Published for SISSA by 🖄 Springer

RECEIVED: December 16, 2014 ACCEPTED: March 2, 2015 PUBLISHED: March 25, 2015

Probe of anomalous quartic $WWZ\gamma$ couplings in photon-photon collisions

A. Senol^a and M. Köksal^b

E-mail: senol_a@ibu.edu.tr, mkoksal@cumhuriyet.edu.tr

ABSTRACT: In this paper, we examine the potentials of the processes $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow W^+W^-Z$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+\gamma^*\gamma^*e^- \rightarrow e^+W^+W^-Ze^-$ at the CLIC with $\sqrt{s} = 0.5$, 1.5 and 3 TeV to investigate anomalous quartic $WWZ\gamma$ couplings by two different CP-violating and CP-conserving effective Lagrangians. We find 95% confidence level sensitivities on the anomalous coupling parameters at the three CLIC energies and various integrated luminosities. The best sensitivities obtained from the process $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow W^+W^-Z$ on the anomalous $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$, $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings defined by CP-conserving effective Lagrangians are $[-1.73; 1.73] \times 10^{-7} \,\mathrm{GeV^{-2}}$, $[-2.44; 2.44] \times 10^{-7}$ and $[-1.89; 1.89] \times 10^{-7} \,\mathrm{GeV^{-2}}$, while $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ coupling determined by CP-violating effective Lagrangians is obtained as $[-1.74; 1.74] \times 10^{-7} \,\mathrm{GeV^{-2}}$. In addition, the best sensitivities derived on $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$, $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ from the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+\gamma^*\gamma^*e^- \rightarrow e^+W^+W^-Ze^-$ are obtained as $[-1.09; 1.09] \times 10^{-6} \,\mathrm{GeV^{-2}}$, $[-1.54; 1.54] \times 10^{-6} \,\mathrm{GeV^{-2}}$, $[-1.18; 1.18] \times 10^{-6}$ and $[-1.04; 1.04] \times 10^{-6} \,\mathrm{GeV^{-2}}$, respectively.

KEYWORDS: Electroweak interaction, Beyond Standard Model, e+-e- Experiments

ARXIV EPRINT: 1412.3917



^aDepartment of Physics, Abant Izzet Baysal University, 14280, Bolu, Turkey

^bDepartment of Optical Engineering, Cumhuriyet University, 58140, Sivas, Turkey

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Cross sections and numerical analysis	5
3	Conclusions	2 1
\mathbf{A}	The anomalous vertex functions derived from CP-violating and CP-con-	

1 Introduction

serving effective Lagrangians

Gauge boson self-couplings are completely defined by the non-abelian $SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge symmetry of the Standard Model (SM), thus direct search for these couplings are extremely significant in understanding the gauge structure of the SM. However, the possible deviation from the SM predictions of gauge boson self-couplings would be a sign for the presence of new physics beyond the SM. Probe of the new physics in a model independent way by means of the effective Lagrangian approach is often a common way. In this approach, anomalous quartic gauge boson couplings are described by means of high-dimensional effective operators and they do not cause anomalous trilinear gauge boson couplings. Therefore, anomalous quartic gauge boson couplings can be independently investigated from any trilinear gauge boson couplings.

In the literature, the anomalous quartic $WWZ\gamma$ couplings are usually investigated by two different dimension 6 effective Lagrangians that keep custodial $SU(2)_c$ symmetry and local U(1)_{QED} symmetry. The first is CP-violating effective Lagrangian. It is defined by [1]

$$L_n = \frac{i\pi\alpha}{4\Lambda^2} a_n \epsilon_{ijk} W^{(i)}_{\mu\alpha} W^{(j)}_{\nu} W^{(k)\alpha} F^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\tag{1.1}$$

where $F^{\mu\nu}$ is the tensor for electromagnetic field strength, $\alpha = \frac{e^2}{4\pi}$ is the fine structure constant, a_n is the dimensionless anomalous quartic coupling constant and Λ is represented the energy scale of new physics. The anomalous $WWZ\gamma$ vertex function obtained from effective Lagrangian in eq. (1.1) is given in appendix.

Secondly, we apply the formalism of ref. [2] to examine CP-conserving effective Lagrangian. As can be seen from eq. (5) in ref. [2], there are fourteen effective photonic operators related to the anomalous quartic gauge couplings. These operators are identified by fourteen independent couplings $k_{0,c}^{w,b,m}$, $k_{1,2,3}^{w,m}$ and $k_{1,2}^b$. However, the effective interactions in these operators can be expressed in terms of independent Lorentz structures.

 $\mathbf{22}$

For example, the $WW\gamma\gamma$ and $ZZ\gamma\gamma$ interactions can be parameterized in terms of four independent Lorentz structures,

$$W_0^{\gamma} = \frac{-e^2 g^2}{2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} W^{+\alpha} W_{\alpha}^{-}, \qquad (1.2)$$

$$W_{c}^{\gamma} = \frac{-e^{2}g^{2}}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\alpha}(W^{+\nu}W_{\alpha}^{-} + W^{-\nu}W_{\alpha}^{+}), \qquad (1.3)$$

$$Z_0^{\gamma} = \frac{-e^2 g^2}{4 \cos^2 \theta_W} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} Z^{\alpha} Z_{\alpha} , \qquad (1.4)$$

$$Z_{c}^{\gamma} = \frac{-e^{2}g^{2}}{4\cos^{2}\theta_{W}}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\alpha}Z^{\nu}Z_{\alpha}.$$
 (1.5)

Also, among them two are related to $ZZZ\gamma$ operators:

$$Z_0^Z = \frac{-e^2 g^2}{2\cos^2 \theta_W} F_{\mu\nu} Z^{\mu\nu} Z^{\alpha} Z_{\alpha} , \qquad (1.6)$$

$$Z_{c}^{Z} = \frac{-e^{2}g^{2}}{2\cos^{2}\theta_{W}}F_{\mu\nu}Z^{\mu\alpha}Z^{\nu}Z_{\alpha}.$$
 (1.7)

The remaining $WWZ\gamma$ interactions are given as follows

$$W_0^Z = -e^2 g^2 F_{\mu\nu} Z^{\mu\nu} W^{+\alpha} W_{\alpha}^{-}, \qquad (1.8)$$

$$W_c^Z = -\frac{e^2 g^2}{2} F_{\mu\nu} Z^{\mu\alpha} (W^{+\nu} W_{\alpha}^- + W^{-\nu} W_{\alpha}^+)$$
(1.9)

$$W_1^Z = -\frac{eg_z g^2}{2} F^{\mu\nu} (W_{\mu\nu}^+ W_\alpha^- Z^\alpha + W_{\mu\nu}^- W_\alpha^+ Z^\alpha)$$
(1.10)

$$W_2^Z = -\frac{eg_z g^2}{2} F^{\mu\nu} (W^+_{\mu\alpha} W^{-\alpha} Z_\nu + W^-_{\mu\alpha} W^{+\alpha} Z_\nu)$$
(1.11)

$$W_3^Z = -\frac{eg_z g^2}{2} F^{\mu\nu} (W^+_{\mu\alpha} W^-_{\nu} Z^{\alpha} + W^-_{\mu\alpha} W^+_{\nu} Z^{\alpha})$$
(1.12)

with $g = e/s_W$, $g_z = e/s_W c_W$ and $V_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}V_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}V_{\mu}$ where $s_W = \sin\theta_W$, $c_W = \cos\theta_W$ and $V = W^{\pm}, Z$. The anomalous vertex functions obtained through the CP-conserving anomalous $WWZ\gamma$ interactions in eqs. (1.8)–(1.12) are given in appendix.

Therefore, the fourteen effective photonic operators related to the anomalous quartic gauge couplings can be appropriately rewritten in terms of the above independent Lorentz structures

$$L = \frac{k_0^{\gamma}}{\Lambda^2} (Z_0^{\gamma} + W_0^{\gamma}) + \frac{k_c^{\gamma}}{\Lambda^2} (Z_c^{\gamma} + W_c^{\gamma}) + \frac{k_1^{\gamma}}{\Lambda^2} Z_0^{\gamma} + \frac{k_{23}^{\gamma}}{\Lambda^2} Z_c^{\gamma} + \frac{k_0^Z}{\Lambda^2} Z_0^Z + \frac{k_c^Z}{\Lambda^2} Z_c^Z + \sum_i \frac{k_i^W}{\Lambda^2} W_i^Z$$
(1.13)

where the coefficients that parametrise the strength of the anomalous quartic gauge couplings are expressed as

$$k_j^{\gamma} = k_j^w + k_j^b + k_j^m \quad (j = 0, c, 1)$$
(1.14)

$$k_{23}^{\gamma} = k_2^w + k_2^b + k_2^m + k_3^w + k_3^m \tag{1.15}$$

$$k_0^Z = \frac{c_W}{s_W} (k_0^w + k_1^w) - \frac{s_W}{c_W} (k_0^b + k_1^b) + c_{zw} (k_0^m + k_1^m), \qquad (1.16)$$

$$k_c^Z = \frac{c_W}{s_W} (k_c^w + k_2^w + k_3^w) - \frac{s_W}{c_W} (k_c^b + k_2^b) + c_{zw} (k_c^m + k_2^m + k_3^m), \qquad (1.17)$$

$$k_0^W = \frac{c_W}{s_W} k_0^w - \frac{s_W}{c_W} k_0^b + c_{zw} k_0^m, \qquad (1.18)$$

$$k_{c}^{W} = \frac{c_{W}}{s_{W}}k_{c}^{w} - \frac{s_{W}}{c_{W}}k_{c}^{b} + c_{zw}k_{c}^{m}, \qquad (1.19)$$

$$k_j^W = k_j^w + \frac{1}{2}k_j^m \quad (j = 1, 2, 3), \qquad (1.20)$$

where $c_{zw} = (c_W^2 - s_W^2)/(2c_W s_W)$.

For this study, we take care of the five coefficients k_i^W (i = 0, c, 1, 2, 3) defined in eqs. (1.18)–(1.20) corresponding to the $WWZ\gamma$ vertex. However, these parameters are correlated with those coupling constants that describe $WW\gamma\gamma$, $ZZ\gamma\gamma$ and $ZZZ\gamma$ couplings [2]. Thus, the anomalous $WWZ\gamma$ coupling should be dissociated from the other anomalous quartic couplings to obtain the only non-vanishing $WWZ\gamma$ vertex. For the non-vanishing of the only $WWZ\gamma$ vertex, we can apply additional restrictions on k_i^j parameters. One of the possible restrictions, proposed in [3], to verify this is to set $k_2^m = -k_3^m$ and other parameters $(k_{0,c}^{w,b,m}, k_{1,2,3}^w, k_1^m \text{ and } k_{1,2}^b)$ to zero. As a result of this choice, eq. (1.13) reduces to only non-vanishing $WWZ\gamma$ couplings as follows

$$L_{\rm eff} = \frac{k_2^m}{2\Lambda^2} (W_2^Z - W_3^Z) \,. \tag{1.21}$$

The current experimental sensitivities on a_n/Λ^2 parameter derived from CP-violating effective Lagrangian through the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-\gamma$ at the LEP are obtained by L3, OPAL and DELPHI collaborations. These are

L3:
$$-0.14 \,\mathrm{GeV}^{-2} < \frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2} < 0.13 \,\mathrm{GeV}^{-2},$$
 (1.22)

OPAL:
$$-0.16 \,\mathrm{GeV}^{-2} < \frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2} < 0.15 \,\mathrm{GeV}^{-2},$$
 (1.23)

DELPHI:
$$-0.18 \,\text{GeV}^{-2} < \frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2} < 0.14 \,\text{GeV}^{-2}$$
 (1.24)

at 95% confidence level [4–6].

Besides, the CERN LHC provides current experimental sensitivities on only $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings given in eqs. (1.18)–(1.19) which are related to the anomalous quartic $WWZ\gamma$ couplings within CP-conserving effective Lagrangians [7]. The results obtained for these couplings at 95% C.L. through the process $q\bar{q}' \to W(\to \ell\nu)Z(\to jj)\gamma$ at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with an integrated luminosity of 19.3 fb⁻¹ are given as follows

$$-1.2 \times 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{GeV}^{-2} < \frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2} < 1 \times 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{GeV}^{-2} \tag{1.25}$$

and

$$-1.8 \times 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{GeV}^{-2} < \frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2} < 1.7 \times 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{GeV}^{-2}.$$
(1.26)

There have been many studies for anomalous quartic $WWZ\gamma$ couplings at linear and hadron colliders. The linear e^+e^- colliders and their operating modes of $e\gamma$ and $\gamma\gamma$ have been investigated through the processes $e^+e^- \to W^+W^-Z$, $W^+W^-\gamma$ [3, 8–12], $e^+e^- \to e^+\gamma^*e^- \to e^+W^-Z\nu_e$ [13], $e\gamma \to W^+W^-e$, ν_eW^-Z [1, 14] and $\gamma\gamma \to W^+W^-Z$ [15, 16]. In addition, a detailed analysis of anomalous $WWZ\gamma$ couplings at the LHC have been studied via the processes $pp \to WZ\gamma$ [2, 17] and $pp \to p\gamma^*p \to pWZqX$ [18]. The photonic quartic $WW\gamma\gamma$ and $ZZ\gamma\gamma$ couplings are examined in photon-photon reactions, i.e. $pp \to$ $p\gamma^*\gamma^*p \to pW^+W^-p$ [19–21] for $WW\gamma\gamma$ couplings and $pp \to p\gamma^*\gamma^*p \to pZZp$ [20, 22].

The LHC is anticipated to answer some of the unsolved questions of particle physics. However, it may not provide high precision measurements due to the remnants remaining after the collision of the proton beams. A linear e^+e^- collider with high luminosity and energy is the best option to complement and to extend the LHC physics program. The CLIC is one of the most popular linear colliders, planned to carry out e^+e^- collisions at energies from 0.5 TeV to 3 TeV [23]. To have its high luminosity and energy is quite important with regards to new physics research beyond the SM. Since the anomalous quartic $WWZ\gamma$ couplings described through CP-violating and CP-conserving effective Lagrangians have dimension-6, they have very strong energy dependences. Thus, the anomalous cross section containing the $WWZ\gamma$ vertex has a higher energy than the SM cross section. In addition, the future linear collider will possibly generate a final state with three or more massive gauge bosons. Hence, it will have a great potential to examine anomalous quartic gauge boson couplings.

Another possibility expected for the linear colliders is to operate this machine as $\gamma\gamma$ and γe colliders. This can be performed by converting the incoming leptons into intense beams of high-energy photons [24, 25]. On the other hand, $\gamma^*\gamma^*$ and γ^*e processes at the linear colliders arise from quasi-real photon emitted from the incoming e^+ or e^- beams. Hence, $\gamma^*\gamma^*$ and γ^*e processes are more realistic than $\gamma\gamma$ and γe processes. The photons in these processes are defined by the Equivalent Photon Approximation (EPA) [26–30]. In the EPA, the quasi-real photons are scattered at very small angles from the beam pipe, so they have low virtuality. For this reason, they are supposed to be almost real. Moreover, the EPA has a lot of advantages: first, it provides the skill to reach crude numerical predictions via simple formulae. In addition, it may principally ease the experimental analysis because it enables one to achieve directly a rough cross section for $\gamma^*\gamma^* \to X$ process via the examination of the main process $e^+e^- \to e^+Xe^-$. Here, X represents objects produced in the final state. The production of high mass objects is specially interesting at the linear colliders. Furthermore, the production rate of massive objects is limited by the photon luminosity at high invariant mass.

In conclusion, these processes have a very clean experimental environment, since they have no interference with weak and strong interactions. Up to now, the photon-induced processes for the new physics searches were investigated through the EPA at the LEP, Tevatron, LHC and CLIC in literature [31–63].



Figure 1. Schematic diagram for the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+\gamma^*\gamma^*e^- \rightarrow e^+W^+W^-Ze^-$ at the CLIC.

2 Cross sections and numerical analysis

All numerical calculations in this study were evaluated using the computer package CalcHEP [64] by embedding the anomalous $WWZ\gamma$ interaction vertices defined through CP-violating (eq. (1.1)) and CP-conserving (eqs. (1.8)–(1.12)) effective operators. The total cross sections for two processes $\gamma\gamma \to W^+W^-Z$ and $e^+e^- \to e^+\gamma^*\gamma^*e^- \to e^+W^+W^-Ze^-$ in terms of k_i^W (i = 0, c) couplings can be given by

$$\sigma_{\rm tot} = \sigma_{\rm SM} + \sum_{i} \frac{k_i^W}{\Lambda^2} \sigma_{\rm int}^i + \sum_{i,j} \frac{k_i^W k_j^W}{\Lambda^4} \sigma_{\rm ano}^{ij} \,.$$
(2.1)

In addition, the total cross sections containing k_2^m couplings are obtained as follows

$$\sigma_{\rm tot} = \sigma_{\rm SM} + \frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2} \sigma_{\rm int} + \frac{(k_2^m)^2}{\Lambda^4} \sigma_{\rm ano} \,. \tag{2.2}$$

Finally, the total cross sections including a_n couplings can be written by

$$\sigma_{\rm tot} = \sigma_{\rm SM} + \frac{a_n^2}{\Lambda^4} \sigma_{\rm ano} \tag{2.3}$$

where $\sigma_{\rm SM}$ is the SM cross section, $\sigma_{\rm int}$ is the interference terms between SM and the anomalous contribution, and $\sigma_{\rm ano}$ is the pure anomalous contribution. The interference terms in total cross sections given in eqs. (2.1)–(2.2) related to CP-conserving effective Lagrangians are negligibly small compared to pure anomalous terms. Nevertheless, we took into account the effect of all interference term in the numerical calculations. However, the total cross section depends only on the quadratic function of a_n anomalous coupling defined by CP-violating effective Lagrangians, since anomalous coupling a_n does not interfere with the SM amplitude.

The quasi-real photons emitted from both lepton beams collide with each other, and the process $\gamma^*\gamma^* \to W^+W^-Z$ is generated. The process $\gamma^*\gamma^* \to W^+W^-Z$ participates as a subprocess in the main process $e^+e^- \to e^+\gamma^*\gamma^*e^- \to e^+W^+W^-Ze^-$. A schematic diagram representing the main process is given in figure 1. When calculating the total cross sections for this process, we used the equivalent photon spectrum described by the EPA which is embedded in CalcHEP. The total cross sections of the process $e^+e^- \to e^+\gamma^*\gamma^*e^- \to$ $e^+W^+W^-Ze^-$ as functions of anomalous $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$, $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$, $\frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 0.5$, 1.5 and 3 TeV are shown in figures 2–4, respectively. Dependence of the $e^+e^- \to e^+\gamma^*\gamma^*e^- \to e^+W^+W^-Ze^-$



Figure 2. The total cross sections as function of anomalous $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$, $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings for the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+\gamma^*\gamma^*e^- \rightarrow e^+W^+W^-Ze^-$ at the CLIC with $\sqrt{s} = 0.5$ TeV.



Figure 3. The same as figure 2 but for $\sqrt{s} = 1.5 \text{ TeV}$.

cross section on the anomalous $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings at the same three center-of-mass energies are given in figure 5. Here, we assume that only one of the anomalous couplings deviate from the SM at any given time. We can see from figures 2–4 that the deviation from SM of the anomalous cross sections including $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$ is larger than those of containing $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2}$. Hence, sensitivities on the coupling $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$ are expected to be more restrictive than the sensitivities on $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2}$.

The total cross section for the $\gamma \gamma \to W^+ W^- Z$ process has been calculated by using real photon spectrum produced by Compton backscattering of laser beam off the high energy electron beam. In figures 6–8, we plot the total cross section of the process $\gamma \gamma \to W^+ W^- Z$ as a function of anomalous couplings for $\sqrt{s} = 0.5, 1.5$ and 3 TeV energies. The total cross



Figure 4. The same as figure 2 but for $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV.



Figure 5. The total cross sections as function of anomalous $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ coupling for the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+\gamma^*\gamma^*e^- \rightarrow e^+W^+W^-Ze^-$ at the CLIC with $\sqrt{s} = 0.5$, 1.5 and 3 TeV.

section depending on the anomalous $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ of the process $\gamma \gamma \to W^+ W^- Z$ for the three center of mass energies are plotted in figure 9.

The kinematical distributions of final state particles can give further information about how we can separate among the different anomalous interactions. In this context, some distributions of the final state W and Z bosons are plotted for illustrative purposes using close to sensitivity of the anomalous couplings $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$, $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$, $\frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ in figures 10–21. We show the transverse momentum distributions of Z boson in the final states using $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$, $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$ anomalous couplings in figure 10 and using $\frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ anomalous couplings in figure 11 for the processes $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+\gamma^*\gamma^*e^- \rightarrow e^+W^+W^-Ze^-$ at $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV. Similarly, the transverse momentum distributions for the Z boson in the final states of the process $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow$



Figure 6. The total cross sections as function of anomalous $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$, $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings for the process $\gamma\gamma \to W^+W^-Z$ at the CLIC with $\sqrt{s} = 0.5$ TeV.



Figure 7. The same as figure 6 but for $\sqrt{s} = 1.5 \text{ TeV}$.

 W^+W^-Z are given in figures 12–13. From this figures, we can separately observe the deviation of new physics induced by nonzero anomalous quartic CP-conserving and CP-violating couplings apart from SM background which is apparent at high p_T region of the Z bosons in the final states. The momentum dependence of the anomalous cross sections including the $WWZ\gamma$ vertices is higher than that of SM background cross section which causes the apparent deviation at high p_T region.

We plot the rapidity distributions of the W^+ boson for two processes using the anomalous couplings $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$, $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$, $\frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ for $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV in figures 14–17. Figures 14–17 show that the rapidity distributions of the final state W^+ boson from the new physics signals and SM background are located generally in the range of $|\eta^W| < 2.5$. Furthermore, we



Figure 8. The same as figure 6 but for $\sqrt{s} = 3 \text{ TeV}$.



Figure 9. The total cross sections as function of anomalous $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ coupling for the process $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow W^+W^-Z$ at the CLIC with $\sqrt{s} = 0.5$, 1.5 and 3 TeV.

can easily discern the difference between positive and negative values of the coupling $\frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2}$. Especially, as can be seen from figure 15, the anomalous interactions for $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ coupling cause the production of more W^+ bosons in the central region.

In order to distinguish the different anomalous couplings with the SM, we illustrate the $\cos\theta^W$ distributions of W^+ for two processes where θ^W is polar angle of W^+ with respect to the beam pipe. We show the $\cos\theta^W$ distributions with the anomalous couplings $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$, $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$ and SM background in figure 18, using $\frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings in figure 19 for $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+\gamma^*\gamma^*e^- \rightarrow e^+W^+W^-Ze^-$ process at $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV. Similarly, the $\cos\theta^W$ distributions for the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow W^+W^-Z$ process are given in figures 20–21. We can observe from these



Figure 10. The transverse momentum distributions of Z boson in the final states using anomalous $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings for the processes $e^+e^- \to e^+\gamma^*\gamma^*e^- \to e^+W^+W^-Ze^-$ at $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV.



Figure 11. The transverse momentum distributions of Z boson in the final states using anomalous $\frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings for the processes $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+\gamma^*\gamma^*e^- \rightarrow e^+W^+W^-Ze^-$ at $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV.

distributions that the contributions of negative and positive values of $\frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2}$ can easily be distinguished in figures 19 and 21.

In order to probe the sensitivity to the anomalous quartic $WWZ\gamma$ couplings, we use one and two-dimensional χ^2 analysis:

$$\chi^{2} = \left(\frac{\sigma_{\rm SM} - \sigma_{\rm AN}\left(\frac{k_{0,c}^{W}}{\Lambda^{2}}, \frac{k_{2}^{m}}{\Lambda^{2}}, \frac{a_{n}}{\Lambda^{2}}\right)}{\sigma_{\rm SM}\delta_{\rm stat}}\right)^{2}$$
(2.4)



Figure 12. The transverse momentum distributions of Z boson in the final states using anomalous $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings for the processes $\gamma\gamma \to W^+W^-Z$ at $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV.



300 400 500 600 700 800 p^Z_T(GeV)

Figure 13. The transverse momentum distributions of Z boson in the final states using anomalous $\frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings for the processes $\gamma \gamma \to W^+ W^- Z$ at $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV.

where σ_{AN} is the cross section including new physics effects, $\delta_{\text{stat}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$ and N is the number of SM events. The number of events for the processes $\gamma\gamma \to W^+W^-Z$ and $e^+e^- \to e^+\gamma^*\gamma^*e^- \to e^+W^+W^-Ze^-$ are obtained by $N = L_{\text{int}} \times \sigma_{\text{SM}} \times \text{BR}(Z \to \ell\bar{\ell}) \times \text{BR}^2(W \to q\bar{q}')$ where L_{int} is the integrated luminosity. In addition, we assume that the the leptonic decay channel of Z boson with branching ratio is $\text{BR}(Z \to \ell\bar{\ell}) = 0.067$ and the hadronic decay channel of W boson with the branching ratio is $\text{BR}(W \to q\bar{q}') = 0.676$. In our calculations, one of the anomalous quartic couplings is assumed to deviate from their SM values (the otherwise) of M and M is the integrated luminosity.



Figure 14. The rapidity distributions of W^+ boson in the final states using anomalous $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings for the processes $e^+e^- \to e^+\gamma^*\gamma^*e^- \to e^+W^+W^-Ze^-$ at $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV.



Figure 15. The rapidity distributions of W^+ boson in the final states using anomalous $\frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings for the processes $e^+e^- \to e^+\gamma^*\gamma^*e^- \to e^+W^+W^-Ze^-$ at $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV.

ers fixed to zero) at the one-dimensional χ^2 analysis, while two anomalous quartic couplings $(\frac{k_{0,c}^W}{\Lambda^2})$ are assumed to deviate from their SM values at the two-dimensional χ^2 analysis. In this case, we take into account χ^2 value corresponding to the number of observable.

In tables 1–4, we show 95% C.L. sensitivities on the anomalous quartic couplings parameters $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$, $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$, and $\frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2}$, $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ for both two processes at $\sqrt{s} = 0.5$, 1.5 and 3 TeV energies. As can be seen in table 1, the process $\gamma\gamma \to W^+W^-Z$ improves the sensitivities of $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$



Figure 16. The rapidity distributions of W^+ boson in the final states using anomalous $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings for the processes $\gamma\gamma \to W^+W^-Z$ at $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV.



Figure 17. The rapidity distributions of W^+ boson in the final states using anomalous $\frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings for the processes $\gamma \gamma \to W^+ W^- Z$ at $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV.

and $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$ by up to a factor of 10^2 compared to the LHC [7]. The expected best sensitivities on $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ in table 2 are far beyond the sensitivities of the existing LEP. However, we compare our results with the sensitivities of ref. [13], in which the best sensitivities on $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$, $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$, $\frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings by examining the two processes $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^-W^+\gamma$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+\gamma^*e^- \rightarrow e^+W^-Z\nu_e$ at the 3 TeV CLIC are obtained. We observed that the sensitivities obtained on $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$ are at the same order with those reported in the ref. [13]



Figure 18. The angular distributions of W^+ boson in the final states using anomalous $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings for the processes $e^+e^- \to e^+\gamma^*\gamma^*e^- \to e^+W^+W^-Ze^-$ at $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV.



Figure 19. The angular distributions of W^+ boson in the final states using anomalous $\frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings for the processes $e^+e^- \to e^+\gamma^*\gamma^*e^- \to e^+W^+W^-Ze^-$ at $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV.

while sensitivities on $\frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ are 2 and 5 times better than the sensitivities calculated in ref. [13], respectively. Our sensitivities on $\frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2}$ can set more stringent sensitive by two orders of magnitude with respect to the best sensitivity derived from $WZ\gamma$ production at the LHC with $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV and the integrated luminosity of L = 200 fb⁻¹ [17].

The $\gamma^* \gamma^*$ collision of CLIC with $\sqrt{s} = 3 \text{ TeV}$ and $L_{\text{int}} = 590 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ investigates the CP-conserving and CP-violating anomalous $WWZ\gamma$ coupling with a far better than the experiments sensitivities. One can see from table 3 that the sensitivities on the



Figure 20. The angular distributions of W^+ boson in the final states using anomalous $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings for the processes $\gamma\gamma \to W^+W^-Z$ at $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV.



Figure 21. The angular distributions of W^+ boson in the final states using anomalous $\frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings for the processes $\gamma\gamma \to W^+W^-Z$ at $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV.

anomalous couplings $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$ are calculated as $[-1.09; 1.09] \times 10^{-6} \,\mathrm{GeV}^{-2}$ and $[-1.54; 1.54] \times 10^{-6} \,\mathrm{GeV}^{-2}$ which are an order of magnitude better than both $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings. As shown in table 4, the best sensitivities on $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ coupling through the process $e^+e^- \to e^+\gamma^*\gamma^*e^- \to e^+W^+W^-Ze^-$ are obtained as $[-1.04; 1.04] \times 10^{-6} \,\mathrm{GeV}^{-2}$ which are more stringent sensitivity by five orders of magnitude with respect to LEP results. Anomalous $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings calculated with the help of the process

\sqrt{s} (TeV)	$L_{\rm int} ({\rm fb}^{-1})$	$\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2} (\text{GeV}^{-2})$	$\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2} \; (\text{GeV}^{-2})$
0.5	10	$[-9.39; 8.91] \times 10^{-5}$	$[-1.36; 1.34] \times 10^{-4}$
0.5	50	$[-6.36; 5.88] \times 10^{-5}$	$[-9.14; 8.93] \times 10^{-5}$
0.5	100	$[-5.39; 4.91] \times 10^{-5}$	$[-7.69; 7.49] \times 10^{-5}$
0.5	230	$[-4.42; 3.94] \times 10^{-5}$	$[-6.27; 6.06] \times 10^{-5}$
1.5	10	$[-3.50; 3.50] \times 10^{-6}$	$[-4.92; 4.92] \times 10^{-6}$
1.5	50	$[-2.34; 2.34] \times 10^{-6}$	$[-3.29; 3.29] \times 10^{-6}$
1.5	100	$[-1.97; 1.97] \times 10^{-6}$	$[-2.77; 2.77] \times 10^{-6}$
1.5	320	$[-1.47; 1.47] \times 10^{-6}$	$[-2.07; 2.07] \times 10^{-6}$
3	10	$[-4.80; 4.80] \times 10^{-7}$	$[-6.77; 6.77] \times 10^{-7}$
3	100	$[-2.69; 2.69] \times 10^{-7}$	$[-3.81; 3.81] \times 10^{-7}$
3	300	$[-2.05; 2.05] \times 10^{-7}$	$[-2.89; 2.89] \times 10^{-7}$
3	590	$[-1.73; 1.73] \times 10^{-7}$	$[-2.44; 2.44] \times 10^{-7}$

Table 1. The sensitivities of the anomalous $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings through the process $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow W^+W^-Z$ at the CLIC with $\sqrt{s} = 0.5$, 1.5 and 3 TeV for various integrated luminosities.

\sqrt{s} (TeV)	$L_{\rm int} ({\rm fb}^{-1})$	$\frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2} (\text{GeV}^{-2})$	$\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2} (\text{GeV}^{-2})$
0.5	10	$[-2.17; 2.09] \times 10^{-4}$	$[-3.59; 3.59] \times 10^{-4}$
0.5	50	$[-1.47; 1.39] \times 10^{-4}$	$[-2.40; 2.40] \times 10^{-4}$
0.5	100	$[-1.24; 1.16] \times 10^{-4}$	$[-2.02; 2.02] \times 10^{-4}$
0.5	230	$[-1.01; 0.94] \times 10^{-5}$	$[-1.64; 1.64] \times 10^{-4}$
1.5	10	$[-5.22; 5.21] \times 10^{-6}$	$[-6.04; 6.04] \times 10^{-6}$
1.5	50	$[-3.49; 3.49] \times 10^{-6}$	$[-4.04; 4.04] \times 10^{-6}$
1.5	100	$[-2.93; 2.93] \times 10^{-6}$	$[-3.39; 339] \times 10^{-6}$
1.5	320	$[-2.19; 2.19] \times 10^{-6}$	$[-2.54; 2.54] \times 10^{-6}$
3	10	$[-5.23; 5.23] \times 10^{-7}$	$[-4.70; 4.70] \times 10^{-7}$
3	100	$[-2.94; 2.94] \times 10^{-7}$	$[-2.64; 2.64] \times 10^{-7}$
3	300	$[-2.23; 2.23] \times 10^{-7}$	$[-2.01; 2.01] \times 10^{-7}$
3	590	$[-1.89; 1.89] \times 10^{-7}$	$[-1.74; 1.74] \times 10^{-7}$

Table 2. The sensitivities of the anomalous $\frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings through the process $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow W^+W^-Z$ at the CLIC with $\sqrt{s} = 0.5$, 1.5 and 3 TeV for various integrated luminosities.

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+\gamma^*\gamma^*e^- \rightarrow e^+W^+W^-Ze^-$ are less sensitive than the results of ref. [13]. On the other hand, our $\frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings obtained from this process have similar sensitivities as ref. [13].

In figures 22–24, we present 95% C.L. contours for anomalous $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings for the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+\gamma^*\gamma^*e^- \rightarrow e^+W^+W^-Ze^-$ at the CLIC for various integrated luminosities and center-of-mass energies. As we can see from figure 24, the best sensitivities on $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$ through this process are $[-1.38 \times 10^{-6}, 1.38 \times 10^{-6}]$ and $[-1.96 \times 10^{-6}, 1.96 \times 10^{-6}]$, respectively for $L_{\rm int} = 590 \,{\rm fb}^{-1}$ at the CLIC. Also, the same contours for

\sqrt{s} (TeV)	$L_{\rm int} \ ({\rm fb}^{-1})$	$\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2} \; (\text{GeV}^{-2})$	$\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2} \; (\text{GeV}^{-2})$
0.5	10	$[-3.72; 3.71] \times 10^{-4}$	$[-5.48; 5.41] \times 10^{-4}$
0.5	50	$[-2.49; 2.48] \times 10^{-4}$	$[-3.68; 3.61] \times 10^{-4}$
0.5	100	$[-2.09; 2.08] \times 10^{-4}$	$[-3.10; 3.02] \times 10^{-4}$
0.5	230	$[-1.70; 1.69] \times 10^{-4}$	$[-2.52; 2.45] \times 10^{-4}$
1.5	10	$[-1.83; 1.83] \times 10^{-5}$	$[-2.58; 2.58] \times 10^{-5}$
1.5	50	$[-1.23; 1.23] \times 10^{-5}$	$[-1.72; 1.72] \times 10^{-5}$
1.5	100	$[-1.03; 1.03] \times 10^{-5}$	$[-1.45; 1.45] \times 10^{-5}$
1.5	320	$[-7.71; 7.71] \times 10^{-6}$	$[-1.08; 1.08] \times 10^{-5}$
3	10	$[-3.03; 3.03] \times 10^{-6}$	$[-4.27; 4.27] \times 10^{-6}$
3	100	$[-1.70; 1.70] \times 10^{-6}$	$[-2.40; 2.40] \times 10^{-6}$
3	300	$[-1.29; 1.29] \times 10^{-6}$	$[-1.82; 1.82] \times 10^{-6}$
3	590	$[-1.09; 1.09] \times 10^{-6}$	$[-1.54; 1.54] \times 10^{-6}$

Table 3. The sensitivities of the anomalous $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings through the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+\gamma^*\gamma^*e^- \rightarrow e^+W^+W^-Ze^-$ at the CLIC with $\sqrt{s} = 1.5$ and 3 TeV for various integrated luminosities.

\sqrt{s} (TeV)	$L_{\rm int} \ ({\rm fb}^{-1})$	$\frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2} (\text{GeV}^{-2})$	$\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2} (\text{GeV}^{-2})$
0.5	10	$[-8.46; 8.20] \times 10^{-4}$	$[-1.35; 1.35] \times 10^{-4}$
0.5	50	$[-5.70; 5.44] \times 10^{-4}$	$[-9.02; 9.02] \times 10^{-5}$
0.5	100	$[-4.81; 4.55] \times 10^{-4}$	$[-7.56; 7.56] \times 10^{-5}$
0.5	230	$[-3.93; 3.67] \times 10^{-4}$	$[-6.15; 6.15] \times 10^{-5}$
1.5	10	$[-2.72; 2.72] \times 10^{-5}$	$[-3.10; 3.10] \times 10^{-5}$
1.5	50	$[-1.82; 1.82] \times 10^{-5}$	$[-2.07; 2.07] \times 10^{-5}$
1.5	100	$[-1.53; 1.53] \times 10^{-5}$	$[-1.74; 1.74] \times 10^{-5}$
1.5	320	$[-1.14; 1.14] \times 10^{-5}$	$[-1.30; 1.30] \times 10^{-5}$
3	10	$[-3.28; 3.28] \times 10^{-6}$	$[-2.89; 2.89] \times 10^{-6}$
3	100	$[-1.84; 1.84] \times 10^{-6}$	$[-1.62; 1.62] \times 10^{-6}$
3	300	$[-1.40; 1.40] \times 10^{-6}$	$[-1.24; 1.24] \times 10^{-6}$
3	590	$[-1.18; 1.18] \times 10^{-6}$	$[-1.04; 1.04] \times 10^{-6}$

Table 4. The sensitivities of the anomalous $\frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings through the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+\gamma^*\gamma^*e^- \rightarrow e^+W^+W^-Ze^-$ at the CLIC with $\sqrt{s} = 1.5$ and 3 TeV for various integrated luminosities.

the process $\gamma \gamma \to W^+ W^- Z$ are given in figures 25–27. From two-parameter contours in figure 27, the sensitivities for $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$ are obtained as $[-2.15 \times 10^{-6}, 2.15 \times 10^{-6}]$ and $[-3.03 \times 10^{-6}, 3.03 \times 10^{-6}]$.

We can compare the obtained sensitivities on anomalous couplings by using statistical significance

$$SS = \frac{|\sigma_{tot} - \sigma_{SM}|}{\sqrt{\sigma_{SM}}} L_{int}$$
(2.5)



Figure 22. 95% C.L. contours for anomalous $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings for the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+\gamma^*\gamma^*e^- \rightarrow e^+W^+W^-Ze^-$ at the CLIC with $\sqrt{s} = 0.5$ TeV.



Figure 23. The same as figure 22 but for $\sqrt{s} = 1.5 \text{ TeV}$.



Figure 24. The same as figure 22 but for $\sqrt{s} = 3 \text{ TeV}$.



Figure 25. 95% C.L. contours for anomalous $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings for the process $\gamma\gamma \to W^+W^-Z$ at the CLIC with $\sqrt{s} = 0.5$ TeV.



Figure 26. The same as figure 25 but for $\sqrt{s} = 1.5 \text{ TeV}$.



Figure 27. The same as figure 25 but for $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV.

by assuming $\sqrt{s} = 3 \text{ TeV}$ with the integrated luminosity of 590 fb⁻¹. Once again, we take into account leptonic decay channel of the final state Z boson and hadronic decay channel of W boson for two processes. We obtain $3(5)\sigma$ observation sensitivities on the anomalous couplings from the $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+\gamma^*\gamma^*e^- \rightarrow e^+W^+W^-Ze^-$ process;

$$-1.35(-1.74) \times 10^{-6} < \frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2} < 1.35(1.74) \times 10^{-6}$$

$$-1.90(-2.45) \times 10^{-6} < \frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2} < 1.90(2.45) \times 10^{-6}$$

$$-1.46(-1.89) \times 10^{-6} < \frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2} < 1.46(1.89) \times 10^{-6}$$

$$-1.29(-1.66) \times 10^{-6} < \frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2} < 1.29(1.66) \times 10^{-6}$$

and from the $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow W^+ W^- Z$ process;

$$\begin{aligned} -2.14(-2.76) \times 10^{-7} < \frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2} < 2.14(2.76) \times 10^{-7} \\ -3.02(-3.90) \times 10^{-7} < \frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2} < 3.02(3.90) \times 10^{-7} \\ -2.33(-3.01) \times 10^{-7} < \frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2} < 2.33(3.01) \times 10^{-7} \\ -2.09(-2.71) \times 10^{-7} < \frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2} < 2.09(2.71) \times 10^{-7}. \end{aligned}$$

The obtained sensitivities using signal significance at 5σ are approximately 1.5 times better than the best sensitivities obtained from χ^2 analysis at 95% C.L.

3 Conclusions

The linear e^-e^+ colliders will provide an important opportunity to probe $e\gamma$ and $\gamma\gamma$ collisions at high energies. In $e\gamma$ and $\gamma\gamma$ collisions, high energy real photons can be obtained by converting the incoming lepton beams into photon beams via the Compton backscattering mechanism. In addition, high-energy accelerated e^- and e^+ beams at the linear colliders radiate quasi-real photons, and thus $e\gamma^*$ and $\gamma^*\gamma^*$ collisions are produced from the e^-e^+ process itself. Therefore, $e\gamma^*$ and $\gamma^*\gamma^*$ collisions at these colliders can occur spontaneously apart from $e\gamma$ and $\gamma\gamma$ collisions. In the literature, refs. [14, 17] only examined the sensitivities on $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings through the process $\gamma\gamma \to W^+W^-Z$ at future linear colliders. As stated in ref. [15], the $\gamma\gamma$ collisions can examine the sensitivities on $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ with a higher precision with respect to the $e\gamma$ and e^-e^+ collisions. For this reason, we compare our sensitivities with the results of ref. [13]. For $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings, $\gamma\gamma$ collisions at the 3 TeV CLIC with an integrated luminosity of 590 fb⁻¹ enable us to improve the sensitivities by almost a factor of five with respect to sensitivities coming from e^-e^+ collisions. Also, our sensitivities show that $\gamma\gamma$ collisions provide anomalous $\frac{k_n^2}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings with a better than the e^-e^+ collisions.

On the other hand, we can see that the sensitivities on $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$ expected to be obtained for the future $\gamma\gamma$ colliders with $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV are roughly 2 times worse than the sensitivities in ref. [13]. We find that the sensitivities obtained for four different $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$, $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ couplings from the process $\gamma\gamma \to W^+W^-Z$ are approximately an order of magnitude more restrictive with respect to the main process $e^+e^- \to e^+\gamma^*\gamma^*e^- \to e^+W^+W^-Ze^-$ which is obtained by integrating the cross section for the subprocess $\gamma^*\gamma^* \to W^+W^-Z$ over the effective photon luminosity. The process $\gamma\gamma(\gamma^*\gamma^*) \to W^+W^-Z$ includes only interactions between the gauge bosons, causing more apparent possible deviations from the expected value of SM [15]. Therefore, in this paper, we analyze the CP-conserving parameters $\frac{k_0^W}{\Lambda^2}$, $\frac{k_c^W}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{k_2^m}{\Lambda^2}$ and CP-violating parameter $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ on the anomalous quartic $WWZ\gamma$ gauge couplings through the processes $\gamma\gamma \to W^+W^-Z$ obtained by laser-backscattering distributions and $e^+e^- \to e^+\gamma^*\gamma^*e^- \to e^+W^+W^-Ze^-$ derived by EPA distributions at the CLIC. The $\gamma\gamma$ collisions seem to be the best place to test $\frac{k_m^m}{\Lambda^2}$ and $\frac{a_n}{\Lambda^2}$ which are the anomalous quartic couplings involving photons. Therefore, the CLIC as photon-photon collider provides an ideal platform to examine anomalous quartic $WWZ\gamma$ gauge couplings at high energies.

A The anomalous vertex functions derived from CP-violating and CPconserving effective Lagrangians

The anomalous $W^+(p_1^{\alpha})W^-(p_2^{\beta})Z(k_2^{\nu})\gamma(k_1^{\mu})$ vertex function obtained from CP-violating effective L_n Lagrangian is given below

$$i\frac{\pi\alpha}{4\cos\theta_{W}\Lambda^{2}}a_{n}\left[g_{\alpha\nu}\left[g_{\beta\mu}k_{1}.(k_{2}-p_{1})-k_{1\beta}.(k_{2}-p_{1})_{\mu}\right]\right]$$

$$-g_{\beta\nu}\left[g_{\alpha\mu}k_{1}.(k_{2}-p_{2})-k_{1\alpha}.(k_{2}-p_{2})_{\mu}\right]$$

$$+g_{\alpha\beta}\left[g_{\nu\mu}k_{1}.(p_{1}-p_{2})-k_{1\nu}.(p_{1}-p_{2})_{\mu}\right]$$

$$-k_{2\alpha}(g_{\beta\mu}k_{1\nu}-g_{\nu\mu}k_{1\beta})+k_{2\beta}(g_{\alpha\mu}k_{1\nu}-g_{\nu\mu}k_{1\alpha})$$

$$-p_{2\nu}(g_{\alpha\mu}k_{1\beta}-g_{\beta\mu}k_{1\alpha})+p_{1\nu}(g_{\beta\mu}k_{1\alpha}-g_{\alpha\mu}k_{1\beta})$$

$$+p_{1\beta}(g_{\nu\mu}k_{1\alpha}-g_{\alpha\mu}k_{1\nu})+p_{2\alpha}(g_{\nu\mu}k_{1\beta}-g_{\beta\mu}k_{1\nu})\right].$$
(A.1)

The anomalous $W^+(p_1^{\alpha})W^-(p_2^{\beta})Z(k_2^{\nu})\gamma(k_1^{\mu})$ vertex functions obtained from CP-conserving effective W_0^Z , W_c^Z , W_1^Z , W_2^Z and W_3^Z can be written as follows, respectively

$$2ie^{2}g^{2}g_{\alpha\beta}\left[g_{\mu\nu}(k_{1}.k_{2}) - k_{1\nu}k_{2\mu}\right], \qquad (A.2)$$
$$i\frac{e^{2}g^{2}}{2}\left[\left(g_{\mu\alpha}g_{\nu\beta} + g_{\nu\alpha}g_{\mu\beta}\right)(k_{1}.k_{2}) + g_{\mu\nu}(k_{2\beta}k_{1\alpha} + k_{1\beta}k_{2\alpha}) - k_{2\mu}k_{1\alpha}g_{\mu\beta} - k_{2\beta}k_{1\mu}g_{\mu\alpha} - k_{2\alpha}k_{1\mu}g_{\mu\beta} - k_{2\mu}k_{1\beta}g_{\mu\alpha}\right], \qquad (A.3)$$

$$ieg_{z}g^{2}((g_{\mu\alpha}k_{1}.p_{1}-p_{1\mu}k_{1\alpha})g_{\nu\beta}+(g_{\mu\beta}k_{1}.p_{2}-p_{2\mu}k_{1\beta})g_{\nu\alpha})$$
(A.4)

$$i\frac{eg_zg^2}{2} \left((k_1.p_1 + k_1.p_2)g_{\mu\nu}g_{\alpha\beta} - (k_{1\alpha}p_{1\beta} + k_{1\beta}p_{2\alpha})g_{\mu\nu} - (p_{1\mu} + p_{2\mu})k_{1\nu}g_{\alpha\beta} + (p_{1\beta}g_{\mu\alpha} + p_{2\alpha}g_{\mu\beta})k_{1\nu} \right),$$
(A.5)

$$i\frac{eg_{z}g^{2}}{2}\left(k_{1}.p_{1}g_{\mu\beta}g_{\nu\alpha}+k_{1}.p_{2}g_{\mu\alpha}g_{\nu\beta}+(p_{1\nu}-p_{2\nu})k_{1\beta}g_{\mu\alpha}-(p_{1\nu}-p_{2\nu})k_{1\alpha}g_{\mu\beta}-p_{1\mu}k_{1\beta}g_{\nu\alpha}-p_{2\mu}k_{1\alpha}g_{\nu\beta}\right).$$
(A.6)

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

0

- O.J.P. Eboli, M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia and S.F. Novaes, Quartic anomalous couplings in eγ colliders, Nucl. Phys. B 411 (1994) 381 [hep-ph/9306306] [INSPIRE].
- [2] O.J.P. Éboli, M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia and S.M. Lietti, Bosonic quartic couplings at CERN LHC, Phys. Rev. D 69 (2004) 095005 [hep-ph/0310141] [INSPIRE].
- G. Bélanger, F. Boudjema, Y. Kurihara, D. Perret-Gallix and A. Semenov, Bosonic quartic couplings at LEP-2, Eur. Phys. J. C 13 (2000) 283 [hep-ph/9908254] [INSPIRE].
- [4] L3 collaboration, P. Achard et al., Study of the W⁺W⁻γ process and limits on anomalous quartic gauge boson couplings at LEP, Phys. Lett. B 527 (2002) 29 [hep-ex/0111029]
 [INSPIRE].
- [5] DELPHI collaboration, J. Abdallah et al., Measurement of the e⁺e⁻ → W⁺W⁻γ cross-section and limits on anomalous quartic gauge couplings with DELPHI, Eur. Phys. J. C 31 (2003) 139 [hep-ex/0311004] [INSPIRE].
- [6] OPAL collaboration, G. Abbiendi et al., A study of W⁺W⁻γ events at LEP, Phys. Lett. B 580 (2004) 17 [hep-ex/0309013] [INSPIRE].
- [7] CMS collaboration, Search for WW γ and WZ γ production and constraints on anomalous quartic gauge couplings in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$, Phys. Rev. **D** 90 (2014) 032008 [arXiv:1404.4619] [INSPIRE].
- [8] G. Abu Leil and W.J. Stirling, Anomalous quartic couplings in W⁺W⁻γ production at e⁺e⁻ colliders, J. Phys. G 21 (1995) 517 [hep-ph/9406317] [INSPIRE].
- [9] W.J. Stirling and A. Werthenbach, Anomalous quartic couplings in W⁺W⁻γ, Z⁰Z⁰γ and Z⁰γγ production at present and future e⁺e⁻ colliders, Eur. Phys. J. C 14 (2000) 103 [hep-ph/9903315] [INSPIRE].
- [10] A. Denner, S. Dittmaier, M. Roth and D. Wackeroth, Probing anomalous quartic gauge boson couplings via e⁺e⁻ → 4 fermions +γ, Eur. Phys. J. C 20 (2001) 201
 [hep-ph/0104057] [INSPIRE].
- [11] G. Montagna, M. Moretti, O. Nicrosini, M. Osmo and F. Piccinini, *Quartic anomalous couplings at LEP, Phys. Lett.* B 515 (2001) 197 [hep-ph/0105120] [INSPIRE].
- [12] M. Beyer et al., Determination of new electroweak parameters at the ILC sensitivity to new physics, Eur. Phys. J. C 48 (2006) 353 [hep-ph/0604048] [INSPIRE].

- [13] M. Köksal and A. Senol, Search for anomalous quartic WWZ γ couplings at the future linear e^+e^- collider, arXiv:1406.2496 [INSPIRE].
- [14] I. Şahin, WZ production at eγ colliders and anomalous quartic WWZγ coupling, J. Phys. G 35 (2008) 035006 [arXiv:0705.4075] [INSPIRE].
- [15] O.J.P. Eboli, M.B. Magro, P.G. Mercadante and S.F. Novaes, Quartic anomalous couplings in γγ colliders, Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995) 15 [hep-ph/9503432] [INSPIRE].
- [16] I. Şahin, Anomalous quartic WW $\gamma\gamma$ and WWZ γ couplings through W⁺W⁻Z production in $\gamma\gamma$ colliders, J. Phys. G 36 (2009) 075007 [arXiv:0807.4777] [INSPIRE].
- [17] K. Ye, D. Yang and Q. Li, CERN LHC sensitivity on measuring $W^{\pm}Z\gamma$ production and anomalous WWZ γ coupling, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 015023 [arXiv:1305.5979] [INSPIRE].
- [18] A. Senol and M. Köksal, Analysis of anomalous quartic WWZγ couplings in γp collision at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B 742 (2015) 143 [arXiv:1410.3648] [INSPIRE].
- [19] T. Pierzchala and K. Piotrzkowski, Sensitivity to anomalous quartic gauge couplings in photon-photon interactions at the LHC, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 179-180 (2008) 257 [arXiv:0807.1121] [INSPIRE].
- [20] E. Chapon, C. Royon and O. Kepka, Anomalous quartic WWγγ, ZZγγ and trilinear WWγ couplings in two-photon processes at high luminosity at the LHC, Phys. Rev. D 81 (2010) 074003 [arXiv:0912.5161] [INSPIRE].
- [21] J. de Favereau de Jeneret et al., *High energy photon interactions at the LHC*, arXiv:0908.2020 [INSPIRE].
- [22] R.S. Gupta, Probing quartic neutral gauge boson couplings using diffractive photon fusion at the LHC, Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) 014006 [arXiv:1111.3354] [INSPIRE].
- [23] D. Dannheim, P. Lebrun, L. Linssen, D. Schulte and S. Stapnes, CLIC e⁺e⁻ linear collider studies — input to the Snowmass process 2013, arXiv:1305.5766 [INSPIRE].
- [24] I.F. Ginzburg, G.L. Kotkin, V.G. Serbo and V.I. Telnov, Colliding γe and $\gamma \gamma$ beams based on the single-pass e^+e^- colliders (Vlepp type), Nucl. Instrum. Meth. **205** (1983) 47 [INSPIRE].
- [25] I.F. Ginzburg, G.L. Kotkin, S.L. Panfil, V.G. Serbo and V.I. Telnov, Colliding γe and γγ beams based on single-pass e⁺e⁻ accelerators II. Polarization effects, monochromatization improvement, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 219 (1984) 5 [INSPIRE].
- [26] S.J. Brodsky, T. Kinoshita and H. Terazawa, Two photon mechanism of particle production by high-energy colliding beams, Phys. Rev. D 4 (1971) 1532 [INSPIRE].
- [27] H. Terazawa, Two photon processes for particle production at high-energies, Rev. Mod. Phys. 45 (1973) 615 [INSPIRE].
- [28] V.M. Budnev, I.F. Ginzburg, G.V. Meledin and V.G. Serbo, The two-photon particle production mechanism. Physical problems. Applications. Equivalent photon approximation, Phys. Rept. 15 (1975) 181 [INSPIRE].
- [29] K. Piotrzkowski, Tagging two photon production at the CERN LHC, Phys. Rev. D 63 (2001) 071502 [hep-ex/0009065] [INSPIRE].
- [30] G. Baur, K. Hencken, D. Trautmann, S. Sadovsky and Y. Kharlov, Coherent γγ and γA interactions in very peripheral collisions at relativistic ion colliders, Phys. Rept. 364 (2002) 359 [hep-ph/0112211] [INSPIRE].

- [31] DELPHI collaboration, J. Abdallah et al., Study of tau-pair production in photon-photon collisions at LEP and limits on the anomalous electromagnetic moments of the tau lepton, Eur. Phys. J. C 35 (2004) 159 [hep-ex/0406010] [INSPIRE].
- [32] CDF collaboration, A. Abulencia et al., Observation of exclusive electron-positron production in hadron-hadron collisions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98 (2007) 112001 [hep-ex/0611040] [INSPIRE].
- [33] CDF collaboration, T. Aaltonen et al., Search for exclusive Z boson production and observation of high mass $p\bar{p} \rightarrow p\gamma\gamma\bar{p} \rightarrow p\ell^+\ell^-\bar{p}$ events in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102** (2009) 222002 [arXiv:0902.2816] [INSPIRE].
- [34] CDF collaboration, T. Aaltonen et al., Observation of exclusive charmonium production and $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96 \text{ TeV}$, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102** (2009) 242001 [arXiv:0902.1271] [INSPIRE].
- [35] CMS collaboration, Exclusive $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ production in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \ TeV$, JHEP **01** (2012) 052 [arXiv:1111.5536] [INSPIRE].
- [36] CMS collaboration, Search for exclusive or semi-exclusive photon pair production and observation of exclusive and semi-exclusive electron pair production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$, JHEP 11 (2012) 080 [arXiv:1209.1666] [INSPIRE].
- [37] S. Atag and A.A. Billur, Possibility of determining τ lepton electromagnetic moments in $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ process at the CERN-LHC, JHEP 11 (2010) 060 [arXiv:1005.2841] [INSPIRE].
- [38] S. Atag, S.C. İnan and İ. Şahin, Extra dimensions in photon-induced two lepton final states at the CERN-LHC, Phys. Rev. D 80 (2009) 075009 [arXiv:0904.2687] [INSPIRE].
- [39] I. Şahin and S.C. İnan, Probe of unparticles at the LHC in exclusive two lepton and two photon production via photon-photon fusion, JHEP 09 (2009) 069 [arXiv:0907.3290]
 [INSPIRE].
- [40] S.C. Inan, Exclusive excited leptons search in two lepton final states at the CERN-LHC, Phys. Rev. D 81 (2010) 115002 [arXiv:1005.3432] [INSPIRE].
- [41] I. Şahin and M. Köksal, Search for electromagnetic properties of the neutrinos at the LHC, JHEP 03 (2011) 100 [arXiv:1010.3434] [INSPIRE].
- [42] M. Köksal and S.C. İnan, Anomalous tqγ couplings in γp collision at the LHC, Adv. High Energy Phys. 2014 (2014) 935840 [INSPIRE].
- [43] M. Köksal and S.C. İnan, Search for the anomalous interactions of up-type heavy quarks in γγ collision at the LHC, Adv. High Energy Phys. 2014 (2014) 315826 [arXiv:1403.2760] [INSPIRE].
- [44] A.A. Billur and M. Köksal, Probe of the electromagnetic moments of the tau lepton in gamma-gamma collisions at the CLIC, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 037301 [arXiv:1306.5620]
 [INSPIRE].
- [45] A. Senol, $ZZ\gamma$ and $Z\gamma\gamma$ anomalous couplings in γp collision at the LHC, Phys. Rev. D 87 (2013) 073003 [arXiv:1301.6914] [INSPIRE].
- [46] A. Senol, Anomalous quartic WWγγ and ZZγγγ couplings in γp collision at the LHC, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 29 (2014) 1450148 [arXiv:1311.1370] [INSPIRE].
- [47] I. Şahin et al., Probe of extra dimensions in $\gamma q \rightarrow \gamma q$ at the LHC, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 095016 [arXiv:1304.5737] [INSPIRE].

- [48] S.C. Inan and A.A. Billur, Polarized top pair production in extra dimension models via photon-photon fusion at the CERN LHC, Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011) 095002 [INSPIRE].
- [49] I. Şahin, Electromagnetic properties of the neutrinos in γp collision at the LHC, Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) 033002 [arXiv:1201.4364] [INSPIRE].
- [50] I. Şahin and B. Şahin, Anomalous quartic $ZZ\gamma\gamma$ couplings in γp collision at the LHC, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) 115001 [arXiv:1211.3100] [INSPIRE].
- [51] B. Şahin and A.A. Billur, Anomalous Wtb couplings in γp collision at the LHC, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) 074026 [arXiv:1210.3235] [INSPIRE].
- [52] A.A. Billur, Anomalous top-gluon couplings in γp collision at the CERN-LHC, Europhys. Lett. 101 (2013) 21001 [INSPIRE].
- [53] M. Tasevsky, Diffractive physics program in ATLAS experiment, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 179-180 (2008) 187 [INSPIRE].
- [54] M. Tasevsky, Measuring central exclusive processes at LHC, arXiv:0910.5205 [INSPIRE].
- [55] H. Sun, Probe anomalous tqγ couplings through single top photoproduction at the LHC, Nucl. Phys. B 886 (2014) 691 [arXiv:1402.1817] [INSPIRE].
- [56] H. Sun and C.-X. Yue, Precise photoproduction of the charged top-pions at the LHC with forward detector acceptances, Eur. Phys. J. C 74 (2014) 2823 [arXiv:1401.0250] [INSPIRE].
- [57] H. Sun, Dark matter searches in jet plus missing energy events in γp collisions at the CERN LHC, Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014) 035018 [arXiv:1407.5356] [INSPIRE].
- [58] H. Sun, Y.-J. Zhou and H.-S. Hou, NLO QCD corrections to single top and W associated photoproduction at the LHC with forward detector acceptances, JHEP 02 (2015) 064 [arXiv:1408.1218] [INSPIRE].
- [59] I. Şahin et al., Graviton production through photon-quark scattering at the LHC, Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015) 035017 [arXiv:1409.1796] [INSPIRE].
- [60] M. Köksal, Analysis of excited neutrinos at the CLIC, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 29 (2014) 1450138 [arXiv:1402.2915] [INSPIRE].
- [61] M. Köksal, Study of anomalous WWγγ coupling sensitivity at the Compact Linear Collider, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 29 (2014) 1450184 [arXiv:1402.3112] [INSPIRE].
- [62] M. Köksal, Anomalous quartic $ZZ\gamma\gamma$ couplings at the CLIC, arXiv:1402.3773 [INSPIRE].
- [63] J.E.C. Montalvo, G.H.R. Ulloa and M.D. Tonasse, Doubly charged Higgs from e-γ scattering in the 3-3-1 model, Eur. Phys. J. C 72 (2012) 2210 [arXiv:1205.3822] [INSPIRE].
- [64] A. Belyaev, N.D. Christensen and A. Pukhov, CalcHEP 3.4 for collider physics within and beyond the standard model, Comput. Phys. Commun. 184 (2013) 1729 [arXiv:1207.6082]
 [INSPIRE].