

Flat-space energy-momentum tensor from BMS/GCA correspondence

Reza Fareghbal and Ali Naseh

*School of Particles and Accelerators, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM),
P.O. Box 19395-5531, Tehran, Iran*

E-mail: fareghbal@theory.ipm.ac.ir, naseh@ipm.ir

ABSTRACT: Flat-space limit is well-defined for asymptotically AdS spacetimes written in coordinates called the BMS gauge. For the three-dimensional Einstein gravity with a negative cosmological constant, we calculate the quasi-local energy momentum tensor in the BMS gauge and take its flat-space limit. In defining the flat-space limit, we use the BMS/GCA correspondence which is a duality between gravity in flat-spacetime and a field theory with Galilean conformal symmetry. The resulting stress tensor reproduces correct values for conserved charges of three dimensional asymptotically flat solutions. We show that the conservation relation of the flat-space energy-momentum tensor is given by an ultra-relativistic contraction of its relativistic counterpart. The conservation equations correspond to Einstein equation for the flat metric written in the BMS gauge. Our results provide further checks for the proposal that the holographic dual of asymptotically flat spacetimes is a field theory with Galilean conformal symmetry.

KEYWORDS: Gauge-gravity correspondence, AdS-CFT Correspondence, Models of Quantum Gravity, Space-Time Symmetries

ARXIV EPRINT: [1312.2109](https://arxiv.org/abs/1312.2109)

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 2 | AdS₃ in BMS gauge and its flat limit | 3 |
| 3 | BMS/GCA correspondence | 5 |
| 4 | Definition of energy-momentum tensor for asymptotically flat spacetimes | 6 |
| 5 | Conclusion | 9 |

1 Introduction

One of the outstanding questions in modern theoretical physics is understanding the holographic principle. For this, it is of interest to explore whether holography exists beyond the known example of AdS/CFT and for spacetimes other than AdS. The study of holography for asymptotically flat spacetimes may be the first step in this direction due to simplicity of flat space and its close relation with the real physical world. During the past two decades, there have been several attempts at trying to formulate flat space holography, but there have been several hurdles to this apparently simple problem.

A new method for studying this problem was proposed recently in [1–3]. This was based on the fact that the asymptotic symmetry group (ASG) of asymptotically flat spacetimes at null infinity is the Bondi, van der Burg, Metzner and Sachs (BMS) group [4–6]. In three and four dimensions, BMS group is infinite dimensional and the corresponding algebra can be centrally extended [7]–[9]. Thus it is probable that the theory dual to three or four dimensional flat space is given by a (two or three dimensional) field theory living on its null boundary which has exactly the symmetries of the BMS group.

The observation of [1, 2] was that BMS algebra is isomorphic Galilean conformal algebra (GCA) which is a result of contraction of conformal algebra [10–13]. This connection dubbed the BMS/GCA correspondence was studied carefully in [3] where it was shown that in order to have a well-defined correspondence at the level of centrally extended algebras, at the level of spacetime, the time direction must be contracted in the CFT and the resultant theory is an ultra-relativistic field theory. In other words, flat space limit of AdS which in the bulk side implemented by taking the large radius limit of asymptotically AdS spacetimes is equivalent to the contraction of time on CFT in the boundary side. In this way one can study holography of flat spacetimes just by starting from AdS/CFT and taking appropriate limit: large radius limit in the bulk and contraction in the boundary [3].

This method has met with some very interesting recent successes. It was shown in [14] that a Cardy-like formula for field theories with Galilean conformal symmetry in two dimensions (resulting from time-contraction of two dimensional CFT on the cylinder) produces the same entropy as that of three-dimensional cosmological solutions of flat gravity. These solutions of Einstein gravity in three dimensions were first studied in [15] and are shift-boost orbifolds of three dimensional Minkowski spacetime. They can be viewed as the large radius limit of non-extremal BTZ black holes in AdS₃. Moreover, it was shown in [16] that there is a Hawking-page like transition between Minkowski and flat cosmological solutions. The limiting procedure has been repeated for the topologically massive gravity in three dimensions and the corresponding two dimensional unitary theory has been recognized [17]. A link between GCA and the symmetries of the tensionless limit of closed bosonic string theory has been shown in [18] and finally a similar contraction has been found for the W_3 algebra which extends the BMS algebra to include spin-three fields in gravity three dimensional flat spacetimes [19].

A subtle point in using proposal of [1–3] for flat-holography is that the flat space limit in the bulk is not well-defined for all coordinates of asymptotically AdS spacetimes, e.g. the Fefferman-Graham coordinate system. However, [20] proposed a new coordinate system for asymptotically AdS spacetimes where taking flat-space limit is well-defined. In these coordinates, called BMS gauge, one can define appropriate fall-off conditions for the three dimensional metric components and find the asymptotic symmetry group in a manner similar to the seminal method of [21].

In this paper we show that writing asymptotically AdS spacetimes in BMS gauge gives more insight about holography of asymptotically flat spacetimes. A natural question to ask is whether bulk computations in flat space can yield the correlations of the boundary theory with the symmetries of a 2d GCA. The AdS/CFT correspondence provides a beautiful recipe for finding such correlators. If we follow methods of [1, 2] and [3] for flat-space holography, it is plausible that taking flat limit of bulk calculations in the AdS case gives correlators which corresponding to the contracted correlators in the CFT side. As the first step in this direction we apply the method of [22] to compute the one-point function of energy-momentum tensor and by writing it in the BMS gauge we define an appropriate flat limit for the quasi-local energy-momentum tensor. Our definition of stress tensor for flat holography is consistent with the results of a field theory with Galilean conformal symmetry.

Our final answer for the one point function of energy-momentum tensor can be used in the calculation of conserved charges of bulk solutions. In three dimensional flat gravity the most important solutions are cosmological solutions with a cosmological horizon and finite entropy, mass and angular momentum. Our energy- momentum tensor reproduces the correct values for the mass and angular momentum of these solutions.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section we start from asymptotically AdS₃ spacetimes written in the BMS gauge and introduce its generic solution. The proper flat limit of the BMS gauge is also discussed in this section. A brief introduction to BMS/GCA correspondence is given in section 3. The quasi-local energy momentum tensor in the BMS gauge together with a well-defined limit of it are developed in section 4. The

derivation of conserved charges of solutions of three dimensional flat gravity and also the relation with one point functions of field theory with Galilean conformal symmetry are also presented in section 4.

Note added: while this paper was being prepared for submission, the work [27] appeared on arXiv which has some overlap with our work. In [27], the author has performed a holographic renormalization of AdS gravity in the BMS gauge and taken its flat limit. We believe that the two pieces of work agree where there is overlap and in our paper the connection of flat limit with field theory with Galilean conformal symmetry is highlighted. This is novel and has not been addressed in [27].

2 AdS₃ in BMS gauge and its flat limit

Instead of Fefferman-Graham gauge which is a common way of defining asymptotically AdS space-times one can write a generic solution of three dimensional Einstein gravity with cosmological constant,

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^3x \sqrt{-g} \left(R + \frac{2}{\ell^2} \right), \tag{2.1}$$

in a new coordinate system as [20]

$$ds^2 = e^{2\beta} \frac{V}{r} du^2 - 2e^{2\beta} dudr + r^2(d\phi - Udu)^2, \tag{2.2}$$

where β, V, U are arbitrary functions of coordinates and u is a null coordinate known as *retarded time*. This coordinate-system is known as BMS coordinate and it is possible to find the appropriate coordinate transformation for writing all asymptotically AdS solutions in this form. For AdS₃ in the global coordinate

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{\ell^2} \right) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1 + \frac{r^2}{\ell^2}} + r^2 d\phi^2, \tag{2.3}$$

u is given by $u = t - \ell \arctan \frac{r}{\ell}$. It is clear that the boundary of AdS₃ is at $r = \infty$. For asymptotically AdS₃ spacetimes $\beta = U = o(1)$. Thus one can solve the equations of motions and find

$$ds^2 = \left(-\frac{r^2}{\ell^2} + \mathcal{M} \right) du^2 - 2dudr + 2\mathcal{N}dud\phi + r^2 d\phi^2, \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}(u, \phi) = 2(\Xi(x^+) + \bar{\Xi}(x^-)), \quad \mathcal{N}(u, \phi) = \ell(\Xi(x^+) - \bar{\Xi}(x^-)), \tag{2.5}$$

and $\Xi, \bar{\Xi}$ are arbitrary functions of $x^\pm = \frac{u}{\ell} \pm \phi$.

The Killing vectors which preserve the form of line element (2.4) are

$$\xi^u = f, \quad \xi^\phi = y - \frac{1}{r} \partial_\phi f, \quad \xi^r = -r \partial_\phi y + \partial_\phi^2 f - \frac{1}{r} \mathcal{N} \partial_\phi f, \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$f = \frac{\ell}{2}(Y^+(x^+) + Y^-(x^-)), \quad y = \frac{1}{2}(Y^+(x^+) - Y^-(x^-)), \quad (2.7)$$

Y^+ and Y^- are arbitrary functions of x^+ and x^- . At the leading term the generators

$$\mathcal{L}_n = \xi(Y^+ = -i \exp(ix^+), Y^- = 0), \quad \bar{\mathcal{L}}_n = \xi(Y^+ = 0, Y^- = -i \exp(ix^-)), \quad (2.8)$$

satisfy the algebra

$$[\mathcal{L}_m, \mathcal{L}_n] = (m - n)\mathcal{L}_{m+n}, \quad [\bar{\mathcal{L}}_m, \bar{\mathcal{L}}_n] = (m - n)\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{m+n}, \quad [\mathcal{L}_m, \bar{\mathcal{L}}_n] = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

In the level of conserved charges, the above algebra has a central extension with central charges $c = \bar{c} = 3\ell/2G$ [20].

The flat limit of asymptotically AdS spacetimes written in the BMS gauge is well-defined. This is done by taking $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ limit of the AdS case. Precisely, we will take $G/\ell \rightarrow 0$ while keeping G fixed. The starting point is the metric (2.4) and generic forms for functions \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} in (2.5). The Fourier expansion of functions Ξ and $\bar{\Xi}$ are

$$\Xi(x^+) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f_n \left(\frac{G}{\ell}\right) e^{inx^+}, \quad \bar{\Xi}(x^-) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{f}_n \left(\frac{G}{\ell}\right) e^{inx^-}. \quad (2.10)$$

We assume that functions f_n and \bar{f}_n have well-defined behaviour at $\ell \rightarrow \infty$. Thus one can write an expansion for them in terms of positive powers of G/ℓ . If we demand that

$$M = \lim_{\frac{G}{\ell} \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{M}, \quad N = \lim_{\frac{G}{\ell} \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{N}, \quad (2.11)$$

are well-defined, the coefficients of $(G/\ell)^0$ in f_n and \bar{f}_{-n} must be the same. Then, it is not difficult to check that

$$M = \theta(\phi), \quad N = \chi(\phi) + \frac{u}{2}\theta'(\phi), \quad (2.12)$$

where θ and χ are arbitrary functions. Thus the asymptotically flat solution is

$$ds^2 = Mdu^2 - 2dudr + 2Ndud\phi + r^2d\phi^2. \quad (2.13)$$

The line element (2.13) with condition (2.12) is exactly the generic result which one may find for solutions of Einstein gravity (without cosmological constant) in the BMS gauge (2.2) [9]. We should emphasize that we don't use the modified Penrose limit of [20] which needs the scaling of the Newton constant G and results in an ambiguity in the definition of conserved charges.¹

Similarly, the Killing vectors of flat case can be given by taking $G/\ell \rightarrow 0$ from (2.6) by using Fourier expansions of functions Y^+ and Y^- . The final result is

$$\xi^u = F, \quad \xi^\phi = Y - \frac{1}{r}\partial_\phi F, \quad \xi^r = -r\partial_\phi Y + \partial_\phi^2 F - \frac{1}{r}N\partial_\phi F, \quad (2.14)$$

¹A new Grassmannian method for mapping gravity in AdS₃ to gravity in flat spacetime was introduced recently in [28].

where

$$Y = \lim_{\frac{G}{\ell} \rightarrow 0} y = Y(\phi), \quad F = \lim_{\frac{G}{\ell} \rightarrow 0} f = T(\phi) + uY'(\phi). \quad (2.15)$$

Y and T are arbitrary functions. This result again coincides with direct calculations of [9].

Using (2.14), one can find asymptotic symmetry group of flat spacetimes. The important point which we should emphasize here is that the u -coordinate of BMS gauge for flat and AdS spacetimes characterizes different hyperspaces from the causal structure point of view. As mentioned earlier, (u, ϕ) for AdS₃ determine spatial boundary of spacetime, however (u, ϕ) for the flat case are the coordinates of future null infinity \mathcal{I}^+ . This fact is easily seen by the definition of $u = t - \ell \arctan \frac{r}{\ell}$ in the AdS case which is transformed to $u = t - r$ by taking the $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ limit. Defining generators as

$$L_n = \xi(Y = -i \exp(in\phi), T = 0), \quad M_n = \xi(Y = 0, T = -i \exp(in\phi)), \quad (2.16)$$

one can easily check that in the leading term we have

$$[L_m, L_n] = (m - n)L_{m+n}, \quad [L_m, M_n] = (m - n)M_{m+n}, \quad [M_m, M_n] = 0. \quad (2.17)$$

This algebra is known as BMS₃ algebra [24]. The interesting point is that the algebras (2.9) and (2.17) are related by

$$L_n = \mathcal{L}_n - \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{-n}, \quad M_n = \frac{G}{\ell} (\mathcal{L}_n + \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{-n}), \quad (2.18)$$

in the limit $G/\ell \rightarrow 0$. In fact the relation (2.18) is also applicable for (2.9) with central extension. If one uses Brown and Henneaux's central charges $c = \bar{c} = 3\ell/2G$, then the algebra (2.17) has a non-zero central extension in the $[L_m, M_n]$ part.

3 BMS/GCA correspondence

Interestingly, the algebra (2.17) also appears in another branch of higher energy physics. If one considers a two dimensional CFT and takes its non-relativistic limit by contracting one of the coordinates, the contracted algebra is exactly (2.17) [10–13]. In this context the algebra (2.17) is called Galilean conformal algebra due to appearance of Galilean sub-algebra. Because of this similarity, it was proposed in [1, 2] that the dual theory for the asymptotically flat space times is a non-relativistic conformal field theory and this duality was coined as BMS/GCA correspondence.

The parent CFT in the study of [1, 2] is on the plane and the contracted coordinate was the x -coordinate. This assumptions dictated some restriction on the centrally extended algebra in such a way that in order to reproduce the known results of flat-space gravity the parent CFT must be non-unitary. This problem was reconsidered in the paper [3] and the correct way of constructing the dual theory of flat-space gravity was proposed.

The main idea of [3] is that the CFT must be on a cylinder and time coordinate is the appropriate coordinate for contraction. The importance of cylinder versus plane is clear from the fact that in the bulk side the flat limit is only well-defined for the global

AdS. Another way of introducing the contracted coordinate is that we should contract the non-compact coordinate of the boundary theory.

The states for the field theory with Galilean conformal symmetry is given by $|h_L, h_M\rangle$ where h_L and h_M are the labels of the states and are eigenvalues of L_0 and M_0 . There exists a notion of primary states in this theory and the representations are built by acting with raising operators L_{-n} and M_{-n} on these primary states. Similar to usual 2d CFTs, one can find a Cardy-like formula for the entropy of states $|h_L, h_M\rangle$. This calculation was done in [14] and the final entropy for large h_L and h_M is

$$S = \ln d(h_L, h_M) = \pi \left(C_{LL} \sqrt{\frac{2h_M}{C_{LM}}} + 2h_L \sqrt{\frac{C_{LM}}{2h_M}} \right). \quad (3.1)$$

where C_{LL} and C_{LM} are the central charges which appear respectively in the $[L_m, L_n]$ and $[L_m, M_n]$ parts of the algebra (2.17).² It was shown in [14] that (3.1) gives the correct entropy for the cosmological horizon of the three dimensional Einstein gravity solution which is the flat limit of BTZ black holes. This is an evidence in favour of the correctness of BMS/GCA correspondence.

4 Definition of energy-momentum tensor for asymptotically flat space-times

The fact that the flat space limit is well-defined in the BMS gauge tempts us to repeat all holographic calculations in these coordinates and take its flat limit. As a first step, we would like to study the method of holographic renormalization and find correlation functions of energy momentum tensor of the dual theory [23]. The warm up calculation is finding one-point function of energy momentum tensor which can be used in the bulk side for the calculation of conserved charges. The simple method for this calculation is given by [22] and [23] which uses Brown and York's proposal [25] for the definition of quasi-local stress tensor. According to [25], the Brown and York's quasi-local energy-momentum tensor is given by

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} \frac{\delta S}{\delta \gamma_{\mu\nu}}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $S = S_{grav}(\gamma_{\mu\nu})$ is the gravitational action viewed as a functional of boundary metric $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$.³ To be more precise, the gravitational action is

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^3x \sqrt{-g} \left(R - \frac{2}{\ell^2} \right) - \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} d^2x \sqrt{-\gamma} \mathcal{K} + \frac{1}{8\pi G} S_{ct}(\gamma_{\mu\nu}), \quad (4.2)$$

where the second term is known as Gibbons-Hawking term and is required for a well defined variational principle. The S_{ct} is the counterterm action that we must add in order to obtain a finite stress tensor. Moreover, \mathcal{K} is trace of the extrinsic curvature of the boundary

$$\mathcal{K} = \gamma^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu} = \gamma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_{\mu}^{\rho} \nabla_{\rho} n_{\nu}, \quad (4.3)$$

²The leading correction to this formula was introduced recently in [29].

³This is also the standard formula for the stress tensor of field theory with action S that lives on a background with metric $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$.

where $\gamma_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} - n_\mu n_\nu$ is the boundary metric and n_ν is the outward pointing normal vector to the boundary $\partial\mathcal{M}$.

Using above definitions, the quasilocal stress tensor becomes

$$T_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{8\pi G} \left(\mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{K}\gamma_{\mu\nu} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} \frac{\delta S_{ct}}{\delta \gamma^{\mu\nu}} \right), \quad (4.4)$$

where the counterterm action in three dimensional bulk is [22, 23]

$$S_{ct} = -\frac{1}{\ell} \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} \sqrt{-\gamma}. \quad (4.5)$$

Let us start from (2.4) and by using (2.5) write it in the light-cone coordinates $x^\pm = \frac{u}{\ell} \pm \phi$,

$$ds^2 = \frac{r^2}{G^2} \left\{ -G^2 dx^+ dx^- + \frac{\ell^2 G^2}{r^2} [\Xi(dx^+)^2 + \bar{\Xi}(dx^-)^2 + (\Xi + \bar{\Xi})dx^+ dx^-] \right\} - \ell(dx^+ + dx^-)dr. \quad (4.6)$$

Using (4.5) we have

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{ct} = -\frac{1}{8\pi G\ell} \gamma_{\mu\nu}, \quad (4.7)$$

therefore (4.4) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} T_{rr} &= \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right), & T_{r+} &= \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right), & T_{r-} &= \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right), & T_{+-} &= \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right) \\ T_{++} &= \frac{\ell}{8\pi G} \Xi(x_+) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right), & T_{--} &= \frac{\ell}{8\pi G} \bar{\Xi}(x_-) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Thus we find the energy-momentum one-point function of dual CFT as

$$\langle T_{++} \rangle = \frac{\ell\Xi}{8\pi G}, \quad \langle T_{--} \rangle = \frac{\ell\bar{\Xi}}{8\pi G}, \quad \langle T_{+-} \rangle = 0. \quad (4.9)$$

In the coordinate $\{u, \phi\}$ we have

$$\langle T_{uu} \rangle = \frac{\Xi + \bar{\Xi}}{8\pi G\ell} = \frac{\mathcal{M}}{16\pi G\ell}, \quad \langle T_{u\phi} \rangle = \frac{\Xi - \bar{\Xi}}{8\pi G} = \frac{\mathcal{N}}{8\pi G\ell}, \quad \langle T_{\phi\phi} \rangle = \frac{\ell(\Xi + \bar{\Xi})}{8\pi G} = \frac{\ell\mathcal{M}}{16\pi G}. \quad (4.10)$$

Since the $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ limit of \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} is well-defined, it is obvious from (4.10) that defining energy-momentum tensor of flat-space holography by taking the limit from the AdS case directly, does not make sense. However, the combinations similar to (2.18), i.e.

$$T_1 = \lim_{G/\ell \rightarrow 0} \frac{G}{\ell} (T_{++} + T_{--}), \quad T_2 = \lim_{G/\ell \rightarrow 0} (T_{++} - T_{--}), \quad (4.11)$$

are finite in the flat limit. We define the energy-momentum tensor of flat-space, \tilde{T}_{ij} , by

$$T_1 = (\tilde{T}_{++} + \tilde{T}_{--}), \quad T_2 = (\tilde{T}_{++} - \tilde{T}_{--}), \quad \tilde{T}_{+-} = 0, \quad (4.12)$$

where light-cone coordinates for flat spacetime, \tilde{x}^\pm , are given by $\tilde{x}^\pm = u/G \pm \phi$. Using (4.12) we see that

$$\tilde{T}_{uu} = \frac{M}{16\pi G^2}, \quad \tilde{T}_{u\phi} = \frac{N}{8\pi G^2}, \quad \tilde{T}_{\phi\phi} = \frac{M}{16\pi}, \quad (4.13)$$

are finite where M and N are given by (2.12). One can check that (4.13) is given by the following scaling from the energy-momentum tensor of AdS case:

$$\tilde{T}_{uu} = \lim_{\frac{G}{\ell} \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ell}{G} T_{uu}, \quad \tilde{T}_{u\phi} = \lim_{\frac{G}{\ell} \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ell}{G} T_{u\phi}, \quad \tilde{T}_{\phi\phi} = \lim_{\frac{G}{\ell} \rightarrow 0} \frac{G}{\ell} T_{\phi\phi}. \quad (4.14)$$

As mentioned in the section (3), the holographic dual of asymptotically flat spacetimes is a field theory with Galilean symmetry. Thus the energy-momentum tensor which we define in this section must be consistent with what one expects to see for such a field theory. The first observation is that the combination (4.11) is the same as what people define as the energy-momentum tensor of non-relativistic CFTs which are deduced by contracting a relativistic one(see for example [26]⁴).

In fact the relation with an ultra-relativistic theory imposes that we change the conservation relation of energy-momentum tensor. To find the correct expression of conservation which our energy-momentum tensor must satisfy, let us indicate the coordinates of relativistic CFT on the cylinder with (t, x) where x is periodic and the radius of cylinder is absorbed in its periodicity. The light-cone coordinates are $x^\pm = t \pm x$. The field theory with Galilean symmetry is deducible from the relativistic one by contracting time as $t \rightarrow \epsilon t$ and taking the $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ limit. According to (4.11) and (4.12), for the components of energy momentum tensor of Galilean CFT, \tilde{T}_{ij} , we have

$$T_1 = \tilde{T}_{++} + \tilde{T}_{--} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon (T_{++} + T_{--}), \quad T_2 = \tilde{T}_{++} - \tilde{T}_{--} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (T_{++} - T_{--}), \quad (4.15)$$

where $(+, -)$ in \tilde{T}_{ij} are defined by contracted time. Now one can check that the relativistic conservation of energy momentum tensor i.e. $\nabla^i T_{ij} = 0$ reduces to

$$\partial_t T_1 = 0, \quad \partial_x T_1 - \partial_t T_2 = 0, \quad (4.16)$$

where t is the contracted time. In the flat bulk side, u corresponds to t and $G\phi$ corresponds to x . Thus using (4.13) we can translate the ultra relativistic conservation relation (4.16) in terms of parameters of the bulk geometry as

$$\partial_u M = 0, \quad \partial_\phi M - 2\partial_u N = 0, \quad (4.17)$$

which are satisfied by using values of M and N in (2.12). In fact (4.17) are exactly the equations which one finds by putting the ansatz (2.13) in the Einstein equations.

The proposal for dual boundary theory of asymptotically flat spacetimes as an ultra relativistic CFT, can be used for finding conserved charges. According to (4.6), the coordinates (t, x) of parent CFT corresponds to $(\frac{G}{\ell}u, G\phi)$ in the bulk side. It is obvious from this

⁴In the definition of [26] the ϵ factor (reminsent of our G/ℓ) appears in the subtraction of components of energy-momentum tensor. In fact in that paper GCA arises by contracting x -coordinate which is different from our case.

identification that ultra-relativistic contraction $t \rightarrow \epsilon t$ corresponds to the flat limit $\frac{G}{\ell} \rightarrow 0$ in the bulk side. Thus if we denote by (\tilde{t}, \tilde{x}) the coordinates of ultra-relativistic theory, they correspond to $(u, G\phi)$ in the bulk side. We deduce that the ultra-relativistic field theory must live on a flat spacetime ∂M with line element $ds^2 = -du^2 + G^2 d\phi^2$. Now we can use components of our energy-momentum tensor (4.13) for finding conserved charges of symmetry generators (2.14) by making use of Brown and York definition [25]

$$Q_\xi = \int_\Sigma d\phi \sqrt{\sigma} v^\mu \xi^\nu \tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{4.18}$$

where Σ is the spacelike surface of ∂M ($u = \text{constant}$ surface), σ_{ab} is metric of Σ i.e. $\sigma_{ab} dx^a dx^b = G^2 d\phi^2$ and v^μ is the unit timelike vector normal to Σ . Putting every things together we find

$$Q_{T,Y} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi (\theta T + 2\chi Y), \tag{4.19}$$

which is consistent with the result of [9].

5 Conclusion

BMS/GCA is a correspondence between a theory of gravity in asymptotically flat spacetimes and a field theory with Galilean conformal symmetry. Finding a field theory with this symmetry may seem somewhat difficult at first but a route to this problem was found in [3] where it was proposed that the field theory would be an ultra relativistic limit of a usual relativistic CFT. It was also shown how the flat limit in the bulk corresponds to this ultra relativistic contraction of the boundary CFT.

In this paper we showed that this proposal can be used in defining the energy-momentum tensor of asymptotically flat spacetimes. Taking the flat limit from energy-momentum tensor of AdS case is not trivial in general. However, on the boundary side we know the relation between generators of conformal symmetry and GCA. This gives a good hint for defining one point function of energy-momentum tensor of Galilean CFT. In this paper we used such a relation and found reasonable expressions for the energy-momentum tensor of flat gravity. As expected, the corresponding energy-momentum tensor satisfies a conservation relation which is the ultra-relativistic version of relativistic equations. The fact that these conservation equations are similar to Einstein equations shows that our definition in the bulk side is consistent. We also used our energy-momentum tensor to calculate conserved charges of asymptotically flat spacetimes. The results are consistent with other methods. The point which we used in this calculation is that we implicitly assumed that the ultra-relativistic theory lives on a cylinder rather than null infinity. In other words, if we indicate metric of boundary by $ds^2 = -dt^2 + dx^2$ for the relativistic theory and contract time as $t = \epsilon t'$, the non-relativistic theory lives on a hyperspace with line element $ds^2 = -dt'^2 + dx^2$.

We believe that this work is extendible to higher dimensions. For example one can find an energy-momentum tensor for producing mass and angular momentum of AdS-Kerr black holes. The point is that one should write it in the BMS gauge and take its limit. Our

lesson from three dimensional case is that in order to find the energy-momentum tensor in the context of flat holography one should start from BMS gauge and define the flat limit by constructing a dimensionless parameter from ℓ and G and scale all components of T_{ij} written in the BMS-coordinate in such a way that ℓ disappears. In fact this way of constructing energy-momentum tensor has roots in the dual ultra-relativistic conformal field theory. We hope to address this problem in future work.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to specially thank A. E. Mosaffa and A. Bagchi for their comments on the manuscript and revised version. We also would like to thank M. Alishahiha, D. Allahbakhshi and A. Vahedi for valuable discussions and useful comments during the course of this work.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] A. Bagchi, *Correspondence between asymptotically flat spacetimes and nonrelativistic conformal field theories*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** (2010) 171601 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] A. Bagchi, *The BMS/GCA correspondence*, [arXiv:1006.3354](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] A. Bagchi and R. Fareghbal, *BMS/GCA redux: towards flatspace holography from non-relativistic symmetries*, *JHEP* **10** (2012) 092 [[arXiv:1203.5795](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] H. Bondi, M. van der Burg and A. Metzner, *Gravitational waves in general relativity. 7. Waves from axisymmetric isolated systems*, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond.* **A 269** (1962) 21 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] R. Sachs, *Gravitational waves in general relativity. 8. Waves in asymptotically flat space-times*, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond.* **A 270** (1962) 103 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] R. Sachs, *Asymptotic symmetries in gravitational theory*, *Phys. Rev.* **128** (1962) 2851 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] G. Barnich and G. Compere, *Classical central extension for asymptotic symmetries at null infinity in three spacetime dimensions*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **24** (2007) F15 [[gr-qc/0610130](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] G. Barnich and C. Troessaert, *Symmetries of asymptotically flat 4 dimensional spacetimes at null infinity revisited*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** (2010) 111103 [[arXiv:0909.2617](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] G. Barnich and C. Troessaert, *Aspects of the BMS/CFT correspondence*, *JHEP* **05** (2010) 062 [[arXiv:1001.1541](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] A. Bagchi and R. Gopakumar, *Galilean conformal algebras and AdS/CFT*, *JHEP* **07** (2009) 037 [[arXiv:0902.1385](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] M. Alishahiha, A. Davody and A. Vahedi, *On AdS/CFT of galilean conformal field theories*, *JHEP* **08** (2009) 022 [[arXiv:0903.3953](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [12] A. Bagchi and I. Mandal, *On representations and correlation functions of galilean conformal algebras*, *Phys. Lett. B* **675** (2009) 393 [[arXiv:0903.4524](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] A. Bagchi, R. Gopakumar, I. Mandal and A. Miwa, *GCA in 2d*, *JHEP* **08** (2010) 004 [[arXiv:0912.1090](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [14] A. Bagchi, S. Detournay, R. Fareghbal and J. Simon, *Holography of 3d flat cosmological horizons*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** (2013) 141302 [[arXiv:1208.4372](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] L. Cornalba and M.S. Costa, *A new cosmological scenario in string theory*, *Phys. Rev. D* **66** (2002) 066001 [[hep-th/0203031](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] A. Bagchi, S. Detournay, D. Grumiller and J. Simon, *Cosmic evolution from phase transition of 3-dimensional flat space*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (2013) 181301 [[arXiv:1305.2919](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [17] A. Bagchi, S. Detournay and D. Grumiller, *Flat-space chiral gravity*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109** (2012) 151301 [[arXiv:1208.1658](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] A. Bagchi, *Tensionless strings and galilean conformal algebra*, *JHEP* **05** (2013) 141 [[arXiv:1303.0291](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] H. Afshar, A. Bagchi, R. Fareghbal, D. Grumiller and J. Rosseel, *Higher spin theory in 3-dimensional flat space*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (2013) 121603 [[arXiv:1307.4768](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] G. Barnich, A. Gomberoff and H.A. Gonzalez, *The flat limit of three dimensional asymptotically Anti-de Sitter spacetimes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 024020 [[arXiv:1204.3288](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] J.D. Brown and M. Henneaux, *Central charges in the canonical realization of asymptotic symmetries: an example from three-dimensional gravity*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **104** (1986) 207 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] V. Balasubramanian and P. Kraus, *A stress tensor for Anti-de Sitter gravity*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **208** (1999) 413 [[hep-th/9902121](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [23] S. de Haro, S.N. Solodukhin and K. Skenderis, *Holographic reconstruction of space-time and renormalization in the AdS/CFT correspondence*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **217** (2001) 595 [[hep-th/0002230](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [24] A. Ashtekar, J. Bicak and B.G. Schmidt, *Asymptotic structure of symmetry reduced general relativity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **55** (1997) 669 [[gr-qc/9608042](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [25] J.D. Brown and J.W. York Jr., *Quasilocal energy and conserved charges derived from the gravitational action*, *Phys. Rev. D* **47** (1993) 1407 [[gr-qc/9209012](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [26] A. Bagchi, *Topologically massive gravity and galilean conformal algebra: a study of correlation functions*, *JHEP* **02** (2011) 091 [[arXiv:1012.3316](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] R.N. Caldeira Costa, *Aspects of the zero Λ limit in the AdS/CFT correspondence*, [arXiv:1311.7339](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [28] C. Krishnan, A. Raju and S. Roy, *A Grassmann path from AdS₃ to flat space*, [arXiv:1312.2941](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] A. Bagchi and R. Basu, *3D flat holography: entropy and logarithmic corrections*, [arXiv:1312.5748](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].