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## 1 Introduction

In the past thirty years, AdS backgrounds have found widespread applications in supergravity, string theory and M-theory. Following the original work of Freund and Rubin [1], AdS backgrounds have been used in supergravity compactifications, for reviews see $[2,3]$ and references within, and more recently in AdS/CFT [4]. In particular, IIB AdS backgrounds, like $\operatorname{AdS} S_{5} \times S^{5}$, have been instrumental in the foundation of AdS/CFT correspondence. Because of this, there is an extensive literature in constructing such IIB backgrounds and in exploring their applications, for some selected publications see [5]-[11]. So far the construction of most supersymmetric AdS backgrounds has been based on ansatzes on either the form of the fields, or of the Killing spinors. ${ }^{1}$ As a result, most of the investigations have not been systematic, and to our knowledge there is no full classification of AdS backgrounds.

In this paper, we initiate the classification of all IIB AdS backgrounds by specifying the fractions of supersymmetry preserved by such backgrounds. In a future publication, we shall present their geometry [12]. In particular, we shall solve the KSEs of IIB supergravity without any additional assumptions ${ }^{2}$ on the fields and Killing spinors, apart from imposing on the former the symmetries of the AdS spaces. As a result, we identify the a priori number of supersymmetries $N$ preserved by these backgrounds. In particular, we show that for $\operatorname{AdS} S_{n} \times_{w} M^{10-n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=2^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} k, \quad 2 \leq n \leq 4 ; \quad N=2^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+1} k, \quad 4<n \leq 6, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}$. To prove the above result for $A d S_{2}$ backgrounds, we suitably restrict the fields and the transverse space $M^{8}$, eg $M^{8}$ can be taken to be compact without boundary, but such an assumption is not necessary for the rest of the backgrounds. Because of the classification results of [13-16], the number of supersymmetries $N$ are further restricted. In particular, it is known that there are no $A d S_{2}$ backgrounds with $N>26$ supersymmetries. As a result $k<14$ in this case. Similar restrictions apply to the other cases and the collected results can be found in table 1. Furthermore all solutions preserving more than 16 supersymmetries are homogenous [17].

Furthermore, we demonstrate that the the Killing spinors of $A d S_{n} \times_{w} M^{10-n}$ backgrounds can be identified with the zero modes of Dirac-like operators on $M^{10-n}$ coupled to fluxes. For this and under suitable assumptions on $M^{10-n}$, we prove new Lichnerowicz type theorems which give a 1-1 correspondence between the solutions of the KSEs and the zero modes of appropriate Dirac-like operators $\mathscr{D}^{( \pm)}$. As a consequence, we find that for $A d S_{n}$ backgrounds

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=2\left(N_{-}+\operatorname{Index}(D)\right), \quad n=2 ; \quad N=\ell(n) N_{-}, \quad n>2, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $D$ is the Dirac operator possibly twisted with a $\mathrm{U}(1)$ ) bundle on $M^{8}, N_{-}=$ $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} \mathscr{D}^{(-)}$and $\ell(n)=2^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}$ for $2<n \leq 4$ and $\ell(n)=4$ for $4<n \leq 6$. Observe that $N_{-}$is even for $n=5$ and $N_{-}=4 k$ for $n=6$.

Our $A d S_{n}$ results can be adapted to $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times{ }_{w} M^{10-n}$ backgrounds in the limit that the AdS radius goes to infinity. This limit is smooth in all our local computations but some of the regularity assumptions needed to establish some of our global results, like the new Lichnerowicz type theorems, are no longer valid. Nevertheless, we have solved the KSEs and the number of supersymmetries preserved by the $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times{ }_{w} M^{10-n}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=2^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} k, \quad 2<n \leq 4 ; \quad N=2^{\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]} k, \quad 4<n \leq 8 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The supersymmetries preserved by $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times{ }_{w} M^{8}$ backgrounds cannot be decided. This is because to show that $A d S_{2} \times{ }_{w} M^{8}$ preserves an even number of supersymmetries requires the use of a maximum principle argument which may not be valid for $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times{ }_{w} M^{8}$ backgrounds. The results have been tabulated in table 2.

To prove our results, we have to solve the gravitino and dilatino KSEs of IIB supergravity for AdS backgrounds. For this, we have used the observation in [18] that all AdS backgrounds can be described as near horizon geometries of extreme Killing horizons. This facilitates the integrability of the KSEs along the AdS directions. First after decomposing the Killing spinor as $\epsilon=\epsilon_{+}+\epsilon_{-}$, where $\Gamma_{ \pm} \epsilon_{ \pm}=0$ are lightcone projections, we use the near horizon results of [19] to integrate the KSEs along two lightcone directions, see also $[20,21]$. After the integration the Killing spinors are written as $\epsilon_{ \pm}=\epsilon_{ \pm}\left(\eta_{+}, \eta_{-} ; r, u\right)$, where $(r, u)$ are appropriate coordinates, $\left.\epsilon_{ \pm}\right|_{r=u=0}=\eta_{ \pm}$and $\eta_{ \pm}$are spinors which are now localized on the co-dimension two subspace $\mathcal{S}$ given by $r=u=0$ which is the horizon spatial section. To identify the remaining independent KSEs we use a key result of [19], in which it is shown, after a rather involved argument and the use of field equations, that the remaining independent KSEs are derived from the naive restriction of the original KSEs on $\mathcal{S}$. The final result is two sets of KSEs on $\mathcal{S}$ one acting on $\eta_{+}$and the other on $\eta_{-}$. Each set contains a parallel transport equation associated to the original gravitino and one algebraic KSE associated to the original dilatino KSE. This suffices to integrate the KSEs on $A d S_{2} \times_{w} M^{8}$ along the $A d S_{2}$ directions as $M^{8}=\mathcal{S}$.

For the rest of the $A d S_{n}$ backgrounds, the KSEs can be integrated along all AdS directions. For this, the Killing spinors $\eta_{ \pm}$are expressed as $\eta_{+}=\eta_{+}\left(\sigma_{+}, \tau_{+}, x\right)$ and $\eta_{-}=$ $\eta_{-}\left(\sigma_{-}, \tau_{-}, x\right)$ where now $\sigma_{ \pm}$and $\tau_{ \pm}$are localized on $M^{10-n}$ and $x$ denotes AdS coordinates. The independent KSEs can be organized into four sets of equations on $M^{10-n}$, one for each $\sigma_{ \pm}$and $\tau_{ \pm}$. Each set contains three KSEs. The first two are associated to the original gravitino and dilatino KSEs, and there is an additional algebraic KSE which arises from the process of integrating over the remaining $A d S_{n}$ directions.

The counting of supersymmetries proceeds as follows. The proof that for $A d S_{2}$ backgrounds the number of supersymmetries is even and the formula in (1.2) follows from the results of [19] on the number of supersymmetries preserved by near horizon geometries. For this, it is required that the fields and $M^{8}$ satisfy the conditions for the Hopf maximum principle to apply. In particular, $M^{8}$ can be taken to be compact and connected without boundary, and the fields smooth.

The counting of supersymmetries for the remaining $A d S_{n}, n>2$, backgrounds is done in a different way. In particular it is observed that there are Clifford algebra operators which intertwine between the four sets of KSEs. So given a solution in one set of KSEs, one automatically has solutions in the other sets. After identifying all the intertwining Clifford algebra operators for each of the $A d S_{n}$ backgrounds, one derives the results of (1.1). It should be noted that for the proof of (1.1), there is no need to put any restriction on $M^{10-n}$ or on the fields as is done for $A d S_{2}$ case.

The proof of the formula for $N$ in (1.2) for $A d S_{2}$ backgrounds is similar to that for near horizon geometries. As has been established in [19], this necessitates the proof of two Lichnerowicz type theorems, one for each $\eta_{ \pm}$spinor. The proof of these theorems are based on the Hopf maximum principle and a partial integration formula. A simplification for $A d S_{2}$ backgrounds is that both Lichnerowicz type theorems can be demonstrated using only the Hopf maximum principle.

Furthermore, the proof of the formula for $N$ in (1.2) for the remaining $A d S_{n}$ backgrounds again requires the proof of four Lichnerowicz type theorems one for each of the spinors $\sigma_{ \pm}$and $\tau_{ \pm}$. The proof of these theorems utilizes the Hopf maximum principle on the square of the length of the spinors $\sigma_{ \pm}$and $\tau_{ \pm}$. Instrumental in the proof is the use of the field equations and the choice of modified Dirac-like operators $\mathscr{D}^{( \pm)}$on $M^{10-n}$ coupled to fluxes. These modified Dirac-like operators are constructed as an appropriate linear combination of the Dirac operator associated with the gravitino KSEs on $M^{10-n}$ and the new algebraic KSEs that arise in the analysis. It is remarkable that the zero modes of these modified Dirac-like operators solve not only the parallel transport equation, which is expected from the classic Lichnerowicz theorem, but also solve the two algebraic KSEs. Note that unlike the $A d S_{2}$ case, in the remaining $A d S_{n}$ cases, there is no contribution from the index of the Dirac operator to $N$, as it vanishes.

To prove the formula (1.3) for the number of supersymmetries preserved by $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times_{w}$ $M^{10-n}$ backgrounds, one takes the AdS radius to infinity. All the local computations for $A d S_{n}$ backgrounds are valid in this limit and so carry through without alterations. However, as we shall explain this is not the case for some of the global results, like the Lichnerowicz type theorems, which require for their validity certain regularity restrictions on the fields which are no longer valid. Another significant difference, which affects the counting of supersymmetries, is that in the limit of infinite AdS radius the spinors $\sigma_{ \pm}$and $\tau_{ \pm}$are no longer linearly independent. Because of this, the counting of supersymmetries between $A d S_{n}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$ backgrounds is different.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we explain how the $A d S_{n} \times_{w} M^{10-n}$ backgrounds can be written as near horizon geometries, and summarize the key results of [19] regarding the solution of IIB KSEs for near horizon geometries. In section 3, we solve the KSEs for $A d S_{2} \times{ }_{w} M^{8}$ backgrounds and find under which conditions the number of supersymmetries preserved is even. In section 4, we prove new Lichnerowicz type theorems for $A d S_{2} \times_{w} M^{8}$ backgrounds and identify Killing spinors with the zero modes of a Diraclike operator on $M^{8}$. In section 5 and 6,7 and 8,9 and 10,11 and 12 , the KSEs are solved and identification of Killing spinors as zero modes of Dirac-like operators on $M^{10-n}$ is done, for $A d S_{3} \times_{w} M^{7}, A d S_{4} \times_{w} M^{6}, A d S_{5} \times_{w} M^{5}$ and $A d S_{6} \times_{w} M^{4}$ backgrounds, respectively,
resulting in the proof of formulae (1.1) and (1.2). In section 13, we show that there are no supersymmetric $A d S_{n} \times_{w} M^{10-n}$ backgrounds for $n>6$. In section 14, we prove the formula (1.3) for $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times{ }_{w} M^{10-n}$ backgrounds and in section 15 , we give our conclusions. In appendix A, we have summarized our conventions. In appendices B, C, D, E, we present the proof of the maximum principle formulae on the length of zero modes of $\mathscr{D}^{( \pm)}$, required to prove the new Lichnerowicz type theorems for $A d S_{n} \times{ }_{w} M^{10-n}$ backgrounds, $2<n<7$.

## 2 AdS and near horizon geometries

### 2.1 Warped AdS and flat backgrounds

The warped AdS and flat backgrounds can be written universally as near horizon geometries [18]. Let $F, G$ and $P$ be the 5 -, 3 - and 1-form field strengths of IIB supergravity. All AdS backgrounds can be described in terms of the fields

$$
\begin{align*}
d s^{2} & =2 \mathbf{e}^{+} \mathbf{e}^{-}+d s^{2}(\mathcal{S}), & & F=r \mathbf{e}^{+} \wedge X+\mathbf{e}^{+} \wedge \mathbf{e}^{-} \wedge Y+\star_{8} Y, \\
G & =r \mathbf{e}^{+} \wedge L+\mathbf{e}^{+} \wedge \mathbf{e}^{-} \wedge \Phi+H, & & P=\xi, \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have introduced the frame

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{e}^{+}=d u, \quad \mathbf{e}^{-}=d r+r h-\frac{1}{2} r^{2} \Delta d u, \quad \mathbf{e}^{i}=e_{I}^{i} d y{ }^{I}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}(\mathcal{S})=\delta_{i j} \mathrm{e}^{i} \mathbf{e}^{j}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the metric on the horizon spatial section $\mathcal{S}$ which is the co-dimension 2 submanifold given by the equations $r=u=0$. In addition, the self-duality of $F$ requires that $X=-\star_{8} X$. The dependence on the coordinates $u$ and $r$ is explicitly given. $\Delta, h, Y$ are $0-, 1$ - and 3 -forms on $\mathcal{S}$, respectively, $\Phi, L$ and $H$ are $\lambda$-twisted 1 -, 2 - and 3 -forms on $\mathcal{S}$, respectively, and $\xi$ is a $\lambda^{2}$-twisted 1 -form on $\mathcal{S}$, where $\lambda$ arises from the pull back of the canonical bundle of the scalar manifold ${ }^{3} \mathrm{SU}(1,1) / \mathrm{U}(1)$ on $\mathcal{S}$. Furthermore, the Bianchi identities imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=d_{h} Y-\frac{i}{8}(\Phi \wedge \bar{H}-\bar{\Phi} \wedge H), \quad L=d_{h} \Phi-i \Lambda \wedge \Phi+\xi \wedge \bar{\Phi} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so $X$ and $L$ are not independent fields.
Moreover, viewing the backgrounds $A d S_{n} \times_{w} M^{10-n}$ as near horizon geometries, the spatial horizon sections $\mathcal{S}$ are $\mathcal{S}=H^{n-2} \times_{w} M^{10-n}$, ie warped products of hyperbolic (n-2)-dimensional space with $M^{10-n}$. This can be easily seen after the fields are stated explicitly for each case below.

Although all AdS backgrounds are described by (2.1), the field dependence of individual AdS cases differs. To address this, we shall separately state the fields in each case as follows.

[^1]
### 2.1.1 $A d S_{2} \times_{w} M^{8}$

In this case $M^{8}=\mathcal{S}$ and the fields become

$$
\begin{align*}
d s^{2} & =2 d u\left(d r+r h-\frac{1}{2} r^{2} \Delta d u\right)+d s^{2}\left(M^{9}\right), & & F=\mathbf{e}^{+} \wedge \mathbf{e}^{-} \wedge Y+\star_{8} Y \\
G & =\mathbf{e}^{+} \wedge \mathbf{e}^{-} \wedge \Phi+H, & & P=\xi \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=-2 A^{-1} d A=\Delta^{-1} d \Delta, \quad X=L=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that $d h=0$ and $A$ is the warp factor.

### 2.1.2 $\quad A d S_{3} \times_{w} M^{7}$

The fields are

$$
\begin{align*}
& d s^{2}=2 d u(d r+r h)+A^{2} d z^{2}+d s^{2}\left(M^{7}\right), \quad F=A \mathbf{e}^{+} \wedge \mathbf{e}^{-} \wedge d z \wedge Y-\star_{7} Y \\
& G=A \mathbf{e}^{+} \wedge \mathbf{e}^{-} \wedge d z \wedge \Phi+H, \quad P=\xi, \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=-\frac{2}{\ell} d z-2 A^{-1} d A, \quad \Delta=0, \quad X=L=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\ell$ is the radius of AdS .

### 2.1.3 $\quad A d S_{4} \times_{w} M^{6}$

The fields are

$$
\begin{align*}
d s^{2} & =2 d u(d r+r h)+A^{2}\left(d z^{2}+e^{2 z / \ell} d x^{2}\right)+d s^{2}\left(M^{7}\right) \\
F & =A^{2} e^{z / \ell} \mathbf{e}^{+} \wedge \mathbf{e}^{-} \wedge d z \wedge d x \wedge Y+\star_{6} Y \\
G & =H, \quad P=\xi \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=-\frac{2}{\ell} d z-2 A^{-1} d A, \quad \Delta=0, \quad X=L=0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.1.4 $\quad A d S_{5} \times_{w} M^{5}$

The fields are

$$
\begin{align*}
d s^{2} & =2 d u(d r+r h)+A^{2}\left(d z^{2}+e^{2 z / \ell}\left(d x^{2}+d y^{2}\right)+d s^{2}\left(M^{5}\right)\right. \\
F & =Y\left[A^{3} e^{2 z / \ell} \mathbf{e}^{+} \wedge \mathbf{e}^{-} \wedge d z \wedge d x \wedge d y-\operatorname{dvol}\left(M^{5}\right)\right] \\
G & =H, \quad P=\xi \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=-\frac{2}{\ell} d z-2 A^{-1} d A, \quad \Delta=0, \quad X=L=0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.1.5 $\quad A d S_{6} \times_{w} M^{4}$

The fields are

$$
\begin{align*}
d s^{2} & =2 d u(d r+r h)+A^{2}\left(d z^{2}+e^{2 z / \ell}\left(\sum_{a=1}^{3}\left(d x^{a}\right)^{2}\right)\right)+d s^{2}\left(M^{4}\right), & F=0 \\
G & =H, & P=\xi \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=-\frac{2}{\ell} d z-2 A^{-1} d A, \quad \Delta=0, \quad X=L=0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted that the warped backgrounds $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times{ }_{w} M^{10-n}$ are included in our analysis. They arise in the limit that the AdS radius $\ell$ goes to infinity. This limit is smooth for all our field configurations presented above. However, some statements that apply for AdS do not extend to the flat backgrounds. Because of this some care must be taken when adapting the results we obtain for AdS backgrounds to the limit of infinite radius.

### 2.2 Bianchi identities and field equations

It is clear from the expressions of the fields for the AdS backgrounds in the previous section that $L=X=0$ and $d h=0$. As a result, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{h} Y-\frac{i}{8}(\Phi \wedge \bar{H}-\bar{\Phi} \wedge H)=0, \quad d_{h} \Phi-i \Lambda \wedge \Phi+\xi \wedge \bar{\Phi}=0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, the remaining Bianchi identities for the backgrounds (2.1) are

$$
\begin{align*}
d \star_{8} Y & =\frac{i}{8} H \wedge \bar{H}, & d H & =i \Lambda \wedge H-\xi \wedge \bar{H} \\
d \xi & =2 i \Lambda \wedge \xi, & d \Lambda & =-i \xi \wedge \bar{\xi},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Lambda$ is a $\mathrm{U}(1)$ connection of $\lambda$, see [19] for more details.
The independent field equations of the AdS backgrounds (2.1) are

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\nabla}^{i} \Phi_{i}-i \Lambda^{i} \Phi_{i}-\xi^{i} \bar{\Phi}_{i}+\frac{2 i}{3} Y_{\ell_{1} \ell_{2} \ell_{3}} H^{\ell_{1} \ell_{2} \ell_{3}} & =0  \tag{2.17}\\
\tilde{\nabla}^{\ell} H_{\ell i j}-i \Lambda^{\ell} H_{\ell i j}-h^{\ell} H_{\ell i j}-\xi^{\ell} \bar{H}_{\ell i j}+\frac{2 i}{3}\left(\star_{8} Y_{i j \ell_{1} \ell_{2} \ell_{3}} H^{\ell_{1} \ell_{2} \ell_{3}}-6 Y_{i j \ell} \Phi^{\ell}\right) & =0  \tag{2.18}\\
\tilde{\nabla}^{i} \xi_{i}-2 i \Lambda^{i} \xi_{i}-h^{i} \xi_{i}+\frac{1}{24}\left(-6 \Phi^{2}+H^{2}\right) & =0  \tag{2.19}\\
\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\nabla}^{i} h_{i}-\Delta-\frac{1}{2} h^{2}+\frac{2}{3} Y^{2}+\frac{3}{8} \Phi^{i} \bar{\Phi}_{i}+\frac{1}{48}\|H\|^{2} & =0 \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{R}_{i j}+\tilde{\nabla}_{(i} h_{j)}-\frac{1}{2} h_{i} h_{j}+4 Y_{i j}^{2} & +\frac{1}{2} \Phi_{(i} \bar{\Phi}_{j)}-2 \xi_{(i} \bar{\xi}_{j)}-\frac{1}{4} H_{\ell_{1} \ell_{2}(i} \bar{H}_{j)} \ell_{1} \ell_{2} \\
& +\delta_{i j}\left(-\frac{1}{8} \Phi_{\ell} \bar{\Phi}^{\ell}-\frac{2}{3} Y^{2}+\frac{1}{48}\|H\|^{2}\right)=0 \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{\nabla}$ and $\tilde{R}$ are the Levi-Civita connection and the Ricci tensor of $\mathcal{S}$, respectively. There is an additional field equation which is not independent because they follow from those above. This is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\nabla}^{2} \Delta-\frac{3}{2} h^{i} \tilde{\nabla}_{i} \Delta-\frac{1}{2} \Delta \tilde{\nabla}^{i} h_{i}+\Delta h^{2}=0 \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we state because it is useful in the investigation of the KSEs.

### 2.3 Killing spinor equations

The gravitino and dilatino KSEs of IIB supergravity [22, 23] are

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\nabla_{M}-\frac{i}{2} Q_{M}+\frac{i}{48} \not F_{M}\right) \epsilon-\frac{1}{96}\left(\Gamma \phi_{M}-9 \psi_{M}\right) C * \epsilon=0 \\
\not P C * \epsilon+\frac{1}{24} G_{\epsilon} \epsilon=0, \tag{2.24}
\end{array}
$$

respectively, where $Q$ is a $\mathrm{U}(1)$ connection of $\lambda$.
These KSEs can be solved for the fields (2.1) along the directions $u, r$. For this first decompose $\epsilon=\epsilon_{+}+\epsilon_{-}$, where $\Gamma_{ \pm} \epsilon_{ \pm}=0$. Then a direct substitution into (2.23) and (2.24) reveals that the Killing spinor can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{+}=\phi_{+}, \quad \epsilon_{-}=\phi_{-}+r \Gamma_{-} \Theta_{+} \phi_{+} ; \quad \phi_{+}=\eta_{+}+u \Gamma_{+} \Theta_{-} \eta_{-}, \quad \phi_{-}=\eta_{-}, \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta_{ \pm}$do not depend on both $u$ and $r$ coordinates and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{ \pm}=\left(\frac{1}{4} h \pm \frac{i}{12} \nvdash\right)+\left(\frac{1}{96} H \pm \frac{3}{16} \Phi\right) C * . \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

After some extensive computation using the field equations described in [19], one can show that the independent KSEs for the backgrounds (2.1) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{i}^{( \pm)} \eta_{ \pm}=0, \quad \mathcal{A}^{( \pm)} \eta_{ \pm}=0, \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{i}^{( \pm)} \equiv & \tilde{\nabla}_{i}+\left(-\frac{i}{2} \Lambda_{i} \mp \frac{1}{4} h_{i} \mp \frac{i}{4} \not Y_{i} \pm \frac{i}{12} \Gamma \not Y_{i}\right) \\
& +\left( \pm \frac{1}{16} \Gamma \Phi_{i} \mp \frac{3}{16} \Phi_{i}-\frac{1}{96} \Gamma \not H_{i}+\frac{3}{32} \not H_{i}\right) C *, \tag{2.28}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}^{( \pm)} \equiv\left(\mp \frac{1}{4} \Phi+\frac{1}{24} H /\right)+\$ C * . \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

It turns out that (2.27) are the restriction of (2.23) and (2.24) on the horizon section $\mathcal{S}$ for $\epsilon$ given in (2.25).

Furthermore, one can show that if $\eta_{-}$is a solution to the KSEs, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{+}=\Gamma_{+} \Theta_{-} \eta_{-}, \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

also solves the KSEs. This is the first indication that IIB horizons exhibit supersymmetry enhancement. Indeed if $\mathcal{S}$ is compact and the fluxes do not vanish, one can show [19] that $\operatorname{Ker} \Theta_{-}=\{0\}$ and so $\eta_{+}$given in the above equation yields an additional supersymmetry.

Although the following integrability conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta+2 \Theta_{-} \Theta_{+}\right) \eta_{+}=0, \quad\left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta+2 \Theta_{+} \Theta_{-}\right) \eta_{-}=0 \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

are implied from the (2.27) KSEs, the field equations and the Bianchi identities it is convenient for the analysis that follows to include them. As we shall see, they are instrumental in the solution of the KSEs along the $A d S_{n}$ directions for $n>2$.

### 2.4 Horizon Dirac equations

Before we complete this section, we shall summarize the results of [19] on the relation between Killing spinors and zero modes of Dirac-like operators for IIB horizons. We have seen that the gravitino KSE gives rise to two parallel transport equations on $\mathcal{S}$ associated with the covariant derivatives $\nabla^{( \pm)}(2.28)$. If $S^{ \pm}$are the complex chiral spin bundles over $\mathcal{S}$, then $\nabla^{ \pm}: \Gamma\left(S^{ \pm} \otimes \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\Lambda^{1}(\mathcal{S}) \otimes S^{ \pm} \otimes \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$, where $\Gamma\left(S^{ \pm} \otimes \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$ are the smooth sections of $S^{ \pm} \otimes \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}$. In turn, one can define the associated horizon Dirac operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}^{( \pm)} \equiv \Gamma^{i} \nabla_{i}^{( \pm)}=\Gamma^{i} \tilde{\nabla}_{i}+\Psi^{ \pm} \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi^{ \pm} \equiv \Gamma^{i} \Psi_{i}^{( \pm)}=-\frac{i}{2} X \mp \frac{1}{4} h \pm \frac{i}{6} \nvdash+\left( \pm \frac{1}{4} \Phi+\frac{1}{24} \not H\right) C * . \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly the $\nabla^{ \pm}$parallel spinors are zero modes of $\mathcal{D}^{( \pm)}$. For $\mathcal{S}$ compact, one can also prove the converse, ie that all zero modes of the horizon Dirac equations $\mathcal{D}^{( \pm)}$are Killing spinors. Therefore, one can establish

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{( \pm)} \eta_{ \pm}=0, \quad \mathcal{A}^{( \pm)} \eta_{ \pm}=0 \Longleftrightarrow \mathcal{D}^{( \pm)} \eta_{ \pm}=0 \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of the above statement for $\eta_{+}$spinors utilizes the Hopf maximum principle on $\left\|\eta_{+}\right\|^{2}$ while for $\eta_{-}$it employs a partial integration formula. In the former case, one also finds that $\left\|\eta_{+}\right\|=$const. Similar theorems have been proven for other theories in [20, 21].

## $3 \quad A d S_{2}$ : local analysis

### 3.1 Fields, Bianchi identities and field equations

For $A d S_{2}$ backgrounds $M^{8}=\mathcal{S}$ and the fields on $\mathcal{S}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
d s^{2}(\mathcal{S}) & =d s^{2}\left(M^{8}\right), & & \tilde{F}^{3}=Y,
\end{align*} r \tilde{F}^{5}=\star_{8} Y,
$$

Next, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\ell^{-2} A^{-2}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which satisfies (2.22), where $\ell$ is the radius of $A d S_{2}$. Using these, the Bianchi identities (2.15) and (2.16) can now be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& d\left(A^{-2} Y\right)-\frac{i A^{-2}}{8}(\Phi \wedge \bar{H}-\bar{\Phi} \wedge H)=0 \\
& d\left(A^{-2} \Phi\right)-i A^{-2} \Lambda \wedge \Phi+A^{-2} \xi \wedge \bar{\Phi}=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
d \star_{8} Y & =\frac{i}{8} H \wedge \bar{H}, & d H & =i \Lambda \wedge H-\xi \wedge \bar{H}, \\
d \xi & =2 i \Lambda \wedge \xi, & d \Lambda & =-i \xi \wedge \bar{\xi}, \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively, where $\Lambda$ is a $\mathrm{U}(1)$ connection of $\lambda$ restricted on $\mathcal{S}$.
Similarly, the field equations read as

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\nabla}^{i} \Phi_{i}-i \Lambda^{i} \Phi_{i}-\xi^{i} \bar{\Phi}_{i}+\frac{2 i}{3} Y_{\ell_{1} \ell_{2} \ell_{3}} H^{\ell_{1} \ell_{2} \ell_{3}} & =0,  \tag{3.5}\\
\tilde{\nabla}^{\ell} H_{\ell i j}-i \Lambda^{\ell} H_{\ell i j}+2 A^{-1} \partial^{\ell} A H_{\ell i j}-\xi^{\ell} \bar{H}_{\ell i j}+\frac{2 i}{3}\left(\star_{8} Y_{i j \ell_{1} \ell_{2} \ell_{3}} H^{\ell_{1} \ell_{2} \ell_{3}}-6 Y_{i j \ell} \Phi^{\ell}\right) & =0,  \tag{3.6}\\
\tilde{\nabla}^{i} \xi_{i}-2 i \Lambda^{i} \xi_{i}+2 A^{-1} \partial^{i} A \xi_{i}+\frac{1}{24}\left(-6 \Phi^{2}+H^{2}\right) & =0,  \tag{3.7}\\
-A^{-1} \tilde{\nabla}^{2} A-A^{-2} \partial^{i} A \partial_{i} A-\ell^{-2} A^{-2}+\frac{2}{3} Y^{2}+\frac{3}{8} \Phi^{i} \bar{\Phi}_{i}+\frac{1}{48}\|H\|^{2} & =0, \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{i j}^{(8)}-2 A^{-1} \tilde{\nabla}_{i} \partial_{j} A+4 Y_{i j}^{2} & +\frac{1}{2} \Phi_{(i} \bar{\Phi}_{j)}-2 \xi_{(i} \bar{\xi}_{j)}-\frac{1}{4} H_{\ell_{1} \ell_{2}(i} \bar{H}_{j)} \ell_{1} \ell_{2} \\
& +\delta_{i j}\left(-\frac{1}{8} \Phi_{\ell} \bar{\Phi}^{\ell}-\frac{2}{3} Y^{2}+\frac{1}{48}\|H\|^{2}\right)=0 \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R^{(8)}$ is the Ricci tensor of $\mathcal{S}=M^{8}$.

### 3.1.1 The warp factor $A$ is no-where vanishing

To see this, assume that $A$ is not identically zero. Thus there is a point in $M^{8}$ such that $A \neq 0$. Multiplying (3.8) with $A^{2}$ evaluated at a point for which $A \neq 0$, one finds

$$
\begin{equation*}
-A \tilde{\nabla}^{2} A-\partial^{i} A \partial_{i} A-\ell^{-2}+\frac{2}{3} A^{2} Y^{2}+\frac{3}{8} A^{2} \Phi^{i} \bar{\Phi}_{i}+\frac{1}{48} A^{2}\|H\|^{2}=0, \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next taking a sequence that converges at a point where $A$ vanishes, one finds an inconsistency as the term involving the AdS radius $\ell$ cannot vanish. Therefore there are no smooth solutions for which $A$ vanishes at some point on the spacetime. A more detailed argument for this has been presented in [24].

This property depends crucially on $\ell$ taking a finite value. In particular, it is not valid in the limit that $\ell$ goes to infinity, and so one cannot conclude that $A$ is no-where vanishing for $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$ backgrounds.

### 3.2 Killing spinor equations

The KSEs on $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{M}^{8}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{i}^{( \pm)} \eta_{ \pm}=0, \quad \mathcal{A}^{( \pm)} \eta_{ \pm}=0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{i}^{( \pm)} \equiv & \tilde{\nabla}_{i}+\left(-\frac{i}{2} \Lambda_{i} \pm \frac{1}{2} A^{-1} \partial_{i} A \mp \frac{i}{4} Y_{i} \pm \frac{i}{12} \Gamma Y_{i}\right) \\
& +\left( \pm \frac{1}{16} \Gamma \Phi_{i} \mp \frac{3}{16} \Phi_{i}-\frac{1}{96} \Gamma \not H_{i}+\frac{3}{32} \not H_{i}\right) C * \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}^{( \pm)} \equiv\left(\mp \frac{1}{4} \nsubseteq+\frac{1}{24} \not H\right)+\nsubseteq C * \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, if $\eta_{-}$is a Killing spinor, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{+}=\Gamma_{+} \Theta_{-} \eta_{-}, \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

is also a Killing spinor, where now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{ \pm}=\left(-\frac{1}{4} \not \partial \log A^{2} \pm \frac{i}{12} \nvdash\right)+\left(\frac{1}{96} H \pm \frac{3}{16} \Phi\right) C * \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not apparent that $\eta_{+} \neq 0$ as $\eta_{-}$may be in the kernel of $\Theta_{-}$. To establish under which conditions $\eta_{+} \neq 0$, one has to impose additional restrictions on $M^{8}$. However if $\eta_{+} \neq 0$, then the solutions exhibit supersymmetry enhancement.

### 3.3 Counting supersymmetries

The analysis so far is not sufficient to establish either the formula in (1.1) or (1.2) regarding the number of supersymmetries $N$ preserved by the $A d S_{2}$ backgrounds. For this, some additional restrictions on $M^{8}$ are required. We shall explore these in the next section.

## $4 \quad$ Ad $S_{2}$ : global analysis

The main results of this section are to demonstrate that under certain assumptions, there is a 1-1 correspondence between Killing spinors and zero modes of Dirac operators on $M^{8}$ coupled to fluxes, and use this to count the supersymmetries $N$ of $A d S_{2}$ backgrounds. Given the gravitino KSE in (3.11) and in particular the (super)covariant derivatives $\nabla^{( \pm)}$, one can construct the Dirac-like operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}^{( \pm)} \equiv \Gamma^{i} \nabla_{i}^{( \pm)}=\Gamma^{i} \tilde{\nabla}_{i}+\Psi^{ \pm} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $M^{8}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi^{ \pm} \equiv \Gamma^{i} \Psi_{i}^{( \pm)}=-\frac{i}{2} \not \perp \pm \frac{1}{4} \not \partial \log A^{2} \pm \frac{i}{6} \nvdash+\left( \pm \frac{1}{4} \not \Phi+\frac{1}{24} \not H\right) C * . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly all parallel spinors $\eta_{ \pm}$, ie $\nabla^{( \pm)} \eta_{ \pm}=0$, are zero modes of $\mathcal{D}^{( \pm)}$, ie $\mathcal{D}^{( \pm)} \eta_{ \pm}=0$. The task is to prove the converse.

### 4.1 A Lichnerowicz type theorem for $\mathcal{D}^{(+)}$

The proof of this converse is a Lichnerowicz type theorem and the proof is similar to that given in [19] for horizon Dirac operators. Because of this, we shall not give details of the proof. The novelty of this theorem is that the converse implies that the zero modes of $\mathcal{D}^{(+)}$ solve both the gravitino and dilatino KSEs. In particular, assuming that $\mathcal{D}^{(+)} \eta_{+}=0$ and after some algebra which involves the use of field equations, one can establish that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\nabla}^{i} \tilde{\nabla}_{i}\left\|\eta_{+}\right\|^{2}+\partial^{i} \log A^{2} \tilde{\nabla}_{i}\left\|\eta_{+}\right\|^{2}=2\left\|\nabla^{(+)} \eta_{+}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathcal{A}^{(+)} \eta_{+}\right\|^{2} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is then a consequence of the maximum principle that the only solution of the above equation is $\left\|\eta_{+}\right\|=$const and that $\eta_{+}$is a Killing spinor. In particular, this is the case provided $M^{8}$ is compact and the fields are smooth.

### 4.2 A Lichnerowicz type theorem for $\mathcal{D}^{(-)}$

The proof that the zero modes of $\mathcal{D}^{(-)}$are Killing spinors is similar to that for the $\mathcal{D}^{(+)}$ operator. In particular, if $\mathcal{D}^{(-)} \eta_{-}=0$, then one can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\nabla}^{i} \tilde{\nabla}_{i}\left\|\eta_{-}\right\|^{2}+h^{i} \tilde{\nabla}_{i}\left\|\eta_{-}\right\|^{2}+\tilde{\nabla}^{i} h_{i}\left\|\eta_{-}\right\|^{2}=2\left\|\nabla^{(-)} \eta_{-}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathcal{A}^{(-)} \eta_{-}\right\|^{2} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using $h=d \log \Delta$, this can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\nabla}^{i} \tilde{\nabla}_{i}\left(\Delta\left\|\eta_{-}\right\|^{2}\right)-h^{i} \tilde{\nabla}_{i}\left(\Delta\left\|\eta_{-}\right\|^{2}\right)=2 \Delta\left\|\nabla^{(-)} \eta_{-}\right\|^{2}+\Delta\left\|\mathcal{A}^{(-)} \eta_{-}\right\|^{2} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The maximum principle again implies that the only solutions to this equation are those for which $\Delta\left\|\eta_{-}\right\|=$const and $\eta_{-}$are Killing spinors. Again this is always the case if $M^{8}$ is compact and the fields are smooth. It should be noted that unlike the case of general IIB horizons where this theorem has been proven using a partial integration formula [19], here we have presented a different proof based on the maximum principle. The latter has an advantage as it gives some additional information regarding the length of the Killing spinor $\eta_{-}$. Combining the results of this section with those of the previous one, we have established that if $M^{8}$ and the fields satisfy the requirements for the maximum principle to apply, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{( \pm)} \eta_{ \pm}=0, \quad \mathcal{A}^{( \pm)} \eta_{ \pm}=0 \Longleftrightarrow \mathcal{D}^{( \pm)} \eta_{ \pm}=0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\eta_{+}\right\|=\text {const }, \quad \Delta\left\|\eta_{-}\right\|=\text {const } \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.3 Counting supersymmetries again

The number of supersymmetries of $A d S_{2}$ backgrounds is

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=N_{-}+N_{+} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{ \pm}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}\left(\nabla^{( \pm)}, \mathcal{A}^{( \pm)}\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the correspondence between the Killing spinors and zero modes of the $\mathcal{D}^{( \pm)}$operators in (4.6), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{D}^{(-)}+\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{D}^{(+)} . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

As for near horizon geometries [19], one can prove that $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{D}^{(+) \dagger}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{D}^{(-)}$. This is done by a direct observation upon comparing the adjoint of $\mathcal{D}^{(+)}$with $\mathcal{D}^{(-)}$. As a result for $M^{8}$ compact without boundary, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\operatorname{Index}\left(\mathcal{D}^{(+)}\right)+2 \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{D}^{(-)}=2\left(N_{-}+\operatorname{Index}(D)\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D$ is the Dirac operator twisted with $\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}$. The index of $\mathcal{D}^{(+)}$is twice the index of $D$ because they have the same principal symbol and $\mathcal{D}^{(+)}$acts on two copies of the MajoranaWeyl representation of $M^{8}$. This establishes both (1.1) and (1.2) for $A d S_{2}$ backgrounds.

Furthermore, if $M^{8}$ is compact without boundary with a $\eta_{-}$Killing spinor, one can explicitly construct a $\eta_{+}$Killing spinor by setting $\eta_{+}=\Gamma_{+} \Theta_{-} \eta_{-}$. This is because if $M^{8}$ is compact without boundary and the fluxes do not vanish, then $\operatorname{Ker} \Theta_{-}=\{0\}$. The proof of this statement is similar to that demonstrated in [19] for near horizon geometries and so it will not be repeated here.

We have shown that the number of supersymmetries preserved by $A d S_{2}$ backgrounds is even. Apart from this, there are additional restrictions on $N$. In particular, it has been shown in $[14,15]$ that if a IIB background preserves more than 28 supersymmetries, $N>28$, then it is maximally supersymmetric. Moreover, the maximally supersymmetric solutions and the solutions preserving 28 supersymmetries have been classified in [13] and [16], respectively, and they do not include $A d S_{2}$ backgrounds. From this, one concludes that $N \leq 26$. One can also adapt the proof of [17] to this case to demonstrate that all $A d S_{2}$ backgrounds preserving more than 16 supersymmetries are homogeneous. This in particular implies that the IIB scalars are constant for all these backgrounds.

## $5 \quad A d S_{3}$ : local analysis

### 5.1 Fields, Bianchi identities and field equations

The fields restricted on the spatial horizon section $\mathcal{S}=\mathbb{R} \times{ }_{w} M^{7}$ are

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
d s^{2}(\mathcal{S}) & =A^{2} d z^{2}+d s^{2}\left(M^{7}\right), & & \tilde{F}^{3}=A d z \wedge Y, \\
\tilde{G}^{1} & =A \Phi d z, & & \tilde{F}^{5}=-\star_{7} Y  \tag{5.1}\\
\tilde{P}^{3}=H, & & \tilde{P}^{1}=\xi
\end{array}
$$

Moreover, we have that $h=-\frac{2}{\ell} d z-2 A^{-1} d A$ and $\Delta=X=L=0$.
Substituting these into the Bianchi identities (2.15) and (2.16), we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d Y & =-3 d \log A \wedge Y+\frac{i}{8}(\bar{\Phi} H-\Phi \bar{H}) \\
d \Phi & =3 \Phi d \log A+i \Phi Q-\bar{\Phi} \xi \\
d *_{7} Y & =-\frac{i}{8} H \wedge \bar{H}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
d H & =i Q \wedge H-\xi \wedge \bar{H} \\
d \xi & =2 i Q \wedge \xi \\
d Q & =-i \xi \wedge \bar{\xi} \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

In addition the field equations (2.17)-(2.21) give

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla^{i} H_{i j k} & =-3 A^{-1} \partial^{i} A H_{i j k}+i Q^{i} G_{i j k}+P^{i} H_{i j k}+4 i \Phi Y_{j k}+\frac{i}{3} \epsilon_{j k i_{1} i_{2} \ell_{1} \ell_{2} \ell_{3}} Y^{i_{1} i_{2}} H^{\ell_{1} \ell_{2} \ell_{3}}, \\
\nabla^{i} \xi_{i} & =-3 A^{-1} \partial^{i} A \xi_{i}+2 i Q^{i} \xi_{i}-\frac{1}{24} H^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \Phi^{2} \\
A^{-1} \nabla^{2} A & =2 Y^{2}+\frac{3}{8}\|\Phi\|^{2}+\frac{1}{48}\|H\|^{2}-2 \ell^{-2} A^{-2}-2(d \log A)^{2} \\
R_{i j}^{(7)}= & 3 A^{-1} \nabla_{i} \nabla_{j} A+2 Y^{2} \delta_{i j}-8 Y_{i j}^{2} \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{4} H_{(i}^{k \ell} \bar{H}_{j) k \ell}+\frac{1}{8}\|\Phi\|^{2} \delta_{i j}-\frac{1}{48}\|H\|^{2} \delta_{i j}+2 \xi_{(i} \bar{\xi}_{j)}, \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R^{(7)}$ is the Ricci tensor of $M^{7}$. From now on, $\nabla$ will denote the Levi-Civita covariant derivative on $M^{10-n}$. The Ricci scalar of $M^{7}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
R^{(7)} & =3 A^{-1} \nabla^{2} A+6 Y^{2}+\frac{5}{48}\|H\|^{2}+\frac{7}{8}\|\Phi\|^{2}+2|\xi|^{2} \\
& =-\frac{6}{\ell^{2}} A^{-2}-6\left(A^{-1} d A\right)^{2}+12 Y^{2}+2\|\Phi\|^{2}+\frac{1}{6}\|H\|^{2}+2\|\xi\|^{2} . \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

### 5.2 The warp factor is no-where vanishing

One of the consequences of the field equations is that the warp factor $A$ is no-where vanishing. One can show that this follows from the field equation (5.3) using an argument similar to that presented for the $A d S_{2}$ backgrounds.

### 5.3 Solution of Killing spinor equations

To integrate the KSEs along the $A d S_{3}$ directions, it suffices to integrate the horizon KSEs (2.27) along the $z$ coordinate. For this consider first the gravitino KSE. Evaluating the expression along the $z$-coordinate, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{z} \eta_{ \pm}=\Xi_{ \pm} \eta_{ \pm} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{ \pm}=\mp \frac{1}{2 \ell}-\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{z} \not \partial A \pm \frac{i}{4} A Y+\left(\frac{1}{96} A \Gamma_{z} H \pm \frac{3}{16} A \Phi\right) C * \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that ${ }^{4}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{+}=A \Gamma_{z} \Theta_{+}, \quad \Xi_{-}=\frac{1}{\ell}+A \Gamma_{z} \Theta_{-} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next differentiating (5.5) and comparing the resulting expression with the integrability conditions (2.31), one finds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{z}^{2} \eta_{ \pm} \pm \frac{1}{\ell} \partial_{z} \eta_{ \pm}=0 \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]which can be solved to give
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{ \pm}=\sigma_{ \pm}+e^{\mp \frac{z}{\ell}} \tau_{ \pm}, \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{ \pm} \sigma_{ \pm}=0, \quad \Xi_{ \pm} \tau_{ \pm}=\mp \frac{1}{\ell} \tau_{ \pm}, \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with both $\sigma_{ \pm}$and $\tau_{ \pm} z$-independent spinors. The latter conditions are additional independent algebraic KSEs.

Although we have solved along the $z$ direction, there are potentially additional conditions that can arise from mixed integrability conditions along the $z$-direction and the remaining directions in $\mathcal{S}$. However, it can be shown after some computation that this is not the case. Furthermore, the dilatino KSEs in (2.27) restrict on the $\sigma_{ \pm}$and $\tau_{ \pm}$spinors in a straightforward manner. This completes the integration of the KSEs along all $A d S_{3}$ directions. The remaining independent KSEs, which are localized on $M^{7}$, are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\nabla_{i}^{( \pm)} \sigma_{ \pm}=0, & \nabla_{i}^{( \pm)} \tau_{ \pm}=0, \\
\mathcal{A}^{( \pm)} \sigma_{ \pm}=0, & \mathcal{A}^{( \pm)} \tau_{ \pm}=0, \\
\mathcal{B}^{( \pm)} \sigma_{ \pm}=0, & \mathcal{C}^{( \pm)} \tau_{ \pm}=0,
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\nabla_{i}^{( \pm)}=\nabla_{i}+\Psi_{i}^{( \pm)}, & \mathcal{A}^{( \pm)}=\mp \frac{1}{4} \Phi \Gamma_{z}+\frac{1}{24} \not H+\notin C *, \\
\mathcal{B}^{( \pm)}=\Xi_{ \pm}, & \mathcal{C}^{( \pm)}=\Xi_{ \pm} \pm \frac{1}{\ell}, \tag{5.12}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{i}^{( \pm)}= \pm \frac{1}{2} \partial_{i} & \log A-\frac{i}{2} Q_{i} \pm \frac{i}{4}(\Gamma \not \subset)_{i} \Gamma_{z} \mp \frac{i}{2} Y_{i} \Gamma^{z} \\
& +\left(-\frac{1}{96}(\Gamma / H)_{i}+\frac{3}{32} \not H_{i} \mp \frac{1}{16} \Phi \Gamma_{z i}\right) C * . \tag{5.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, there are four sets of three independent KSEs on $M^{7}$. Having found a solution to the above equations, one can substitute in (5.9) and then in (2.25) to find the Killing spinors for the $A d S_{3} \times_{w} M^{7}$ background.

### 5.4 Counting supersymmetries

It is straightforward to observe that if one has an either a $\sigma_{-}$or a $\tau_{-}$solution, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{+}=A^{-1} \Gamma_{z} \Gamma_{+} \sigma_{-}, \quad \tau_{+}=A^{-1} \Gamma_{z} \Gamma_{+} \tau_{-}, \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

are also solutions of the independent KSEs (5.11). Conversely, if either $\sigma_{+}$or $\tau_{+}$are solutions, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{-}=A \Gamma_{z} \Gamma_{-} \sigma_{+}, \quad \tau_{-}=A \Gamma_{z} \Gamma_{-} \tau_{+}, \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

are also solutions to the KSEs (5.11). Therefore, we have that the number of Killing spinors $N$ of the $A d S_{3}$ backgrounds are

$$
\begin{align*}
N & =2\left(\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}\left(\nabla^{(-)}, \mathcal{A}^{(-)}, \mathcal{B}^{(-)}\right)+\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}\left(\nabla^{(-)}, \mathcal{A}^{(-)}, \mathcal{C}^{(-)}\right)\right) \\
& =2\left(\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}\left(\nabla^{(+)}, \mathcal{A}^{(+)}, \mathcal{B}^{(+)}\right)+\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}\left(\nabla^{(+)}, \mathcal{A}^{(+)}, \mathcal{C}^{(+)}\right)\right) . \tag{5.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus the $A d S_{3}$ backgrounds preserve an even number of supersymmetries. This proves the formula for $N$ in (1.1) for $A d S_{3}$ backgrounds.

The number of supersymmetries $N$ of $A d S_{3}$ backgrounds are further restricted. It follows from the results of [14-16] that there are no supersymmetric $A d S_{3}$ backgrounds preserving more than 28 supersymmetries. As a result, $N \leq 26$.

## $6 \quad$ AdS $S_{3}$ : global analysis

The main task here is to show the formula (1.2) for counting the number of supersymmetries of $A d S_{3}$ backgrounds. For this, we have to show that there is a $1-1$ correspondence between Killing spinors and zero modes of a Dirac-like operator on $M^{7}$.

### 6.1 A Lichnerowicz type theorem for $\tau_{+}$and $\sigma_{+}$

To prove that the zero modes of a Dirac-like operator on $M^{7}$ are Killing spinors, one has to determine an appropriate Dirac-like operator on $M^{7}$. The naive Dirac-like operator which one can construct from contracting $\nabla^{( \pm)}$with a gamma matrix is not suitable. Instead, let us modify the parallel transport operators of the gravitino KSE as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \check{\nabla}_{i}^{(+)}=\nabla_{i}^{(+)}+q \Gamma_{z i} A^{-1} \mathcal{B}^{(+)}, \\
& \hat{\nabla}_{i}^{(+)}=\nabla_{i}^{(+)}+q \Gamma_{z i} A^{-1} \mathcal{C}^{(+)}, \tag{6.1}
\end{align*}
$$

on $\sigma_{+}$and $\tau_{+}$, respectively, where $q$ is a number which later will be set to $1 / 7$. It is clear that if either $\sigma_{+}$or $\tau_{+}$are Killing spinors, they are also parallel with respect to the above covariant derivatives.

Since the analysis that follows is similar for $\sigma_{+}$and $\tau_{+}$, it is convenient to present it in a unified way. For this write both (6.1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{D}_{i}^{(+)}=\nabla_{i}^{(+)}+q \Gamma_{z i} A^{-1} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}, \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{B}^{(+)}=-\frac{c}{2 \ell}-\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{z} \not \partial A+\frac{i}{4} A Y+\left(\frac{1}{96} A \Gamma_{z} \not \not H+\frac{3}{16} A \Phi\right) C *, \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $c=1$ when acting on $\sigma_{+}$and $c=-1$ when acting on $\tau_{+}$, ie either $\mathbb{B}^{(+)}=\mathcal{B}^{(+)}$or $\mathbb{B}^{(+)}=\mathcal{C}^{(+)}$, respectively.

Next define the modified Dirac-like operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{D}^{(+)} \equiv \Gamma^{i} \mathbb{D}_{i}^{(+)}=\Gamma^{i} \nabla_{i}+\Sigma^{(+)}, \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\Sigma^{(+)}= & \frac{7 q c}{2 \ell} A^{-1} \Gamma_{z}+\frac{1+7 q}{4} \not 2 \log A^{2}-\frac{i}{2} \not Q+\frac{3 i-7 i q}{4} \nmid \Gamma_{z} \\
& +\left(\frac{5-7 q}{96} H /+\frac{7-21 q}{16} \Phi\right) C * . \tag{6.5}
\end{align*}
$$

It turns that $\mathscr{D}^{(+)}$is suitable to formulate a maximum principle on the length square of $\sigma_{+}$and $\tau_{+}$. In particular, suppose that $\chi_{+}$is a zero mode for $\mathscr{D}^{(+)}$, ie $\mathscr{D}^{(+)} \chi_{+}=0$, where
$\chi_{+}=\sigma_{+}$for $c=1$ while $\chi_{+}=\tau_{+}$for $c=-1$. Then after some Clifford algebra, that is presented in appendix B , which requires the use of field equations and for $q=1 / 7$, one can establish the identity

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla^{2}\left\|\chi_{+}\right\|^{2}+ & 3 A^{-1} \partial^{i} A \partial_{i}\left\|\chi_{+}\right\|^{2}=2\left\|\mathbb{D}^{(+)} \chi_{+}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\frac{16}{7} A^{-2}\left\|\mathbb{B}^{(+)} \chi_{+}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathcal{A}^{(+)} \chi_{+}\right\|^{2} \tag{6.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Assuming that $M^{7}$ satisfies the requirements of the Hopf maximum principle, eg for $M^{7}$ compact and smooth fields, the above equation implies that $\chi_{+}$is a Killing spinor and that the length $\left\|\chi_{+}\right\|=$const.

To summarize, we have shown that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{i}^{(+)} \sigma_{+}=0, \quad \mathcal{B}^{(+)} \sigma_{+}=0, \quad \mathcal{A}^{(+)} \sigma_{+}=0 \Longleftrightarrow \mathscr{D}^{(+)} \sigma_{+}=0 ; \quad c=1, \\
& \nabla_{i}^{(+)} \tau_{+}=0, \quad \mathcal{C}^{(+)} \tau_{+}=0, \quad \mathcal{A}^{(+)} \tau_{+}=0 \Longleftrightarrow \mathscr{D}^{(+)} \tau_{+}=0 ; \quad c=-1, \tag{6.7}
\end{align*}
$$

and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sigma_{+}\right\|=\mathrm{const}, \quad\left\|\tau_{+}\right\|=\text {const } \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 6.2 A Lichnerowicz type theorem for $\tau_{-}$and $\sigma_{-}$

A similar theorem to that presented in the previous section can be established for $\tau_{-}$and $\sigma_{-}$ spinors. One can define the operators $\mathbb{D}^{(-)}$and $\mathscr{D}^{(-)}$and repeat the analysis. Alternatively, one can observe that if $\chi_{+}$is a zero mode of the $\mathscr{D}^{(+)}$operator, then $\chi_{-}=A \Gamma_{z-} \chi_{+}$is a zero mode of the $\mathscr{D}^{(-)}$operator, where $\chi_{-}$is either $\sigma_{-}$or $\tau_{-}$. Since the same relation holds between $\chi_{+}$and $\chi_{-}$Killing spinors, one can establish a maximum principle for $\chi_{-}$spinor. The formula is that given in (6.6) after setting $\chi_{+}=A^{-1} \Gamma_{z+} \chi_{-}$. Therefore provided the requirements of Hopf maximum principle are satisfied, one establishes

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\nabla_{i}^{(-)} \sigma_{-}=0, & \mathcal{B}^{(-)} \sigma_{-}=0, & \mathcal{A}^{(-)} \sigma_{-}=0 \Longleftrightarrow \mathscr{D}^{(-)} \sigma_{-}=0 ; & c=1 \\
\nabla_{i}^{(-)} \tau_{-}=0, & \mathcal{C}^{(-)} \tau_{-}=0, & \mathcal{A}^{(-)} \tau_{-}=0 \Longleftrightarrow \mathscr{D}^{(-)} \tau_{-}=0 ; & c=-1 \tag{6.9}
\end{array}
$$

and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{-2}\left\|\sigma_{-}\right\|^{2}=\mathrm{const}, \quad A^{-2}\left\|\tau_{-}\right\|^{2}=\mathrm{const} \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{D}^{(-)}=\Gamma^{i} \nabla_{i}+\Sigma^{(-)}, \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\Sigma^{(-)}= & -\frac{7 q c}{2 \ell} A^{-1} \Gamma_{z}+\frac{-1+7 q}{4} \not \partial \log A^{2}-\frac{i}{2} \not Q-\frac{3 i-7 i q}{4} \nvdash \Gamma_{z} \\
& +\left(\frac{5-7 q}{96} \not H-\frac{7-21 q}{16} \Phi\right) C * . \tag{6.12}
\end{align*}
$$

### 6.3 Counting supersymmetries again

The proof of the relation between Killing spinors and the zero modes of the Dirac-like operators $\mathscr{D}^{( \pm)}$allows us to re-express the number of supersymmetries $N$ in (5.16) preserved by $A d S_{3}$ backgrounds as

$$
\begin{align*}
N & =2\left(\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} \mathscr{D}_{c=1}^{(-)}+\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} \mathscr{D}_{c=-1}^{(-)}\right) \\
& =2\left(\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} \mathscr{D}_{c=1}^{(+)}+\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} \mathscr{D}_{c=-1}^{(+)}\right), \tag{6.13}
\end{align*}
$$

which establishes (1.2) for $A d S_{3}$.

## $7 \quad$ AdS $S_{4}$ : local analysis

### 7.1 Fields, Bianchi identities and field equations

The field on $\mathcal{S}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
d s^{2}(\mathcal{S}) & =A^{2}\left(d z^{2}+e^{2 z / \ell} d x^{2}\right)+d s^{2}\left(M^{6}\right), & & \tilde{F}^{3}=A^{2} e^{z / \ell} d z \wedge d x \wedge Y, \\
\tilde{F}^{5} & =\star_{6} Y, & & \tilde{G}^{3}=H, \quad P=\xi \tag{7.1}
\end{align*}
$$

with $h=-\frac{2}{\ell} d z-2 A^{-1} d A$ and $\Delta=X=L=0$. Substituting these into the Bianchi and field equations on $\mathcal{S}$ in section 2.2 , the conditions reduce on $M^{6}$ as follows. The Bianchi identities give

$$
\begin{align*}
d\left(A^{4} Y\right) & =0, \quad \tilde{\nabla}^{i} Y_{i}=-\frac{i}{288} \epsilon^{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} j_{1} j_{2} j_{3}} H_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}} \bar{H}_{j_{1} j_{2} j_{3}} \\
d H & =i Q \wedge H-\xi \wedge \bar{H} \\
d \xi & =2 i Q \wedge \xi \\
d Q & =-i \xi \wedge \bar{\xi} \tag{7.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore the Bianchi identities imply that $A^{4} Y$ is a closed 1-form and that $H \wedge \bar{H}$ represents a trivial cohomology class in $M^{6}$.

The Einstein equation on $\mathcal{S}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{-1} \nabla^{2} A=4 Y^{2}+\frac{1}{48}\|H\|^{2}-\frac{3}{\ell^{2} A^{2}}-3\left(A^{-1} d A\right)^{2} \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{i j}^{(6)}-4 A^{-1} \nabla_{i} \nabla_{j} A-4 Y^{2} \delta_{i j}+8 Y_{i} Y_{j}  \tag{7.4}\\
&- \frac{1}{4} H_{(i}^{k \ell} \bar{H}_{j) k \ell}+\frac{1}{48}\|H\|^{2} \delta_{i j}-2 \xi_{(i} \bar{\xi}_{j)}=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $R^{(6)}$ is the Ricci tensor of $M^{6}$. The remaining field equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla^{i} H_{i j k} & =-3 \partial^{i} \log A H_{i j k}+i Q^{i} H_{i j k}+\xi^{i} \bar{H}_{i j k} \\
\nabla^{i} \xi_{i} & =-3 \partial^{i} \log A \xi_{i}+2 i Q^{i} \xi_{i}-\frac{1}{24} H^{2} \tag{7.5}
\end{align*}
$$

This concludes the reduction of the Bianchi identities and field equations on $M^{6}$.

### 7.1.1 The warp factor is no-where vanishing

One consequence of the field equations and in particular of (7.3) is that the warp factor $A$ is no-where vanishing. The investigation for this is similar to that we have presented for $A d S_{3}$ and so we shall not repeat the argument here.

### 7.2 Solution of KSEs

The integration of the KSEs along the $z$-coordinate proceeds as in the $A d S_{3}$. In particular repeating the argument as in the $A d S_{3}$ case, one finds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{ \pm}=\phi_{ \pm}+e^{\mp z / \ell} \chi_{ \pm} \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{ \pm} \phi_{ \pm}=0, \quad \Xi_{ \pm} \chi_{ \pm}=\mp \frac{1}{\ell} \chi_{ \pm}, \quad \mathcal{A}^{( \pm)} \phi_{ \pm}=0, \quad \mathcal{A}^{( \pm)} \chi_{ \pm}=0 \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\Xi_{ \pm} & =\mp \frac{1}{2 \ell}-\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{z} \not \partial A \pm \frac{i}{2} A \Gamma_{x} \nvdash+\frac{1}{96} A \Gamma_{z} H A C * \\
\mathcal{A}^{( \pm)} & =\frac{1}{24} \not H+\notin C * \tag{7.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that although $\mathcal{A}^{(+)}=\mathcal{A}^{(-)}$as operators, they act on different spaces and so we shall retain the distinct labeling.

Next we integrate the gravitino KSE along the $x$ AdS coordinate to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{+}=\sigma_{+}-\frac{1}{\ell} x \Gamma_{x} \Gamma_{z} \tau_{+}+e^{-z / \ell} \tau_{+}, \quad \eta_{-}=\sigma_{-}+e^{z / \ell}\left(-\frac{1}{\ell} x \Gamma_{x} \Gamma_{z} \sigma_{-}+\tau_{-}\right) \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{ \pm} \sigma_{ \pm}=0, \quad \Xi_{ \pm} \tau_{ \pm}=\mp \frac{1}{\ell} \tau_{ \pm} \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\sigma_{ \pm}$and $\tau_{ \pm}$depend only on the coordinates of $M^{6}$. This completes the integration of the gravitino KSE along all $A d S_{4}$ directions. The dilatino KSE simply restricts on the spinors $\sigma_{ \pm}$and $\tau_{ \pm}$. There are no additional conditions arising from integrability conditions between $A d S_{4}$ and $M^{6}$ directions.

Therefore, the remaining independent KSEs on $M^{6}$ are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\nabla_{i}^{( \pm)} \sigma_{ \pm}=0, & \nabla_{i}^{( \pm)} \tau_{ \pm}=0, \\
\mathcal{A}^{( \pm)} \sigma_{ \pm}=0, & \mathcal{A}^{( \pm)} \tau_{ \pm}=0, \\
\mathcal{B}^{( \pm)} \sigma_{ \pm}=0, & \mathcal{C}^{( \pm)} \tau_{ \pm}=0,
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{i}^{( \pm)}=\nabla_{i}+\Psi_{i}^{( \pm)}, \quad \mathcal{B}^{( \pm)}=\Xi_{ \pm}, \quad \mathcal{C}^{( \pm)}=\Xi_{ \pm} \pm \frac{1}{\ell} \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Psi_{i}^{( \pm)}= \pm \frac{1}{2} \partial_{i} \log A-\frac{i}{2} Q_{i} \mp \frac{i}{2}(\Gamma \not /)_{i} \Gamma_{x z} \pm \frac{i}{2} Y_{i} \Gamma_{x z} \\
+\left(-\frac{1}{96}(\Gamma / H)_{i}+\frac{3}{32} \not H_{i}\right) C * \tag{7.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

This concludes the solution of the KSEs on $A d S_{4}$ and their reduction on $M^{6}$.

### 7.3 Counting supersymmetries

As for $A d S_{3}$ backgrounds there are Clifford algebra operators which intertwine between the different KSEs on $M^{6}$. In particular observe that if $\sigma_{ \pm}$is a solution to the KSEs, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{ \pm}=\Gamma_{z} \Gamma_{x} \sigma_{ \pm} \tag{7.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

is also a solution, and vice versa. Furthermore as for $A d S_{3}$, if either $\sigma_{-}$or $\tau_{-}$is a solution, so is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{+}=A^{-1} \Gamma_{+} \Gamma_{z} \sigma_{-}, \quad \tau_{+}=A^{-1} \Gamma_{+} \Gamma_{z} \tau_{-} \tag{7.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, if either $\sigma_{+}$or $\tau_{+}$is a solution, so is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{-}=A \Gamma_{-} \Gamma_{z} \sigma_{+}, \quad \tau_{-}=A \Gamma_{-} \Gamma_{z} \tau_{+} \tag{7.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the above relations one concludes that the $A d S_{4} \times{ }_{w} M^{6}$ backgrounds preserve

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=4 \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}\left(\nabla^{( \pm)}, \mathcal{A}^{( \pm)}, \mathcal{B}^{( \pm)}\right)=4 \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}\left(\nabla^{( \pm)}, \mathcal{A}^{( \pm)}, \mathcal{C}^{( \pm)}\right) \tag{7.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for either + or - choice of sign. This confirms (1.1) for the $A d S_{4}$ backgrounds.
The number of supersymmetries $N$ of $A d S_{4}$ backgrounds are further restricted. It is a consequence of [13-16] that there are no $A d S_{4}$ backgrounds with $N \geq 28$ supersymmetries. Therefore $N \leq 24$.

## $8 \quad$ AdS $S_{4}$ : global analysis

### 8.1 A Lichnerowicz type theorem for $\tau_{ \pm}$and $\sigma_{ \pm}$

To prove the formula (1.2) for $A d S_{4}$ backgrounds, we have to demonstrate a Lichnerowicz type theorem which states that there is a 1-1 correspondence between Killing spinors and the zero modes of Dirac-like operators on $M^{6}$ coupled to fluxes. The proof is similar to that we have presented for the $A d S_{3}$ backgrounds. However, the operators involved in the $A d S_{4}$ case are different and so the proof is not a mere repetition.

We shall present the proof of the Lichnerowicz type theorem for $\sigma_{+}$and $\tau_{+}$spinors. The proof for the other pair $\sigma_{-}$and $\tau_{-}$follows as a consequence. It is also convenient to do the computations simultaneously for both $\sigma_{+}$and $\tau_{+}$spinors which from now on we shall call collectively $\chi_{+}$.

To begin let us define the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{D}_{i}^{(+)}=\nabla_{i}^{(+)}+q \Gamma_{z i} A^{-1} \mathbb{B}^{(+)} \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{B}^{(+)}=-\frac{c}{2 \ell}-\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{z} \not \partial A-\frac{i}{2} A Y \Gamma_{x}+\frac{1}{96} A \Gamma_{z} H C * \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $c=1$ when acting on $\sigma_{+}$and $c=-1$ when acting on $\tau_{+}$, ie either $\mathbb{B}^{(+)}=\mathcal{B}^{(+)}$or $\mathbb{B}^{(+)}=\mathcal{C}^{(+)}$, respectively. It is clear from this that if $\chi_{+}$is a Killing spinor, then it is parallel with respect to $\mathbb{D}^{(+)}$.

Next define the modified Dirac-like operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{D}^{(+)} \equiv \Gamma^{i} \mathbb{D}_{i}^{(+)}=\Gamma^{i} \nabla_{i}+\Sigma^{(+)}, \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma^{(+)}=\frac{3 q c}{2} A^{-1} \Gamma_{z}+\frac{1+6 q}{4} \not \partial \log A^{2}-\frac{i}{2} \not Q+(2 i-3 i q) \nvdash \Gamma_{z x}+\frac{1-q}{16} \not H C * . \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next suppose that $\chi_{+}$is a zero mode of $\mathscr{D}^{(+)}$, ie $\mathscr{D}^{(+)} \chi_{+}=0$. Then after some Clifford algebra computation, which has been presented in appendix $\mathrm{C}, q=1 / 3$, and the use of field equations, one can establish the identity

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla^{2}\left\|\chi_{+}\right\|^{2}+ & 4 A^{-1} \partial^{i} A \partial_{i}\left\|\chi_{+}\right\|^{2}=2\left\|\mathbb{D}^{(+)} \chi_{+}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\frac{16}{3} A^{-2}\left\|\mathbb{B}^{(+)} \chi_{+}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathcal{A}^{(+)} \chi_{+}\right\|^{2} . \tag{8.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Assuming that the requirements of the Hopf maximum principle are satisfied, eg for $M^{6}$ compact and smooth fields, the above equation implies that $\chi_{+}$is a Killing spinor and that the length $\left\|\chi_{+}\right\|=$const.

A similar formula to (8.5) can be established for $\sigma_{-}$and $\tau_{-}$spinors. However, it is not necessary to do an independent computation. We have seen that if $\sigma_{+}$and $\tau_{+}$solve the KSEs, then $\sigma_{-}=A \Gamma_{-z} \sigma_{+}$and $\tau_{-}=A \Gamma_{-z} \tau_{+}$also solve the KSEs. Similarly if $\chi_{+}$is a zero mode of $\mathscr{D}^{(+)}$, then $\chi_{-}=A \Gamma_{-z} \chi_{+}$is a zero mode of $\mathscr{D}^{(-)}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{D}^{(-)}=\Gamma^{i} \nabla_{i}+\Sigma^{(-)}, \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma^{(-)}=-\frac{3 q c}{2 \ell} A^{-1} \Gamma_{z}+\frac{-1+6 q}{4} \not \partial \log A^{2}-\frac{i}{2} \not Q-(2 i-3 i q) \nvdash \Gamma_{z x}+\frac{1-q}{16} \not H C * . \tag{8.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

To summarize, we have shown that

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\nabla_{i}^{( \pm)} \sigma_{ \pm}=0, & \mathcal{B}^{( \pm)} \sigma_{ \pm}=0, & \mathcal{A}^{( \pm)} \sigma_{ \pm}=0 \Longleftrightarrow \mathscr{D}^{( \pm)} \sigma_{ \pm}=0 ; & c=1 \\
\nabla_{i}^{(+)} \tau_{ \pm}=0, & \mathcal{C}^{( \pm)} \tau_{ \pm}=0, & \mathcal{A}^{( \pm)} \tau_{ \pm}=0 \Longleftrightarrow \mathscr{D}^{( \pm)} \tau_{ \pm}=0 ; & c=-1 \tag{8.8}
\end{array}
$$

and that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\sigma_{+}\right\| & =\text {const }, & \left\|\tau_{+}\right\| & =\text {const }, \\
A^{-2}\left\|\sigma_{-}\right\|^{2} & =\text { const }, & A^{-2}\left\|\tau_{-}\right\|^{2} & =\text { const } . \tag{8.9}
\end{align*}
$$

This concludes the proof of the 1-1 correspondence between Killing spinors and zero modes of Dirac-like operators on $M^{6}$.

### 8.2 Counting supersymmetries again

We are ready now to establish (1.2) for $A d S_{4}$ backgrounds. Provided that the data satisfy the requirements of Hopf maximum principle, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=4 \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}\left(\nabla^{(-)}, \mathcal{A}^{(-)}, \mathcal{B}^{(-)}\right)=4 \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} \mathscr{D}_{c=1}^{(-)}, \tag{8.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which applies to $\sigma_{-}$spinors which confirms (1.2). A similar formula is valid for the three other choices of spinors.

## $9 \quad A d S_{5}$ : local analysis

### 9.1 Fields, Bianchi identities and field equations

The fields on the horizon section $\mathcal{S}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
d s^{2}(\mathcal{S}) & =A^{2}\left(d z^{2}+e^{\frac{2 z}{\ell}}\left(d x^{2}+d y^{2}\right)\right)+d s^{2}\left(M^{5}\right), & G & =H, \quad P=\xi \\
\tilde{F}^{3} & =e^{\frac{2 z}{\ell}} A^{3} d z \wedge d x \wedge d y Y, & \tilde{F}^{5} & =-d \operatorname{vol}\left(M^{5}\right) Y, \tag{9.1}
\end{align*}
$$

and $h=-\frac{2}{\ell} d z-2 d \log A$ and $\Delta=X=L=0$.
Substituting the above fields into the Bianchi identities (2.15) and (2.16), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& d\left(A^{5} Y\right)=0, \quad d H=i Q \wedge H-\xi \wedge \bar{H}, \\
& d \xi=2 i Q \wedge \xi, \quad d Q=-i \xi \wedge \bar{\xi} . \tag{9.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly, $Y$ is proportional to $A^{-5}$. Similarly, the field equations (2.17)-(2.21) give

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla^{i} H_{i j k}= & -5 \partial^{i} \log A H_{i j k}+i Q^{i} H_{i j k}+\xi^{i} \bar{H}_{i j k} \\
\nabla^{i} \xi_{i}= & -5 \partial^{i} \log A \xi_{i}+2 i Q^{i} \xi_{i}-\frac{1}{24} H^{2} \\
A^{-1} \nabla^{2} A= & 4 Y^{2}+\frac{1}{48}\|H\|^{2}-\frac{4}{\ell^{2}} A^{-2}-4(d \log A)^{2} \\
R_{i j}^{(5)}= & 5 A^{-1} \nabla_{i} \nabla_{j} A+4 Y^{2} \delta_{i j} \\
& +\frac{1}{4} H_{(i}^{k \ell} \bar{H}_{j) k \ell}-\frac{1}{48}\|H\|^{2} \delta_{i j}+2 \xi_{(i} \bar{\xi}_{j)} \tag{9.3}
\end{align*}
$$

This concludes the analysis of Bianchi and field equations.

### 9.1.1 The warp factor is nowhere vanishing

As in the previous AdS backgrounds, one can show that the warp factor $A$ is no-where vanishing. The argument is based on the third field equation in (9.3).

### 9.2 Solution of KSEs

Substituting the fields of the previous section into the KSEs of the spatial horizon section (2.27) and after a computation similar to that described for $A d S_{4}$ backgrounds, we find that the Killing spinors can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{+}=\sigma_{+}-\frac{1}{\ell}\left(x \Gamma_{x}+y \Gamma_{y}\right) \Gamma_{z} \tau_{+}+e^{-\frac{z}{\ell}} \tau_{+}, \quad \eta_{-}=\sigma_{-}+e^{\frac{z}{\ell}}\left(-\frac{1}{\ell}\left(x \Gamma_{x}+y \Gamma_{y}\right) \Gamma_{z} \sigma_{-}+\tau_{-}\right) \tag{9.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{ \pm}$and $\tau_{ \pm}$depend only on the coordinates of $M^{5}$. The remaining independent KSEs are

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{i}^{( \pm)} \sigma_{ \pm} & =0, & \nabla_{i}^{( \pm)} \tau_{ \pm} & =0, \\
\mathcal{B}^{ \pm} \sigma_{ \pm} & =0, & \mathcal{A}^{ \pm} \tau_{ \pm} & =0 \tag{9.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\nabla_{i}^{( \pm)}=\nabla_{i}+\Psi_{i}^{( \pm)}, & \mathcal{A}^{( \pm)}=\frac{1}{24} H+\not \& C *, \\
\mathcal{B}^{( \pm)}=\Xi_{ \pm}, & \mathcal{C}^{( \pm)}=\Xi_{ \pm} \pm \frac{1}{\ell},
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{i}^{( \pm)} & = \pm \frac{1}{2} \partial_{i} \log A-\frac{i}{2} Q_{i} \pm \frac{i}{2} \Gamma_{i} Y \Gamma_{x y z}+\left(-\frac{1}{96}(\Gamma \not H)_{i}+\frac{3}{32} \not H_{i}\right) C * \\
\Xi_{ \pm} & =\mp \frac{1}{2 \ell}-\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{z} \not \partial A \pm \frac{i}{2} A Y \Gamma_{x y}+\frac{1}{96} A \Gamma_{z} \not H C * \tag{9.7}
\end{align*}
$$

This concludes the solution of the KSEs along the $A d S_{5}$ directions and the identification of remaining independent KSEs.

### 9.3 Counting supersymmetries

To count the number of supersymmetries preserved by $A d S_{5}$ backgrounds, observe that if $\sigma_{ \pm}$are Killing spinors, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{ \pm}=\Gamma_{z} \Gamma_{x} \sigma_{ \pm}, \quad \tau_{ \pm}=\Gamma_{z} \Gamma_{y} \sigma_{ \pm} \tag{9.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

are also Killing spinors, and vice versa. As a result if $\sigma_{ \pm}$are Killing spinors, then $\sigma_{ \pm}^{\prime}=$ $\Gamma_{x y} \sigma_{ \pm}$are also Killing spinors and similarly for $\tau_{ \pm}$. As a result $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}\left(\nabla^{( \pm)}, \mathcal{A}^{( \pm)}, \mathcal{B}^{( \pm)}\right)$ and $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}\left(\nabla^{( \pm)}, \mathcal{A}^{( \pm)}, \mathcal{C}^{( \pm)}\right)$are even numbers.

Furthermore, as in the previous cases, if either $\sigma_{-}$or $\tau_{-}$is a solution, so is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{+}=A^{-1} \Gamma_{+} \Gamma_{z} \sigma_{-}, \quad \tau_{+}=A^{-1} \Gamma_{+} \Gamma_{z} \tau_{-} \tag{9.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly, if either $\sigma_{+}$or $\tau_{+}$is a solution, so is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{-}=A \Gamma_{-} \Gamma_{z} \sigma_{+}, \quad \tau_{-}=A \Gamma_{-} \Gamma_{z} \tau_{+} \tag{9.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the above relations one concludes that the $A d S_{5} \times{ }_{w} M^{5}$ backgrounds preserve

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=4 \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}\left(\nabla^{( \pm)}, \mathcal{A}^{( \pm)}, \mathcal{B}^{( \pm)}\right)=4 \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}\left(\nabla^{( \pm)}, \mathcal{A}^{( \pm)}, \mathcal{C}^{( \pm)}\right)=8 k \tag{9.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for either + or - choice of sign and $k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}$. This confirms (1.1) for the $A d S_{5}$ backgrounds. Of course $N \leq 32$, and for $N=32$ the solutions are locally isometric [13] to $A d S_{5} \times S^{5}$.

## $10 \quad A d S_{5}$ : global analysis

### 10.1 A Lichnerowicz type theorem for $\tau_{ \pm}$and $\sigma_{ \pm}$

To extend formula (1.2) to $A d S_{5}$ backgrounds, we shall again prove a Lichnerowicz type theorem which relates the Killing spinors to the zero modes of Dirac-like of operators on $M^{5}$ coupled to fluxes. The proof is similar to that we have presented in previous cases and so we shall be brief. It suffices to prove the the Lichnerowicz type of theorem for $\sigma_{+}$and
$\tau_{+}$spinors as the proof for the other pair $\sigma_{-}$and $\tau_{-}$follows because of the relations (9.9) and (9.10) and the fact that these isomorphisms commute with the relevant operators.

To begin the proof, let us denote both $\sigma_{+}$and $\tau_{+}$spinors collectively with $\chi_{+}$and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{D}_{i}^{(+)}=\nabla_{i}^{(+)}+q \Gamma_{z i} A^{-1} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}, \tag{10.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{B}^{(+)}=-\frac{c}{2 \ell}-\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{z} \not \partial A-\frac{i}{2} A Y \Gamma_{y x}+\frac{1}{96} A \Gamma_{z} \not H C *, \tag{10.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $c=1$ when acting on $\sigma_{+}$and $c=-1$ when acting on $\tau_{+}$, ie either $\mathbb{B}^{(+)}=\mathcal{B}^{(+)}$or $\mathbb{B}^{(+)}=\mathcal{C}^{(+)}$, respectively. It is clear from this that if $\chi_{+}$is a Killing spinor, then it is parallel with respect to $\mathbb{D}$.

The modified Dirac-like operator on $M^{5}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{D}^{(+)} \equiv \Gamma^{i} \mathbb{D}_{i}^{(+)}=\Gamma^{i} \nabla_{i}+\Sigma^{(+)}, \tag{10.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma^{(+)}=\frac{5 q c}{2 \ell} A^{-1} \Gamma_{z}+\frac{1+5 q}{4} \not \partial \log A^{2}-\frac{i}{2} \not Q+\frac{5 i-5 i q}{2} Y \Gamma_{z x y}+\frac{7-5 q}{96} \not H C * . \tag{10.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next suppose that $\chi_{+}$is a zero mode of $\mathscr{D}^{(+)}$, ie $\mathscr{D}^{(+)} \chi_{+}=0$. Then after some Clifford algebra computation, which has been presented in appendix $\mathrm{D}, q=3 / 5$, and the use of field equations, one can establish the identity

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla^{2}\left\|\chi_{+}\right\|^{2}+ & 5 A^{-1} \partial^{i} A \partial_{i}\left\|\chi_{+}\right\|^{2}=2\left\|\mathbb{D}^{(+)} \chi_{+}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\frac{48}{5} A^{-2}\left\|\mathbb{B}^{(+)} \chi_{+}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathcal{A}^{(+)} \chi_{+}\right\|^{2} \tag{10.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Assuming that the Hopf maximum principle applies, eg for $M^{5}$ compact and smooth fields, the solution of the above equation reveals that $\chi_{+}$is a Killing spinor and that $\left\|\chi_{+}\right\|=$ const.

A similar formula to (10.5) can be established for $\sigma_{-}$and $\tau_{-}$spinors. In particular, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{D}^{(-)}=\Gamma^{i} \nabla_{i}+\Sigma^{(-)}, \tag{10.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma^{(-)}=-\frac{5 q c}{2 \ell} A^{-1} \Gamma_{z}+\frac{-1+5 q}{4} \not \partial \log A^{2}-\frac{i}{2} \not Q-\frac{5 i-5 i q}{2} Y \Gamma_{z x y}+\frac{7-5 q}{96} \not H C *, \tag{10.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=1$ for the $\sigma_{-}$spinors while $c=-1$ for $\tau_{-}$spinors. As has already been mentioned, because the relations (9.9) and (9.10) between the $\sigma_{-}, \tau_{-}$and $\sigma_{+}, \tau_{+}$spinors commute with the KSEs and the modified Dirac-like operators, it is not necessary to prove the maximum principle independently for $\sigma_{-}, \tau_{-}$. To summarize, we have shown that

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\nabla_{i}^{( \pm)} \sigma_{ \pm}=0, & \mathcal{B}^{( \pm)} \sigma_{ \pm}=0, & \mathcal{A}^{( \pm)} \sigma_{ \pm}=0 \Longleftrightarrow \mathscr{D}^{( \pm)} \sigma_{ \pm}=0 ; & c=1 \\
\nabla_{i}^{(+)} \tau_{ \pm}=0, & \mathcal{C}^{( \pm)} \tau_{ \pm}=0, & \mathcal{A}^{( \pm)} \tau_{ \pm}=0 \Longleftrightarrow \mathscr{D}^{( \pm)} \tau_{ \pm}=0 ; & c=-1 \tag{10.8}
\end{array}
$$

and that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\sigma_{+}\right\| & =\text {const }, & \left\|\tau_{+}\right\| & =\text {const }, \\
A^{-2}\left\|\sigma_{-}\right\|^{2} & =\text { const }, & A^{-2}\left\|\tau_{-}\right\|^{2} & =\text { const } . \tag{10.9}
\end{align*}
$$

### 10.2 Counting supersymmetries again

To establish (1.2) for $A d S_{5}$ backgrounds, observe that the dimension of the kernel of $\mathscr{D}^{( \pm)}$ operators is even. This is because if $\sigma_{ \pm}$or $\tau_{ \pm}$are in the kernel, then $\Gamma_{x y} \sigma_{ \pm}$or $\Gamma_{x y} \tau_{ \pm}$ are also in the kernel. Since $\Gamma_{x y} \sigma_{ \pm}$or $\Gamma_{x y} \tau_{ \pm}$are linearly independent of $\sigma_{ \pm}$and $\tau_{ \pm}$, the dimension of the kernel of $\mathscr{D}^{( \pm)}$is an even number.

Next, provided that the data satisfy the requirements of Hopf maximum principle, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=4 \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}\left(\nabla^{(-)}, \mathcal{A}^{(-)}, \mathcal{B}^{(-)}\right)=4 \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} \mathscr{D}_{c=1}^{(-)} \tag{10.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which applies to $\sigma_{-}$spinors and confirms (1.2). A similar formula is valid for the three other choices of spinors.

## 11 AdS ${ }_{6}$ : local analysis

### 11.1 Fields, Bianchi identities and field equations

For $A d S_{p}, p \geq 6$, the only non-vanishing fluxes are those of the magnetic components of the various field strengths. Since $F$ is self-dual, $F=0$ for all such backgrounds. The fields on the horizon section $\mathcal{S}$ for $A d S_{6}$ backgrounds are

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}(\mathcal{S})=A^{2}\left(d z^{2}+e^{\frac{2 z}{\ell}} \sum_{a=1}^{3}\left(d x^{a}\right)^{2}\right)+d s^{2}\left(M^{4}\right), \quad G=H, \quad P=\xi, \tag{11.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $h=-\frac{2}{\ell} d z-2 d \log A$ and $\Delta=X=L=0$, where $x^{1}=x, x^{2}=y$ as for $A d S_{5}$ and $x^{3}=w$.

Substituting the above fields into the Bianchi identities (2.15) and (2.16), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
d H=i Q \wedge H-\xi \wedge \bar{H}, \quad d \xi=2 i Q \wedge \xi, \quad d Q=-i \xi \wedge \bar{\xi} \tag{11.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the field equations (2.17)-(2.21) give

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla^{i} H_{i j k}= & -6 \partial^{i} \log A H_{i j k}+i Q^{i} H_{i j k}+\xi^{i} \bar{H}_{i j k} \\
\nabla^{i} \xi_{i}= & -6 \partial^{i} \log A \xi_{i}+2 i Q^{i} \xi_{i}-\frac{1}{24} H^{2} \\
A^{-1} \nabla^{2} A= & \frac{1}{48}\|H\|^{2}-\frac{5}{\ell^{2}} A^{-2}-5(d \log A)^{2} \\
R_{i j}^{(4)}= & 6 A^{-1} \nabla_{i} \nabla_{j} A \\
& +\frac{1}{4} H_{(i}^{k \ell} \bar{H}_{j) k \ell}-\frac{1}{48}\|H\|^{2} \delta_{i j}+2 \xi_{(i} \bar{\xi}_{j)} \tag{11.3}
\end{align*}
$$

This concludes the analysis of Bianchi identities and field equations.

### 11.1.1 The warp factor is nowhere vanishing

As in the previous AdS backgrounds, one can show that the warp factor $A$ is no-where vanishing. The argument is based on the third field equation in (11.3).

### 11.2 Solution of KSEs

The solution of the spatial horizon section $\mathcal{S}$ KSEs (2.27) reveals that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{+}=\sigma_{+}-\frac{1}{\ell}\left(\sum_{a} x^{a} \Gamma_{a}\right) \Gamma_{z} \tau_{+}+e^{-\frac{z}{\ell}} \tau_{+}, \quad \eta_{-}=\sigma_{-}+e^{\frac{z}{\ell}}\left(-\frac{1}{\ell}\left(\sum_{a} x^{a} \Gamma_{a}\right) \Gamma_{z} \sigma_{-}+\tau_{-}\right) \tag{11.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{ \pm}$and $\tau_{ \pm}$depend only on the coordinates of $M^{4}$. After taking into account all the integrability conditions, the remaining independent KSEs are

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{i}^{( \pm)} \sigma_{ \pm} & =0, & \nabla_{i}^{( \pm)} \tau_{ \pm} & =0, \\
\mathcal{B}^{ \pm} \sigma_{ \pm} & =0, & \mathcal{A}^{ \pm} \tau_{ \pm} & =0 \tag{11.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\nabla_{i}^{( \pm)}=\nabla_{i}+\Psi_{i}^{( \pm)}, & \mathcal{A}^{( \pm)}=\frac{1}{24} H+\notin C * \\
\mathcal{B}^{( \pm)}=\Xi_{ \pm}, & \mathcal{C}^{( \pm)}=\Xi_{ \pm} \pm \frac{1}{\ell}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{i}^{( \pm)} & = \pm \frac{1}{2} \partial_{i} \log A-\frac{i}{2} Q_{i}+\left(-\frac{1}{96}(\Gamma \not H)_{i}+\frac{3}{32} \not H_{i}\right) C * \\
\Xi_{ \pm} & =\mp \frac{1}{2 \ell}-\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{z} \partial_{i} A \Gamma^{i}+\frac{1}{96} A \Gamma_{z} \not H C * \tag{11.7}
\end{align*}
$$

This concludes the solution of the KSEs along the $\operatorname{Ad} S_{6}$ directions.

### 11.3 Counting supersymmetries

A direct inspection of the KSEs reveals that if $\sigma_{ \pm}$are Killing spinors, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{ \pm}=\Gamma_{z} \Gamma_{a} \sigma_{ \pm} \tag{11.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

are also Killing spinors, and vice versa. As a result if $\sigma_{ \pm}$are Killing spinors, then $\sigma_{ \pm}^{\prime}=$ $\Gamma_{a b} \sigma_{ \pm}$are also Killing spinors and similarly for $\tau_{ \pm}$. Therefore $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}\left(\nabla^{( \pm)}, \mathcal{A}^{( \pm)}, \mathcal{B}^{( \pm)}\right)$ and $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}\left(\nabla^{( \pm)}, \mathcal{A}^{( \pm)}, \mathcal{C}^{( \pm)}\right)$are multiples of four.

Furthermore, as in the previous cases, if either $\sigma_{-}$or $\tau_{-}$is a solution, so is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{+}=A^{-1} \Gamma_{+} \Gamma_{z} \sigma_{-}, \quad \tau_{+}=A^{-1} \Gamma_{+} \Gamma_{z} \tau_{-} \tag{11.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly, if either $\sigma_{+}$or $\tau_{+}$is a solution, so is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{-}=A \Gamma_{-} \Gamma_{z} \sigma_{+}, \quad \tau_{-}=A \Gamma_{-} \Gamma_{z} \tau_{+} \tag{11.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the above relations one concludes that the $A d S_{5} \times_{w} M^{5}$ backgrounds preserve

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=4 \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}\left(\nabla^{( \pm)}, \mathcal{A}^{( \pm)}, \mathcal{B}^{( \pm)}\right)=4 \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}\left(\nabla^{( \pm)}, \mathcal{A}^{( \pm)}, \mathcal{C}^{( \pm)}\right)=16 k \tag{11.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for either + or - choice of sign and $k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}$. This confirms (1.1) for the $A d S_{6}$ backgrounds. It turns out that there can be $A d S_{6}$ backgrounds for only $N=16$ as there are no such backgrounds preserving $N=32$ supersymmetries [13].

## $12 \quad A d S_{6}$ : global analysis

### 12.1 A Lichnerowicz type theorem for $\tau_{ \pm}$and $\sigma_{ \pm}$

As in previous cases, let us prove a Lichnerowicz type theorem for $\sigma_{+}$and $\tau_{+}$spinors. For this denote $\sigma_{+}$and $\tau_{+}$collectively by $\chi_{+}$and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{D}_{i}^{(+)}=\nabla_{i}^{(+)}+q \Gamma_{z i} A^{-1} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}, \tag{12.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{B}^{(+)}=-\frac{c}{2 \ell}-\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{z} \not \partial A \Gamma^{i}+\frac{1}{96} A \Gamma_{z} \not H C *, \tag{12.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $c=1$ when acting on $\sigma_{+}$and $c=-1$ when acting on $\tau_{+}$, ie either $\mathbb{B}^{(+)}=\mathcal{B}^{(+)}$or $\mathbb{B}^{(+)}=\mathcal{C}^{(+)}$, respectively. It is clear from this that if $\chi_{+}$is a Killing spinor, then it is parallel with respect to $\mathbb{D}$.

The modified Dirac-like operator on $M^{4}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{D}^{(+)} \equiv \Gamma^{i} \mathbb{D}_{i}^{(+)}=\Gamma^{i} \nabla_{i}+\Sigma^{(+)}, \tag{12.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma^{(+)}=\frac{2 q c}{\ell} A^{-1} \Gamma_{z}+\frac{1+4 q}{4} \not \partial \log A^{2}-\frac{i}{2} \not \subset+\frac{8-4 q}{96} H C * . \tag{12.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next suppose that $\chi_{+}$is a zero mode of $\mathscr{D}^{(+)}$, ie $\mathscr{D}^{(+)} \chi_{+}=0$. Then after some Clifford algebra computation, which has been presented in appendix $\mathrm{E}, q=1$, and the use of field equations, one can establish the identity

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{2}\left\|\chi_{+}\right\|^{2}+ 6 A^{-1} \partial^{i} A \partial_{i}\left\|\chi_{+}\right\|^{2}=2\left\|\mathbb{D}^{(+)} \chi_{+}\right\|^{2} \\
&+16 A^{-2}\left\|\mathbb{B}^{(+)} \chi_{+}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathcal{A}^{(+)} \chi_{+}\right\|^{2} . \tag{12.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Assuming that the Hopf maximum principle applies, eg for $M^{4}$ compact and smooth fields, the solution of the above equation reveals that $\chi_{+}$is a Killing spinor and that $\left\|\chi_{+}\right\|=$ const.

A similar formula to (10.5) can be established for $\sigma_{-}$and $\tau_{-}$spinors. In particular, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{D}^{(-)}=\Gamma^{i} \nabla_{i}+\Sigma^{(-)}, \tag{12.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma^{(-)}=-\frac{2 q c}{\ell} A^{-1} \Gamma_{z}+\frac{-1+4 q}{4} \not \partial \log A^{2}-\frac{i}{2} \not \subset+\frac{8-4 q}{96} \not H C *, \tag{12.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=1$ for the $\sigma_{-}$spinors while $c=-1$ for $\tau_{-}$spinors. Because of the relations (9.9) and (9.10) between the $\sigma_{-}, \tau_{-}$and $\sigma_{+}, \tau_{+}$spinors and the commutation of these relations with the KSEs and the associated Dirac-like operators, it is not necessary to prove the maximum principle independently for $\sigma_{-}, \tau_{-}$. To summarize, we have shown that

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\nabla_{i}^{( \pm)} \sigma_{ \pm}=0, & \mathcal{B}^{( \pm)} \sigma_{ \pm}=0, & \mathcal{A}^{( \pm)} \sigma_{ \pm}=0 \Longleftrightarrow \mathscr{D}^{( \pm)} \sigma_{ \pm}=0 ; & c=1 \\
\nabla_{i}^{(+)} \tau_{ \pm}=0, & \mathcal{C}^{( \pm)} \tau_{ \pm}=0, & \mathcal{A}^{( \pm)} \tau_{ \pm}=0 \Longleftrightarrow \mathscr{D}^{( \pm)} \tau_{ \pm}=0 ; & c=-1 \tag{12.8}
\end{array}
$$

and that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\sigma_{+}\right\| & =\text {const }, & \left\|\tau_{+}\right\| & =\text {const }, \\
A^{-2}\left\|\sigma_{-}\right\|^{2} & =\text { const }, & A^{-2}\left\|\tau_{-}\right\|^{2} & =\text { const } . \tag{12.9}
\end{align*}
$$

### 12.2 Counting supersymmetries again

To establish (1.2) for $A d S_{5}$ backgrounds, observe that the dimension of the kernel of $\mathscr{D}^{( \pm)}$ operators is multiple of 4 . This is because if $\sigma_{ \pm}$or $\tau_{ \pm}$are in the kernel, then $\Gamma_{a b} \sigma_{ \pm}$or $\Gamma_{a b} \tau_{ \pm}$are also in the kernel. Since $\Gamma_{a b} \sigma_{ \pm}$or $\Gamma_{a b} \tau_{ \pm}$are linearly independent of $\sigma_{ \pm}$and $\tau_{ \pm}$, the dimension of the kernel of $\mathscr{D}^{( \pm)}$is $4 k$.

Next provided that the data satisfy the requirements of Hopf maximum principle, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=4 \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}\left(\nabla^{(-)}, \mathcal{A}^{(-)}, \mathcal{B}^{(-)}\right)=4 \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} \mathscr{D}_{c=1}^{(-)}=16 k, \tag{12.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which applies to $\sigma_{-}$spinors and confirms (1.2). A similar formula is valid for the three other choices of spinors.

## $13 \quad A d S_{n}$, for $n \geq 7$

There are no supersymmetric $\mathrm{AdS}_{n}, n \geq 7$ IIB backgrounds, see also [27] where this result has been established assuming that the Killing spinors factorize. To see this first observe that if a background preserves at least one supersymmetry, then the three-form, $H$, is zero. For $\mathrm{AdS}_{n}, n \geq 8$, this is automatically true. For $\mathrm{AdS}_{7}$, we can show this by manipulating the algebraic Killing spinor equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\$ C *+\frac{1}{24} H /\right) \sigma_{+}=0 . \tag{13.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We start by multiplying this by $H$ to convert it to an eigenvalue equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\& \overline{\mathcal{H}} C * \sigma_{+}=-\frac{1}{4}\|H\|^{2} \sigma_{+}, \tag{13.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then we square the operator on the left hand side to eliminate $C *$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{i} \bar{\xi}_{j} \Gamma^{i j} \sigma_{+}=-\left(\|\xi\|^{2}+\frac{1}{96}\|H\|^{2}\right) \sigma_{+} . \tag{13.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, squaring this operator as well, we end up with a scalar equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\xi\|^{4}-\left\|\xi^{2}\right\|^{2}=\left(\|\xi\|^{2}+\frac{1}{96}\|H\|^{2}\right)^{2} \tag{13.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we conclude that $\xi^{2}=\xi_{i} \xi^{i}$ and $H$ are both zero.
Having shown that $H=0$, the integrability condition, (2.31), reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{4 \ell^{2}}+\frac{1}{4}(d A)^{2}\right) \sigma_{+}=0 \tag{13.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has no solution. Therefore, there are no supersymmetric $A d S_{n}$ backgrounds for $n \geq 7$.

| $A d S_{n} \times{ }_{w} M^{10-n}$ | $N$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $n=2$ | $2 k, k<14$ |
| $n=3$ | $2 k, k<14$ |
| $n=4$ | $4 k, k<7$ |
| $n=5$ | $8 k, k \leq 4$ |
| $n=6$ | 16 |
| $n \geq 7$ | - |

Table 1. The number of supersymmetries $N$ of $A d S_{n} \times_{w} M^{10-n}$ backgrounds are given. For $A d S_{2} \times_{w} M^{8}$, one can show that these backgrounds preserve an even number of supersymmetries provided that they are smooth and $M^{8}$ is compact without boundary. For the rest, the counting of supersymmetries does not rely on the compactness of $M^{10-n}$. The bounds in $k$ arise from the non-existence of supersymmetric solutions with near maximal and maximal supersymmetry. For the remaining fractions, it is not known whether there always exist backgrounds preserving the prescribed number of supersymmetries. Supersymmetric $A d S_{n}, n \geq 7$, backgrounds do not exist.

## 14 Flat IIB backgrounds

Warped flat backgrounds $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times_{w} M^{10-n}$ are also included in our analysis. These arise in the "flat limit", ie the limit that the $A d S_{n}$ radius $\ell$ is taken to infinity. This limit is smooth in all our computations. However, some of our results on $A d S_{n}$ backgrounds do not extend to the flat backgrounds. The investigation of the KSEs is also somewhat different from that of $A d S_{n}$ backgrounds.

To emphasize some of the differences between $A d S_{n}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$ backgrounds, it has been known for sometime that there are no smooth warped flux compactifications in supergravity [26]. To alter this either additional sources have to be added to the supergravity equations, like brane charges, and /or consider higher order curvature corrections which arise for example from anomaly cancellation mechanisms or $\alpha^{\prime}$ corrections in string theory. In either case, the new backgrounds can be constructed as corrections to supergravity solutions. Because there are different sources that can be added and we do not have control over all higher curvature corrections, we shall mostly focus here on the supergravity limit and explore the similarities and differences between the $A d S_{n}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$ backgrounds.

### 14.1 The warp factor is not nowhere vanishing

We have seen that the warp factor in all $A d S_{n}$ is no-where vanishing. This does not extend to $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$ backgrounds because the finiteness of the $A d S_{n}$ radius has been essential in the proof of the statement. In fact $A$ must vanish somewhere for non-trivial $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$ backgrounds with fluxes. This follows from the results of [26] on the non-existence of smooth warped flux compactifications in the context of supergravity. To see this, let us focus on the $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}$ case, as the argument is similar in all the other cases. If $A$ is no-where vanishing and $M^{8}$ is compact, an application of the maximum principle on the field equation for $A$ (3.8) reveals
that $A$ is constant and the fluxes $F$ and $G$ vanish. Furthermore using the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2}\|\xi\|^{2}=2\left(\nabla_{(i} \xi_{j)}-2 i \Lambda_{(i} \xi_{j)}\right)\left(\nabla^{(i} \xi^{j)}-2 i \Lambda^{(i} \xi^{j)}\right)+6\left(\|\xi\|^{2}\right)^{2}, \tag{14.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

established in [19] and upon using again the maximum principle, one can show that $\xi=0$. As a result all the form field strengths vanish which is a contradiction. From now on, we shall assume that $A$ is non-vanishing on some dense subset of $M^{10-n}$ and carry out the analysis that follows on that subset.

### 14.2 Counting supersymmetries

All the local computations we have done for $A d S_{n}$ backgrounds extend to $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$ backgrounds. However the statements which rely on the smoothness of the fields as well as the non-vanishing of the warp factor have to be re-examined. In particular, the solution of the KSEs can be carried out as has been described for $A d S_{n}$. Also the various maximum principle formulae are valid away from points where $A=0$, like eg (4.3), (4.5), (6.6) and others. However, the Hopf maximum principle cannot be applied any longer even if $M^{10-n}$ is taken to be compact. As a result there is not a straightforward relation between Killing spinors and zero modes on Dirac-like operators on $M^{10-n}$. Because of this, for the counting of supersymmetries we shall rely on the local solution of the KSEs as presented for the $A d S_{n}$ backgrounds.

### 14.2.1 $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}$ backgrounds

The counting of supersymmetries for $A d S_{2}$ backgrounds relies on the global properties of $M^{8}$ and the smoothness of the fields. As a result, the number of supersymmetries preserved by $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}$ backgrounds cannot be concluded. In particular, it is not apparent that such backgrounds always preserve an even number of supersymmetries. Nevertheless, if $\eta_{-}$ is a Killing spinor, so is $\Gamma_{+} \Theta_{-} \eta_{-}$on $M^{8}$. Now if $\operatorname{Ker} \Theta_{-}=\{0\}$, it is clear that there will be a doubling of supersymmetries. In such a case, the number of Killing spinors for such backgrounds is $N \geq 2 N_{-}$, where $N_{-}$is the number of $\eta_{-}$Killing spinors.

### 14.2.2 $\mathbb{R}^{2,1}$ backgrounds

Let us re-examine the solution of the KSEs. In the limit $\ell \rightarrow \infty$, the integrability conditions (2.31) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{\mp} \Theta_{ \pm} \eta_{ \pm}=0 . \tag{14.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same limit, the solution of the KSEs (5.5) along the z -direction is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{ \pm}=\sigma_{ \pm}+z \Xi_{ \pm} \tau_{ \pm}, \quad \Xi_{ \pm}\left(\sigma_{ \pm}-\tau_{ \pm}\right)=0, \tag{14.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Xi_{ \pm}=A \Gamma_{z} \Theta_{ \pm}$. The integrability conditions are automatically satisfied because of (14.2). The remaining independent KSEs are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\nabla_{i}^{( \pm)} \sigma_{ \pm}=0, & \nabla_{i}^{( \pm)} \tau_{ \pm}=0, \\
\mathcal{A}^{( \pm)} \sigma_{ \pm}=0, & \mathcal{A}^{( \pm)} \tau_{ \pm}=0,
\end{array}
$$

where $\nabla^{( \pm)}$and $\mathcal{A}^{( \pm)}$are given in (5.12). $\tau_{ \pm}$and $\sigma_{ \pm}$satisfy the same differential equations and are not linearly independent. As a result, it suffices to consider only the $\sigma_{ \pm}$spinors and set $\tau_{ \pm}=\sigma_{ \pm}$. Therefore the number of supersymmetries preserved by $\mathbb{R}^{2,1}$ backgrounds is $N=\operatorname{dimKer}\left(\nabla^{(+)}, \mathcal{A}^{(+)}\right)+\operatorname{dimKer}\left(\nabla^{(-)}, \mathcal{A}^{(-)}\right)$.

Next, it is straightforward to observe that if $\sigma_{-}$is a solution of (5.11) in the limit $\ell=\infty$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{+}=A^{-1} \Gamma_{z} \Gamma_{+} \sigma_{-} \tag{14.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is also a solution. Conversely, if $\sigma_{+}$is a solution, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{-}=A \Gamma_{z} \Gamma_{-} \sigma_{+} \tag{14.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is also a solution. Therefore, $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}\left(\nabla^{(+)}, \mathcal{A}^{(+)}\right)=\operatorname{dimKer}\left(\nabla^{(-)}, \mathcal{A}^{(-)}\right)$, and so the $\mathbb{R}^{2,1}$ backgrounds preserve an even number of supersymmetries.

Observe that in general the Killing spinors can depend non-trivially on the $z$ coordinate. This is possible only if $\sigma_{ \pm} \notin \operatorname{Ker} \Xi_{ \pm}$even though it is required that $\sigma_{ \pm} \in \operatorname{Ker} \Xi_{ \pm}^{2}$ because of (14.2).

### 14.2.3 $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ backgrounds

The counting of supersymmetries of $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ backgrounds is similar to $\mathbb{R}^{2,1}$ solutions. In particular integrating the KSEs along the $z$ and $x$ directions we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{ \pm}=\sigma_{ \pm}+A\left(z \Gamma_{z}+x \Gamma_{x}\right) \Theta_{ \pm} \tau_{ \pm}, \quad \Theta_{ \pm}\left(\sigma_{ \pm}-\tau_{ \pm}\right)=0 \tag{14.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\sigma_{ \pm}$and $\tau_{ \pm}$both in the kernel of $\left(\nabla^{( \pm)}, \mathcal{A}^{( \pm)}\right)$given in (7.12) and $\Xi_{ \pm}=A \Gamma_{z} \Theta_{ \pm}$. Therefore as in the $\mathbb{R}^{2,1}$ case these spinors are not linearly independent and so suffices to consider $\sigma_{ \pm}$and set $\tau_{ \pm}=\sigma_{ \pm}$. In addition if $\sigma_{+}$is a solution, so is $\Gamma_{z x} \sigma_{+}$. This together with the fact that if $\sigma_{+}$is a solution so is $\sigma_{-}=A \Gamma_{z} \Gamma_{-} \sigma_{+}$, and vice versa if $\sigma_{-}$is a solution so is $\sigma_{+}=A^{-1} \Gamma_{z} \Gamma_{+} \sigma_{-}$, one concludes that $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ backgrounds preserve $N=4 k$ supersymmetries.

Note again that the Killing spinors are allowed to depend linearly on the coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$. This is the case only if $\tau_{ \pm} \notin \operatorname{Ker} \Xi_{ \pm}$even though it is required that $\tau_{ \pm} \in \operatorname{Ker} \Xi_{ \pm}^{2}$ because of (14.2).

### 14.2.4 $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}, n>4$, backgrounds

As in the previous cases, one can prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{ \pm}=\sigma_{ \pm}+A\left(\sum_{\mu} x^{\mu} \Gamma_{\mu}\right) \Theta_{ \pm} \tau_{ \pm}, \quad \Theta_{ \pm}\left(\sigma_{ \pm}-\tau_{ \pm}\right)=0 \tag{14.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the limit $\ell \rightarrow \infty$, and that the only linearly independent Killing spinors are $\sigma_{ \pm}$, where $x^{\mu}$ are all the coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$ apart from the lightcone ones $u, r$. Moreover, it suffices to count the linearly independent $\sigma_{+}$spinors as the $\sigma_{-}$spinors can be constructed as $\sigma_{-}=A \Gamma_{z} \Gamma_{-} \sigma_{+}$from the $\sigma_{+}$ones, and vice versa because of the relation $\sigma_{+}=A^{-1} \Gamma_{z} \Gamma_{+} \sigma_{-}$.

Next given a $\sigma_{+}$Killing spinor, one can see by direct inspection of the KSEs on $M^{10-n}$ that $\Gamma_{a b} \sigma_{+}, a<b$, are also Killing spinors, where $\Gamma_{a}$ are the gamma matrices in directions
orthogonal to + , - . It turns out that for $n=5$, these are all linearly independent and therefore these backgrounds preserve $N=8 k$ supersymmetries.

For $n=6$, apart from $\Gamma_{a b} \sigma_{+}, a<b$, observe that $\Gamma_{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}} \sigma_{+}, a_{1}<a_{2}<a_{3}<a_{4}$ also solve the KSEs on $M^{4}$. However, there is a unique Clifford algebra element $\Gamma_{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}}$, $a_{1}<a_{2}<a_{3}<a_{4}$, in this case and has eigenvalues $\pm 1$, and commutes with all the KSEs. Now if $\sigma_{+}$is in one of the two eigenspaces, only four of the 7 Killing spinors $\left\{\sigma_{+}, \Gamma_{a b} \sigma_{+} \mid a<b\right\}$ are linearly independent. Therefore the $\mathbb{R}^{5,1}$ backgrounds preserve $N=8 k$ supersymmetries.

Suppose now that $n=7$. Given a Killing spinor $\sigma_{+}$, then $\Gamma_{a b} \sigma_{+}$and $\Gamma_{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}} \sigma_{+}$, $a_{1}<a_{2}<a_{3}<a_{4}$, are also Killing spinors. There are five $\Gamma_{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}}, a_{1}<a_{2}<a_{3}<a_{4}$ Clifford algebra operations in this case. Choose one, say $\Gamma_{[4]}$. As in the previous case $\sigma_{+}$can be in one of the eigenspaces of $\Gamma_{[4]}$. In such a case, only 8 of the previous 16 Killing spinors are linearly independent. Therefore, the $\mathbb{R}^{6,1}$ backgrounds preserve $N=16 k$ supersymmetries. Of course as a consequence of [13] the non-trivial $\mathbb{R}^{6,1}$ backgrounds preserve strictly 16 supersymmetries. Furthermore adapting the analysis of section 13 in the limit of infinite AdS radius, one finds that $A$ must be constant, $H=0$ and $\xi_{i} \xi^{i}=0$.

Next take $n=8$. Given a Killing spinor $\sigma_{+}$, then $\Gamma_{a b} \sigma_{+}, \Gamma_{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}} \sigma_{+}, a_{1}<a_{2}<$ $a_{3}<a_{4}$, and $\Gamma_{a_{1} \ldots a_{6}} \sigma_{+}, a_{1}<\cdots<a_{6}$ are also Killing spinors. All fifteen $\Gamma_{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}}$, $a_{1}<a_{2}<a_{3}<a_{4}$, Clifford algebra operators commute with the KSEs and have eigenvalues $\pm 1$. Taking a commuting pair of such operators, say $\Gamma_{[4]}$ and $\Gamma_{[4]}^{\prime}$, and choosing $\sigma_{+}$to lie in a common eigenspace of both these operators, only eight of the 32 spinors mentioned above are linearly independent. As a result, $\mathbb{R}^{7,1}$ backgrounds preserve $N=16 k$ supersymmetries. In fact non-trivial $\mathbb{R}^{7,1}$ backgrounds backgrounds, like the D7-brane, preserve strictly 16 supersymmetries. Again for this backgrounds $A$ is constant and $\xi_{i} \xi^{i}=0$. Furthermore, it can be easily seen from the results of section 13 and after taking the AdS radius to infinity that there are no non-trivial $\mathbb{R}^{8,1}$ supersymmetric backgrounds.

It should be further noted that all $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$ with $N>16$ are homogeneous spaces [17]. If one can show that $A$ is invariant and so constant, then the field equation of the warp factor implies that there are no such no trivial backgrounds preserving $N>16$ supersymmetries. It is likely that this is the case for all such backgrounds for which the Killing spinors do not exhibit a $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$ coordinate dependence.

## 15 On the factorization of Killing spinors

In many of the investigations of $A d S_{n} \times M^{10-n}$ backgrounds in IIB and other theories, it is assumed that the Killing spinors of the spacetime factorize into a product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon=\psi \otimes \chi \tag{15.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi$ is a Killing spinor on the AdS spaces satisfying the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\mu} \psi+\lambda \gamma_{\mu} \psi=0, \tag{15.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and where $\nabla$ and $\gamma_{\mu}$ are the spin connection and gamma matrices on $A d S_{n}$, respectively. Since we have solved the KSEs on the whole spacetime, we can now test this hypothesis.

| $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} \times_{w} M^{10-n}$ | $N$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $n=2$ | $N<28$ |
| $n=3$ | $2 k, k<14$ |
| $n=4$ | $4 k, k<14$ |
| $n=5$ | $8,16,24$ |
| $n=6$ | $8,16,24$ |
| $n=7$ | 16 |
| $n=8$ | 16 |
| $n=10$ | 32 |

Table 2. The number of supersymmetries $N$ of $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times_{w} M^{10-n}$ is not a priori an even number. The corresponding statement for $A d S_{2}$ backgrounds is proven using global considerations which are not applicable in this case. For the rest, the counting of supersymmetries follows from the properties of KSEs and the classification results of [13-16]. All backgrounds with $n>8$ are maximally supersymmetric and so locally isometric to $\mathbb{R}^{9,1}$.

To do this observe that if the hypothesis is correct, then $\epsilon$ also solves (15.2). So it suffices to substitute our Killing spinors into (15.2) to see whether they automatically satisfy it. This computation is similar that that we have done for M-theory in [24]. It turns out that the Killing spinors $\epsilon$ solve (15.2) iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{z} \epsilon= \pm \epsilon \tag{15.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

However our Killing spinors do not satisfy this equation. As a result the original hypothesis is not valid in general.

To illustrate that (15.3) is restrictive, we shall test it against the supersymmetry counting for the $A d S_{5} \times S^{5}$ background. It is known that this background preserves all 32 supersymmetries. It can be easily seen that to solve the algebraic KSEs for this background in (9.5) for the $\tau_{+}$spinor, one has to impose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{x y} \tau_{+}= \pm i \tau_{+} \tag{15.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

After choosing one of the signs, it is clear that the dimension of the space of solutions is 8 counted over the reals. The gravitino KSE is then solved without any additional constraints on $\tau_{+}$. Next using the relation between $\tau_{+}, \tau_{-}, \sigma_{+}$and $\sigma_{-}$solutions to the KSEs, we conclude that the number of Killing spinors of this background is $4 \times 8=32$ as expected. However if one also imposes the condition (15.3) on $\tau_{+}$, one will arrive at the incorrect conclusion that $A d S_{5} \times S^{5}$ preserves only 16 supersymmetries.

We have seen that the spinor factorization assumption in (15.1) leads to the incorrect counting of supersymmetries for AdS backgrounds. It is also likely that it puts additional restrictions on the geometry of the transverse spaces $M^{10-n}$. We shall investigate this in another publication.

To continue, let us examine the factorization of the Killing spinors as in (15.1) for flat backgrounds to see whether a similar issue arises as for the AdS. A direct inspection of
the Killing spinors we have found in section 14.2 reveals that the Killing spinors do not solve the KSEs on $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$ whenever they have an explicit dependence on the coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$. As we have already stressed, this dependence appears whenever $\sigma_{ \pm}$are not in the kernel of $\Theta_{ \pm}$. However it is required as a consequence of the KSEs, field equations and Bianchi identities that $\Theta_{\mp} \Theta_{ \pm} \sigma_{ \pm}=0$. Thus assuming that the Killing spinor factorize as in (15.1) with $\psi$ to be a constant spinor on $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$, we find that this imposes the additional condition $\Theta_{ \pm} \sigma_{ \pm}=0$ on the Killing spinors. It is not apparent that this condition always holds for flat backgrounds. On the other hand we are not aware of examples for which it does not, and so the question will be investigated further elsewhere.

## 16 Conclusions

We have determined the a priori fractions of supersymmetry preserved by the warped $A d S_{n}$ and flat backgrounds $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$ in IIB supergravity. The results are tabulated in tables 1 and 2 , and in equations (1.1) and (1.3), respectively. To achieve this, we have solved the KSEs of IIB supergravity without making any assumptions on the form of the fields and Killing spinors, and identified the independent KSEs on the transverse spaces $M^{10-n}$. There are two ways to count the number of supersymmetries for $A d S_{n}$ backgrounds. One is directly from the KSEs on $M^{10-n}$ and the other is from counting the zero modes of a suitable Dirac-like operator on $M^{10-n}$ coupled to fluxes. For the latter, we have proven new Lichnerowicz type theorems using the Hopf maximum principle which relates the Killing spinors to the zero modes of the Dirac-like operator. As a consequence, we have extended the Lichnerowicz theorem for connections with holonomy contained in a $G L$ group.

The solution of the KSEs of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$ backgrounds can be recovered from that of $A d S_{n}$ in the limit that the AdS radius goes to infinity. The counting of supersymmetries for such backgrounds then proceeds by counting the solutions of the KSEs on $M^{10-n}$. The counting of Killing spinors for $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$ backgrounds is different from that of $\operatorname{AdS} S_{n}$ backgrounds because of differences in the identification of linearly independent Killing spinors. Furthermore, unlike the $A d S_{n}$ case, $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$ backgrounds do not satisfy the regularity assumptions of $A d S_{n}$ backgrounds and so there is no corresponding counting of supersymmetries via the counting of zero modes of Dirac-like operators.

Our result is the first step towards the classification of all $A d S_{n}$ and flat backgrounds $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$ in IIB supergravity. The next step is to investigate the existence of backgrounds for each fraction of supersymmetry preserved. We have already excluded the existence of many cases as can be seen in tables 1 and 2 . However, it is likely that further cases can be excluded especially in the $A d S_{n}$ case after additional conditions are put on the transverse space $M^{10-n}$ like for example compactness. The exploration of this question as well as the geometry of all $A d S_{n}$ backgrounds will be presented elsewhere.
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## A Conventions

Our form conventions are as follows. Let $\omega$ be a k-form, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\frac{1}{k!} \omega_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}} d x^{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d x^{i_{k}} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \omega=\frac{1}{k!} \partial_{i_{1}} \omega_{i_{2} \ldots i_{k+1}} d x^{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d x^{i_{k+1}} \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

leading to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(d \omega)_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k+1}}=(k+1) \partial_{\left[i_{1}\right.} \omega_{\left.i_{2} \ldots i_{k+1}\right]} . \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{2}=\omega_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}} \omega^{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}}, \quad \omega_{i_{1} i_{2}}^{2}=\omega_{i_{1} j_{1} \ldots j_{k-1}} \omega_{i_{2}}^{j_{1} \ldots j_{k-1}} \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given a volume form $d \mathrm{vol}=\frac{1}{n!} \epsilon_{i_{1} \ldots i_{n}} d x^{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d x^{i_{n}}$, the Hodge dual of $\omega$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
* \omega \wedge \chi=(\chi, \omega) d \mathrm{vol} \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\chi, \omega)=\frac{1}{k!} \chi_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}} \omega^{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}} \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
* \omega_{i_{1} \ldots i_{n-k}}=\frac{1}{k!} \epsilon_{i_{1} \ldots i_{n-k}}{ }^{j_{1} \ldots j_{k}} \omega_{j_{1} \ldots j_{k}} \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular the (anti) self-duality of the IIB 5 -form field strengths is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{M_{1} \ldots M_{5}}=-\frac{1}{5!} \epsilon_{M_{1} \ldots M_{5}}{ }^{N_{1} \ldots N_{5}} F_{N_{1} \ldots N_{5}} \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

eg $F_{+-z 34}=-F_{56789}$. For complex forms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\omega\|^{2}=\bar{\omega}_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}} \omega^{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}} \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well-known that for every form $\omega$, one can define a Clifford algebra element $\psi$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\omega_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}} \Gamma^{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}} \tag{A.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma^{i}, i=1, \ldots n$, are the Dirac gamma matrices. In addition we introduce the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{i_{1}}=\omega_{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{k}} \Gamma^{i_{2} \ldots i_{k}}, \quad \Gamma \psi_{i_{1}}=\Gamma_{i_{1}}^{i_{2} \ldots i_{k+1}} \omega_{i_{2} \ldots i_{k+1}} . \tag{A.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rest of our spinor conventions can be found in [25].

## B $\operatorname{AdS}_{3}$ : proof of the maximum principle

In this appendix, we shall derive (6.6). This involves extensive Clifford algebra manipulations and the use of the field equations, in particular the scalar part of the Einstein equation on $M^{7}$. For this, we consider $\left\|\chi_{+}\right\|^{2}$, assume $\mathscr{D}^{(+)} \chi_{+}=0$, and evaluate $\nabla^{2}\left\|\chi_{+}\right\|^{2}$ to find

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla^{2}\left\|\chi_{+}\right\|^{2}= & 2\left\|\mathbb{D}^{(+)} \chi_{+}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} R^{(7)}\left\|\chi_{+}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},\left[-4 \Psi^{(+) i \dagger}-2 \Psi^{(+) i}-2 \Gamma^{i j} \Psi_{j}^{(+)}-14 q A^{-1} \Gamma^{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right] \nabla_{i} \chi_{+}\right\rangle \\
& +\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},\left[-2\left(\Psi^{(+) i \dagger}+q A^{-1} \mathbb{B}^{(+) \dagger} \Gamma^{z i}\right)\left(\Psi_{i}^{(+)}+q A^{-1} \Gamma_{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-2 \nabla^{i} \Psi_{i}^{(+)}-2 \Gamma^{i j} \nabla_{i} \Psi_{j}^{(+)}-14 \nabla_{i}\left(q A^{-1} \Gamma^{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right)\right] \chi_{+}\right\rangle, \tag{B.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{i}^{(+) \dagger}=\frac{1}{2 A} \partial_{i} A+\frac{i}{2} Q_{i}-\frac{i}{4}(\Gamma \not \subset)_{i} \Gamma_{z}-\frac{i}{2} Y_{i} \Gamma_{z} \\
& +\left(-\frac{1}{96}(\Gamma / H)_{i}-\frac{9}{96} \not H_{i}+\frac{6}{96} \Phi \Gamma_{z i}\right) C *, \\
& \mathbb{B}^{(+) \dagger}=-\frac{c}{2 \ell}-\frac{1}{2} \not \partial A \Gamma_{z}+\frac{i A}{4} \nvdash+\left(-\frac{A}{96} H \Gamma_{z}+\frac{18 A}{96} \Phi\right) C * . \tag{B.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Expanding out the third term, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},\right. & {\left.\left[-4 \Psi^{(+) i \dagger}-2 \Psi^{(+) i}-2 \Gamma^{i j} \Psi_{j}^{(+)}-14 q A^{-1} \Gamma^{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right] \nabla_{i} \chi_{+}\right\rangle } \\
& =\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},\left[\frac{7 q c}{\ell} A^{-1} \Gamma^{z i}-(3+7 q) \partial^{i} \log A-(1+7 q)(\Gamma \not \partial \log A)^{i}\right.\right. \\
& -i Q^{i}+i(\Gamma \not Q)^{i}+\frac{-2+14 q}{2} i \Psi^{i} \Gamma^{z}+\frac{-1+7 q}{2} i(\Gamma \not \subset)^{i} \Gamma^{z} \\
& \left.\left.+\left(\frac{-6+14 q}{96}(\Gamma H)^{i}+\frac{6+42 q}{96} H^{i}+\frac{60-252 q}{96} \Phi \Gamma^{z i}\right) C *\right] \nabla_{i} \chi_{+}\right\rangle . \tag{B.3}
\end{align*}
$$

For $q=\frac{1}{7}$, this term can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},\right. & {\left.\left[-4 \Psi^{(+) i \dagger}-2 \Psi^{(+) i}-2 \Gamma^{i j} \Psi_{j}^{(+)}-14 q A^{-1} \Gamma^{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right] \nabla_{i} \chi_{+}\right\rangle } \\
& =-3 \partial^{i} \log A \nabla_{i}\left\|\chi_{+}\right\|^{2}+\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+}, \mathcal{F} \Gamma^{i} \nabla_{i} \chi_{+}\right\rangle \\
& =-3 \partial^{i} \log A \nabla_{i}\left\|\chi_{+}\right\|^{2}-\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+}, \mathcal{F} \Gamma^{i}\left[\Psi_{i}^{(+)}+\frac{1}{7 A} \Gamma_{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right] \chi_{+}\right\rangle \tag{B.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}=\frac{c}{\ell} A^{-1} \Gamma^{z}+2 \not \partial \log A-i \not Q+\left(\frac{1}{24} \not H+\frac{1}{4} \Phi \Gamma^{z}\right) C * . \tag{B.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the $\mathcal{F}$-term with the bilinear part of the fourth term in (B.1), we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},-2\left(\Psi^{(+) i \dagger}+\frac{1}{7 A} \mathbb{B}^{(+) \dagger} \Gamma^{z i}+\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F} \Gamma^{i}\right)\left(\Psi_{i}^{(+)}+\frac{1}{7 A} \Gamma_{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right) \chi_{+}\right\rangle \\
& =\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},-2\left[\frac{3 c}{7 \ell A} \Gamma^{z i}+\frac{10}{7 A} \partial^{i} A-\frac{13}{14 A}(\Gamma \not \partial A)^{i}+\frac{i}{2}(\Gamma \not Q)^{i}-\frac{3 i}{7} \Psi^{i} \Gamma_{z}\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.-\frac{2 i}{7}(\Gamma \not \subset)^{i} \Gamma^{z}+\left(-\frac{20}{7 \cdot 96}(\Gamma / H)^{i}-\frac{24}{7 \cdot 96} \not H^{i}+\frac{3}{14} \Phi \Gamma^{z i}\right) C *\right] \\
\times\left[-\frac{c}{14 \ell A} \Gamma_{z i}+\frac{4}{7 A} \partial_{i} A+\frac{1}{14 A}(\Gamma \not \partial A)_{i}-\frac{i}{2} Q_{i}-\frac{4 i}{7} \nVdash_{i} \Gamma_{z}\right. \\
\left.\left.+\frac{3 i}{14}(\Gamma \nmid)_{i} \Gamma_{z}+\left(-\frac{8}{7 \cdot 96}(\Gamma / H)_{i}+\frac{60}{7 \cdot 96} \not H_{i}-\frac{1}{28} \Phi \Gamma_{z i}\right) C *\right] \chi_{+}\right\rangle \\
=\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},\left[-\frac{3}{7 \ell^{2} A^{2}}-\frac{17}{7 A^{2}}(d A)^{2}-\frac{8 i}{7} A^{-1} \partial_{i} A Y^{i}-\frac{4 i c}{7 \ell A} \nvdash-2 Y^{2}-\frac{1}{7} Y^{2}\right.\right. \\
-\frac{3}{28}\|\Phi\|^{2}+\frac{1}{84} \Phi \not H_{z}-\frac{11}{7 \cdot 288} H / H+\frac{1}{32} H^{i} H_{i} \\
\left.\left.+\left(\frac{i}{12} Q_{i}(\Gamma / H)^{i}+\frac{c}{42 \ell A} \not H \Gamma_{z}-\frac{1}{42 A} \partial_{i} A(\Gamma / H)^{i}+\frac{i}{14} \not Y^{i} H_{i} \Gamma_{z}-\frac{3 c}{7 \ell A} \Phi\right) C *\right] \chi_{+}\right\rangle .(\mathrm{E} \tag{B.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

We can use the field equations and Bianchi identities to rewrite the last line of (B.1) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},\right. & {\left.\left[-2 \nabla^{i} \Psi_{i}^{(+)}-2 \Gamma^{i j} \nabla_{i} \Psi_{j}^{(+)}-\nabla_{i}\left(\frac{2}{A} \Gamma^{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right)\right] \chi_{+}\right\rangle } \\
= & \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},\left(\frac{2}{A^{2}}(d A)^{2}-\frac{2}{A} \nabla^{2} A+\frac{i}{2} d Q-2 i \nabla_{i} \psi^{i} \Gamma_{z}-\frac{1}{48} d H C *\right) \chi_{+}\right\rangle \\
= & \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},\left[\frac{4}{\ell^{2} A^{2}}\right.\right.
\end{align*}+\frac{6}{A^{2}}(d A)^{2}-4 Y^{2}-\frac{3}{4}\|\Phi\|^{2}+\xi_{i} \bar{\xi}_{j} \Gamma^{i j} .
$$

The second, fourth, and $\mathcal{F}$-term part of the third term on the right side of equation (B.1) thus sum to

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+}\right. & ,\left[\frac{4}{7 \ell^{2} A^{2}}+\frac{4}{7 A^{2}}(d A)^{2}-\frac{4 i c}{7 \ell A} Y-\frac{8 i}{7} A^{-1} \partial_{i} A Y^{i}-\frac{1}{7} Y^{2}+\frac{1}{7}\|\Phi\|^{2}\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{84} \Phi \not{H} \Gamma_{z}+\|\xi\|^{2}+\xi_{i} \bar{\xi}_{j} \Gamma^{i j}+\frac{1}{7 \cdot 96} \not H \not H-\frac{1}{32} H^{i} H_{i}-\frac{1}{8} \not H^{i j} \bar{H}_{i j} \\
& +\frac{1}{12}\|H\|^{2}+\left(\frac{c}{42 \ell A} \not H \Gamma^{z}-\frac{1}{42 A} \partial_{i} A(\Gamma H)^{i}+\frac{1}{12} \xi_{i} \overline{(\Gamma / H)^{i}}\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+\frac{i}{14} \nvdash^{i} H_{i} \Gamma_{z}-\frac{3 c}{7 \ell A} \Phi\right) C *\right] \chi_{+}\right\rangle \tag{B.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Noting that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathbb{B}^{(+)} \chi_{+}\right\|^{2}= & \left\langle\chi_{+}, \mathbb{B}^{(+) \dagger} \mathbb{B}^{(+)} \chi_{+}\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle\chi_{+},\left[\frac{1}{4 \ell^{2}}+\frac{1}{4}(d A)^{2}-\frac{i A}{2} Y^{i} \partial_{i} A \Gamma_{z}-\frac{i A c}{4 \ell} \nvdash-\frac{A^{2}}{16} Y^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \quad-\frac{A^{2}}{96^{2}} \not H \not H+\frac{9 A^{2}}{256}\|\Phi\|^{2}-\frac{A^{2}}{256} \Phi \bar{H} \Gamma_{z}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\left.+\left(\frac{A c}{96 \ell} H \Gamma^{z}-\frac{A}{96} \partial_{i} A(\Gamma H)^{i}+\frac{i A^{2}}{32} Y_{i} H^{i} \Gamma_{z}-\frac{3 A c}{16 \ell} \Phi\right) C *\right] \chi_{+}\right\rangle \\
\left\|\mathcal{A}^{(+)} \chi_{+}\right\|^{2}=\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi,\left[\|\xi\|^{2}+\xi_{i} \bar{\xi}_{j} \Gamma^{i j}+\frac{1}{576} \not H \not H-\frac{1}{32} \not H^{i} H_{i}\right.\right. \\
-\frac{1}{8} H^{i j} \bar{H}_{i j}+\frac{1}{12}\|H\|^{2}+\frac{1}{16}\|\Phi\|^{2} \\
\left.\left.+\frac{1}{48} \Phi \bar{H}_{z}+\frac{1}{12} \xi_{i} \overline{(\Gamma H)^{i}} C *\right] \chi\right\rangle \tag{B.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

we can now write equation (B.1) as (6.6). We also remark that the values of $q$ for $A d S_{n}$ backgrounds are given by $q=\frac{n-2}{10-n}$.

## C $\quad \operatorname{AdS}_{4}$ : proof of the maximum principle

To prove (8.5), we assume that $\mathscr{D}^{(+)} \chi_{+}=0$ and evaluate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{2}\left\|\chi_{+}\right\|^{2}=2\left\|\mathbb{D}^{(+)} \chi_{+}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} R^{(6)}\left\|\chi_{+}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},\left[-4 \Psi^{(+) i \dagger}-2 \Psi^{(+) i}-2 \Gamma^{i j} \Psi_{j}^{(+)}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-12 \frac{q}{A} \Gamma^{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right] \nabla_{i} \chi_{+}\right\rangle \\
& +\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},\left[-2\left(\Psi^{(+) i \dagger}+\frac{q}{A} \mathbb{B}^{(+) \dagger} \Gamma^{z i}\right)\left(\Psi_{i}^{(+)}+\frac{q}{A} \Gamma_{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-2 \nabla^{i} \Psi_{i}^{(+)}-2 \Gamma^{i j} \nabla_{i} \Psi_{j}^{(+)}-12 \nabla_{i}\left(\frac{q}{A} \Gamma^{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right)\right] \chi_{+}\right\rangle, \tag{C.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{i}^{(+) \dagger}=\frac{1}{2 A} \partial_{i} A+\frac{i}{2} Q_{i}-\frac{i}{2} Y_{i} \Gamma_{z x}-\frac{i}{2}(\Gamma \nmid)_{i} \Gamma_{z x}+\left(-\frac{1}{96}(\Gamma H)_{i}-\frac{9}{96} \not H_{i}\right) C *, \\
& \mathbb{B}^{(+) \dagger}=-\frac{c}{2 \ell}-\frac{1}{2} \not \partial^{i} A \Gamma_{z}-\frac{i A}{2} \nvdash \Gamma_{x}-\frac{A}{96} \not / \Gamma^{z} C * . \tag{C.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Expanding the third term in (C.1), we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},\right. & {\left.\left[-4 \Psi^{(+) i \dagger}-2 \Psi^{(+) i}-2 \Gamma^{i j} \Psi_{j}^{(+)}-12 \frac{q}{A} \Gamma^{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right] \nabla_{i} \chi_{+}\right\rangle } \\
=\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},\right. & {\left[\frac{6 q c}{\ell A} \Gamma^{z i}-\frac{3+6 q}{A} \partial^{i} A-\frac{1+6 q}{A}(\Gamma \not \partial A)^{i}-i Q^{i}+i(\Gamma \not Q)^{i}\right.} \\
& +i(-2+6 q) Y^{i} \Gamma^{z x}+i(-2+6 q)(\Gamma \not Y)^{i} \Gamma^{z x} \\
& \left.\left.+\left(\frac{-8+12 q}{96}(\Gamma / H)^{i}+\frac{36 q}{96} \not H^{i}\right) C_{*}\right] \nabla_{i} \chi_{+}\right\rangle . \tag{C.3}
\end{align*}
$$

For $q=\frac{1}{3}$, this can be written as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},\left[-4 \Psi^{(+) i \dagger}-2 \Psi^{(+) i}-2 \Gamma^{i j} \Psi_{j}^{(+)}-12 \frac{q}{A} \Gamma^{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right] \nabla_{i} \chi_{+}\right\rangle \\
=-\frac{4}{A} \partial^{i} A \nabla_{i}\left\|\chi_{+}\right\|^{2}+\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+}, \mathcal{F} \Gamma^{i} \nabla_{i} \chi_{+}\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=-\frac{4}{A} \partial^{i} A \nabla_{i}\left\|\chi_{+}\right\|^{2}-\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+}, \mathcal{F} \Gamma^{i}\left[\Psi_{i}^{(+)}+\frac{1}{3 A} \Gamma_{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right] \chi_{+}\right\rangle \tag{C.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}=\frac{2 c}{\ell A} \Gamma^{z}+\frac{3}{A} \not \partial A-i \not Q+\frac{1}{24} \not H C * . \tag{C.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the $\mathcal{F}$-term with the bilinear part of the fourth term in (C.1), we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},\right.\left.-2\left(\Psi^{(+) i \dagger}+\frac{1}{3 A} \mathbb{B}^{(+) \dagger} \Gamma^{z i}+\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F} \Gamma^{i}\right)\left(\Psi_{i}^{(+)}+\frac{1}{3 A} \Gamma_{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right) \chi_{+}\right\rangle \\
&=\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},\right.-2\left[\frac{5 c}{6 \ell A} \Gamma^{z i}+\frac{11}{6 A} \partial^{i} A-\frac{4}{3 A}(\Gamma \not \partial A)^{i}+\frac{i}{2}(\Gamma \not Q)^{i}-\frac{i}{3} Y^{i} \Gamma_{z x}\right. \\
&\left.-\frac{2 i}{3}(\Gamma \not /)^{i} \Gamma^{z x}+\left(-\frac{1}{36}(\Gamma / H)^{i}-\frac{1}{24} \not H^{i}\right) C *\right] \\
& \times {\left[-\frac{c}{6 \ell A} \Gamma_{z i}+\frac{2}{3 A} \partial_{i} A+\frac{1}{6 A}(\Gamma \not \partial A)_{i}-\frac{i}{2} Q_{i}-\frac{2 i}{3} Y_{i} \Gamma_{z x}\right.} \\
&\left.\left.+\frac{i}{3}(\Gamma \not Y)_{i} \Gamma_{z x}+\left(-\frac{1}{72}(\Gamma / H)_{i}+\frac{1}{12} \not H_{i}\right) C *\right] \chi_{+}\right\rangle \\
&=\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},\right. {\left[-\frac{5}{3 \ell^{2} A^{2}}-\frac{14}{3 A^{2}}(d A)^{2}-\frac{8}{3} Y^{i} \partial_{i} A \Gamma_{z x}+\frac{8 i c}{3 \ell A} \nvdash \Gamma_{x}-\frac{8 i}{3 A} Y^{2}\right.} \\
&+\left.\left.\left(\frac{i}{12} Q_{i}(\Gamma / H)^{i}+\frac{c}{18 \ell A} \not H \Gamma^{z}+\frac{i}{6} Y_{i} \not H^{i} \Gamma_{z x} C *-\frac{1}{18 A} \partial_{i} A(\Gamma / H)^{i}\right) C *\right] \chi_{+}\right\rangle . \tag{C.6}
\end{align*}
$$

We can use the field equations and Bianchi identities to rewrite the last line of (C.1) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},\right. & {\left.\left[-2 \nabla^{i} \Psi_{i}^{(+)}-2 \Gamma^{i j} \nabla_{i} \Psi_{j}^{(+)}-\nabla_{i}\left(\frac{4}{A} \Gamma^{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right)\right] \chi_{+}\right\rangle } \\
= & \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},\left(\frac{3}{A^{2}}(d A)^{2}-\frac{3}{A} \nabla^{2} A+\frac{i}{2} d Q-2 i \nabla^{i} Y_{i} \Gamma_{z x}-\frac{1}{48} d H C *\right) \chi_{+}\right\rangle \\
= & \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},\right.
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
\ell^{2} A^{2}
\end{align*} \frac{12}{A^{2}}(d A)^{2}-12 Y^{2}-\frac{1}{16}\|H\|^{2}+\xi_{i} \bar{\xi}_{j} \Gamma^{i j} .
$$

The second, fourth and $\mathcal{F}$-term part of the third term on the right side of equation (C.1) thus sum to

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re} & \left\langle\chi_{+},\left[\frac{4}{3 \ell^{2} A^{2}}+\frac{4}{3 A^{2}}(d A)^{2}-\frac{8 i}{3} Y^{i} \partial_{i} A \Gamma_{z x}+\frac{8 i c}{3 \ell A} Y \Gamma_{x}+\frac{4}{3} Y^{2}\right.\right. \\
& +\|\xi\|^{2}+\xi_{i} \bar{\xi}_{j} \Gamma^{i j}-\frac{1}{864} \not H \bar{H}-\frac{1}{32} \not H^{i} \bar{H}_{i}-\frac{1}{8} \not H^{i j} H_{i j}+\frac{1}{12}\|H\|^{2} \\
& \left.\left.+\left(\frac{c}{18 \ell A} \not H \Gamma^{z}-\frac{1}{18 A} \partial_{i} A(\Gamma / H)^{i}+\frac{i}{6} Y_{i} \not H^{i} \Gamma_{z x} C *\right) C *+\frac{1}{12} \xi_{i} \overline{(\Gamma / H)^{i}}\right] \chi_{+}\right\rangle . \tag{C.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Noting that

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|\mathbb{B}^{(+)} \chi_{+}\right\|^{2}=\left\langle\chi_{+},\right. {\left[\frac{1}{4 \ell^{2}}+\frac{1}{4}(d A)^{2}+\frac{i A}{2} Y^{i} \partial_{i} A \Gamma_{z x}+\frac{i A c}{2 \ell} Y \Gamma_{x}+\frac{A^{2}}{4} Y^{2}\right.} \\
&\left.\left.-\frac{A^{2}}{96^{2}} H \nmid H+\left(\frac{A c}{96 \ell} H \Gamma^{z}-\frac{A}{96} \partial_{i} A(\Gamma / H)^{i}+\frac{i A^{2}}{32} Y^{i} H H_{i} \Gamma^{z x}\right) C *\right] \chi_{+}\right\rangle \\
&\left\|\mathcal{A} \chi_{+}\right\|^{2}=\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi,\left[\|\xi\|^{2}+\xi_{i} \bar{\xi}_{j} \Gamma^{i j}-\frac{1}{576} \bar{H} H+\frac{1}{12} \xi_{i} \overline{(\Gamma / H)^{i}} C *\right] \chi\right\rangle \tag{C.9}
\end{align*}
$$

we can now write equation (C.1) as (8.5).

## D $A d S_{5}$ : proof of the maximum principle

To prove the formula (10.5), we evaluate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{2}\left\|\chi_{+}\right\|^{2}=2\left\|\mathbb{D}^{(+)} \chi_{+}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} R^{(5)}\left\|\chi_{+}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},\left[-4 \Psi^{(+) i \dagger}-2 \Psi^{(+) i}-2 \Gamma^{i j} \Psi_{j}^{(+)}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-10 \frac{q}{A} \Gamma^{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right] \nabla_{i} \chi_{+}\right\rangle \\
& +\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},\left[-2\left(\Psi^{(+) i \dagger}+\frac{q}{A} \mathbb{B}^{(+) \dagger} \Gamma^{z i}\right)\left(\Psi_{i}^{(+)}+\frac{q}{A} \Gamma_{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-2 \nabla^{i} \Psi_{i}^{(+)}-2 \Gamma^{i j} \nabla_{i} \Psi_{j}^{(+)}-10 \nabla_{i}\left(\frac{q}{A} \Gamma^{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right)\right] \chi_{+}\right\rangle, \tag{D.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{i}^{(+) \dagger} & =\frac{1}{2 A} \partial_{i} A+\frac{i}{2} Q_{i}+\frac{i}{2} Y \Gamma_{z x y i}+\left(-\frac{1}{96}(\Gamma / H)_{i}-\frac{9}{96} H_{i}\right) C * \\
\mathbb{B}^{(+) \dagger} & =-\frac{c}{2 \ell}+\frac{1}{2} \partial^{i} A \Gamma_{z i}+\frac{i A}{2} Y \Gamma_{x y}-\frac{A}{96} H \Gamma^{z} C * \tag{D.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Expanding the third term in (D.1), we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},\right. {\left[-4 \Psi^{(+) i \dagger}\right.} \\
&\left.=\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\Psi^{(+) i}-2 \Gamma^{i j} \Psi_{j}^{(+)}-10 \frac{q}{A} \Gamma^{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right] \nabla_{i} \chi_{+}\right\rangle \\
& {\left[\frac{5 q c}{\ell A} \Gamma^{z i}-\frac{3+5 q}{A} \partial^{i} A-\frac{1+5 q}{A} \partial_{j} A \Gamma^{i j}\right.} \\
&-i Q^{i}+i Q_{j} \Gamma^{i j}+i(3-5 q) Y \Gamma^{z x y i}  \tag{D.3}\\
&\left.\left.+\left(\frac{-10+10 q}{96}(\Gamma / H)^{i}+\frac{-6+30 q}{96} H H^{i}\right) C *\right] \nabla_{i} \chi_{+}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

For $q=\frac{3}{5}$, the above expression can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},\right. & {\left.\left[-4 \Psi^{(+) i \dagger}-2 \Psi^{(+) i}-2 \Gamma^{i j} \Psi_{j}^{(+)}-10 \frac{q}{A} \Gamma^{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right] \nabla_{i} \chi_{+}\right\rangle } \\
& =-\frac{5}{A} \partial^{i} A\left\|\chi_{+}\right\|^{2}+\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+}, \mathcal{F} \Gamma^{i} \nabla_{i} \chi_{+}\right\rangle \\
& =-\frac{5}{A} \partial^{i} A\left\|\chi_{+}\right\|^{2}-\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+}, \mathcal{F} \Gamma^{i}\left[\Psi_{i}^{(+)}+\frac{3}{5 A} \Gamma_{z i} \Xi_{+}\right] \chi_{+}\right\rangle \tag{D.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}=\frac{3 c}{\ell A} \Gamma^{z}+\frac{4}{A} \not \partial A-i \not \subset+\frac{1}{24} \not H C * . \tag{D.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the $\mathcal{F}$-term with the bilinear part of the fourth term of equation (D.1), we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},-2\left(\Psi^{(+) i \dagger}+\frac{3}{5 A} \mathbb{B}^{(+) \dagger} \Gamma^{z i}+\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F} \Gamma^{i}\right)\left(\Psi_{i}^{(+)}+\frac{3}{5 A} \Gamma_{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right) \chi_{+}\right\rangle \\
& =\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},-2\left[\frac{6 c}{5 \ell A} \Gamma^{z i}+\frac{11}{5 A} \partial^{i} A-\frac{17}{10 A} \partial_{j} A \Gamma^{i j}+\frac{4 i}{5} Y \Gamma^{z x y i}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{i}{2} Q_{j} \Gamma^{i j}+\left(-\frac{1}{40}(\Gamma / H)^{i}-\frac{1}{20} \not H^{i}\right) C *\right] \\
& \times\left[-\frac{3 c}{10 \ell A} \Gamma_{z i}+\frac{4}{5 A} \partial_{i} A+\frac{3}{10 A} \partial^{j} A \Gamma_{i j}-\frac{i}{5} Y \Gamma_{z x y i}\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\frac{i}{2} Q_{i}+\left(-\frac{1}{60}(\Gamma / H)_{i}+\frac{3}{40} H H_{i}\right) C *\right] \chi_{+}\right\rangle \\
& =\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},\left[-\frac{18}{5 \ell^{2} A^{2}}-\frac{38}{5 A^{2}}(d A)^{2}-\frac{8}{5} Y^{2}-\frac{24 i c}{5 \ell A} Y \Gamma_{x y}\right.\right. \\
& -2\left(-\frac{1}{40}(\Gamma / H)^{i}-\frac{1}{20} \not H^{i}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{60} \overline{(\Gamma / H)} i+\frac{3}{40} \overline{H / H}_{i}\right) \\
& \left.\left.+\left(\frac{c}{10 \ell A} \not / / \Gamma_{z}+\frac{1}{16 A} \partial_{i} A(\Gamma / H)^{i}+\frac{i}{12} Q_{i}(\Gamma / H)^{i}\right) C *\right] \chi_{+}\right\rangle . \tag{D.6}
\end{align*}
$$

We can use the field equations and Bianchi identities to rewrite the last line of (B.1) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},\right. & {\left.\left[-2 \nabla^{i} \Psi_{i}^{(+)}-2 \Gamma^{i j} \nabla_{i} \Psi_{j}^{(+)}-\nabla_{i}\left(\frac{6}{A} \Gamma^{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right)\right] \chi_{+}\right\rangle } \\
& =\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},\left(\frac{4}{A^{2}}(d A)^{2}-\frac{4}{A} \nabla^{2} A+\frac{i}{2} d Q-\frac{1}{48} d H C *\right) \chi_{+}\right\rangle \\
& =\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},\left[\frac{16}{\ell^{2} A^{2}}+\frac{20}{A^{2}}(d A)^{2}-16 Y^{2}-\frac{1}{12}\|H\|^{2}+\xi_{i} \bar{\xi}_{j} \Gamma^{i j}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left(-\frac{i}{12} Q_{i}(\Gamma / H)^{i}+\frac{1}{12} \xi_{i} \overline{(\Gamma / H)^{i}}\right) C *\right] \chi_{+}\right\rangle \tag{D.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The second, fourth and $\mathcal{F}$-term part of the third term on the right side of equation (D.1) thus sum to

$$
\left.\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi_{+},\left[\frac{12}{5 \ell^{2} A^{2}}+\right.\right. & \frac{12}{5 A^{2}}(d A)^{2}+\frac{12}{5} Y^{2}-\frac{24 i c}{5 \ell A} Y \Gamma_{x y}+\|\xi\|^{2}+\xi_{i} \bar{\xi}_{j} \Gamma^{i j} \\
& -\frac{1}{40} H^{i}{ }_{j_{1} j_{2}} \bar{H}_{i k_{1} k_{2}} \Gamma^{j_{1} j_{2} k_{1} k_{2}}-\frac{1}{80} H^{i_{1} i_{2}}{ }_{j} \bar{H}_{i_{1} j_{2} k} \Gamma^{j k}+\frac{1}{60}\|H\|^{2} \\
& +\left(\frac{c}{10 \ell A} H / \Gamma_{z}-\frac{1}{10 A} \partial_{i} A(\Gamma H)^{i}+\frac{1}{12} \xi_{i} \overline{(\Gamma / H)}\right. \tag{D.8}
\end{array}\right) C *\right] \chi_{+}\right\rangle .
$$

Noting that

$$
\left\|\mathbb{B}^{(+)} \sigma_{+}\right\|^{2}=\left\langle\chi_{+}, \mathbb{B}^{(+) \dagger} \mathbb{B}^{(+)} \chi_{+}\right\rangle
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
=\langle\chi+, & {\left[\frac{1}{4 \ell^{2}}+\frac{1}{4}(d A)^{2}+\frac{i A}{2} Y^{i} \partial_{i} A \Gamma_{z x}-\frac{i A c}{2 \ell} Y \Gamma_{x y}+\frac{A^{2}}{4} Y^{2}\right.} \\
& -\frac{A^{2}}{32^{2}} H^{i}{ }_{j_{2} j_{3}} \bar{H}_{i k_{2} k_{3}} \Gamma^{j_{2} j_{3} k_{2} k_{3}}+\frac{A^{2}}{2 \cdot 16^{2}} H^{i_{1} i_{2}}{ }_{j} \bar{H}_{i_{1} i_{2} k} \Gamma^{j k} \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{A^{2}}{16 \cdot 96}\|H\|^{2}+\left(\frac{A c}{96 \ell} H \Gamma^{z}-\frac{A}{96} \partial_{i} A(\Gamma / H)^{i}\right) C *\right] \chi_{+}\right\rangle \\
\left\|\mathcal{A} \chi_{+}\right\|^{2}=\operatorname{Re}\langle\chi, & {\left[\|\xi\|^{2}+\xi_{i} \bar{\xi}_{j} \Gamma^{i j}-\frac{1}{64} H^{i}{ }_{j_{1} j_{2}} \bar{H}_{i k_{1} k_{2}} \Gamma^{j_{1} j_{2} k_{1} k_{2}}\right.} \\
& \left.\left.-\frac{1}{32} H^{i_{1} i_{2}}{ }_{j} \bar{H}_{i_{1} i_{2} k} \Gamma^{j k}+\frac{1}{96}\|H\|^{2}+\frac{1}{12} \xi_{i} \overline{(\Gamma / H)^{i}} C *\right] \chi\right\rangle \tag{D.9}
\end{align*}
$$

we can now write equation (D.1) as (10.5).

## E $\quad \operatorname{AdS}_{6}$ : proof of the maximum principle

To prove (12.5), we evaluate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{2}\|\chi\|^{2}=2\left\|\mathbb{D}^{(+)} \chi\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} R^{(4)}\|\chi\|^{2} \\
& +\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi,\left[-4 \Psi^{(+) i \dagger}-2 \Psi^{(+) i}-2 \Gamma^{i j} \Psi_{j}^{(+)}-8 \frac{q}{A} \Gamma^{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right] \nabla_{i \chi} \chi\right. \\
& +\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi,\left[-2\left(\Psi^{(+) i \dagger}+\frac{q}{A} \mathbb{B}^{(+) \dagger} \Gamma^{z i}\right)\left(\Psi_{i}^{(+)}+\frac{q}{A} \Gamma_{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-2 \nabla^{i} \Psi_{i}^{(+)}-2 \Gamma^{i j} \nabla_{i} \Psi_{j}^{(+)}-8 \nabla_{i}\left(\frac{q}{A} \Gamma^{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right)\right] \chi\right\rangle, \tag{E.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{i}^{(+) \dagger} & =\frac{1}{2 A} \partial_{i} A+\frac{i}{2} Q_{i}+\left(-\frac{1}{96}(\Gamma H)_{i}-\frac{9}{96} H_{i}\right) C * \\
\mathbb{B}^{(+) \dagger} & =-\frac{c}{2 \ell}+\frac{1}{2} \partial_{i} A \Gamma^{z i}-\frac{A}{96} H \Gamma^{z} C * . \tag{E.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the fact that $\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\phi, \Gamma^{i j} \phi\right\rangle=\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\phi, \Gamma^{i j} C * \phi\right\rangle=0$, we can expand the third term in (E.1) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi,\left[-4 \Psi^{(+) i \dagger}-2 \Psi^{(+) i}-2 \Gamma^{i j} \Psi_{j}^{(+)}-8 \frac{q}{A} \Gamma^{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right] \nabla_{i} \chi\right\rangle \\
& =\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi,\left[\frac{4 c q}{\ell A} \Gamma^{z i}-\frac{3+4 q}{A} \partial^{i} A-\frac{1+4 q}{A} \partial_{j} A \Gamma^{i j}-i Q^{i}+i Q_{j} \Gamma^{i j}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+\left(\frac{-12+8 q}{96}(\Gamma / H)^{i}+\frac{-12+24 q}{96} \not H^{i}\right) C *\right] \nabla_{i} \chi\right\rangle . \tag{E.3}
\end{align*}
$$

For $q=1$, the above term can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi,\left[-4 \Psi^{(+) i \dagger}-2 \Psi^{(+) i}-2 \Gamma^{i j} \Psi_{j}^{(+)}-8 \frac{q}{A} \Gamma^{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right] \nabla_{i} \chi\right\rangle \\
& \quad=-\frac{6}{A} \partial^{i} A \nabla_{i}\|\chi\|^{2}-\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi, \mathcal{F} \Gamma^{i}\left[\Psi_{i}^{(+)}+\frac{1}{A} \Gamma_{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right] \chi\right\rangle \tag{E.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}=\frac{4 c}{\ell A} \Gamma^{z}+\frac{5}{A} \not \partial A-i \not \subset+\frac{1}{24} \not H C * . \tag{E.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the $\mathcal{F}$-term with the bilinear part of the fourth term in (E.1), we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi,-2\left(\Psi^{(+) i \dagger}+\frac{1}{A} \mathbb{B}^{(+) \dagger} \Gamma^{z i}+\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F} \Gamma^{i}\right)\left(\Psi_{i}^{(+)}+\frac{1}{A} \Gamma_{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right) \chi\right\rangle \\
&=\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi,-2\left[\frac{3 c}{2 \ell A} \Gamma^{z i}+\frac{5}{2 A} \partial^{i} A-\frac{2}{A} \partial_{j} A \Gamma^{i j}+\frac{i}{2} Q_{j} \Gamma^{i j}+\left(-\frac{2}{96}(\Gamma / H)^{i}-\frac{6}{96} H^{i}\right) C *\right]\right. \\
&\left.\times\left[-\frac{c}{2 \ell A} \Gamma_{z i}+\frac{1}{A} \partial_{i} A+\frac{1}{2 A} \partial^{j} A \Gamma_{i j}-\frac{i}{2} Q_{i}+\left(-\frac{2}{96}(\Gamma / H)_{i}+\frac{6}{96} H^{i}\right) C *\right] \chi\right\rangle \\
&=\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi,\left[-\frac{6}{\ell^{2} A^{2}}-\frac{11}{A^{2}}(d A)^{2}-2\left(-\frac{2}{96}(\Gamma / H)^{i}-\frac{6}{96} \not H^{i}\right)\left(-\frac{2}{96} \overline{(\Gamma / H)_{i}}+\frac{6}{96} \bar{H}_{i}\right)\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.+\left(\frac{16 c}{96 \ell A} \not H \Gamma^{z}-\frac{16}{96 A} \partial_{i} A(\Gamma / H)^{i}+\frac{i}{12} Q_{i}(\Gamma / H)^{i}\right) C *\right] \chi\right\rangle . \tag{E.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we use the field equations and Bianchi identities to expand the derivatives in the fourth term on the right side of equation (E.1) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi,\left[-2 \nabla^{i} \Psi_{i}^{(+)}-2 \Gamma^{i j} \nabla_{i} \Psi_{j}^{(+)}-8 \nabla_{i}\left(\frac{1}{A} \Gamma^{z i} \mathbb{B}^{(+)}\right)\right] \chi\right\rangle \\
&=\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi,\left[\frac{5}{A^{2}}(d A)^{2}-\frac{5}{A} \nabla^{2} A+\frac{i}{2} d Q-\frac{1}{48} d H C *\right] \chi\right\rangle \\
&= \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi,\left[\frac{25}{\ell^{2} A^{2}}+\frac{30}{A^{2}}(d A)^{2}-\frac{5}{48}\|H\|^{2}+\xi_{i} \bar{\xi}_{j} \Gamma^{i j}\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.+\left(-\frac{i}{12} Q_{i}(\Gamma / H)^{i}+\frac{1}{12} \xi_{i} \overline{(\Gamma / H)^{i}}\right) C *\right] \chi\right\rangle \tag{E.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The second, fourth and $\mathcal{F}$-term part of the third term on the right side of equation (E.1) thus sum to

$$
\left.\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi,\left[\frac{4}{\ell^{2} A^{2}}+\frac{4}{A^{2}}(d A)^{2}+\frac{1}{48}\|H\|^{2}+\|\xi\|^{2}+\xi_{i} \bar{\xi}_{j} \Gamma^{i j}\right.\right. \\
& +\left(\frac{16 c}{96 \ell A} H / \Gamma^{z}-\frac{6}{96 A} \partial_{i} A(\Gamma / H)^{i}+\frac{1}{12} \xi_{i} \overline{(\Gamma / H)}\right. \tag{E.8}
\end{array}\right) C *\right] \chi\right\rangle .
$$

Noting that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\mathbb{B}^{(+)} \chi\right\|^{2}= & \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi, \mathbb{B}^{(+) \dagger} \mathbb{B}^{(+)} \chi\right\rangle \\
= & \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi,\left[\frac{1}{4 \ell^{2}}+\frac{1}{4}(d A)^{2}+\frac{3 A^{2}}{16 \cdot 96} H^{i j}{ }_{k} \bar{H}_{i j \ell} \Gamma^{k \ell}+\frac{A^{2}}{16 \cdot 96}\|H\|^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+\left(\frac{A c}{96 \ell} I \Gamma^{z}-\frac{A}{96} \partial_{i} A(\Gamma / H)^{i}\right) C *\right] \chi\right\rangle \\
\|\mathcal{A} \chi\|^{2}= & \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi, \mathcal{A}^{\dagger} \mathcal{A} \chi\right\rangle \\
= & \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\chi,\left[\|\xi\|^{2}+\xi_{i} \bar{\xi}_{j} \Gamma^{i j}-\frac{3}{96} H^{i j}{ }_{k} \bar{H}_{i j \ell} \Gamma^{k \ell}+\frac{1}{96}\|H\|^{2}+\frac{1}{12} \xi_{i} \overline{(\Gamma / H)^{i}} C *\right] \chi\right\rangle \tag{E.9}
\end{align*}
$$

we can now write equation (E.1) as (12.5).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ As in M-theory, the Killing spinors do not factorize into a product of a Killing spinor on AdS and a Killing spinor on the transverse space.
    ${ }^{2}$ In the investigation that follows, we consider backgrounds up to discrete identifications.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ The scalar manifold can also be taken as the fundamental domain of the modular group but we shall not dwell on this.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ The gamma matrices labeled by $A d S_{n}$ coordinates, like $\Gamma_{z}$, are in a frame basis.

