

Iterating QCD scattering amplitudes in the high-energy limit

Vittorio Del Duca¹

*Institute for Theoretical Physics, ETH Zürich,
8093 Zürich, Switzerland*

E-mail: delducav@itp.phys.ethz.ch

ABSTRACT: We analyse the high-energy limit of the gluon-gluon scattering amplitude in QCD, and display an intriguing relation between the finite parts of the one-loop gluon impact factor and the finite parts of the two-loop Regge trajectory.

KEYWORDS: Perturbative QCD, Scattering Amplitudes

ARXIV EPRINT: [1712.07030](https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.07030)

Dedicated to the memory of Lev Nikolaevich Lipatov.

¹On leave from INFN, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Italy.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Playing with finite terms	2
3	Discussion	6
A	Anomalous dimensions	8
B	Quark impact factor	8

1 Introduction

The high-energy limit of QCD, in which the squared centre-of-mass energy s is much larger than the momentum transfer $|t|$, was pioneered by Lev Lipatov [1] and it is described by the Balitsky-Fadin-Kuraev-Lipatov (BFKL) equation, which resums the large logarithms, $\ln(s/|t|)$, at leading logarithmic (LL) accuracy [2–5], and at next-to-leading-logarithmic (NLL) accuracy [6–9]. The backbone of the BFKL equation are the quark and gluon scattering amplitudes, which are dominated by the exchange of a gluon in the t channel, and acquire a ladder structure. They factorise into quantities, like the impact factors, the Regge trajectory, and the emission of a gluon along the ladder, a.k.a. the central emission vertex, which constitute the building blocks of the BFKL equation.

In the last few years, the high-energy limit of QCD and the BFKL equation have undergone an intense scrutiny. On one hand, it has been realised that the BFKL equation is endowed with a rich mathematical structure. The functions which describe the analytic structure of the BFKL ladder at LL accuracy in QCD, and the related ladder in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills (SYM) theory, are single-valued iterated integrals on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{0,4}$ of Riemann spheres with four marked points [10, 11], which are single-valued harmonic polylogarithms (SVHPLs) [12]. In the multi-Regge kinematics, which describe the emission of gluon radiation along the BFKL ladder, the SVHPLs are generalised to single-valued iterated integrals on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ of Riemann spheres with n marked points [13], which are single-valued [12, 14, 15] multiple polylogarithms (SVMPLs) [16, 17]. Further, the functions which describe the analytic structure of the BFKL ladder at NLL accuracy are a generalisation of SVHPLs [18] recently introduced by Schnetz [19].

On the other hand, because scattering amplitudes are infrared divergent, and so are the impact factors, the Regge trajectory, and the central emission vertex, in which they factorise in the high-energy limit, the study of the scattering amplitudes in the high-energy limit has benefited from a cross breeding with infrared factorisation [20–30], according to which the infrared structure of scattering amplitudes for massless partons is known up to three

loops [31–36]. The one-loop impact factors [37–42] and the two-loop Regge trajectory [43–47], which are building blocks to the BFKL equation at NLL accuracy, have poles in the dimensional regulator ε in $d = 4 - 2\varepsilon$ dimensions which can be understood, for the one-loop impact factors, in terms of the one-loop cusp anomalous dimension and the one-loop quark and gluon collinear anomalous dimensions [27, 28], and for the two-loop Regge trajectory in terms of the two-loop cusp anomalous dimension [23].¹

Further, we know that the picture of high-energy factorisation based on one-reggeised-gluon exchange breaks down at NNLO accuracy [47]. The violation can be explained through infrared factorisation by showing that the real part of the amplitudes becomes non-diagonal in the t -channel-exchange basis [24, 25]. Accordingly, it can be predicted how the violation propagates to higher loops, and the three-loop prediction for the violation [27, 28], which has NNLL accuracy, has been confirmed by the explicit computation of the three-loop four-point function of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM [51]. In the high-energy factorisation picture, that violation is due to the contribution of the three-Reggeised-gluon exchange [29, 52]. Thus, although a study of the BFKL ladder and firstly of its building blocks at NNLL accuracy is yet to be undertaken, we already have a clear picture of how the factorisation violations occur at NNLL accuracy.

While we have a precise knowledge of how the infrared poles occur and what they mean in the loop corrections to impact factors and Regge trajectory, the finite parts of those corrections are treated as free parameters, which neither infrared nor high-energy factorisation can constrain. At NLL accuracy, they are fixed either by direct computation [37, 38, 40, 43–46, 48, 49] or by extracting them from the knowledge of the scattering amplitudes in the exact kinematics [41, 42, 47, 50]. The goal of this short note is to alert the reader to the possibility that there might be some organised structure in the finite parts of impact factors and Regge trajectory as well. We shall discuss amplitudes at NLL accuracy, so we shall not be concerned with factorisation violations here.

In section 2, we focus on the finite part of the one-loop gluon impact factor, having the finite part of the two-loop Regge trajectory as a target. In section 3, we discuss exponentiation patterns that might help in understanding the findings of section 2. In appendix A, we recall the coefficients of the cusp and collinear anomalous dimensions, used throughout the note. In appendix B, we provide for completeness also the one-loop quark impact factor.

2 Playing with finite terms

In order to illustrate the issue, we shall consider the tree-level amplitude for gluon-gluon scattering $g_a g_b \rightarrow g_{a'} g_{b'}$, which may be written as [3],

$$\mathcal{M}_{gg \rightarrow gg}^{(0)aa'bb'} = 2s \left[g_s (T_G^c)_{aa'} C^{i(0)}(p_a, p_{a'}) \right] \frac{1}{t} \left[g_s (T_G^c)_{bb'} C^{j(0)}(p_b, p_{b'}) \right], \quad (2.1)$$

where a, a', b, b' represent the colours of the scattering gluons, and G represents the adjoint representation of $SU(N)$, with $(T_G^c)_{ab} = if^{acb}$, with the colour matrices normalised in the

¹The one-loop central emission vertex [42, 48–50] has not been analysed yet in this fashion.

fundamental representation as $\text{tr}(T_F^c T_F^d) = \delta^{cd}/2$. The coefficient functions $C^{g(0)}$, which yield the leading-order impact factors, are given in ref. [3] in terms of their spin structure and in ref. [53] at fixed helicities of the external partons. Their specific form, though, is immaterial here, since we shall factor the tree amplitude away.

Note that to leading power of s/t , amplitudes are dominated by gluon exchange in the t channel, i.e. only t -channel gluon ladders contribute to scattering amplitudes in the high-energy limit. Note also that the colour component of the amplitude (2.1) is odd under $s \leftrightarrow u$ exchange, and it defines the exchange of an antisymmetric octet in the t channel. However, also the kinematic component of eq. (2.1) is odd under $s \leftrightarrow u$ exchange, due to the overall factor of s/t and since in the high-energy limit $u \simeq -s$. Thus, the amplitude (2.1) is even under $s \leftrightarrow u$ exchange, which is a general feature when only t -channel gluon ladders contribute.

In the loop corrections to a scattering amplitude in the high-energy limit, two or more gluons characterise the ladder exchange in the t -channel exchange. Colour-wise, the gluon ladder is then described by the $8 \otimes 8$ colour representation, which is decomposed as $8 \otimes 8 = \{1 \oplus 8_s \oplus 27\} \oplus [8_a \oplus 10 \oplus \bar{10}]$, where the term in curly brackets in the direct sum is even under $s \leftrightarrow u$ exchange, while the term in square brackets is odd. However, to LL accuracy in $\ln(s/|t|)$, only the antisymmetric octet contributes. In fact, the virtual radiative corrections to eq. (2.1) are obtained, to all orders in α_s , by replacing [3],

$$\frac{1}{t} \rightarrow \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{s}{-t} \right)^{\alpha(t)}, \tag{2.2}$$

in eq. (2.1), where $\alpha(t)$ is the one-loop Regge trajectory, which is related to a one-loop transverse-momentum integration. In dimensional regularization, it can be written as

$$\alpha(t) = g_s^2 C_A \frac{2}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{-t} \right)^\epsilon c_\Gamma, \tag{2.3}$$

with $C_A = N_c$, the number of colours, and

$$c_\Gamma = \frac{\kappa_\Gamma}{(4\pi)^2}, \quad \kappa_\Gamma = (4\pi)^\epsilon \frac{\Gamma(1+\epsilon)\Gamma^2(1-\epsilon)}{\Gamma(1-2\epsilon)}. \tag{2.4}$$

The reggeization of the gluon is the fact that higher order corrections to gluon exchange in the t channel can be accounted for by dressing the gluon propagator with the exponential of eq. (2.2). Thus, at LL accuracy in $\ln(s/t)$, i.e. considering the corrections of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^n \ln^n(s/t))$, the amplitude is real, and only the antisymmetric octet contributes to it.

At NLL accuracy in $\ln(s/t)$, i.e. considering the corrections of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^n \ln^{n-1}(s/t))$, the amplitude develops an imaginary part, however one can show, either within the high-energy limit [54] or through infrared factorisation [25], that only the antisymmetric octet contributes to the real part of the amplitude. That is the reggeization of the gluon at NLL accuracy. However, because also virtual corrections to the impact factors contribute to the scattering amplitude at NLL accuracy, one needs a prescription to disentangle the virtual corrections to the impact factors in eq. (2.1) from the ones that reggeize the gluon (2.2).

For the antisymmetric octet at NLL accuracy, that prescription is provided by [38]

$$\mathcal{M}_{gg \rightarrow gg}^{aa'bb'} = s [g_s (T_G^c)_{aa'} C^g(p_a, p_{a'})] \frac{1}{t} \left[\left(\frac{-s}{-t} \right)^{\alpha(t)} + \left(\frac{s}{-t} \right)^{\alpha(t)} \right] [g_s (T_G^c)_{bb'} C^g(p_b, p_{b'})], \quad (2.5)$$

where we analytically continue $\ln(-s) = \ln(s) - i\pi$, for $s > 0$, and where the impact factors are real and have the loop expansion,

$$C^g(t) = C^{g(0)}(t) \left(1 + \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \tilde{g}_s^{2L} C^{g(L)}(\varepsilon) \right). \quad (2.6)$$

The Regge trajectory is loop-expanded as,

$$\alpha(t) = \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \tilde{g}_s^{2L} \alpha^{(L)}(\varepsilon), \quad (2.7)$$

with the one-loop term given in eq. (2.3). In eqs. (2.6) and (2.7) we have used the rescaled coupling,

$$\tilde{g}_s^2 = \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \kappa_{\Gamma} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{-t} \right)^{\varepsilon}. \quad (2.8)$$

Then we write the amplitude (2.5) as a loop expansion proportional to the tree amplitude,

$$\mathcal{M}_{gg \rightarrow gg}^{aa'bb'} = \mathcal{M}_{gg \rightarrow gg}^{(0)aa'bb'} \left(1 + \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \tilde{g}_s^{2L} \sum_{i=0}^L M_{gg \rightarrow gg}^{(L),i} \ln^i \left(\frac{s}{-t} \right) \right). \quad (2.9)$$

The real part of the one-loop term of eqs. (2.5) and (2.9) is

$$\text{Re} \left[M_{gg \rightarrow gg}^{(1)} \right] = \alpha^{(1)}(\varepsilon) \ln \left(\frac{s}{-t} \right) + 2 C^{g(1)}(\varepsilon). \quad (2.10)$$

The Regge trajectory, $\alpha^{(1)}(\varepsilon) = 2C_A/\varepsilon$, is in fact independent of the type of parton undergoing the high-energy scattering process. It is also independent of the infrared regularisation scheme which is used. Conversely, the one-loop impact factors are process and infrared-scheme dependent. They were computed in conventional dimensional regularization (CDR)/t-Hoof-Veltman (HV) schemes in refs. [37–42], and in the dimensional reduction (DR)/four dimensional helicity (FDH) schemes in refs. [41, 42]. To all orders of ε , the real part of the unrenormalised one-loop amplitude can be written as [42],

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re} \left[M_{gg \rightarrow gg}^{(1)} \right] &= \frac{2C_A}{\varepsilon} \left[-\frac{2}{\varepsilon} - 2\psi(1-\varepsilon) + \psi(1+\varepsilon) + \psi(1) + \ln \left(\frac{s}{-t} \right) \right] \\ &+ \left(\frac{1-\delta_R\varepsilon}{3-2\varepsilon} - 4 \right) \frac{C_A}{\varepsilon(1-2\varepsilon)} + \frac{2(1-\varepsilon)N_f}{\varepsilon(1-2\varepsilon)(3-2\varepsilon)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

with N_f the number of light quark flavours, and where, like in eq. (2.9), we have factored out $\tilde{g}_s^2 \mathcal{M}_{gg \rightarrow gg}^{(0)aa'bb'}$. In eq. (2.11), we have used the regularisation parameter, $\delta_R = 1$ in CDR/HV schemes, $\delta_R = 0$ in the DR/FDH schemes [55]. Note also that in the first line of

eq. (2.11) the transcendental functions come from one-loop box diagrams, while the rational functions in the second line come from one-loop bubble diagrams [42]. Using eq. (2.10), we can write the one-loop gluon impact factor to all orders of ε as,

$$C^{g(1)}(\varepsilon) = \frac{C_A}{\varepsilon} \left[-\frac{2}{\varepsilon} - 2\psi(1-\varepsilon) + \psi(1+\varepsilon) + \psi(1) \right] + \left(\frac{1-\delta_R\varepsilon}{3-2\varepsilon} - 4 \right) \frac{C_A}{2\varepsilon(1-2\varepsilon)} + \frac{(1-\varepsilon)N_f}{\varepsilon(1-2\varepsilon)(3-2\varepsilon)}. \quad (2.12)$$

Expanding eq. (2.12) in ε , its ε poles are accounted for by the cusp anomalous dimension and by the gluon collinear anomalous dimension [28],² so through $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ the one-loop gluon impact factor is

$$C^{g(1)}(\varepsilon) = -\frac{\gamma_K^{(1)}}{\varepsilon^2} C_A + \frac{4\gamma_g^{(1)}}{\varepsilon} + \frac{b_0}{2\varepsilon} + \left(3\zeta_2 - \frac{67}{18} \right) C_A + \frac{5}{9} N_f + \left[\left(\zeta_3 - \frac{202}{27} \right) C_A + \frac{28}{27} N_f \right] \varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2), \quad (2.13)$$

with $\gamma_K^{(1)}$ the one-loop coefficient of the cusp anomalous dimension (A.2), $\gamma_g^{(1)}$ the one-loop coefficient of the gluon collinear anomalous dimension (A.4), and b_0 the one-loop coefficient of the beta function (A.5). Up to the different coefficient of the ζ_2 term, we note that the $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^0)$ term is related to the two-loop cusp anomalous dimension, $\gamma_K^{(2)}$. Further, we can introduce the quantity, AD,³ defined as,

$$\text{AD} = \left(\frac{202}{27} - \zeta_3 \right) C_A - \frac{28}{27} N_f, \quad (2.14)$$

and we write eq. (2.13) as,

$$C^{g(1)}(\varepsilon) = -\frac{\gamma_K^{(1)}}{\varepsilon^2} C_A - \frac{b_0}{2\varepsilon} + 2\zeta_2 C_A - \gamma_K^{(2)} - \text{AD} \varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2). \quad (2.15)$$

Since we are interested in exploring the relation of eq. (2.13) to the two-loop Regge trajectory, out of the two-loop term in eqs. (2.5) and (2.9), we only need the real part of the coefficient of the single logarithm,

$$\text{Re} \left[M_{gg \rightarrow gg}^{(2),1} \right] = \alpha^{(2)}(\varepsilon) + 2 C^{g(1)}(\varepsilon) \alpha^{(1)}(\varepsilon), \quad (2.16)$$

where $\alpha^{(2)}(\varepsilon)$ is the two-loop Regge trajectory [43–47]. In CDR/HV, the unrenormalised two-loop trajectory reads,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{(2)}(\varepsilon) &= \frac{b_0}{\varepsilon^2} C_A + \frac{2\gamma_K^{(2)}}{\varepsilon} C_A + \left(\frac{404}{27} - 2\zeta_3 \right) C_A^2 - \frac{56}{27} C_A N_f + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \\ &= 2 \alpha^{(1)}(2\varepsilon) \left[\frac{b_0}{2\varepsilon} + \gamma_K^{(2)} + \text{AD} \varepsilon \right] + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon), \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

²Note that in ref. [28] the overall normalisation is different from the one of eq. (2.8).

³Up to an overall coefficient, AD coincides with the two-loop anomalous dimension, G_{eik} , computed in ref. [56], up to a typo in the sign of the N_f terms in eq. (32).

where in the second line we have used eq. (2.14) and factored out the one-loop trajectory $\alpha^{(1)}(\varepsilon) = 2C_A/\varepsilon$, and rewritten it as $2\alpha^{(1)}(2\varepsilon)$ for later convenience.

A few comments are in order, when we compare eqs. (2.15) and (2.17): as we said, in infrared and in high-energy factorisations, the finite part of the one-loop gluon impact factor and the finite part of the two-loop Regge trajectory are treated as free parameters, i.e. quantities to be determined by an explicit computation. However, besides the fact that the $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^0)$ term of the one-loop gluon impact factor (2.15) is related to the two-loop cusp anomalous dimension, up to the ζ_2 term with a different coefficient,⁴ we observe the even more surprising fact that the $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ term of one-loop gluon impact factor (2.15) exactly reproduces the $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^0)$ term of the two-loop trajectory (2.17). In fact, apart for the double pole and the different coefficient of the ζ_2 term, one obtains the two-loop trajectory (2.17) by changing sign to eq. (2.15) and multiplying it by $2C_A/\varepsilon$, i.e. by the one-loop trajectory. Such an iterative structure looks surprising: a parton-species dependent one-loop quantity predicts, albeit not fully, a process independent two-loop quantity.⁵

3 Discussion

We have no explanation for the intriguing effect we have observed in eq. (2.15), which hints at much more structure in the finite parts of scattering amplitudes in the high-energy limit than ever thought of so far. Although we ignore the physical mechanism which underpins it, it is worth making a few general remarks about a possible exponentiated form and iterative structure of the QCD scattering amplitudes in the high-energy limit, including their finite parts. However, since infrared factorisation treats the finite parts of impact factor and Regge trajectory as free parameters, thus offering no guidance to how they might exponentiate, the remarks below are purely heuristic.

We recall that, on other grounds, in ref. [28] it was hinted that the impact factors, including their finite contributions, might exponentiate. Compounded with the already known exponentiation of the Regge trajectory, that amounts, to NLL accuracy, to an exponentiation of the (real part of the) QCD one-loop amplitude, $M_{gg \rightarrow gg}^{(1)}$. However, at two loops, although higher orders terms through $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$ would be present, the square of the one-loop amplitude would yield merely the $2C^{g(1)}\alpha^{(1)}$ term of eq. (2.16), which is subtracted when we compute the two-loop trajectory. Thus, one would need additional one-loop terms, beyond simply the one-loop amplitude.

We note that there is an exponentiation pattern for which the finite part of the Regge trajectory is not a free parameter. That occurs in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, where the BDS ansatz [58, 59] prescribes that the four-point scattering amplitude be written as,

$$\mathcal{M}_4 = \mathcal{M}_4^{(0)} \exp \left[\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a^l \left(f^{(l)}(\varepsilon) M_n^{(1)}(l\varepsilon) + J^{(l)} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \right) \right], \quad (3.1)$$

⁴In a likely related context, SCET in the high-energy limit, the two-loop cusp anomalous dimension occurs as the finite term of a one-loop soft exchange [57].

⁵A similar structure can be distinguished also in the one-loop quark impact factor (B.3), although polluted by additional contributions.

where a is the 't-Hooft gauge coupling, and with $f^{(l)}(\varepsilon)$ an l -loop dependent second-order polynomial in ε ,

$$f^{(l)}(\varepsilon) = f_0^{(l)} + \varepsilon f_1^{(l)} + \varepsilon^2 f_2^{(l)}, \quad (3.2)$$

with $f^{(1)}(\varepsilon) = 1$ and where $f_0^{(l)}$ is proportional to the l -loop cusp anomalous dimension, and $f_1^{(l)}$ is proportional to the gluon collinear anomalous dimension. In eq. (3.1), $J^{(l)}$ are constants, with $J^{(1)} = 0$, and $M_n^{(L)}(\varepsilon)$ is the L -loop colour-stripped amplitude rescaled by the tree amplitude.

Accordingly, in the high-energy limit of the planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM amplitudes [60–63], the l -loop Regge trajectory can be written as [62],

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{N}=4}^{(l)}(\varepsilon) = 2^{l-1} \alpha^{(1)}(l\varepsilon) \left(f_0^{(l)} + \varepsilon f_1^{(l)} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon), \quad (3.3)$$

with $\alpha^{(1)}(\varepsilon) = 2/\varepsilon$ the colour-stripped one-loop trajectory of eq. (2.10). We note a couple of features, which are true to all loops in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM: firstly, eq. (3.3) is accurate through $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^0)$, thus the second-order term of eq. (3.2) is irrelevant to it; secondly, the cusp anomalous dimension fixes the single pole⁶ of the Regge trajectory, while the gluon collinear anomalous dimension fixes its finite term.

For $l = 2$, $f_0^{(2)} = -\zeta_2$ and $f_1^{(2)} = -\zeta_3$. The Regge trajectory $\alpha_{\mathcal{N}=4}^{(2)}$ (3.3) agrees with the explicit computation of the two-loop Regge trajectory in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM [62] and in full $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM [9], and is in agreement, to $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^0)$, with the terms of highest transcendentality of the QCD two-loop trajectory (2.17). For $l = 3$, $f_0^{(3)} = 11\zeta_4/2$ and $f_1^{(3)} = 6\zeta_5 + 5\zeta_2\zeta_3$. The Regge trajectory $\alpha_{\mathcal{N}=4}^{(3)}$ agrees with the explicit computation of the three-loop Regge trajectory in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM [62] and in full $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM [51].⁷

For the QCD amplitudes in the high-energy limit, it is tempting to conjecture an exponentiation pattern like in eq. (3.1), even more so given that in eq. (3.1) the dependence of $M_n^{(1)}$ on 2ε at two loops stems from the general infrared structure of the QCD two-loop amplitudes [64, 65]. However, let us also note the differences of the QCD amplitudes in the high-energy limit with respect to planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and/or to the BDS ansatz. Firstly, the finite part of the Regge trajectory (2.17) agrees with the QCD gluon collinear anomalous dimension only at the level of the terms of highest transcendentality. Secondly, the fact that the $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ term of the impact factor (2.15) agrees fully with the $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^0)$ term of the Regge trajectory (2.17), although present also in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, is unaccounted for by the BDS ansatz, which is accurate through $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^0)$.

Finally, if a similar pattern holds at three-loop level, it certainly does in a more intricate way, since the finite part of the three-loop Regge trajectory in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM [62] does not occur as such in the higher order terms in ε of the lower-loop impact factor and Regge trajectory.

That concludes our heuristic exploration of possible exponentiation patterns. As regards the underpinning physical mechanism, it is conceivable that since the high-energy

⁶Since in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM the beta function is vanishing, higher order ε poles do not occur.

⁷If we assign the N_c -subleading terms of the coefficient of the single logarithm to the factorisation violations, both for the infrared poles [28] and for the finite parts. This prescription is consistent with the fact that the N_c -leading term of the coefficient of the single logarithm of full $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM agree with the coefficient of the single logarithm of planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM.

limit is characterised by two large and disparate hard scales $s \gg -t \gg \Lambda^2$, the infrared factorisation formulae need be revisited to account for it, with the possible outcome that also some finite parts of the amplitudes exponentiate, beyond what was envisaged in ref. [28]. Exploring it is left to future analyses.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Lance Dixon, Claude Duhr, Giulio Falcioni, Einan Gardi, Lorenzo Magnea, Bernhard Mistlberger for stimulating discussions and for a critical reading of the manuscript.

A Anomalous dimensions

The perturbative expansion of the cusp anomalous dimension [66, 67], divided by the relevant quadratic Casimir factor C_i , is

$$\gamma_K(\alpha_s) = \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \gamma_K^{(L)} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right)^L, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

with coefficients

$$\gamma_K^{(1)} = 2, \quad \gamma_K^{(2)} = \left(\frac{67}{18} - \zeta_2 \right) C_A - \frac{5}{9} N_f. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

The perturbative expansion of the collinear anomalous dimension is

$$\gamma_i(\alpha_s) = \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \gamma_i^{(L)} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right)^L, \quad i = q, g, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

with coefficients,

$$\gamma_g^{(1)} = -\frac{b_0}{4}, \quad \gamma_q^{(1)} = -\frac{3}{4} C_F, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where b_0 is the coefficient of the beta function,

$$b_0 = \frac{11C_A - 2N_F}{3}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

As customary in the literature, the expansion in eqs. (A.1) and (A.3) is in α_s/π , while the impact factor (2.6) and the Regge trajectory (2.7) are expanded as in eq. (2.8), however the difference is understood, and should not generate any confusion.

B Quark impact factor

In CDR/HV, to all orders of ε the one-loop quark impact factor is [39]

$$C^{q(1)}(\varepsilon) = \frac{N_c}{\varepsilon} \left[-\frac{2}{\varepsilon} - 2\psi(1-\varepsilon) + \psi(1+\varepsilon) + \psi(1) + \frac{1}{1-2\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{4(3-2\varepsilon)} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} - \frac{7}{4} \right) \right] + \frac{1}{N_c} \frac{1}{\varepsilon(1-2\varepsilon)} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} - \frac{1-2\varepsilon}{2} \right) - \frac{(1-\varepsilon)N_f}{\varepsilon(1-2\varepsilon)(3-2\varepsilon)}. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

Expanding eq. (2.12) in ε , its ε poles are accounted for by the cusp anomalous dimension and by the quark collinear anomalous dimension, so through $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ the one-loop quark impact factor is

$$C^{q(1)}(\varepsilon) = -\frac{\gamma_K^{(1)}}{\varepsilon^2} C_F + \frac{4}{\varepsilon} \gamma_q^{(1)} + \frac{b_0}{2\varepsilon} - 8C_F + \left(3\zeta_2 + \frac{85}{18}\right) C_A - \frac{5}{9} N_f + \left[-16C_F + \left(\zeta_3 + \frac{256}{27}\right) C_A - \frac{28}{27} N_f\right] \varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2), \quad (\text{B.2})$$

with $\gamma_q^{(1)}$ the one-loop coefficient of the quark collinear anomalous dimension (A.4). eq. (B.2) can be also written as,

$$C^{q(1)}(\varepsilon) = -\frac{\gamma_K^{(1)}}{\varepsilon^2} C_F + \frac{4}{\varepsilon} \gamma_q^{(1)} + \left[\frac{b_0}{2\varepsilon} + \gamma_K^{(2)} + \text{AD} \varepsilon\right] + (1 + 4\zeta_2) C_A - 8C_F + [2(1 + \zeta_3) C_A - 16C_F] \varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2), \quad (\text{B.3})$$

which shows that the same structure found in eqs. (2.15) and (2.17) can be distinguished also in the one-loop quark impact factor, although polluted by additional contributions.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] L.N. Lipatov, *Reggeization of the vector meson and the vacuum singularity in nonabelian gauge theories*, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **23** (1976) 338 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] V.S. Fadin, E.A. Kuraev and L.N. Lipatov, *On the Pomeron singularity in asymptotically free theories*, *Phys. Lett.* **60B** (1975) 50 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] E.A. Kuraev, L.N. Lipatov and V.S. Fadin, *Multi-reggeon processes in the Yang-Mills theory*, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **44** (1976) 443 [*Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **71** (1976) 840] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] E.A. Kuraev, L.N. Lipatov and V.S. Fadin, *The Pomeron singularity in nonabelian gauge theories*, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **45** (1977) 199 [*Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **72** (1977) 377] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] I.I. Balitsky and L.N. Lipatov, *The Pomeron singularity in quantum chromodynamics*, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **28** (1978) 822 [*Yad. Fiz.* **28** (1978) 1597] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] V.S. Fadin and L.N. Lipatov, *BFKL Pomeron in the next-to-leading approximation*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 429** (1998) 127 [[hep-ph/9802290](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] M. Ciafaloni and G. Camici, *Energy scale(s) and next-to-leading BFKL equation*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 430** (1998) 349 [[hep-ph/9803389](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] A.V. Kotikov and L.N. Lipatov, *NLO corrections to the BFKL equation in QCD and in supersymmetric gauge theories*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 582** (2000) 19 [[hep-ph/0004008](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] A.V. Kotikov and L.N. Lipatov, *DGLAP and BFKL equations in the $N = 4$ supersymmetric gauge theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 661** (2003) 19 [*Erratum ibid.* **B 685** (2004) 405] [[hep-ph/0208220](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [10] L.J. Dixon, C. Duhr and J. Pennington, *Single-valued harmonic polylogarithms and the multi-Regge limit*, *JHEP* **10** (2012) 074 [[arXiv:1207.0186](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] V. Del Duca, L.J. Dixon, C. Duhr and J. Pennington, *The BFKL equation, Mueller-Navelet jets and single-valued harmonic polylogarithms*, *JHEP* **02** (2014) 086 [[arXiv:1309.6647](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] F.C.S. Brown, *Single-valued multiple polylogarithms in one variable*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I* **338** (2004) 527.
- [13] V. Del Duca et al., *Multi-Regge kinematics and the moduli space of Riemann spheres with marked points*, *JHEP* **08** (2016) 152 [[arXiv:1606.08807](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [14] F.C.S. Brown, *Single-valued hyperlogarithms and unipotent differential equations*, <http://www.ihes.fr/~brown/RHpaper5.pdf>.
- [15] F. Brown, *Single-valued motivic periods and multiple Zeta values*, *SIGMA* **2** (2014) e25 [[arXiv:1309.5309](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] A.B. Goncharov, *Multiple polylogarithms and mixed Tate motives*, [math/0103059](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [17] F.C.S. Brown, *Multiple zeta values and periods of moduli spaces $M_0, n(R)$* , *Annales Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* **42** (2009) 371 [[math/0606419](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] V. Del Duca, C. Duhr, R. Marzucca and B. Verbeek, *The analytic structure and the transcendental weight of the BFKL ladder at NLL accuracy*, *JHEP* **10** (2017) 001 [[arXiv:1705.10163](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] O. Schnetz, *Numbers and functions in quantum field theory*, [arXiv:1606.08598](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] M.G. Sotiropoulos and G.F. Sterman, *Color exchange in near forward hard elastic scattering*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **419** (1994) 59 [[hep-ph/9310279](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] G.P. Korchemsky, *On near forward high-energy scattering in QCD*, *Phys. Lett. B* **325** (1994) 459 [[hep-ph/9311294](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] I.A. Korchemskaya and G.P. Korchemsky, *High-energy scattering in QCD and cross singularities of Wilson loops*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **437** (1995) 127 [[hep-ph/9409446](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [23] I.A. Korchemskaya and G.P. Korchemsky, *Evolution equation for gluon Regge trajectory*, *Phys. Lett. B* **387** (1996) 346 [[hep-ph/9607229](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [24] V. Del Duca, C. Duhr, E. Gardi, L. Magnea and C.D. White, *An infrared approach to Reggeization*, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012) 071104 [[arXiv:1108.5947](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [25] V. Del Duca, C. Duhr, E. Gardi, L. Magnea and C.D. White, *The infrared structure of gauge theory amplitudes in the high-energy limit*, *JHEP* **12** (2011) 021 [[arXiv:1109.3581](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [26] S. Caron-Huot, *When does the gluon reggeize?*, *JHEP* **05** (2015) 093 [[arXiv:1309.6521](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] V. Del Duca, G. Falcioni, L. Magnea and L. Vernazza, *High-energy QCD amplitudes at two loops and beyond*, *Phys. Lett. B* **732** (2014) 233 [[arXiv:1311.0304](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [28] V. Del Duca, G. Falcioni, L. Magnea and L. Vernazza, *Analyzing high-energy factorization beyond next-to-leading logarithmic accuracy*, *JHEP* **02** (2015) 029 [[arXiv:1409.8330](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] S. Caron-Huot, E. Gardi and L. Vernazza, *Two-parton scattering in the high-energy limit*, *JHEP* **06** (2017) 016 [[arXiv:1701.05241](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [30] S. Caron-Huot, E. Gardi, J. Reichel and L. Vernazza, *Infrared singularities of QCD scattering amplitudes in the Regge limit to all orders*, [arXiv:1711.04850](#) [INSPIRE].
- [31] S.M. Aybat, L.J. Dixon and G.F. Sterman, *The two-loop anomalous dimension matrix for soft gluon exchange*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97** (2006) 072001 [[hep-ph/0606254](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [32] S.M. Aybat, L.J. Dixon and G.F. Sterman, *The two-loop soft anomalous dimension matrix and resummation at next-to-next-to leading pole*, *Phys. Rev. D* **74** (2006) 074004 [[hep-ph/0607309](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [33] T. Becher and M. Neubert, *Infrared singularities of scattering amplitudes in perturbative QCD*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** (2009) 162001 [[arXiv:0901.0722](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [34] E. Gardi and L. Magnea, *Factorization constraints for soft anomalous dimensions in QCD scattering amplitudes*, *JHEP* **03** (2009) 079 [[arXiv:0901.1091](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [35] Ø. Almeland, C. Duhr and E. Gardi, *Three-loop corrections to the soft anomalous dimension in multileg scattering*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117** (2016) 172002 [[arXiv:1507.00047](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [36] Ø. Almeland, C. Duhr, E. Gardi, A. McLeod and C.D. White, *Bootstrapping the QCD soft anomalous dimension*, *JHEP* **09** (2017) 073 [[arXiv:1706.10162](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [37] V.S. Fadin and R. Fiore, *Quark contribution to the gluon-gluon-Reggeon vertex in QCD*, *Phys. Lett. B* **294** (1992) 286 [INSPIRE].
- [38] V.S. Fadin and L.N. Lipatov, *Radiative corrections to QCD scattering amplitudes in a multi-Regge kinematics*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **406** (1993) 259 [INSPIRE].
- [39] V.S. Fadin, R. Fiore and A. Quartarolo, *Radiative corrections to quark quark reggeon vertex in QCD*, *Phys. Rev. D* **50** (1994) 2265 [[hep-ph/9310252](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [40] L.N. Lipatov, *Small x physics in perturbative QCD*, *Phys. Rept.* **286** (1997) 131 [[hep-ph/9610276](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [41] V. Del Duca and C.R. Schmidt, *Virtual next-to-leading corrections to the impact factors in the high-energy limit*, *Phys. Rev. D* **57** (1998) 4069 [[hep-ph/9711309](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [42] Z. Bern, V. Del Duca and C.R. Schmidt, *The infrared behavior of one loop gluon amplitudes at next-to-next-to-leading order*, *Phys. Lett. B* **445** (1998) 168 [[hep-ph/9810409](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [43] V.S. Fadin, M.I. Kotsky and R. Fiore, *Gluon reggeization in QCD in the next-to-leading order*, *Phys. Lett. B* **359** (1995) 181 [INSPIRE].
- [44] V.S. Fadin, R. Fiore and M.I. Kotsky, *Gluon Regge trajectory in the two loop approximation*, *Phys. Lett. B* **387** (1996) 593 [[hep-ph/9605357](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [45] V.S. Fadin, R. Fiore and A. Quartarolo, *Reggeization of quark quark scattering amplitude in QCD*, *Phys. Rev. D* **53** (1996) 2729 [[hep-ph/9506432](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [46] J. Blumlein, V. Ravindran and W.L. van Neerven, *On the gluon Regge trajectory in $O(\alpha_s^2)$* , *Phys. Rev. D* **58** (1998) 091502 [[hep-ph/9806357](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [47] V. Del Duca and E.W.N. Glover, *The high-energy limit of QCD at two loops*, *JHEP* **10** (2001) 035 [[hep-ph/0109028](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [48] V.S. Fadin, R. Fiore and M.I. Kotsky, *Gribov's theorem on soft emission and the reggeon-reggeon-gluon vertex at small transverse momentum*, *Phys. Lett. B* **389** (1996) 737 [[hep-ph/9608229](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [49] V.S. Fadin, *BFKL news*, [hep-ph/9807528](#) [INSPIRE].
- [50] V. Del Duca and C.R. Schmidt, *Virtual next-to-leading corrections to the Lipatov vertex*, *Phys. Rev. D* **59** (1999) 074004 [[hep-ph/9810215](#)] [INSPIRE].

- [51] J.M. Henn and B. Mistlberger, *Four-gluon scattering at three loops, infrared structure and the Regge limit*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117** (2016) 171601 [[arXiv:1608.00850](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [52] V.S. Fadin, *Particularities of the NNLLA BFKL*, *AIP Conf. Proc.* **1819** (2017) 060003 [[arXiv:1612.04481](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [53] V. Del Duca, *Equivalence of the Parke-Taylor and the Fadin-Kuraev-Lipatov amplitudes in the high-energy limit*, *Phys. Rev. D* **52** (1995) 1527 [[hep-ph/9503340](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [54] V.S. Fadin, R. Fiore, M.G. Kozlov and A.V. Reznichenko, *Proof of the multi-Regge form of QCD amplitudes with gluon exchanges in the NLA*, *Phys. Lett. B* **639** (2006) 74 [[hep-ph/0602006](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [55] S. Catani, M.H. Seymour and Z. Trócsányi, *Regularization scheme independence and unitarity in QCD cross-sections*, *Phys. Rev. D* **55** (1997) 6819 [[hep-ph/9610553](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [56] O. Erdogan and G. Sterman, *Gauge theory webs and surfaces*, *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015) 016003 [[arXiv:1112.4564](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [57] I.Z. Rothstein and I.W. Stewart, *An effective field theory for forward scattering and factorization violation*, *JHEP* **08** (2016) 025 [[arXiv:1601.04695](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [58] C. Anastasiou, Z. Bern, L.J. Dixon and D.A. Kosower, *Planar amplitudes in maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91** (2003) 251602 [[hep-th/0309040](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [59] Z. Bern, L.J. Dixon and V.A. Smirnov, *Iteration of planar amplitudes in maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory at three loops and beyond*, *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 085001 [[hep-th/0505205](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [60] J.M. Drummond, G.P. Korchemsky and E. Sokatchev, *Conformal properties of four-gluon planar amplitudes and Wilson loops*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **795** (2008) 385 [[arXiv:0707.0243](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [61] S.G. Naculich and H.J. Schnitzer, *Regge behavior of gluon scattering amplitudes in $N = 4$ SYM theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **794** (2008) 189 [[arXiv:0708.3069](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [62] V. Del Duca and E.W.N. Glover, *Testing high-energy factorization beyond the next-to-leading-logarithmic accuracy*, *JHEP* **05** (2008) 056 [[arXiv:0802.4445](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [63] V. Del Duca, C. Duhr and E.W.N. Glover, *Iterated amplitudes in the high-energy limit*, *JHEP* **12** (2008) 097 [[arXiv:0809.1822](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [64] S. Catani, *The singular behavior of QCD amplitudes at two loop order*, *Phys. Lett. B* **427** (1998) 161 [[hep-ph/9802439](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [65] G.F. Sterman and M.E. Tejeda-Yeomans, *Multiloop amplitudes and resummation*, *Phys. Lett. B* **552** (2003) 48 [[hep-ph/0210130](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [66] G.P. Korchemsky and A.V. Radyushkin, *Loop space formalism and renormalization group for the infrared asymptotics of QCD*, *Phys. Lett. B* **171** (1986) 459 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [67] S. Moch, J.A.M. Vermaseren and A. Vogt, *The three loop splitting functions in QCD: the nonsinglet case*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **688** (2004) 101 [[hep-ph/0403192](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].