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## 1 Introduction

Exact results in interacting quantum field theories are rare. Even less is known about the six-dimensional $(2,0)$ theories, although they are the local conformal field theories (CFTs) with maximal supersymmetry in the maximum number of dimensions [1, 2], which actually play important roles in understanding lower dimensional supersymmetric physics [3-7].

The main obstacle is that the proper formulation of the interacting theories is still lacking, for instance in the path integral formalism. ${ }^{1}$ This also makes it challenging to study the theories in curved spaces. In particular it is unclear how to perform the supersymmetric localization [18-20] directly.

Recently alternative approaches to $6 d(2,0)$ theories, such as effective actions on the moduli space and the superconformal bootstrap, are advocated in [21, 22] and in [23, 24], respectively. In particular, the Weyl anomaly coefficients $a_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $c_{\mathfrak{g}}$ have been determined for the $(2,0)$ superconformal field theory (SCFT) characterized by a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g},{ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}:=\frac{a_{\mathfrak{g}}}{a_{\mathfrak{u}(1)}}=\frac{16}{7} h_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee} d_{\mathfrak{g}}+r_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad \bar{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}:=\frac{c_{\mathfrak{g}}}{c_{\mathfrak{u}(1)}}=4 h_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee} d_{\mathfrak{g}}+r_{\mathfrak{g}}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{\mathfrak{g}}, d_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $h_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee}$ are the rank, dimension and dual Coxeter number of the compact simply-laced Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, respectively. $a$ and $c$ appear generally as coefficients of the anomalous trace of the stress tensor in a six-dimensional curved background [25, 26],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T_{\mu}^{\mu}\right\rangle \sim a E_{6}+\sum_{i=1}^{3} c_{i} I_{i}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{6}$ is the Euler density while $I_{i}$ are Weyl invariants. In the presence of $(2,0)$ superconformal symmetry, $c_{i=1,2,3}$ are proportional to a single coefficient $c$. One interesting fact is that both $\bar{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\bar{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ will be uniquely fixed once we assume that they are linear combinations of the 't Hooft anomaly coefficients, $h_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee} d_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $r_{\mathfrak{g}}$. This can be done by fitting to the large $N$ values (from holography $[27-30]$ ) and the free tensor multiplet values [31, 32].

As robust observables, the 't Hooft anomalies of the continuous global symmetries in $6 d(2,0)$ theories have been worked out [33-39]. They are organized in an 8 -form anomaly polynomial,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{8}=h_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee} d_{\mathfrak{g}} \frac{p_{2}(R)}{24}+r_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{u}(1)}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{2}(R)$ is the second Pontryagin class of the field strength of the $\mathrm{SO}(5)$ R-symmetry background and $\mathcal{I}_{u(1)}$ is the anomaly polynomial of a free Abelian tensor multiplet.

As in other even dimensions, it is known that $a_{\mathfrak{g}}$ determines both the universal part ${ }^{3}$ of the sphere partition function and the universal entanglement entropy associated with a spherical entangling surface (in flat space) [40]. On the other hand, it was pointed out that $c_{\mathfrak{g}}$ determines both the 2 -point and the 3 -point functions of the stress tensor in the vacuum in flat space [23, 24]. Due to the intrinsic relations between the flat space stress tensor correlators and the nearly-round sphere partition function, it is therefore attempting to ask whether one can fully determine the partition function on a branched ( $q$-deformed) sphere, ${ }^{4}$ which is directly related to the supersymmetric Rényi entropy $S_{q}$.

[^0]Supersymmetric Rényi entropy was first introduced in three-dimensions [41-43], and later studied in four-dimensions [44, 45, 47], five-dimensions [48, 49] and for free tensor multiplets in six-dimensions [50]. ${ }^{5}$ By turning on certain R-symmetry background fields (chemical potentials), one can calculate the partition function $Z_{q}$ on a $q$-branched sphere $\mathbb{S}_{q}^{d}$, and define the supersymmetric Rényi entropy as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q}=\frac{1}{1-q}\left[\log Z_{q}(\mu(q))-q \log Z_{1}(0)\right] \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a supersymmetric refinement of the ordinary Rényi entropy (which is nonsupersymmetric because of the conical singularity). ${ }^{6}$ The quantities defined in (1.4) are UV divergent in general but one can extract universal parts free of ambiguities. For instance, for $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM in four-dimensions, the log coefficient of $S_{q}$ as a function of $q$ and three chemical potentials $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}$ (corresponding to three independent R-symmetry Cartans) has been shown to be protected from the interactions [44]. It also receives a precise check from the holographic computation on the $5 d$ BPS STU topological black holes [44]. Furthermore, there are universal relations between the Weyl anomaly coefficients $a, c$ and the supersymmetric Rényi entropy in $4 d \mathcal{N}=1,2$ SCFTs, which provides a new way to understand the Hofman-Maldacena bounds [45]. ${ }^{7}$ The above facts indicate that the supersymmetric Rényi entropy may be used as a new robust observable to understand SCFTs.

### 1.1 Summary of results

The main result in this paper is the exact supersymmetric Rényi entropy of $6 d(2,0)$ SCFTs. We show that, for theories characterized by simply-laced Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, it is given by a cubic polynomial of $\gamma:=\frac{1}{q}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\gamma}[\mathfrak{g}]=\sum_{n=0}^{3} s_{n}(\gamma-1)^{n}, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with four coefficients

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{0}=\frac{7}{12} \bar{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad s_{1}=\frac{1+2 r_{1} r_{2}}{12} \bar{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad s_{2}=\frac{r_{1} r_{2}}{12} \bar{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad s_{3}=\frac{r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}}{12} \frac{7 \bar{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}-3 \bar{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{4}, \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ are given by (1.1) and $r_{1,2}$ are background parameters denoting the weights of the two $\mathrm{U}(1)$ chemical potentials associated to the two R-symmetry Cartans, satisfying the supersymmetry constraint $r_{1}+r_{2}=1 .{ }^{8}$ The basic ingredients in our argument are the following:
(A) $S_{\gamma}$ has been computed for the free tensor multiplet by the author with collaborators [50]. The result takes the form (2.15)

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\gamma}[\mathfrak{u}(1)]=\frac{r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}}{12}(\gamma-1)^{3}+\frac{r_{1} r_{2}}{12}(\gamma-1)^{2}+\frac{1+2 r_{1} r_{2}}{12}(\gamma-1)+\frac{7}{12} . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This will be reviewed in section 2 .

[^1](B) Based on (A) and (E)(F) below, a reasonable assumption is that the general form of supersymmetric Rényi entropy for $(2,0)$ theories is a cubic polynomial in $\gamma-1$. This assumption will be used in section 3. So far we do not have a sharp argument for this assumption.
(C) The first and second derivatives of $S_{\gamma}$ at $\gamma=1$ can be expressed in terms of integrated two- and three-point functions of operators in the stress tensor multiplet, and so are proportional to $c_{\mathfrak{g}}$. This will be demonstrated in appendix A. Moreover their dependence on $r_{1,2}$ is seen to be universal. Because of this, one has
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial_{\gamma} S_{\gamma}[\mathfrak{g}]}{\partial_{\gamma} S_{\gamma}[\mathfrak{u}(1)]}\right|_{\gamma=1}=\left.\frac{\partial_{\gamma}^{2} S_{\gamma}[\mathfrak{g}]}{\partial_{\gamma}^{2} S_{\gamma}[\mathfrak{u}(1)]}\right|_{\gamma=1}=\frac{c_{\mathfrak{g}}}{c_{\mathfrak{u}(1)}} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

This will be used in section 3 .
(D) The value of $S_{\gamma}$ at $\gamma=1$ is the spherical entanglement entropy [41], which is proportional to $a_{\mathfrak{g}}$ [40]. As such, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{S_{\gamma=1}[\mathfrak{g}]}{S_{\gamma=1}[\mathfrak{u}(1)]}=\frac{a_{\mathfrak{g}}}{a_{\mathfrak{u}(1)}} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This will also be used in section 3 .
(E) The large $\gamma$ behavior of the supersymmetric Rényi entropy is controlled by the "supersymmetric Casimir energy", which has been computed in [82].9 This gives (4.26)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{\gamma}[\mathfrak{g}]}{\gamma^{3}}=\frac{r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}}{12}\left(r_{\mathfrak{g}}+h_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee} d_{\mathfrak{g}}\right) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is our main result in section 4 .
(F) $S_{\gamma}$ can be computed for the $A_{N-1}$ type $(2,0)$ theories at large $N$ using the AdS/CFT correspondence, with the result appearing in (5.19):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{S_{\gamma}\left[A_{N \rightarrow \infty}\right]}{N^{3}}=\frac{r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}}{12}(\gamma-1)^{3}+\frac{r_{1} r_{2}}{3}(\gamma-1)^{2}+\frac{1+2 r_{1} r_{2}}{3}(\gamma-1)+\frac{4}{3} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is our main result in section 5 .
From $(\mathrm{A})(\mathrm{B})(\mathrm{C})(\mathrm{D})(\mathrm{E})$ listed above, one can uniquely determine the general expression of $S_{\gamma}[\mathfrak{g}]$ given in (1.5), (1.6), as we do in section 3. The precise agreement between (F) and the large $N$ limit of (1.5) for $A_{N-1}$ type theories can be considered as a nontrivial test of our result. Except for (A), (B)-(F) are new as far as we know.

One may notice that both our result (1.5) and $a, c$ anomalies (1.1) are linear combinations of $h_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee} d_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $r_{\mathfrak{g}}$, which determine the anomaly polynomial (1.3). In fact, once such a relationship between the supersymmetric Rényi entropy and 't Hooft anomalies is assumed, (1.5) and (1.6) can be obtained by fitting to the known result of a free tensor multiplet [50] and the holographic result presented in section 5 .

[^2]This paper is organized as follows. We begin with the review of the supersymmetric Rényi entropy of free tensor multiplets in section 2 . Then we promote it to a general expression which works for general $(2,0)$ theories in section 3 . In section 4 we demonstrate a relation between the $q \rightarrow 0$ behavior of supersymmetric Rényi entropy and supersymmetric Casimir energy, which is used to determine the remaining unfixed coefficient in the general formula in the previous section. We give a precise test of our result by comparing with the holographic results in section 5 and conjecture a lower bound for $\bar{a} / \bar{c}$ in section 6 .

## 2 Review of abelian tensor multiplet

The six-dimensional $(2,0)$ superconformal algebra is $\mathfrak{o s p}\left(8^{*} \mid 4\right)$. While it is easy to identify a free Abelian tensor multiplet that realizes the $(2,0)$ superconformal symmetry, the existence of interacting $(2,0)$ theories was only inferred from decoupling limits of string constructions [62-64]. See for instance [65] for a review of various aspects of $6 d(2,0)$ theories.

Now we review the supersymmetric Rényi entropy of free tensor multiplets [50]. For free fields, the Rényi entropy associated with a spherical entangling surface in flat space can be computed by working on a hyperbolic space $\mathbb{S}_{\beta}^{1} \times \mathbb{H}^{5}$ and using heat kernel method. ${ }^{10} \mathrm{~A}$ six-dimensional $(2,0)$ tensor multiplet includes 5 real scalars, 2 Weyl fermions and a 2 -form field with self-dual strength. The 2 -form field with self-dual strength can be considered as a chiral 2 -form field with half of the degrees of freedom.

### 2.1 Heat kernel

The partition function of free fields on $\mathbb{S}_{\beta=2 \pi q}^{1} \times \mathbb{H}^{5}$ can be obtained by heat kernel method, ${ }^{11}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log Z(\beta)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d t}{t} K_{\mathbb{S}_{\beta}^{1} \times \mathbb{H}^{5}}(t), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{\mathbb{S}_{3}^{1} \times \mathbb{H}^{5}}(t)$ is the heat kernel of the associated conformal Laplacian. The kernel can be factorized when the spacetime is a direct product,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathbb{S}_{\beta}^{1} \times \mathbb{H}^{5}}(t)=K_{\mathbb{S}_{\beta}^{1}}(t) K_{\mathbb{H}^{5}}(t) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The kernel on a circle $K_{\mathbb{S}_{\beta}^{1}}(t)$ is known to be ${ }^{12}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathbb{S}_{\beta}^{1}}(t)=\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} \sum_{n \neq 0, \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\frac{-\beta^{2} n^{2}}{4 t}} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the presence of a chemical potential $\mu$, it is twisted to be [55]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{K}_{\mathbb{S}_{\beta}^{1}}(t)=\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} \sum_{n \neq 0, \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\frac{-\beta^{2} n^{2}}{4 t}+i 2 \pi n \mu+i \pi n f}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]where $f=0$ for scalars and $f=1$ for fermions. Finally the kernels on the hyperbolic space $K_{\mathbb{H}^{5}}(t)$ can be written as follows because $\mathbb{H}^{5}$ is homogeneous,
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathbb{H}^{5}}(t)=\int d^{5} x \sqrt{g} K_{\mathbb{H}^{5}}(x, x, t)=V_{5} K_{\mathbb{H}^{5}}(0, t) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

The regularized volume $V_{5}=\pi^{2} \log (\ell / \epsilon) . \epsilon$ is the UV cutoff of the theory in the original space ${ }^{13}$ and $\ell$ is the curvature radius of $\mathbb{H}^{5}$. Note that the kernels $K_{\mathbb{H}^{5}}(0, t)$ for free fields with different spins are known. See [50] and references there.

### 2.2 Rényi entropy

The total Rényi entropy of a tensor multiplet can be obtained by summing up the contributions of 5 real scalars, 2 Weyl fermions and a chiral 2-form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q}^{\mathrm{free}}=5 \times \frac{S_{q}^{s}}{2}+2 S_{q}^{f}+\frac{S_{q}^{v}}{2} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Rényi entropy for fields with different spins can be computed by using the corresponding heat kernels. For the details of this computation we refer to [50]. We will instead list the results here. The Rényi entropy of a $6 d$ real scalar is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q}^{s}=\frac{(q+1)\left(3 q^{2}+1\right)\left(3 q^{2}+2\right)}{15120 q^{5}} \frac{V_{5}}{\pi^{2}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Rényi entropy of a $6 d$ Weyl fermion is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q}^{f}=\frac{(q+1)\left(1221 q^{4}+276 q^{2}+31\right)}{120960 q^{5}} \frac{V_{5}}{\pi^{2}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that of a $6 d 2$-from field is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q}^{v}=\frac{(q+1)\left(37 q^{2}+2\right)+877 q^{4}+4349 q^{5}}{5040 q^{5}} \frac{V_{5}}{\pi^{2}} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is worth to mention that, to get the correct Rényi entropy for the two form field, one has to take into account a $q$-independent constant shift due to the edge modes [50], like what should be done for the gauge field in $4 d$ [71, 72]. Finally the Rényi entropy for a free $(2,0)$ tensor multiplet is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q}^{\mathrm{free}}=\frac{(q+1)\left(28 q^{2}+3\right)+313 q^{4}+1305 q^{5}}{2880 q^{5}} \frac{V_{5}}{\pi^{2}} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has been checked that $\partial_{q=1}^{0}, \partial_{q=1}^{1}$ and $\partial_{q=1}^{2}$ of $S_{q}^{\text {free }}$ are consistent [50] with the previous results about the tensor multiplet [31, 32, 73].

[^4]
## $2.3 S_{q}$ and $S_{\gamma}$

Before moving on, let us represent $S_{q}^{\text {free }}$ in terms of

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{\gamma}:=\frac{\pi^{2}}{V_{5}} S_{q}, \text { with } \gamma:=1 / q \\
S_{\gamma}^{\text {free }}=\frac{1}{960}(\gamma-1)^{5}+\frac{1}{160}(\gamma-1)^{4}+\frac{7}{288}(\gamma-1)^{3}+\frac{1}{18}(\gamma-1)^{2}+\frac{\gamma-1}{6}+\frac{7}{12} \tag{2.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

The reason why $S_{\gamma}$ is convenient is that, the series expansion near $\gamma=1$ has finite terms while the expansion of $S_{q}$ near $q=1$ has infinite terms. We will use $S_{\gamma}$ instead of $S_{q}$ to express Rényi entropy and supersymmetric Rényi entropy from now on. It is worth to note the relations between the derivatives with respect to $q$ and the derivatives with respect to $\gamma$ at $q=1 / \gamma=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\gamma} S_{\gamma}=-\left.\partial_{q} S_{q}\right|_{q=1 / \gamma=1} \cdot \frac{\pi^{2}}{V_{5}}, \quad \partial_{\gamma}^{2} S_{\gamma}=\left.\left(2 \partial_{q} S_{q}+\partial_{q}^{2} S_{q}\right)\right|_{q=1 / \gamma=1} \cdot \frac{\pi^{2}}{V_{5}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.4 Supersymmetric Rényi entropy

The supersymmetric Rényi entropy of a free tensor multiplet can be computed by the twisted kernel (2.4) on the supersymmetric background. The R-symmetry group of $6 d(2,0)$ theories is $\mathrm{SO}(5)$, which has two $\mathrm{U}(1)$ Cartans. Therefore one can turn on two independent R-symmetry background gauge fields (chemical potentials) to twist the boundary conditions for scalars and fermions along the replica circle $\mathbb{S}_{\beta}^{1}$. A general analysis of the Killing spinor equation on the conic space $\left(\mathbb{S}_{q}^{6}\right.$ or $\mathbb{S}_{\beta=2 \pi q}^{1} \times \mathbb{H}^{5}$ ) leads to the solution of the R-symmetry chemical potential [50] ${ }^{14}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(q):=k_{i} A^{i}=\frac{q-1}{2} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ being the R-charges of the Killing spinor under the two $\mathrm{U}(1)$ Cartans, respectively. We choose $k_{1}=k_{2}=\frac{1}{2}$ and the two background fields can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{1}=(q-1) r_{1}, \quad A^{2}=(q-1) r_{2}, \quad \text { with } \quad r_{1}+r_{2}=1 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the most general background satisfying (2.13). For each component field in the tensor multiplet, one has to first figure out the Cartan charges $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ and then compute the chemical potential by $k_{1} A^{1}+k_{2} A^{2}$. Then one can compute the free energy on $\mathbb{S}_{\beta}^{1} \times \mathbb{H}^{5}$ using the twisted heat kernel and get the supersymmetric Rényi entropy. For details, see [50].

After summing up all the component fields, the final supersymmetric Rényi entropy in terms of $\gamma$ can be expressed as, ${ }^{15}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\gamma}[\mathfrak{u}(1)]=\frac{1}{12} r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}(\gamma-1)^{3}+\frac{1}{12} r_{1} r_{2}(\gamma-1)^{2}+\frac{1}{12}\left(1+2 r_{1} r_{2}\right)(\gamma-1)+\frac{7}{12} . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^5]It is worth to note that, for a single $\mathrm{U}(1)$ background, $r_{1}=1, r_{2}=0$, the result becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\gamma}=\frac{1}{12}(\gamma+6), \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

while for two $\mathrm{U}(1)$ backgrounds with equal values, $r_{1}=r_{2}=\frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\gamma}=\frac{1}{192}(\gamma-1)^{3}+\frac{1}{48}(\gamma-1)^{2}+\frac{1}{8}(\gamma-1)+\frac{7}{12} . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Interacting (2,0) theories

Given the supersymmetric Rényi entropy (2.15) for a free tensor multiplet, now we promote it to a general form which works for general $(2,0)$ SCFTs,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\gamma}[\mathfrak{g}]=\frac{r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}}{12} \cdot A(\gamma-1)^{3}+\frac{r_{1} r_{2}}{12} \cdot B(\gamma-1)^{2}+\frac{1+2 r_{1} r_{2}}{12} \cdot C(\gamma-1)+\frac{7}{12} D, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $A, B, C, D$ will depend on the specific theory. As stated in the introduction, the assumption that $S_{\gamma}[\mathfrak{g}]$ is a cubic polynomial of $\gamma-1$ is based on both the free multiplet result and the holographic result (as we will see in section 5). ${ }^{16}$ Their dependence on $r_{1,2}$ is universal because $r_{1,2}$ originally come from the $\alpha_{i}\left(\alpha_{1}=r_{1}, \alpha_{2}=r_{2}\right)$ in (A.23), (A.27), which are background parameters independent of the specific theory. Later we will see that precisely the same $r_{1,2}$ dependence appears in the holographic supersymmetric Rényi entropy (5.19), which confirms this fact.

## $3.1 \quad S_{\gamma=1}$ and $a_{\mathfrak{g}}$

We would like to first determine the coefficient $D$ in (3.1). Recall that the entanglement entropy associated with a spherical entangling surface, $S_{\gamma=1}$, is proportional to the $a$-type Weyl anomaly. This is true for general CFTs in even dimensions as shown in [40]. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{S_{\gamma=1}[\mathfrak{g}]}{S_{\gamma=1}[\mathfrak{u}(1)]}=\frac{a_{\mathfrak{g}}}{a_{\mathfrak{u}(1)}} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This allows us to fix

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\frac{a_{\mathfrak{g}}}{a_{\mathfrak{u}(1)}}=\frac{16}{7} h_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee} d_{\mathfrak{g}}+r_{\mathfrak{g}}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the $a$-type Weyl anomaly result in $6 d(2,0)$ theories [22].

[^6]
## $3.2 \partial_{\gamma} S_{\gamma=1}, \partial_{\gamma}^{2} S_{\gamma=1}$ and $c_{\mathfrak{g}}$

The coefficients $C$ and $B$ in (3.1) are determined by the first and the second $\gamma$-derivatives of $S_{\gamma}$ at $\gamma=1$, respectively. $\gamma$-derivatives can be translated into $q$-derivatives. Taking $q$ derivatives is equal to taking derivatives with respect to background fields, therefore $\partial_{\gamma} S_{\gamma=1}$ and $\partial_{\gamma}^{2} S_{\gamma=1}$ are intrinsically related to the corresponding correlators. This is illustrated in appendix A .

More explicitly, the first $\gamma$-derivative (which is minus the $q$-derivative at $q=1 / \gamma=1$ ) is determined by a linear combination of the integrated stress tensor 2-point function and the integrated R-current 2-point function. The first $q$-derivative at $q=1$ is given by the equation (A.23),

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q=1}^{\prime}=-V_{d-1}\left(\frac{\pi^{\frac{d}{2}+1} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)(d-1)}{(d+1)!} C_{T}-\alpha^{2} \frac{\pi^{\frac{d+3}{2}}}{2^{d-3}(d-1) \Gamma\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right)} C_{v}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which works for general SCFTs with conserved R -symmetries in $d$-dimensions.
Similarly the second $\gamma$-derivative at $\gamma=1$ is related to $q$-derivatives by (2.12). The second $q$-derivative at $q=1$ is determined by a linear combination of the integrated stress tensor 3-point function, the integrated R-current 3-point function and some mixed 3-point functions. This is given explicitly by (A.27)

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q=1}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{6} I_{q=1}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{4 \pi^{3}}{3}\left[\langle\hat{E} \hat{E} \hat{E}\rangle^{c}-\alpha^{3}\langle\hat{Q} \hat{Q} \hat{Q}\rangle^{c}-3 \alpha\langle\hat{E} \hat{E} \hat{Q}\rangle^{c}+3 \alpha^{2}\langle\hat{E} \hat{Q} \hat{Q}\rangle^{c}\right]_{\mathbb{S}_{q=1}^{1} \times \mathbb{H}^{d-1}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which also works for general SCFTs with conserved R-symmetries in $d$-dimensions.
In the particular case of $6 d(2,0)$ SCFTs, the operators in the above two- and threepoint functions stay in the same multiplet, the stress tensor multiplet. Therefore both the first and second derivative of $S_{\gamma}$ at $\gamma=1$ are proportional to the central charge $c_{\mathfrak{g}}$ (1.1), as discussed in detail in appendix A. ${ }^{17}$ Because of this, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial_{\gamma} S_{\gamma}[\mathfrak{g}]}{\partial_{\gamma} S_{\gamma}[\mathfrak{u}(1)]}\right|_{\gamma=1}=\left.\frac{\partial_{\gamma}^{2} S_{\gamma}[\mathfrak{g}]}{\partial_{\gamma}^{2} S_{\gamma}[\mathfrak{u}(1)]}\right|_{\gamma=1}=\frac{c_{\mathfrak{g}}}{c_{\mathfrak{u}(1)}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This actually means we can fix

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=C=4 h_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee} d_{\mathfrak{g}}+r_{\mathfrak{g}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The remaining coefficient $A$ will be fixed by (4.26) in section 4

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=h_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee} d_{\mathfrak{g}}+r_{\mathfrak{g}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

by studying the asymptotic $q:=1 / \gamma \rightarrow 0$ behavior of the supersymmetric Rényi entropy. Obviously, the leading contribution in the limit $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$ is controlled only by $A$.

[^7]
### 3.3 A closed formula

As a summary, we can uniquely determine a closed formula of supersymmetric Rényi entropy for $(2,0)$ SCFTs characterized by simply-laced Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{\gamma}[\mathfrak{g}]= & \frac{r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}}{12}\left(h_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee} d_{\mathfrak{g}}+r_{\mathfrak{g}}\right)(\gamma-1)^{3}+\frac{r_{1} r_{2}}{12}\left(4 h_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee} d_{\mathfrak{g}}+r_{\mathfrak{g}}\right)(\gamma-1)^{2} \\
& +\frac{1+2 r_{1} r_{2}}{12}\left(4 h_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee} d_{\mathfrak{g}}+r_{\mathfrak{g}}\right)(\gamma-1)+\left(\frac{4 h_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee} d_{\mathfrak{g}}}{3}+\frac{7 r_{\mathfrak{g}}}{12}\right)  \tag{3.9}\\
= & \frac{r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}}{48}\left(7 \bar{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}-3 \bar{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}\right)(\gamma-1)^{3}+\frac{r_{1} r_{2}}{12} \bar{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\gamma-1)^{2}+\frac{1+2 r_{1} r_{2}}{12} \bar{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\gamma-1)+\frac{7}{12} \bar{a}_{\mathfrak{g}} \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the last line we have used the normalized Weyl anomalies defined in (1.1).
For a single $U(1)$ chemical potential,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}=1, \quad r_{2}=0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

the result is simplified to be

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{\gamma}[\mathfrak{g}] & =\frac{1}{12} \bar{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\gamma-1)+\frac{7}{12} \bar{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}  \tag{3.12}\\
& =h_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee} d_{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\frac{1}{3} \gamma+1\right)+r_{\mathfrak{g}} \frac{(\gamma+6)}{12} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

As for two $U(1)$ chemical potentials with equal values,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}=r_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

the result is simplified to be

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{\gamma}[\mathfrak{g}] & =\frac{1}{192 \times 4}\left(7 \bar{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}-3 \bar{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}\right)(\gamma-1)^{3}+\frac{1}{48} \bar{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\gamma-1)^{2}+\frac{1}{8} \bar{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\gamma-1)+\frac{7}{12} \bar{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}  \tag{3.15}\\
& =\frac{175+67 \gamma+13 \gamma^{2}+\gamma^{3}}{192} h_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee} d_{\mathfrak{g}}+\frac{91+19 \gamma+\gamma^{2}+\gamma^{3}}{192} r_{\mathfrak{g}} \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

## $4 \quad q \rightarrow 0$ asymptotics

In this section we discuss the relation between the $q \rightarrow 0$ limit ( $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$ ) of supersymmetric Rényi entropy $S_{q}$ and supersymmetric Casimir energy. Recall the definition of $S_{q}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q}=\frac{q I_{1}-I_{q}}{1-q} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming that in the limit $q \rightarrow 0$ the free energy behaves

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{q}=I_{(0)} q^{-\alpha}+\cdots \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \geq 0$, one can easily get

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q \rightarrow 0}=-I_{q \rightarrow 0} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the leading order. This relation does not depend on which geometric background we are working on.

The idea is that, $\mathbb{S}_{q}^{d}$ can be conformally mapped to $\mathbb{H}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}_{q}^{d-1}$, therefore the Rényi entropy (or supersymmetric) is invariant [40]. In the case with supersymmetry, one has to make sure that in the limit $q \rightarrow 0$, the background field on $\mathbb{S}_{q}^{d}$ coincides with that on $\mathbb{H}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}_{q}^{d-1}$. If that is the case, the asymptotic supersymmetric Rényi entropy $S_{q \rightarrow 0}$ on $\mathbb{S}_{q}^{d}$ will coincide with the minus free energy on $\mathbb{H}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}_{q \rightarrow 0}^{d-1}$. The latter is determined by the supersymmetric Casimir energy [77]. We will illustrate the details in the following.

### 4.1 From $\mathbb{S}_{q}^{d}$ to $\mathbb{H}^{d-p} \times \mathbb{S}_{q}^{p}$

We start with the conformal transformation from conic sphere $\mathbb{S}_{q}^{d}$ to hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^{d-p} \times \mathbb{S}_{q}^{p}$. Of course $\mathbb{S}_{q}^{d}$ can be considered as the special case of $p=d$.

In the particular case $p=1$, the transformation is nothing but the Weyl transformation discussed in [40], which offers a convenient way to compute Rényi entropy of CFTs. In this case, the branched $d$-sphere is described as ${ }^{18}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=\sin ^{2} \theta q^{2} \mathrm{~d} \tau^{2}+\mathrm{d} \theta^{2}+\cos ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d}^{2} \Omega_{d-2} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with domains of coordinates given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau \in[0,2 \pi), \quad \theta \in\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right] \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\Omega_{d-2}$ is a standard $d$-2-dimensional round sphere. The metric (4.4) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=\sin ^{2} \theta\left(q^{2} \mathrm{~d} \tau^{2}+\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \theta} \mathrm{~d} \theta^{2}+\cot ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d}^{2} \Omega_{d-2}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be related to the following space by dropping an overall factor $\sin ^{2} \theta$ and using a coordinate transformation $\cot \theta=\sinh \eta$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=q^{2} \mathrm{~d} \tau^{2}+\mathrm{d} \eta^{2}+\sinh ^{2} \eta \mathrm{~d}^{2} \Omega_{d-2} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta \in[0,+\infty)$. This is the space of $\mathbb{H}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{S}_{q}^{1}$, which indeed fits the case of $p=1$.
Now we consider the general cases, $1 \leq p<d$. The key observation is that, the branched sphere can be presented in different forms. For instance, we can represent $\mathbb{S}_{q}^{d}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=\sin ^{2} \theta\left(\mathrm{~d} \chi^{2}+\sin ^{2} \chi q^{2} \mathrm{~d} \tau^{2}\right)+\mathrm{d} \theta^{2}+\cos ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d}^{2} \Omega_{d-3} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with domains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi \in[0, \pi], \quad \tau \in[0,2 \pi), \quad \theta \in\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right] \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\Omega_{d-3}$ is a standard $d$-3-dimensional round sphere. Again by dropping an overall factor $\sin ^{2} \theta$ and using a coordinate transformation $\cot \theta=\sinh \eta$ for the metric (4.8), one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=\mathrm{d} \chi^{2}+\sin ^{2} \chi q^{2} \mathrm{~d} \tau^{2}+\mathrm{d} \eta^{2}+\sinh ^{2} \eta \mathrm{~d}^{2} \Omega_{d-3} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^8]which is the space $\mathbb{H}^{d-2} \times \mathbb{S}_{q}^{2}$ with $p=2$. One can follow the same way to eventually figure out the Weyl transformations between $\mathbb{S}_{q}^{d}$ and $\mathbb{H}^{d-p} \times \mathbb{S}_{q}^{p}$ for any integer $1 \leq p<d$.

Since the Rényi entropy on $\mathbb{S}_{q}^{d}$ can not depend on which particular circle we choose to create the conical singularity, one eventually arrives at the conclusion by employing the same argument in [40]: ${ }^{19}$

The universal part of $C F T_{d}$ Rényi entropy is invariant on $\mathbb{H}^{d-p} \times \mathbb{S}_{q}^{p}$ for different integer $p$, where $1 \leq p \leq d$.

For later purpose, let us discuss the particular case $p=d-1$. In this case we describe the branched sphere $\mathbb{S}_{q}^{d}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=\sin ^{2} \theta\left(\mathrm{~d} \chi^{2}+\sin ^{2} \chi q^{2} \mathrm{~d} \tau^{2}+\cos ^{2} \chi \mathrm{~d}^{2} \Omega_{d-3}\right)+\mathrm{d} \theta^{2}, \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with domains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi \in\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right], \quad \tau \in[0,2 \pi), \quad \theta \in[0, \pi] . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again by dropping an overall factor $\sin ^{2} \theta$ for the metric (4.11), one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=\mathrm{d} \chi^{2}+\sin ^{2} \chi q^{2} \mathrm{~d} \tau^{2}+\cos ^{2} \chi \mathrm{~d}^{2} \Omega_{d-3}+\mathrm{d} \eta^{2}, \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\cot \theta=\sinh \eta$ and $\eta \in(-\infty,+\infty)$. This is the space $\mathbb{S}_{q}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{H}^{1}$. Here we use $\mathbb{H}^{1}$ instead of $\mathbb{R}^{1}$ to emphasize that the volume of $\mathbb{H}^{d}$ may be regularized. For free fields, one can compute the CFT Rényi entropy on $\mathbb{S}_{q}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{H}^{1}$ and show explicitly that the result agrees with that computed from $\mathbb{S}_{q}^{d}$ or $\mathbb{S}_{q}^{1} \times \mathbb{H}^{d-1}$. In consideration of supersymmetry, one has to add a background field $A_{\tau}$ along the replica $\tau$ circle inside $\mathbb{S}_{q}^{d-1}$, in order to find the agreement.

### 4.2 Coincidence of backgrounds

Our main concern is physical quantities for CFTs. For this purpose we can work on $\mathbb{S}_{\sqrt{q}}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{H}_{1 / \sqrt{q}}^{1}$ instead of $\mathbb{S}_{q}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{H}^{1}$ because they are related by a scale transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\left[\mathbb{S}_{q}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{H}^{1}\right]=\left[\mathbb{S}_{\sqrt{q}}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{H}_{1 / \sqrt{q}}^{1}\right] . \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, we focus on the limit $q \rightarrow 0$. For this purpose, one can instead consider $\mathbb{S}_{\sqrt{q}}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{S}_{1 / \sqrt{q}}^{1}$ because it is equivalent to $\mathbb{S}_{\sqrt{q}}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{H}_{1 / \sqrt{q}}^{1}$ in the limit $q \rightarrow 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{S}_{\sqrt{q}}^{d-1} \times\left.\mathbb{H}_{1 / \sqrt{q}}^{1}\right|_{q \rightarrow 0}=\mathbb{S}_{\sqrt{q}}^{d-1} \times\left.\mathbb{S}_{1 / \sqrt{q}}^{1}\right|_{q \rightarrow 0} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In consideration of supersymmetry, one can use the squashed sphere $\widetilde{\mathbb{S}}_{\sqrt{q}}^{d-1}$ to replace the conic sphere $\mathbb{S}_{\sqrt{q}}^{d-1}$ in the right hand side of (4.15), because supersymmetric partition functions do not depend on the resolving factor [42, 49, 78-81]. ${ }^{20}$ Eq. (4.15) is useful in the

[^9]sense that it offers a way to compute the asymptotic supersymmetric Rényi entropy for interacting SCFTs. To do this, one has to make sure that the background gauge field on $\mathbb{S}_{\sqrt{q}}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{S}_{1 / \sqrt{q}}^{1}$ agrees with that on the original space $\mathbb{S}_{q}^{d}$. Fortunately we have more knowledge about supersymmetric partition functions on $\mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{1}$ or its generalized version $\mathbb{S}_{b}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{S}_{\beta}^{1}$, where $b$ is the squashing parameter.

### 4.3 Squashed Casimir energy

Now we make a connection between the asymptotic Rényi entropy and Casimir energy. It is known that the partition function $Z$ on $\mathbb{S}_{b}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{S}_{\beta}^{1}$ is determined by the Casimir energy on $\mathbb{S}_{b}^{d-1}$ in the limit $\beta \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{c}:=-\lim _{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \partial_{\beta} \log Z(\beta), \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to say

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \log Z(\beta)=-\beta E_{c} . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this work, we concern the case with supersymmetry. In the particular case of $6 d(2,0)$ theories, the supersymmetric Casimir energy has been studied in [82], ${ }^{21}$ where the authors considered a general 5 -sphere with squashing parameters $\vec{\omega}=\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}\right)$. The squashing parameters are defined as parameters appearing in the Killing vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\omega_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{1}}+\omega_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{2}}+\omega_{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{3}}, \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}$ are three circles representing $\mathrm{U}(1)^{3}$ isometries of $\mathbb{S}^{5}$. The supersymmetric Casimir energy of an interacting $(2,0)$ theory is [82]

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathfrak{g}}=r_{\mathfrak{g}} E_{\mathfrak{u}(1)}-d_{\mathfrak{g}} h_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee} \frac{\sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}}{24 \omega_{1} \omega_{2} \omega_{3}}, \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ are chemical potentials for the two Cartans of the $\mathrm{SO}(5) \mathrm{R}$-symmetry and $E_{\mathfrak{u}(1)}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathfrak{u}(1)}=-\frac{1}{48 \omega_{1} \omega_{2} \omega_{3}}\left[\sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}-\sum_{i<j} \omega_{i}^{2} \omega_{j}^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left(\sum_{j} \omega_{j}^{2}-\sigma_{1}^{2}-\sigma_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}\right] . \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the particular case of $\mathbb{S}_{q}^{5} \times \mathbb{S}^{1}$ (which is equivalent to $\mathbb{S}_{\sqrt{q}}^{5} \times \mathbb{S}_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}}^{1}$ for CFTs), we should identify the shape parameters as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{1}=\omega_{2}=1, \quad \omega_{3}=\frac{1}{q} . \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the limit $q \rightarrow 0$, in order to match our chemical potentials (2.14), we set $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ as $^{22}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{1}^{2}(q \rightarrow 0)=\frac{r_{1}^{2}}{q^{2}}, \quad \sigma_{2}^{2}(q \rightarrow 0)=\frac{r_{2}^{2}}{q^{2}}, \quad \text { with } \quad r_{1}+r_{2}=1 \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^10]Evaluating (4.19) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.E_{\mathfrak{g}}\right|_{q \rightarrow 0}=-\frac{1}{24} \frac{r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}}{q^{3}}\left(r_{\mathfrak{g}}+d_{\mathfrak{g}} h_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee}\right) . \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the free energy ${ }^{23}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left[\mathbb{S}_{q \rightarrow 0}^{5} \times \mathbb{S}^{1}\right]=\left.\frac{1}{\pi^{3}} \beta E_{\mathfrak{g}}\right|_{q \rightarrow 0}=-\frac{1}{12 \pi^{2}} \frac{r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}}{q^{3}}\left(r_{\mathfrak{g}}+d_{\mathfrak{g}} h_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee}\right) \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have divided a $q$-independent volume factor $\operatorname{Vol}\left[\mathbb{D}^{4} \times \mathbb{S}^{1}\right]=\pi^{3}$. Due to (4.15), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left[\mathbb{S}_{q \rightarrow 0}^{5} \times \mathbb{S}^{1}\right]=f\left[\mathbb{S}_{q \rightarrow 0}^{1} \times \mathbb{H}^{5}\right] \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we obtain the asymptotic supersymmetric Rényi entropy on $\mathbb{S}_{q}^{1} \times \mathbb{H}^{5}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q \rightarrow 0}[\mathfrak{g}]=-I_{q \rightarrow 0}[\mathfrak{g}]=\frac{1}{12} \frac{r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}}{q^{3}}\left(r_{\mathfrak{g}}+d_{\mathfrak{g}} h_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee}\right) \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

This fixes the undetermined coefficient $A$ in (3.1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=r_{\mathfrak{g}}+h_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee} d_{\mathfrak{g}} \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that the fact that the free limit of (4.26) precisely agrees with the leading large $\gamma$ term of (2.15) by itself is nontrivial, which confirms the validity of (4.25) in the free case.

## 5 Large $N$ limit

In the large $N$ limit of the $(2,0)$ theory with $\mathfrak{g}=A_{N-1}$, the supersymmetric Rényi entropy (3.9) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{S_{\gamma}\left[A_{N \rightarrow \infty}\right]}{N^{3}}= & \frac{1}{12} r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}(\gamma-1)^{3}+\frac{4}{12} r_{1} r_{2}(\gamma-1)^{2} \\
& +\frac{4}{12}\left(1+2 r_{1} r_{2}\right)(\gamma-1)+\frac{4}{3} \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

We will demonstrate in this section that the above large $N$ result precisely agrees with the holographic result from the seven-dimensional BPS topological black hole in gauged supergravity.

### 5.1 Gauged supergravity

The seven-dimensional gauged SO(5) supergravity can be obtained by Kaluza-Klein reduction of eleven-dimensional supergravity on $\mathbb{S}^{4}$. For our purpose, we consider a truncation where only the metic, two gauge fields associated to two Cartans of $\mathrm{SO}(5)$ and two scalars are retained. The seven-dimensional Lagrangian is given by [86]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \mathcal{L}=R-\frac{1}{2}(\partial \vec{\phi})^{2}-\frac{4}{L^{2}} V-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{1}{X_{i}^{2}}\left(F_{(2)}^{i}\right)^{2} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^11]where $\vec{\phi}=\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)$ are two scalars and
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i}=e^{-\frac{1}{2} \vec{a}_{i} \cdot \vec{\phi}}, i=1,2 . \quad \vec{a}_{1}=\left(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}\right), \quad \vec{a}_{2}=\left(-\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

The potential is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=-4 X_{1} X_{2}-2 X_{0} X_{1}-2 X_{0} X_{2}+\frac{1}{2} X_{0}^{2}, \quad X_{0}=\frac{1}{X_{1} X_{2}} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for two equal scalars and two equal gauge strengths, the Lagrangian (5.2) can be further truncated. Turn to the CFT side, $6 \mathrm{~d}(2,0)$ theories have global $\mathrm{SO}(5)$ R-symmetry, which corresponds to the $\mathrm{SO}(5)$ gauge group in the bulk supergravity. Also there could be two $\mathrm{U}(1)$ background fields used to compensate the singularity on $\mathbb{S}_{q}^{6}$, which correspond to $A^{1}, A^{2}$ in the gauged supergravity.

### 5.2 Topological black hole

The 2-charge $7 d$ AdS black hole solution for (5.2) was found in [86]

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} s_{7}^{2} & =-\frac{1}{\left[h_{1} h_{2}\right]^{\frac{4}{5}}} f(r) \mathrm{d} t^{2}+\left[h_{1} h_{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{5}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} r^{2}}{f(r)}+r^{2} \mathrm{~d} \Omega_{5, k}^{2}\right) \\
f(r) & =k-\frac{m}{r^{4}}+\frac{r^{2}}{L^{2}} h_{1} h_{2}, \quad h_{i}=1+\frac{q_{i}}{r^{4}} \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

together with scalars and gauge fields

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i}=\frac{\left[h_{1} h_{2}\right]^{\frac{2}{5}}}{h_{i}}, \quad A^{i}=\left[\sqrt{k}\left(\frac{1}{h_{i}}-1\right)+\mu_{i}\right] \mathrm{d} t \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{d} \Omega_{5, k}^{2}$ is the metric on a unit $\mathbb{S}^{5}, \mathbb{T}^{5}$ or $\mathbb{H}^{5}$ corresponding to $k=1,0,-1$, respectively. Since our concern is the $6 d \mathrm{SCFT}$ on $\mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{H}^{5}$, we are particularly interested in the extremal solution with hyperbolic foliation, where $m=0$ and $k=-1$. We will first proceed in Lorentz signature and assume a well-defined Wick rotation.

The solution (5.5) is a BPS topological black hole with two charges. For convenience, define a rescaled charge

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{i}=\frac{q_{i}}{r_{H}^{4}} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the horizon $r_{H}$ is the largest root of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(r_{H}\right)=0 \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the horizon can be expressed in terms of $\kappa_{i}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{H}=\frac{L}{\sqrt{\left(1+\kappa_{1}\right)\left(1+\kappa_{2}\right)}} . \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hawking temperature of this black hole is

$$
\begin{align*}
T & =\left.\frac{f^{\prime}(r)}{4 \pi \sqrt{h_{1} h_{2}}}\right|_{r=r_{H}} \\
& =\frac{1-\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{2}-3 \kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}}{2 \pi L\left(1+\kappa_{1}\right)\left(1+\kappa_{2}\right)} \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$

When all charges vanish, we get to the temperature of the uncharged black hole

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{0}=\frac{1}{2 \pi L} . \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Bekenstein-Hawking entropy is given by the outer horizon area

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{V_{5} L^{5}}{4 G_{7}} \frac{1}{\left(1+\kappa_{1}\right)^{2}\left(1+\kappa_{2}\right)^{2}}, \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{7}$ is the seven dimensional Newton constant and $V_{5}$ is the regularized volume of $\mathbb{H}^{5}$. The total charge $Q_{i}$ can be computed by Gauss law

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{i} & =\frac{1}{16 \pi G_{7}} \int_{r \rightarrow \infty}-\sqrt{g} F^{r t}=\frac{V_{5}}{4 \pi G_{7}} i q_{i} \\
& =\frac{V_{5} L^{4}}{4 \pi G_{7}} \frac{i \kappa_{i}}{\left(1+\kappa_{1}\right)^{2}\left(1+\kappa_{2}\right)^{2}} . \tag{5.13}
\end{align*}
$$

The chemical potential is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{i}=\frac{i}{\kappa_{i}^{-1}+1} . \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.3 Precise check

To match the background gauge fields of the boundary CFT, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}=i(1-\gamma) \frac{r_{1}}{2}, \quad \mu_{2}=i(1-\gamma) \frac{r_{2}}{2}, \quad \text { with } \quad r_{1}+r_{2}=1 \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using these inputs, we can solve $\kappa_{1}$ and $\kappa_{2}$ by (5.14). Then all physical quantities $T, S, Q_{i}$ can be worked out explicitly. One can eventually compute the holographic supersymmetric Rényi entropy using the formula derived in [42]

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q}=\frac{q}{1-q} \int_{q}^{1}\left(\frac{S(n)}{n^{2}}-\frac{Q_{i}(n) \mu_{i}^{\prime}(n)}{T_{0}}\right) \mathrm{d} n . \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Written in terms of $\gamma:=1 / q$, the result is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\gamma}=\frac{L^{5} \pi^{2}}{4 G_{7}}\left[\frac{r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}(\gamma-1)^{3}}{16}+\frac{\left(1+2 r_{1} r_{2}\right)(\gamma-1)}{4}+\frac{(\gamma-1)^{2} r_{1} r_{2}}{4}+1\right] . \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By identifying the bulk and boundary parameters,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{L^{5} \pi^{2}}{4 G_{7}}=\frac{4}{3} N^{3}, \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

one can write the holographic result as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\gamma}=N^{3}\left(\frac{r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}(\gamma-1)^{3}}{12}+\frac{\left(1+2 r_{1} r_{2}\right)(\gamma-1)}{3}+\frac{(\gamma-1)^{2} r_{1} r_{2}}{3}+\frac{4}{3}\right) . \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This precisely agrees with the field theory result (5.1).

## 6 A possible $a / c$ bound

As what has been observed in $4 d$ SCFTs [45], the Rényi entropy inequalities indicate $a / c$ bounds in field theories ${ }^{24}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{q} H_{q} & \leq 0,  \tag{6.1}\\
\partial_{q}\left(\frac{q-1}{q} H_{q}\right) & \geq 0,  \tag{6.2}\\
\partial_{q}\left((q-1) H_{q}\right) & \geq 0,  \tag{6.3}\\
\partial_{q}^{2}\left((q-1) H_{q}\right) & \leq 0, \tag{6.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $H_{q}:=S_{q} / S_{1}$. Imposing these conditions to our results (3.10)(3.12)(3.15), one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\frac{\bar{c}}{\bar{a}} \leq \frac{7}{3} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\bar{a}}{\bar{c}} \geq \frac{3}{7} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This lower bound can be derived alternatively by requiring a non-negative specific heat. In the limit $q \rightarrow 0$, the energy of the system can be read from (3.10)

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{q \rightarrow 0}:=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \partial_{q} F_{q \rightarrow 0}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \partial_{q} S_{q \rightarrow 0}=V_{5} \frac{r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}}{32 \pi^{2}} \frac{(7 \bar{a}-3 \bar{c})}{q^{4}}=V_{5} \pi \frac{r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}}{2}(7 \bar{a}-3 \bar{c}) T^{4} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T=1 / \beta=\frac{1}{2 \pi q}$. It follows from the stability of the ensemble that the specific heat must be non-negative, $\frac{\partial E}{\partial T} \geq 0$, which gives (6.6).

Note that all the $a, c$ data of the currently known $6 d(2,0)$ SCFTs, listed in table 1 in appendix B , satisfy the inequality (6.5)(6.6). The lowest $\bar{a} / \bar{c}$ value in the current data, $4 / 7$, supported by the large $N$ limits, is greater than our bound $3 / 7$. Note that the expression of supersymmetric Rényi entropy in terms of $a, c$ anomalies could work for theories beyond the ADE type. It would be interesting to understand whether our bound implies new $(2,0)$ SCFTs. It would also be interesting to understand similar bounds in SCFTs with less supersymmetry. We leave these questions for future work.
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## A Near $q=1$ expansion

We study the perturbative expansion of supersymmetric Rényi entropy (associated with spherical entangling surface) around $q=1$. This can be considered as an extension of the previous study of the ordinary Rényi entropy near $q=1$. Although our main concern will be $6 d(2,0)$ SCFTs, we keep the discussions in this section valid for any SCFT with conserved R -symmetries in $d$-dimensions.

Following the way in $[52,53],{ }^{25}$ we consider the supersymmetric partition function on $\mathbb{S}_{q}^{1} \times \mathbb{H}^{d-1}$ with background gauge fields (R-symmetry chemical potentials), which can be used to compute the supersymmetric Rényi entropy across a spherical entangling surface, see $\mathbb{S}^{d-2}$, in flat space. We work in grand canonical ensemble. The partition function on $\mathbb{S}_{\beta=2 \pi q}^{1} \times \mathbb{H}^{d-1}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z[\beta, \mu]=\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta(\hat{E}-\mu \hat{Q})}\right) \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The state variables can be computed as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
E & =\left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial \beta}\right)_{\mu}-\frac{\mu}{\beta}\left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial \mu}\right)_{\beta}  \tag{A.2}\\
S & =\beta\left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial \beta}\right)_{\mu}-I  \tag{A.3}\\
Q & =-\frac{1}{\beta}\left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial \mu}\right)_{\beta} \tag{A.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I:=-\log Z$. Therefore we get energy expectation value by (A.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta(\hat{E}-\mu \hat{Q})} \hat{E}\right)}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta(\hat{E}-\mu \hat{Q})}\right)}, \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the charge expectation value by (A.4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta(\hat{E}-\mu \hat{Q})} \hat{Q}\right)}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta(\hat{E}-\mu \hat{Q})}\right)} . \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the presence of supersymmetry, both inverse temperature $\beta$ and chemical potential $\mu$ are functions of a single variable $q$ therefore $I$ is considered as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{q}:=I[\beta(q), \mu(q)] . \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The supersymmetric Rényi entropy is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q}=\frac{q I_{1}-I_{q}}{1-q} . \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the Taylor expansion around $q=1$, with $\delta q=q-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q}=S_{\mathrm{EE}}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}\left(\frac{\partial^{n} I_{q}}{\partial q^{n}}\right)_{q=1} \delta q^{n-1} . \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$
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## A. $1 \partial_{q} I_{q}$

We will first consider $\partial_{q} I_{q}$. The first derivative with respect to $q$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d I_{q}}{d q}=\left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial \beta}\right)_{\mu} \beta^{\prime}(q)+\left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial \mu}\right)_{\beta} \mu^{\prime}(q) . \tag{A.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (A.2) and (A.4), we can rewrite it as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d I_{q}}{d q}=(E-\mu Q) \beta^{\prime}(q)-\beta Q \mu^{\prime}(q) \tag{A.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $q$-dependence of the temperature and the chemical potential can be read off from the supersymmetric background (including metric and R-symmetry gauge field),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(q)=2 \pi q, \quad \mu(q)=\alpha \frac{q-1}{q}, \tag{A.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta(q)$ is determined by the geometric fact and $\mu(q)$ is solved from the Killing spinor equation on the background. $\alpha$ is some number which may be different in various rigid supersymmetric backgrounds. ${ }^{26}$ The first $q$-derivative of $I_{q}$ is simplified by using (A.12)

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{q}^{\prime}=2 \pi(E-\alpha Q) \tag{A.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that in general both $E$ and $Q$ are functions of $q$. Also $E$ and $Q$ here are expectation values rather than operators.
A. $2 \quad S_{q=1}^{\prime}$ and $I_{q=1}^{\prime \prime}$

From (A.9) we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q=1}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2} I_{q=1}^{\prime \prime} . \tag{A.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us take one more derivative above on the first derivative (A.13) and take use of (A.5) and (A.6)

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{q}^{\prime \prime}=-4 \pi^{2}\left(\frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta(\hat{E}-\mu \hat{Q})}(\hat{E}-\alpha \hat{Q})^{2}\right)}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta(\hat{E}-\mu \hat{Q})}\right)}-\frac{\left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta(\hat{E}-\mu \hat{Q})}(\hat{E}-\alpha \hat{Q})\right)\right]^{2}}{\left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta(\hat{E}-\mu \hat{Q})}\right)\right]^{2}}\right) \tag{A.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be simplified in the limit $q \rightarrow 1$ by using $\mu=0$ at $q=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q=1}^{\prime}=-2 \pi^{2}\left(\frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta \hat{E}}(\hat{E}-\alpha \hat{Q})^{2}\right)}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta \hat{E}}\right)}-\frac{\left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta \hat{E}}(\hat{E}-\alpha \hat{Q})\right)\right]^{2}}{\left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta \hat{E}}\right)\right]^{2}}\right)_{q=1} \tag{A.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be rewritten as connected correlators

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q=1}^{\prime}=-2 \pi^{2}\left[\langle\hat{E} \hat{E}\rangle^{c}+\alpha^{2}\langle\hat{Q} \hat{Q}\rangle^{c}-2 \alpha\langle\hat{E} \hat{Q}\rangle^{c}\right]_{\mathbb{S}_{q=1}^{1} \times \mathbb{H}^{d-1}} \tag{A.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^14]where we have used $[\hat{E}, \hat{Q}]=0$ to flip the order of $\hat{E}$ and $\hat{Q}$. Given that $\langle\hat{E} \hat{Q}\rangle^{c}=0$ and $\langle\hat{E} \hat{E}\rangle^{c}$ has been computed in [52], we get
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q=1}^{\prime}=-V_{d-1} \frac{\pi^{d / 2+1} \Gamma(d / 2)(d-1)}{(d+1)!} C_{T}-2 \pi^{2} \alpha^{2} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d-1}}\left\langle J_{\tau}(x) J_{\tau}(y)\right\rangle_{q=1}^{c} \tag{A.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

$C_{T}$ is defined in the flat space correlator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T_{a b}(x) T_{c d}(0)\right\rangle=\frac{C_{T}}{x^{2 d}} I_{a b, c d}(x) \tag{A.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{a b, c d}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left(I_{a c}(x) I_{b d}(x)+I_{a d}(x) I_{b c}(x)\right)-\frac{1}{d} \delta_{a b} \delta_{c d}, \quad I_{a b}(x)=\delta_{a b}-2 \frac{x_{a} x_{b}}{x^{2}} . \tag{A.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the task is to compute the second term in (A.18). Following the way of computing $\langle T T\rangle$ on the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{S}_{q=1}^{1} \times \mathbb{H}^{d-1}$, one can take use of the flat space correlators in the CFT vacuum. The result is ${ }^{27}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\hat{Q} \hat{Q}\rangle^{c}=-\frac{\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}} V_{d-1}}{2^{d-2}(d-1) \Gamma\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right)} C_{v} \tag{A.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{v}$ is defined in the current correlator in flat space

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle J_{a}(x) J_{b}(0)\right\rangle=\frac{C_{v}}{x^{2(d-1)}} I_{a b}(x) \tag{A.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then our final result of $S_{q=1}^{\prime}$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q=1}^{\prime}=-V_{d-1}\left(\frac{\pi^{\frac{d}{2}+1} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)(d-1)}{(d+1)!} C_{T}-\alpha^{2} \frac{\pi^{\frac{d+3}{2}}}{2^{d-3}(d-1) \Gamma\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right)} C_{v}\right) \tag{A.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which tells us that the first $q$-derivative of supersymmetric Rényi entropy at $q=1$ is given by a linear combination of $C_{T}$ and $C_{v} .{ }^{28}$ This is intuitively expected because in the presence of supersymmetry, taking the derivative with respect to $q$ is equivalent to taking the derivative with respect to $g_{\tau \tau}$ and $A_{\tau}$ in the same time. ${ }^{29} q$-deformation can be often equivalent to the squashing $b:=\sqrt{q}$, therefore this formula also shows the relation between $\partial_{b=1}^{2}$ of the free energy on squashed sphere and flat space correlators. It is clear from the above derivation that this formula works both for free theories and interacting SCFTs in general $d$-dimensions. In the particular case of $6 d(2,0) \mathrm{SCFTs}$, the 2-point function of the stress tensor is determined by the central charge $c_{\mathfrak{g}}$ in (1.1) [23, 24]. Therefore the integrated 2-point function is proportional to $c_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Furthermore, $S_{q=1}^{\prime}$ is also proportional to $c_{\mathfrak{g}}$, because the stress tensor and the R-current in the right hand side of (A.23) live in the same multiplet. ${ }^{30}$ The same thing happens in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM [44].
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## A. $3 S_{q=1}^{\prime \prime}$ and $I_{q=1}^{\prime \prime \prime}$

From (A.9) we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q=1}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{6} I_{q=1}^{\prime \prime \prime} \tag{A.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

One may go straightforward to compute $I_{q}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ by taking one more derivative above on (A.15)

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{I_{q}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{8 \pi^{3}}= & \frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta(\hat{E}-\mu \hat{Q})}(\hat{E}-\alpha \hat{Q})^{3}\right)}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta(\hat{E}-\mu \hat{Q})}\right)}-3 \frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta(\hat{E}-\mu \hat{Q})}(\hat{E}-\alpha \hat{Q})^{2}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta(\hat{E}-\mu \hat{Q})}(\hat{E}-\alpha \hat{Q})\right)}{\left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta(\hat{E}-\mu \hat{Q})}\right)\right]^{2}} \\
& +2 \frac{\left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta(\hat{E}-\mu \hat{Q})}(\hat{E}-\alpha \hat{Q})\right)\right]^{3}}{\left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta(\hat{E}-\mu \hat{Q})}\right)\right]^{3}}, \tag{A.25}
\end{align*}
$$

which may be simplified at $q=1$ where $\mu=0$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{I_{q=1}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{8 \pi^{3}}= & \left(\frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta \hat{E}}(\hat{E}-\alpha \hat{Q})^{3}\right)}{\operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta \hat{E}}}-3 \frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta \hat{E}}(\hat{E}-\alpha \hat{Q})^{2}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta \hat{E}}(\hat{E}-\alpha \hat{Q})\right)}{\left[\operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta \hat{E}}\right]^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+2 \frac{\left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta \hat{E}}(\hat{E}-\alpha \hat{Q})\right)\right]^{3}}{\left[\operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta \hat{E}}\right]^{3}}\right)_{q=1} . \tag{A.26}
\end{align*}
$$

This can be further written in terms of connected correlation functions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q=1}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{6} I_{q=1}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{4 \pi^{3}}{3}\left[\langle\hat{E} \hat{E} \hat{E}\rangle^{c}-\alpha^{3}\langle\hat{Q} \hat{Q} \hat{Q}\rangle^{c}-3 \alpha\langle\hat{E} \hat{E} \hat{Q}\rangle^{c}+3 \alpha^{2}\langle\hat{E} \hat{Q} \hat{Q}\rangle^{c}\right]_{\mathbb{S}_{q=1}^{1} \times \mathbb{H}^{d-1}}, \tag{A.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used $[\hat{E}, \hat{Q}]=0$ because $\hat{Q}$ is conserved charge. The integrated correlators in (A.27) can be computed by transforming the corresponding flat space correlators, $\langle T T T\rangle,\langle J J J\rangle,\langle T T J\rangle,\langle T J J\rangle$ in the CFT vacuum. ${ }^{31}$ These correlators in flat space can be determined up to some coefficients for general CFTs in $d$-dimensions by conformal Wald identities $[57,58]$. In the presence of $6 d(2,0)$ superconformal symmetry, both the 2 - and 3 -point functions of the stress tensor multiplet are uniquely determined in terms of a single parameter, the central charge $c_{\mathfrak{g}}[23,24]$. And the right hand side of (A.27) should be proportional to $c_{\mathfrak{g}}$, because the stress tensor and the R-current belong to the same multiplet. ${ }^{32}$ The same thing can be seen in $\mathcal{N}=4 \mathrm{SYM}$ [44].
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## B Data of simply-laced Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$

| $\mathfrak{g}$ | $r_{\mathfrak{g}}$ | $h_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee}$ | $d_{\mathfrak{g}}$ | $\bar{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ | $\bar{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ | $\bar{a}_{\mathfrak{g}} / \bar{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A_{n-1}$ | $n-1$ | $n$ | $n^{2}-1$ | $\frac{16}{7} n^{3}-\frac{9}{7} n-1$ | $4 n^{3}-3 n-1$ | $\frac{3}{7(2 n+1)^{2}}+\frac{4}{7}$ |
| $D_{n}$ | $n$ | $2 n-2$ | $n(2 n-1)$ | $\frac{64}{7} n^{3}-\frac{96}{7} n^{2}+\frac{39}{7} n$ | $16 n^{3}-24 n^{2}+9 n$ | $\frac{3}{7(3-4 n)^{2}}+\frac{4}{7}$ |
| $E_{6}$ | 6 | 12 | 78 | $\frac{15018}{7}$ | 3750 | $\sim 0.572114$ |
| $E_{7}$ | 7 | 18 | 133 | 5479 | 9583 | $\sim 0.571742$ |
| $E_{8}$ | 8 | 30 | 248 | $\frac{119096}{7}$ | 29768 | $\sim 0.571544>\frac{4}{7}$ |

Table 1. The rank $r_{\mathfrak{g}}$, dual Coxeter number $h_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee}$, dimension $d_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of the simply-laced Lie algebras and the normalized $a, c$ anomalies for the associated $6 d(2,0)$ SCFTs [22].
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the attempts to write down a Lagrangian, see for instance [8-12] and for other field theoretical attempts, see [13-17].
    ${ }^{2} \mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{u}(1)$ corresponds to a free Abelian tensor multiplet.
    ${ }^{3}$ By "universal" we mean scheme-independent.
    ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~A}$ branched sphere is a sphere with a conical singularity with the deformation parameter $q-1$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{5}$ The supersymmetric Rényi entropy was recently studied in two-dimensional (2,2) SCFTs [51] in a slightly different way.
    ${ }^{6}$ For CFTs, the Rényi entropy (or supersymmetric one) associated with a spherical entangling surface in flat space can be mapped to that on a sphere. Throughout this work we take the "regularized cone" boundary conditions.
    ${ }^{7}$ Some of $a / c$ bounds by Hofman and Maldacena [46] coincide with Rényi entropy inequalities.
    ${ }^{8} \mathrm{We}$ only consider non-negative weights of the chemical potentials, $r_{1} \geq 0$ and $r_{2} \geq 0$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{9}$ Similar relation was first advertised in [45] in four-dimensions.

[^3]:    ${ }^{10}$ Six-dimensional $(2,0)$ theories have been studied in $A d S S_{5} \times S^{1}$ recently in the viewpoint of rigid holography [66].
    ${ }^{11}$ For Rényi entropy of free fields in other higher dimensions, see for instance [67-70].
    ${ }^{12}$ For fermions, the boundary conditions are anti-periodic.

[^4]:    ${ }^{13}$ This is the $q$-fold space with a conical singularity, which is used to compute Rényi entropy by replica trick.

[^5]:    ${ }^{14}$ The Killing spinors on round sphere have been explored in [74].
    ${ }^{15}$ Although the form of this expression is a series expansion, the result itself is complete.

[^6]:    ${ }^{16}$ Similar thing happens in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM. Here we see an essential difference between the ordinary Rényi entropy and the supersymmetric one, because the type of $q$ scaling in the ordinary Rényi entropy is not protected [53, 75].

[^7]:    ${ }^{17}$ This actually explains the universal ratio $4 N^{3}$ between the explicit results on $\langle T T\rangle,\langle T T T\rangle,\langle J J\rangle,\langle J J J\rangle$ in holography and those in free tensor multiplets [32, 76].

[^8]:    ${ }^{18}$ We normalize the radius as unit.

[^9]:    ${ }^{19}$ Again by the universal part of Rényi entropy we refer to the scheme independent part.
    ${ }^{20}$ For this reason, we will not distinguish $d$-1-dimensional squashed sphere and conic sphere in the following unless it is necessary.

[^10]:    ${ }^{21}$ For the $6 d(2,0)$ superconformal index, see [83-85].
    ${ }^{22}$ The $q$ scalings in chemical potentials appear following the convention in [82].

[^11]:    ${ }^{23} f:=\frac{I}{V}$.

[^12]:    ${ }^{24}$ The validity of these inequalities for supersymmetric Rényi entropy is expected although a proof is still in preparation.

[^13]:    ${ }^{25}$ See [54] from the viewpoint of twisted operator.

[^14]:    ${ }^{26} \alpha$ characterizes the weight of the chemical potential. In the case of multiple chemical potentials, one should use $\alpha_{i=1,2 \ldots R}$, where $R$ denotes the number of $\mathrm{U}(1)$ R-symmetry Cartans. $i$ should be summed over for $\alpha_{i} Q^{i}$.

[^15]:    ${ }^{27}\langle J \hat{Q}\rangle$ was first computed in [55].
    ${ }^{28}$ In another word, a linear combination of the integrated stress tensor 2-point function and the integrated R-current 2 -point function.
    ${ }^{29}$ This was first suggested in [44].
    ${ }^{30}$ For $(2,0)$ tensor multiplet, this supermultiplet was studied explicitly in [56].

[^16]:    ${ }^{31}$ We leave the explicit computations of these correlators elsewhere.
    ${ }^{32}$ By representation theory, the stress tensor belongs to a half BPS multiplet. In superspace, the 2-, 3and 4-point functions of all half BPS multiplets are known to admit a unique structure [59-61].

