6 N .{ }^{1}$

In this paper, instead of simply computing the elliptic genus (a signed count of quarter-BPS states) of $\operatorname{Sym}^{N}(K 3)$, we will compute the recently introduced "Hodge elliptic genus" [6], which counts quarter-BPS states without cancellations. We compute this object at various points in the symmetric orbifold moduli space, and see the growth of the low-lying states. In particular, we will find that at the supergravity point, the Hodge elliptic genus grows as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\mathrm{sugra}, K 3}^{\mathrm{HEG}}(n) \sim N \exp \left(\frac{4 \sqrt{2} \pi}{3^{3 / 4}} n^{3 / 4}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is parametrically faster than (1.1). Thus we expect a very large number of cancellations in the quarter-BPS spectrum, even at the supergravity point in moduli space. From dimensional analysis arguments, we expect the total partition function of the sixdimensional supergravity to grow as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\mathrm{sugra}, K 3}^{\mathrm{PF}}(n) \sim \exp \left(n^{5 / 6}\right) . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mismatch between (1.3) and (1.2) can be understood as restricting our attention to BPS states; the mismatch between (1.2) and (1.1) can be understood as cancellations between said BPS states. We pause to emphasize that the refined count of states we propose is counting not black hole states in the gravity description, but low-lying KK modes. Indeed, in the known examples where the elliptic genus already gets the black hole entropy correct (such as the D1/D5 system on $K 3$ [7]), the Hodge elliptic genus and the elliptic genus must have the same parametric growth when counting black hole states.

The organization of this note is as follows. In section 2 we will study the growth of the Hodge elliptic genus for both $\operatorname{Sym}^{N}(K 3)$ and $\operatorname{Sym}^{N}\left(T^{4}\right)$ at the symmetric orbifold point and at the supergravity point. In section 3 we decompose the various Hodge elliptic genera calculated into $\mathcal{N}=4$ characters and see how the decomposition changes at different points in the moduli space. Suggestive relations to symmetry groups including the sporadic finite group $M_{22}$ are found in the decomposition. In section 4 we give some concluding remarks.

## 2 Growth of BPS states

The Hodge elliptic genus, introduced in [6], is defined for theories with at least $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ supersymmetry as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\mathrm{HEG}}(\tau, z, \nu)=\left.\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{RR}}\left((-1)^{F_{L}+F_{R}} q^{L_{0}-\frac{c}{24}} y^{J_{0}} u^{\bar{J}_{0}}\right)\right|_{\bar{h}=\frac{c}{24}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where trace is taken only over right-moving Ramond ground states, which have $\bar{h}=\frac{c}{24}$. Here and throughout this paper, we define $q=e^{2 \pi i \tau}, y=e^{2 \pi i z}, u=e^{2 \pi i \nu}$. By definition,

[^0]this object only counts quarter-BPS states, since we only take contributions from rightmoving supersymmetric states. Note that the Hodge elliptic genus is not an index; as we vary around points in the CFT moduli space, various short multiplets can combine to form long multiplets in a way that does not leave (2.1) invariant. If we take $y=u=-1$ in (2.1), then this reduces to
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\mathrm{HEG}}(\tau, 1 / 2,1 / 2)=\left.\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{RR}}\left(q^{L_{0}-\frac{c}{24}}\right)\right|_{\bar{h}=\frac{c}{24}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

in other words a simple count of the quarter-BPS spectrum of the theory.

## $2.1 K 3$

We will first investigate the growth of coefficients of the Hodge elliptic genus in $\operatorname{Sym}^{N}(K 3)$. We study the growth at three points in the moduli space: at the symmetric orbifold point of the $T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ orbifold; at the symmetric orbifold point of a generic $K 3$ surface; and at the supergravity description. Much of this analysis will be quite similar to that in [5].

### 2.1.1 $\operatorname{Sym}^{N}\left(T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$

We will first consider a $T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ orbifold as our $K 3$ surface. The Hodge elliptic genus of this is easily calculated from free field theory; it is calculated in [6] and is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{\mathrm{HEG}, T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}(\tau, z, \nu)= & 8\left(\left(\frac{\theta_{1}(\tau, z)}{\theta_{1}(\tau)} u_{-}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\theta_{2}(\tau, z)}{\theta_{2}(\tau)} u_{+}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\theta_{3}(\tau, z)}{\theta_{3}(\tau)}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\theta_{4}(\tau, z)}{\theta_{4}(\tau)}\right)^{2}\right) \\
= & u^{-1} y^{-1}+u y^{-1}+u^{-1} y+u y+20+\left(4 u^{-1} y^{-1}+4 u y^{-1}+4 u^{-1} y+4 u y\right. \\
& \left.-136 y-136 y^{-1}+20 y^{2}+20 y^{-2}-8 u-8 u^{-1}+232\right) q+\mathcal{O}\left(q^{2}\right) \\
:= & \sum_{m, \ell, \ell^{\prime}} c_{T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}\left(m, \ell, \ell^{\prime}\right) q^{m} y^{\ell} u^{\ell^{\prime}} \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u_{ \pm}:=\frac{1}{2}\left(u^{1 / 2} \pm u^{-1 / 2}\right)$ and the theta functions are defined in appendix A.
We can use a modification of the DMVV formula $[6,8]$ to get the Hodge elliptic genus growth at the symmetric orbifold point. In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \geq 0} Z_{\mathrm{HEG}, \operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left(T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)}(\tau, z, \nu) p^{n}=\prod_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ m \geq 0 \\ \ell, \ell^{\prime}}} \frac{1}{\left(1-p^{n} q^{m} y^{\ell} u^{\ell^{\prime}}\right)^{c_{T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}\left(n m, \ell, \ell^{\prime}\right)}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can spectral flow to the NS-R sector ${ }^{2}$ to get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n \geq 0} Z_{\mathrm{HEG}, \mathrm{Sym}^{n}\left(T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)}^{\mathrm{NS}}(\tau, z, \nu) p^{n}= \prod_{\substack{n>0 \\
m \geq 0 \\
\ell, \ell^{\prime}}} \frac{1}{\left(1-p^{n} q^{m+\ell / 2+n / 2} y^{\ell+n} u^{\ell^{\prime}}\right)^{c_{T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}\left(n m, \ell, \ell^{\prime}\right)}} \\
&=\prod_{\substack{n>0 \\
m \in \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2} \\
m-\frac{\ell}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}}} \frac{1}{\left(1-p^{n} q^{m} y^{\ell} u^{\ell^{\prime}}\right)^{c_{T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}\left(n m-\frac{n \ell}{2}, \ell-n, \ell^{\prime}\right)} .} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

[^1]In order to reproduce the growth of quarter-BPS states with no cancellations, we can simply set $y=u=-1$, as in (2.2). After doing so, note that the only term in the product we get with no $q$ dependence occurs at $n=1, m=0, \ell=0, \ell^{\prime}= \pm 1$ in the product. Thus we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \geq 0} Z_{\mathrm{HEG}, \mathrm{Sym}^{n}\left(T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)}^{\mathrm{NS}}(\tau, 1 / 2,1 / 2) p^{n}=\frac{1}{(1+p)^{2}} \prod_{\substack{n>0 \\ m \in \frac{Z}{2}, m>0 \\ m-\frac{k}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}}} \frac{1}{\left(1-p^{n} q^{m}(-1)^{\ell+\ell^{\prime}}\right)^{c_{T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}\left(n m-\frac{n e}{2}, \ell-n, \ell^{\prime}\right)}} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The divergence of the BPS spectrum as $n \rightarrow \infty$ due to right-moving Ramond ground states can be seen in the

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{(1+p)^{2}}=1-2 p+3 p^{2}-4 p^{3}+\ldots \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

prefactor of (2.6). At large $N$, the growth of states of $\operatorname{Sym}^{N}\left(T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ will therefore scale linearly with $N$; to extract the coefficient, we can set $p=-1$ in the product in (2.6). In other words, at large $N$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{N} Z_{\mathrm{HEG}, \mathrm{Sym}^{N}\left(T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)}^{\mathrm{NS}}(\tau, 1 / 2,1 / 2) & =\prod_{\substack{n>0 \\
m \in \frac{Z}{2}, m>0 \\
m-\frac{\ell}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}}} \frac{1}{\left(1-q^{m}(-1)^{n+\ell+\ell^{\prime}}\right)^{c_{T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}\left(n m-\frac{n \ell}{2}, \ell-n, \ell^{\prime}\right)}}+\mathcal{O}\left(q^{N / 4}\right) \\
& =\prod_{m \in \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2}, m>0} \frac{\left(1+q^{m}\right)^{f_{T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}(m)}}{\left(1-q^{m}\right)^{g_{T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}(m)}}+\mathcal{O}\left(q^{N / 4}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f_{T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}(m)$ and $g_{T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}(m)$ are defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{T^{4} / Z_{2}}(m)=\sum_{\substack{n>0, n \in \mathbb{Z} \\
\ell \equiv 2 m(\bmod 2) \\
\ell^{\prime} \equiv 2 m+n+1(\bmod 2)}}-c_{T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}\left(n m-\frac{n \ell}{2}, \ell-n, \ell^{\prime}\right) \\
& g_{T^{4} / Z_{2}}(m)=\sum_{\substack{n>0, n \in \mathbb{Z} \\
\ell=2 m(\bmod 2) \\
\ell^{\prime} \equiv 2 m+n(\bmod 2)}} c_{T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}\left(n m-\frac{n \ell}{2}, \ell-n, \ell^{\prime}\right) . \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Note if we consider $g_{T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}(m)-f_{T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}(m)$, we are summing over $\ell^{\prime}$, effectively setting $u=1$ in the Hodge elliptic genus, which reproduces the $K 3$ elliptic genus. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}(m)-f_{T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}(m)=\sum_{\substack{n>0, n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \ell \equiv 2 m(\bmod 2)}} c_{K 3}^{\mathrm{EG}}\left(n m-\frac{n \ell}{2}, \ell-n\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{K 3}^{\mathrm{EG}}$ measures the coefficients of the elliptic genus of $K 3$, not the Hodge elliptic genus. In [5], the r.h.s. of (2.10) was calculated to be 44 for all half-integer $m$, and 28 for integer $m$. The asymptotic growth of $f_{T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}(m)$ and $g_{T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}(m)$ then are the same. Moreover, it can be shown that if $f_{T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}(m)+g_{T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}(m)$ grow as $m^{p}$ for some $p$, then the

| $m$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{3}{2}$ | 2 | $\frac{5}{2}$ | 3 | $\frac{7}{2}$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}(m)$ | 0 | 288 | 4416 | 75168 | 1370688 | 26195808 | 516627840 | 10420480416 |
| $g_{T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}(m)$ | 44 | 316 | 4460 | 75196 | 1370732 | 26195836 | 516627884 | 10420480444 |

Table 1. First few values of $f_{T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}(m)$ and $g_{T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}(m)$, defined in (2.9).
$q^{n}$ coefficient of (2.8) grows as $\exp \left(n^{\frac{p+1}{p+2}}\right)$; but if it grows faster than polynomial in $m$, then (2.8) exhibits Hagedorn growth ${ }^{3}$ (see appendix B).

We can of course do a very similar analysis for any symmetric orbifold $\operatorname{Sym}^{N}(X)$. We would then define the analogous functions $f_{X}(m)$ and $g_{X}(m)$ as in (2.9):

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{X}(m)= & \sum_{\substack{n>0, n \in \mathbb{Z} \\
\ell \equiv 2 m(\bmod 2) \\
\ell^{\prime} \equiv 2 m+n+1(\bmod 2)}}-c_{X}\left(n m-\frac{n \ell}{2}, \ell-\frac{c n}{6}, \ell^{\prime}\right) \\
g_{X}(m)= & \sum_{\substack{n>0, n \in \mathbb{Z} \\
\ell \equiv 2 m(\bmod 2) \\
\ell^{\prime} \equiv 2 m+n(\bmod 2)}} c_{X}\left(n m-\frac{n \ell}{2}, \ell-\frac{c n}{6}, \ell^{\prime}\right) . \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{X}$ are the coefficients of the seed theory $X$ and $c$ is the central charge of the seed theory $X$. At large $N$, the number of BPS states $n$ above the vacuum is similarly given by the $q^{n}$ term of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{m \in \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2}, m>0} \frac{\left(1+q^{m}\right)^{f_{X}(m)}}{\left(1-q^{m}\right)^{g_{X}(m)}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, we can show that at any symmetric orbifold point, $f_{X}(m)$ will grow as $e^{2 \pi m}$, which will give us a Hagedorn density of BPS states. In particular, every term in both sums of (2.11) is manifestly nonnegative. First, $f_{X}(m)$ only gets contributions from terms with odd eigenvalue under $J_{0}+\bar{J}_{0}$, which therefore gets a minus sign under the $(-1)^{F}$ in (2.1) that cancels the sign in the definition of $f_{X}(m)$. Likewise $g_{X}(m)$ only gets contributions from terms with even eigenvalue under the same operator, so each term comes positive. Thus we can get no cancellations, and can put a lower bound on the growth by looking at one term in the sum. In [5], this was estimated from the Cardy formula of the seed theory, which gives $f_{X}(m)$ growing as $e^{2 \pi m}$ at large $m$. Note that unlike in [5], no cancellations are allowed in $f_{X}(m)$ and $g_{X}(m)$; thus, we cannot get sub-Hagedorn growth.

To illustrate, we show the first few values of $f_{T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}(m)$ and $g_{T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}(m)$ in table 1 , and plot the sum in figure 1.

### 2.1.2 $\operatorname{Sym}^{N}$ (generic K3)

The $T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ theory is at a very special point in the $K 3$ moduli space. We can also consider the symmetric orbifold of a generic $K 3$ surface. The Hodge elliptic genus for a generic $K 3$

[^2]

Figure 1. A plot of $\log \left(f_{T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}(m)+g_{T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}(m)\right)$ up to $m=5$ for $T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Note the exponential growth in $m$.
was recently computed in [13], by making the simple assumption that at a generic point in the $K 3$ moduli space, the chiral algebra should not enhance: for all integers $n>0$, there should be no states with $h=n, \bar{h}=0$ (or the reverse).

For $K 3$, it turns out that this assumption, combined with knowledge of the elliptic genus, is enough to fix the Hodge elliptic genus. It is given by [13]

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{\mathrm{HEG}, K 3}(\tau, z, \nu)= & \left(2-u-u^{-1}\right) \chi_{\mathrm{vac}}(\tau, z)+Z_{\mathrm{EG}, K 3}(\tau, z) \\
= & u^{-1} y^{-1}+u y^{-1}+u^{-1} y+u y+20+\left(u^{-1} y^{-1}+u y^{-1}+u^{-1} y+u y\right. \\
& \left.-130 y-130 y^{-1}+20 y^{2}+20 y^{-2}-2 u-2 u^{-1}+220\right) q+\mathcal{O}\left(q^{2}\right) \\
:= & \sum_{m, \ell, \ell^{\prime}} c_{K 3}\left(m, \ell, \ell^{\prime}\right) q^{m} y^{\ell} u^{\ell^{\prime}} \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Z_{\mathrm{EG}, K 3}(\tau, z)$ is the elliptic genus of a $K 3$ surface and $\chi_{\mathrm{vac}}(\tau, z)$ is the Ramond vacuum character of the $\mathcal{N}=4$ algebra at $c=6 .{ }^{4}$

The analysis of the growth follows exactly the same as in section 2.1.1, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N} Z_{\mathrm{HEG}, \mathrm{Sym}^{N}(K 3)}^{\mathrm{NS}}(\tau, 1 / 2,1 / 2)=\prod_{m \in \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2}, m>0} \frac{\left(1+q^{m}\right)^{f_{K 3}(m)}}{\left(1-q^{m}\right)^{g_{K 3}(m)}}+\mathcal{O}\left(q^{N / 4}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $f_{K 3}(m)$ and $g_{K 3}(m)$ defined as in (2.11) (with $c_{X}$ replaced with $c_{K 3}$ ). The first few values are plotted below in table 2 , and plotted in figure 2 .

### 2.1.3 Supergravity

Finally we can also analyze the growth of the Hodge elliptic genus at the supergravity point in moduli space. Here, unfortunately, the conformal field theory becomes very strongly coupled, and intractable; however, we can obtain the BPS spectrum by looking at the

[^3]| $m$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{3}{2}$ | 2 | $\frac{5}{2}$ | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{K 3}(m)$ | 0 | 264 | 4160 | 71984 | 1328848 | 25602688 |
| $g_{K 3}(m)$ | 44 | 292 | 4204 | 72012 | 1328892 | 25602716 |

Table 2. First few values of $f_{K 3}(m)$ and $g_{K 3}(m)$, defined in (2.11) for a generic $K 3$ surface.


Figure 2. A plot of $\log \left(f_{K 3}(m)+g_{K 3}(m)\right)$ up to $m=3$ for a generic $K 3$. Note the exponential growth in $m$.
supergravity Kaluza-Klein modes. The $6 \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{N}=(2,0)$ supergravity KK spectrum on $\mathrm{AdS}_{3} \times$ $S^{3}$ was computed in $[2,3]$, and is organized into representations of $\mathrm{SU}(1,1 \mid 2) \times \operatorname{SU}(1,1 \mid 2)$. The KK spectrum has only short representations of $\operatorname{SU}(1,1 \mid 2) \times \operatorname{SU}(1,1 \mid 2)$, which are labelled in [2] by $\left(j, j^{\prime}\right)_{S}$. This represents a chiral primary state on both the left and right, with $L_{0}$ eigenvalue $j / 2$ and $J_{0}$ eigenvalue $j$; and $\bar{L}_{0}$ eigenvalue $j^{\prime} / 2$ and $\bar{J}_{0}$ eigenvalue $j^{\prime}$. The supergravity multiplet is then obtained by acting with global part of the $\mathcal{N}=(4,4)$ algebra. In [3], agreement with the elliptic genus is obtained by introducing an exclusion principle, which introduces a "degree" to each multiplet $\left(j, j^{\prime}\right)$. At finite $N$, the supergravity quarter-BPS spectrum is given by multiparticle states whose total degree is equal to $N$.

The short multiplets and their degrees are given in eq. (2.8) of [3]; we reproduce it below, where each triplet $\left(j, j^{\prime} ; d\right)_{S}$ gives the spins of each chiral primary, and the degree:

$$
\begin{align*}
& (m-1, m+1 ; m)_{S} \\
& (m+1 ; m+1 ; m)_{S} \\
& 20(m, m ; m)_{S} \\
& (m, m ; m+1)_{S} \\
& (m+1, m-1 ; m)_{S} . \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

In (2.15), $m=1,2,3, \ldots$

To get the full BPS spectrum, we need to include multiparticle states as well. This is given then by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \geq 0} Z_{\mathrm{HEG}, \mathrm{sugra}, n}(\tau, z, \nu) p^{n}=\prod_{n>0, m, \ell, \ell^{\prime}} \frac{1}{\left(1-p^{n} q^{m} y^{\ell} u^{\ell^{\prime}}\right)^{c_{\mathrm{sugra}}\left(n, m, \ell, \ell^{\prime}\right)}} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{\text {sugra }}\left(n, m, \ell, \ell^{\prime}\right)$ is counting single-particle states in the Hilbert space with degree $n, L_{0}$ eigenvalue $m$, $J_{0}$ eigenvalue $\ell$, and $\bar{J}_{0}$ eigenvalue $\ell^{\prime}$, weighted with a $(-1)^{F}$, and only getting contributions from supersymmetric states on the right.

If we write a generating function for the states contributing from a chiral primary of spin $j$, we get (see eq. (2.1) in [3])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}_{(j)_{S}}(-1)^{F} q^{L_{0}} y^{J_{0}}=\frac{q^{j / 2}}{(1-q)\left(y-y^{-1}\right)}\left(\left(y^{j+1}-y^{j-1}\right)-2 q^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(y^{j}-y^{-j}\right)+q\left(y^{j-1}-y^{1-j}\right)\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we need to sum over all the left-moving states that appear in (2.15), and include both the degree and the $u$-dependence from the right-moving ground states. The supergravity calculation is interpreted as the NS-NS sector of the CFT [14], so to match to the NSR elliptic genus, we spectral flow the right-movers by $1 / 2$ unit. This is done by shifting the $\bar{J}_{0}$ eigenvalue by $N$, which we interpret as the degree. This means that for each line in (2.15), we only get one charge under the $\bar{J}_{0}$ after spectral flow. Our final expression for $c_{\text {sugra }}\left(n, m, \ell, \ell^{\prime}\right)$ is then

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n, m, \ell, \ell^{\prime}} & c_{\text {sugra }}\left(n, m, \ell, \ell^{\prime}\right) p^{n} q^{m} y^{\ell} u^{\ell^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{(1-q)\left(y-y^{-1}\right)}\left(\frac{\left(u+u^{-1}\right) p^{2}}{1-q^{1 / 2} y p}\left(y^{2} q^{1 / 2}-2 y q+q^{3 / 2}\right)\right. \\
& -\frac{\left(u+u^{-1}\right) p^{2}}{1-q^{1 / 2} y^{-1} p}\left(y^{-2} q^{1 / 2}-2 y^{-1} q+q^{3 / 2}\right)+\frac{\left(u+u^{-1}\right) p}{1-q^{1 / 2} y p}\left(y^{3} q-2 y^{2} q^{3 / 2}+y q^{2}\right) \\
& -\frac{\left(u+u^{-1}\right) p}{1-q^{1 / 2} y^{-1} p}\left(y^{-3} q-2 y^{-2} q^{3 / 2}+y^{-1} q^{2}\right)+\frac{20 p}{1-q^{1 / 2} y p}\left(y^{2} q^{1 / 2}-2 y q+q^{3 / 2}\right) \\
& \left.-\frac{20 p}{1-q^{1 / 2} y^{-1} p}\left(y^{-2} q^{1 / 2}-2 y^{-1} q+q^{3 / 2}\right)\right)+\left(u+u^{-1}\right) p . \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

The first two terms in (2.18) correspond to the first and fourth lines of (2.15); the next two correspond to the second and fifth lines; and the next two correspond to the third line. Note that setting $u=1$ indeed reproduces eq. (5.9) of [3].

To find the unsigned growth of the quarter-BPS states, we can simply take (2.16), and set $y=u=-1$, as seen from (2.2). This gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n \geq 0} Z_{\mathrm{HEG}, \mathrm{sugra}, n}(\tau, 1 / 2,1 / 2) p^{n} & =\prod_{n>0, m, \ell, \ell^{\prime}} \frac{1}{\left(1-p^{n} q^{m}(-1)^{\ell+\ell^{\prime}}\right)^{c_{\mathrm{sugra}}\left(n, m, \ell, \ell^{\prime}\right)}}  \tag{2.19}\\
& =\frac{1}{(1+p)^{2}} \prod_{n>0, m>0, \ell, \ell^{\prime}} \frac{1}{\left(1-p^{n} q^{m}(-1)^{\ell+\ell^{\prime}}\right)^{c_{\text {sugra }}\left(n, m, \ell, \ell^{\prime}\right)}} .
\end{align*}
$$

To extract the large $N$ behavior of (2.19), we can set $p$ to -1 in the product. Using the form of $c_{\text {sugra }}$ in (2.18) we then get at large $N$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{N} Z_{\mathrm{HEG}, \mathrm{sugra}, N}(\tau, 1 / 2,1 / 2) & =\prod_{n>0, m>0, \ell, \ell^{\prime}} \frac{1}{\left(1-q^{m}(-1)^{n+\ell+\ell^{\prime}}\right)^{c_{\text {sugra }}\left(n, m, \ell, \ell^{\prime}\right)}} \\
& =\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(1+q^{m-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{48 m^{2}-48 m}}{\left(1-q^{m-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{48 m^{2}-48 m+44}} \frac{\left(1+q^{m}\right)^{48 m^{2}-4}}{\left(1-q^{m}\right)^{48 m^{2}+24}}  \tag{2.20}\\
& =1+44 \sqrt{q}+1106 q+20520 q^{3 / 2}+310735 q^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(q^{5 / 2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The form of (2.20) is very similar to that of (2.12), but with the analogous $f(m)+g(m)$ growing as $m^{2}$, so the $q^{n}$ coefficient grows as $\exp \left(n^{3 / 4}\right) .{ }^{5}$ In appendix B , we show it grows in particular like $\exp \left(\frac{4 \sqrt{2} \pi}{3^{3 / 4}} n^{3 / 4}\right)$. Note that this is parametrically faster than the elliptic genus, which grows as $\exp \left(n^{1 / 2}\right)$, which means that even at the supergravity point, there must be substantial cancellations between the supersymmetric states in the theory. We will explicitly see some of these cancellations in section 3 . Finally we note that we can see the $\exp \left(n^{3 / 4}\right)$ growth in the supergravity KK spectrum from a naive counting argument. ${ }^{6}$ From dimensional grounds the number of states in the full CFT at the supergravity should grow as $\exp \left(n^{5 / 6}\right)$ (due to arguments from scaling of the 6 d supergravity). However, we count only BPS states, which gives us two constraints: $\bar{h}=\bar{q} / 2$ (BPS condition), and $|h-\bar{h}| \leq 2$ (absence of higher spin). This brings us down to the $\exp \left(n^{3 / 4}\right)$ growth seen.

## $2.2 T^{4}$

We can repeat the above analysis for the D1/D5 system on $T^{4}$. Here the elliptic genus vanishes due to right-moving fermion zero-modes (though one can define a modified index that does not vanish [15]).

### 2.2.1 $\operatorname{Sym}^{N}\left(T^{4}\right)$

To get the Hodge elliptic genus at the symmetric orbifold point, we again need the Hodge elliptic genus for the seed theory. In [6], this was computed for a generic $T^{4}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{\mathrm{HEG}, T^{4}}(\tau, z, \nu)= & \left(4 \frac{\theta_{1}(\tau, z)}{\theta_{1}(\tau)} u_{-}\right)^{2} \\
= & u^{-1} y^{-1}+u^{-1} y+u y^{-1}+u y-2 u^{-1}-2 u-2 y^{-1}-2 y+4+ \\
& \left(-2 u^{-1} y^{-2}-2 u^{-1} y^{2}-2 u y^{-2}-2 u y^{2}+8 u^{-1} y^{-1}+8 u^{-1} y+8 u y^{-1}+8 u y\right. \\
& \left.\quad-12 u^{-1}-12 u-16 y^{-1}-16 y+4 y^{-2}+4 y^{2}+24\right) q+\mathcal{O}\left(q^{2}\right) \\
:= & \sum_{m, \ell, \ell^{\prime}} c_{T^{4}}\left(m, \ell, \ell^{\prime}\right) q^{m} y^{\ell} u^{\ell^{\prime}} \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

[^4]| $m$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{3}{2}$ | 2 | $\frac{5}{2}$ | 3 | $\frac{7}{2}$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{T^{4}}(m)$ | 12 | 76 | 652 | 6988 | 87180 | 1207500 | 18021132 | 284382028 |

Table 3. First few values of $f_{T^{4}}(m)$ for $T^{4}$.


Figure 3. A plot of $\log \left(2 f_{T^{4}}(m)\right)$ up to $m=5$ for $T^{4}$. Note the exponential growth in $m$.

Again, we repeat the analysis in section 2.1.1 to get the growth of states in the $\operatorname{Sym}^{N}\left(T^{4}\right)$ theory. This time however, the r.h.s. of (2.10) vanishes, since all the coefficients $c_{T^{4}}^{\mathrm{EG}}$ vanish (due to the elliptic genus of $T^{4}$ being zero). Thus the growth for the quarter BPS spectrum goes as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{N} Z_{\mathrm{HEG}, \mathrm{Sym}^{N}\left(T^{4}\right)}^{\mathrm{NS}}(\tau, 1 / 2,1 / 2) & =\prod_{\substack{n>0 \\
m \in \frac{Z}{Z}, m>0 \\
m-\frac{\ell}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}}} \frac{1}{\left(1-q^{m}(-1)^{n+\ell+\ell^{\prime}}\right)^{c_{T^{4}}\left(n m-\frac{n \ell}{2}, \ell-n, \ell^{\prime}\right)}}+\mathcal{O}\left(q^{N / 4}\right) \\
& =\prod_{m \in \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2}, m>0}\left(\frac{1+q^{m}}{1-q^{m}}\right)^{f_{T^{4}}(m)}+\mathcal{O}\left(q^{N / 4}\right) . \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

The first few values of $f_{T^{4}}(m)$ are listed in table 3 and plotted in figure 3 .

### 2.2.2 Supergravity

We can also analyze the $6 \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ supergravity spectrum on $\mathrm{AdS}_{3} \times S^{3}$. The KK spectrum will decompose as short representations of $\operatorname{SU}(1,1 \mid 2) \times \operatorname{SU}(1,1 \mid 2)$. The table of representations that show, as well as the degree we associate to each, is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (m, m ; m+1)_{S} \\
& 2(m-1, m ; m)_{S}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2(m, m-1 ; m)_{S} \\
& (m-1, m+1 ; m)_{S} \\
& (m+1, m-1 ; m)_{S} \\
& 4(m, m ; m)_{S} \\
& 2(m, m+1 ; m)_{S} \\
& 2(m+1, m ; m)_{S} \\
& (m+1, m+1 ; m)_{S} \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

$m=1,2, \ldots$. (The states with a 2 in front have the highest weight state fermionic, so they will come with a sign when we count.) Counting the single-particle states weighted with a $(-1)^{F}$ then gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n, m, \ell, \ell^{\prime}} c_{\text {sugra }}\left(n, m, \ell, \ell^{\prime}\right) p^{n} q^{m} y^{\ell} u^{\ell^{\prime}}= \\
& \quad \frac{1}{(1-q)\left(y-y^{-1}\right)}\left(\frac{\left(u+u^{-1}-2\right) p^{2}+\left(4-2 u^{-1}-2 u\right) p}{1-q^{1 / 2} y p}\left(y^{2} q^{1 / 2}-2 y q+q^{3 / 2}\right)\right. \\
& \quad-\frac{\left(u+u^{-1}-2\right) p^{2}+\left(4-2 u^{-1}-2 u\right) p}{1-q^{1 / 2} y^{-1} p}\left(y^{-2} q^{1 / 2}-2 y^{-1} q+q^{3 / 2}\right) \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{\left(u+u^{-1}-2\right) p}{1-q^{1 / 2} y p}\left(y^{3} q-2 y^{2} q^{3 / 2}+y q^{2}\right)-\frac{\left(u+u^{-1}-2\right) p}{1-q^{1 / 2} y^{-1} p}\left(y^{-3} q-2 y^{-2} q^{3 / 2}+y^{-1} q^{2}\right)\right) \\
& \quad+\left(u+u^{-1}-2\right) p \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

We then get

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n \geq 0} Z_{\mathrm{HEG}, \text { sugra }, n}(\tau, 1 / 2,1 / 2) p^{n} & =\prod_{n>0, m, \ell, \ell^{\prime}} \frac{1}{\left(1-p^{n} q^{m}(-1)^{\ell+\ell^{\prime}}\right)^{c_{\text {sugra }}\left(n, m, \ell, \ell^{\prime}\right)}}  \tag{2.25}\\
& =\frac{(1-p)^{2}}{(1+p)^{2}} \prod_{n>0, m>0, \ell, \ell^{\prime}} \frac{1}{\left(1-p^{n} q^{m}(-1)^{\ell+\ell^{\prime}}\right)^{c_{\text {sugra }}\left(n, m, \ell, \ell^{\prime}\right)}}
\end{align*}
$$

Again, we can extract the large $N$ behavior by setting $p=-1$ in the product in (2.25). This gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{N} Z_{\mathrm{HEG}, \mathrm{sugra}, N}(\tau, 1 / 2,1 / 2) & =4 \prod_{n>0, m>0, \ell, \ell^{\prime}} \frac{1}{\left(1-q^{m}(-1)^{n+\ell+\ell^{\prime}}\right)^{c_{\text {sugra }}\left(n, m, \ell, \ell^{\prime}\right)}}  \tag{2.26}\\
& =4 \prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1+q^{m / 2}}{1-q^{m / 2}}\right)^{8 m^{2}+4} \\
& =4+96 \sqrt{q}+1440 q+16768 q^{3 / 2}+165024 q^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(q^{5 / 2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

As far as asymptotics, the $q^{n}$ term in (2.26) grows as $\exp \left(\frac{4 \pi\left(2^{3 / 4}\right)}{3} n^{3 / 4}\right)$. (See appendix B for derivation.) ${ }^{7}$

[^5]
## 3 Character decomposition

In this section we now decompose the various Hodge elliptic genera computed into characters of the $\mathcal{N}=4$ algebra. These representations and their characters were studied in [18-20], we review some results below.

## $3.1 \mathcal{N}=4$ characters

We label the representations of the $\mathcal{N}=4$ superconformal algebra by the $L_{0}$ and $J_{0}$ eigenvalues of their highest weight state ( $h$ and $j$ respectively). The representations come in two types: short (or BPS) representations which have $h=\frac{j}{2}$, and long (or non-BPS) representations which have $h>\frac{j}{2}$. There are $m+1$ different short representation, corresponding to $j=0,1, \ldots, m$, and there are $m$ families of long representations labelled by $j=0,1, \ldots, m-1$, where $c=6 m$. The characters of each representation $r$, defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(\tau, z)=\operatorname{Tr}_{r}\left((-1)^{F} y^{J_{0}} q^{L_{0}}\right), \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi_{j}^{s, \mathrm{NS}}(\tau, z)= & q^{j / 2}(-1)^{j}\left(\frac{-i q^{1 / 4} \theta_{4}(\tau, z)^{2}}{\theta_{1}(\tau, 2 z) \eta(\tau)^{3}}\right) \\
& \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{(m+1) k^{2}+(j+1) k}\left(\frac{y^{2(m+1) k+j+1}}{\left(1-y q^{\left.k+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}}\right.}-\frac{y^{-2(m+1) k-j-1}}{\left(1-y^{-1} q^{k+\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{2}}\right) \\
\chi_{j, h}^{\ell, \mathrm{NS}}(\tau, z)= & q^{j / 2+h}(-1)^{j}\left(\frac{-i q^{1 / 4} \theta_{4}(\tau, z)^{2}}{\theta_{1}(\tau, 2 z) \eta(\tau)^{3}}\right) \\
& \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{(m+1) k^{2}+(j+1) k}\left(y^{2(m+1) k+j+1}-y^{-2(m+1) k-j-1}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\chi_{j}^{s, \mathrm{NS}}$ is a short representation with highest weight state of spin and weight $j / 2$, and $\chi_{j, h}^{\ell}$ is a long representation with highest weight state of spin $j / 2$ and weight $j / 2+h$. In (3.2), all the characters are computed in the NS sector Hilbert space. To get the R sector character, one simply spectral flows by $1 / 2$ unit. The R sector characters are given by ${ }^{8}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi_{j}^{s, \mathrm{R}}(\tau, z)= & (-1)^{j+m} \frac{i \theta_{1}(\tau, z)^{2}}{\theta_{1}(\tau, 2 z) \eta(\tau)^{3}} \\
& \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{q^{(m+1) k^{2}+k} y^{2(m+1) k+1}}{\left(1-y q^{k}\right)^{2}}\left(y^{m-j+1} q^{k(m-j+1)}-y^{-(m-j+1)} q^{-k(m-j+1)}\right) \\
\chi_{j, h}^{\ell, \mathrm{R}}(\tau, z)= & q^{h}(-1)^{j+m} \frac{i \theta_{1}(\tau, z)^{2}}{\theta_{1}(\tau, 2 z) \eta(\tau)^{3}} \\
& \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{(m+1) k^{2}} y^{2(m+1) k}\left(q^{k(m-j)} y^{m-j}-q^{-k(m-j)} y^{-(m-j)}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

[^6]Evaluating the long characters at $z=0$ reduces to calculating the Witten index, which vanishes for long representations, and equals a constant for short representations.

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi_{j}^{s, \mathrm{R}}(\tau, 0) & =(m+1-j)(-1)^{j+m} \\
\chi_{j, h}^{\ell, \mathrm{R}}(\tau, 0) & =0 . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, some short multiplets can combine to form long multiplets. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{j}^{s}+2 \chi_{j+1}^{s}+\chi_{j+2}^{s}=\chi_{j, 0}^{\ell} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=0,1, \ldots, m-2$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{m-1}^{s}+2 \chi_{m}^{s}=\chi_{m-1,0}^{\ell} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (3.5), (3.6) have vanishing l.h.s. when setting $z=0$, which means the elliptic genus is invariant when these short multiplets pair up.

Since we are decomposing the NS-R Hodge elliptic genus, we will use NS characters on the left, and R characters on the right. Moreover, we only get short representations on the right by definition of the Hodge elliptic genus, which means we only get integral $h$ long representations on the left (by modular invariance). Thus a general decomposition will look like

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\mathrm{HEG}}(\tau, z, \nu)=\sum_{j, \bar{j}} c_{j, \bar{j}} \chi_{j}^{s, \mathrm{NS}}(\tau, z) \bar{\chi}_{\bar{j}}^{s, \mathrm{R}}(\bar{\tau}, \nu)+\left.\sum_{j, h, \bar{j}} d_{j, h, \bar{j}} \chi_{j, h}^{\ell, \mathrm{NS}}(\tau, z) \bar{\chi}_{\bar{j}}^{s, \mathrm{R}}(\bar{\tau}, \nu)\right|_{\bar{h}=\frac{c}{24}} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2 K3 decomposition

Now we will decompose the $\operatorname{Sym}^{N}(K 3)$ Hodge elliptic genus into $\mathcal{N}=4$ characters at the three regions in moduli space we calculated in section 2.1: $\operatorname{Sym}^{N}\left(T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right), \operatorname{Sym}^{N}(K 3)$, and supergravity.

The first observation we make is that the coefficients $c_{j, \bar{j}}$ in (3.7) are independent of the moduli. These coefficients count half-BPS states, and are fully determined by the Hodge diamond of $\operatorname{Sym}^{N}(K 3)$ which is independent of moduli and given by [21]

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} & \chi_{\text {Hodge, } \operatorname{Sym}^{n}(K 3)}(z, \nu) p^{n} \\
& =\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(1-u^{-1} y^{-1} p^{k}\right)\left(1-u^{-1} y p^{k}\right)\left(1-u y^{-1} p^{k}\right)\left(1-u y p^{k}\right)\left(1-p^{k}\right)^{20}} \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The quarter-BPS state character decomposition does depend on moduli. We will look at the characters contributing to low-lying states in the Hodge elliptic genus. In (3.7), a long multiplet $\chi_{j, h}^{\ell, \mathrm{NS}}$ starts at $q^{j / 2+h}$. The lightest quarter-BPS states thus have $h=1, j=0$. We show the multiplicities of all right-moving short characters multiplying $\chi_{0,1}^{\ell}$ in table 4 .

We pause to make two points. First, at the symmetric orbifold points, we have many more states than at the supergravity point. This is indeed consistent with what was seen in section 2.1, where we showed at the symmetric orbifold point, the quarter-BPS states grew exponentially; compared to the subexponential growth at the supergravity region. Second,

| Theory | $\chi_{0,1}^{\ell} \bar{\chi}_{0}^{s}$ | $\chi_{0,1}^{\ell} \bar{\chi}_{1}^{s}$ | $\chi_{0,1}^{\ell} \bar{\chi}_{2}^{s}$ | $\chi_{0,1}^{\ell} \bar{\chi}_{3}^{s}$ | $\chi_{0,1}^{\ell} \bar{\chi}_{4}^{s}$ | $\chi_{0,1}^{\ell} \bar{\chi}_{>4}^{s}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Sym}^{N}\left(T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ | 3 | 102 | 428 | 142 | 44 | 0 |
|  |  | $\downarrow 3$ | $\downarrow 6$ | $\downarrow 3$ |  |  |
| $\operatorname{Sym}^{N}(K 3)$ | 0 | 90 | 410 | 130 | 41 | 0 |
|  |  |  | $\downarrow 90$ | $\downarrow 20$ |  |  |
| Supergravity | 0 | 0 | 210 | 0 | 21 | 0 |

Table 4. Coefficients of all short characters multiplying $\chi_{0,1}^{\ell}$ for $\operatorname{Sym}^{N}\left(T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right), \operatorname{Sym}^{N}(K 3)$, and the supergravity region. These are the coefficients $d_{0,1, \bar{j}}$ in (3.7) for $\bar{j}=0,1,2, \ldots N$. A number $n$ next to a downward-pointing arrow below short multiplet $\bar{\chi}_{j}^{s}$ represents $2 n$ short multiplets of type $j$ combining with $n$ of type $j-1$ and $j+1$ to form $n$ long multiplets.

| Long | $\bar{\chi}_{0}^{s}$ | $\bar{\chi}_{1}^{s}$ | $\bar{\chi}_{2}^{s}$ | $\bar{\chi}_{3}^{s}$ | $\bar{\chi}_{4}^{s}$ | $\bar{\chi}_{5}^{s}$ | $\bar{\chi}_{6}^{s}$ | $\bar{\chi}_{7}^{s}$ | $\bar{\chi}_{8}^{s}$ | $\bar{\chi}_{9}^{s}$ | $\bar{\chi}_{10}^{s}$ | $\bar{\chi}_{>10}^{s}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\chi_{0,1}^{\ell}$ | 0 | 0 | 210 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\chi_{1,1}^{\ell}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3542 | 0 | 484 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\chi_{2,1}^{\ell}$ | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 36961 | 0 | 6281 | 0 | 506 | 0 | 22 | 0 |
| $\chi_{0,2}^{\ell}$ | 0 | 0 | 231 | 2660 | 21526 | 420 | 3796 | 0 | 275 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Table 5. Coefficients of all short characters multiplying the first four long characters that at the supergravity region in $\operatorname{Sym}^{N}(K 3)$. Note that cancellations can (but do not) occur for $\chi_{0,2}^{\ell}$.
at the supergravity point, no more cancellations can occur amongst BPS states with $\chi_{0,1}^{\ell}$ on the left. For BPS states to "pair up", we need two of type $j$ to combine with one of type $j-1$ and $j+1$; this is impossible in the third line of table 4 . We will see, however, that this not always true in the supergravity. In table 5, we show the multiplicities of all right-moving short characters multiplying $\chi_{0,1}^{\ell}$ ( $q$ above the vacuum in the NS sector), $\chi_{1,1}^{\ell}$ ( $q^{3 / 2}$ above the vacuum in the NS sector), $\chi_{2,1}^{\ell}\left(q^{2}\right.$ above the vacuum in the NS sector), and $\chi_{0,2}^{\ell}\left(q^{2}\right.$ above the vacuum in the NS sector) at the supergravity point.

For quarter-BPS states with $\chi_{0,2}^{\ell}$ on the left, we see from table 5 that cancellations can occur but do not (for instance, $\bar{\chi}_{2}^{s}, 2 \bar{\chi}_{3}^{s}$, and $\bar{\chi}_{4}^{s}$ can pair up 231 times). In fact, this appears to be a general statement for all states with $\chi_{j, h>1}^{\ell}$ on the left. ${ }^{9}$ Assuming that supergravity has the slowest growth of low-lying states in the moduli space of $\operatorname{Sym}^{N}(K 3)$, this implies that at a generic point in the moduli space, it is not the case that short multiplets that can pair up always do pair up. It would be interesting to understand if there was, e.g. an extra symmetry that protected some of the short multiplets that do not pair up in table 5 . Another logical possibility is that there is a point in the moduli space with slower growth than supergravity, in which all short multiplets that can cancel do cancel.

Finally we end this section with a curious numerological observation. Many of the

[^7]multiplicities in table 5 decompose very nicely under sizes of irreducible representations of the sporadic Mathieu group $M_{22}$. For instance,
\[

$$
\begin{array}{llccc}
210=\mathbf{2 1 0}, & 21=\mathbf{2 1}, & 484=\mathbf{9 9}+\mathbf{3 8 5}, & 22=\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{2 1}, & 231=\mathbf{2 3 1} \\
506=\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{2 1}+\mathbf{9 9}+\mathbf{3 8 5}, & & 420=\mathbf{2 1 0}+\mathbf{2 1 0}, & 275=\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{2 1}+\mathbf{2 1}+\mathbf{2 3 1} . \tag{3.9}
\end{array}
$$
\]

where the bolded numbers in (3.9) are irreducible representations of $M_{22}$. It would be interesting if there were some CFT in the moduli space with a natural $M_{22}$ symmetry (for instance, some point in the moduli space where the supergravity interactions break a naive symmetry of the states in the $6 \mathrm{~d}(2,0)$ supergravity theory into an $M_{22}$ ). Relations between the elliptic genus of $K 3$ and the related Mathieu group $M_{24}$ have been discussed in many papers, starting with [22].

## 4 Discussion

In this paper, we analyzed the recently introduced Hodge elliptic genus [6] at various points in the moduli space of a 2d CFT with target $\operatorname{Sym}^{N}(K 3)$ and $\operatorname{Sym}^{N}\left(T^{4}\right)$. We showed that at the symmetric orbifold point of any supersymmetric sigma model with target $\operatorname{Sym}^{N}(X)$, the entropy of the low-lying quarter-BPS spectrum grows exponentially with the dimension. However, after a deformation to the large-radius supergravity point for both $K 3$ and $T^{4}$, the total entropy of the quarter-BPS spectrum scales as $\exp \left(n^{3 / 4}\right)$. This is to be contrasted with the signed quarter-BPS spectrum (the elliptic genus), whose growth scales as $\exp \left(n^{1 / 2}\right)$. This means that at the supergravity point, there are still many cancellations that do occur between BPS states.

We can make this more precise by looking at the character decomposition of this quantity. We decompose the Hodge elliptic genera computed at various points in the $\operatorname{Sym}^{N}(K 3)$ moduli space into $\mathcal{N}=4$ characters. As we move from the symmetric orbifold point to the large radius supergravity, we can explicitly see short multiplets pair up to form long multiplets, which vanish in the genus (see table 4). However, even at the supergravity point, we see many short multiplets that could potentially pair up that do not. Finally, we note that at the supergravity point, the Hodge elliptic genus decomposed into $\mathcal{N}=4$ characters suggest a possible relation to the sporadic group $M_{22}$. We conclude with a list of potentially interesting questions:

- Is the growth exhibited at the supergravity point generic in the $\operatorname{Sym}^{N}(K 3)$ and $\operatorname{Sym}^{N}\left(T^{4}\right)$ moduli space? If not, is there a point that grows slower than supergravity?
- Is there some extra symmetry preventing more quarter-BPS states from combining into nonsupersymmetric states at a generic point?
- Is there any relation between the group $M_{22}$ and the $D 1 / D 5$ system?
- Do we get anything interesting studying the decomposition of $\operatorname{Sym}^{N}\left(T^{4}\right)$ Hodge elliptic genera into contracted large $\mathcal{N}=4$ characters?
- Is there an interpretation for places in the moduli space where the Hodge elliptic genus "jumps"?
- Can the Hodge elliptic genus provide a more refined count to the black hole entropy when the elliptic genus gets the count wrong due to too many cancellations (see e.g. [23])?
- Can cancellations at generic points in the Hodge elliptic genus be used to understand the BPS spectrum in the $S$-dual of the D1/D5 system [24]? ${ }^{10}$
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## A Theta functions

We define the standard Jacobi theta functions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta_{1}(\tau, z)=-i q^{\frac{1}{8}} y^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{n}\right)\left(1-y q^{n}\right)\left(1-y^{-1} q^{n-1}\right) \\
& \theta_{2}(\tau, z)=q^{\frac{1}{8}} y^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{n}\right)\left(1+y q^{n}\right)\left(1+y^{-1} q^{n-1}\right) \\
& \theta_{3}(\tau, z)=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{n}\right)\left(1+y q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(1+y^{-1} q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
& \theta_{4}(\tau, z)=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{n}\right)\left(1-y q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(1-y^{-1} q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \tag{A.1}
\end{align*}
$$

With the second argument suppressed, we are taking it at $z=0$, except for $\theta_{1}$, where we remove the zero-mode. More explicitly

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta_{1}(\tau)=-2 i q^{\frac{1}{8}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{n}\right)^{3} \\
& \theta_{2}(\tau)=\theta_{2}(\tau, 0)=2 q^{\frac{1}{8}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{n}\right)\left(1+q^{n}\right)^{2} \\
& \theta_{3}(\tau)=\theta_{3}(\tau, 0)=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{n}\right)\left(1+q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{2} \\
& \theta_{4}(\tau)=\theta_{4}(\tau, 0)=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{n}\right)\left(1-q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{2} . \tag{A.2}
\end{align*}
$$

[^8]Finally we define the Dedekind eta function as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(\tau)=q^{1 / 24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{n}\right) \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## B Derivation of prefactor

In this appendix we derive the growth of the $q^{n}$ coefficient of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(1-q^{m}\right)^{a m^{p}}} \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(1+q^{m}\right)^{a m^{p}} \tag{B.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has been used often in section 2 . Let's first consider (B.1) where we take $q=1-\epsilon$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(1-q^{m}\right)^{a m^{p}}} & =\exp \left(-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a m^{p} \log \left(1-q^{m}\right)\right) \\
& =\exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a m^{p}}{n} q^{n m}\right) \\
& \sim \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a p!}{n\left(1-q^{n}\right)^{p+1}}\right) \\
& \sim \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a p!}{n(n \epsilon)^{p+1}}\right) \\
& \sim \exp \left(\frac{a p!\zeta(p+2)}{(-\log q)^{p+1}}\right) \tag{B.3}
\end{align*}
$$

The $q^{x}$ coefficient is given by doing the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint d q \exp \left(\frac{a p!\zeta(p+2)}{(-\log q)^{p+1}}-x \log q\right) \tag{B.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be evaluated by saddle to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\frac{1}{p+2}}(p!)^{\frac{1}{p+2}} \zeta(p+2)^{\frac{1}{p+2}}\left(\frac{p+2}{(p+1)^{\frac{p+1}{p+2}}}\right) x^{\frac{p+1}{p+2}} . \tag{B.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can evaluate the $q^{x}$ growth of (B.2) using the same strategy; the final answer gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-2^{-p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+2}} a^{\frac{1}{p+2}}(p!)^{\frac{1}{p+2}} \zeta(p+2)^{\frac{1}{p+2}}\left(\frac{p+2}{(p+1)^{\frac{p+1}{p+2}}}\right) x^{\frac{p+1}{p+2}} \tag{B.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the same as (B.5), but with $a \rightarrow\left(1-2^{-p-1}\right) a$. In (2.20), we can therefore take (B.5) with $a=96+96\left(1-2^{-p-1}\right)$ and $p=2$, giving $\frac{4 \sqrt{2} \pi}{3^{3 / 4}}$ for the prefactor in the exponential. Similarly, in (2.26), we can take (B.5) with $a=64+64\left(1-2^{-p-1}\right)$ and $p=2$, giving $\frac{4 \pi\left(2^{3 / 4}\right)}{3}$ as the prefactor in the exponential.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The divergence as $N$ goes to infinity is simply due to the large number of right-moving Ramond ground states that all contribute to the genus.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ For convenience, we use a convention where the NS vacuum is at $q^{0}$, not $q^{-c / 24}=q^{-n}$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ We know it cannot grow superexponentially since the partition function itself at any symmetric orbifold point has a Hagedorn density of states [9-12], which provides an upper bound.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ This is given in (3.3), by taking $\chi_{0}^{s, R}$ with $m=1$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ In section 2.1.1, we showed that any symmetric orbifold has $f_{X}(m)+g_{X}(m)$ growing exponentially with $m$ which leads to a Hagedorn density of states. Here, near the supergravity point, we find the analogous $f(m)+g(m)$ growing polynomially with $m$, which leads to a sub-Hagedorn density of states. There is no contradiction, of course, because we are not at the orbifold point in moduli space.
    ${ }^{6}$ We thank Christoph Keller for explaining to us this argument.

[^5]:    ${ }^{7}$ See also $[16,17]$ for analysis of the quarter-BPS spectrum at the supergravity point.

[^6]:    ${ }^{8}$ For convenience, our convention is that in the R sector, the characters are not defined as $\operatorname{Tr}_{r}\left((-1)^{F} y^{J_{0}} q^{L_{0}}\right)$, but rather as $\operatorname{Tr}_{r}\left((-1)^{F} y^{J_{0}} q^{L_{0}-\frac{c}{24}}\right)$. This way, both the NS vacuum character and R vacua characters start at $q^{0}$. Also note that we label each character by $j$ which is twice the spin in the NS sector. The spin of the highest weight state in the R sector is $(m-j) / 2$, not $j / 2$.

[^7]:    ${ }^{9}$ In fact, we knew that at the supergravity point, we had to have cancellations possible in the character decomposition - if cancellations could never occur, then the growth of the total number of quarter BPSstates would be the same as the growth of the signed sum of quarter BPS-states. But in fact the former grows as $\exp \left(n^{3 / 4}\right)$ and the latter as $\exp \left(n^{1 / 2}\right)$.

[^8]:    ${ }^{10}$ See also $[16,17]$.

