

RECEIVED: October 13, 2011

REVISED: November 14, 2011

ACCEPTED: January 16, 2012

PUBLISHED: February 7, 2012

(2, 0) supersymmetry and the light-cone description of M5-branes

N. Lambert^{a,1} and P. Richmond^b

^a*Theory Division, CERN,
1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland*

^b*Department of Mathematics, King's College London,
The Strand, London WC2R 2LS, U.K.*

E-mail: neil.lambert@cern.ch, paul.richmond@kcl.ac.uk

ABSTRACT: In [arXiv:1007.2982](https://arxiv.org/abs/1007.2982) a novel system of equations which propagate in one null and four space directions were obtained as the on-shell conditions for the six-dimensional (2, 0) superalgebra. In this paper we show how this system reduces to one-dimensional motion on instanton moduli space. Quantization leads to the previous light-cone proposal of the (2, 0) theory, generalized to include a potential that arises on the Coulomb branch as well as couplings to background gauge and self-dual two-form fields.

KEYWORDS: M(atrrix) Theories, M-Theory

ARXIV EPRINT: [1109.6454](https://arxiv.org/abs/1109.6454)

¹On leave of absence from King's College London.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	A non-Abelian (2,0) supersymmetry	2
3	Null reduction	4
3.1	Conserved charges	6
4	Quantization	8
4.1	One instanton example	9
5	Conclusion	11

1 Introduction

M-theory is well-known but not well-understood. It arises as an umbrella theory that unifies the various perturbative string theories into a single non-perturbative theory. In its strong coupling phase M-theory does not possess string states but rather M2-branes and M5-branes. The M2-branes are now relatively well understood and described by three-dimensional conformal Chern-Simons-Matter theories with 16 (BLG model [1–3]) or 12 (ABJM models [4, 5]) manifest supersymmetries. The M5-brane however remains very mysterious. Its worldvolume description arises from a six-dimensional conformal theory with (2, 0) supersymmetry. Unlike the case of the three-dimensional worldvolume theories of M2-branes very little is known about six-dimensional UV complete quantum field theories, let alone those with maximal supersymmetry.

There have been several attempts to understand the (2, 0) theory in the literature. Some time ago a light-cone formulation was proposed in [6], for the case of light-like compactification of the M5-brane as well as related constructions from Matrix Theory [7–9]. In addition a four-dimensional ‘deconstruction’ was presented in [10]. More recently it has been suggested that maximally supersymmetric five-dimensional Yang-Mills theory can be used to define the (2, 0) theory in the case of a space-like compactification, including all the Kaluza-Klein modes [11, 12]. Other, even more recent, discussions on formulating the dynamics of the (2, 0) theory are [13–20].

An attempt to shed some light on the mysterious (2, 0) system and the M5-brane was presented in [21]. There a non-Abelian system of equations of motion were derived which provide a representation of the (2, 0) superalgebra. The construction involved a new field C_a^μ however the on-shell constraints force C_a^μ to be constant and furthermore set all derivatives of the non-Abelian fields to zero along the direction of C_a^μ . Thus, although the system is formally six-dimensionally Lorentz invariant, its non-trivial dynamics are

five-dimensional. Choosing a space-like vacuum expectation value for C_a^μ leads to five-dimensional maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills. Indeed a closely related system can essentially be reverse-engineered directly from maximally supersymmetric five-dimensional Yang-Mills [22]. Nevertheless there have been some encouraging signs that this formalism is capable of describing various branes in string theory and M-theory [23, 24]. In this paper we wish to study the system of equations obtained in [21] in the case where the auxiliary field C_a^μ is null.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we review the (2, 0) system constructed in [21]. We also determine the conserved energy momentum tensor, supercharge and compute the superalgebra including the central charges. In section 3 we consider in detail the resulting dynamical system when the auxiliary vector field C_a^μ has a null vacuum expectation value. This leads to a curious system of equations with 16 supersymmetries and an SO(5) R-symmetry that propagate in one null and four space directions. We show how the equations reduce to motion on instanton moduli space, where the instanton number is the null momentum parallel to C_a^μ . We then quantize the system by using the other null momentum generator as a Hamiltonian. This leads directly to the light-cone quantization proposal of the (2, 0) theory proposed in [6], generalized to include a potential when the scalars have a vacuum expectation value and also couplings to background gauge and self-dual two-form fields. In section 4 we end with our conclusions.

2 A non-Abelian (2,0) supersymmetry

Let us start by reviewing the construction of [21]. The fields consist of 5 scalars X_a^I , a sixteen-component Fermion ψ_a which satisfies $\Gamma_{012345}\psi_a = -\psi_a$, a gauge field \tilde{A}_μ^a , a vector C_a^μ and a self-dual three-form $H_{\mu\nu\lambda a}$:

$$H_{\mu\nu\lambda a} = \frac{1}{3!}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\tau\sigma\rho}H^{\tau\sigma\rho a}. \tag{2.1}$$

Here the index a refers to the fact that the fields take values in a 3-algebra with structure constants f^{cdb}_a which are totally anti-symmetric (when all indices are raised) and satisfy the fundamental identity

$$f^efg_d f^{abc}_g = f^efa_g f^{gbc}_d + f^efb_g f^{agc}_d + f^efc_g f^{abg}_d. \tag{2.2}$$

The supersymmetry transformations are:

$$\delta X_a^I = i\bar{\epsilon}\Gamma^I\psi_a \tag{2.3}$$

$$\delta\psi_a = \Gamma^\mu\Gamma^I\epsilon D_\mu X_a^I + \frac{1}{3!}\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{\mu\nu\lambda}\epsilon H_a^{\mu\nu\lambda} - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_\lambda\Gamma^{IJ}\epsilon C_b^\lambda X_c^I X_d^J f^{cdb}_a \tag{2.4}$$

$$\delta H_{\mu\nu\lambda a} = 3i\bar{\epsilon}\Gamma_{[\mu\nu}D_{\lambda]}\psi_a + i\bar{\epsilon}\Gamma^I\Gamma_{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa}C_b^\kappa X_c^I\psi_d f^{cdb}_a \tag{2.5}$$

$$\delta\tilde{A}_\mu^b{}_a = i\bar{\epsilon}\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}C_c^\lambda\psi_d f^{cdb}_a \tag{2.6}$$

$$\delta C_a^\mu = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

These transformations close on-shell. In particular the equations of motion are [21]¹

$$0 = \Gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi_a + X_c^I C_b^\nu \Gamma_\nu \Gamma^I \psi_d f^{cdb}_a \quad (2.8)$$

$$0 = D^2 X_a^I - \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi}_c C_b^\nu \Gamma_\nu \Gamma^I \psi_d f^{cdb}_a + C_b^\nu C_{\nu g} X_c^J X_e^J X_f^I f^{efg}_d f^{cdb}_a \quad (2.9)$$

$$0 = D_{[\mu} H_{\nu\lambda\rho]}_a + \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma\tau} C_b^\sigma X_c^I D^\tau X_d^I f^{cdb}_a + \frac{i}{8} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma\tau} C_b^\sigma \bar{\psi}_c \Gamma^\tau \psi_d f^{cdb}_a \quad (2.10)$$

$$0 = \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^b{}_a + C_c^\lambda H_{\mu\nu\lambda}_d f^{cdb}_a \quad (2.11)$$

$$0 = D_\mu C_a^\nu = C_c^\mu C_d^\nu f^{bcd}_a \quad (2.12)$$

$$0 = C_c^\rho D_\rho X_d^I f^{cdb}_a = C_c^\rho D_\rho \psi_d f^{cdb}_a = C_c^\rho D_\rho H_{\mu\nu\lambda}_a f^{cdb}_a. \quad (2.13)$$

In all these equations $\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^b{}_a$ is the field strength of the gauge connection $\tilde{A}_\mu^b{}_a$ which appears in the covariant derivative D_μ which acts as, for example, $D_\mu X_a^I = \partial_\mu X_a^I - \tilde{A}_\mu^b{}_a X_b^I$.

Note that the second to last equation implies that C_a^μ is constant and hence selects a preferred direction spacetime and in the 3-algebra. The final equations imply that the non-Abelian components of the fields can only propagate in the five dimensions orthogonal to C_a^μ .

Next it will be useful to construct the conserved currents of this theory. In particular we look for an energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ as well as a supercurrent J^μ . Simple trial and error leads to the following expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mu\nu} = & D_\mu X_a^I D_\nu X^{Ia} - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} D_\lambda X_a^I D^\lambda X^{Ia} \\ & + \frac{1}{4} \eta_{\mu\nu} C_b^\lambda X_a^I X_c^J C_{\lambda g} X_f^I X_e^J f^{cdba} f^{efg}_d + \frac{1}{4} H_{\mu\lambda\rho}_a H_{\nu}{}^{\lambda\rho}_a \\ & - \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi}_a \Gamma_\mu D_\nu \psi^a + \frac{i}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} \bar{\psi}_a \Gamma^\lambda D_\lambda \psi^a - \frac{i}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} \bar{\psi}_a C_b^\lambda X_c^I \Gamma_\lambda \Gamma^I \psi_d f^{abcd} \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

$$J^\mu = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3!} H_{\nu\lambda\rho}_a \Gamma^{\nu\lambda\rho} \Gamma^\mu \psi^a - D_\nu X_a^I \Gamma^\nu \Gamma^I \Gamma^\mu \psi^a - \frac{1}{2} C_b^\nu X_c^I X_d^J \Gamma_\nu \Gamma^I \Gamma^J \Gamma^\mu \psi^a f^{bcd}_a. \quad (2.15)$$

In the Abelian case this agrees with the linearised form of the energy-momentum tensor derived in [25]. The associated conserved charges are

$$P_\mu = \int d^5 x T_{\mu 0}, \quad Q = \int d^5 x J^0, \quad (2.16)$$

where the integrals are over the spatial coordinates, corresponding to the momentum and supercharge respectively.

The superalgebra of the (2, 0)-theory can then be deduced by evaluating $\delta J^0 = \delta_\epsilon J^{0\alpha} \epsilon_\alpha$ *viz*:

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} = & - \int d^5 x (\delta_\epsilon J^0 C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} \\ = & - 2(\Gamma^\mu C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu + (\Gamma^\mu \Gamma^I C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} Z_\mu^I + (\Gamma^{\mu\nu\lambda} \Gamma^{IJ} C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} Z_{\mu\nu\lambda}^{IJ}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

¹Note the corrected sign in the scalar equation of motion, first pointed out in [23], as well the corrected sign in the gauge field equation of motion.

The central charges we obtain in this way are (in the case of vanishing Fermions):

$$Z_0^I = \int d^5x \, 4C_b^0 X_c^I X_d^J D^0 X_a^J f^{cdba} \quad (2.18)$$

$$Z_i^I = \int d^5x \left(H^0_{ji} D^j X^{Ia} + \frac{1}{6} \partial_j (H_{klm} X^{Ia} \varepsilon_{0ijklm}) \right. \\ \left. + C_{ib} X_c^I X_d^J D^0 X_a^J f^{cdba} - 2C_b^0 X_c^I X_d^J D_i X_a^I f^{cdba} \right) \quad (2.19)$$

$$Z_{0ij}^{IJ} = \int d^5x \left(\frac{1}{2} H_{0ij} C_b^0 X_c^I X_d^J f^{cdba} - \partial_i (X_a^I D_j X^{Ja}) \right) \quad (2.20)$$

$$Z_{klm}^{IJ} = \int d^5x \left(\frac{1}{12} H_{klm} C_b^0 X_c^I X_d^J f^{cdba} + \frac{1}{36} \partial_i (C_{jba} X_c^K X_d^L X_a^M f^{cdba} \varepsilon_{0ijklm} \varepsilon^{IJKLM}) \right), \quad (2.21)$$

where here, in this section, $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$.

3 Null reduction

Next we wish to consider the above system of equations for the special case where C_a^μ is a null vector:

$$C_a^\mu = \frac{g^2}{\sqrt{2}} (\delta_0^\mu + \delta_5^\mu) \delta_a^* \quad (3.1)$$

where g^2 has dimensions of length and $*$ denotes some preferred direction in the 3-algebra. We choose to go to light-cone coordinates i.e. $x^\mu = (x^+, x^-, x^i)$ where

$$x^- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (x^0 - x^5), \quad x^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (x^0 + x^5), \quad (3.2)$$

so that $C_a^\mu = g^2 \delta_+^\mu \delta_a^*$. Note that for the rest of this paper we have $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ (rather than $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ that was used in the previous section). The constraint (2.13) now tells us that D_+ vanishes on all the fields. Furthermore $\tilde{F}_{i+}^b = 0$ so \tilde{A}_+^b is a flat connection and can be set to zero (at least locally). Thus the fields are essentially just functions of x^i and x^- . Here we wish to view these equations of motion as a dynamical system where x^- plays the role of time.

Let us now give the equations of motion that follow from the choice $C_a^\mu = g^2 \delta_+^\mu \delta_a^*$. Fixing the element T^* in the 3-algebra means that the remaining generators behave as an ordinary Lie-algebra with Lie bracket:

$$i[T^c, T^d] = [T^*, T^c, T^d] = f^{*cd} T^a. \quad (3.3)$$

The components of the fields along the $*$ direction in the 3-algebra decouple and behave as a free six-dimensional tensor multiplet and for the rest of this paper we simply discard them. Alternatively one could have started from a non-Abelian (2,0) system where the C-field does not take values in the algebra, i.e. C^μ instead of C_a^μ , as in the construction of [22].

For the sake of clarity we will use a notation whereby all the fields are taken to be Lie-algebra valued: e.g. $X^I = \sum_{a \neq *} X_a^I T^a$, and the a index is dropped. We also note that the gauge field \tilde{A}_μ^b and field strength $\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^b$ also take values in the Lie-algebra and act on

the other fields through the commutator. Therefore we drop the a, b indices and tilde on these fields in what follows.

In the (x^+, x^-, x^i) coordinates self-duality of $H_{\mu\nu\lambda}$ implies that $F_{ij} = -g^2 H_{ij+}$ is anti-self-dual, $G_{ij} = -g^2 H_{ij-}$ is self-dual and

$$H_{ijk} = g^{-2} \epsilon_{ijkl} F^l_- . \tag{3.4}$$

Noting that the constraint implies that only the derivatives D_- and D_i are non-vanishing we find the remaining equations of motion can be written as

$$0 = \Gamma^- D_- \psi + \Gamma^i D_i \psi + ig^2 [X^I, \Gamma_+ \Gamma^I \psi] \tag{3.5}$$

$$0 = D_i D^i X^I + \frac{g^2}{2} [\bar{\psi}, \Gamma_+ \Gamma^I \psi] \tag{3.6}$$

$$0 = D^i F_{i-} + \frac{g^4}{2} [\bar{\psi}, \Gamma_+ \psi] \tag{3.7}$$

$$0 = D_- F_{i-} - D^j G_{ij} - ig^4 [X^I, D_i X^I] + \frac{g^4}{2} [\bar{\psi}, \Gamma_i \psi] \tag{3.8}$$

$$0 = D_{[i} F_{j-]} . \tag{3.9}$$

One sees that the final equation is just the Bianchi identity and automatically satisfied.

Our strategy now is to solve as many of the equations of motion as possible. We will do this by setting the Fermions to zero with the understanding that the supersymmetry can be used to generate Fermionic solutions. We will see that all but the second order equation (3.8) can be solved and reduced to ADHM data.

To continue we first observe that the gauge field A_i is determined by the ADHM construction [26]. Thus the degrees of freedom of the gauge field are reduced to the finite dimensional instanton moduli space with local coordinates m^α . Note that although G_{ij} is self-dual it has no interpretation as the field strength of A_i . Therefore G_{ij} is not necessarily the field strength of a gauge field and one cannot solve for it using the ADHM construction. In fact G_{ij} behaves as a non-dynamical background field since its D_- derivative never appears.

With vanishing Fermions the scalar equation of motion is just $D_i D^i X^I = 0$. It is easy to see that there is a unique solution to this equation for any given asymptotic value of X^I . In addition for an instanton background there exists smooth solutions. Thus X^I is uniquely determined in terms of the ADHM data of the gauge field A_i and its asymptotic value:

$$X^I = v^I + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{|x|^2} \right) , \tag{3.10}$$

where v^I is an element of the Lie-algebra.

Next we consider the equation $D^i F_{i-} = 0$. In terms of gauge fields this is

$$D^i D_i A_- - D^i \partial_- A_i = 0 . \tag{3.11}$$

To solve this equation we need to recall some facts about instanton moduli space, for reviews see [27, 28]. In particular the instanton equations are

$$F_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijkl} F^{kl} . \tag{3.12}$$

Moduli correspond to infinitesimal changes to the gauge fields that preserve this condition:

$$D_i \delta A_j - D_j \delta A_i = -\varepsilon_{ijkl} D^k \delta A^l . \quad (3.13)$$

However gauge transformations $\delta A_i = D_i \omega$ will clearly solve these equations and we do not wish to include them in the moduli. To exclude them we require that δA_i is orthogonal to all gauge modes:

$$\text{Tr} \int d^4x \delta A_i D^i \omega = 0 . \quad (3.14)$$

Integrating by parts, and requiring that $\omega = 0$ at infinity, shows that we therefore impose the gauge fixing condition

$$D^i \delta A_i = 0 . \quad (3.15)$$

We have seen that the solution to the equations of motion requires that A_i has anti-self-dual field strength. Therefore the x^- dependence comes entirely through the dependence of the moduli on x^- and hence we conclude that

$$D^i \partial_- A_i = 0 , \quad (3.16)$$

with $\partial_- A_i = \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial m^\alpha} \partial_- m^\alpha + D_i \omega$ where ω is chosen to ensure that (3.16) is satisfied. Thus the $D^i F_{i-} = 0$ equation simply becomes $D^i D_i A_- = 0$. This is the same as the X^I equation and so A_- is also determined in terms of ADHM data and its asymptotic value:

$$A_- = w + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{|x|^2} \right) , \quad (3.17)$$

where w is an element of the Lie-algebra.

We are now left with just one equation which is second order in x^- :

$$D_- F_{i-} - D^j G_{ij} - ig^4 [X^I, D_i X^I] = 0 . \quad (3.18)$$

However as we mentioned above we do not aim to solve this equation - which would amount to a complete solution to all the classical field equations. Rather we now wish to quantize the classical field configurations that we have constructed and use the momentum generator along x^- as the Hamiltonian.

3.1 Conserved charges

To proceed we note that we need to use a slightly different definition of the conserved charge. In particular the problem with the standard definition given in section 2 is that the integral over all space includes an integral over x^5 . However one can simply change integration variable from x^5 to x^- so that the integral is over all the coordinates. The resulting conserved charge is therefore constant not for dynamical reasons but because we have integrated over all the coordinates upon which the fields depend.

On the other hand we can consider

$$\mathcal{P}_\mu = g^2 \int d^4x T_{\mu+} , \quad \mathcal{Q} = g^2 \int d^4x J^- , \quad (3.19)$$

where we have included a factor of g^2 to ensure that they have the canonical dimensions. Since $D_+ = 0$, \mathcal{P}_μ and \mathcal{Q} are conserved in the sense that $\partial_- \mathcal{P}_\mu = \partial_- \mathcal{Q} = 0$. Note that this assumes that the fields vanish sufficiently quickly at infinity so that the boundary terms in the integrals can be discarded. In particular conservation of \mathcal{Q} requires that $D_- X^I$ and $[X^I, X^J] \rightarrow 0$ as $x^i \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, in this paper, in order to obtain conserved charges that can be used to define the quantum theory we assume that

$$[v^I, v^J] = [v^I, w] = 0. \quad (3.20)$$

i.e. we require that the scalar fields and gauge field are in a vacuum configuration at infinity. More explicitly these expressions are (in the case of vanishing Fermions):

$$\mathcal{P}_- = \text{Tr} \int d^4x \frac{1}{2g^2} F_{i-} F^i_- + \frac{g^2}{2} D_i X^I D^i X^I \quad (3.21)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_+ = -\frac{1}{8g^2} \text{Tr} \int d^4x \varepsilon^{ijkl} F_{ij} F_{kl} \quad (3.22)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_i = \frac{1}{2g^2} \text{Tr} \int d^4x F_{ij} F_{-j} \quad (3.23)$$

$$\mathcal{Q} = \text{Tr} \int d^4x F_{i-} \Gamma^i \Gamma^- \psi - \frac{1}{4} F_{ij} \Gamma^{ij} \Gamma^+ \Gamma^- \psi + g^2 D_i X^J \Gamma^J \Gamma^i \Gamma^- \psi. \quad (3.24)$$

Note that $\mathcal{P}_+ = -4\pi^2 g^{-2} k$, where k is the instanton number. Thus the \mathcal{P}_+ eigenvalues are discrete. Physically we interpret this arising because the x^+ direction is restricted to lie on a circle with radius $R = g^2/4\pi^2$.

We can further decompose $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_+ + \mathcal{Q}_-$ where $\Gamma_- \mathcal{Q}_\pm = \pm \mathcal{Q}_\pm$. In this case the superalgebra becomes

$$\{\mathcal{Q}_{-\alpha}, \mathcal{Q}_{-\beta}\} = -2\mathcal{P}_- (\Gamma^- C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{Z}_+^I (\Gamma^- \Gamma^I C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{Z}_{ij+}^{IJ} (\Gamma^{ij} \Gamma^- \Gamma^{IJ} C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} \quad (3.25)$$

$$\{\mathcal{Q}_{+\alpha}, \mathcal{Q}_{+\beta}\} = -2\mathcal{P}_+ (\Gamma^+ C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} \quad (3.26)$$

$$\{\mathcal{Q}_{-\alpha}, \mathcal{Q}_{+\beta}\} = -2\mathcal{P}_i (\Gamma^i C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{Z}_i^I (\Gamma^i \Gamma^I C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (3.27)$$

where $C = \Gamma_0$ is the charge conjugation matrix and the central charges are

$$\mathcal{Z}_+^I = -2 \text{Tr} \int d^4x F_{-i} D^i X^I \quad (3.28)$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_i^I = - \text{Tr} \int d^4x G_{ij} D^j X^I \quad (3.29)$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_{ij+}^{IJ} = -g^2 \text{Tr} \int d^4x D_{[i} X^I D_{j]} X^J. \quad (3.30)$$

Note that although there are 16 supersymmetry charges only 8 of them (\mathcal{Q}_-) have a non-trivial relation with \mathcal{P}_- . This is a well-known feature of light-cone gauge (cf. the Green-Schwarz superstring). Furthermore any state with a non-vanishing \mathcal{P}_+ must break the \mathcal{Q}_+ supersymmetries.

We also see that G_{ij} only appears through its contribution to the central charge \mathcal{Z}_i^I . Here we take it to be a background, non-dynamical field, in which case one only seems to obtain a conserved quantity in the case that $D^j G_{ij} = 0$, so that it decouples from (3.18). In this case \mathcal{Z}_i^I is simply a boundary term depending on v^I and G_{ij} .

Thus, to summarize, we impose the constraints $D^i G_{ij} = [v^I, v^J] = [v^I, w] = 0$ on the fields to ensure that there charges given above are well-defined and conserved. This is necessary in our treatment since we will ultimately quantize the theory and use the Hamiltonian as the generator of time evolution through a Schrödinger equation.

4 Quantization

We have seen above that the classical equations of motion can be solved up to a single second order evolution. We have also constructed the conserved momentum and central charges in the $(2,0)$ algebra. In this section, rather than solve the second order classical evolution equation we instead wish to quantize the system using \mathcal{P}_- as the Hamiltonian. In particular we see that it can be written as

$$\mathcal{P}_- = \frac{1}{2g^2} \text{Tr} \int d^4x \partial_- A_i \partial_- A^i - 2\partial_- A_i D^i A_- + D_i A_- D^i A_- + g^4 D_i X^I D^i X^I . \quad (4.1)$$

The first term gives the kinetic energy and can be expressed in terms of the metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$ on instanton moduli space defined by

$$\text{Tr} \int d^4x \delta A_i \delta A^i = g_{\alpha\beta} \delta m^\alpha \delta m^\beta . \quad (4.2)$$

Here $\delta A_i = \partial A_i / \partial m^\alpha \delta m^\alpha + D_i \delta \omega$, with $\delta \omega$ is the gauge transformation required to preserve $D^i \delta A_i = 0$.

Next we have a term that is linear in time derivatives:

$$\text{Tr} \int d^4x \partial_- A_i D^i A_- = \text{Tr} \oint \partial_- A_r w = L_\alpha \dot{m}^\alpha . \quad (4.3)$$

where r is the radial normal direction to the sphere at infinity, $\dot{m}^\alpha = \partial_- m^\alpha$ and L_α is a vector field on the instanton moduli space. We note that it is proportional to w , i.e. it is determined by the vacuum expectation value of A_- , and can be viewed as a background gauge field.

The last two terms can be written as a boundary integral and contribute to the potential. Thus we find that the Hamiltonian is

$$\mathcal{P}_- = \frac{1}{2g^2} g_{\alpha\beta} (\dot{m}^\alpha - L^\alpha) (\dot{m}^\beta - L^\beta) + V , \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$V = -\frac{1}{2g^2} g_{\alpha\beta} L^\alpha L^\beta + \frac{1}{2g^2} \text{Tr} \oint g^4 X^I D_r X^I + A_- D_r A_- . \quad (4.5)$$

For $w = 0$ this Hamiltonian has appeared before [29] and is known to admit 8 supersymmetries, which correspond to the \mathcal{Q}_- here. In particular it was shown that

$$V = \frac{g^2}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} K^\alpha K^\beta , \quad (4.6)$$

where K^α is a tri-holomorphic Killing vector on the instanton moduli space which can be expressed purely in terms of the asymptotic values of X^I and the ADHM data [29]. By construction the Hamiltonian is also invariant under 8 supersymmetries when $w \neq 0$.

The next step is to decide on a momentum conjugate to the moduli coordinates m^α . The obvious choice is

$$p_\alpha = g_{\alpha\beta} \dot{m}^\beta . \tag{4.7}$$

An alternative quantization could be $p_\alpha = g_{\alpha\beta}(\dot{m}^\beta - L^\beta)$ however since L^α depends on w_a this quantization would then differ in various sectors of the theory. It would be interesting to obtain a symplectic structure on the entire $(2, 0)$ system that leads to this. Quantization is now straightforward and we just consider wavefunctions $\Psi(m^\alpha, x^-)$ and define

$$\hat{p}_\alpha \Psi = -i \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial m^\alpha} , \quad \hat{m}^\alpha \Psi = m^\alpha \Psi , \tag{4.8}$$

where a hat denotes the quantum operator.

There is one issue that requires some discussion, namely the moduli space generically contains singularities where the instantons shrink to zero size. These are not curvature singularities but rather more like orbifold singularities. Thus we should either seek to remove them or simply come up with a suitable prescription on the behaviour of the wavefunction at the singularities. Methods for pursuing the first approach were considered in [6]. For the second approach one could simply assume that physical wavefunctions need to be even under the orbifold action at each singularity.

4.1 One instanton example

For concreteness we now give the expressions above for the case of a single instanton i.e.:

$$\mathcal{P}_+ = -4\pi^2/g^2 \tag{4.9}$$

with gauge group $SU(2)$, including all the moduli. In this case we have (η_{ij}^a are the self-dual 't Hooft matrices)

$$A_i = \frac{1}{(x-y)^2} \frac{\rho^2}{(x-y)^2 + \rho^2} \eta_{ij}^a (x-y)^j U \sigma_a U^{-1} \tag{4.10}$$

$$X^I = \frac{(x-y)^2}{(x-y)^2 + \rho^2} v_a^I U \sigma_a U^{-1} \tag{4.11}$$

$$A_- = \frac{(x-y)^2}{(x-y)^2 + \rho^2} w_a U \sigma_a U^{-1} . \tag{4.12}$$

Here there are eight moduli represented by the instanton size ρ , position y^i and gauge embedding $U \in SU(2) \equiv S^3$. Therefore, in total the moduli space is eight-dimensional.

Our first task is to compute the metric. To do this we note that to ensure $D^i \partial_- A_i = 0$ we find that ω is given by

$$\omega = \frac{1}{(x-y)^2} \frac{\rho^2}{(x-y)^2 + \rho^2} \eta_{ij}^a \dot{y}^i (x-y)^j U \sigma_a U^{-1} - \frac{\rho^2}{(x-y)^2 + \rho^2} \dot{u}^a U \sigma_a U^{-1} , \tag{4.13}$$

where we have introduced

$$U^{-1}\dot{U} = i\dot{u}^a\sigma_a. \quad (4.14)$$

We can now compute the metric and find

$$ds^2 = 8\pi^2(d\rho^2 + \rho^2 du^a du^a) + 4\pi^2 dy^k dy^k. \quad (4.15)$$

This is just the flat metric on $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4$ (u^a are the left-invariant SU(2) forms of the unit S^3). However we note that, by construction, U is indistinguishable from $-U$ and therefore the actual moduli space is obtained by identifying $U \cong -U$ and hence is the quotient $\mathbb{R}^4/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{R}^4$.

Next we evaluate

$$\oint \partial_- A_r = \oint \frac{\partial A_r}{\partial m^\alpha} \dot{m}^\alpha + D_r \omega, \quad (4.16)$$

where r is the normal direction to the boundary. The only contributions to this come from the $\mathcal{O}(1/r^3)$ term in $\partial_- A_r$. To evaluate (4.16) one notes that $\partial A_i/\partial y^k = \mathcal{O}(r^{-4})$ and, although the $\partial A_i/\partial \rho$ and $\partial A_i/\partial U$ terms are $\mathcal{O}(r^{-3})$, their $\partial A_r/\partial \rho$ and $\partial A_r/\partial U$ components vanish. Thus we have

$$\oint \partial_- A_r = \oint D_r \omega = 4\pi^2 \rho^2 U \dot{u}^a \sigma_a U^{-1}, \quad (4.17)$$

and hence

$$L_\alpha \dot{m}^\alpha = 8\pi^2 \rho^2 w_a \dot{u}^a, \quad (4.18)$$

or equivalently $L^\alpha = w^a \delta_a^\alpha$. If we consider gauge transformations of the form $U(x^-)$ then L^α will transform as a gauge field. For V we find

$$V = 4\pi^2 g^2 v_a^I v_a^I \rho^2. \quad (4.19)$$

Note that the first and last terms in (4.5) have completely cancelled each other and we expect that this is generically the case. Thus we have found that

$$\mathcal{P}_- = \frac{4\pi^2}{g^2} \left(\dot{\rho}^2 + \rho^2 (\dot{u}^a - w^a)(\dot{u}^a - w^a) + \frac{1}{2} \dot{y}^k \dot{y}^k \right) + 4\pi^2 g^2 v_a^I v_a^I \rho^2. \quad (4.20)$$

It is also straightforward to show that the conserved momentum is

$$\mathcal{P}_i = -2\pi^2 g^{-2} \dot{y}_i. \quad (4.21)$$

More generally, for the case of point-like multi-instantons (i.e. widely separated compared to their individual scale sizes), one finds $\mathcal{P}_i \sim -2\pi^2 g^{-2} \sum \dot{y}_i$ is just the centre of mass momentum.

Let us now discuss the central charges. First consider \mathcal{Z}_+^I ;

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_+^I &= -2\text{Tr} \int d^4x (\partial_- A_i - D_i A_-) D^i X^I \\ &= -2\text{Tr} \oint (\partial_- A_r - D_r A_-) X^I \\ &= -16\pi^2 \rho^2 v_a^I (\dot{u}^a - w^a). \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

This is the angular momentum associated to the action of SU(2) on the moduli space.

In the one-instanton case the unique solution to $D^i G_{ij} = 0$ is given by $G_{ij} = G_0(x^2 + \rho^2)^2 x^{-4} \eta_{ij}^a \sigma_a$ where G_0 is a constant. However conservation of \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{P}_μ requires that all fields vanish at infinity (and aren't too singular at the origin) and hence we must take $G_0 = 0$ so that $Z_i^I = 0$. We expect that any states that carry Z_i^I charge are string-like states extended along some direction say x^4 . In this case the total \mathcal{P}_+ momentum is infinite but the \mathcal{P}_+ per unit length should be finite. Therefore the quantum mechanical system reduces to motion on the monopole moduli space determined by the Nahm construction [30].

In addition we find that Z_{ij+}^{IJ} is given by

$$Z_{ij+}^{IJ} = -2\pi^2 \rho^2 \epsilon^{abc} \eta_{ij}^a v_b^I v_c^J. \tag{4.23}$$

However this vanishes since we demand that $[v^I, v^J] = 0$ in order that \mathcal{Q} is conserved. More generally we expect that any state with non-vanishing Z_{ij+}^{IJ} should have co-dimension two, corresponding to 3-brane states of the M5-brane. In this case we need to consider states with finite \mathcal{P}_+ per unit area and the quantum mechanical system should then be reduced to the vortex moduli space.

5 Conclusion

In this paper we have constructed the conserved energy momentum tensor and supercurrent for the $(2, 0)$ system obtained in [21]. We then considered in detail the case of a null reduction to a novel dynamical system with 16 supersymmetries and an $SO(5)$ R-symmetry in one null and four space dimensions. In particular we showed how the classical equations can be reduced to motion on the instanton moduli space. This allows us to quantize the system. In so doing we obtained the light-cone quantization proposal of [6], generalized to include a potential that arises when the scalars (or gauge field A_-) have a non-vanishing vacuum expectation value, corresponding to the Coulomb branch where the M5-branes are separated. We were also able to obtain expressions for the six-dimensional supersymmetry and Poincaré algebras in terms of ADHM data of the instanton moduli space. This clarifies the relation of the quantum mechanical system to the full six-dimensional one.

Finally it is instructive to see how the null reduction of the $(2, 0)$ system above can be viewed as the limit of an infinite boost. This is in agreement with the general arguments for matrix models and light-cone quantization given in [31]. In particular let us return to the general discussion for arbitrary C^μ and set

$$C^\mu = \frac{g^2}{\sqrt{1 + \beta^2}} (\beta \delta_0^\mu + \delta_5^\mu),$$

where β is real. For any $|\beta| < 1$, C^μ is space-like and after a suitable Lorentz transformation could be taken to simply be $C^\mu = g^2 \delta_5^\mu$ and one reproduces maximally supersymmetric five-dimensional super-Yang-Mills Theory. Taking $\beta \rightarrow \pm 1$ corresponds to an infinite boost of the system along x^5 and leads to the null reduction we have discussed.

Let us see how this works in the $(2, 0)$ system. We introduce coordinates

$$u = \frac{x^0 - \beta x^5}{\sqrt{1 + \beta^2}}, \quad v = \frac{x^5 + \beta x^0}{\sqrt{1 + \beta^2}}, \quad (5.1)$$

so that $C^\mu = g^2 \delta_v^\mu$ (again we are cavalier about the 3-algebra indices for the sake of clarity). We now find that if we let

$$F_{ij} = -g^2 H_{ijv} \quad (5.2)$$

$$F_{iu} = -g^2 H_{iuv} \quad (5.3)$$

$$G_{ij} = -g^2 H_{iju}, \quad (5.4)$$

then self-duality of H implies that $H_{ijk} = g^{-2} \varepsilon_{ijkl} F^l{}_u$ and also:

$$\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ijkl} F^{kl} = \frac{2\beta}{1 + \beta^2} F_{ij} + \frac{1 - \beta^2}{1 + \beta^2} G_{ij}. \quad (5.5)$$

In the limit that $\beta = 1 - \varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon \ll 1$ we see that

$$\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ijkl} F^{kl} = F_{ij} + \varepsilon G_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2), \quad (5.6)$$

and therefore the non-self-dual part of F_{ij} is boosted away. However for any $\beta \neq \pm 1$ the gauge fields are not required to be self-dual and the reduction to quantum mechanics that we found above will not occur.

In our opinion this work presents evidence that the $(2, 0)$ system of [21] presents a complete Lorentz covariant picture of the M5-brane on a six-dimensional spacetime of the form $\mathcal{M} \times S^1$. In particular it is capable of including and interpolating between two conjectures on the dynamics of M5-branes: namely the recent suggestions that the $(2, 0)$ theory on a space-like circle is precisely five-dimensional maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills [11, 12] and also the older light-cone proposal of [6]. In particular the latter can now be seen to arise as a space-like boost of the former in accordance with the general prescription of [31]. Nevertheless it remains to be seen if these conjectures can be made to lead to a more robust and complete description of the $(2, 0)$ theory and hence the M5-brane, particularly on uncompactified spacetimes.

It could also be interesting to consider a time-like reduction. The resulting system is very similar to five-dimensional maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills but in Euclidean signature. Although it is not clear to us what this physically means (although perhaps it could be related to the $(2, 0)$ theory at finite temperature).

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Costis Papageorgakis, Mukund Rangamani and Maximilian Schmidt-Sommerfeld for helpful discussions. PR is supported by the STFC studentship grant ST/F007698/1.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, *Modeling multiple M2's*, *Phys. Rev. D* **75** (2007) 045020 [[hep-th/0611108](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, *Gauge symmetry and supersymmetry of multiple M2-branes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008) 065008 [[arXiv:0711.0955](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] A. Gustavsson, *Algebraic structures on parallel M2-branes*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **811** (2009) 66 [[arXiv:0709.1260](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D.L. Jafferis and J. Maldacena, *$N = 6$ superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theories, M2-branes and their gravity duals*, *JHEP* **10** (2008) 091 [[arXiv:0806.1218](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] O. Aharony, O. Bergman and D.L. Jafferis, *Fractional M2-branes*, *JHEP* **11** (2008) 043 [[arXiv:0807.4924](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] O. Aharony, M. Berkooz and N. Seiberg, *Light cone description of (2,0) superconformal theories in six-dimensions*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 119 [[hep-th/9712117](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] O. Aharony, M. Berkooz, S. Kachru, N. Seiberg and E. Silverstein, *Matrix description of interacting theories in six-dimensions*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **1** (1998) 148 [[hep-th/9707079](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] M. Berkooz and M.R. Douglas, *Five-branes in M(atr ix) theory*, *Phys. Lett. B* **395** (1997) 196 [[hep-th/9610236](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] J.M. Maldacena, M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari and M. Van Raamsdonk, *Transverse five-branes in matrix theory*, *JHEP* **01** (2003) 038 [[hep-th/0211139](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] N. Arkani-Hamed, A.G. Cohen, D.B. Kaplan, A. Karch and L. Motl, *Deconstructing (2,0) and little string theories*, *JHEP* **01** (2003) 083 [[hep-th/0110146](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] M.R. Douglas, *On $D = 5$ super Yang-Mills theory and (2,0) theory*, *JHEP* **02** (2011) 011 [[arXiv:1012.2880](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] N. Lambert, C. Papageorgakis and M. Schmidt-Sommerfeld, *M5-Branes, D4-branes and Quantum 5D super-Yang-Mills*, *JHEP* **01** (2011) 083 [[arXiv:1012.2882](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] P.-M. Ho, K.-W. Huang and Y. Matsuo, *A non-Abelian self-dual gauge theory in 5 + 1 dimensions*, *JHEP* **07** (2011) 021 [[arXiv:1104.4040](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [14] H. Samtleben, E. Sezgin and R. Wimmer, *(1,0) superconformal models in six dimensions*, *JHEP* **12** (2011) 062 [[arXiv:1108.4060](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] C.-S. Chu, *A theory of non-Abelian tensor gauge field with non-Abelian gauge symmetry $G \times G$* , [arXiv:1108.5131](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] S. Bolognesi and K. Lee, *Instanton partons in 5-dim $SU(N)$ gauge theory*, *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 106001 [[arXiv:1106.3664](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [17] S. Bolognesi and K. Lee, *1/4 BPS string junctions and N^3 problem in 6-dim $(2, 0)$ superconformal theories*, *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 126018 [[arXiv:1105.5073](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] B. Haghighat and S. Vandoren, *Five-dimensional gauge theory and compactification on a torus*, *JHEP* **09** (2011) 060 [[arXiv:1107.2847](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] H.-C. Kim, S.H.-C. Kim, S. Kim, E. Koh, K. Lee and S. Lee, *On instantons as Kaluza-Klein modes of M5-branes*, *JHEP* **12** (2011) 031 [[arXiv:1110.2175](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] C.-S. Chu and G.S. Sehmbi, *D1-Strings in large RR 3-form flux, quantum Nambu geometry and M5-branes in C-field*, *J. Phys. A* **45** (2012) 055401 [[arXiv:1110.2687](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] N. Lambert and C. Papageorgakis, *Nonabelian $(2, 0)$ tensor multiplets and 3-algebras*, *JHEP* **08** (2010) 083 [[arXiv:1007.2982](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] H. Singh, *Super-Yang-Mills and M5-branes*, *JHEP* **08** (2011) 136 [[arXiv:1107.3408](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [23] S. Kawamoto, T. Takimi and D. Tomino, *Branes from a non-Abelian $(2, 0)$ tensor multiplet with 3-algebra*, *J. Phys. A* **44** (2011) 325402 [[arXiv:1103.1223](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [24] Y. Honma, M. Ogawa and S. Shiba, *Dp-branes, NS5-branes and U-duality from nonabelian $(2, 0)$ theory with Lie 3-algebra*, *JHEP* **04** (2011) 117 [[arXiv:1103.1327](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [25] O. Bärwald, N.D. Lambert and P.C. West, *On the energy momentum tensor of the M-theory five-brane*, *Phys. Lett. B* **459** (1999) 125 [[hep-th/9904097](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [26] M. Atiyah, N.J. Hitchin, V. Drinfeld and Y. Manin, *Construction of instantons*, *Phys. Lett. A* **65** (1978) 185 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] S. Vandoren and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, *Lectures on instantons*, [arXiv:0802.1862](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [28] D. Tong, *TASI lectures on solitons: instantons, monopoles, vortices and kinks*, [hep-th/0509216](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] N.D. Lambert and D. Tong, *Dyonic instantons in five-dimensional gauge theories*, *Phys. Lett. B* **462** (1999) 89 [[hep-th/9907014](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [30] W. Nahm, *A simple formalism for the BPS monopole*, *Phys. Lett. B* **90** (1980) 413 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [31] N. Seiberg, *Why is the matrix model correct?*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79** (1997) 3577 [[hep-th/9710009](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].