

RECEIVED: April 20, 2015

REVISED: May 19, 2015

ACCEPTED: May 23, 2015

PUBLISHED: June 12, 2015

Worksheet geometries of ambitwistor string

Kantaro Ohmori

*Department of Physics, the University of Tokyo,
Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 133-0022, Japan*

E-mail: omori@hep-th.phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

ABSTRACT: Mason and Skinner proposed the ambitwistor string theory which directly reproduces the formulas for the amplitudes of massless particles proposed by Cachazo, He and Yuan. In this paper we discuss geometries of the moduli space of worldsheets associated to the bosonic or the RNS ambitwistor string. Further, we investigate the factorization properties of the amplitudes when an internal momentum is near on-shell in the abstract CFT language. Along the way, we propose the existence of the ambitwistor strings with three or four fermionic worldsheet currents.

KEYWORDS: Scattering Amplitudes, Superstrings and Heterotic Strings, Bosonic Strings, Conformal Field Models in String Theory

ARXIV EPRINT: [1504.02675](https://arxiv.org/abs/1504.02675)

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Amplitudes from a bosonic chiral CFT	4
2.1	Algebras and the BRST charge	4
2.2	Vertex operators	5
2.3	Holomorphic top-form on the cotangent bundle of the moduli	6
2.4	Integration cycle and localization	8
2.5	Integrated vertex operators	10
2.6	Loop integrands	10
2.7	A remark on the definition of F	11
3	Amplitudes from a chiral CFT with fermionic currents	12
3.1	Algebras and the BRST charge	12
3.2	Vertex operators	13
3.3	Holomorphic top-form on a bundle over moduli	15
3.4	Integration cycle and localization	16
4	Propagators and factorization	17
4.1	Bosonic case	18
4.2	Fermionic case	20
5	Conclusions and discussions	21
5.1	Conclusions	21
5.2	Future directions	21
A	No-ghost theorem for bosonic ambitwistor string	23

1 Introduction

In [1], the ambitwistor string, which reproduces the CHY formulas [2, 3] for Einstein gravity, Yang-Mills, and scalars with cubic interactions was proposed as a generalization of the twistor string proposed in [4]. The bosonic and non-compact part of the worldsheet action of the ambitwistor string is

$$S = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \left(\eta^{\mu\nu} P_\mu \bar{\partial} X_\nu - \frac{1}{2} e \eta^{\mu\nu} P_\mu P_\nu \right), \quad (1.1)$$

where X_μ are worldsheet scalars valued in the complexified space-time \mathbb{C}^D and P_μ are worldsheet one-forms which are conjugate to X^μ . The Lagrange multiplier e enforces $P^2 = 0$, and the gauge symmetry

$$\delta e = \bar{\partial}\alpha, \quad \delta X_\mu = \alpha P_\mu \tag{1.2}$$

generated by the spin 2 current $\tilde{T}^m := -\frac{1}{2}P^2$ reduces the target space down to the ambitwistor space \mathbb{A} . More precisely, the action S is regarded as the integration of the pull back of the holomorphic contact structure on the projective ambitwistor space $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{A}$.

The striking property of the ambitwistor string is that amplitude $\mathcal{A}_{\text{usual}}$ is always localized at isolated points on the moduli space, which are the solutions of the scattering equations. The scattering equations, for the tree level n -points scattering with external momenta k_a , ($a = 1, \dots, n$), are

$$\sum_{b \neq a} \frac{k_a \cdot k_b}{\sigma_a - \sigma_b} = 0 \tag{1.3}$$

for $\sigma_a \in \mathbb{C}$ and their solutions determines $(n-3)!$ points of the moduli $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ of n -punctured spheres. Scattering equations have already appeared in [5] as a saddle-point equation in the semi-classical analysis of the usual string, and are recently actively investigated starting from [6] and their following papers.

The localization is caused by the integrated form of the vertex operators which looks like

$$\int_{\Sigma} \bar{\delta}(k \cdot P) V^m, \tag{1.4}$$

where V^m is a matter primary transforming as a $(1, 0)$ -form on the worldsheet Σ , containing the kinematical factor $e^{ik \cdot X}$. The delta-form $\bar{\delta}(k \cdot P) := \bar{\partial}_{k \cdot P} \frac{1}{k \cdot P}$ is needed to integrate over the worldsheet Σ , and is suggested by the Penrose transformation of corresponding excitations on the space-time explained in [1].

However, how should the insertion of the delta-forms be understood from the purely worldsheet point of view? The first objective of this paper is to clarify how the amplitudes should be defined and where the delta-forms come from. As stated in [7], the delta-form should come from the integration in terms of the “moduli” associated to the Lagrange multiplier e , but no full geometrical treatment is found in the literature yet.

The ambitwistor string is not a usual string, because the worldsheet theory has two chiral spin 2 gauge symmetries, generated by the stress energy tensor T and an additional weight 2 primary $\tilde{T}^m = -\frac{1}{2}P^2$, while the usual closed string theory has chiral and anti-chiral conformal symmetries. Therefore, we should check step-by-step whether the logic we have used in the case of usual string can be applied to the ambitwistor string.

In the usual string theory, the on-shell amplitudes are defined as the integration over the moduli space \mathcal{M} of punctured Riemann surfaces:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{usual}} = \int_{\mathcal{M}} F \tag{1.5}$$

where F is a top-form on \mathcal{M} defined by the worldsheet correlator. The required features, namely decoupling of BRST-exact states and factorization of amplitudes when internal momenta go on-shell, can be proven via this definition.

In the ambitwistor string, things do not go in exactly the same way. The worldsheet Σ couples to two backgrounds, the metric g and the Lagrange multiplier Beltrami differential $e \in \Omega^{(0,1)}(T\Sigma)$. Therefore, the correlators on Σ define a form F on the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathcal{M}$ rather than that on \mathcal{M} itself. Moreover, because the worldsheet theory do not have anti-chiral part, the defined form F is a holomorphic top-form when all the vertex insertions are physical. Thus we cannot integrate F over $T^*\mathcal{M}$ nor restrict F on the zero section $\mathcal{M} \subset T^*\mathcal{M}$ to define amplitudes since $F|_{\mathcal{M}} = 0$. We need a non-trivial middle dimensional cycle Γ in $T^*\mathcal{M}$ to integrate F over.

In this paper, we propose to construct such a cycle Γ by the Morse flow, explained in the section 2. The amplitude $\mathcal{A}_{\text{ambitwistor}}$ are defined as

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{ambitwistor}} = \int_{\Gamma \subset T^*\mathcal{M}} F. \tag{1.6}$$

While the cycle Γ is complicated, we will find that the localization holds with respect to the BRST-operator Q and the integration reduces to a sum over points in \mathcal{M} which are indeed the solutions of the scattering equations. In the end, the result reproduces the amplitudes obtained by using the formal integrated vertices (1.4).

The second goal of this paper is to deduce the factorization properties and the propagators of ambitwistor string. In usual closed string, the amplitude evaluated on cylinders gives the propagator [8]

$$\frac{b_0 \tilde{b}_0 \delta(L_0 - \bar{L}_0)}{L_0}. \tag{1.7}$$

For the ambitwistor string, this is not the desired form because L_0 do not contain the kinematical factor k^2 , therefore something else should happen.

We will find that there exist solutions of scattering equations on the boundary of the moduli space when the corresponding internal momentum gets on-shell, as is directly proven in [6] at the tree level. The degeneration parameter q_* of the solutions of the scattering equations look

$$q_* \propto k^2 + \mathcal{O}((k^2)^2). \tag{1.8}$$

Then, the singular part of the amplitude of the bosonic ambitwistor string is captured by the operator

$$\frac{q_*^{-2} \delta(L_0 - 2) + q_*^{-1} \delta(L_0 - 1) + \delta(L_0)}{k^2}. \tag{1.9}$$

The first two terms in the numerator are unphysical since they give cubic and quadratic poles of k^2 . However, in the case of type II ambitwistor string, we will find that such terms are eliminated by the GSO projection. Moreover, there is no contribution to the (double) leading trace part of the bosonic or “heterotic” ambitwistor string which contain the ϕ^3 theory or the Yang-Mills theory, respectively. Thus there is no contradiction with the literature.

As a by-product, we will find there exists ambitwistor string with three or four fermionic currents. Their all-NS sector reproduces CHY representations for the DBI theory and a special kind of Galileon theory. We will quickly mention on them in section 5.

In this paper we focus on RNS formalism of ambitwistor string and will not touch the pure spinor version [9] of that. There are also variants of the original ambitwistor string of [1] which have different the bosonic part [10–13]. It will be interesting to consider the factorization properties of those theories.

2 Amplitudes from a bosonic chiral CFT

2.1 Algebras and the BRST charge

To construct the bosonic ambitwistor string, we need a triple

$$(\mathcal{T}, T^m, \tilde{T}^m), \tag{2.1}$$

where \mathcal{T} is a 2d CFT, T^m is the holomorphic part of its stress energy tensor, and \tilde{T}^m is another primary operator of weight 2. In this paper, we assume that \mathcal{T} should be decomposed into $\mathcal{T}_{\text{flat}} \oplus \mathcal{T}_{\text{int}}$ where $\mathcal{T}_{\text{flat}}$ is the flat XP CFTs representing the projective ambitwistor space $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{A}$ of the $D \geq 2$ dimensional flat space and \mathcal{T}_{int} is a internal compact CFT. If only the tree level amplitudes are considered, the modular invariance of \mathcal{T} is not needed. The central charge c^m of \mathcal{T} will be constrained later.

A known meaningful internal system \mathcal{T}_{int} is the sum of two current algebras $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. The double leading trace part for those is known to give the CHY formula given in [3] for scalars $\phi_{a\tilde{a}}$ which have adjoint indices for each current algebra with the cubic interaction $f_{abc}\tilde{f}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}\tilde{c}}\phi^{a\tilde{a}}\phi^{b\tilde{b}}\phi^{c\tilde{c}}$ as shown in [1].

We also assume that the spin 2 current \tilde{T} is equal to the operator $-\frac{1}{2}P^2$ of $\mathcal{T}_{\text{flat}}$. The OPE between those currents are

$$\begin{aligned} T^m(z)T^m(0) &\sim \frac{c^m}{2z^4} + \frac{2}{z^2}T(0) + \frac{1}{z}\partial T(0), \\ T^m(z)\tilde{T}^m(0) &\sim \frac{2}{z^2}\tilde{T}^m(0) + \frac{1}{z}\partial\tilde{T}^m(0), \\ \tilde{T}^m(z)\tilde{T}^m(0) &\sim 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

To gauge \tilde{T}^m , we couple this current to the background field $e = e^{\tilde{z}}$ which transforms as a Beltrami differential on the Riemann surface Σ and integrate in the combination $e\tilde{T}$ over Σ . In other words, we put the additional term

$$S_{e\tilde{T}^m} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma} e\tilde{T}^m =: (e, \tilde{T}^m). \tag{2.3}$$

in the action. We will use this pairing $(,)$ of a Beltrami differential and a quadratic differential in the rest of this paper. Note that e is independent of the Beltrami differential μ which is defined by the variations of the metric.

To quantize the system, we add a pair of ghost systems, namely bc and $\tilde{b}\tilde{c}$ systems. Both are usual *holomorphic* ghost systems thus both b and \tilde{b} have holomorphic weight 2 and their holomorphic stress-energy tensors are $T_{bc} = -(\partial b)c - 2b\partial c$ and $T_{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} = -(\partial\tilde{b})\tilde{c} - 2\tilde{b}\partial\tilde{c}$. Then we can define the BRST weight 1 primary.

$$j_B = cT^m + cT_{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} + \frac{1}{2}cT_{bc} + \tilde{c}\tilde{T}^m + \frac{3}{2}\partial^2 c. \tag{2.4}$$

The last total derivative term just ensures j_B to be a current. The residue of the OPE between two of this current is

$$\text{Res } j_B(z)j_B(0) = \frac{52 - c^m}{12} c \partial^3 c + \partial(c\tilde{T}^m), \tag{2.5}$$

therefore the BRST charge $Q = \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i} j_B(z)$ is nilpotent when $c^m = 52$. In the following we set the central charge c^m to be 52.

In the usual string theory, the important property of the ghost system was

$$Q \cdot b = T. \tag{2.6}$$

This equation also holds in the present context, but for $\tilde{b}\tilde{c}$ system we have

$$Q \cdot \tilde{b} = \tilde{T}^m - (\partial\tilde{b})c - 2\tilde{b}\partial c. \tag{2.7}$$

We should recognize the remnant term $\tilde{T}^{\text{gh}} := -(\partial\tilde{b})c - 2\tilde{b}\partial c$ as the ghost contribution to the total distinguished spin 2 current $\tilde{T} := \tilde{T}^m + \tilde{T}^{\text{gh}}$ which couples to the Beltrami differential background e . Hence, we have the term

$$S_{e\tilde{T}} = (e, \tilde{T}). \tag{2.8}$$

in the total action on the worldsheet.¹

2.2 Vertex operators

In this paper, we only consider vertex operators \mathcal{V} which vanish by the action of b_n and \tilde{b}_n for $n \geq 0$. We call operators of this type standard vertex operators. Equivalently, \mathcal{V} does not contain any derivatives of c and \tilde{c} . If a standard vertex \mathcal{V} has the ghost number 2 and Q -closed, we call it a physical vertex, and such \mathcal{V} takes the form of

$$\mathcal{V} = c\tilde{c}V^m \tag{2.9}$$

where V^m is a vertex operator of the matter system \mathcal{T} . Q -closedness of \mathcal{V} also requires that \mathcal{V} vanishes when acted by $L_n = \{Q, b_n\}$ and $\tilde{L}_n := \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i} z^{n+1} \tilde{T}(z) = \{Q, \tilde{b}_n\}$ for $n \geq 0$, thus V^m should be a primary of weight 2 and should be annihilated by \tilde{L}_n for $n \geq 0$. We are always able to take a representative of Q -cohomology of this form, which is proven in appendix A.

For the flat space bosonic ambitwistor string, V^m is taken to be

$$V^m = \epsilon^{\mu\nu} P_\mu P_\nu e^{ik \cdot X}, \tag{2.10}$$

then the first condition requires $\epsilon^{\mu\nu} k_\mu = 0$ and the second condition does $k^2 = 0$. Note that the kinematic factor $e^{ik \cdot X}$ do not have conformal weight as opposed to the usual string case, therefore the physical operators are more constrained and the number of them is finite.

¹It may seem more natural to define j_B as $j_B = cT^m + \frac{1}{2}cT_{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} + \frac{1}{2}cT_{bc} + \tilde{c}\tilde{T}^m + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{c}\tilde{T}^{\text{gh}}$, but both expression are essentially the same since $cT_{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} - \tilde{c}\tilde{T}^{\text{gh}}$ is a total derivative.

If we include two current algebras $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \hat{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}$, there are also physical operators whose matter part is

$$V^m = j_a \tilde{j}_{\tilde{a}} e^{ik \cdot X}, \tag{2.11}$$

where j_a and $\tilde{j}_{\tilde{a}}$ are weight 1 current operators. In [1] it was found that the double leading trace term of j and \tilde{j} correlators gives the CHY formulas for scalars $\phi_{a\tilde{a}}$ with the interaction $f_{abc} \tilde{f}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} \phi^{a\tilde{a}} \phi^{b\tilde{b}} \phi^{c\tilde{c}}$.

To consider the decoupling of Q -exact vertices, we also assume that when an operator \mathcal{V} of the form of (2.9) is Q -exact, there exists a standard vertex operator \mathcal{W} which satisfies $Q \cdot \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{V}$. This should be shown as in the appendix of [14], but we just postulate it here.²

2.3 Holomorphic top-form on the cotangent bundle of the moduli

To define the amplitude of the ambitwistor string, we need a integration measure on a suitable moduli space. We will see that the above BRST structure defines a holomorphic top-form on the cotangent bundle of the moduli space, which cannot be integrated over the whole cotangent bundle. Therefore, we should define a integration cycle to integrate that holomorphic top-form, which is treated in section 2.4. Here we will adopt the ‘‘extended formalism’’ of [14].

First, we obtain a form on the space $T^*\mathcal{J}$, where $\mathcal{J} = \{\text{metric on } \Sigma\}/(\text{Weyl transf.})$ is the space of conformal structures on the considered Riemann surface and $T^*\mathcal{J}$ is its holomorphic cotangent bundle whose coordinates can be locally represented by a pair of a metric and a Beltrami differentials (g, e) . To define the form, we consider additional fermionic fields $\delta g, \delta e$ both transforming as Beltrami differentials, and extend the definition of Q so that it acts on μ and e as

$$[Q, g] = \delta g, \quad [Q, e] = \delta e. \tag{2.12}$$

To keep the action Q -invariant, we add the extra term

$$S_{\text{ext}} = (\delta\mu, b) + (\delta e, \tilde{b}). \tag{2.13}$$

We recognize $\delta g(z), \delta e(z)$ as differential 1-forms on $T^*\mathcal{J}$ associated to local coordinates (g, e) . Note that the differential 1-forms $\delta\mu(z) := g^{z\bar{z}} \delta g_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}$ span holomorphic differential 1-forms together with $\delta e(z)$.

To define a nontrivial form on $T^*\mathcal{J}$, choose fixed positions z_i on the Riemann surface where the i th ($i = 1 \cdots n$) standard vertex \mathcal{V}_i sits. Then we define a quantity

$$F(\Omega; g, e | \delta\mu, \delta e) := \left\langle e^{-(\delta\mu, b)} e^{-(e, \tilde{T}) - (\delta e, \tilde{b})} \Omega \right\rangle_g, \tag{2.14}$$

where $\langle \rangle_g$ is the correlator of \mathcal{T} and the ghost systems with a metric background g and operator insertions $\Omega = \prod_i^n \mathcal{V}_i(z_i)$. F is the partition function on the worldsheet with vertex

²The proof of [14] is based on the proof of the no-ghost theorem. We address how to modify the proof of the no-ghost theorem for the ambitwistor case in the appendix A, and the similar modification is expected to be available for the statement here.

operator insertions and with additional actions S_{ext} and $S_{e\tilde{T}}$.³ Identifying $\delta\mu$ and δe with holomorphic differential forms, $F(\{\mathcal{V}_i\}; \mu, e|\delta\mu, \delta e)$ defines a holomorphic differential form over a patch around g and extends globally over $T^*\mathcal{J}$. Counting the ghost numbers, the degree of the holomorphic form F is $6g - 6 + N_{\text{gh}}$ where N_{gh} is the total ghost number (the sum of bc and $\tilde{b}\tilde{c}$ ghost number) of Ω . Moreover, since the evaluation of $\langle \rangle_g$ is a BRST-invariant operation and Q is equivalent to the exterior derivative d on $T^*\mathcal{J}$ when it acts on the function only of $g, e, \delta\mu, \delta e$, we get

$$dF(\Omega) + F(Q \cdot \Omega) = 0. \quad (2.15)$$

In particular, if all the standard vertex operators \mathcal{V}_i are Q -closed, F is a closed form.

We want to show the form F over $T^*\mathcal{J}$ is actually a pullback of a form over the holomorphic cotangent bundle $T^*\mathcal{M}$ of the moduli space \mathcal{M} of Riemann surfaces with n punctures. The space $T^*\mathcal{M}$ can be obtained by a quotient

$$T^*\mathcal{M} = T^*\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{D} \quad (2.16)$$

where the infinite dimensional group \mathcal{D} is generated by a pair of vectors ($v = v^z, \alpha = \alpha^z$) which satisfy $v(z_i) = \alpha(z_i) = 0$. v is the parameter of diffeomorphisms and α is that of the gauge transformations generated by \tilde{T} .

To show F is obtained by pulling back a form over $T^*\mathcal{M}$, we should ensure that F is invariant under \mathcal{D} and F vanishes when contracted with a vector field $\mathcal{X}_{(v,\alpha)}$ which is generated by the action of an element (v, α) of \mathcal{D} . The invariance of F under \mathcal{D} is manifest by the imposed symmetry. $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_{(v,\alpha)}$ acts on the coordinates (g, e) as

$$\mathcal{X} \cdot (g_{z\bar{z}}, e) = (g_{z\bar{z}}\bar{\partial}_{\bar{z}}v^z, \bar{\partial}\alpha + v\partial e - (\partial v)e). \quad (2.17)$$

Then the contraction with F is calculated by

$$\begin{aligned} i(\mathcal{X})F &= \left(g^{z\bar{z}}(\mathcal{X} \cdot g_{z\bar{z}}) \frac{\delta}{\delta g_{z\bar{z}}} + (\mathcal{X} \cdot e) \frac{\delta}{\delta e} \right) F(\mu, e|\delta\mu, \delta e) \\ &= - \left\langle e^{-(\delta\mu, b)} \left((\bar{\partial}v, b) + (\mathcal{X} \cdot e, \tilde{b}) \right) e^{-(e, \tilde{T}) - (\delta e, \tilde{b})} \Omega \right\rangle \\ &= - \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\langle e^{-(\delta\mu, b)} \int_{\Sigma} \left(v(-\bar{\partial}b - e\partial\tilde{b} + 2\partial(e\tilde{b})) - \alpha\bar{\partial}\tilde{b} \right) e^{-(e, \tilde{T}) - (\delta e, \tilde{b})} \Omega \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

We did some integrations-by-parts from the second line to the last line. The equations of motion for c and \tilde{c} are

$$\begin{aligned} -\bar{\partial}b - e\partial\tilde{b} + 2\partial(e\tilde{b}) &= 0 \\ -\bar{\partial}\tilde{b} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

concluding the vanishing of (2.18). The contact terms between the equations of motion and vertices $\Omega = \prod c\tilde{c}V^m(z_i)$ are also prohibited because of the condition $v(z_i) = \alpha(z_i) = 0$. This is why we concentrate on standard vertex operators.

³Here we used a renormalization scheme which satisfy $\frac{\delta}{\delta g_{z\bar{z}}} \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_g = \langle -T\mathcal{O} \rangle_g$ where \mathcal{O} is a scalar operator and T is inserted in the leftmost in the bracket. Then (2.14) is invariant under the extended Q up to $Q \cdot \Omega$. The more precise description of the regularization is in subsection 2.7.

Now we are able to regard F as a holomorphic $6g-6+N_{\text{gh}}$ form on $T^*\mathcal{M}$. If all vertices \mathcal{V}_i are physical, $N_{\text{gh}} = 2n$ and F is a holomorphic top-form since $T^*\mathcal{M}$ has complex dimension $6g-6+2n$. It is convenient to introduce a local coordinate (t_i, a_i) , $i = 1, \dots, \dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{M}$ of $T^*\mathcal{M}$ and choose representatives $g = g(t)$ and $e = e(t, a)$ of the quotient classes of $T^*\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{D}$. What we have shown is that F is independent of such choices. We take the coordinates a_i so that e is linear in a 's as $e = \sum_i a_i e_i$. It is convenient to rewrite F of (2.14) when restricted to the local patch (t, a) around $g_0 = g(t=0)$ in \mathcal{M} as

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \left\langle e^{-\{Q, (\mu(t), b)\}} e^{-\{Q, (e(a), \tilde{b})\}} \Omega \right\rangle_{g_0} \\ &= \left\langle e^{-(d\mu(t), b) - (\mu(t), T)} e^{-(de(a), \tilde{b}) - (e(a), \tilde{T})} \Omega \right\rangle_{g_0} \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

where $\mu(t)$ is the solution of $\partial_{t_i} \mu(t) = g^{z\bar{z}}(t) \partial_{t_i} g_{z\bar{z}}(t) =: \mu_i(t)$, and d is the exterior derivative on the finite dimensional space $T^*\mathcal{M}$. Expanding the exponential factors in the definition (2.14) of F including b and \tilde{b} , we get ghost insertions as in the case of the usual string theory.

If one of \mathcal{V}_i is Q -exact with $\mathcal{V} = Q \cdot \mathcal{W}$ and \mathcal{W} is standard, we immediately conclude the F is a total derivative by (2.15), which indicates the decoupling of BRST-exact states.

The next step is to integrate F , but we should find an adequate middle cycle in $T^*\mathcal{M}$ over which F is integrated. An immediate candidate is the zero section \mathcal{M} of $T^*\mathcal{M}$, but F , which is proportional to da_i , becomes 0 when restricted to where $a_i = 0$, therefore we should do something nontrivial.

2.4 Integration cycle and localization

To define a middle dimensional cycle in $T^*\mathcal{M}$, we propose to use the Morse theory in the manner of [15]. As we will see, only the existence of the middle dimensional steep decay direction of F from its critical points, which is ensured by the Morse theory, is important and concrete shape of the cycle will not play a role. We give a brief prescription of the definition of the cycle for completeness.

We use $h := \Re \mathcal{I}$, with $\mathcal{I} = -(\mu(t), T) - (e(t, a), \tilde{T})$ as the Morse function on $T^*\mathcal{M}$ to define the integration cycle. Then the critical points $p_*^m = (t_*^m, a_*^m)$ of h satisfy

$$(e_j(t_*^m), \tilde{T})|_{g(t_*^m)} = 0, \tag{2.21}$$

$$\partial_{t_i}(e(a_*^m, t_*^m), \tilde{T}) = (\mu_i(t_*^m), T). \tag{2.22}$$

These equations mean the operators on the left hand sides and the right hand sides are equal when evaluated as additional insertions of the correlator F .⁴ We will see that the first equation which determines points t_*^m in the moduli space \mathcal{M} actually becomes the scattering equations. Then the second equation which is linear in terms of a_*^m fixes exactly one point in the fiber $T_{t^m}\mathcal{M}$. (We assume that h is Morse, or the second equation is full rank.)

The Morse downward equation

$$\frac{dt_i}{d\tau} = -\frac{\partial \bar{\mathcal{I}}}{\partial \bar{t}_i}, \quad \frac{da_j}{d\tau} = -\frac{\partial \bar{\mathcal{I}}}{\partial \bar{a}_j} \tag{2.23}$$

⁴For simplicity, we are ignoring the subtle ordering problems which will be clarified in section 2.7.

defines the flows $u : \tau \mapsto (t(\tau), a(\tau))$ called the Stokes rays, and the Lefschetz thimble \mathcal{J}_m associated to the critical point p_*^m is defined by

$$\mathcal{J}_m := \bigcup_{\substack{u:\text{Stokes ray} \\ u(-\infty)=p_*^m}} \{u(\mathbb{R})\} \subset T^*\mathcal{M}, \tag{2.24}$$

which is middle dimensional cycle in $T^*\mathcal{M}$ because the Morse function h is the real part of a holomorphic function. Stokes rays generically do not connect distinct critical points since the flow preserves $\Im\mathcal{L}$, and we assume that. We choose a sum of all the Lefschetz thimbles as the integration cycle Γ :⁵

$$\Gamma := \sum_m \mathcal{J}_m. \tag{2.25}$$

The amplitudes \mathcal{A}_Ω associated to physical insertions Ω are now defined as

$$\mathcal{A}_\Omega := \int_\Gamma F(\Omega). \tag{2.26}$$

Actually this definition does not work with $g \geq 1$ since F itself diverges because of the zero modes of P^μ . We postpone to deal with the loop amplitudes until subsection 2.6 and concentrate on the case of $g = 0$ in this and the next subsection.

If Ω is a BRST-exact operator $\Omega = Q \cdot \Omega'$, the amplitude vanishes because of (2.15):

$$\mathcal{A}_{Q \cdot \Omega'} = - \int_\Gamma dF(\Omega') = 0. \tag{2.27}$$

Finally, we find a drastic simplification of the amplitude \mathcal{A} . Note that F (2.20) is defined by exponential of Q -exact quantities, which signals localization of the integration. As usual, we scale the Q -exact exponential factors by a parameter A and call it F_A :

$$F_A(\Omega) := \left\langle e^{-A\{Q, (\mu(t), b)\}} e^{-A\{Q, (e(t, a), \tilde{b})\}} \Omega \right\rangle_{g_0}, \tag{2.28}$$

then $\partial_A F_A$ is a total derivative. Therefore we can represent \mathcal{A} as a sum over critical points:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_\Omega &= \lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Gamma F_A(\Omega) \\ &= \sum_{t_*^m:\text{critical}} \left\langle \prod_i^{n-3} (\mu_i(t_*^m), b) \prod_j^{n-3} (e_j(t_*^m), \tilde{b}) \frac{1}{\det\Phi} \Omega \right\rangle_{g(t_*^m)}, \\ \Phi &:= (\Phi_{ij})_{ij} := (\partial_{t_i}(e_j, \tilde{T}))_{ij}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

We have ignored some overall constants that come from orderings of fermionic things and Gaussian integrals. The localization is a general feature of \mathcal{A} defined by (2.26) and does

⁵The integration can be defined with any cycles of the form $\sum n_m \mathcal{J}_m$ for some $n_m \in \mathbb{Z}$, though we choose $n_m = 1$ for all m just by hand to get the same result in the literature. A possible alternative is to set n_m to be the intersection number of the Morse upward flow starting from p_*^m and the zero section $\mathcal{M} \subset T^*\mathcal{M}$ imitating [15], though we are not able to show this leads to the correct answer $n_m = 1$. As will be mentioned in 2.6, how to choose n_m is not clear for loop amplitudes.

not depend on the choice of the theory \mathcal{T} nor the choice of (covariant) fixing of 2d gauge symmetries.

Further, the result (2.29) can be formally rewritten as

$$\mathcal{A}_\Omega = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \left\langle \prod_i^{n-3} (\mu_i(t), b) dt_i \prod_j^{n-3} (e_j(t), \tilde{b}) \prod_j^{n-3} \bar{\delta}((e_j, \tilde{T})) \Omega \right\rangle_{g(t)}, \quad (2.30)$$

where $\bar{\delta}$ is the formal delta (0, 1)-form defined by

$$\bar{\delta}(f(t)) := \bar{\partial} \frac{1}{f(t)}. \quad (2.31)$$

This formula is equivalent to what appeared in [7].

2.5 Integrated vertex operators

So far we have been working with the formalism where the coordinates of vertices are fixed. Here we describe the “integrated vertex” formalism which is often used in the literature.

Choose μ_i and e_i so that $(\mu_i, \mathcal{O}) = (e_i, \mathcal{O}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma_{i+3}} \mathcal{O}$ for quadratic differential local operator \mathcal{O} where γ_{i+3} is a contour around the $i + 3$ rd vertex \mathcal{V}_{i+3} whose matter part takes the form of (2.10). Then, the moduli parameters t_i can be identified with the positions z_{i+3} of the vertex operators. b and \tilde{b} insertions in (2.29) merely deletes c and \tilde{c} of \mathcal{V}_{i+3} , and (2.30) becomes

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^3 c\tilde{c}V_i^m(z_i) \prod_{i=4}^n \int dz_i \bar{\delta} \left(\oint_{\gamma_i} \tilde{T}^m \right) V^m(z_i) \right\rangle. \quad (2.32)$$

The term \tilde{T}^{gh} in \tilde{T} does not enter because of the ghost number conservation. Therefore, we recognize

$$\int dz_i \bar{\delta} \left(\oint_{\gamma_i} \tilde{T}^m \right) V^m(z_i) = \int dz_i \bar{\delta}(k \cdot P) V^m(z_i) \quad (2.33)$$

as the “integrated” version of the fixed vertex operator \mathcal{V}_i , which is exactly what was used in [1].

2.6 Loop integrands

For loop amplitudes, we cannot expect that the definition (2.26) works. The ambitwistor string calculates amplitudes of a particle QFT or a gravity, which is not UV-finite as opposed to the usual string. Therefore what we can get is at most the integrand of the integration in terms of loop momenta q_I as is investigated in [7, 16], whose existence is guaranteed when we have a space-time action. The whole amplitude should diverge when integrate over loop momenta.

Therefore, we should consider the loop-momenta-fixed amplitudes $\mathcal{A}(q)$ as is described in [17, 18] for the usual string.

Take a symplectic basis (A_I, B_I) , $I = 1, \dots, g$ of the first homology of the genus g Riemann surface. Inserting the delta functions which fix the internal momenta, we define the momenta-fixed version of F by

$$F(\ell; \Omega; \mu, e | \delta\mu, \delta e) := \left\langle e^{-(\delta\mu, b)} e^{-(e, \tilde{T}) - (\delta e, \tilde{b})} \prod_I \prod_\mu \delta \left(i\ell_I^\mu + \oint_{A_I} \frac{1}{2\pi i} P^\mu \right) \Omega \right\rangle_g. \quad (2.34)$$

The delta functions kill the zero modes of P , therefore this $F(\ell)$ is expected to be finite. The constraint from the delta functions for the zero modes of P is solved by

$$P^\mu = 2\pi \sum_I \ell_I^\mu \omega_I + \text{non-zero or singular modes}, \quad (2.35)$$

where ω_I are the smooth Abelian differentials on the Riemann surface which satisfy $\oint_{A_I} \omega_J = \delta_{IJ}$.

The integration cycle $\Gamma(\ell)$ is defined in the same way as in subsection 2.4, but now operators are evaluated in $F(\ell)$ and therefore $\Gamma(\ell)$ depends on ℓ . The momenta-fixed amplitude is obtained by

$$\mathcal{A}_\Omega(\ell) := \int_{\Gamma(\ell)} F(\ell; \Omega). \quad (2.36)$$

The formal expression of $\mathcal{A}(\ell)$ similar to (2.30) is

$$\mathcal{A}_\Omega(\ell) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \left\langle \prod_i^{\dim \mathcal{M}} (\mu_i(t^m), b) dt_i \prod_j^{\dim \mathcal{M}} (e_j(t^m), \tilde{b}) \prod_j^{\dim \mathcal{M}} \bar{\delta}((e_j, \tilde{T})) \prod_{I, \mu} \delta \left(i\ell_I^\mu + \oint_{A_I} \frac{1}{2\pi i} P^\mu \right) \Omega \right\rangle_{g(t)}. \quad (2.37)$$

Note that the insertion of the delta function $\delta(i\ell_I + \oint_{A_I} \frac{1}{2\pi i} P^\mu)$ explicitly breaks the invariance under the modular transformations which act on cycles A_I . Therefore the solutions of the scattering equations, which depends on ℓ , is not ensured to be in a single fundamental region when we move the external and loop momenta. It is not clear what thimbles \mathcal{J}_m should be chosen as the summands of Γ , in other word what solutions of scattering equations should be summed up, and we postpone this problem till future investigations.

2.7 A remark on the definition of F

Before going to the fermionic case, let us clarify how the insertion $e^{(e, \tilde{T})}$ should be defined in the definition of F (2.14), which will be important in the section 4. Note that $\tilde{T} = -\frac{1}{2}P^2 + \tilde{T}^{\text{gh}}$ only consists of free fields, therefore we can treat such insertions by expanding fields by their modes.

Here we concentrate on the matter part $\tilde{T}^{\text{m}} = -\frac{1}{2}P^2$ because the ghost part \tilde{T}^{gh} does not contribute as long as we consider physical standard vertices. Consider the case when the X_μ dependences of all the vertices $\mathcal{V}_i(z_i)$ are only the form of the kinematic factors $e^{ik_i \cdot X}$ and the vertices do not depend on derivatives of X^μ . Then the equation of motion of X_μ force the P^μ to satisfy

$$\bar{\partial} P^\mu(z) = i \sum_i k_i^\mu \delta(z - z_i). \quad (2.38)$$

To gather with (2.35), this equation fixes the P_μ to be

$$P^\mu = \hat{P}^\mu := 2\pi \left(\sum_{I=1}^g \ell_I^\mu \omega_I + \sum_{i=1}^n k_i^\mu \omega(z; z_i; z_0) \right), \quad (2.39)$$

where $\omega(z; z_i)$ is the Abelian differential of third kind defined by $\omega(z; z_i; z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \partial_z \log \frac{E(z, z_i)}{E(z, z_0)}$, where $E(z, w)$ is the prime form on Σ . The z_0 dependence cancels out by the momentum conservation. Therefore, we can replace $e^{(e, \tilde{T}^m)}$ by $e^{(e, -\frac{1}{2} \hat{P}^2)}$.

For the later use, we rewrite this result as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e^{(e, \tilde{T}^m)} \Omega \rangle_{XP} &= e^{(e, -\frac{1}{2} \hat{T}^m)} \langle \Omega \rangle_{XP}, \\ \hat{T}^m &:= \frac{\langle \tilde{T}^m \Omega \rangle_{XP}}{\langle \Omega \rangle_{XP}} = -\frac{1}{2} \hat{P}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.40)$$

where Ω is the product of vertex insertions of the XP CFT which do not contain derivatives of X . We emphasize that this expression is valid only for the free XP CFT and for the special type of the insertion Ω . The standard vertex operator insertions are the case. The correlator including derivatives of X can be obtained by take derivatives of (2.40) in terms of insertion points.

3 Amplitudes from a chiral CFT with fermionic currents

3.1 Algebras and the BRST charge

Next we generalize the construction of the amplitudes of the previous section to a chiral CFT \mathcal{T} with fermionic spin $\frac{3}{2}$ currents. We start from the data

$$(\mathcal{T}, T^m, \tilde{T}^m, T_{F,a}^m) \quad (a = 1, \dots, N), \quad (3.1)$$

where \mathcal{T}, T^m , and \tilde{T}^m are those of the previous section, and $T_{F,a}^m$ are the additional fermionic primaries. We will see that the central charge c^m of \mathcal{T} is constrained to be

$$c^m = 52 - 11N, \quad (3.2)$$

where N is the number of fermionic currents. If we require \mathcal{T} to be unitary, N should be 1, 2, 3 or 4.

As the flat space part, we use the $X^\mu P_\mu$ CFT and N copies of a set of worldsheet fermions ψ_a^μ , ($a = 1, \dots, N$) and set $T_{F,a}^m = \psi_a^\mu P_\mu$. The $N = 2$ theory is known to produce the tree level amplitudes of 10 dimensional type II supergravity. $N = 1$ theory with a current algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ contains the Yang-Mills amplitude in its tree level amplitude as a leading trace part of the current algebra correlator. For $N = 3, 4$, we will briefly argue what happens in section 5, but we will not go in detail.

The currents satisfy

$$T^m(z) T_{F,a}^m(0) \sim \frac{3}{2z^2} T_{F,a}^m(0) + \frac{1}{z} \partial T_{F,a}^m(0)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_{F,a}^m(z)T_{F,b}^m(0) &\sim C_a \frac{2\delta_{a,b}}{z} \tilde{T}^m(0) \\
 \tilde{T}^m(z)T_{F,a}^m(0) &\sim 0
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.3}$$

in addition to (2.2). The coefficient C_a is equal to 1 when $T_{F,a} = \psi_a \cdot P$, but if we use other $T_{F,a}$, C_a can be zero (otherwise one can rescale $T_{F,a}$ to make C_a to be equal to 1) and the argument in this paper will not significantly depends on C_a . Note that this algebra is *not* the usual superconformal algebra since the OPE between fermionic currents generate \tilde{T} and not the stress energy tensor T . The key difference from the usual ones when $N \geq 2$ is the absence of currents which generates R -symmetries in the OPE's. Therefore we are allowed not to gauge the R -symmetry current and we do so.

To quantize the theory with these gauge symmetries, we introduce two copies of bc system and N copies of $\beta\gamma$ system as ghosts. The BRST current is

$$j_B = cT^m + cT_{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} + \frac{1}{2}cT_{bc} + \tilde{c}\tilde{T}^m + \sum_a (cT_{\beta_a\gamma_a} + \gamma_a T_{F,a}^m - C_a \tilde{b}\gamma_a^2) + \frac{3}{2}\partial^2 c,
 \tag{3.4}$$

where the residue of the squared OPE is

$$\text{Res } j_B(z)j_B(0) = \frac{52 - 11N - c^m}{12} c\partial^3 c + \partial \left(c\tilde{c}\tilde{T}^m + \sum_a (c\gamma_a T_{F,a}^m - C_a c\tilde{b}\gamma_a^2) \right).
 \tag{3.5}$$

Therefore the BRST charge Q is nilpotent when $c^m = 52 - 11N$. The variations of b and β ghosts under this BRST transformation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q \cdot b &= T, \\
 Q \cdot \tilde{b} &= \tilde{T}^m - (\partial\tilde{b})c - 2\tilde{b}\partial c =: \tilde{T}^m + \tilde{T}^{\text{gh}} =: \tilde{T}, \\
 Q \cdot \beta_a &= T_{F,a}^m + c\partial\beta_a + \frac{3}{2}(\partial c)\beta_a - 2C_a \tilde{b}\gamma_a =: T_{F,a}^m + T_{F,a}^{\text{gh}} =: T_{F,a}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.6}$$

As seen, there also exists the ghost contribution to the fermionic currents $T_{F,a}$, and one can check the relations (2.2) and (3.3) hold without the superscript m .

As in the bosonic case, T and \tilde{T} couple to μ and e , respectively. In the present case we also have fermionic currents $T_{F,a}$ which couple to fermionic ‘‘gravitino’’ backgrounds $\chi_a \in \Omega^{(0,1)}(\Sigma, \mathcal{R})$ where \mathcal{R} is a dual spin bundle on the Riemann surface Σ whose square \mathcal{R}^2 is isomorphic to the tangent bundle K^{-1} of Σ . The coupling is described by

$$S_{\chi T_F} := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \chi T_F =: (\chi, T_F).
 \tag{3.7}$$

We also use the symbol $(,)$ for the pairing between the elements of $\Omega^{(0,1)}(\Sigma, \mathcal{R}^1)$ and $\Gamma(\Sigma, \mathcal{R}^{-3})$.

3.2 Vertex operators

As in the usual superstring, we can choose the periodicity of each fermionic current $T_{F,a}$ around a vertex. Therefore there are 2^N types of vertices, each is labeled by an N -tuple of NS or R.

All-NS sector. First, we consider all-NS vertices. We restrict the vertices \mathcal{V} to satisfy

$$b_n \mathcal{V} = 0 (n \geq 0), \quad \beta_{a,r} \mathcal{V} = 0 (r \geq 0). \quad (3.8)$$

We call them standard vertices again. For the NS sector, r take a value in $\mathbb{Z}+1/2$. Q -closed \mathcal{V} vanishes also by the action of $L_n, \tilde{L}_n, G_{a,r} = \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i} z^{r+\frac{1}{2}} T_{F,a}$ for n, r not less than 0. A physical operator should have the ghost number 2, and in this paper we use the picture number -1 NS operators for that. Such an operator takes the form of

$$\mathcal{V} = c\tilde{c} \prod_a \delta(\gamma_a) V^m \quad (3.9)$$

where V^m is a conformal primary if weight $2 - \frac{N}{2}$ which vanishes when acted by $\tilde{L}_n, G_{a,r}$ for $n \geq 0, r \geq 0$. The weight is determined by the fact that $\delta(\gamma)$ has weight $\frac{1}{2}$.

For type II ambitwistor string, V^m is taken to be

$$V^m = \psi_1 \cdot \epsilon_1 \psi_2 \cdot \epsilon_2 e^{ik \cdot X}, \quad (3.10)$$

which represents the space-time field with two indices.

For the $N = 1$ ambitwistor string with a current algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ as a internal CFT, the vertex operator of a gluon is

$$V^m = \psi \cdot \epsilon j_a e^{ik \cdot X}, \quad (3.11)$$

and the leading trace part of correlators of j_a gives the CHY formula for the pure Yang-Mills at tree level.

R sector. For vertices including at least one R sector, we also impose the condition (3.8), and the label of modes r is in \mathbb{Z} . We use the picture number $-1/2$ R sector operators, thus a physical operator looks like

$$\mathcal{V} = c\tilde{c} \prod_{a:\text{NS}} \delta(\gamma_a) \prod_{a:\text{R}} \Theta_a V^m \quad (3.12)$$

where Θ_a is the $\beta_a \gamma_a$ system spin operator whose weight is $3/8$. V^m should include corresponding R-sector vacuum operators, and should have conformal weight $2 - \frac{N_{\text{NS}}}{2} - \frac{3N_{\text{R}}}{8}$ when \mathcal{V} is a $\text{NS}^{N_{\text{NS}}} \text{R}^{N_{\text{R}}}$ operator. It should also be annihilated by $\tilde{L}_n, (n \geq 0)$ and $G_{a,r}, (r \geq 0)$.

As explained in [14], it is more precise to treat R vertices in the way that $\beta\gamma$ system takes values in the line bundles which is not a tensor power of the spin bundle on Riemann surfaces instead of considering the ghost spin operators Θ . Affected by this, the corresponding fermionic current $T_{F,a}$ and gravitino background χ_a should take values in $\Gamma(\Sigma, \mathcal{R}_a^{-1} \otimes K)$ and $\Omega^{(0,1)}(\Sigma, \mathcal{R}_a)$ where \mathcal{R}_a satisfies

$$\mathcal{R}_a^2 \simeq K^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D_{a,\text{R}}) \quad (3.13)$$

with the divisor $D_{a,\text{R}} := \sum_{i:\text{R}} z_i$ of all R-punctures with respect to $T_{F,a}$. For \mathcal{R}_a to be well defined, the number of R vertices should be even for each a .

GSO projection. As in the case of usual superstring, we should impose some GSO projection on the state space.

Let F_a denote the worldsheet spinor number which counts ψ_a^μ and γ_a , and anticommutes with $T_{F,a}$ and commutes with j_B . Then we project in terms of (some combinations of) F_a .

For example, to get type II ambitwistor string, we impose type II GSO projection on $N = 2$ ambitwistor string as in the usual superstring case. By projection in the NSNS sector, the “tachyonic” states $c\tilde{c}\delta(\gamma_1)\delta(\gamma_2)e^{ik\cdot X}$ are projected out. In the ambitwistor string case such “tachyonic” states are not BRST-closed from the beginning, but GSO projection on these states will be important to give the correct physical propagators of type II supergravity.

3.3 Holomorphic top-form on a bundle over moduli

As in the bosonic case, we define a holomorphic top-form on a bundle over the moduli \mathcal{M} . Note that there is no room to the supermoduli of super Riemann surfaces to enter which is important to the usual superstring, because the algebra (3.3) do never contain any superconformal algebras. Instead, we will see the fiber direction of $T^*\mathcal{M}$ should be “supersymmetrized”.

As a first step we work on the infinite dimensional supermanifold $\mathfrak{T}^*\mathcal{J}$ of ungauged (up to the Weyl transformation) backgrounds defined by

$$\mathfrak{T}^*\mathcal{J} := \{(g, e|\chi_a)\}/\text{Weyl transf.} \tag{3.14}$$

This is an infinite dimensional \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector bundle over $\mathcal{J}_{\text{spin}}$ including $T^*\mathcal{J}_{\text{spin}}$ where $\mathcal{J}_{\text{spin}}$ is the covering space of \mathcal{J} whose covering corresponds to 2^{gN} choices of \mathcal{R}_a which satisfies (3.13) for each $a = 1, \dots, N$. We introduce fermionic auxiliary fields δg and δe and bosonic auxiliary fields $\delta\chi_a$, which couple to the corresponding b, \tilde{b} and β_a ghosts by the extended action

$$S_{\text{ext}} = (\delta\mu, b) + (\delta e, \tilde{b}) - \sum_a (\delta\chi_a, \beta_a). \tag{3.15}$$

The BRST operator Q is extended so that it acts on background fields by

$$[Q, \mu] = \delta\mu, [Q, e] = \delta e, \{Q, \chi_a\} = \delta\chi_a. \tag{3.16}$$

We recognize the auxiliary fields $\delta\mu, \delta e, \delta\chi_a$ as 1-forms on the supermanifold $\mathfrak{T}^*\mathcal{J}$. For the definitions of the forms on supermanifolds, see [8].

We define a form F on $\mathfrak{T}^*\mathcal{J}$ by

$$F(\Omega; \mu, e, \chi_a | \delta\mu, \delta e, \delta\chi_a) := \left\langle e^{-(\delta\mu, b)} e^{-(e, \tilde{T}) - (\delta e, \tilde{b}) - \sum_a (\chi_a, T_{F,a}) + \sum_a (\delta\chi_a, \beta_a)} \Omega \right\rangle_g. \tag{3.17}$$

We have the same equation as in the bosonic case:

$$dF(\Omega) = F(Q \cdot \Omega). \tag{3.18}$$

We would like to reduce the form F to a form over the finite dimensional supermanifold

$$\mathfrak{T}^*\mathcal{M} := \mathfrak{T}^*\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{D} \tag{3.19}$$

which parametrises the background fields up to the group \mathcal{D} of gauging. \mathcal{D} is generated by $(v, \alpha|\epsilon_a)$ where v and α are in $\Gamma(\Sigma, T)$ and ϵ_a are fermionic sections of \mathcal{R}_a . The bosonic generators v, α should vanish at all points where vertices sit, and fermionic generators ϵ_a should vanish at points where NS vertices sit. The (complex) dimension of the supermanifold $\mathfrak{T}^*\mathcal{M}$ is $6g - 6 + 2n|(2g - 2)N + N_{\text{NS}} + \frac{1}{2}N_{\text{R}} =: D_{\text{even}}|D_{\text{odd}}$ where n is the number of vertices, N_{NS} and N_{R} are the total number of NS and R vertices, and N is the number of fermionic currents. For example, an NSNS vertex increases N_{NS} by 2, and an NSR vertex increase both N_{NS} and N_{R} by 1. There are deviations from this formula when g and n are small.

The orthogonality of F with the vector fields generated by \mathcal{D} is again ensured by the equations of motions of fermionic and bosonic ghost systems and the conditions of vertex operators (3.8). Therefore we can identify F with a form on $\mathfrak{T}^*\mathcal{M}$. We denote the local holomorphic coordinates of $\mathfrak{T}^*\mathcal{M}$ as $(t_i, a_i|\eta_{a,i})$, and take a set of representatives $g(t), e(t, a), \chi_a(t|\eta_a)$ of background fields. The dependence of e and χ_a in a and η_a is taken to be linear as $e = \sum_j a_j e_j$ and $\chi_a = \sum_k \eta_{a,k} \chi_{a,k}$.

If all of the vertices are physical, therefore look as (3.12), F is a superdegree $D_{\text{even}}|D_{\text{odd}}$ form. Namely, F scales as $F \rightarrow \lambda^{D_{\text{even}}} F$ when all of dt_i and da_i are scaled by λ , and as $F \rightarrow \lambda^{-D_{\text{odd}}} F$ when all of $d\eta_{a,i}$ are scaled by λ . This scaling law for $d\eta_{a,i}$ is explained by the rescaling of zero modes of β ghost.

3.4 Integration cycle and localization

A superdegree $D_{\text{even}}|D_{\text{odd}}$ form over a “real”⁶ supermanifold of real dimension $2D_{\text{even}}|D_{\text{odd}}$ can be integrated over $D_{\text{even}}|D_{\text{odd}}$ dimensional sub-supermanifold.

Therefore we can use the same procedure as the bosonic case. We define the integration cycle Γ as we did in subsection 2.4 using $h = -\Re((\mu(t), T) + (e(t, a), \tilde{T}))$ as the Morse function.

The amplitude is

$$\mathcal{A}_\Omega = \int_\Gamma F(\Omega) \tag{3.20}$$

again. Although we should consider the loop-momenta-fixed version of this equation for $g \geq 1$ as in the subsection 2.6, we suppress the notations related to the momenta fixing in the rest of this section. The decoupling of BRST-exact states also holds. The localization now gives

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_m \int \left\langle \prod_i^{D_{\text{even}}} (\mu_i(t^m), b) \prod_j^{D_{\text{even}}} (e_j(t^m), \tilde{b}) e^{\sum_a \{Q, (\chi_a(t^m, \eta_a), \beta_a)\}} \frac{1}{\det \Phi} \Omega \right\rangle_{g(t^m)},$$

$$\Phi := (\Phi_{ij})_{ij} := (\partial_{t_i}(e_j, \tilde{T}))_{ij}. \tag{3.21}$$

The integration should be performed along the fermionic direction of $\mathfrak{T}^*\mathcal{M}$ restricted to each critical points $p_m \in T^*\mathcal{M}$. The part related to the fermionic direction should be regarded as

$$\int e^{\sum_a \{Q, (\chi_a(t^m, \eta_a), \beta_a)\}} = \int \prod_a^N \prod_k^{D_{\text{odd}}} \delta(d\eta_{a,k}) \delta((\chi_{a,k}, \beta)) e^{-\sum_{a,k} \eta_{a,k} (\chi_{a,k}, T_{F,a})} + \dots$$

⁶The more suitable terminology for the real structure of $\mathfrak{T}^*\mathcal{M}$ is a “cs” manifold. See [14].

$$= \prod_a^N \prod_k^{D_{\text{odd}}} \delta((\chi_{a,k}, \beta))(\chi_{a,k}, T_{F,a}) + \dots \quad (3.22)$$

The ellipses represent terms arising from the regularization of the divergence caused by the collision of β and $T_{F,a}$, which can be determined from the BRST-invariance. If the bases of the “gravitino” backgrounds $\chi_{a,k}$ are taken to be delta functions:

$$\chi_{a,k}(z) = \delta(z - w_{a,k}), \quad (3.23)$$

the insertion (3.22) becomes a product of picture changing operators $X_a(w_{a,k})$ defined by

$$X_a(w) := T_{F,a}(w)\delta(\beta_a(w)) - C_a \partial \tilde{b}(w) \delta'(\beta_a(w)), \quad (3.24)$$

where C_a is the coefficient of OPE (3.3), and the amplitude is

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_m \left\langle \prod_i^{3g-3+n} (\mu_i(t^m), b) \prod_j^{3g-3+n} (e_j(t^m), \tilde{b}) \prod_a \prod_k X_a(w_{a,k}) \frac{1}{\det \Phi} \Omega \right\rangle_{g(t^m)}. \quad (3.25)$$

When $g = 0$ and all vertices are of type all-NS, we are able to take μ_i and e_i to be the same ones as in subsection 2.5, and to collide the k th picture changing operator $X_a(w_{a,k})$ with the $k + 2$ th vertex \mathcal{V}_{k+2} . Then the amplitude can be represented as

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\langle \prod_{i=1,2} \left(c\tilde{c} \prod_a \delta(\gamma_a) V_i^m(z_i) \right) c\tilde{c} \widehat{V}_3^m(z_3) \prod_{i=4}^n \int dz_i \delta \left(\oint_{\gamma_i} \tilde{T}^m \right) \widehat{V}_i^m(z_i) \right\rangle, \quad (3.26)$$

where $\widehat{V}^m = \prod_a G_{a,-1/2} \cdot V^m$, which is the same formula as in [1].

4 Propagators and factorization

In this section we investigate the space-time propagators and the factorization properties of the amplitudes defined in the previous sections.

An important property of the tree level scattering equations is that when an internal momentum is on-shell, there are solutions on the corresponding boundary divisor of $\mathcal{M}_{g=0,n}$ [6]. Using that fact, the factorization properties for the tree level CHY formulas are shown in the literature [2, 3, 19]. At the one-loop level, the property for the typeII ambitwistor string is investigated in [7, 16] using the explicit expression of the one-loop scattering equations and the CFT correlators.

In the ambitwistor string setup, we expect that it is possible to re-prove these facts in the more abstract language of 2d CFTs, and generalize them to loop level. For usual string, the factorization property is investigated in [20] and is well reviewed in [14] and we follow the arguments there. For the factorization properties of the twistor string, see [21]. The arguments similar to what we are going to do can be found in [11, 22] for soft external or internal momenta.

4.1 Bosonic case

As is well known, an irreducible component of the boundary of the (Deligne-Munford compactification of the) moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ of genus g and n -punctured Riemann surfaces is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_{g_L, n_L} \times \mathcal{M}_{g_R, n_R}$ with $g_L + g_R = g, n_L + n_R = n + 2$, and $n_L, n_R \geq 1$ or $\mathcal{M}_{g-1, n+2}$. The former case is called a separating degeneration of Riemann surfaces, while the later is a non-separating degeneration. We focus on the separating case, but the argument goes in the same way for the non-separating cases.

Nearly degenerated Riemann surfaces can be constructed by connecting punctures with “plumbing fixtures”. Take a punctured Riemann surface $\Sigma_L \in \mathcal{M}_{g_L, n_L}$ and choose a local coordinate $\xi_L, |\xi_L| \leq 1$ around one of the punctures. Take another $\Sigma' \in \mathcal{M}_{g_R, n_R}$ and a local coordinate $\xi_R, |\xi_R| \leq 1$ around a puncture of Σ' . Then we glue the two local coordinates ξ_L and ξ_R by imposing

$$\xi_L \xi_R = q. \tag{4.1}$$

The obtained Riemann surface belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{g_L+g_R, n_L+n_R-2} = \mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ and near the boundary D_{g_L, g_R, n_L, n_R} , which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_{g_L, n_L} \times \mathcal{M}_{g_R, n_R}$. The gluing parameter q plays the roll of the complex coordinate of $\mathcal{M}_{g_L+g_R, n_L+n_R-2}$ transverse to the boundary D_{g_L, g_R, n_L, n_R} , and $q = 0$ corresponds to the points on the boundary. The gluing condition (4.1) is compatible with $|\xi_L|, |\xi_R| \leq 1$ when $|q| \leq 1$ therefore $|q| \leq 1$ define a patch \mathcal{U} of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ around the boundary D_{g_L, g_R, n_L, n_R} .

The coordinate transformation $\rho = \log \xi_L$ maps the local patch around the chosen puncture of Σ to a cylinder. As the patch around the puncture of Σ' is defined by $\xi_R \leq 1$, ξ_L can take $|q| \leq |\xi_L| \leq 1$ and the cylinder represented by ρ has the length $s := -\log |q|$, and is twisted by the angle $\phi := \arg q$.

Let us use $S := -\log q = s + i\phi$ as the coordinate of the moduli of the cylinder instead of q . The corresponding Beltrami differential $\mu_S = g^{\rho\bar{\rho}} \partial_S g_{\rho\bar{\rho}}$ is equal to $\frac{1}{s}$ in the ρ coordinate of the cylinder. Thus, the pairing between μ_S and the b ghost is calculated to be

$$(\mu_S, b) = \int_{|\rho|=0}^{|\rho|=s} \mu_S b = \oint_{\rho=0}^{\rho=2\pi i} b = b_0. \tag{4.2}$$

For the Lagrange multiplier Beltrami differential background e , we use the same the basis μ_S and expand it as $e = \tilde{s}\mu_S$.

In \mathcal{U} , the form F on $T^*\mathcal{M}$ can be written as

$$F = \sum_{\Psi_L, \Psi_R \in \mathcal{H}} F_L(\Omega'_L \Psi_L(\xi_L = 0)) \langle \Psi_L | e^{-\{Q, sb_0 + \tilde{s}b_0\}} | \Psi_R \rangle F_R(\Psi_R(\xi_R = 0) \Omega'_R), \tag{4.3}$$

where $\Omega'_{L,R}$ are the external vertices on $\Sigma_{L,R}$, $F_{L,R}$ are the form on $T^*\mathcal{M}_{L,R}$ defined by $\Omega'_{L,R}$ and internal states $\Psi_{L,R}$, and the sum is taken over a pair of bases $\Psi_{L,R}$ of the total Hilbert space \mathcal{H} of string states. By the ghost number conservation, both Ψ_L and Ψ_R can be assumed to have bc ghost number N_{bc} and $\tilde{b}\tilde{c}$ ghost number $N_{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}}$ equal to 1 and we can ignore the ghost part of \tilde{L}_0 .⁷ We concentrate on the 26 dimensional flat bosonic ambitwistor

⁷The operator \tilde{T}^{gh} mixes two ghost systems, but the coupling $(e, \tilde{T}^{\text{gh}})$ cannot contribute when we use standard on-shell vertices as external vertices as mentioned before.

case at first, and will mention on the case of non-trivial internal CFTs later. For the flat bosonic ambitwistor string, the weight h of the states is greater than or equal to -2 .

To compress the expressions we define the symbols $\langle\langle\mathcal{O}\rangle\rangle_h$ and $\langle\langle\mathcal{O}\rangle\rangle^S$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\langle\mathcal{O}\rangle\rangle_h &:= \sum_{\Psi_L, \Psi_R \in \mathcal{H}} F_L(\Omega'_L \Psi_L(\xi_L = 0)) \langle \Psi_L | b_0 \tilde{b}_0 \delta(L_0 - h) \mathcal{O} | \Psi_R \rangle F_R(\Psi_R(\xi_R = 0) \Omega'_R), \\ \langle\langle\mathcal{O}\rangle\rangle^S &:= \sum_{\Psi_L, \Psi_R \in \mathcal{H}} F_L(\Omega'_L \Psi_L(\xi_L = 0)) \langle \Psi_L | b_0 \tilde{b}_0 \mathcal{O} e^{-sL_0} | \Psi_R \rangle F_R(\Psi_R(\xi_R = 0) \Omega'_R), \\ &= \sum_h \langle\langle\mathcal{O}\rangle\rangle_h q^h.\end{aligned}\tag{4.4}$$

where the operator $\delta(L_0 - h)$ projects the states to have L_0 eigenvalue h .

By (2.40), F can be rewritten as

$$F = \text{d}s \text{d}\tilde{s} e^{-\tilde{s} \langle\langle \tilde{L}_0 \rangle\rangle^S / \langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle^S} \langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle^S,\tag{4.5}$$

and the saddle point equation is

$$\langle\langle \tilde{L}_0 \rangle\rangle^S / \langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle^S = 0.\tag{4.6}$$

Again we emphasize that (2.40) uses the special property of the XP CFT which is free.

It is convenient to split the operator \tilde{L}_0 as $\tilde{L}_0 = k^2 + \tilde{L}'_0$. In the loop-momenta-fixed amplitudes, the internal momentum k which goes through the cylinder is always fixed, therefore k^2 can be treated as a number. The remaining part \tilde{L}'_0 does not depend on k , and acts on, for example, ∂X as $\tilde{L}'_0 \cdot \partial X_\mu = P_\mu$. Then the equation (4.6) becomes

$$\langle\langle \tilde{L}'_0 \rangle\rangle^S / \langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle^S = -k^2.\tag{4.7}$$

\tilde{L}'_0 is zero when restricted to the space of states with $L_0 = -2$ which contains only $c\tilde{c}e^{ik \cdot X}$. Therefore, $\langle\langle \tilde{L}'_0 \rangle\rangle_s / \langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle_s = \mathcal{O}(q^1)$ and, for sufficiently small k^2 , there exists a solution q_* which satisfies

$$q_* = -k^2 \frac{\langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle_{-2}}{\langle\langle \tilde{L}'_0 \rangle\rangle_{-1}} + \mathcal{O}((k^2)^2).\tag{4.8}$$

This solution q_* approaches 0 when the internal momentum k get close to on-shell. In other words, when internal momentum is on-shell, there exist solutions of scattering equations on the corresponding boundary divisor in the moduli \mathcal{M} . This phenomenon is already investigated for tree scattering equations [6] and for one-loop scattering equations [7].

The Gaussian integration near the solution $q_* = e^{-S_*}$ along the Lefschetz thimble gives

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle^{S_*}}{\partial_S \left(\langle\langle \tilde{L}'_0 \rangle\rangle^S / \langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle^S \right) \Big|_{S=S_*}} &= - \frac{\langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle^{S_*}}{\langle\langle L_0 \tilde{L}'_0 \rangle\rangle^{S_*} / \langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle^{S_*} + k^2 \langle\langle L_0 \rangle\rangle^{S_*} / \langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle^{S_*}} \\ &= - \frac{\langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle^{S_*}}{q_* \langle\langle L_0 \tilde{L}'_0 \rangle\rangle_{-1} / \langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle_{-2} + k^2 \langle\langle L_0 \rangle\rangle_{-2} / \langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle_{-2} + \mathcal{O}((k^2)^2)} \\ &= \frac{\langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle_{-2} q_*^{-2} + \langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle_{-1} q_*^{-1} + \langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle_0}{k^2} + \mathcal{O}((k^2)^0).\end{aligned}\tag{4.9}$$

This result is not what is expected from physically meaningful theories. The first and the second terms give unpleasant $\mathcal{O}((k^2)^{-3})$ and $\mathcal{O}((k^2)^{-2})$ contribution. Those come from the operators of conformal weight -2 and -1 , which cannot be BRST invariant. The $\mathcal{O}((k^2)^{-1})$ part also contains unpleasant contributions from unphysical operators like $P_\mu \partial_\nu X e^{ik \cdot X}$ and higher order terms of q_*^{-2}, q_*^{-1} . Therefore, the gravity part of the bosonic ambitwistor string looks pathological.

Let us comment on the case with 2 copies of internal current algebras as internal CFTs. As mentioned and originally found in [1], its double leading trace part reproduces the amplitudes of scalars with the interaction like $f_{abc} \tilde{f}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} \phi^{a\tilde{a}} \phi^{b\tilde{b}} \phi^{c\tilde{c}}$ considered in [2]. The full propagator of such ambitwistor string should contain dangerous terms like in (4.9).

However, the problem does not exist in the double leading trace part. The states which contribute to the double leading trace part should contain the current operators j and $\tilde{j}^{\tilde{a}}$ of both current algebras. The only such operator which contribute to the propagator is $c\tilde{c} j^a \tilde{j}^{\tilde{a}} e^{ik \cdot X}$ which is physical when $k^2 = 0$. The denominator of (4.9) is not changed since it is kinematically determined, therefore the propagator is physical when restricted to the double leading trace part, which is consistent with the analysis of [3].

4.2 Fermionic case

The calculation is almost the same when there exist fermionic spin 3/2 currents T_F . The sum in (4.3) should be taken in terms of picture -1 NS states and $-1/2$ R states. We also change the definitions of the symbol $\langle\langle \rangle\rangle_h$ so that they take the sum over only states with such picture numbers. We again have the solution (4.8) since scattering equations are kinematically determined, and have the same denominator k^2 in the propagator.

For all-NS sector, as there exists no zero-mode of gravitino backgrounds on the cylinder with NS-boundary conditions, the numerator is the same except for the shift of level due to $\delta(\gamma)$ operators and the insertion of the GSO-projection operator Π_{GSO} which comes from the spin structure sum. If the internal CFT is unitary, the resulting propagator is

$$\frac{\sum_{h=-2+N/2}^0 \langle\langle \Pi_{\text{GSO}} \rangle\rangle_h q_*^h}{k^2} + \mathcal{O}((k^2)^0), \tag{4.10}$$

where N is the number of the fermionic currents. To have a healthy propagator, the projection Π_{GSO} should kill all the unphysical states with standard ghost contribution $c\tilde{c} \prod_a \delta(\gamma_a)$ and weight not more than 0.

For $N = 1$ theory with a current algebra as an internal CFT, Π_{GSO} is too small to eliminate all problematic states. Instead, we again concentrate on the leading trace part and get tree level Yang-Mills amplitudes as obtained in [1].

For $N = 2$, the GSO projection associated to two fermionic currents kills all the problematic states, if we choose type II projection. Therefore, the type II ambitwistor string has correct NS-sector propagator. For $N = 3$, we again are able to choose Π_{GSO} so that it kills unwanted states, and finally unpleasant states are absent from the beginning for $N = 4$.

A cylinder with R-boundary conditions has gravitino zero modes and corresponding β_a ghost zero modes. Therefore, we should include additional $e^{\{Q, \eta_a \beta_a\}}$ contribution in (4.3)

where η_a is the corresponding odd modulus. Integrating η_a , the propagator for NS^AR^B sector becomes

$$\frac{\sum_{h=-2+A/2+3B/8}^0 \langle\langle \prod_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \delta(\beta_{a,0}) G_{a,0} \Pi_{\text{GSO}} \rangle\rangle_h q_*^h}{k^2} + \mathcal{O}((k^2)^0). \quad (4.11)$$

Again, one can check the absence of unpleasant terms from type II ambitwistor string.

5 Conclusions and discussions

5.1 Conclusions

In this paper, we performed the abstract investigation on the structures of the ambitwistor string from the worldsheet point of view. We constructed the conformally invariant formulation of the amplitudes of the theory, and made some analysis on its propagators.

Worldsheet aspects of ambitwistor string. The ambitwistor string and its standard operators define a holomorphic top-form F on the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathcal{M}$ of the moduli \mathcal{M} of punctured Riemann surfaces or on its “supersymmetrized” version $\mathfrak{T}^*\mathcal{M}$, which is independent of the gauge fixing conditions. To define the amplitude, a middle dimensional cycle in $T^*\mathcal{M}$ or $\mathfrak{T}^*\mathcal{M}$ should be chosen, and we adopted a cycle Γ defined by the Morse flow. Eventually the amplitude localizes on the solutions of scattering equations and reproduces the formulas in [1, 7] in the case of genus 0 and 1.

Propagators. The factorization properties of the amplitudes defined above are investigated. We found that the Jacobian factor of the scattering equations give the usual $(k^2)^{-1}$ factor of the proper massless propagators, however we also found unphysical factors. Nevertheless, such unpleasant factors are absent in the leading trace part of the internal current algebra, and in type II ambitwistor string.

5.2 Future directions

Search for meaningful internal systems. This paper ensures that, in principle, ambitwistor string can have various internal chiral compact CFTs. It should be interesting to consider how larger classes amplitudes of QFTs can be constructed as ambitwistor string theories. For the theory to be physically meaningful, one should ensure the propagator is free from the contribution from unphysical vertex operators.

$N = 3$ ambitwistor string and the DBI theory. We proposed the possibility to consider the ambitwistor string with $N = 3$ or 4 fermionic currents. The amplitudes of the $N = 3$ theory should contain three factors of the reduced Pfaffians of matrices depending on momenta and polarizations in its summand. Such summand is proposed in [19] for the DBI theory.

Actually, one can quickly check that the NS^3 sector of the flat $N = 3$ ambitwistor string reproduces the BI part of the formula. A representative of a BRST cohomology which represents a space-time vector is

$$\mathcal{V}_A = c\tilde{c} \prod_{a=1}^3 \delta(\gamma_a) \epsilon \cdot \psi_1 e^{ik \cdot X}, \quad (5.1)$$

and its picture-raised form is

$$\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_A = c\tilde{c}(P \cdot \epsilon + \psi_1 \cdot \epsilon \psi_1 \cdot k) k \cdot \psi_2 k \cdot \psi_3 e^{ik \cdot X}. \tag{5.2}$$

The three sets of fermions $\psi_{1,\mu}, \psi_{2,\mu}, \psi_{3,\mu}$ generate three of reduced Pfaffians, and the one produced by the ψ_1 correlator depends on both polarizations ϵ and momenta k of external states, while the others only contain momenta. The resulting tree amplitude is exactly what is proposed in [19] for the BI theory.

If we use other sets of fermions ψ_i^{int} as the internal system, we also have on-shell operators

$$\mathcal{V}_{\phi_i} = c\tilde{c} \prod_{a=1}^3 \delta(\gamma_a) \psi_i^{\text{int}} e^{ik \cdot X}, \tag{5.3}$$

which represent i th space-time scalar ϕ_i . The internal fermions produce (non-reduced) Pfaffians and again reproduce the result of the reference for DBI.

To make the total worldsheet theory anomaly-free, the dimension D of space-time and the number of space-time scalars N_ϕ should satisfy $7D + N_\phi = 38$. The possible combinations are $(D, N_\phi) = (5, 3), (4, 10), (3, 17), (2, 24)$. The meaning of these sets, possible ways of GSO projections and Ramond sectors are left to be researched.

$N = 4$ ambitwistor string and Galileon theory with special coupling. As above, tree amplitudes of $N = 4$ ambitwistor string should contain four of reduced Pfaffians. Such amplitudes can be found again in [19], which is for the Galileon theory with special values of the couplings so that the theory gets enhanced symmetry as stated in [23].

The on-shell operator of $N = 4$ ambitwistor string is

$$c\tilde{c} \prod_{a=1}^4 \delta(\gamma_a) e^{ik \cdot X}. \tag{5.4}$$

The tree level amplitude contains the quartic power of the reduced Pfaffian of a matrix consisting of momenta, which again agree with the results given in [19].

The dimension should be $D = 2$ to achieve anomaly cancellation. The propagator is physical for $N = 4$ theory because there are no undesired states in $L_0 \leq 0$. The Ramond sectors and supersymmetries are remain to be investigated, again.

Rationality of loop integrands. The loop integrands discussed in subsection 2.6 should be rational functions in terms of the external and internal momenta which is manifest in the Feynmann diagram calculations. In the IR limit, this problem is discussed in [16, 22] As stated in there, how to take the sum in terms of the solutions of loop-level scattering equations is not obvious so far, and the way should be fixed so that the integrands achieve the rationality.

Relations to usual string theory. In this paper, we just made a consistent procedure to define amplitudes by hand for ambitwistor string. However, as is done at the level of the action in [1], the whole procedure can possibly be deduced from infinite tension limit of the usual string theory and may reveal the so-far-obscure relation between the scattering equations and the saddle point method of [5] along the way of [24–27].

Acknowledgments

The author sincerely thanks F. Cachazo and Y. Tachikawa for helpful discussions. The author is partially supported by the Programs for Leading Graduate Schools, MEXT, Japan, via the Advanced Leading Graduate Course for Photon Science. The author is also supported by JSPS Research Fellowship for Young Scientists.

A No-ghost theorem for bosonic ambitwistor string

In this appendix we show the no-ghost theorem for the bosonic ambitwistor string and that one can always choose a vertex operator \mathcal{V} which is annihilated by b_n and \tilde{b}_n for $n \geq 0$ as a representative of a Q -cohomology class. The discussion is imported from section 4.4 of [28, 29] in the case of the usual string and almost the same. The “No-ghost” theorem here only ensures that there is no ghost in the BRST-cohomologies, thus this theorem does not contradict with the unphysical form of propagators found in section 4.

We assume that the CFT \mathcal{T} contains the flat $P^\mu X_\mu$ CFT for $\mu = 0, 1$ with Lorentzian metric $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1)$. A bit surprisingly, the argument for the usual string can be modified in spite of the difference of the theory of the light cone direction. We work on the light cone coordinates $\eta_{+-} = \eta_{-+} = -1, \eta_{++} = \eta_{--} = 0$, and expand fields as

$$X^\mu(z) = \sum_m \frac{X_m^\mu}{z^m}, \quad P^\mu(z) = \sum_m \frac{P_m^\mu}{z^{m+1}}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

whose modes satisfy

$$[X_m^\mu, P_n^\nu] = \eta^{\mu\nu} \delta_{m+n, 0}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Then we define a number operator

$$N^{\text{lc}} = \sum_{\substack{m=-\infty \\ m \neq 0 \\ m=\infty}} (P_{-m}^+ X_m^- - P_{-m}^- X_m^+). \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Note that this operator is almost the space-time Lorentz generator, but we have removed the zero mode from that. We consider states with nonzero momentum and go to a frame with $k^+ \neq 0$ and impose $b_0 = \tilde{b}_0 = 0$.

The BRST operator Q splits into three parts

$$Q = Q_1 + Q_0 + Q_{-1}, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where Q_i has charge i under N^{lc} . The nilpotency of Q implies that of Q_1 . The concrete form of Q_1 is

$$Q_1 = k^+ \sum_{m \neq 0} (-m c_{-m} X_m^- - \tilde{c}_{-m} P_m^-). \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Then we define operators

$$R = \frac{1}{k^+} \sum_{m \neq 0} (b_m P_{-m}^+ + m \tilde{b}_m X_{-m}^+) \quad (\text{A.6})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 S &:= \{Q_1, R\} \\
 &= \sum_{m \geq 1} m \left(c_{-m} b_m + b_{-m} c_m + \tilde{c}_{-m} \tilde{b}_m + \tilde{b}_{-m} \tilde{c}_m \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + X_{-m}^- P_m^+ - P_{-m}^+ X_m^- + X_{-m}^+ P_m^- - P_{-m}^- X_m^+ \right). \tag{A.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

This operator S commutes with Q_1 , therefore the remaining argument is exactly the same as that of [28, 29]. Here we briefly rephrase that for completeness.

If $|\psi\rangle$ has positive S -number and Q_1 -closed, then $|\psi\rangle = Q_1 S^{-1} R |\psi\rangle$ is Q_1 exact. If $|\psi\rangle$ has S -number 0, then $|\psi\rangle$ is Q_1 -closed because $0 = Q_1 S |\psi\rangle = S Q_1 |\psi\rangle$ and $Q_1 |\psi\rangle$ consists of positive S -number states. Thus we have got

$$Q_1\text{-cohomology} \cong \text{Ker } S, \tag{A.8}$$

which is the no-ghost theorem for Q_1 . (Here \cong means we can choose representatives of each elements of the left hand side from the right hand side.)

To relate this space to the Q_B -cohomology, we use $U = \{Q_0 + Q_{-1}, R\}$. Note that $\Phi = \frac{1}{1+S^{-1}U} = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-S^{-1}U)^n$ is a well-defined operator since S^{-1} always acts on the states with $N^{\text{nl}} < 0$ where S is invertible. Since $S + U = S(1 + S^{-1}U)$, Φ defines an isomorphism

$$\Phi : \text{Ker } S \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ker } (S + U). \tag{A.9}$$

The same argument as for (A.8) shows

$$Q_B\text{-cohomology} \cong \text{Ker } (S + U), \tag{A.10}$$

therefore the proof is done.

Finally, we would like to show that there is always at least one state in a Q -cohomology class which is killed by b_n and \tilde{b}_n for $n \geq 0$. It is enough to show that states in $\text{Ker } (S + U)$ satisfy this property. We define a number

$$N' = \sum_{m \geq 1} \left(c_{-m} b_m + b_{-m} c_m + \tilde{c}_{-m} \tilde{b}_m + \tilde{b}_{-m} \tilde{c}_m + 2X_{-m}^- P_m^+ - 2P_{-m}^- X_m^+ \right). \tag{A.11}$$

This number counts various operators as

$$\begin{aligned}
 [N', b_n] &= -\text{sign}(n)b_n, & [N', c_n] &= -\text{sign}(n)c_n, \\
 [N', X_{-l}^-] &= 2X_{-l}^-, & [N', P_l^+] &= -2P_l^+ \quad (l \geq 1),
 \end{aligned} \tag{A.12}$$

and so on. One can check R has N' -number -1 , and $Q_0 + Q_{-1}$ does not contain terms with N' -number greater than or equal to 1. Therefore $\Phi |\psi\rangle$ should contain terms with $N' \leq 0$ for $\psi \in \text{Ker } S$, but N' should be no less than 0 for states, concluding $N' \Phi |\psi\rangle = 0$. This statement includes what we wanted to show.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] L. Mason and D. Skinner, *Ambitwistor strings and the scattering equations*, *JHEP* **07** (2014) 048 [[arXiv:1311.2564](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] F. Cachazo, S. He and E.Y. Yuan, *Scattering of massless particles in arbitrary dimensions*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** (2014) 171601 [[arXiv:1307.2199](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] F. Cachazo, S. He and E.Y. Yuan, *Scattering of massless particles: scalars, gluons and gravitons*, *JHEP* **07** (2014) 033 [[arXiv:1309.0885](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] E. Witten, *Perturbative gauge theory as a string theory in twistor space*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **252** (2004) 189 [[hep-th/0312171](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] D.J. Gross and P.F. Mende, *The high-energy behavior of string scattering amplitudes*, *Phys. Lett. B* **197** (1987) 129 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] F. Cachazo, S. He and E.Y. Yuan, *Scattering equations and Kawai-Lewellen-Tye orthogonality*, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 065001 [[arXiv:1306.6575](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] T. Adamo, E. Casali and D. Skinner, *Ambitwistor strings and the scattering equations at one loop*, *JHEP* **04** (2014) 104 [[arXiv:1312.3828](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] E. Witten, *Notes on supermanifolds and integration*, [arXiv:1209.2199](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] N. Berkovits, *Infinite tension limit of the pure spinor superstring*, *JHEP* **03** (2014) 017 [[arXiv:1311.4156](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] Y. Geyer, A.E. Lipstein and L.J. Mason, *Ambitwistor strings in four dimensions*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** (2014) 081602 [[arXiv:1404.6219](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] Y. Geyer, A.E. Lipstein and L. Mason, *Ambitwistor strings at null infinity and (subleading) soft limits*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **32** (2015) 055003 [[arXiv:1406.1462](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] T. Adamo, E. Casali and D. Skinner, *A worldsheet theory for supergravity*, *JHEP* **02** (2015) 116 [[arXiv:1409.5656](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] T. Adamo and E. Casali, *Perturbative gauge theory at null infinity*, [arXiv:1504.02304](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [14] E. Witten, *Perturbative superstring theory revisited*, [arXiv:1209.5461](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] E. Witten, *Analytic continuation of Chern-Simons theory*, [arXiv:1001.2933](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] E. Casali and P. Tourkine, *Infrared behaviour of the one-loop scattering equations and supergravity integrands*, *JHEP* **04** (2015) 013 [[arXiv:1412.3787](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [17] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, *The geometry of string perturbation theory*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **60** (1988) 917 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, *Conformal scalar fields and chiral splitting on super-Riemann surfaces*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **125** (1989) 469 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] F. Cachazo, S. He and E.Y. Yuan, *Scattering equations and matrices: from Einstein to Yang-Mills, DBI and NLSM*, [arXiv:1412.3479](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] A.G. Cohen, G.W. Moore, P.C. Nelson and J. Polchinski, *An off-shell propagator for string theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **267** (1986) 143 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] T. Adamo, *Worldsheet factorization for twistor-strings*, *JHEP* **04** (2014) 080 [[arXiv:1310.8602](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [22] A.E. Lipstein, *Soft theorems from conformal field theory*, [arXiv:1504.01364](#) [INSPIRE].
- [23] K. Hinterbichler and A. Joyce, *A hidden symmetry of the Galileon*, [arXiv:1501.07600](#) [INSPIRE].
- [24] N.E.J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P.H. Damgaard, P. Tourkine and P. Vanhove, *Scattering equations and string theory amplitudes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 106002 [[arXiv:1403.4553](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [25] P. Tourkine, *Tropical amplitudes*, [arXiv:1309.3551](#) [INSPIRE].
- [26] P. Caputa and S. Hirano, *Observations on open and closed string scattering amplitudes at high energies*, *JHEP* **02** (2012) 111 [[arXiv:1108.2381](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [27] P. Caputa, *Lightlike contours with fermions*, *Phys. Lett. B* **716** (2012) 475 [[arXiv:1205.6369](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [28] J. Polchinski, *String theory. Volume 1: an introduction to the bosonic string*, Cambridge Univ. Pr., Cambridge U.K. (1998).
- [29] J. Polchinski, *String theory. Volume 2: superstring theory and beyond*, Cambridge Univ. Pr., Cambridge U.K. (1998).