

Scalar gauge fields

Eduardo I. Guendelman^{a,b} and Douglas Singleton^b

^a*Physics Department, Ben Gurion University of the Negev,
Beer Sheva 84105, Israel*

^b*Department of Physics, California State University,
Fresno, CA 93740-8031 U.S.A.*

E-mail: guendel@bgu.ac.il, dougs@csufresno.edu

ABSTRACT: In this paper we give a variation of the gauge procedure which employs a *scalar* gauge field, $B(x)$, in addition to the usual *vector* gauge field, $A_\mu(x)$. We study this variant of the usual gauge procedure in the context of a complex scalar, matter field $\phi(x)$ with a $U(1)$ symmetry. We will focus most on the case when ϕ develops a vacuum expectation value via spontaneous symmetry breaking. We find that under these conditions the scalar gauge field mixes with the Goldstone boson that arises from the breaking of a global symmetry. Some other interesting features of this scalar gauge model are: (i) The new gauge procedure gives rise to terms which violate C and CP symmetries. This may have applications in cosmology or for CP violation in particle physics; (ii) the existence of mass terms in the Lagrangian which respect the new extended gauge symmetry. Thus one can have gauge field mass terms even in the absence of the usual Higgs mechanism; (iii) the emergence of a sine-Gordon potential for the scalar gauge field; (iv) a natural, axion-like suppression of the interaction strength of the scalar gauge boson.

KEYWORDS: Gauge Symmetry, Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking

ARXIV EPRINT: [1402.7334](https://arxiv.org/abs/1402.7334)

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Scalar gauge field	2
3	Particle content and the generalized unitary gauge	6
4	Breaking of C and CP symmetries	8
5	Non-derivative interactions for the B field	9
6	Discussion and conclusions	10

1 Introduction

In this work we give an extension of the usual idea of gauging (i.e. making local) a symmetry. We lay out the basic structure of the model without having some specific application in mind. The justification for looking into variations of the standard gauge process, without having a specific application in mind, is the centrality of the standard gauge procedure to all of modern physics. We will show that when spontaneous symmetry breaking occurs the theory exhibits a physical particle/field which is a mixture of the scalar gauge field and the Goldstone boson. In laying out the general frame work of this model we will mention some interesting features of this variant of the gauge procedure: (i) It gives a novel mechanism for C and CP violation; (ii) it has mass generation for the vector gauge boson without having to use the standard Higgs mechanism; (iii) one can naturally obtain a sine-Gordon equation of motion for the scalar field with its associated soliton/kink solutions; (iv) the interaction of the scalar gauge boson/Goldstone boson can be made weak via a natural, axion-like mechanism. We discuss the physical reality of this new scalar gauge bosons — whether it is a physically observable field or if it is some auxiliary, non-dynamical field. We show that this scalar gauge field is closely related to the Goldstone boson and in the case when spontaneous symmetry break occurs that the one finds a physical particle which is a mixture of the Goldstone boson and the scalar gauge field.

We begin by recalling the usual gauge procedure which starts with a scalar, matter field, ϕ , or spinor field, Ψ , which has some global phase symmetry. Then by making this global phase symmetry a local symmetry one finds that one needs to introduce a vector gauge field, A_μ . Although our alternative gauge procedure works for spinor fields and for non-Abelian gauge symmetries, for simplicity we will consider a complex scalar field, ϕ , with a $U(1)$ symmetry which has the Lagrange density

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi^* - V(\phi), \tag{1.1}$$

where $V(\phi)$ is some scalar self interaction potential. A typical example is to have a Higgs, symmetry breaking potential of the form $V(\phi) = -m^2|\phi|^2 + \lambda|\phi|^4$ where $|\phi|^2 = \phi^*\phi$. Now the Lagrangian density in (1.1) has the global gauge symmetry $\phi \rightarrow e^{ie\Lambda}\phi$ where e is the electric charge of the scalar field and Λ is a global phase parameter. If one lets the phase parameter become space-time dependent (i.e. $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda(x_\mu)$) one can still maintain this new local phase symmetry by introducing a four-vector gauge field A_μ . First one replaces the ordinary derivative by covariant derivatives, D_μ , of the form

$$\partial_\mu \rightarrow D_\mu = \partial_\mu + ieA_\mu,$$

along with the requirement that, in addition to the phase transformation of ϕ (i.e. $\phi \rightarrow e^{ie\Lambda(x_\mu)}\phi$), the four-vector A_μ transform like $A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu - \partial_\mu\Lambda$. One can see that $D_\mu\phi$, $(D_\mu\phi)^*$ are covariant under these two transformations which together form the U(1) gauge transformation. Additionally one can add a term to (1.1) which involves only A_μ and is invariant under the gauge transformation. The Maxwell field strength tensor is such a quantity

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu. \tag{1.2}$$

One can see that $F_{\mu\nu}$ is invariant under $A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu - \partial_\mu\Lambda$. Putting all of the above together leads to the following Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = D_\mu\phi(D^\mu\phi)^* - V(\phi) - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}, \tag{1.3}$$

with D_μ and $F_{\mu\nu}$ defined above. This Lagrangian represents a complex, charged scalar field ϕ coupled to a vector gauge boson, A_μ . It respects the local gauge transformation

$$\phi \rightarrow e^{ie\Lambda}\phi \quad ; \quad A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu - \partial_\mu\Lambda.$$

2 Scalar gauge field

Starting with the same complex scalar field from (1.1) we now gauge the phase symmetry of ϕ by introducing a real, scalar $B(x_\mu)$ and two types of covariant derivatives as

$$D_\mu^A = \partial_\mu + ieA_\mu \quad ; \quad D_\mu^B = \partial_\mu + ie\partial_\mu B. \tag{2.1}$$

The gauge transformation of the complex scalar, vector gauge field and scalar gauge field have the following gauge transformation

$$\phi \rightarrow e^{ie\Lambda}\phi \quad ; \quad A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu - \partial_\mu\Lambda \quad ; \quad B \rightarrow B - \Lambda. \tag{2.2}$$

It is easy to see that terms like $D_\mu^A\phi$ and $D_\mu^B\phi$, as well as their complex conjugates will be covariant under (2.2). Thus one can generate kinetic energy type terms like $(D_\mu^A\phi)(D^{A\mu}\phi)^*$, $(D_\mu^B\phi)(D^{B\mu}\phi)^*$, $(D_\mu^A\phi)(D^{B\mu}\phi)^*$, and $(D_\mu^B\phi)(D^{A\mu}\phi)^*$. Unlike A_μ where one can add a gauge invariant kinetic term involving only A_μ (i.e. $F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$) this is apparently not possible to do for the scalar gauge field B . However note that the term $A_\mu - \partial_\mu B$ is invariant under the gauge field transformation alone (i.e. $A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu - \partial_\mu\Lambda$ and $B \rightarrow B - \Lambda$). Thus one can

add a term like $(A_\mu - \partial_\mu B)(A^\mu - \partial^\mu B)$ to the Lagrangian which is invariant with respect to the gauge field part only of the gauge transformation in (2.2). This gauge invariant term will lead to both mass-like terms for the vector gauge field and kinetic energy-like terms for the scalar gauge field. In total a general Lagrangian which respects the new gauge transformation and is a generalization of the usual gauge Lagrangian of (1.3), has the form

$$\mathcal{L} = c_1 D_\mu^A \phi (D^{A\mu} \phi)^* + c_2 D_\mu^B \phi (D^{B\mu} \phi)^* + c_3 D_\mu^A \phi (D^{B\mu} \phi)^* + c_4 D_\mu^B \phi (D^{A\mu} \phi)^* - V(\phi) - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + c_5 (A_\mu - \partial_\mu B)(A^\mu - \partial^\mu B), \quad (2.3)$$

where c_i 's are constants that should be fixed to get a physically acceptable Lagrangian. The arbitrariness of this Lagrangian through the (at this point) undetermined c_i 's is inelegant, but at the beginning we want to write down the most general Lagrangian which was consistent with the gauge transformation in (2.2). Later in section (5) we will show that it is possible to add non-derivative, polynomial interaction terms for the B field. As we progress through the paper we will try to give restrictions on the c_i 's which will reduce this arbitrariness. An interesting point to remark upon is that the last term in (2.3) will have a term of the form $A_\mu A^\mu$ which is a mass term for the vector gauge field. This term (contrary to the usual gauge transformation given in the introduction) does not violate the expanded gauge symmetry of (2.2). Also the last term in (2.3) has a term of the form $\partial_\mu B \partial^\mu B$ which is the usual kinetic energy term for a scalar field. Thus the gauge field B appears to be a dynamical field, at least at first glance. We will discuss to what degree B is a physical field later. The mass term for A_μ and a kinetic energy term for B still respect the gauge transformation in (2.2).

Notice that although the local gauge symmetry is $U(1)$, the global symmetry is $U(1) \times U(1)$, since the Lagrangian (2.3) is invariant under the global transformations $\phi \rightarrow e^{i\alpha} \phi$ and $B \rightarrow B + \beta$, with α, β being different, independent constants. We will see further evidence of this global symmetry below when we find a conserved current arising from the equations of motion of the B field. In the usual spontaneous symmetry breaking process applied to a $U(1)$ global symmetry which is made local, the Goldstone boson of the original global $U(1)$ symmetry completely disappears — it is “eaten by the massless gauge boson which then becomes massive. In the present case we will find that there is some remnant of the Goldstone boson since the original global symmetry $U(1) \times U(1)$ was larger than the local $U(1)$ which was gauged.

Now, we briefly review some previous work dealing with scalar gauge fields or other non-traditional ways of gauging a symmetry without (only) a vector gauge boson. The first example of a scalar field associated with the concept of gauging a symmetry is the paper by Stueckelberg [1] who investigated a compensating scalar field to construct gauge invariant mass terms. This work used a restricted gauge transformations with the gauge parameter Λ satisfying the free Klein Gordon equation for a certain mass. A modern review of the Stueckelberg approach is given in [2]. Next in [3] gauge invariant mass terms were considered without any restriction on the gauge parameter Λ , and with the possibility of coupling to a current which was the gradient of a scalar field. The paper [3] also studied the canonical formalism i.e. constraint structure. In the work [4] the idea of gauging the dual

symmetry of E&M [5] was examined. It was found that one could gauge the dual symmetry by introducing a scalar gauge field (see also [6] for an alternative view of gauging the dual symmetry of E&M). Reference [7, 8] gives a variant of the Standard Model using vector and scalar gauge fields. In the work [9] a modification of the usual gauge procedure was proposed which allowed gauge fields of various ranks — scalar (rank 0), vector (rank 1), and higher tensors (rank 2 and higher). In [10] scalar gauge fields were used to couple electromagnetism to a “global charge” carried by a complex scalar field with only global phase invariance. Although the complex scalar fields considered in [10] only had a global phase symmetry the gauge fields still had the full local gauge invariance. The use of scalar gauge fields also allows for the introduction of dynamical coupling constants in a self consistent fashion [11]. Finally, scalar fields represented by a unitary matrix were used by Cornwall to formulate massive gauge theories in a gauge invariant fashion [12].

We now fix, as far as possible, the character of the c_i 's in (2.3). First c_1 , c_2 and c_5 must be real since $D_\mu^A \phi (D^{A\mu} \phi)^*$, $D_\mu^B \phi (D^{B\mu} \phi)^*$ and $(A_\mu - \partial_\mu B)(A^\mu - \partial^\mu B)$ are real. Next c_3 and c_4 must be complex conjugates (i.e. $c_3 = c_4^*$) in order that the combination of the two crossed covariant derivative terms in (2.3) (i.e. the terms $D_\mu^A \phi (D^{B\mu} \phi)^*$ and $D_\mu^B \phi (D^{A\mu} \phi)^*$) be real. Finally we require that $(c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + c_4) = (c_1 + c_2 + \text{Re}[c_3 + c_4]) = 1$. This condition ensures that the kinetic energy term for the scalar field ϕ has the standard form $\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi^*$. One could accomplish this as well by rescaling ϕ , but here we chose to accomplish this by placing conditions on the c_i 's. Taking into account these conditions (and in particular writing out c_3 and c_4 in terms of their real and imaginary parts $c_3 = a + ib$ and $c_4 = a - ib$) the Lagrangian in (2.3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi^* - V(\phi) - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + c_5 A_\mu A^\mu + c_5 \partial_\mu B \partial^\mu B - 2c_5 A_\mu \partial^\mu B \\ & + ie[\phi \partial_\mu \phi^* - \phi^* \partial_\mu \phi] ((c_1 + a)A^\mu + (c_2 + a)\partial_\mu B) \\ & + e^2 \phi \phi^* (c_1 A_\mu A^\mu + c_2 \partial_\mu B \partial^\mu B + 2a \partial_\mu B A^\mu) - eb \partial_\mu (\phi^* \phi) (A^\mu - \partial^\mu B). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

There are several interesting features of the Lagrangian in (2.4). First, the vector gauge field, A_μ , has a mass term (i.e. $c_5 A_\mu A^\mu$) which is allowed by the extended gauge symmetry (2.2). Thus in addition to the vector gauge field developing a mass through the term $e^2 c_1 \phi \phi^* A_\mu A^\mu$ if ϕ develops a vacuum expectation value (i.e. if $\langle \phi \phi^* \rangle = \rho_0^2$ with ρ_0 a constant), there is now an additional potential mass term for the vector gauge field, even in the absence of spontaneous symmetry breaking via ϕ . Second, the scalar gauge field appears to be a dynamical field through the presence of two possible kinetic energy terms. The term $c_5 \partial_\mu B \partial^\mu B$ is the standard kinetic energy term for a scalar field, especially if one chooses $c_5 = 1/2$. Also, the term $c_2 e^2 \phi \phi^* \partial_\mu B \partial^\mu B$ takes the form of a kinetic energy term if ϕ develops a vacuum expectation value. Third, the term $-eb \partial_\mu (\phi^* \phi) (A^\mu - \partial^\mu B)$ will lead to C and CP violation. This point will be discussed further in section (4). Fourth, unlike standard scalar QED, the strength of the seagull interaction of the vector gauge field with the charged scalar matter field (i.e. the term $c_1 e^2 \phi \phi^* A_\mu A^\mu$) is independent of the strength of the one gauge particle emission/absorption interaction terms (i.e. the term $i(c_1 + a)e[\phi \partial_\mu \phi^* - \phi^* \partial_\mu \phi] A^\mu$ with the independence coming from the presence of the constant a which is not present in the seagull term).

We now write down the Euler-Lagrange equations of motion for A_μ , B and ϕ in turn and discuss some of the interesting features from this scalar gauge procedure. The Euler-Lagrange equation for A_μ following from (2.4) is

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} &= ie(c_1 + a)[\phi\partial^\mu\phi^* - \phi^*\partial^\mu\phi] - eb\partial^\mu[\phi^*\phi] \\ &+ 2[c_1e^2(\phi^*\phi) + c_5]A^\mu + 2[ae^2(\phi^*\phi) - c_5]\partial^\mu B. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

The equation of motion for B following from (2.4) is

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\nu [(2c_5 + c_2e^2\phi^*\phi)\partial^\nu B - 2(c_5 - ae^2\phi^*\phi)A^\nu] &= -ie(c_2 + a)\partial_\nu(\phi\partial^\nu\phi^* - \phi^*\partial^\nu\phi) \\ &- eb\partial_\nu\partial^\nu(\phi^*\phi). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Note that in (2.6) the term $2c_5\partial_\nu\partial^\nu B = 2c_5\Box B$ looks like a standard Klein Gordon type contribution for a real scalar field. Thus it appears that in this gauge principle one generates not only a vector gauge field but also a real scalar field which are both fields arising from the gauging procedure/principle. Notice that in (2.6) the phase of the complex scalar field appears through the term $\partial_\nu(\phi\partial^\nu\phi^* - \phi^*\partial^\nu\phi)$. If we assume spontaneous symmetry breaking (SSB) (i.e. the complex scalar field develops a vacuum expectation value like $\langle\phi^*\phi\rangle = \rho_0^2$) and if we choose to work in unitary gauge, such terms disappear. Also in the case of SSB where at low energies $\phi^*\phi \approx \langle\phi^*\phi\rangle = \rho_0^2$ (i.e. $\phi^*\phi$ is approximately constant), we see that the gauge field B is a physical field due to the way it appears in the physical unitary gauge. We will discuss this point further below in section (3).

Notice that equations (2.5) and (2.6) lead to two independent conservation laws of the form $\partial_\mu j^\mu = 0$. Taking the divergence of (2.5), we get the conserved current j_A^μ

$$j_A^\mu = ie(c_1 + a)[\phi\partial^\mu\phi^* - \phi^*\partial^\mu\phi] - eb\partial_\mu[\phi^*\phi] + [2c_1e^2(\phi^*\phi) + 2c_5]A^\mu + 2[ae^2(\phi^*\phi) - c_5]\partial^\mu B. \quad (2.7)$$

Equation (2.6) is already in the form of a conservation law of another current, the current j_B^μ given by,

$$j_B^\mu = ie(c_2 + a)[\phi\partial^\mu\phi^* - \phi^*\partial^\mu\phi] + eb\partial^\mu[\phi^*\phi] + [2c_2e^2(\phi^*\phi) + 2c_5]\partial^\mu B + 2[ae^2(\phi^*\phi) - c_5]A^\mu. \quad (2.8)$$

The conserved current (2.8) can be understood as the Noether current that arises from the global symmetry $B \rightarrow B + \text{constant}$. The current j_B^μ is connected with one of the global U(1)'s from the original $U(1) \times U(1)$ global symmetry. Finally the equations of motion for ϕ are

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_\mu [\partial^\mu\phi + ie\phi(c_1A^\mu + c_2\partial^\mu B) - eb\phi(A^\mu - \partial^\mu B) + iae\phi(A^\mu + \partial^\mu B)] \\ &= -\frac{\partial V}{\partial\phi^*} + c_1(e^2A_\mu A^\mu\phi - ie\partial_\mu\phi A^\mu) + c_2(e^2\partial_\mu B\partial^\mu B\phi - ie\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu B) \\ &- eb\partial_\mu\phi(A^\mu - \partial^\mu B) - iae\partial_\mu\phi(A^\mu + \partial^\mu B) + 2ae^2\partial_\mu B A^\mu\phi. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

The equation of motion for ϕ^* is the complex conjugate of (2.9).

3 Particle content and the generalized unitary gauge

We devote this section to the discussion of the physical reality of the newly introduced scalar gauge field $B(x)$ and to discuss the particle content of the theory when we have spontaneous symmetry breaking i.e. when the scalar field ϕ develops a vacuum expectation value due to the form of the potential $V(\phi)$ in (2.3) (2.4). At first glance one might conclude that $B(x)$ is not a physical field — it appears that one could “gauge” it away by taking $\Lambda = B(x)$ in (2.2). However one must be careful since this would imply that the gauge transformation of the field ϕ would be of the form $\phi \rightarrow e^{ieB}\phi$ i.e. the phase factor would be fixed by the gauge transformation of $B(x)$. In this situation one would no longer be able to use the usual unitary gauge transformation to eliminate the Goldstone boson in the case when one has spontaneous symmetry breaking.

The unitary gauge is the standard procedure to find the particle content of a spontaneously broken theory. Let us recall how the unitary gauge works: one writes the complex scalar field as an amplitude and phase — $\phi(x) = \rho(x)e^{i\theta(x)}$. The two fields $\rho(x)$ and $\theta(x)$ represent the initial fields of the system. If $\phi(x)$ develops a VEV due to the form of the potential, $V(\phi)$, then one can transform to the unitary gauge $\phi \rightarrow e^{ie\Lambda(x)}\phi(x)$ with $\Lambda = -\theta(x)/e$. In this way one removes the field $\theta(x)$ (which is “eaten” by the gauge boson) and is left with only the $\rho(x)$ field. With the introduction of the scalar gauge field, $B(x)$, one no longer can gauge away *both* $\theta(x)$ and $B(x)$, and in the end one is left with some real, physical field which is some combination of the original $B(x)$ and $\theta(x)$. Thus some hint of the Goldstone boson survives in our extended gauge process as a physical field. This is supported by the fact that although the local symmetry of (2.3) is U(1) the original global symmetry is U(1) \times U(1). The evidence of this additional U(1) can be seen in the conserved current coming from the equation of motion of B given in (2.8). The SSB of this U(1) \times U(1) symmetry leads two Goldstone boson — one which is “eaten” by the vector gauge boson thus giving the vector gauge boson a mass, and the other one remains (at least initially) as a Goldstone boson.

Let us now define exactly what will be the generalization of the unitary gauge appropriate to the situation here. In the presence of spontaneous symmetry breaking and where the field ϕ develops a VEV the unitary gauge eliminates cross terms like $A_\mu\partial^\mu\theta$ from the Lagrangian (see for example [13]). In the present case the cross terms between the vector field A_μ and the scalars (in our case B and θ) are more involved. Explicitly the relevant cross terms that we wish to eliminate by a generalized unitary gauge are

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{cross}} = -2c_5 A_\mu \partial^\mu B + ie(c_1 + a)[\phi \partial_\mu \phi^* - \phi^* \partial_\mu \phi] A^\mu + 2ae^2 \partial_\mu B A^\mu \phi^* \phi. \quad (3.1)$$

It is obvious why the first and third terms in the above equation are denoted as cross terms since they have the form $A_\mu \partial^\mu B$. To see why the second term above is considered a cross term between A_μ and θ in the presence of SSB (i.e. the scalar field develops a VEV $\langle \phi \phi^* \rangle = \rho_0^2$ where ρ_0 is a constant) we begin by approximating the scalar field as $\phi(x) \approx \rho_0 e^{i\theta(x)}$. With this the scalar current becomes $[\phi \partial_\mu \phi^* - \phi^* \partial_\mu \phi] \approx 2\rho_0^2 \partial_\mu \theta$. We have used the assumption that the amplitude of the scalar field is approximately constant — $\rho(x) \approx \rho_0$. Putting all this together show that the second term in (3.1) is a cross term

between A_μ and θ of the form $A_\mu \partial^\mu \theta$. Thus (3.1) becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{cross}} = 2A_\mu \partial^\mu (-c_5 B + ec_1 \rho_0^2 \theta + ae \rho_0^2 \theta + ae^2 \rho_0^2 B) . \quad (3.2)$$

It is this more complex cross term that we want to eliminate via some generalized unitary gauge. Defining $F(x) = -c_5 B + c_1 e \rho_0^2 \theta + ae \rho_0^2 \theta + ae^2 \rho_0^2 B$, one can see that the cross term in (3.2) takes the form $\propto A_\mu \partial^\mu F$ which is similar to the more common form $\propto A_\mu \partial^\mu \theta$ [13]. By means of a gauge transformation (i.e. $\theta \rightarrow \theta + e\Lambda$, $B \rightarrow B - \Lambda$) we can take some initial non-zero value $F = F_0$, and always arrive at a gauge $F = 0$. From (3.2) one can check this is possible by choosing the gauge function as $\Lambda = -F_0 / (c_5 + c_1 e^2 \rho_0^2)$. In this physical gauge, with $F = 0$, we can solve the θ field in terms of the B field as

$$\theta = \frac{c_5 - ae^2 \rho_0^2}{e \rho_0^2 (c_1 + a)} B . \quad (3.3)$$

What (3.3) shows is that θ and B are not independent fields — one is fixed in terms of the other. There is therefore only one physical scalar field in this generalized unitary gauge which one can call either θ or B . The above is different from the normal gauge procedure in the presence of symmetry breaking where the $\theta(x)$ field completely disappears. Here there is some left over hint of the Goldstone boson which we may call $B(x)$ (as we do here) or $\theta(x)$. At this stage the mixed θ/B field is massless and thus could be thought of as a true, massless Goldstone boson. However in section (5) we will show that it is possible to add to the Lagrangian from (2.4), non-derivative potential terms for the B field. These terms will include a mass term and power law interaction terms.

After replacing θ in terms of B , from (2.4) one sees that when ϕ develops an expectation value, the kinetic term for B takes the form

$$\left(c_5 + c_2 e^2 \rho_0^2 + 2 \frac{(c_2 + a)(c_5 - ae^2 \rho_0^2)}{\rho_0^2 (c_1 + a)} \right) \partial_\mu B \partial^\mu B \quad (3.4)$$

To put (3.4) in the canonically normalized form $\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \bar{B} \partial^\mu \bar{B}$, one should define \bar{B} as

$$\bar{B} = \left[\sqrt{2 \left(c_2 \rho_0^2 e^2 + c_5 + 2 \frac{(c_2 + a)(c_5 - ae^2 \rho_0^2)}{\rho_0^2 (c_1 + a)} \right)} \right] B = f_B B , \quad (3.5)$$

where f_B , defined by the above equation. Depending on the value of these constants the normalized scalar gauge field, $\bar{B}(x)$, may be larger or smaller compared to the original scalar gauge field, $B(x)$. At this point after having imposed the generalized unitary gauge as defined above we have a remaining scalar gauge field which we can denote either as θ or B and which is massless. Neither θ nor B has a mass term if one looks at the Lagrangian (2.4) after the imposition of the generalized unitary gauge. Thus one can say that in this extended gauge procedure there is a remaining Goldstone boson like particle. Below, in section (5) we will show that one can add non-derivative, potential terms to the Lagrangian in (2.4) which give mass and non-derivative self interaction terms to the B field so that the B field can be viewed as a massive remnant of the usual Goldstone boson.

The above discussion has been carried out under the assumption that the scalar field, ϕ , undergoes SSB and develops a non-zero VEV, $\rho_0 \neq 0$. One can ask what happens when there is no SSB and $\rho_0 = 0$? In this case one can see that (3.2) reduces to just $\mathcal{L}_{\text{cross}} = -2c_5 A_\mu \partial^\mu B$. There is now no connection between B and θ such as that given in (3.3). It thus appears that in order to make the cross term vanish one simply needs to use the gauge transformation $B \rightarrow B - \Lambda$ with $\Lambda(x) = B(x)$ to transform $B(x)$ away. This leads to an apparent puzzle in regard to the counting of degrees of freedom between the case without SSB and the case with SSB. In the case *with* SSB there are five degrees of freedom: three degrees of freedom from the massive vector field $A_\mu(x)$, one degree of freedom from $\rho(x)$ and one degree of freedom from the mixture of $\theta(x)/B(x)$. In the case *without* SSB where $\rho_0 = 0$ it at first *appears* that one has only four degrees of freedom: two degrees of freedom from the *apparently* massless vector field, $A_\mu(x)$, and two degrees of freedom from the complex scalar field, $\phi(x)$ which we have denoted $\rho(x)$ and $\theta(x)$. We have used the gauge freedom to gauge away the $B(x)$. However in this case *without* SSB one has already used the gauge symmetry to gauge away $B(x)$ and thus one can no longer use this to reduce the degrees of freedom for the $A_\mu(x)$ down to two. Note also that in (2.3) or (2.4) there are terms like $c_5 A_\mu A^\mu$ which are allowed by the extended gauge procedure and such terms do represent mass terms for A_μ .

4 Breaking of C and CP symmetries

In this section we study the violation of C and CP that occurs in the scalar gauge field model with the Lagrangian given in (2.3). Under C symmetry the scalar field, ϕ , is replaced by its complex conjugate, and both vector and scalar gauge fields change sign,

$$\phi \rightarrow \phi^* \quad ; \quad A_\mu \rightarrow -A_\mu \quad ; \quad B \rightarrow -B . \tag{4.1}$$

As we have seen c_3 and c_4 must be complex conjugates (i.e. $c_3 = c_4^*$). However if they are complex (i.e. $c_3 = a + ib$, $c_4^* = a - ib$) then the charge conjugation symmetry of (4.1) is broken in the Lagrangian (2.3) and in the equations of motion by b the imaginary part of c_3 and c_4 . For example one can see that under the charge conjugation symmetry the part of the current proportional to b appearing in (2.4) namely

$$eb \partial_\mu (\phi^* \phi) (A^\mu - \partial^\mu B) , \tag{4.2}$$

transforms differently under charge conjugation in (4.1) than the other pieces. As a consequence the currents by a particle and its associated antiparticle will not be exactly opposite to each other if the gradient term, $\partial_\mu (\phi^* \phi)$, is non-vanishing i.e. for space time dependent $\phi \phi^*$.

Turning to the transformation of the fields, ϕ , B , A_μ , under parity (i.e. $t \rightarrow t$ and $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow -\mathbf{x}$) we see that the fields ϕ and B transform as scalars under parity and A_μ transforms as a normal vector under parity. Mathematically this amounts to ($i = 1, 2, 3$)

$$\begin{aligned} A_0(x^i, t) &\rightarrow A_0(-x^i, t) \quad ; & A_i(x^i, t) &\rightarrow -A_i(-x^i, t) \\ \phi(x^i, t) &\rightarrow \phi(-x^i, t) \quad ; & B(x^i, t) &\rightarrow B(-x^i, t) . \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Thus the action following from the Lagrangian in (2.3) respects parity. Combining this result with the violation of charge conjugation symmetry coming from the term (4.2) one finds that the Lagrangian violates CP as well as C .

5 Non-derivative interactions for the B field

In this section we show that it is possible to add B -dependent terms to the scalar field potential $V(\phi)$. The simplest example of such an addition leads to the sine-Gordon field equation for B in the unitary gauge. This implies that the B field has soliton/kink like solutions. We also show that in the case when ϕ undergoes symmetry breaking and develops a vacuum expectation value (VEV) that the interaction strength of the B field is suppressed by this VEV. Thus for a large VEV one has a natural explanation for why the B field should be weakly interacting.

The Lagrangian in (2.3) has a polynomial self interaction potential, $V(\phi)$, with terms of the form $m^2\phi\phi^*$ and $\lambda(\phi\phi^*)^2$, but the interactions of B in (2.3) involve only $\partial_\mu B$. One can introduce non-derivative interaction terms for B by noting that terms like $e^{ineB}(\phi)^n$ and $e^{-ineB}(\phi^*)^n$ are invariant under the gauge transformation of ϕ and B given in (2.2). If one wants to restrict attention to renormalizable theories, then one might limit such terms to be no more than quartic in ϕ or ϕ^* . It is not clear that such a theory would be renormalizable since the scalar gauge field B appears in an exponential and thus involves all power of B , but by having $n \leq 4$ means that at least in regard to simple power count of the field ϕ the theory is renormalizable. In any case taking $n \leq 4$ one can write down the following general interacting potential for ϕ and B

$$V(e^{ieB}\phi) = -m^2\phi\phi^* + \lambda(\phi\phi^*)^2 + \lambda_1 e^{ieB}\phi + \lambda_1^* e^{-ieB}\phi^* + \lambda_2 e^{i2eB}\phi^2 + \lambda_2^* e^{-i2eB}(\phi^*)^2 + \lambda_3 e^{i3eB}\phi^3 + \lambda_3^* e^{-i3eB}(\phi^*)^3 + \lambda_4 e^{i4eB}\phi^4 + \lambda_4^* e^{-i4eB}(\phi^*)^4 . \quad (5.1)$$

If the λ_i 's, have an imaginary part, then those terms will violate charge conjugation symmetry. Note also that one could generalize (5.1) further by terms of the form $e^{ieB}(\phi)^2(\phi^*)$ or $e^{-ieB}(\phi)(\phi^*)^2$.

We now specialize (5.1) to terms that are only linear in ϕ or ϕ^* by taking $\lambda_i = 0$ for $i \geq 2$ so that we have

$$V(e^{ieB}\phi) = -m^2\phi\phi^* + \lambda(\phi\phi^*)^2 + \lambda_1 e^{ieB}\phi + \lambda_1^* e^{-ieB}\phi^* \quad (5.2)$$

We write the scalar field in the polar form $\phi(x) = \rho(x)e^{i\theta(x)}$ and take the gauge to be that defined by (3.3) so that $\theta(x)$ is given in terms of B . Finally, we re-express everything in terms of the canonically normalized field \bar{B} , which is defined via $\theta + eB = K\bar{B}$. With these choices the potential in (5.1) becomes

$$V(\bar{B}, \rho) = -m^2\rho^2 + \lambda\rho^4 + (\lambda_1 e^{iK\bar{B}} + \lambda_1^* e^{-iK\bar{B}})\rho . \quad (5.3)$$

where

$$K = \frac{c_5 + \rho_0^2 e^2 c_1}{f_B \rho_0^2 (c_1 e + ae)} \quad (5.4)$$

The first two terms in (5.3) are the standard scalar symmetry breaking potential which give rise to a vacuum expectation value of $|\phi| \approx \rho_0 = \sqrt{m^2/2\lambda}$. Now if we assume that the last two terms involving B do not shift the value of this vacuum expectation value to any great degree, and writing $\lambda_1 = \alpha_1 e^{i\omega_1}$ we see that near the vacuum value $\phi \approx \sqrt{m^2/2\lambda}$ the potential in (5.3) becomes

$$V(\bar{B}) = -\frac{m^4}{4\lambda} + 2\alpha_1\rho_0 \cos(K\bar{B} + \omega_1) . \tag{5.5}$$

This is of the form of the sine-Gordon equation, which has the interesting feature of having topological kink/soliton solutions [16]. If we expand the term $2\alpha_1\rho_0 \cos(K\bar{B} + \omega_1)$ in (5.5) in \bar{B} we find terms proportional to $\bar{B}^2, \bar{B}^4, \bar{B}^{2n} \dots$. These represent a mass term, quartic self interaction term and higher polynomial interaction terms. Thus the field \bar{B} or B (or more precisely the mixture of $B(x)$ and $\theta(x)$) are not true Goldstone bosons since by adding potential terms like (5.3) or (5.5) one can give mass terms to these fields, where in contrast a true Goldstone boson is massless.

We next turn to the question of the interaction strength of B . From looking at the covariant derivative D_μ^B from (2.1) one would think that the coupling strength should be determined by e . However with symmetry breaking, where the scalar field develops the VEV, $|\phi| \approx \rho_0 = \sqrt{m^2/2\lambda}$, this expectation is changed. The relevant field to consider is not B , but the canonically normalized field \bar{B} . The strength of the interaction of \bar{B} with the scalar ϕ is not e (as is the case with $B(x)$) but rather e/f_B . If f_B is big (as is the case if the VEV, ρ_0 , and/or c_2, c_3 are large) the coupling of the B particles will be reduced. This is reminiscent of a similar mechanism occurring the axion [17].

6 Discussion and conclusions

In this article we have presented a gauging procedure using a scalar gauge field as well as the more standard vector gauge field — our gauge fields were $B(x)$ and $A_\mu(x)$. In this paper the symmetry we gauged was the Abelian $U(1)$ symmetry of a scalar matter field $\phi(x)$. However the gauging process presented here can be extended to the case where one starts with a spinor field, $\Psi(x)$, rather than a scalar matter field, $\phi(x)$. It is also possible to extend the gauge procedure presented here to the case of non-Abelian symmetries. In the case of non-Abelian symmetries one that the procedure presented here has some close similarities to the work of Cornwall in [12]. We will return to this point of a non-Abelian version of the present gauge procedure in a future paper.

In this paper we have focused mainly of simply laying out our alternative gauge procedure with both scalar and vector gauge fields, without stressing too much any physical applications. However, there are hints of interesting possible physical applications for this gauge procedure and the scalar gauge field, $B(x)$. First we mention the natural emergence of C and CP violation in this model arising from the terms in the Lagrangian (2.4) of the form $eb\partial_\mu(\phi^*\phi)(A^\mu - \partial^\mu B)$. Such a new source of CP violation could be applied to baryon-genesis in the early Universe. Further, in the model presented here the CP violation can be correlated to the time dependence of a scalar field, which fits very much the

modern approaches to cosmology of the early universe, in particular the Higgs inflation scenarios [14, 15]. Second, one can get interesting but non-standard coupling between the matter fields ($\phi(x)$ in this paper) and the gauge fields ($B(x)$ and $A_\mu(x)$). For example by selecting the constants in the Lagrangian (2.4) such that $c_1 = c_2 = -a$ one can get rid of the conventional current terms ($[\phi\partial^\mu\phi^* - \phi^*\partial^\mu\phi]$) and is left with a current of the form $\partial_\mu[\phi^*\phi]$ which represents the “maximal C and CP violating case”. Also for different choices for the various constants c_1, c_2, a, b, c_5 there are terms, such that the strength of the seagull interaction of the vector gauge field with the charged scalar matter field, which are of independent to the strength of the one gauge particle emission/absorption interaction terms. Third, one can introduce non-derivative couplings of the scalar gauge field $B(x)$ using the gauge invariance of $e^{ieB}\phi$ to arrive at potentials of the form given in (5.1). By assuming a symmetry breaking potential for the scalar field, $\phi(x)$, it is possible to get a sine-Gordon like equation (5.5) for the scalar gauge field $B(x)$ if $\phi(x)$ remains close to its vacuum expectation value $\phi \approx \sqrt{m^2/2\lambda}$. One final potential application of the scalar gauge field $B(x)$ might be as a potential explanation of the proton spin/angular momentum puzzle [18] i.e. the fact that very little of the spin of the proton comes from the spin of the valence quarks. Thus the spin of the proton (or nucleon in general) must reside in some combination of the gauge field [19] and/or orbital angular momentum [20]. Extending the gauge field structure, as in this paper, might provide an additional potential source for the spin of the proton/nucleon.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] E.C.G. Stueckelberg, *Interaction energy in electrodynamics and in the field theory of nuclear forces*, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **11** (1938) 225 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] H. Ruegg and M. Ruiz-Altaba, *The Stueckelberg field*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **19** (2004) 3265 [[hep-th/0304245](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] E. Guendelman, *Gauge invariance and mass without spontaneous symmetry breaking*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **43** (1979) 543 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] A. Kato and D. Singleton, *Gauging dual symmetry*, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **41** (2002) 1563 [[hep-th/0106277](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] J.D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, 3rd edition, section 6.11, John Wiley & Sons Inc., 1999.
- [6] A. Saa, *Local electromagnetic duality and gauge invariance*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **28** (2011) 127002 [[arXiv:1101.3927](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] M. Chaves and H. Morales, *Unification of $SU(2) \times U(1)$ using a generalized covariant derivative and $U(3)$* , *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **13** (1998) 2021 [[hep-th/9801088](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] M. Chaves and H. Morales, *Grand unification using a generalized Yang-Mills theory*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **15** (2000) 197 [[hep-th/9911162](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [9] D. Singleton, A. Kato and A. Yoshida, *Gauge procedure with gauge fields of various ranks*, *Phys. Lett. A* **330** (2004) 326 [[hep-th/0408031](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] E. Guendelman, *Coupling Electromagnetism to Global Charge*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **28** (2013) 1350169 [[arXiv:1307.6913](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] E.I. Guendelman and R. Steiner, *Confining Boundary conditions from dynamical Coupling Constants*, [arXiv:1311.2536](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] J.M. Cornwall, *Dynamical Mass Generation in Continuum QCD*, *Phys. Rev. D* **26** (1982) 1453 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] Ta-Pei Cheng and Ling-Fong Li, *Gauge Theory of elementary Particle Physics*, Oxford Science Publications, Clarendon Press, Oxford, Oxford University Press, New York, 1988.
- [14] F.L. Bezrukov and M. Shaposhnikov, *The Standard Model Higgs boson as the inflaton*, *Phys. Lett. B* **659** (2008) 703 [[arXiv:0710.3755](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] F. Bezrukov, *The Higgs field as an inflaton*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **30** (2013) 214001 [[arXiv:1307.0708](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] R. Rajaraman, *Solitons and Instantons: an Introduction to Solitons and Instantons in Quantum Field Theory*, pg. 34, North-Holland, 1989.
- [17] J.E. Kim and G. Carosi, *Axions and the Strong CP Problem*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82** (2010) 557 [[arXiv:0807.3125](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] E. Leader and C. Lorce, *The angular momentum controversy: What's it all about and does it matter?*, *Phys. Rept.* (2013) [[arXiv:1309.4235](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] D. Singleton, *'Electromagnetic' answer to the nucleon spin puzzle*, *Phys. Lett. B* **427** (1998) 155 [[hep-ph/9710201](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] D. Singleton and V.D. Dzhunushaliev, *Orbital angular momentum in the nucleon spin*, *Found. Phys.* **30** (2000) 1093 [[hep-ph/9807239](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].