RECEIVED: December 14, 2018

REVISED: February 28, 2019

ACCEPTED: April 4, 2019

PUBLISHED: April 29, 2019

Poisson-Lie T-plurality revisited. Is T-duality unique?

Ladislav Hlavatý^a and Ivo Petr^b

^a*Department of Physics, Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering,
Czech Technical University in Prague, Břehová 7, Prague 1, 115 19 Czech Republic*

^b*Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Information Technology,
Czech Technical University in Prague, Thákurova 9, Prague 6, 160 00 Czech Republic*

E-mail: hlavaty@fjfi.cvut.cz, ivo.petr@fit.cvut.cz

ABSTRACT: We investigate (non-)Abelian T-duality from the perspective of Poisson-Lie T-plurality. We show that sigma models related by duality/plurality are given not only by Manin triples obtained from decompositions of Drinfel'd double, but also by their particular embeddings, i.e. maps that relate bases of these decompositions. This allows us to get richer set of dual or plural sigma models than previously thought. That's why we ask how T-duality is defined and what should be the “canonical” duality or plurality transformation.

KEYWORDS: Sigma Models, String Duality, Integrable Field Theories

ARXIV EPRINT: [1811.12235](https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.12235)

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Poisson-Lie T-plurality of sigma models	2
2.1	Poisson-Lie T-plurality with spectators	3
2.2	Equivalence of transformation matrices	5
3	Sigma models with two-dimensional target space	5
3.1	Poisson-Lie T-plurality	6
3.2	Poisson-Lie T-duality	8
4	Sigma models with four-dimensional target space	10
5	Poisson-Lie T-dualities and pluralities generated by Poisson-Lie identities	12
6	Conclusion	13

1 Introduction

The notion of (non-)Abelian T-duality [1–3] of sigma models relies on the presence of symmetries of the sigma model backgrounds. Whenever there is such a symmetry, one may gauge it to arrive at a model related to the original one by T-duality. This technique, extended to RR fields in [4, 5], is used frequently to generate new supergravity solutions, see e.g. [6, 7] and references therein. Non-Abelian T-duality, however, does not preserve the symmetries, and it may not be possible to return back to the initial model. Poisson-Lie T-duality, introduced in the seminal paper [8] by Klimčík and Ševera, treats both models equally and offers a remedy to this issue.

The algebraic structure underlying Poisson-Lie T-duality is the Drinfel’d double, a Lie group $\mathcal{D}$ that decomposes into two Lie subgroups $\mathcal{G}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ of equal dimension. In case of (non-)Abelian T-duality the former represents group of symmetries of the initial sigma model, while the latter is Abelian. There are also Drinfel’d doubles where both $\mathcal{G}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ are non-Abelian. In such a case the symmetry of the initial model is replaced by the so-called Poisson-Lie symmetry (or generalized symmetry), see [9], and the full Poisson-Lie T-duality transformation applies. Nevertheless, the presence of symmetries remains crucial if one wants to dualize a particular background [10]. Recently (Poisson-Lie) T-duality also appears as an important tool in the study of integrable models and their deformations [11–13].

Since duality exchanges roles of $\mathcal{G}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$, we may understand it in terms of Drinfel'd double as a switch between decompositions $(\mathcal{G}|\tilde{\mathcal{G}})$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}|\mathcal{G})$ of $\mathcal{D}$. The authors of [8] mention the fact that a Drinfel'd double $\mathcal{D}$ can have other decompositions $(\mathcal{K}|\tilde{\mathcal{K}})$, $(\tilde{\mathcal{K}}|\mathcal{K})$, ... beside $(\mathcal{G}|\tilde{\mathcal{G}})$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}|\mathcal{G})$. All these decompositions can be used to construct mutually related sigma models. The transformation of the initial model constructed by decomposition $(\mathcal{G}|\tilde{\mathcal{G}})$ to a model constructed by $(\mathcal{K}|\tilde{\mathcal{K}})$ was later denoted Poisson-Lie T-plurality [14]. Examples of sigma models related by Poisson-Lie T-plurality were studied e.g. in [14, 15], and decompositions of low-dimensional Drinfel'd doubles were classified in [16–18].

The goal of this paper is to show, using simple examples, that sigma models related by Poisson-Lie T-duality/plurality are given not only by the algebraic structure of decompositions of Lie algebra of the Drinfel'd double into Manin triples, but also by the particular embedding of Manin triples, i.e. maps that relate bases in various decompositions. For this purpose we shall consider the simplest possible case of Drinfel'd double accomodating plurality, i.e. a four-dimensional semi-Abelian Drinfel'd doubles.

After summarizing Poisson-Lie T-plurality in section 2 we identify transformations that yield equivalent sigma model backgrounds. In section 3 we develop examples of dual/plural models whose geometric properties depend on the choice of matrices transforming bases of Manin triples, and, in section 4, we show that nonequivalent models can be obtained even if we do not change the Manin triple at all. We study this “Poisson-Lie T-identity” further in section 5 trying to identify what the “canonical” duality/plurality should be.

2 Poisson-Lie T-plurality of sigma models

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be n -dimensional (pseudo-)Riemannian target manifold and consider sigma model on $\mathcal{M}$ given by Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_- \phi^\mu \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(\phi) \partial_+ \phi^\nu, \quad \phi^\mu = \phi^\mu(x_+, x_-), \quad \mu = 1, \dots, n \quad (2.1)$$

where tensor $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G} + \mathcal{B}$ defines metric and torsion potential of the target manifold. Assume that there is a d -dimensional Lie group $\mathcal{G}$ with free action on $\mathcal{M}$ that leaves the tensor invariant. The action of $\mathcal{G}$ is transitive on its orbits, hence we may locally consider $\mathcal{M} \approx (\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{G}) \times \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{G}$, and introduce adapted coordinates

$$x^\mu = \{s_\delta, g_a\}, \quad \delta = 1, \dots, n-d, \quad a = 1, \dots, d \quad (2.2)$$

where s_δ label the orbits of $\mathcal{G}$ and are treated as spectators and g_a are group coordinates [19, 20]. Dualizable sigma model on $\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{G}$ is given by tensor field $\mathcal{F}$ defined by $n \times n$ matrix $E(s)$ as

$$\mathcal{F}(s, g) = \mathcal{E}(g) \cdot E(s) \cdot \mathcal{E}^T(g), \quad \mathcal{E}(g) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & e(g) \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.3)$$

where $e(g)$ is $d \times d$ matrix of components of right-invariant Maurer-Cartan form $(dg)g^{-1}$ on $\mathcal{G}$.

Using non-Abelian T-duality one can find dual sigma model on $\mathcal{N} \times \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is Abelian subgroup of semi-Abelian Drinfel'd double $\mathcal{D}$ that splits into subgroups $\mathcal{G}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$. The necessary formulas will be given in the following subsection as a special case of Poisson-Lie T-plurality. In papers [21–23], non-Abelian T-duals of sigma model in flat torsionless four-dimensional background were constructed. The groups $\mathcal{G}$ were then subgroups of the Poincaré group [24].

2.1 Poisson-Lie T-plurality with spectators

For certain Drinfel'd doubles several decompositions may exist. Suppose that $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{G}|\tilde{\mathcal{G}})$ splits into another pair of subgroups $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$. Then we can apply the full framework of Poisson-Lie T-plurality [8, 14] and find sigma model on $\mathcal{N} \times \hat{\mathcal{G}}$.

The $2d$ -dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{d}$ of the Drinfel'd double $\mathcal{D}$ is equipped with an ad-invariant non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Let $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\mathfrak{d} = \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ be two decompositions (Manin triples $(\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $(\mathfrak{d}, \hat{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{g}})$) of $\mathfrak{d}$ into subalgebras that are maximally isotropic with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. The pairs of mutually dual bases $T_a \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\tilde{T}^a \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\hat{T}_a \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, $\bar{T}^a \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}$, $a = 1, \dots, d$, satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_a, T_b \rangle &= 0, & \langle \tilde{T}^a, \tilde{T}^b \rangle &= 0, & \langle T_a, \tilde{T}^b \rangle &= \delta_a^b, \\ \langle \hat{T}_a, \hat{T}_b \rangle &= 0, & \langle \bar{T}^a, \bar{T}^b \rangle &= 0, & \langle \hat{T}_a, \bar{T}^b \rangle &= \delta_a^b \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

are related by transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{T} \\ \bar{T} \end{pmatrix} = C \cdot \begin{pmatrix} T \\ \tilde{T} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.5)$$

where C is an invertible $2d \times 2d$ matrix. Due to ad-invariance of the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the algebraic structure of $\mathfrak{d}$ is given both by

$$[T_i, T_j] = f_{ij}^k T_k, \quad [\tilde{T}^i, \tilde{T}^j] = \tilde{f}^{ij}_k \tilde{T}^k, \quad [T_i, \tilde{T}^j] = f_{ki}^j \tilde{T}^k + \tilde{f}_i^{jk} T_k \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$[\hat{T}_i, \hat{T}_j] = \hat{f}_{ij}^k \hat{T}_k, \quad [\bar{T}^i, \bar{T}^j] = \bar{f}^{ij}_k \bar{T}^k, \quad [\hat{T}_i, \bar{T}^j] = \hat{f}_{ki}^j \bar{T}^k + \bar{f}_i^{jk} \hat{T}_k. \quad (2.7)$$

Given the structure constants F_{ij}^k of $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\hat{F}_{ij}^k$ of $\mathfrak{d} = \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{g}}$, the matrix C has to satisfy equation¹

$$C_a^p C_b^q F_{pq}^r = \hat{F}_{ab}^c C_c^r.$$

To preserve the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and thus (2.4), C also has to satisfy

$$C_a^p C_b^q B_{pq} = B_{ab}$$

where B_{ab} are components of matrix B that can be written in block form as

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_d & \mathbf{1}_d \\ \mathbf{1}_d & \mathbf{0}_d \end{pmatrix}.$$

¹Conditions on C 's are more restrictive than those for “NATD group” investigated in [25] (we are grateful to D. Osten for bringing our attention to this paper) but as said in the Introduction, our main goal is to present dependence of geometrical properties of the Poisson-Lie plural sigma models on matrices C .

In other words, C is an element of $O(d, d)$ but, unlike the case of Abelian T-duality, not every element of $O(d, d)$ is allowed in (2.5).

For the following formulas it will be convenient to introduce $d \times d$ matrices P, Q, R, S as

$$\begin{pmatrix} T \\ \bar{T} \end{pmatrix} = C^{-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \hat{T} \\ \bar{\hat{T}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ R & S \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \hat{T} \\ \bar{\hat{T}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.8)$$

To accommodate the spectator fields we have to extend these to $n \times n$ matrices

$$\mathcal{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & P \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Q \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{R} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{S} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is also advantageous to introduce block form of $E(s)$ as

$$E(s) = \begin{pmatrix} E_{\alpha\beta}(s) & E_{ab}(s) \\ E_{a\beta}(s) & E_{ab}(s) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n-d, \quad a, b = 1, \dots, d.$$

The sigma model on $\mathcal{N} \times \hat{\mathcal{G}}$ related to (2.3) via Poisson-Lie T-plurality is given by tensor $\hat{\mathcal{F}}(s, \hat{g})$ that is calculated as

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}(s, \hat{g}) = \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\hat{g}) \cdot \hat{E}(s, \hat{g}) \cdot \hat{\mathcal{E}}^T(\hat{g}), \quad \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\hat{g}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{e}(\hat{g}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\hat{E}(s, \hat{g}) = (\mathbf{1} + \hat{E}(s) \cdot \hat{\Pi}(\hat{g}))^{-1} \cdot \hat{E}(s), \quad \hat{\Pi}(\hat{g}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{b}(\hat{g}) \cdot \hat{a}^{-1}(\hat{g}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.10)$$

matrices $\hat{b}(\hat{g})$ and $\hat{a}(\hat{g})$ are submatrices of the adjoint representation

$$ad_{\hat{g}^{-1}}(\hat{T}) = \hat{b}(\hat{g}) \cdot \bar{T} + \hat{a}^{-1}(\hat{g}) \cdot \hat{T}, \quad (2.11)$$

and the matrix $\hat{E}(s)$ is obtained by formula

$$\hat{E}(s) = (\mathcal{P} + E(s) \cdot \mathcal{R})^{-1} \cdot (\mathcal{Q} + E(s) \cdot \mathcal{S}). \quad (2.12)$$

Therefore, it is necessary that

$$\det(\mathcal{P} + E(s) \cdot \mathcal{R}) = \det(P + E_{ab}(s) \cdot R) \neq 0. \quad (2.13)$$

These formulas reduce to formulas for Poisson-Lie T-duality if we choose $P = S = \mathbf{0}_d$ and $Q = R = \mathbf{1}_d$. Furthermore, for a semi-Abelian Drinfel'd double the well-known Buscher rules for non-Abelian T-duality are restored. If there are no spectators, i.e. if $n = d$, the plurality is called atomic.

2.2 Equivalence of transformation matrices

Tensors $\mathcal{F}, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ are expressed by formulas (2.3) and (2.9) in particular bases of subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}, \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ of Manin triples $(\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{g}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $(\mathfrak{d}, \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, \widetilde{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}})$. However, both initial and dual/plural tensor do not depend on the choice of bases in $\mathfrak{g}$ or $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Their geometric properties are thus independent as well.

Let us consider automorphisms of both Manin triples given by linear transformations of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ that preserve their algebraic structure. Let A and B be $d \times d$ matrices that transform bases T_a and $\widehat{T}_a$. Transformations (2.5) of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} T' \\ \widetilde{T}' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A^{-T} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} T \\ \widetilde{T} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{T}' \\ \widetilde{\widehat{T}}' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & B^{-T} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{T} \\ \widetilde{\widehat{T}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.14)$$

then preserve the algebraic structure (2.6), (2.7) and duality (2.4) of bases $(T, \widetilde{T})$ and $(\widehat{T}, \widetilde{\widehat{T}})$.

Transformations (2.14) induce changes in matrices $E(s)$ and $\widehat{E}(s)$ that are used in construction of background tensors. We have

$$E'(s) = \mathcal{A} \cdot E(s) \cdot \mathcal{A}^T, \quad \widehat{E}'(s) = \mathcal{B} \cdot \widehat{E}(s) \cdot \mathcal{B}^T$$

where

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{B} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}.$$

If the relation of bases in Manin triples is written as in (2.8), then it is easy to check that

$$\begin{pmatrix} T' \\ \widetilde{T}' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P' & Q' \\ R' & S' \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{T}' \\ \widetilde{\widehat{T}}' \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.15)$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} P' & Q' \\ R' & S' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} APB^{-1} & AQB^T \\ A^{-T}RB^{-1} & A^{-T}SB^T \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.16)$$

Therefore, matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ R & S \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} P' & Q' \\ R' & S' \end{pmatrix}$$

define transformations between sigma model backgrounds $\mathcal{F}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ in various coordinates. That's why, from the perspective of Poisson-Lie T-plurality, they can be considered equivalent.

3 Sigma models with two-dimensional target space

In this section we shall consider atomic Poisson-Lie T-plurality of sigma models whose target space is a two-dimensional solvable Lie group $\mathcal{G}$ with generators T_1, T_2 satisfying

$$[T_1, T_2] = T_2. \quad (3.1)$$

The trace f_{ik}^k of the structure constants is not zero since $f_{12}^2 = 1$ and it is known that this leads to mixed gauge and gravitational anomaly [26] in the dual model. Yet, it is worth considering such groups in the context of integrable models [27] and generalized supergravity [28]. We parametrize the elements $g \in \mathcal{G}$ as $g = e^{g_1 T_1} e^{g_2 T_2}$. Since there are no spectators, the matrix $E(s)$ is constant. Choosing it in the form

$$E(s) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we find that the background tensor $\mathcal{F}(g_1, g_2)$ calculated according to the formula (2.3) is given by

$$\mathcal{F}(g_1, g_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{g_1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{g_1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & e^{g_1} \beta \\ e^{g_1} \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.2)$$

One can verify that $\mathcal{F}$ is invariant with respect to $\mathcal{G}$ and its symmetric part $\mathcal{G}$ is flat metric. Since the target manifold is two-dimensional, the torsion $H = d\mathcal{B}$ of all the backgrounds discussed in this section vanishes. Therefore, the $\mathcal{B}$ -field can be eliminated by a gauge transformation and the only relevant part of $\mathcal{F}$ is the metric $\mathcal{G}$.

3.1 Poisson-Lie T-plurality

In order to find Poisson-Lie T-dual or plural models associated to (3.2), we embed $\mathcal{G}$ into four-dimensional Drinfel'd double $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{G}|\tilde{\mathcal{G}})$ with $\mathcal{G}$ Abelian. The algebraic structure of four-dimensional Drinfel'd doubles was studied in [17], where it was shown that for such Drinfel'd double there are two nonequivalent Manin triples:

- Semi-Abelian triple $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ with dual basis $(T_1, T_2, \tilde{T}^1, \tilde{T}^2)$ and Lie brackets (only nontrivial brackets are displayed)

$$[T_1, T_2] = T_2, \quad [T_1, \tilde{T}^2] = -\tilde{T}^2, \quad [T_2, \tilde{T}^2] = \tilde{T}^1, \quad (3.3)$$

or

- Type B non-Abelian triple $\mathfrak{d} = \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ with dual basis $(\hat{T}_1, \hat{T}_2, \bar{T}^1, \bar{T}^2)$ and Lie brackets

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{T}_1, \hat{T}_2] &= \hat{T}_2, & [\bar{T}^1, \bar{T}^2] &= \bar{T}^1, \\ [\hat{T}_1, \bar{T}^1] &= \hat{T}_2, & [\hat{T}_1, \bar{T}^2] &= -\hat{T}_1 - \bar{T}^2, & [\hat{T}_2, \bar{T}^2] &= \bar{T}^1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Map relating both bases

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{T}_1 &= -T_1 + T_2, & \hat{T}_2 &= \tilde{T}^1 + \tilde{T}^2, \\ \bar{T}^1 &= \tilde{T}^2, & \bar{T}^2 &= T_1 \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

mentioned in the paper [17] preserves (2.4) and transforms Lie brackets (3.3) into (3.4). However, there are two different classes of linear maps (2.5) given by matrices

$$C_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & b_2 & b_2 b_3 & b_3 \\ 0 & 0 & b_1 b_2 & b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & b_1 b_2 - 1 & b_1 \\ 1 & \frac{1}{b_1} - b_2 & \left(\frac{1}{b_1} - b_2\right) b_3 - b_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \mathbb{R}, b_1 \neq 0 \quad (3.6)$$

or

$$C_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b_2 & -b_2 b_3 & b_3 \\ 0 & b_1 & -b_1 b_3 & 0 \\ 0 & b_1 & 1 - b_1 b_3 & 0 \\ -1 & -b_2 & \frac{b_2(b_1 b_3 - 1)}{b_1} & \frac{1}{b_1} - b_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \mathbb{R}, b_1 \neq 0 \quad (3.7)$$

that do the same and that define much richer set of decompositions.² Note that $\det C_1 = -1$ and $\det C_2 = 1$. We will show that using these two maps to generate models “plural” to (2.1) with $\mathcal{F}$ given by (3.2) one gets substantially different models.

The first one, obtained from (2.9)–(2.12) and (3.6), is given by background³

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}(\hat{g}_1, \hat{g}_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{e^{-\hat{g}_1}(b_3 + \beta)(b_3 - \gamma)}{b_1(\beta + \gamma + b_1 e^{\hat{g}_1}(\alpha - b_2(\beta + \gamma)))} & -\frac{-b_3 - \beta - b_1 e^{\hat{g}_1}(\alpha - b_2(\beta + \gamma))}{\beta + \gamma + b_1 e^{\hat{g}_1}(\alpha - b_2(\beta + \gamma))} \\ \frac{b_3 - \gamma - b_1 e^{\hat{g}_1}(\alpha - b_2(\beta + \gamma))}{\beta + \gamma + b_1 e^{\hat{g}_1}(\alpha - b_2(\beta + \gamma))} & \frac{b_1 e^{\hat{g}_1}}{\beta + \gamma + b_1 e^{\hat{g}_1}(\alpha - b_2(\beta + \gamma))} \end{pmatrix}$$

with *nonzero* ($b_1 \neq 0$) *scalar curvature*

$$\hat{R} = -\frac{4b_1 e^{\hat{g}_1}}{\beta + b_1 e^{\hat{g}_1}(\alpha - b_2(\beta + \gamma)) + \gamma}. \quad (3.8)$$

The other one, obtained using (3.7), is given by

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}(\hat{g}_1, \hat{g}_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha + b_2(\beta + \gamma)}{(b_1 e^{\hat{g}_1}(b_3 - \beta) - 1)(b_1 e^{\hat{g}_1}(b_3 + \gamma) - 1)} & \frac{b_1 e^{\hat{g}_1}(b_3 - \beta)}{b_1 e^{\hat{g}_1}(b_3 - \beta) - 1} \\ -\frac{b_1 e^{\hat{g}_1}(b_3 + \gamma)}{b_1 e^{\hat{g}_1}(b_3 + \gamma) - 1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is a background with *flat* metric, so indeed, *using Poisson-Lie T-plurality with two different maps (3.6) and (3.7) we get two different sigma models*. This essential difference of curvature properties of the backgrounds remains true for any choice of b_1, b_2, b_3 .

Similar results are obtained if we consider plural sigma models on $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$. In that case we use transformations between bases of semi-Abelian Manin triple and a “dual” to type-B Manin triple, i.e. the matrices (3.6) and (3.7) are multiplied from the left by the exchange matrix

$$D_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.9)$$

²One can simplify these matrices by (2.14), (2.16) and choose e.g. $b_1 = 1$, $b_2 = b_3 = 0$ for C_1 and $b_2 = 0$ for C_2 . To get the map (3.5) one has to choose $b_1 = b_2 = 1$, $b_3 = 0$.

³We assume that elements of $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ are parametrized as $\hat{g} = e^{\hat{g}_1} \hat{T}_1 e^{\hat{g}_2} \hat{T}_2$.

3.2 Poisson-Lie T-duality

Maybe surprisingly, we observe the same phenomenon for Poisson-Lie T-duality as well. Dual sigma models can be obtained by exchange of Manin triples $(\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $(\mathfrak{d}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{g})$ mediated by the matrix (3.9). On the other hand, there are more general maps between bases of the semi-Abelian Manin triple and its dual. Linear maps on $\mathfrak{d}$ that switch the roles of T_a and $\tilde{T}^a$ in (3.3) and meanwhile preserve the duality of the bases (2.4) are given by automorphisms (2.5) where the matrix C is either

$$D_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{b_2}{b_1} & \frac{1}{b_1} \\ 1 & b_2 & -b_2 b_3 & b_3 \\ 0 & b_1 & -b_1 b_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \mathbb{R}, b_1 \neq 0 \quad (3.10)$$

or

$$D_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & b_1 & b_1 b_3 & 0 \\ -1 & b_2 & b_2 b_3 & b_3 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{b_2}{b_1} & \frac{1}{b_1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \mathbb{R}, b_1 \neq 0 \quad (3.11)$$

with determinants of D_1 and D_2 equal to ± 1 . Note that D_1 is equal to the exchange matrix (3.9) for $b_1 = 1, b_2 = b_3 = 0$. Inserting each of these matrices into (2.9)–(2.12) one gets again two different “dual” models.

The first model, obtained using (3.10) and parametrization $\tilde{g} = e^{\tilde{g}_1 \tilde{T}^1} e^{\tilde{g}_2 \tilde{T}^2}$, has *flat* background

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{\frac{b_1(b_3+\gamma)+\tilde{g}_2}{\alpha+b_2(\beta+\gamma)}} \\ \frac{1}{b_1(\beta-b_3)-\tilde{g}_2} & \frac{1}{(b_1(b_3-\beta)+\tilde{g}_2)(b_1(b_3+\gamma)+\tilde{g}_2)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.12)$$

while background obtained using (3.11) is given by tensor

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\frac{\alpha-(\beta+\gamma)(b_2+b_1\tilde{g}_2)}{b_1(b_3-\gamma)}} & \frac{b_1(b_3+\beta)}{\frac{(\beta+\gamma)(b_2+b_1\tilde{g}_2)-\alpha}{b_1^2(b_3+\beta)(b_3-\gamma)}} \\ \frac{b_1(b_3-\gamma)}{(\beta+\gamma)(b_2+b_1\tilde{g}_2)-\alpha} & -\frac{b_1^2(b_3+\beta)(b_3-\gamma)}{(\beta+\gamma)(b_2+b_1\tilde{g}_2)-\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.13)$$

with nonzero scalar curvature

$$\tilde{R} = \frac{4}{(\beta+\gamma)(b_1\tilde{g}_2+b_2)-\alpha}. \quad (3.14)$$

One can see that once more we get two different Poisson-Lie T-dual sigma models no matter what the parameters b_1, b_2 and b_3 are.

It is well known that (non-)Abelian T-duality is induced by matrix D_0 that is a special case of D_1 . In fact, dualizing $\mathcal{F}$ using D_0 we get tensor

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}'(\tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{\frac{\gamma+\tilde{g}_2}{\alpha}} \\ \frac{1}{\beta-\tilde{g}_2} & -\frac{\alpha}{(\beta-\tilde{g}_2)(\gamma+\tilde{g}_2)} \end{pmatrix}$$

that can be brought to the form (3.12) by coordinate transformation

$$\tilde{g}_1 = \tilde{g}'_1 - \frac{b_2 \tilde{g}'_2}{b_1}, \quad \tilde{g}_2 = \frac{\tilde{g}'_2}{b_1} + b_3.$$

Alternatively, it can be obtained by gauging the (non-)Abelian isometry and introduction of Abelian Lagrange multipliers. We shall investigate whether the duality induced by matrix D_2 can be obtained in a similar way.

Matrix D_2 can be transformed by automorphisms (2.14), (2.16) to the form

$$D'_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.15)$$

Up to the change of sign necessary for being an automorphism of semi-Abelian Manin triple, matrix D'_2 acts by switching $T_1 \leftrightarrow \tilde{T}_1$. One may suspect that this can actually be Buscher duality with respect to one-dimensional subgroup⁴ of isometry group $\mathcal{G}$. This subgroup is generated by left-invariant vector field $V_1 = \partial_{g_1} - g_2 \partial_{g_2}$ that together with $V_2 = \partial_{g_2}$ satisfies (3.1).

To check our suspicion we have to find adapted coordinates $\{s_1, h_1\}$ such that V_1 becomes $V'_1 = \partial_{h_1}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ becomes independent of h_1 . Suitable transformation of coordinates is given by

$$g_1 = h_1, \quad g_2 = s_1 e^{-h_1}. \quad (3.16)$$

Tensor (3.2) is then transformed to the form

$$\mathcal{F}'(s_1, h_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma \\ \beta & \alpha - (\beta + \gamma)s_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.17)$$

Treating s_1 as spectator we may dualize $\mathcal{F}'$ with respect to h_1 . Buscher rules that follow from (2.9)–(2.12) give tensor

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(s_1, \tilde{h}_1) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\beta\gamma}{(\beta+\gamma)s_1-\alpha} & \frac{\gamma}{(\beta+\gamma)s_1-\alpha} \\ \frac{\beta}{\alpha-(\beta+\gamma)s_1} & \frac{1}{\alpha-(\beta+\gamma)s_1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.18)$$

and subsequent change of coordinates

$$s_1 = b_1 \tilde{g}_2 + b_2, \quad h_1 = b_1 b_3 \tilde{g}_2 - \tilde{g}_1$$

restores (3.13).

Alternative formulation of duality given by matrix (3.15) follows from gauge invariant parent action

$$\begin{aligned} S[h_1, s_1, A_-, A_+, \tilde{h}_1] = & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int D_- h_1 (\alpha - s_1(\beta + \gamma)) D_+ h_1 + \\ & + D_- h_1 \beta \partial_+ s_1 + \partial_- s_1 \gamma D_+ h_1 + \tilde{h}_1 (\partial_- A_+ - \partial_+ A_-) \end{aligned}$$

⁴Dualities of this form are called factorised in [25].

where

$$D_{\pm}h_1 = \partial_{\pm}h_1 + A_{\pm}h_1. \quad (3.19)$$

Integrating out gauge fields A_+ and A_- we obtain sigma model with background tensor (3.18) that can be brought to the form (3.13) by coordinate transformation.

One may also ask why Buscher duality with respect to V_2 is not included in (3.10) or (3.11). The reason is that change of bases

$$T'_1 = T_1, \quad T'_2 = \pm \tilde{T}_2, \quad \tilde{T}'_1 = \tilde{T}_1, \quad \tilde{T}'_2 = \pm T_2 \quad (3.20)$$

is not an automorphism of the Manin triple given by (3.1) and $[\tilde{T}_1, \tilde{T}_2] = 0$.

4 Sigma models with four-dimensional target space

One can also ask if there are some “Poisson-Lie identities” preserving the structure of semi-Abelian Manin triple, i.e. Poisson-Lie T-pluralities generated by automorphisms of $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ that preserve both Lie brackets (3.3) and duality of the basis (2.4). The answer is positive, and the mappings can have two possible forms given by matrices

$$I_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b_2 & -b_2b_3 & b_3 \\ 0 & b_1 & -b_1b_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{b_2}{b_1} & \frac{1}{b_1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \mathbb{R}, b_1 \neq 0 \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & b_2 & b_2b_3 & b_3 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{b_2}{b_1} & \frac{1}{b_1} \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & b_1 & b_1b_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \mathbb{R}, b_1 \neq 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Using (4.1) in atomic Poisson-Lie T-plurality transformation of the model given by (3.2) we get a sigma model in flat background. The example, however, is not particularly illuminating since the condition (2.13) is not satisfied for (4.2) and plural background cannot be calculated. For further investigation we focus on sigma models in four-dimensional target space and introduce spectator fields.

In the papers [22, 23] Poisson-Lie T-duality with spectators was used to study non-Abelian T-duals of sigma models in flat Minkowski space. Given the metric $\eta = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$ in coordinates $\{t, x, y, z\}$, we consider Killing vectors

$$T_1 := K_3 = z\partial_t + t\partial_z, \quad T_2 := L_2 + K_1 = x\partial_t + (t+z)\partial_x - x\partial_z \quad (4.3)$$

satisfying $[T_1, T_2] = T_2$. These vectors generate a solvable two-dimensional group $\mathcal{G}$ of symmetries of the background η . The coordinates $\{s_1, s_2, g_1, g_2\}$ given by⁵

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \frac{1}{2} e^{-g_1} \sqrt{|s_1|} \left(\text{sgn}(s_1) + e^{2g_1} (g_2^2 + 1) \right), \\ x &= -e^{g_1} g_2 \sqrt{|s_1|}, \\ y &= s_2, \\ z &= -\frac{1}{2} e^{-g_1} \sqrt{|s_1|} \left(\text{sgn}(s_1) + e^{2g_1} (g_2^2 - 1) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

are adapted to the action of $\mathcal{G}$. After the transformation of coordinates (4.4) the flat metric acquires the simple form

$$\mathcal{F}(s_1, s_2, g_1, g_2) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{4s_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{2g_1} s_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.5)$$

from which one gets $E(s)$ by setting $g_1 = 0$. The group $\mathcal{G}$ can be embedded into semi-Abelian Drinfel'd double with algebraic structure (3.3) allowing to find dual/plural backgrounds.⁶

Inserting matrices (4.1) and (4.2) into (2.9)–(2.12) one gets two different sigma models on $\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{G}$ related to the original model in background (4.5) by Poisson-Lie T-plurality. The first, obtained using (4.1), is given by background

$$\mathcal{F}(s_1, s_2, g_1, g_2) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{4s_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (b_2^2 + 1) s_1 & b_1 e^{g_1} (b_2 s_1 - b_3) \\ 0 & 0 & b_1 e^{g_1} (b_3 + b_2 s_1) & b_1^2 e^{2g_1} s_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.6)$$

that is *flat* and *torsionless* for any values⁷ of b_1, b_2, b_3 . The second background, obtained using (4.2), is given by tensor

$$\mathcal{F}(s_1, s_2, g_1, g_2) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{4s_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{b_3^2}{s_1} + s_1 & \frac{e^{g_1} (b_3 - b_2 s_1)}{b_1 s_1} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{e^{g_1} (b_3 + b_2 s_1)}{b_1 s_1} & \frac{e^{2g_1}}{b_1^2 s_1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.7)$$

⁵The action of $\mathcal{G}$ is not free and transitive for $t + z = 0$, i.e. for $s_1 = 0$. We have to restrict our calculations to coordinate patches with $s_1 \neq 0$.

⁶For further details concerning the process of finding the adapted coordinates see [22] where this particular case was denoted $S_{2,20}$.

⁷Note that (4.1) reduces to identity matrix for $b_1 = 1, b_2 = b_3 = 0$. Consequently, (4.6) reduces to (4.5).

Similarly to the previous case, the torsion vanishes. However, the symmetric part of (4.7), i.e. the metric, has vanishing scalar curvature but *nontrivial Ricci tensor*. Using transformation of coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= \frac{3u^4}{b_1^2} + \frac{6u^2 z_3}{b_1} - 2uv + z_3^2, & s_2 &= z_4, \\ g_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{3u^2}{b_1^2} + \frac{6z_3}{b_1} - \frac{2v}{u} + \frac{z_3^2}{u^2} \right), & g_2 &= \frac{b_1 b_3}{\sqrt{\frac{3u^2}{b_1^2} + \frac{6z_3}{b_1} + \frac{z_3^2 - 2uv}{u^2}}} - b_1 u z_3 - u^3 \end{aligned}$$

one can bring this background to a *pp-wave* in the Brinkmann form

$$ds^2 = 2 \frac{z_3^2}{u^2} du^2 + 2 du dv + dz_3^2 + dz_4^2 \quad (4.8)$$

identified in [22, 23] to be one of the gauged WZW models considered in [29, 30]. We see that we again get two different sigma models, this time produced by Poisson-Lie T-pluralities that do not change the Manin triple.

5 Poisson-Lie T-dualities and pluralities generated by Poisson-Lie identities

Comparing transformation matrices (3.10), (3.11) that generate Poisson-Lie T-dualities and (4.1), (4.2) that generate Poisson-Lie identities one may notice that they are related by canonical duality matrix (3.9) that exchanges generators T_a and $\tilde{T}^a$. Indeed, it is easy to check that

$$D_1 = D_0 \cdot I_1, \quad D_2 = D_0 \cdot I_2. \quad (5.1)$$

That means that all Poisson-Lie T-dualities described in subsection 3.2 can be obtained by canonical non-Abelian T-duality of sigma models generated by Poisson-Lie identities of the initial model. Writing (2.5) as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{T} \\ T \end{pmatrix} = D_0 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} T \\ \tilde{T} \end{pmatrix} = D_0 \cdot I \cdot \begin{pmatrix} T \\ \tilde{T} \end{pmatrix}$$

we verify that this holds not only for the four-dimensional semi-Abelian Drinfel'd doubles, but for general Drinfel'd double $(\mathcal{G}|\tilde{\mathcal{G}})$.

One can try to obtain similar relation for Poisson-Lie T-pluralities described in subsection 3.1. However, question then is what is the “canonical Poisson-Lie T-plurality”. Motivated by equations (5.1) we can define it for Manin triples $(\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $(\mathfrak{d}, \hat{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{g}})$ with (3.3) and (3.4) by

$$C_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.2)$$

i.e. by the matrix C_1 with $b_1 = 1, b_2 = b_3 = 0$. Relabeling $b_1 \rightarrow \frac{1}{b_1}, b_2 \rightarrow -b_2, b_3 \rightarrow -b_3$ in I_1 and $b_2 \rightarrow -b_2, b_3 \rightarrow -b_3$ in I_2 we then have

$$C_1 = C_0 \cdot I_1, \quad C_2 = C_0 \cdot I_2$$

and all Poisson-Lie T-pluralities from subsection 3.1 can be obtained by Poisson-Lie T-plurality of sigma models generated by (5.2) and Poisson-Lie identities of the initial model. Unfortunately, the choice (5.2) is by far not unique, and, as opposed to duality, we can hardly call it “canonical” Poisson-Lie T-plurality.

We have obtained similar results for Poisson-Lie T-pluralities of sigma models embedded in six-dimensional Drinfel’d doubles.

6 Conclusion

The examples presented in sections 3 and 4 prove that families of sigma models related by Poisson-Lie T-plurality may depend not only on the algebraic structure of Manin triple but also on the way how the given Manin triple is embedded in the Drinfel’d doubles.

This holds for the Poisson-Lie T-duality as well, so we may ask what should be considered as “true” T-duality. Is it only the procedure introduced in [1–3] that uses gauging of initial sigma model and is alternatively described in [8] as an exchange of dual bases T_a and $\tilde{T}^a$ of the isotropic subalgebras of Manin triple? Or can we admit any linear transformation of bases of the Drinfel’d double that give decompositions isomorphic to the Manin triple obtained by the exchange?

Possible answer to the question above follows from expressions (2.3) and (2.9) of both initial and dual/plural tensor. Namely, from these expressions we can see that tensors $\mathcal{F}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ depend both on algebraic structure of Manin triple and bilinear forms $E(s), \hat{E}(s)$. Poisson-Lie identity does not change the initial Manin triple (only its embedding in the Drinfel’d doubles) but changes the bilinear form $E(s)$ according to the formula (2.12). Subsequent canonical duality or plurality then changes the Manin triple and produce Poisson-Lie T-dual/plural tensor. Moreover, it turns out that non-canonical dualities may be hidden canonical dualities with respect to subgroups of the initial group of isometries.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] T.H. Buscher, *A symmetry of the String Background Field Equations*, *Phys. Lett. B* **194** (1987) 59 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] X.C. de la Ossa and F. Quevedo, *Duality symmetries from non-Abelian isometries in string theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **403** (1993) 377 [[hep-th/9210021](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] M. Roček and E.P. Verlinde, *Duality, quotients and currents*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **373** (1992) 630 [[hep-th/9110053](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [4] K. Sfetsos and D.C. Thompson, *On non-abelian T-dual geometries with Ramond fluxes*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **846** (2011) 21 [[arXiv:1012.1320](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] Y. Lozano, E. Ó Colgáin, K. Sfetsos and D.C. Thompson, *Non-abelian T-duality, Ramond Fields and Coset Geometries*, *JHEP* **06** (2011) 106 [[arXiv:1104.5196](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] G. Itsios, Y. Lozano, J. Montero and C. Núñez, *The AdS_5 non-Abelian T-dual of Klebanov-Witten as a $\mathcal{N} = 1$ linear quiver from M5-branes*, *JHEP* **09** (2017) 038 [[arXiv:1705.09661](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] G. Itsios, H. Nastase, C. Núñez, K. Sfetsos and S. Zacarías, *Penrose limits of Abelian and non-Abelian T-duals of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and their field theory duals*, *JHEP* **01** (2018) 071 [[arXiv:1711.09911](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] C. Klimčík and P. Ševera, *Dual non-Abelian duality and the Drinfeld double*, *Phys. Lett. B* **351** (1995) 455 [[hep-th/9502122](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] C. Klimčík, *Poisson-Lie T duality*, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **46** (1996) 116 [[hep-th/9509095](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] P. Bouwknegt, M. Bugden, C. Klimčík and K. Wright, *Hidden isometry of “T-duality without isometry”*, *JHEP* **08** (2017) 116 [[arXiv:1705.09254](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] R. Borsato and L. Wulff, *Integrable Deformations of T-Dual σ Models*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117** (2016) 251602 [[arXiv:1609.09834](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] R. Borsato and L. Wulff, *On non-abelian T-duality and deformations of supercoset string σ -models*, *JHEP* **10** (2017) 024 [[arXiv:1706.10169](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] B. Hoare and F.K. Seibold, *Poisson-Lie duals of the η deformed symmetric space σ -model*, *JHEP* **11** (2017) 014 [[arXiv:1709.01448](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [14] R. Von Unge, *Poisson Lie T plurality*, *JHEP* **07** (2002) 014 [[hep-th/0205245](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] L. Hlavatý and L. Šnobl, *Poisson-Lie T-plurality of three-dimensional conformally invariant σ -models. II. Nondiagonal metrics and dilaton puzzle*, *JHEP* **10** (2004) 045 [[hep-th/0408126](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] X. Gomez, *Classification of three-dimensional Lie bialgebras*, *J. Math. Phys.* **41** (2000) 4939.
- [17] L. Hlavatý and L. Šnobl, *Classification of Poisson-Lie T-dual models with two-dimensional targets*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **17** (2002) 429 [[hep-th/0110139](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] L. Šnobl and L. Hlavatý, *Classification of 6-dimensional manin triples*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **17** (2002) 4043 [[math.QA/0202209](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] L. Hlavatý, I. Petr and V. Štěpán, *Poisson-Lie T-plurality with spectators*, *J. Math. Phys.* **50** (2009) 043504.
- [20] L. Hlavatý and F. Petrásek, *On uniqueness of T-duality with spectators*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **31** (2016) 1650143 [[arXiv:1606.02522](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] L. Hlavatý and I. Petr, *Plane-parallel waves as duals of the flat background*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **32** (2015) 035005 [[arXiv:1406.0971](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] F. Petrásek, L. Hlavatý and I. Petr, *Plane-parallel waves as duals of the flat background II: T-duality with spectators*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **34** (2017) 155003 [[arXiv:1612.08015](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [23] L. Hlavatý, I. Petr and F. Petrášek, *Plane-parallel waves as duals of the flat background III: T-duality with torsionless B-field*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **35** (2018) 075012 [[arXiv:1711.08688](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [24] J. Patera, R.T. Sharp, P. Winternitz and H. Zassenhaus, *Subgroups of the Poincaré Group and their Invariants*, *J. Math. Phys.* **17** (1976) 977 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [25] D. Lüst and D. Osten, *Generalised fluxes, Yang-Baxter deformations and the $O(d,d)$ structure of non-abelian T-duality*, *JHEP* **05** (2018) 165 [[arXiv:1803.03971](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [26] E. Álvarez, L. Álvarez-Gaumé and Y. Lozano, *On nonAbelian duality*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **424** (1994) 155 [[hep-th/9403155v4](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] B. Hoare and A.A. Tseytlin, *Homogeneous Yang-Baxter deformations as non-abelian duals of the AdS_5 σ -model*, *J. Phys. A* **49** (2016) 494001 [[arXiv:1609.02550v3](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [28] M. Hong, Y. Kim and E. Ó Colgáin, *On non-Abelian T-duality for non-semisimple groups*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018) 1025 [[arXiv:1801.09567](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] A.A. Tseytlin, *Exact solutions of closed string theory*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **12** (1995) 2365 [[hep-th/9505052](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [30] A.A. Tseytlin, *Exact string solutions and duality*, in proceedings of the 2nd Journee Cosmologique within the framework of the International School of Astrophysics, D. Chalonge, Paris, France, 2–4 June 1994, pp. 371–398 [[hep-th/9407099](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].