Published for SISSA by 🖄 Springer

RECEIVED: May 9, 2019 ACCEPTED: May 24, 2019 PUBLISHED: May 29, 2019

# The flavor dependence of $m_{arrho}/f_{\pi}$

#### Daniel Nogradi and Lorinc Szikszai

Eötvös University, Institute for Theoretical Physics, Budapest 1117, Hungary

E-mail: nogradi@bodri.elte.hu, szikszail@caesar.elte.hu

ABSTRACT: We calculate the  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi}$  ratio in the chiral and continuum limit for SU(3) gauge theory coupled to  $N_f = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$  fermions in the fundamental representation. Keeping all systematic effects under full control we find no statistically significant  $N_f$ -dependence;  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi} = 7.95(15)$ . Assuming the KSRF-relations we conclude that 3 other low energy quantities related to the vector meson are also  $N_f$ -independent within errors including the  $\rho\pi\pi$  coupling  $g_{\rho\pi\pi}$ . If the model is thought of as a strong dynamics inspired composite Higgs model our results indicate that the experimentally most easily accessible new composite particle, the vector meson, and its properties may be robust and independent of the fermion content of the model as long as the gauge group is SU(3), provided  $N_f$ -independence extends all the way to the conformal window.

KEYWORDS: Lattice QCD, Lattice Quantum Field Theory

ARXIV EPRINT: 1905.01909



## Contents

1	Introduction and summary	1
<b>2</b>	Simulation details	2
3	Finite volume effects	3
4	Chiral-continuum extrapolation4.1Topological susceptibility4.2The $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi}$ ratio	<b>6</b> 6 7
5	Conclusion and outlook	10
A	Data tables	12

#### 1 Introduction and summary

The possibility of a composite Higgs boson disguised as a scalar resonance in a so far unobserved strongly interacting gauge sector led to renewed interest in lattice calculations in models with unusual fermion content. As the fermion content varies for a given gauge group the non-perturbative dynamics of gauge theory changes drastically. If the fermion representation is also fixed the fermion content is controlled by the flavor number  $N_f$ . As  $N_f$ increases but stays below the conformal window the number of Goldstone bosons increases, the  $\beta$ -function decreases in magnitude and hence the running becomes slower, the topological susceptibility decreases at fixed Goldstone mass and decay constant, etc. Change in the infrared dynamics as  $N_f$  is approaching the conformal window is expected since an even more drastic change will eventually occur as  $N_f$  passes into the conformal window. Yet there are hints from past lattice calculations of SU(3) gauge theory that one particular ratio  $m_{\rho}/f_{\pi}$  in the chiral limit is surprisingly stable as  $N_f$  varies. The available results are at finite lattice spacing which makes their comparison hard and finite volume effects are not always negligible but there are indications that  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi} \sim 8.0$  for  $N_f = 2, 4, 6, 8, 9$  with fundamental fermions [1-7] and even with  $N_f = 2$  sextet fermions [8-10]. Not to mention the value for QCD  $\sim 8.4$  which is also not far even though the quarks are massive.

In this work we aim to study the ratio  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi}$  more systematically. Our goal is to obtain controlled continuum results for  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi}$  in the chiral limit with SU(3) and  $N_f = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ in order to see the continuum  $N_f$ -dependence, if any. First, for each  $N_f$  we have carefully determined the size of finite volume effects and quantified how large  $m_{\pi}L$  needs to be in order to have only sub-percent distortions from the finite volume. As expected  $m_{\pi}L$  needs to grow with  $N_f$ , more specifically a linear relationship is found,  $m_{\pi}L$  needs to increase linearly in order to maintain at most 1% finite volume effect. For each model, i.e. fixed  $N_f$  we then simulate at 4 lattice spacings and 4 fermion masses at each always ensuring that finite volume effects are below 1%. The 16 simulation points per  $N_f$  allow for fully controlled chiral-continuum extrapolations leading to our final results in figure 4 which indeed shows no statistically significant  $N_f$ -dependence, a constant fit as a function of  $N_f$ leads to  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi} = 7.95(15)$ .

This remarkable  $N_f$ -independence is not at all trivial and is not guaranteed by any general principle as far as we are aware. It should be noted that the celebrated KSRFrelations [11, 12] do state non-trivial relationships among various  $\rho$ -related low energy quantities based on phenomenological assumptions but they do not say anything about their  $N_f$ -dependence. In theory the KSRF-relations (see section 5 for details) may hold to high precision at each  $N_f$  and the quantities themselves may very well vary with  $N_f$ . The fact that this does not happen seems to be a non-trivial property of SU(3) gauge theory. On the other hand assuming the KSRF-relations our results lead to  $N_f$ -independence of the  $\rho \pi \pi$ coupling  $g_{\rho\pi\pi}$ ,  $\Gamma_{\rho}/m_{\rho}$  where  $\Gamma_{\rho}$  is the width, and  $f_{\rho}/m_{\rho}$  where  $f_{\rho}$  is the decay constant.

Our original motivation was the study of composite Higgs models with gauge group SU(3). In the class of models we have in mind the Higgs boson is identified as the  $O^{++}$  scalar flavor singlet meson and the scale is set by  $f_{\pi} = 246 \text{ GeV}$ . Our results then mean that the mass of the vector resonance which is the experimentally most easily accessible new particle prediction is at ~ 2 TeV regardless of what the fermion content is.

Beside the beyond Standard Model motivation we believe the ratio  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi}$  will be useful in understanding the dynamics of crossing into the conformal window. Inside the conformal window all masses are vanishing of course. It is possible however to define the conformal models at finite fermion mass and then  $m_{\varrho}$ ,  $f_{\pi}$  and all other finite renormalization group invariant dimensionful quantities will scale to zero with a common power of the fermion mass, leading to a well-defined ratio  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi}$  in the massless limit even inside the conformal window. For example in the free theory, corresponding to  $N_f = 33/2$ , we have  $m_{\varrho} = 2m$ and  $f_{\pi} = \sqrt{12}m$  where m is the fermion mass [13] and obtain  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi} = 1/\sqrt{3}$ . It may be the case that  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi}$  stays flat all the way to the conformal window as  $N_f$  grows and then gradually drops to  $1/\sqrt{3}$  at  $N_f = 33/2$ . Or it may be that a more abrupt change occurs at the lower end of the conformal window. We leave these speculations to future work.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In section 2 our choice of discretization is described and the details of the simulation, in section 3 the detailed study of finite volume effects as a function of  $N_f$  is given. Section 4 contains the main results of our work, the chiral and continuum extrapolation of the ratio  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi}$  for all  $N_f$  as well as the topological susceptibility. The latter is used to test for the appropriate  $O(a^2)$  scaling of taste breaking effects inherent to staggered fermions. Finally section 5 ends with our conclusions and future outlook.

#### 2 Simulation details

The numerical simulations use the Symanzik tree-level improved gauge action and 4 steps of stout improved [14, 15] staggered fermions with smearing parameter  $\rho = 0.12$ . This particular choice of action has been shown to have relatively small cut-off effects in both



Figure 1. The landscape of simulation points for the various flavor numbers  $N_f$ . Left: cut-off and Goldstone mass. Right: volume and Goldstone mass.

small and large physical volume simulations [16–19]. The  $N_f = 4$  case requires no rooting of the staggered determinant and the HMC [20] algorithm is used. The other flavor numbers use either RHMC [21] only ( $N_f = 2, 3$ ) or a combination of HMC and RHMC ( $N_f = 5, 6$ ) in order to have the correct number of continuum flavors. Multiple time scales [22] and Omelyan integrator [23] are used to speed up the simulations. On all lattices the temporal extent is twice the spatial extent L/a.

The observables we measure are  $m_{\pi}$ ,  $f_{\pi}$ ,  $m_{\varrho}$ ,  $w_0$  and the topological susceptibility  $Q^2/V$ . The scale  $w_0$  [24] is measured using the SSC discretization according to the terminology in [25]. For each  $N_f$  simulations were carried out at four lattice spacings and four fermion masses at each lattice spacing giving a total of 16 points; these are tabulated in tables 3 and 4. The total number of thermalized trajectories is 1000 - 2500 and every  $10^{th}$  is used for measurements.

The landscape of simulation points in terms of cut-off, Goldstone mass and volume is shown in figure 1.

#### 3 Finite volume effects

The simulations are performed in finite volume and the associated systematic errors ought to be controlled. In order to have fully controlled finite volume effects two issues need to be addressed. One, it is important to be in the kinematical regime where the  $\rho$ -meson can not decay into pions. Hence all simulations were performed in the regime such that  $m_{\varrho}/(2m_{\pi}) < \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{2\pi}{m_{\pi}L}\right)^2}$ . This constraint mainly prevents us from reaching too light fermion masses at rough lattice spacings. Two, the topological charge should fluctuate enough and should not be frozen so as not to have approximately fixed topology simulations. This constraint is most relevant at small lattice spacings and we made sure that topology does change frequently enough even at the finest lattice spacings we use. The topology change is frequent enough such that we are able to measure the topological susceptibility for all runs and the expectations from tree level chiral perturbation theory are confirmed (see next section). Once these two issues are handled properly the finite volume effects in  $m_{\pi}$  and  $f_{\pi}$  are purely exponential in  $m_{\pi}L$ . The residual finite volume effect in  $m_{\varrho}$  can be estimated based on the relationship between finite volume energy levels and scattering states [26, 27].

How large  $m_{\pi}L$  needs to be in order to have a fixed small finite volume effect in  $m_{\pi}$ and  $f_{\pi}$ , e.g. less than 1%, depends on  $N_f$ . For each flavor number we have performed dedicated finite volume runs at fixed lattice spacing and fermion mass. The infinite volume extrapolation is through the non-linear fit

$$m_{\pi}(L) = m_{\pi\infty} + C_m \ g(m_{\pi\infty}L) \tag{3.1}$$

where the fit parameters are  $m_{\pi\infty}$  and  $C_m$ . The form of the finite volume correction [28] is given by

$$g(x) = \frac{4}{x} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{K_1(nx)}{n}$$
(3.2)

with the modified Bessel function of the second kind  $K_1$  and the sum is over integers  $(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4)$  such that  $n^2 = n_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_3^2 + 4n_4^2 \neq 0$ ; see also [29]. The sum may be replaced by the first exponential and all infinite volume extrapolations were repeated as a cross-check with a single exponential and give identical results, within errors, to the one obtained using the full g(x) function.

Using the  $m_{\pi}(L)$  data the infinite volume extrapolated  $m_{\pi\infty}$  and its error may be obtained. Once this is done the decay constant  $f_{\pi}(L)$  needs to be extrapolated as well, using a similar expression [28],

$$f_{\pi}(L) = f_{\pi\infty} - C_f \ g(m_{\pi\infty}L) \tag{3.3}$$

where now the fit is linear in the fit parameters  $f_{\pi\infty}$  and  $C_f$ . The statistical error on  $m_{\pi\infty}$ does need to be propagated carefully into the above fit of course. Note that  $C_f > 0$  and  $C_m > 0$ , i.e. masses decrease towards larger volumes while the decay constant increases. The net effect on the ratio  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi}$  is an enhancement of finite volume effects.

Our results for all flavor numbers are shown in figure 2 (left) based on the data in table 2. The main conclusion is that in order to have a fixed small finite volume effect,  $m_{\pi}L$  needs to grow linearly with  $N_f$ . For instance in order to have less than 1% finite volume effects in  $m_{\pi}$  and  $f_{\pi}$  the following needs to hold for the spatial volume,

$$m_{\pi}L > 3.10 + 0.35N_f$$
 (3.4)

Clearly, as  $N_f$  is increasing finite volume effects get larger. The conventional rule of thumb  $m_{\pi}L > 4$  from QCD is satisfactory for sub-percent finite volume effects at  $N_f = 2, 3$  but for  $N_f = 4, 5, 6$  it is not. For instance at  $N_f = 6$  one needs  $m_{\pi}L > 5.2$ . As the fermion content gets larger and the model moves closer to the conformal window finite volume effects grow, in line with general expectations. Here we have quantified this phenomenon for SU(3) and fundamental fermions.

In our runs condition (3.4) is satisfied which means that our chiral extrapolations are essentially in infinite volume. The chiral expansion in infinite volume [30] is indeed



Figure 2. Left: infinite volume extrapolations of  $m_{\pi}$  and  $f_{\pi}$ , based on table 2. Right: chiralcontinuum extrapolation of the topological susceptibility. The ratio  $2N_f\chi/(m_{\pi}^2 f_{\pi}^2)$  is shown which is expected to be constant 1 at leading order of chiral perturbation theory; see text for more details.

applicable to the simulations once  $f_{\pi}L$  is large enough. For all our simulations we have  $0.95 \leq f_{\pi}L \leq 1.92$ . Note that our  $f_{\pi}$  is in the "lower" convention, i.e. the one which gives  $f_{\pi} \approx 92 \ MeV$  and not 130 MeV, in QCD.

Finite volume effects in  $m_{\varrho}$  can be estimated a posteriori as follows. Using the KSRFrelation (5.1) we determine  $g_{\varrho\pi\pi}$  for each  $N_f$ . Once  $g_{\varrho\pi\pi}$  is fixed the finite volume effects are given by the relationship between finite volume energy levels and scattering states [26, 27] and  $m_{\varrho}$  in infinite volume can be obtained from a single volume as is the case for us. The result here is that finite volume effects are at most 2% at 12 out of the 16 simulation points at  $N_f = 2$ , are at most 2% at all 16 simulation points at  $N_f = 3$ , are at most 1% at 15 out of the 16 simulation points at  $N_f = 4$  and at most 1% for all points at  $N_f = 5$  and  $N_f = 6$ . Our final statistical uncertainties for  $w_0 m_{\varrho}$  are between 3% and 5% hence we conclude a posteriori that finite volume effects for all of our observables are under control.

#### 4 Chiral-continuum extrapolation

Before discussing the chiral-continuum extrapolations it is worth remembering that staggered fermions, as is well-known, suffer from taste breaking. This means that the measured pseudo-scalar meson is the lightest of the full taste broken multiplet and the higher ones  $(N_f^2 - 2 \text{ of them})$  do not chirally extrapolate to zero at fixed non-zero lattice spacing. In other words the chiral  $SU(N_f) \times SU(N_f)$  group is broken at finite lattice spacing and the  $N_f$ -dependence of low energy observables on the lattice is not necessarily the same as in the continuum, only if the lattice spacing is small enough and all Goldstone bosons are light enough. Hence before attempting to extrapolate both chirally and to the continuum our main observable, the  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi}$  ratio, we sought a quantity which is as sensitive to  $N_f$  as possible in order to test whether our simulations are close enough to the continuum and zero fermion mass limit.

#### 4.1 Topological susceptibility

A powerful test of whether at finite lattice spacing the effective number of light degrees of freedom is the same as in the continuum is given by the topological susceptibility. The topological susceptibility is very sensitive to the light degrees of freedom since these are the ones at small fermion mass which suppress non-zero topology. As a result  $N_f$ -dependence shows up already at the leading order of chiral perturbation theory [31],

$$\frac{\langle Q^2 \rangle}{V} = \chi = \frac{1}{2N_f} f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2 \tag{4.1}$$

i.e. the  $N_f$ -dependence is fixed once  $m_{\pi}$  and  $f_{\pi}$  are measured. We have performed a combined chiral-continuum extrapolation of the topological susceptibility for each  $N_f$  and have confirmed the above expectation, indicating that the light degrees of freedom are correctly captured, i.e. any deviation from the continuum due to taste broken Goldstone bosons is correctly extrapolated to zero as  $O(a^2)$ . This is a highly non-trivial test for each  $N_f$  and we take it to indicate that the lattice spacings and bare fermion masses were indeed chosen such that a combined chiral-continuum extrapolation is meaningful.

More precisely, we use the gradient flow based [32] discretization of the topological charge, measuring it at  $t = w_0^2$ . Note that the chiral extrapolation of  $\chi$  at finite lattice spacing does not need to vanish, precisely because of the fact that the taste broken Goldstone bosons do not extrapolate to zero [33]. Hence we adopt the following combined chiral-continuum extrapolation at each  $N_f$ ,

$$\chi w_0^4 = C_0 m_\pi^2 f_\pi^2 w_0^4 + C_1 \frac{a^2}{w_0^2} + C_2 \frac{a^2}{w_0^2} (m_\pi^2 f_\pi^2 w_0^4) , \qquad (4.2)$$

where the fit parameters are  $C_0, C_1$  and  $C_2$ . The continuum expectation (4.1) is then  $C_0 = 1/(2N_f)$ . In figure 2 (right) we plot the ratio  $2N_f\chi/(m_\pi^2 f_\pi^2)$  for each  $N_f$  which ought to be consistent with the constant 1 in the chiral continuum limit. At each  $\beta$  we also fit  $a^2/w_0^2$  as a linear function of  $m_\pi^2 f_\pi^2 w_0^4$  and then using the fitted  $C_{0,1,2}$  coefficients together with (4.2) we also show the resulting mass dependence at each  $\beta$  by the solid lines in order to get a sense of the size of cut-off effects. The extrapolated  $2N_fC_0$  coefficient is shown by the black bands, these are 0.67(24), 0.65(33), 0.59(28), 0.60(29) and 1.51(36) for  $N_f = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ , respectively. We find that for all  $N_f$  there is agreement with 1 within at most  $1.5 \sigma$ , which is even though not perfect, certainly better than expected since we only fit the leading order expression. All  $\chi^2/dof$  (with dof = 13) of the extrapolations are below unity.

#### 4.2 The $m_{\rho}/f_{\pi}$ ratio

Having quantitative confirmation that taste breaking effects scale to zero as  $O(a^2)$  as expected we turn to the main object of our study, the  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi}$  ratio. In order to estimate the systematic error coming from the chiral-continuum extrapolation we have performed two types of fits.

In the first one, at each  $N_f$  the decay constant and the  $\rho$  mass are extrapolated separately to the chiral-continuum limit in  $w_0$  units. Concretely, the extrapolation is via

$$Xw_0 = C_0 + C_1 m_\pi^2 w_0^2 + C_2 \frac{a^2}{w_0^2} + C_3 \frac{a^2}{w_0^2} m_\pi^2 w_0^2$$
(4.3)

where X is either  $m_{\varrho}$  or  $f_{\pi}$  and there are four fit parameters  $C_{0,1,2,3}$  hence dof = 12 for each  $N_f$ . In this procedure we obtain  $f_{\pi}w_0$  and  $m_{\varrho}w_0$  in the chiral-continuum limit at each  $N_f$  and the results are given in table 1 together with the  $\chi^2/dof$  values. The extrapolations are shown in figure 3 where the chiral-continuum final results are shown in black together with the measured data. The solid lines corresponding to each bare  $\beta$  were obtained by fitting the scale  $a^2/w_0^2$  as a linear function of  $m_{\pi}^2 w_0^2$  together with equation (4.3). Clearly cut-off effects are small which is due to our choice of discretization and the choice of  $w_0$  to set the scale.

In the second procedure the ratio is fitted directly via

$$\frac{m_{\varrho}}{f_{\pi}} = C_0 + C_1 m_{\pi}^2 w_0^2 + C_2 \frac{a^2}{w_0^2}$$
(4.4)



Figure 3. Chiral-continuum extrapolation of  $f_{\pi}w_0$ ,  $m_{\varrho}w_0$  (left two columns) and directly the ratio  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi}$  (right most column) for  $N_f = 2, 3, 4, 5$  and 6, from top to bottom, respectively. Equations (4.3) and (4.4) are used and the resulting  $\chi^2/dof$  is shown at the top of each plot. The various colors correspond to different lattice spacings (i.e. different bare  $\beta$ ) and were obtained by interpolations of  $a^2/w_0^2$  as a linear function of  $m_{\pi}^2 w_0^2$  together with equations (4.3) and (4.4); see text for more details.



Figure 4. Our final results for the ratio  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi}$  in the chiral-continuum limit for each  $N_f$ . Two procedures are used for the chiral-continuum extrapolation in order to assess systematic errors; the two agrees within errors. Method one is our final result and method two serves as a cross-check or confirmation.

$N_f$	$f_{\pi}w_0$	$m_{\varrho}w_0$	$m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi}$
2	0.0801(5)	0.64(2)	7.9(2)
3	0.082(1)	0.63(3)	7.7(3)
4	0.0824(9)	0.68(3)	8.2(4)
5	0.086(1)	0.69(3)	8.0(4)
6	0.088(2)	0.71(4)	8.1(5)

**Table 1**. Continuum results for each  $N_f$  in the chiral limit.

where now we have three fit parameters  $C_{0,1,2}$  hence dof = 13 for all  $N_f$ . The results are shown again in figure 3. Clearly, both cut-off and mass effects are remarkably small for the ratio although the mass-dependence of both  $m_{\varrho}w_0$  and  $f_{\pi}w_0$  are much larger in comparison.

The above two procedures give compatible results for  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi}$  within errors, the final results are shown in figure 4. The final errors are dominated by the errors on  $m_{\varrho}$ , the errors on  $f_{\pi}$  are negligible in comparison. We take the results from the first procedure as our final continuum results and use the second procedure, with its much smaller error, as confirmation or cross-check.

Our main conclusion can be drawn from figure 4; the  $N_f$ -dependence of the ratio  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi}$  is remarkably small. We may even fit the results to a constant and obtain acceptable statistical fits. Using the first procedure one obtains  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi} = 7.95(15)$  whereas the second procedure leads to  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi} = 8.28(8)$ , with  $\chi^2/dof = 0.26$  and 1.69, respectively. The agreement is within  $2\sigma$ .

This largely  $N_f$ -independent behavior is consistent with the observation that at each  $N_f$  the mass-dependence of the ratio is also very small. In addition note that free fermions have  $m_{\varrho} = 2m$  as is the case for any meson and also  $f_{\pi} = \sqrt{12}m$  [13], leading to a very small ratio  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi} = 1/\sqrt{3}$ . This result in the free theory can be thought of as the relevant

ratio at  $N_f = 33/2$  at the upper end of the conformal window. Hence we conclude that well below the conformal window,  $2 \le N_f \le 6$  the ratio is relatively stable at around ~ 8.0 and somewhere in the range  $7 \le N_f \le 16.5$  it drops an order of magnitude to ~ 0.6. The extension of our work to this remaining range  $7 \le N_f \le 16.5$  is left for future work and we believe that once it is completed it may serve as valuable insight into the appearance of the conformal window, presumably at around  $N_f \sim 13$ . Note that inside the conformal window the chiral limit of the ratio  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi}$  is understood similarly to the free theory; both the numerator and the denominator is finite at finite fermion mass with a well-defined ratio in the chiral limit.

#### 5 Conclusion and outlook

In this work we have determined the  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi}$  ratio in the chiral-continuum limit of SU(3) gauge theory coupled to  $N_f = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$  fermions in the fundamental representation in such a way that all systematic errors are fully controlled. A remarkable  $N_f$ -independence is observed with  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi} = 7.95(15)$  while several quantities do show non-trivial  $N_f$ -dependence. The motivation for our study was that in a large class of strongly interacting extensions of the Standard Model the experimentally most easily accessible new composite particle is the vector resonance. The scale in these models is set by  $f_{\pi} = 246 \ GeV$  hence we are led to conclude that as long as the gauge group is chosen to be SU(3) the first resonance ought to be at around  $\sim 2 \ TeV$  independent of the specific fermion content of the theory.

Our result is not only a robust prediction for the mass of the vector resonance but also a host of other related quantities. The KSRF-relations [11, 12] establish relationships among the vector mass, its width  $\Gamma_{\varrho}$  and decay constant  $f_{\varrho}$  and the  $\rho\pi\pi$  coupling  $g_{\rho\pi\pi}$ . Specifically,

$$g_{\varrho\pi\pi} = \frac{m_{\varrho}}{f_{\varrho}} = \sqrt{48\pi \frac{\Gamma_{\varrho}}{m_{\varrho}}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{m_{\varrho}}{f_{\pi}} \,. \tag{5.1}$$

The assumptions underlying the KSRF-relations are the applicability of leading order chiral perturbation theory, vector meson dominance and vector meson universality.<sup>1</sup> The last two conditions completely determine the way the vector resonance ought to appear in the chiral Lagrangian and simple leading order calculations lead to the above relations; see [34] for a review. These relations are surprisingly accurate in QCD and it is expected that they become even more accurate closer to the chiral limit. Hence our result for an approximately  $N_f$ -independent ratio  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi}$  leads to similar results for the coupling  $g_{\varrho\pi\pi}$  and the  $\varrho$  width and decay constant in  $m_{\varrho}$  units. In a strong dynamics inspired composite Higgs scenario this means  $\Gamma_{\varrho} \sim 410 \ GeV$ ,  $f_{\varrho} \sim 348 \ GeV$  and  $g_{\varrho\pi\pi} \sim 5.62$ , independently of the details of the fermion content as long as SU(3) is the gauge group. These additional results are especially useful because a direct lattice calculation of  $g_{\varrho\pi\pi}$  or  $\Gamma_{\varrho}$  is very challenging.

Note that the KSRF-relations merely relate  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi}$  to other quantities in the given theory at fixed  $N_f$ . Hence even if the validity of the KSRF-relations is accepted it is not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>It is worthwhile to point out that even though in QCD the KSRF-relations are only approximate at best in supersymmetric QCD they have actually been rigorously derived [35].

at all clear why this particular ratio is insensitive to  $N_f$  and it would be welcome to derive it at least approximately from first principles.

The above is especially true since the change in the detailed dynamics of gauge theory as  $N_f$  is varied is highly non-trivial. As  $N_f$  grows, the number of massless particles increases, the running of the renormalized coupling slows down, the S-parameter increases but  $S/N_f$  decreases [36, 37], the topological susceptibility decreases in  $m_{\pi}^2 f_{\pi}^2$  units, the mass of the  $O^{++}$  scalar in  $f_{\pi}$  units decreases [6, 9, 38–41], yet the vector meson related quantities stay roughly constant. It would be interesting to see how  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi}$  changes across the lower end of the conformal window, presumably close to  $N_f \sim 13$  and how the free value  $1/\sqrt{3}$  is reached at  $N_f = 33/2$  at the upper end of the conformal window. For this investigation the starting point must be the extension of the result (3.4) to  $N_f \geq 7$  because it is not at all guaranteed that (3.4) holds for flavor numbers beyond the range considered in this work.

It should be noted that lattice results indicate that  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi}$  is not completely universal, it does depend on the gauge group. Evidence comes from SU(2) simulations with  $N_f = 2, 4$ fundamental fermions. With  $N_f = 2$  continuum results [42–44] are available in the chiral limit,  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi} \sim 15$  while with  $N_f = 4$  results at finite lattice spacing [45] indicate  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi} >$ 10. It would be worthwhile to obtain fully controlled continuum results with SU(2) at  $N_f = 2, 3, 4$  and perhaps  $N_f = 5$  in order to see whether the  $N_f$ -independence below the conformal window we have seen for SU(3) is also present with SU(2) or not. In any case a larger  $m_{\varrho}/f_{\pi}$  ratio for SU(2) relative to SU(3) is in line with large-N scaling arguments since  $m_{\varrho} \sim O(1)$  while  $f_{\pi} \sim O(\sqrt{N})$ ; see [46, 47] and references therein.

#### Acknowledgments

DN would like to thank Zoltan Fodor, Sandor Katz and Steve Sharpe for insightful discussions. This work was supported by the NKFIH under the grant KKP-126769. Simulations were performed on the GPU clusters at Eotvos University in Budapest, Hungary. We would like to thank Kalman Szabo for code development.

# A Data tables

$N_f$	β	m	L/a	$am_{\pi}$	$af_{\pi}$	
2	3.92	0.0075	16	0.1650(8)	0.0565(5)	
			20	0.1589(4)	0.0602(3)	
			24	0.1577(4)	0.0611(2)	
			28	0.1563(2)	0.0613(2)	
			$\infty$	0.1560(3) 1.11	0.0616(2) $0.48$	
3	3.77	0.0110	16	0.199(1)	0.0577(4)	
			20	0.1929(7)	0.0603(4)	
			24	0.1916(8)	0.0612(2)	
			28	0.1904(4)	0.0612(2)	
			$\infty$	0.1902(4) 0.28	0.0613(2) $0.24$	
4	3.61	0.0088	16	0.190(1)	0.0482(6)	
			20	0.1779(6)	0.0535(2)	
			24	0.1748(5)	0.0558(2)	
			28	0.1743(3)	0.0559(1)	
			$\infty$	0.1734(3) $0.97$	0.0563(2) $2.62$	
5	3.40	0.0085	16	0.202(2)	0.0460(8)	
			20	0.185(1)	0.0518(3)	
			24	0.1807(9)	0.0543(3)	
			28	0.1797(3)	0.0543(2)	
			$\infty$	0.1788(6) $0.15$	0.0548(2) 2.04	
6	3.31	0.0080	20	0.192(5)	0.030(1)	
			24	0.163(2)	0.0372(5)	
			28	0.1591(5)	0.0392(3)	
			32	0.1577(8)	0.0396(2)	
			$\infty$	0.155(1) 2.87	0.0403(3) 0.87	

**Table 2**. Volume dependence of  $m_{\pi}$  and  $f_{\pi}$ . The infinite volume extrapolated result is also shown together with the  $\chi^2/dof$  of the extrapolations; dof = 2.

$N_{f}$	β	m	L/a	$am_{\pi}$	$af_{\pi}$	$am_{\varrho}$	$w_0/a$	$10^4 a^4 \chi$
2	3.84	0.0130	24	0.2221(1)	0.1066(3)	0.61(2)	1.140(1)	1.5(3)
		0.0100	24	0.1957(2)	0.1037(2)	0.58(2)	1.144(1)	1.3(2)
		0.0088	24	0.1839(2)	0.1020(2)	0.59(2)	1.1493(6)	1.5(2)
		0.0075	24	0.1704(2)	0.1006(2)	0.61(1)	1.151(1)	1.4(2)
	3.92	0.0147	20	0.2177(6)	0.0929(3)	0.548(6)	1.337(3)	0.7(1)
		0.0100	24	0.1812(3)	0.0889(2)	0.524(8)	1.351(2)	0.47(6)
		0.0088	24	0.1701(2)	0.0885(2)	0.517(6)	1.350(2)	0.53(7)
		0.0075	28	0.1563(2)	0.0867(2)	0.501(4)	1.360(1)	0.60(6)
	4.03	0.0100	28	0.1624(4)	0.0737(3)	0.424(4)	1.683(2)	0.24(4)
		0.0088	28	0.1533(4)	0.0720(4)	0.420(6)	1.689(3)	0.16(3)
		0.0062	32	0.1300(9)	0.0700(1)	0.403(3)	1.700(2)	0.21(4)
		0.0050	32	0.1153(3)	0.0686(2)	0.402(6)	1.708(2)	0.21(3)
	4.26	0.0115	28	0.1465(8)	0.0526(3)	0.314(3)	2.50(1)	0.04(1)
		0.0100	32	0.1367(5)	0.0519(4)	0.302(4)	2.508(7)	0.043(9)
		0.0088	32	0.1279(4)	0.0500(2)	0.302(2)	2.550(7)	0.034(5)
		0.0075	36	0.1173(4)	0.0494(4)	0.292(2)	2.55(1)	0.05(1)
3	3.69	0.0158	20	0.2509(5)	0.1061(3)	0.614(6)	1.175(2)	1.2(2)
		0.0130	20	0.2271(4)	0.1032(2)	0.60(1)	1.189(2)	1.4(2)
		0.0105	24	0.2036(3)	0.1002(3)	0.58(2)	1.200(1)	1.1(1)
		0.0085	24	0.1849(4)	0.0974(2)	0.57(1)	1.206(1)	1.0(1)
	3.77	0.0140	24	0.2168(3)	0.0898(2)	0.53(1)	1.402(2)	0.65(6)
		0.0110	24	0.1916(8)	0.0865(3)	0.47(1)	1.413(2)	0.54(8)
		0.0095	24	0.1786(5)	0.0840(3)	0.49(2)	1.434(2)	0.55(7)
		0.0075	28	0.1584(2)	0.0828(2)	0.48(1)	1.436(2)	0.35(6)
	3.86	0.0145	24	0.2012(6)	0.0762(4)	0.44(1)	1.694(5)	0.22(4)
		0.0130	24	0.1906(4)	0.0747(3)	0.44(1)	1.698(6)	0.23(3)
		0.0110	24	0.1750(7)	0.0723(4)	0.426(8)	1.722(5)	0.18(3)
		0.0095	28	0.1620(2)	0.0715(2)	0.418(5)	1.732(4)	0.22(5)
	4.04	0.0150	24	0.177(1)	0.0562(6)	0.347(3)	2.38(2)	0.07(2)
		0.0111	28	0.1509(6)	0.0537(4)	0.330(9)	2.44(1)	0.07(1)
		0.0085	32	0.1314(7)	0.0505(3)	0.304(8)	2.50(1)	0.05(1)
		0.0067	36	0.1168(5)	0.0497(3)	0.283(8)	2.498(8)	0.022(5)
4	3.54	0.0140	20	0.2378(5)	0.0981(3)	0.566(9)	1.304(3)	0.8(1)
		0.0120	24	0.2198(4)	0.0952(2)	0.56(2)	1.323(2)	0.8(1)
		0.0088	28	0.1882(3)	0.0903(2)	0.51(1)	1.344(2)	0.8(1)
		0.0062	32	0.1589(2)	0.0849(2)	0.48(1)	1.375(2)	0.6(1)
	3.61	0.0146	20	0.2269(7)	0.0860(3)	0.47(2)	1.511(5)	0.51(7)
		0.0110	24	0.1955(5)	0.0814(3)	0.46(1)	1.546(5)	0.28(5)
		0.0088	28	0.1743(3)	0.0790(2)	0.44(1)	1.570(2)	0.41(3)
		0.0075	32	0.1609(1)	0.0767(1)	0.43(1)	1.583(2)	0.34(4)
	3.71	0.0151	24	0.2062(7)	0.0727(4)	0.411(9)	1.849(9)	0.22(3)
		0.0121	28	0.1846(4)	0.0693(3)	0.398(6)	1.888(7)	0.16(4)
		0.0088	32	0.1572(3)	0.0654(2)	0.388(7)	1.936(5)	0.13(2)
		0.0080	32	0.1490(3)	0.0639(2)	0.37(2)	1.972(6)	0.12(2)
	3.88	0.0150	28	0.1774(7)	0.0546(5)	0.326(5)	2.58(2)	0.06(1)
		0.0130	28	0.163(1)	0.0520(5)	0.303(5)	2.65(2)	0.032(6)
		0.0110	32	0.1501(6)	0.0505(3)	0.298(4)	2.71(1)	0.022(3)
		0.0088	36	0.1324(8)	0.0483(2)	0.284(4)	2.74(1)	0.030(4)

**Table 3**. Data for  $N_f = 2, 3, 4$ .

$N_f$	β	m	L/a	$am_{\pi}$	$af_{\pi}$	$am_{\varrho}$	$w_0/a$	$10^4 a^4 \chi$
5	3.33	0.0190	20	0.2888(4)	0.1070(4)	0.60(1)	1.314(3)	1.3(3)
		0.0148	20	0.2553(4)	0.0995(4)	0.57(2)	1.367(5)	0.9(1)
		0.0105	24	0.2144(3)	0.0917(2)	0.53(1)	1.416(3)	0.8(1)
		0.0081	28	0.1894(2)	0.0861(2)	0.498(6)	1.456(2)	0.67(7)
	3.40	0.0168	20	0.2555(5)	0.0915(4)	0.522(5)	1.527(4)	0.7(1)
		0.0131	24	0.2242(5)	0.0855(2)	0.486(2)	1.594(4)	0.42(7)
		0.0093	28	0.1884(5)	0.0783(4)	0.449(4)	1.665(4)	0.43(6)
		0.0075	32	0.1690(3)	0.0746(2)	0.430(5)	1.704(3)	0.39(9)
	3.51	0.0174	24	0.2337(8)	0.0771(5)	0.443(4)	1.88(1)	0.18(2)
		0.0142	24	0.2104(7)	0.0713(3)	0.419(5)	1.966(9)	0.21(4)
		0.0110	28	0.1845(4)	0.0662(4)	0.391(5)	2.053(7)	0.14(2)
		0.0079	32	0.1545(3)	0.0624(2)	0.360(9)	2.117(7)	0.13(3)
	3.68	0.0153	28	0.1874(6)	0.0562(3)	0.329(5)	2.65(1)	0.06(2)
		0.0135	28	0.1770(9)	0.0523(4)	0.313(6)	2.76(2)	0.028(4)
		0.0104	32	0.155(1)	0.0500(3)	0.294(3)	2.85(2)	0.034(6)
		0.0082	36	0.1347(8)	0.0469(3)	0.268(5)	2.95(2)	0.023(4)
6	3.12	0.0192	20	0.2947(5)	0.1025(3)	0.569(3)	1.471(5)	0.6(1)
		0.0150	24	0.2590(3)	0.0945(2)	0.534(5)	1.547(4)	0.9(1)
		0.0117	24	0.2283(4)	0.0866(4)	0.497(6)	1.628(6)	0.6(1)
		0.0086	28	0.1960(3)	0.07939(7)	0.458(5)	1.710(5)	0.51(8)
	3.19	0.0150	24	0.2442(6)	0.0842(3)	0.476(3)	1.763(6)	0.42(5)
		0.0120	28	0.2171(3)	0.0783(3)	0.441(4)	1.861(7)	0.30(6)
		0.0100	28	0.1981(4)	0.0739(3)	0.420(5)	1.924(6)	0.29(4)
		0.0085	32	0.1824(3)	0.0706(1)	0.405(3)	1.969(5)	0.31(5)
	3.31	0.0150	28	0.2187(8)	0.0701(2)	0.398(2)	2.190(8)	0.19(3)
		0.0125	28	0.203(1)	0.0667(5)	0.382(3)	2.28(1)	0.12(2)
		0.0095	32	0.1731(2)	0.0602(2)	0.344(3)	2.42(1)	0.14(2)
		0.0085	36	0.1636(3)	0.0584(1)	0.34(1)	2.452(7)	0.11(2)
	3.41	0.0130	32	0.1860(5)	0.0581(2)	0.328(2)	2.663(9)	0.056(7)
		0.0112	32	0.1719(6)	0.0542(3)	0.319(5)	2.80(1)	0.042(5)
		0.0100	36	0.1618(3)	0.0525(2)	0.292(3)	2.880(9)	0.05(1)
		0.0089	36	0.1513(5)	0.0507(3)	0.284(3)	2.92(1)	0.045(9)

Table 4. Data for  $N_f = 5, 6$ .

**Open Access.** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

### References

- Z. Fodor, K. Holland, J. Kuti, D. Nogradi and C. Schroeder, Nearly conformal gauge theories in finite volume, Phys. Lett. B 681 (2009) 353 [arXiv:0907.4562] [INSPIRE].
- [2] X.-Y. Jin and R.D. Mawhinney, Lattice QCD with 8 and 12 degenerate quark flavors, PoS(LAT2009)049 (2009) [arXiv:0910.3216] [INSPIRE].
- [3] LATKMI collaboration, Walking signals in  $N_f = 8$  QCD on the lattice, Phys. Rev. D 87 (2013) 094511 [arXiv:1302.6859] [INSPIRE].
- [4] X.-Y. Jin and R.D. Mawhinney, Lattice QCD with 12 Quark Flavors: A Careful Scrutiny, in Proceedings, KMI-GCOE Workshop on Strong Coupling Gauge Theories in the LHC Perspective (SCGT 12), Nagoya, Japan, December 4–7, 2012, pp. 96–102 (2014) [DOI:10.1142/9789814566254\_0011] [arXiv:1304.0312] [INSPIRE].
- [5] LSD collaboration, From Lattice Strong Dynamics to Phenomenology, in Proceedings, KMI-GCOE Workshop on Strong Coupling Gauge Theories in the LHC Perspective (SCGT 12), Nagoya, Japan, December 4–7, 2012, pp. 58–64 (2014)
   [DOI:10.1142/9789814566254\_0006] [arXiv:1312.5298] [INSPIRE].
- [6] T. Appelquist et al., Strongly interacting dynamics and the search for new physics at the LHC, Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016) 114514 [arXiv:1601.04027] [INSPIRE].
- [7] LATTICE STRONG DYNAMICS collaboration, Nonperturbative investigations of SU(3) gauge theory with eight dynamical flavors, Phys. Rev. D 99 (2019) 014509 [arXiv:1807.08411]
   [INSPIRE].
- [8] Z. Fodor, K. Holland, J. Kuti, D. Nogradi, C. Schroeder and C.H. Wong, Can the nearly conformal sextet gauge model hide the Higgs impostor?, Phys. Lett. B 718 (2012) 657
   [arXiv:1209.0391] [INSPIRE].
- [9] Z. Fodor, K. Holland, J. Kuti, S. Mondal, D. Nogradi and C.H. Wong, Status of a minimal composite Higgs theory, PoS(LATTICE2015)219 (2016) [arXiv:1605.08750] [INSPIRE].
- [10] Z. Fodor, K. Holland, J. Kuti, S. Mondal, D. Nogradi and C.H. Wong, *Electroweak interactions and dark baryons in the sextet BSM model with a composite Higgs particle*, *Phys. Rev.* D 94 (2016) 014503 [arXiv:1601.03302] [INSPIRE].
- [11] K. Kawarabayashi and M. Suzuki, Partially conserved axial vector current and the decays of vector mesons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 16 (1966) 255 [INSPIRE].
- [12] Riazuddin and Fayyazuddin, Algebra of current components and decay widths of rho and K\* mesons, Phys. Rev. 147 (1966) 1071 [INSPIRE].
- K. Cichy, J. Gonzalez Lopez, K. Jansen, A. Kujawa and A. Shindler, Twisted Mass, Overlap and Creutz Fermions: Cut-off Effects at Tree-level of Perturbation Theory, Nucl. Phys. B 800 (2008) 94 [arXiv:0802.3637] [INSPIRE].
- [14] C. Morningstar and M.J. Peardon, Analytic smearing of SU(3) link variables in lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D 69 (2004) 054501 [hep-lat/0311018] [INSPIRE].

- [15] S. Dürr et al., Lattice QCD at the physical point: Simulation and analysis details, JHEP 08 (2011) 148 [arXiv:1011.2711] [INSPIRE].
- [16] Z. Fodor, K. Holland, J. Kuti, D. Nogradi and C.H. Wong, The Yang-Mills gradient flow in finite volume, JHEP 11 (2012) 007 [arXiv:1208.1051] [INSPIRE].
- [17] Z. Fodor, K. Holland, J. Kuti, S. Mondal, D. Nogradi and C.H. Wong, *The running coupling of 8 flavors and 3 colors*, *JHEP* 06 (2015) 019 [arXiv:1503.01132] [INSPIRE].
- [18] Z. Fodor, K. Holland, J. Kuti, S. Mondal, D. Nogradi and C.H. Wong, The running coupling of the minimal sextet composite Higgs model, JHEP 09 (2015) 039 [arXiv:1506.06599] [INSPIRE].
- [19] Z. Fodor, K. Holland, J. Kuti, D. Nogradi and C.H. Wong, A new method for the β-function in the chiral symmetry broken phase, EPJ Web Conf. 175 (2018) 08027 [arXiv:1711.04833] [INSPIRE].
- [20] S. Duane, A.D. Kennedy, B.J. Pendleton and D. Roweth, *Hybrid Monte Carlo*, *Phys. Lett.* B 195 (1987) 216 [INSPIRE].
- [21] M.A. Clark and A.D. Kennedy, Accelerating dynamical fermion computations using the rational hybrid Monte Carlo (RHMC) algorithm with multiple pseudofermion fields, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98 (2007) 051601 [hep-lat/0608015] [INSPIRE].
- [22] J.C. Sexton and D.H. Weingarten, Hamiltonian evolution for the hybrid Monte Carlo algorithm, Nucl. Phys. B 380 (1992) 665 [INSPIRE].
- [23] T. Takaishi and P. de Forcrand, Testing and tuning new symplectic integrators for hybrid Monte Carlo algorithm in lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. E 73 (2006) 036706 [hep-lat/0505020]
   [INSPIRE].
- [24] S. Borsányi et al., High-precision scale setting in lattice QCD, JHEP 09 (2012) 010
   [arXiv:1203.4469] [INSPIRE].
- [25] Z. Fodor, K. Holland, J. Kuti, S. Mondal, D. Nogradi and C.H. Wong, *The lattice gradient flow at tree-level and its improvement*, *JHEP* **09** (2014) 018 [arXiv:1406.0827] [INSPIRE].
- [26] M. Lüscher, Two particle states on a torus and their relation to the scattering matrix, Nucl. Phys. B 354 (1991) 531 [INSPIRE].
- [27] M. Lüscher, Signatures of unstable particles in finite volume, Nucl. Phys. B 364 (1991) 237 [INSPIRE].
- [28] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Light Quarks at Low Temperatures, Phys. Lett. B 184 (1987) 83
   [INSPIRE].
- [29] G. Colangelo, S. Dürr and C. Haefeli, Finite volume effects for meson masses and decay constants, Nucl. Phys. B 721 (2005) 136 [hep-lat/0503014] [INSPIRE].
- [30] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Chiral Perturbation Theory: Expansions in the Mass of the Strange Quark, Nucl. Phys. B 250 (1985) 465 [INSPIRE].
- [31] H. Leutwyler and A.V. Smilga, Spectrum of Dirac operator and role of winding number in QCD, Phys. Rev. D 46 (1992) 5607 [INSPIRE].
- [32] M. Lüscher, Properties and uses of the Wilson flow in lattice QCD, JHEP 08 (2010) 071
   [Erratum ibid. 03 (2014) 092] [arXiv:1006.4518] [INSPIRE].
- [33] B. Billeter, C.E. Detar and J. Osborn, Topological susceptibility in staggered fermion chiral perturbation theory, Phys. Rev. D 70 (2004) 077502 [hep-lat/0406032] [INSPIRE].

- [34] M. Bando, T. Kugo and K. Yamawaki, Nonlinear Realization and Hidden Local Symmetries, Phys. Rept. 164 (1988) 217 [INSPIRE].
- [35] Z. Komargodski, Vector Mesons and an Interpretation of Seiberg Duality, JHEP **02** (2011) 019 [arXiv:1010.4105] [INSPIRE].
- [36] LSD collaboration, Parity Doubling and the S Parameter Below the Conformal Window, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 (2011) 231601 [arXiv:1009.5967] [INSPIRE].
- [37] LSD collaboration, Lattice simulations with eight flavors of domain wall fermions in SU(3) gauge theory, Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014) 114502 [arXiv:1405.4752] [INSPIRE].
- [38] LATKMI collaboration, Light composite scalar in twelve-flavor QCD on the lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (2013) 162001 [arXiv:1305.6006] [INSPIRE].
- [39] LATKMI collaboration, Light composite scalar in eight-flavor QCD on the lattice, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 111502 [arXiv:1403.5000] [INSPIRE].
- [40] LSD collaboration, Investigation of the scalar spectrum in SU(3) with eight degenerate flavors, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 32 (2017) 1747002 [arXiv:1510.06771] [INSPIRE].
- [41] LATKMI collaboration, Light flavor-singlet scalars and walking signals in  $N_f = 8$  QCD on the lattice, Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017) 014508 [arXiv:1610.07011] [INSPIRE].
- [42] R. Lewis, C. Pica and F. Sannino, Light Asymmetric Dark Matter on the Lattice: SU(2) Technicolor with Two Fundamental Flavors, Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) 014504
   [arXiv:1109.3513] [INSPIRE].
- [43] R. Arthur, V. Drach, M. Hansen, A. Hietanen, C. Pica and F. Sannino, SU(2) gauge theory with two fundamental flavors: A minimal template for model building, Phys. Rev. D 94 (2016) 094507 [arXiv:1602.06559] [INSPIRE].
- [44] V. Drach, T. Janowski and C. Pica, Update on SU(2) gauge theory with  $N_F = 2$  fundamental flavours, EPJ Web Conf. 175 (2018) 08020 [arXiv:1710.07218] [INSPIRE].
- [45] A. Amato, V. Leino, K. Rummukainen, K. Tuominen and S. Tähtinen, From chiral symmetry breaking to conformality in SU(2) gauge theory, arXiv:1806.07154 [INSPIRE].
- [46] G.S. Bali et al., Mesons in large-N QCD, JHEP 06 (2013) 071 [arXiv:1304.4437] [INSPIRE].
- [47] T. DeGrand and Y. Liu, Lattice study of large N<sub>c</sub> QCD, Phys. Rev. D 94 (2016) 034506
   [Erratum ibid. D 95 (2017) 019902] [arXiv:1606.01277] [INSPIRE].