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## 1 Introduction

The past decades have seen tremendous progress in our understanding of the properties underlying the S-matrices of a wide range of theories. Powerful relations and common structures between scattering amplitudes of different theories have been discovered. In particular, the Kawai-Lewellen-Tye relations (KLT) [1], the Bern-Carrasco-Johansson (BCJ) double-copy relation [2] and the Cachazo-He-Yuan (CHY) formulation [3-5] have shown a surprising universality underlying amplitude construction. In the latter, only very few building blocks are necessary to construct the integrands of gravity (G), Yang-Mills (YM), biadjoint scalar (BS), Einstein-Maxwell (EM), Yang-Mills scalar (YMS), Born-Infeld (BI), Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI), non-linear sigma model (NLSM) and special Galileon (SG) theories, as well as their extensions, i.e. more complex theories obtained by adding new fields and interactions to the original ones. In this direction, differential operators have been proposed very recently [6] which, starting from tree-level gravity amplitudes, produce the S-matrices of various massless theories in arbitrary dimension. These transmutation operators were formulated to act on the explicit expressions for amplitudes, written in terms of Lorentz invariants made from momenta and polarization vectors. It was later shown that the action of at least some of them is equivalent to a particular type of dimensional reduction at the action level [7].

In this paper we prove that the transmutation operators applied to the CHY formulae transform integrands of one theory into another. This provides a further check of the CHY integrands, some of which were only conjectured using "squeezing" and "generalized
dimensional reduction". This allows to generate all desired integrands, even for extended theories. Most importantly, they could be used at loop level to generate integrands starting from gravity. At one-loop, CHY-like formulae were derived from ambitwistor strings for gravity and Yang-Mills [8] and from the forward limit for scalar fields [9]. The loop integrands of these theories are expressed in terms of $(n+2)$-point tree-level integrands localized on the loop-level scattering equations. This is reminiscent of the Feynman tree theorem and was also explored in terms of operators on the sphere [10]. Therefore, at least at one-loop, the transmutation operators have a similar action to that at tree-level and allow to generate all desired integrands.

The paper is structured as follows: in the next section we recall some notions about transmutation operators, while in section 3 the CHY formulation of tree-level massless amplitudes is reviewed. In section 4 we apply the operators to the CHY integrands. In the last section we discuss loop amplitudes. Conclusions and outlook complete the paper.

Note added. After completion of this work, we have become aware of [11], where similar computations for the CHY integrands are performed.

## 2 Transmutation operators

Very recently, a set of first-order differential operator has been proposed [6], which transmutes amplitudes of various massless theories in arbitrary spacetime dimensions into each other. In this section, we collect some details on these operators, which will be useful in the following.

Scattering amplitudes are functions of Lorentz-invariant products of polarization vectors and momenta: ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(e_{i} e_{j}, p_{i} e_{j}, p_{i} p_{j}\right), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the transmutation operators acting on these variables. Since they transmute physical amplitudes into physical amplitudes, they should preserve on-shell kinematics and gauge invariance. The following three types of operators were proposed:

Trace operators $\boldsymbol{T}_{i j}$. These two-point operators reduce the spin of particles $i$ and $j$ by one, placing them within a new color trace structure:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{i j}=\partial_{e_{i} e_{j}} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore they transmute gravitons into photons, gluons into biadjoint scalars, and BI photons into DBI scalars. They are intrinsically gauge invariant and symmetric $\mathcal{T}_{i j}=\mathcal{T}_{j i}$. Take for example the $n$-point graviton amplitude $A\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)$. The action of the trace operator $\mathcal{T}_{i j}$ will transmute gravitons $i$ and $j$ into photons, which are now within the same trace

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{i j} A\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)=A\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{i} \gamma_{j}, \ldots, h_{n}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is an amplitude of two photons coupled to $n-2$ gravitons. To outline the trace structure, particles within the same trace are not separated by a comma.

[^0]Insertion operators $\mathcal{T}_{\boldsymbol{i j k}}$. These operators reduce the spin of particle $j$ by one and insert it within an already existing trace structure between particles $i$ and $k$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{i j k}=\partial_{p_{i} e_{j}}-\partial_{p_{k} e_{j}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

They transmute gravitons into gluons, gluons into biadjoint scalars, and BI photons into pions. They are not intrinsically gauge invariant but become effectively invariant when combined with proper transmutation operators, i.e. if particles $i$ and $k$ are already transmuted. In particular, the combination $\mathcal{T}_{i j k} \mathcal{T}_{i k}$ is gauge invariant, see [6] for more details. The insertion operator is antisymmetric in the first and last index $\mathcal{T}_{i j k}=-\mathcal{T}_{k j i}$ and satisfies the additional property $\mathcal{T}_{i j k}+\mathcal{T}_{k j l}=\mathcal{T}_{i j l}$. As an example, let us consider a color-ordered Yang-Mills amplitude of $n$ gluons and apply, first, the trace operator $\mathcal{T}_{i k}$. Particles $i$ and $k$ are transmuted to biadjoint scalars and placed inside a trace structure with respect to their dual color. The resulting amplitude of $n-2$ gluons and two scalars now exhibits two different trace structures

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{i k} A\left(g_{1} g_{2} \ldots g_{n}\right)=A\left(g_{1} \ldots, \phi_{i} \phi_{k}, \ldots g_{n}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the biadjoint scalars carry now a dual color, in addition to the original one. In a second step we apply the insertion operator $\mathcal{T}_{i j k}$ to equation (2.5) transmuting particle $j$ to a biadjoint scalar and inserting it between $i$ and $k$ in the dual color trace. The resulting amplitude reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{i j k} \mathcal{T}_{i k} A\left(g_{1} g_{2} \ldots g_{n}\right)=A\left(g_{1} \ldots, \phi_{i} \phi_{j} \phi_{k}, \ldots g_{n}\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is useful to define the following combination of a single trace operator and various insertion operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}[\alpha]=\mathcal{T}_{a_{1} a_{m}} \cdot \prod_{k=2}^{m-1} \mathcal{T}_{a_{k-1}, a_{k}, a_{m}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ with elements $a_{k}$ is an ordered set.
Longitudinal operators $\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$. The one-point longitudinal operators reduce the spin of particle $i$ by one and convert it to a longitudinal mode

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{i}=\sum_{j} p_{i} p_{j} \partial_{p_{j} e_{i}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

They transmute a graviton into a BI photon, a gluon into a pion and a BI photon into a SG scalar. They can also be written as a linear combination of insertion operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{i}=\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^{n} p_{i} p_{j} \mathcal{T}_{j i k} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for an arbitrary state $k$. A longitudinal operator transmuting all particles of an amplitude will give a vanishing result. The following combination

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T} \mathcal{L}=\mathcal{T}_{a_{1} a_{2}} \cdot \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq a_{1}, a_{2}}}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{k} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is gauge invariant and the resulting amplitude will be a permutation invariant expression of $n$ identical particles. In particular, it will not depend on the choice of the particles $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$. Since the definition (2.10) is independent of the order of the particles, this type of operator will not induce a trace structure on the resulting amplitude. For example, applying (2.10) to a gravity amplitude we obtain an amplitude of $n$ BI photons

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\mathrm{BI}}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}\right)=\mathcal{T} \mathcal{L} A_{\mathrm{G}}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{n}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which does not exhibit a trace structure.
The operator (2.10) can be modified by extending the single trace operator by a number of insertion operators. Let us start by splitting the set of $n$ particles $O_{n}=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ into two disjoint subsets $\alpha$ and $\bar{\alpha}$ such that $\alpha \cup \bar{\alpha}=O_{n}$, with $\alpha=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$ and $\bar{\alpha}=\left\{\bar{a}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{a}_{n-m}\right\}$. We can define the following sequence of operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}[\alpha] \prod_{\bar{a} \in \bar{\alpha}} \mathcal{L}_{\bar{a}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{a}}$ is the one-point longitudinal operator (2.8) and $\mathcal{T}[\alpha]$ was defined in (2.7). We furthermore require $|\alpha| \geq 2$ to ensure that at least one trace operator is contained in $\mathcal{T}[\alpha]$ and $|\bar{\alpha}|>0$.

In figure 1 the action of transmutation operators bringing from one theory to another is shown. In particular we have:

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ A _ { \mathrm { BS } } = \mathcal { T } [ a _ { 1 } \ldots a _ { n } ] A _ { \mathrm { YM } } }  \tag{2.13}\\
{ A _ { \mathrm { YM } } = \mathcal { T } [ a _ { 1 } \ldots a _ { n } ] A _ { \mathrm { G } } } \\
{ A _ { \mathrm { NLSM } } = \mathcal { T } [ a _ { 1 } \ldots a _ { n } ] A _ { \mathrm { BI } } }
\end{array} \left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ A _ { \mathrm { EM } } = \mathcal { T } _ { a _ { 1 } a _ { 2 } } \ldots \mathcal { T } _ { a _ { 2 m - 1 } a _ { 2 m } } A _ { \mathrm { G } } } \\
{ A _ { \mathrm { YMS } } = \mathcal { T } _ { a _ { 1 } a _ { 2 } } \cdots \mathcal { T } _ { a _ { 2 m - 1 } a _ { 2 m } } A _ { \mathrm { YM } } } \\
{ A _ { \mathrm { DBI } } = \mathcal { T } _ { a _ { 1 } a _ { 2 } } \cdots \mathcal { T } _ { a _ { 2 m - 1 } a _ { 2 m } } A _ { \mathrm { BI } } }
\end{array} \left\{\begin{array}{l}
A_{\mathrm{SG}}=\mathcal{T} \mathcal{L} A_{\mathrm{BI}} \\
A_{\mathrm{BI}}=\mathcal{T} \mathcal{L} A_{\mathrm{G}} \\
A_{\mathrm{NLSM}}=\mathcal{T} \mathcal{L} A_{\mathrm{YM}}
\end{array}\right.\right.\right.
$$

By applying combinations of operators acting only on subset of particles, we can find the amplitudes for extended theories. For instance, (2.12) generates the extended versions of BI, NLSM and SG.

## 3 CHY formulation

The CHY construction is a compact formulation for tree-level scattering amplitudes of various theories in arbitrary spacetime dimension. In this formulation, the tree-level scattering amplitude of $n$ massless particles can be expressed as an integral over the moduli space of a $n$-punctured Riemann sphere $\mathfrak{M}_{0, n}[3,5]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}^{(0)}=\int_{\mathfrak{M}_{0, n}} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{i}}{\operatorname{volSL}(2, \mathbb{C})} \prod_{a}{ }^{\prime} \delta\left(\sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ b \neq a}}^{n} \frac{p_{a} \cdot p_{b}}{\sigma_{a b}}\right) \mathcal{I}_{n}(\{p, e, \tilde{e}, \sigma\})=: \int_{\mathfrak{M}_{0, n}} d \mu_{0, n} \mathcal{I}_{n} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{i}$ denotes the holomorphic coordinate of the puncture $i$ on the Riemann sphere and $\sigma_{a b}=\sigma_{a}-\sigma_{b}$.


Figure 1. The connection between different theories through transmutation operators. The theories under consideration are: Gravity (G), Einstein-Maxwell (EM), Yang-Mills (YM), Yang-Mills scalar (YMS), biadjoint scalar (BS), Born-Infeld (BI), Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI), nonlinear sigma model (NLSM) and special Galileon (SG).

The integration measure $\mathrm{d} \mu_{0, n}$ is a universal object, identical for all theories, and localizes the integral on the so-called scattering equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ b \neq a}}^{n} \frac{p_{a} \cdot p_{b}}{\sigma_{a b}}=0 \quad \text { for } a \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which relate the kinematic invariants $s_{a b}=2 p_{a} \cdot p_{b}$ and the puncture locations $\sigma_{a}$. Originally introduced in different contexts, i.e. dual resonance models [12] and high-energy behavior of string theory [13], they represent an essential object in the formulation (3.1). The prime in the formula indicates that only $n-3$ scattering equations are linearly independent

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{a}^{\prime} \delta\left(\sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ b \neq a}}^{n} \frac{p_{a} \cdot p_{b}}{\sigma_{a b}}\right) \equiv \sigma_{i j} \sigma_{j k} \sigma_{k i} \prod_{a \neq i, j, k} \delta\left(\sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ b \neq a}}^{n} \frac{p_{a} \cdot p_{b}}{\sigma_{a b}}\right), \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, since $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathfrak{M}_{0, n}\right)=n-3$, the integral (3.1) is completely localised on $(n-3)$ ! of their solutions.

While the form of $\mathrm{d} \mu_{0, n}$ is universal, the integrand $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ depends on the theory under consideration and is a function of the external data $p_{a}$ and $e_{a}$, and of the $\sigma$ 's. It exhibits a double-copy structure

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{n}=\mathcal{I}_{L} \mathcal{I}_{R} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, in general, only two building blocks enter its definition. The first one is the ParkeTaylor factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}[\alpha]=\frac{1}{\sigma_{\alpha(1) \alpha(2)} \sigma_{\alpha(2) \alpha(3)} \cdots \sigma_{\alpha(n) \alpha(1)}}, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which depends on the ordering $\alpha$ of the particles contributing to the partial amplitude. It appears naturally for every theory exhibiting a color or flavor structure.
The second building block is the reduced Pfaffian of an antisymmetric $2 n \times 2 n$ matrix $\Psi$ which depends on the external momenta $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots p_{n}\right\}$ and polarizations $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ of the particles and on $\left\{\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}\right\}$. The matrix $\Psi$ has the following block structure

$$
\Psi=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & C  \tag{3.6}\\
-C^{T} & B
\end{array}\right)
$$

where the $n \times n$ block matrices are given by

$$
A_{a b}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{p_{a} \cdot p_{b}}{\sigma_{a b}} & a \neq b  \tag{3.7}\\
0 & a=b
\end{array} \quad B_{a b}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{e_{a} \cdot e_{b}}{\sigma_{a b}} & a \neq b \\
0 & a=b
\end{array} \quad C_{a b}= \begin{cases}\frac{p_{a} \cdot e_{b}}{\sigma_{a b}} & a \neq b \\
\sum_{c \neq a} \frac{e_{a} \cdot p_{c}}{\sigma_{a c}} & a=b\end{cases}\right.\right.
$$

with $a, b=1, \ldots, n$. The reduced $\operatorname{Pfaffian~}^{\operatorname{Pf}^{\prime} \Psi \text { is defined via }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pf}^{\prime} \Psi=\frac{(-1)^{p+q}}{\sigma_{p q}} \operatorname{Pf}\left(\Psi_{p q}^{p q}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $1 \leq p<q \leq n$, and where $\Psi_{p q}^{p q}$ is the matrix $\Psi$ with the rows and columns $p$ and $q$ removed. We notice that the Pfaffian of the matrix $\Psi$ is instead vanishing, since the rows and columns are linearly dependent on the solutions of the scattering equations.

We also introduce the following matrix

$$
\mathcal{X}_{a b}= \begin{cases}\frac{\delta^{I_{a}, I_{b}}}{\sigma_{a b}} & a \neq b  \tag{3.9}\\ 0 & a=b\end{cases}
$$

which will appear in later sections. Here $I_{a}$ denotes the $\mathrm{U}(1)$ charge of particle $a$.
Let us provide some explicit examples. The CHY integrand for an $n$-point gravity amplitude is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{G}}(p, e, \tilde{e}, \sigma)=\operatorname{Pf}^{\prime} \Psi(p, e, \sigma) \mathrm{Pf}^{\prime} \Psi(p, \tilde{e}, \sigma) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{a}$ and $\tilde{e}_{a}$ are two sets of polarization vectors which, together, describe the polarization tensor of the state $a: \epsilon_{a}^{\mu \nu}=e_{a}^{\mu} \tilde{e}_{a}^{\nu}$. This gravity theory, also called NS-NS gravity, describes gravitons, dilatons and B-field states and it is the theory descending from YM via KLT relations. To retrieve pure Einstein-gravity amplitudes, an appropriate symmetric, traceless linear combination of $e_{a}^{\mu} \tilde{e}_{a}^{\nu}$ terms should be chosen. The integrand for the Yang-Mills tree-level partial amplitude with ordering $[\alpha]$ is instead

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{YM}, \alpha}(p, e, \sigma)=C_{n}[\alpha] \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime} \Psi(p, \tilde{e}, \sigma), \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the full amplitude we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}=\sum_{\alpha \in S_{n} / Z_{n}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(T^{a_{\alpha(1)}} T^{a_{\alpha(2)}} \ldots T^{a_{\alpha(n)}}\right) C_{n}[\alpha] \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In table 1 we summarize the various integrands which will appear in this paper $[4,5]$.

| Theory | $\mathcal{I}_{L}$ | $\mathcal{I}_{R}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gravity (G) | $\operatorname{Pf}^{\prime} \Psi(p, e, \sigma)$ | $\operatorname{Pf}^{\prime} \Psi(p, \tilde{e}, \sigma)$ |
| Yang-Mills (YM) | $C_{n}[\alpha]$ | $\operatorname{Pf}^{\prime} \Psi(p, \tilde{e}, \sigma)$ |
| Bi-adjoint Scalar (BS) | $C_{n}[\alpha]$ | $C_{n}[\beta]$ |
| Einstein-Maxwell (EM) | $\operatorname{Pf} \mathcal{X}(\sigma) \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime} A(p, \sigma)$ | $\operatorname{Pf}^{\prime} \Psi(p, \tilde{e}, \sigma)$ |
| Born-Infeld (BI) | $\left[\operatorname{Pf}^{\prime} A(p, \sigma)\right]^{2}$ | $\operatorname{Pf}^{\prime} \Psi(p, \tilde{e}, \sigma)$ |
| Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) | $\left[\operatorname{Pf}^{\prime} A(p, \sigma)\right]^{2}$ | $\operatorname{Pf} \mathcal{X}(\sigma) \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime} A(p, \sigma)$ |
| Yang-Mills-scalar (YMS) | $C_{n}[\alpha]$ | $\operatorname{Pf} \mathcal{X}(\sigma) \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime} A(p, \sigma)$ |
| Non-linear sigma model (NLSM) | $C_{n}[\alpha]$ | $\left[\operatorname{Pf}^{\prime} A(p, \sigma)\right]^{2}$ |
| Special Galileon (SG) | $\left[\operatorname{Pf}^{\prime} A(p, \sigma)\right]^{2}$ | $\left[\operatorname{Pf}^{\prime} A(p, \sigma)\right]^{2}$ |

Table 1. CHY integrands for various theories. The definitions of $C_{n}[\alpha], \Psi, A$ and $\mathcal{X}$ can be found in eq.s (3.5), (3.6), (3.7), (3.9) respectively.

## 4 Transmutation operators acting on CHY integrands

In this section we show that, starting with the CHY integrand for gravity and after repeated action of the transmutation operators, the integrands of all theories described in the previous part of the paper can be derived, together with the ones for the extended theories. Since the following paragraphs are technical in nature, we encourage the reader to look at the figure at the end of the section, where our results are collected.

### 4.1 Single trace amplitudes: $\mathrm{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{YM} \rightarrow \mathrm{BS}$ and $\mathrm{BI} \rightarrow$ NLSM

Let us start by studying the action of an operator $\mathcal{T}[\alpha]$ as in (2.7) on the reduced Pfaffian $\mathrm{Pf}^{\prime} \Psi$ for an arbitrary number of particles $n$. Let $\alpha$ be an ordered subset of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $m \leq n$ elements. The operator consists of a single trace operator and $m-2$ insertion operators:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}[\alpha]=\mathcal{T}_{a_{1} a_{m}} \cdot \prod_{k=2}^{m-1} \mathcal{T}_{a_{k-1}, a_{k}, a_{m}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, we focus on the case $m=n$, where all particles are transmuted. Without loss of generality, we can consider the canonical ordering $1,2, \ldots, n$ and choose to delete rows and columns $p=1$ and $q=2$ in the definition of the reduced Pfaffian (3.8). The object that needs to be computed then is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}[12 \ldots n] \mathrm{Pf}^{\prime} \Psi=\mathcal{T}_{1 n} \cdot \prod_{a=2}^{n-1} \mathcal{T}_{a-1, a, n} \mathrm{Pf}^{\prime} \Psi \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine the action of the transmutation operators let us rewrite them in terms of the components $\Psi_{a b}$, while keeping in mind that the indices 1 and 2 do not appear in the reduced Pfaffian. We will consider first the trace operator $\mathcal{T}_{1 n}$ and afterwards the insertion operators $\mathcal{T}_{i j k}$. In the latter, $\mathcal{T}_{12 n}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{23 n}$ have to be treated separately due to the choice we made of $p=1$ and $q=2$.

- $\mathcal{T}_{1 n}=\partial_{e_{1} e_{n}}:$ this operator will act on the component $\Psi_{n+1,2 n}=B_{1 n}=\frac{e_{1} e_{n}}{\sigma_{1 n}}$ of (3.6), see (3.7). The action on $B_{n 1}$ is irrelevant for the purpose of computing the Pfaffian, since only one term will appear. Therefore, we can rewrite

$$
\mathcal{T}_{1 n}=\frac{1}{\sigma_{1 n}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Psi_{n+1,2 n}} .
$$

- $\mathcal{T}_{a-1, a, n}=\partial_{p_{a-1} e_{a}}-\partial_{p_{n} e_{a}}, a \in\{4, \ldots, n-1\}:$ the variable $p_{a-1} e_{a}$ appears in the two components $\Psi_{a-1, a+n}=C_{a-1, a}=\frac{p_{a-1} e_{a}}{\sigma_{a-1, a}}$ and $\Psi_{a, a+n}=C_{a a}=\sum_{b \neq a} \frac{p_{b} e_{a}}{\sigma_{a b}}$. The latter component contains also $p_{n} e_{a}$, which further appears in $\Psi_{n, a+n}=C_{n a}=\frac{p_{n} e_{a}}{\sigma_{n a}}$. This leads to

$$
\mathcal{T}_{a-1, a, n}=\frac{1}{\sigma_{a-1, a}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Psi_{a-1, a+n}}+\frac{1}{\sigma_{a n}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Psi_{n, a+n}}-\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_{a-1, a}}+\frac{1}{\sigma_{a n}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \Psi_{a, a+n}} .
$$

This formula can be simplified when $a=2,3$ as the components with indices 1 and 2 do not appear in the reduced Pfaffian. Indeed, for the case $a=3$

- $\mathcal{T}_{23 n}=\partial_{p_{2} e_{3}}-\partial_{p_{n} e_{3}}:$ we can simply ignore the first term, which would have a derivative with respect to $\Psi_{2, n+3}$, and find

$$
\mathcal{T}_{23 n}=\frac{1}{\sigma_{3 n}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Psi_{n, n+3}}-\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_{23}}+\frac{1}{\sigma_{3 n}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \Psi_{3, n+3}}
$$

while for the case $a=2$

- $\mathcal{T}_{12 n}=\partial_{p_{1} e_{2}}-\partial_{p_{n} e_{2}}:$ we can ignore the first $\left(\Psi_{1, n+2}\right)$ and the last term $\left(\Psi_{2, n+2}\right)$,

$$
\mathcal{T}_{12 n}=\frac{1}{\sigma_{2 n}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Psi_{n, n+2}}
$$

We note that every transmutation operator can be represented as a linear differential operator in the components $\Psi_{a b}$, replacing a certain component with a corresponding $\sigma_{a b}$. We are now ready to act on the reduced Pfaffian. The reduced Pfaffian consists of $(2 n-3)!$ ! terms, with each of them consisting of $n-1$ factors, see appendix A. Crucially, in every term each of the $2 n-2$ indices appears exactly one time. Furthermore, every term has a different combination of indices. By acting with a transmutation operator on the reduced Pfaffian, only those terms containing the exact same index combination will survive. As we discussed above, each component will be replaced by the corresponding combination of $\sigma$ 's. Repeating this for all $n-1$ operators, the number of relevant terms will decrease and eventually all components will be replaced. Let us show how this works in detail.

Since the transmutation operators commute among themselves, we can choose their ordering. We start with the simplest one, namely $\mathcal{T}_{1 n}$, which makes most of the terms vanish and keeps only those that involve the component $\Psi_{n+1,2 n}$. This component is then replaced by the factor $\frac{1}{\sigma_{1 n}}$. The second operator we consider is $\mathcal{T}_{12 n}$. It limits the number of relevant terms further, to those containing also the component $\Psi_{n, n+2}$. The next operator in the process shall be $\mathcal{T}_{23 n}$. Its first term involves a derivative with respect to $\Psi_{n, n+3}$ but, since
every index appears only once per term, there are no terms with index $n$ left after having acted with $\mathcal{T}_{12 n}$. Therefore only the derivative w.r.t. $\Psi_{3, n+3}$ is relevant. Finally, we repeat this procedure with the remaining three-point operators $\mathcal{T}_{a-1, a, n}$ for $a \in\{4, \ldots, n-1\}$. Even though they contain the three pairs of indices $(a-1, a+n),(n, a+n)$ and $(a, a+n)$, for every $a$ only the last pair is relevant. Indeed the second term again involves the index $n$ which was already deleted by $\mathcal{T}_{12 n}$, while the first term contains the index $a-1$ which was deleted by the third term of $\mathcal{T}_{a-2, a-1, n}$.

Therefore, we have showed that there is only a single term in the reduced Pfaffian on which the $n-1$ transmutation operators act non-trivially. The final result for the action of the complete transmutation operator is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{T}[12 \ldots n] \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}(\Psi) & =s_{n} \frac{1}{\sigma_{12}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{n 1}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{2 n}}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_{23}}+\frac{1}{\sigma_{3 n}}\right) \prod_{a=4}^{n-1}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_{a-1, a}}+\frac{1}{\sigma_{a n}}\right)  \tag{4.3}\\
& =s_{n} \frac{1}{\sigma_{12} \cdots \sigma_{n 1}},
\end{align*}
$$

where the factor $\frac{1}{\sigma_{12}}$ comes from the fact that we have chosen to remove rows and columns $\{1,2\}$, see (3.8). $s_{n}$ is an irrelevant sign factor depending on $n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{n}=(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}-1} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is calculated by considering the signs coming from the Pfaffian, see appendix A, from the operators and the reduced Pfaffian (3.8). Therefore, we see that, by acting with the transmutation operator on the reduced Pfaffian, we obtain the Parke-Taylor factor corresponding to the chosen ordering:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}[\alpha] \mathrm{Pf}^{\prime} \Psi=s_{n} C_{n}[\alpha] . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are now ready to transmute scattering amplitudes. Acting with the operator (4.2) on the CHY integrand of gravity (3.10) we obtain the integrand for YM theory, ordered with respect to the set $\alpha$. Specifically, up to an irrelevant sign,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}[\alpha] \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{G}}=\mathcal{T}[\alpha]\left(\operatorname{Pf}^{\prime} \tilde{\Psi} \mathrm{Pf}^{\prime} \Psi\right)=\frac{1}{\sigma_{\alpha(1) \alpha(2)} \cdots \sigma_{\alpha(n) \alpha(1)}} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime} \Psi=\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{YM}}(\alpha) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Acting again with the operator in a given ordering $\beta$, we obtain a canonically-ordered integrand for BS theory in the dual ordering with respect to $\alpha$ and $\beta$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}[\beta] \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{YM}}(\alpha)=\mathcal{T}[\beta]\left(C_{n}[\alpha] \mathrm{Pf}^{\prime} \Psi\right)=C_{n}[\alpha] \frac{1}{\sigma_{\beta(1) \beta(2)} \cdots \sigma_{\beta(n) \beta(1)}}=\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{BS}}(\alpha \mid \beta) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same way, a BI amplitude is transmuted into a NLSM one:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}[\alpha] \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{BI}}=\mathcal{T}[\alpha]\left(\operatorname{Pf}^{\prime} \Psi\left(\operatorname{Pf}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}\right)^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\sigma_{\alpha(1) \alpha(2)} \cdots \sigma_{\alpha(n) \alpha(1)}}\left(\operatorname{Pf}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}\right)^{2}=\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{NLSM}}(\alpha) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

These integrands agree with [4].

Finally, it is straightforward to extend the results above to the cases when not every particle is transmuted, i.e. $m<n$, and find the integrands for extended theories:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}[\alpha] \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime} \Psi=s_{m, n} C_{m}[\alpha] \operatorname{Pf}[\Psi]_{\bar{\alpha} ; \bar{\alpha}}, \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sign $s_{m, n}=(-1)^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}-1+n(m+1)}$ now depends also on $m$ and $\bar{\alpha}$ is the $n-m$ subset of non-transmuted particles. $[\Psi]_{\bar{\alpha} ; \bar{\alpha}}$ is therefore the $2(n-m) \times 2(n-m)$ matrix that is obtained by restricting $\Psi$ to the subset $\bar{\alpha}$.

### 4.2 Multiple trace amplitudes: $\mathrm{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{EM}$ and $\mathrm{YM} \rightarrow$ YMS and BI $\rightarrow$ DBI

We move now to study the action of transmutation operators on CHY integrands for multiple trace amplitudes. In particular, we start by considering a simple sequence of $m$ trace operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{\{\alpha \beta\}}^{m}=\prod_{k=1}^{m} \mathcal{T}_{a_{k} b_{k}} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

transmuting pairwise a subset of $2 m$ particles $\{\alpha \beta\}=\left\{a_{1}, b_{1} ; a_{2}, b_{2} ; \ldots ; a_{m}, b_{m}\right\}$ with $a_{k}<$ $b_{k} .{ }^{2}$ We consider $2 m \leq n$ : therefore, not all particles are necessarily transmuted. Due to permutation invariance, we can define the reduced $\operatorname{Pfaffian} \mathrm{Pf}^{\prime} \Psi$ such that all particles from the set $\{\alpha \beta\}$ are placed in the last $2 m$ rows and columns. As a starting point, we consider the action of a single trace operator $\mathcal{T}_{a b}$ with $a<b$ on the reduced ${\operatorname{Pfaffian~} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}(\Psi) \text {. The }}^{\prime}$ variable $e_{a} e_{b}$ appears only once and corresponds to the matrix entry $\Psi_{a+n, b+n}=B_{a b}=\frac{e_{a} e_{b}}{\sigma_{a b}}$. Using the recursive definition of the Pfaffian (A.4) with $i=a+n$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}(\Psi)=\frac{(-1)^{p+q}}{\sigma_{p q}} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq p, q \\ j \neq a+n}}^{2 n}(-1)^{n+a+j+1+\Theta(n+a-j)} \Psi_{n+a, j} \operatorname{Pf}\left(\Psi_{p, q, n+a, j}^{p, q, n+a, j}\right) . \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The product $e_{a} e_{b}$ does not appear in the remaining Pfaffian, because the row and column $n+a$ were erased, while it is present once in $\Psi_{n+a, j}$ for $j=n+b$. Therefore we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}(\Psi)=(-1)^{a+b+1+\Theta(a-b)} \frac{e_{a} e_{b}}{\sigma_{a b}} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{n+a, n+b}^{n+a, n+b}\right)+\ldots \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the ellipses indicate terms which vanish after the action of the transmutation operator. Hence we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{a b} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}(\Psi)=\frac{(-1)^{a+b+1}}{\sigma_{a b}} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{a+n, b+n}^{a+n, b+n}\right) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us proceed by studying the case $m=2$, where another trace operator $\mathcal{T}_{c d}$, with $c<d$ and $a, b, c, d$ pairwise different, is applied to equation (4.13). Using again the recursion relation for $i=c+n$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{a+n, b+n}^{a+n, b+n}\right)=(-1)^{c+d+1} \operatorname{sgn}(a b c d) \frac{e_{c} e_{d}}{\sigma_{c d}} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{n+a, n+b, n+c, n+d}^{n+a, n+b, n+c, n+d}\right)+\ldots, \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]where again all terms denoted by the ellipses vanish when applying $\mathcal{T}_{c d}$. Here $\operatorname{sgn}(a b c d)$ is the sign of the permutation $\{a, b, c, d\}$. Therefore, for a sequence of two trace operators acting on the reduced Pfaffian, we find
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{c d} \mathcal{T}_{a b} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}(\Psi)=\frac{(-1)^{a+b+c+d} \operatorname{sgn}(a b c d)}{\sigma_{a b} \sigma_{c d}} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{a+n, b+n, c+n, d+n}^{a+n, b+n, c+n, d+n}\right) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

We are now ready to generalize the result to the full operator (4.10), by using (4.13) and (4.15):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{\{\alpha \beta\}}^{m} \mathrm{Pf}^{\prime}(\Psi)=\frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\{\alpha \beta\})}{\prod_{k=1}^{m} \sigma_{a_{k}, b_{k}}} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{;\{\alpha \beta\}}^{;\{\alpha \beta\}}\right), \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the notation $\Psi_{;\{\alpha \beta\}}^{;\{\alpha \beta\}}$ indicates that we removed all rows and columns corresponding to $\{\alpha \beta\}$ from the second block of $\Psi$, and left the first block unchanged. The factor $\operatorname{sgn}(\{\alpha \beta\})$ is the sign of the permutation

$$
\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
a_{1} & b_{1} & a_{2} & b_{2} & \ldots & a_{m} & b_{m}  \tag{4.17}\\
\hat{a}_{1} & \hat{b}_{1} & \hat{a}_{2} & \hat{b}_{2} & \ldots & \hat{a}_{m} & \hat{b}_{m}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $\hat{a}_{1}<\hat{b}_{1}<\hat{a}_{2}<\hat{b}_{2}<\cdots<\hat{a}_{m}<\hat{b}_{m}$. Let us note that (4.16) can be rewritten in terms of the matrix $\mathcal{X}$ (3.9). Recalling that the operator (4.10) transmutes states pairwise regarding the color structure, we find for the color charges of the transmuted particles $I_{a_{j}}=I_{b_{k}}$ if and only if $j=k$. In this special case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\{\alpha \beta\})}{\prod_{k=1}^{m} \sigma_{a_{k}, b_{k}}}=\operatorname{Pf}(\mathcal{X})_{\{\alpha \beta\}} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\mathcal{X})_{\{\alpha \beta\}}$ denotes the reduced $2 m \times 2 m$ matrix that is obtained from $\mathcal{X}$ by deleting all rows and columns corresponding to non-transmuted particles. Therefore, we can rewrite (4.16) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{\{\alpha \beta\}}^{m} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}(\Psi)=\operatorname{Pf}(\mathcal{X})_{\{\alpha \beta\}} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{;\{\alpha \beta\}}^{;\{\alpha \beta\}}\right) \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

To get a better understanding of equation (4.16), let us investigate the special case where the highest possible number of trace operators is applied to the reduced Pfaffian. Since every trace operator carries two indices, at most $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$ operators can be applied. There are two different cases:

- $n$ even: exactly $m=\frac{n}{2}$ trace operators can be applied. Every product of the form $e_{a} e_{b}$ is erased from the matrix $\Psi$ and only the upper left block $A$ survives inside the Pfaffian, see (3.6). The result does not depend anymore on the external polarizations and (4.16) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{\{\alpha \beta\}}^{m} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}(\Psi)=\frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\{\alpha \beta\})}{\prod_{k=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \sigma_{a_{k}, b_{k}}} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}(A) \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

- $n$ odd: the maximal number of trace operators which can be applied is $m=\frac{n-1}{2}$, with one of the $n$ labels not appearing in $\{\alpha \beta\}$. Let us denote this label by $x$. In the
lower right block of $\Psi$, i.e. the submatrix $B$, all rows and columns are erased apart from one. The single entry remaining is $B_{x x}=0$. In the off-diagonal blocks, a single row or respectively column survives. We then obtain

$$
\mathcal{T}_{\{\alpha \beta\}}^{m} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}(\Psi)=\frac{(-1)^{x+1} \operatorname{sgn}(\{\alpha \beta\})}{\prod_{k=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \sigma_{a_{k}, b_{k}}} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & C_{j x}  \tag{4.21}\\
\left(-C_{j x}\right)^{T} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $C_{j x}$ denotes the $x$-th column of $C$. By using the recursive definition of the Pfaffian (A.4) for $i=n+1$ this can be further rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{\{\alpha \beta\}}^{m} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}(\Psi)=\frac{(-1)^{x+1} \operatorname{sgn}(\{\alpha \beta\})}{\prod_{k=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \sigma_{a_{k}, b_{k}}} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq p, q}}^{n}(-1)^{j+1} C_{j x} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}\left(A_{j}^{j}\right) . \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ and $q$ denote the two rows and columns that are erased when taking the reduced Pfaffian.

We can now evaluate the CHY integrands for Einstein-Maxwell theory and Yang-Mills scalar theory. By starting from gravity (3.10) and applying the chain of operators (4.10), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{EM}}\left(\gamma_{a_{1}} \gamma_{b_{1}}, \ldots, \gamma_{a_{m}} \gamma_{b_{m}} ;\{h\}\right) & =\mathcal{T}_{\{\alpha \beta\}}^{m} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{G}} \\
& =\operatorname{Pf}(\mathcal{X})_{\{\alpha \beta\}} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{; ; \alpha \beta\}}^{;\{\alpha \beta\}}(p, e, \sigma)\right) \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime} \Psi(p, \tilde{e}, \sigma) . \tag{4.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (4.23) is the expression for the CHY integrand of an EM theory with $2 m$ photons $\gamma_{a}$ and $n-2 m$ gravitons. Each trace operator applied above transmutes two gravitons into two photons and puts them into a separate color trace. Hence the integrand contains $m$ traces of two photons, separated in equation (4.23) by a comma, and the remaining $\{h\}$ gravitons. This result for the EM integrand agrees with the results from [5] when appropriately modified to have photons arranged in $m$ separate pairs of color traces. The $\mathrm{U}(1)$ charges $I$ are chosen such that $I_{a_{k}}=I_{b_{k}}$ for all $k$ and different otherwise. In the case $n=2 m$, where all particles are transmuted, the matrix inside the Pfaffian reduces to the matrix $A$, see (4.20). The CHY integrand for YMS can be derived from the Yang-Mills integrand (4.6)

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{YMS}}^{[\alpha]}\left(\phi_{a_{1}} \phi_{b_{1}}, \ldots, \phi_{a_{m}} \phi_{b_{m}} ;\{g\}\right) & =\mathcal{T}_{\{\alpha \beta\}}^{m} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{YM}, \alpha} \\
& =\operatorname{Pf}(\mathcal{X})_{\{\alpha \beta\}} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{;\{\alpha \beta\}}^{;\{\alpha \beta\}}(p, e, \sigma)\right) C_{n}[\alpha] . \tag{4.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (4.24) is the expression for the CHY integrand of a YMS theory with $2 m$ scalars and $n-2 m$ gluons $\{g\}$. Similarly to the previous case, since every trace operator transmutes two gluons into two scalars in a separate trace, the integrand consists of $m$ traces containing two scalars each. Finally, the integrand for DBI can be obtained from the BI theory, which we will derive in the next section

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{DBI}}\left(\phi_{a_{1}} \phi_{b_{1}}, \ldots, \phi_{a_{m}} \phi_{b_{m}} ;\{\gamma\}\right) & =\mathcal{T}_{\{\alpha \beta\}}^{m} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{BI}}\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Pf}(\mathcal{X})_{\{\alpha \beta\}} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{;\{\alpha \beta\}}^{;\{\alpha \beta\}}(p, e, \sigma)\right)\left[\operatorname{Pf}^{\prime} A(p, \sigma)\right]^{2} . \tag{4.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (4.25) describes the CHY integrand of a theory with $2 m$ DBI scalars coupled to $n-2 m$ BI photons $\{\gamma\}$ and agrees with [5] when a similar discussion as for the EM charges is done. The scalars are organized in $m$ pairs, each one in a different trace.

### 4.3 Longitudinal operators: $\mathrm{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{BI} \rightarrow \mathrm{SG}$ and YM $\rightarrow$ NLSM

Finally, we derive the CHY integrands for BI, NLSM and SG theories, and extended theories, by using the longitudinal operators. Let us remind that we can define the following sequence of operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}[\alpha] \mathcal{L}^{\bar{\alpha}}:=\mathcal{T}[\alpha] \prod_{\bar{a} \in \bar{\alpha}} \mathcal{L}_{\bar{a}} \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{a}}$ is the one-point longitudinal operator (2.8) and $\alpha \cup \bar{\alpha}$ covers all the set of $n$ particles of $O_{n}=\{1, \ldots, n\}$. As explained in [6], for $|\bar{\alpha}|$ even a product of longitudinal operators can be rewritten in the following way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{\bar{a} \in \bar{\alpha}} \mathcal{L}_{\bar{a}}=\sum_{\rho \in P_{n-m}} \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{n-m}{2}} \mathcal{L}_{i_{k} j_{k}}+\cdots \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{i j}$ is the two-point longitudinal operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{i j}=-p_{i} p_{j} \partial_{e_{i} e_{j}} \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the ellipses denote remainder terms which vanish when transmuting all particles of a physical amplitude. The sum is over the set of partitions of $\bar{\alpha}$ into pairs. For $|\bar{\alpha}|$ odd, we can exclude one arbitrary element - call it $x —$ from $\bar{\alpha}$ to obtain a new set $\bar{\alpha}^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{\bar{a} \in \bar{\alpha}} \mathcal{L}_{\bar{a}}=\mathcal{L}_{x} \cdot \prod_{\bar{a} \in \bar{\alpha}^{\prime}} \mathcal{L}_{\bar{a}} \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (4.27) can be used for the reduced set $\bar{\alpha}^{\prime}$. This expansion simplifies the calculations, as the two-point operator contains the same derivative as the trace operator we have already discussed.

The number $|\alpha|$ determines the fraction of particles which are not transmuted by the longitudinal operators. The case $|\alpha|=2$ directly connects to [6]: in this case the operator transmutes amplitudes from gravity to Born-Infeld, from Born-Infeld to Special Galileon and from Yang-Mills to the NLSM. For $|\alpha|>2$ the operator yields amplitudes from extended theories and it transmutes

- Gravity amplitudes into amplitudes of BI photons coupled to gluons
- BI amplitudes into amplitudes of SG scalars coupled to pions
- Gluon amplitudes into pions coupled to biadjoint scalars

Let us start with the case $|\alpha|=2$, i.e. the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{a_{1} a_{2}} \cdot \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq a_{1}, a_{2}}}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{k} \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

It consists of a single trace operator, whose action is already known from the previous section, and $n-2$ longitudinal operators. These can be expanded using (4.27):

- $n$ even: we can use (4.27) to rewrite the longitudinal operators as a sum of products of $r=\frac{n}{2}-1$ two-point longitudinal operators. The action of one particular partition on the reduced Pfaffian is given by equation (4.20) multiplied by the products of momenta coming from the longitudinal operators:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{a_{1} a_{2}} \cdot \mathcal{L}_{i_{1} j_{1}} \cdots \mathcal{L}_{i_{r} j_{r}} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}(\Psi)=\frac{(-1)^{r} \operatorname{sgn}\left(a_{1}, a_{2},\{i j\}\right)}{\sigma_{a_{1} a_{2}}} \prod_{k=1}^{r}\left(A_{i_{k} j_{k}}\right) \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}(A) \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{i j}=\frac{p_{i} p_{j}}{\sigma_{i j}}$ is an element of the matrix $A$. The sign is determined by the ordering of all $i$ and $j$ as well as $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$, which together cover the full set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. However, the positions of $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are the same for every partition and hence the sign factorizes into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sgn}\left(a_{1}, a_{2},\{i j\}\right)=(-1)^{a_{1}+a_{2}+1} \operatorname{sgn}(\{i j\}) . \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the sum over all possible partitions of $\bar{\alpha}$ into pairs turns the product in equation (4.31), together with the sign, into the Pfaffian of the matrix $A_{a_{1}, a_{2}}^{a_{1}, \text {. }}$. Recalling the definition of the reduced Pfaffian $\mathrm{Pf}^{\prime} \Psi=\frac{(-1)^{p+q}}{\sigma_{p q}} \operatorname{Pf}\left(\Psi_{p q}^{p q}\right)$ this becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{a_{1} a_{2}} \cdot \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq a_{1}, a_{2}}}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{k} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}(\Psi)=(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}(A)^{2} . \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

- $n$ odd: using (4.29) we rewrite the longitudinal operators as a sum of products of $r=\frac{n-3}{2}$ two-point longitudinal operators multiplied by a single one-point longitudinal operator $\mathcal{L}_{x}$. In analogy to equation (4.22), the action of the $r$ two-point operators together with the trace operator is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{a_{1} a_{2}} \cdot \mathcal{L}_{i_{1} j_{1}} \cdots \mathcal{L}_{i_{r} j_{r}} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}(\Psi)=\frac{(-1)^{r+x+1} \operatorname{sgn}(\{i j\})}{\sigma_{a_{1} a_{2}}} \prod_{k=1}^{r}\left(A_{i_{k}, j_{k}}\right) \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq p, q}}^{n}(-1)^{j+1} C_{j x} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}\left(A_{j}^{j}\right) . \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Before summing over all possible partitions, let us apply the remaining longitudinal operator $\mathcal{L}_{x}$, which will act only on the matrix elements $C_{j x}$ in (4.34). The longitudinal operator acts on a diagonal element of $C$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{x} C_{x x}=\mathcal{L}_{x} \cdot \sum_{c \neq x} \frac{e_{x} p_{c}}{\sigma_{x c}}=\sum_{c \neq x} \frac{p_{x} p_{c}}{\sigma_{x c}}=0 \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the support of the scattering equations. Therefore, the action of $\mathcal{L}_{x}$ on the matrix $C$ is

$$
\mathcal{L}_{x} C_{j x}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{p_{j} p_{x}}{\sigma_{j x}} & \text { if } j \neq x  \tag{4.36}\\
0 & \text { if } j=x
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Since we can always choose $p$ and $q$ such that they are not equal to $x$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq p, q}}^{n}(-1)^{j+1} C_{j x} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}\left(A_{j}^{j}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_{x}} \sum_{j \neq p, q, x}(-1)^{j+1} A_{j x} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}\left(A_{j}^{j}\right)=\operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}(\bar{A}), \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the recursion relation of the Pfaffian was used backwards to obtain a new $(n+1) \times(n+1)$ matrix $\bar{A}$. This matrix is an extension of the matrix $A$ and results from duplicating the $x$-th row and $x$-th column and adding them to the end. Therefore, since the rows (and columns) of $\bar{A}$ are not linearly independent, $\operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}(\bar{A})=0$ for $n$ odd:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{a_{1} a_{2}} \cdot \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq a_{1}, a_{2}}}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{k} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}(\Psi)=0 \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the fact that the Pfaffian of an antisymmetric $n \times n$ matrix vanishes for $n$ odd, the results for both cases can be summarized as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{a_{1} a_{2}} \cdot \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq a_{1}, a_{2}}}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{k} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}(\Psi)=(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}(A)^{2} \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can now act with the operator (4.30) on the CHY integrand of gravity to obtain the BI integrand containing $n$ BI photons. Due to the permutation invariance of the reduced Pfaffian, this integrand is independent of the choice of the two trace-operator transmuted particles

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{BI}}\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{\gamma}_{n}\right)=\mathcal{T}_{a_{1} a_{2}} \cdot \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq a_{1}, a_{2}}}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{k} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{G}}\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}}\left[\operatorname{Pf}^{\prime} A(p, \sigma)\right]^{2} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime} \Psi(p, \tilde{e}, \sigma) \tag{4.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

The notation $\tilde{\gamma}_{i}$ indicates that the BI photon $i$ is characterized by the polarization vector $\tilde{e}_{i}$. Applying the operator once more to $\mathrm{Pf}^{\prime} \Psi$ leads to the SG integrand with $n$ SG scalars:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{SG}}\left(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)=\mathcal{T}_{a_{1} a_{2}} \cdot \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq a_{1}, a_{2}}}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{k} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{BI}}\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}\right)=\left[\mathrm{Pf}^{\prime} A(p, \sigma)\right]^{4} \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

By proceeding in a similar way, the integrand for pure NLSM of $n$ pions is obtained by applying the $|\alpha|=2$ longitudinal operator to the YM integrand

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{NLSM}, \omega}\left(\pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{n}\right)=\mathcal{T}_{a_{1} a_{2}} \cdot \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq a_{1}, a_{2}}}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{k} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{YM}, \omega}\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)=\frac{-\left[\mathrm{Pf}^{\prime} A(k, \sigma)\right]^{2}}{\sigma_{\omega(1), \omega(2)} \cdots \sigma_{\omega(n), \omega(1)}} . \tag{4.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

The NLSM integrand can also be obtained by applying a $\mathcal{T}[\omega]$ operator to the integrand of BI theory: this is the same procedure as above but with the operators in reversed order. Since the transmutation operators commute among themselves, the order of application does not matter. With the same operation, we could also retrieve the DBI integrand from the EM one. These results agree with [5] up to an irrelevant sign. Let us notice that the trace operator $\mathcal{T}_{a_{1} a_{2}}$ in equation (4.30) guarantees that the reduced Pfaffian of $A$ is taken instead of the full Pfaffian, which would be the result with only longitudinal operators. This is the operatorial equivalent of the conjectured procedure described in [5].

Extended theories. Finally, we investigate the extended theories generated by the operator $\mathcal{T}[\alpha] \mathcal{L}^{\bar{\alpha}}$ defined in (4.26), with $|\alpha|>2$. The set of all particles $O_{n}$ is split into two subsets $\alpha=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$ and $\bar{\alpha}=\left\{a_{m+1}, a_{m+2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$, where all particles in $\alpha(\bar{\alpha})$ are transmuted according to $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L})$. In the case where $|\bar{\alpha}|$ is odd, the operator in (4.26) leads to a vanishing result, as we have already showed in (4.38) for the particular case $|\alpha|=2$. Using our previous results, we can easily study the action of $\mathcal{T}[\alpha] \mathcal{L}^{\bar{\alpha}}$ on the reduced Pfaffian $\mathrm{Pf}^{\prime} \Psi$. The action of the multiple trace operator is governed by (4.9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}[\alpha] \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}(\Psi)=s_{m, n} C_{m}[\alpha] \operatorname{Pf}[\Psi]_{\bar{\alpha} ; \bar{\alpha}} . \tag{4.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second part $\mathcal{L}^{\bar{\alpha}}$ acts now on the Pfaffian of the $2(n-m) \times 2(n-m)$ matrix $\operatorname{Pf}[\Psi]_{\bar{\alpha} ; \bar{\alpha}}$ of the remaining particles belonging to $\bar{\alpha}$. The $n-m$ one-point longitudinal operators can again be expanded in terms of two-point longitudinal operators. Studying one particular partition, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{k=1}^{r} \mathcal{L}_{i_{k} j_{k}} \operatorname{Pf}[\Psi]_{\bar{\alpha} ; \bar{\alpha}}=\operatorname{sgn}(\{i j\}) \prod_{k=1}^{r}\left(-\frac{p_{i_{k}} p_{j_{k}}}{\sigma_{i_{k} j_{k}}}\right) \operatorname{Pf}[A]_{\bar{\alpha} ; \bar{\alpha}}, \tag{4.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r=\frac{n-m}{2}$ and $\operatorname{Pf}[A]_{\bar{\alpha} ; \bar{\alpha}}$ is the matrix $A$ restricted to particles from the set $\bar{\alpha}$. Summing over all partitions, the terms combine into another Pfaffian of the matrix $[A]_{\bar{\alpha} ; \bar{\alpha}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}^{\bar{\alpha}} \operatorname{Pf}[\Psi]_{\bar{\alpha} ; \bar{\alpha}}=(-1)^{r} \operatorname{Pf}[A]_{\bar{\alpha} ; \bar{\alpha}} \sum_{\{i j\}} \operatorname{sign}(\{i j\}) \prod_{k=1}^{r}\left(A_{i_{k} j_{k}}\right)=-s_{n-m, n-m}\left(\operatorname{Pf}[A]_{\bar{\alpha} ; \bar{\alpha}}\right)^{2} . \tag{4.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the full operator gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}[\alpha] \mathcal{L}^{\bar{\alpha}} \mathrm{Pf}^{\prime}(\Psi)=(-1)^{\frac{n+m^{2}}{2}-1} C_{m}[\alpha]\left(\operatorname{Pf}[A]_{\bar{\alpha} ; \bar{\alpha}}\right)^{2} . \tag{4.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying this operator to the integrand of gravity, all gravitons in the set $\alpha$ are transmuted into gluons ordered with respect to $\alpha$, and all gravitons in $\bar{\alpha}$ are transmuted into BI photons. The integrand of the resulting extended BI theory is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{BI} \oplus \mathrm{YM}}(\alpha)=\mathcal{T}[\alpha] \mathcal{L}^{\bar{\alpha}} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{G}}=(-1)^{\frac{n+m^{2}}{2}-1} C_{m}[\alpha](\operatorname{Pf}[A] \bar{\alpha} ; \bar{\alpha})^{2} \mathrm{Pf}^{\prime} \Psi . \tag{4.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

From here a second copy of the $\mathcal{T}[\beta] \mathcal{L}^{\bar{\beta}}$ operator leads to an extended SG theory which couples SG scalars and biadjoint scalars to pions from two copies of NLSM:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{SG} \oplus \mathrm{NLSM}^{2} \oplus \mathrm{BS}}(\alpha \mid \beta) & =\mathcal{T}[\beta] \mathcal{L}^{\bar{\beta}} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{BI}} \\
& =(-1)^{\frac{m^{2}+m^{\prime 2}}{2}+n} C_{m}[\alpha]\left(\operatorname{Pf}[A]_{\bar{\alpha} ; \bar{\alpha}}\right)^{2} C_{m^{\prime}}[\beta]\left(\operatorname{Pf}[A]_{\bar{\beta} ; \bar{\beta}}\right)^{2} \tag{4.48}
\end{align*}
$$

with $m^{\prime}=|\beta|$. The particles are transmuted in four distinct groups depicted in the table below. The intersection $\alpha \cup \beta$ contains biadjoint scalars with orderings $\alpha$ and $\beta$ and the intersection $\bar{\alpha} \cup \bar{\beta}$ SG scalars. The particles in the two remaining intersections $\alpha \cup \bar{\beta}$ and $\bar{\alpha} \cup \beta$ are pions of two copies of NLSM, the first with color structure $\alpha$ and the other with color structure $\beta$.


Figure 2. Summary of the action of transmutation operators on CHY integrands. These are valid for both tree-level and, suitably interpreted, one-loop integrands. Denoting the set of gravitons by $h$, the set of gluons by $g$, the set of photons by $\gamma$ and the set of scalars by $s$, we write $[\mathcal{X}]_{x}$ for the matrix $\mathcal{X}$ restricted to the specific subset $x$ and $[\Psi]_{x, y ; x}$ for the matrix $\Psi$ with its full first part and its second part restricted to $x$. All integrands are presented modulo an overall sign.

|  | $\alpha$ | $\bar{\alpha}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\beta$ | BS | NLSM |
| $\bar{\beta}$ | NLSM | SG |

Applied to the $n$-gluon YM integrand with ordering $\alpha$, the generalized operator $\mathcal{T}[\beta] \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\beta}}$ transmutes all gluons in $\beta$ into biadjoint scalars, and all gluons in $\bar{\beta}$ into NLSM pions. This leads to the following integrand for the extended NLSM:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{NLSM} \oplus \mathrm{BS}}(\alpha \mid \beta)=\mathcal{T}[\beta] \mathcal{L}^{\bar{\beta}} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{YM}}=(-1)^{\frac{n^{2}+m^{2}}{2}+n} C_{n}[\alpha] C_{m}[\beta]\left(\operatorname{Pf}[A]_{\bar{\beta} ; \bar{\beta}}\right)^{2} \tag{4.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

All particles are placed in one color trace ordered by $\alpha$ and the biadjoint scalars are additionally ordered with respect to their dual color with ordering $\beta$.

These results agree with the integrands of extended BI, SG and NLSM described in [14].

## 5 A first look at loop amplitudes

By using ambitwistor string, in [8] it was shown that the one-loop integrands of $n$-point scattering amplitudes for gravity and Yang-Mills exhibit a very similar structure to those at tree-level, i.e. a universal measure depending on the so-called nodal scattering equations and integrands built from few building blocks:

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{n}^{(1)} & =\int \frac{d^{D} \ell}{\ell^{2}} \int_{\mathfrak{M}_{0, n+2}} \frac{\prod_{a} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{a}}{\operatorname{vol} S \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})} \prod_{a} \delta\left(E_{a}^{(\mathrm{nod})}\right) \mathcal{I}_{n}^{(1)}(\{p, e, \tilde{e}, \sigma\}) \\
& =: \int \frac{d^{D} \ell}{\ell^{2}} \int_{\mathfrak{M}_{0, n+2}} d \mu_{1, n} \mathcal{I}_{n}^{(1)}, \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a=1, \cdots, n,+,-$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{+}^{(\mathrm{nod})}=\sum_{i} \frac{\ell k_{i}}{\sigma_{+i}}, \quad E_{-}^{(\mathrm{nod})}=-\sum_{i} \frac{\ell k_{i}}{\sigma_{-i}}, \quad E_{i}^{(\mathrm{nod})}=\frac{\ell k_{i}}{\sigma_{i+}}-\frac{\ell k_{i}}{\sigma_{i-}}+\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{k_{i} k_{j}}{\sigma_{i j}} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

are the $n+2$ nodal scattering equations. The one-loop measure $\mathrm{d} \mu_{1, n}$ is the same as the tree-level one, $\mathrm{d} \mu_{0, n+2}$, with two additional particles carrying momentum $\pm \tilde{\ell}$ and labeled by the punctures $\sigma_{+}$and $\sigma_{-}$respectively. The on-shell momentum $\tilde{\ell}$ is related to the loop momentum $\ell$ via $\tilde{\ell}=\ell+\eta$ with an auxiliary momentum $\eta$ satisfying $\ell \eta=p_{i} \eta=e_{i} \eta=0$. Also the integrand $\mathcal{I}_{n}^{(1)}$ can be related to the tree-level integrand $\mathcal{I}_{n+2}$. The same structure was found for biadjoint scalar amplitudes in [9] using the forward limit.

The building blocks of the one-loop integrands are given by the $(n+2)$-particle ParkeTaylor factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n+2}[\alpha]=\frac{1}{\sigma_{+\alpha(1)} \sigma_{\alpha(1) \alpha(2)} \cdots \sigma_{\alpha(n)-} \sigma_{-+}} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the one-loop NS integrand

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{NS}}^{(1)}=\sum_{r} \operatorname{Pf}^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{\mathrm{NS}}^{r}\right), \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the matrix $\Psi_{\mathrm{NS}}^{r}$ is given by extending the tree-level matrix $\Psi$ defined in equation (3.6) to $n+2$ points with the two additional particles carrying momentum $\pm \tilde{\ell}$ and polarizations $e_{+}=e^{r}$ and $e_{-}=\left(e^{r}\right)^{\dagger}$ respectively:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\mathrm{NS}}^{r}=\left.\Psi_{n+2}^{\text {tree }}\right|_{e_{+}=e^{r}, e_{-}=\left(e^{r}\right)^{\dagger}, \tilde{i}^{2}=0} . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sum in $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{NS}}^{(1)}$ runs over a basis $e^{r}$ of polarization vectors. Analogously to the tree-level case, the one-loop integrands for gravity, color-ordered Yang-Mills and biadjoint scalar are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(1)} & =\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{NS}}^{(1)} \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\mathrm{NS}}^{(1)}  \tag{5.6}\\
\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{YM}}^{(1)}(\alpha) & =C_{n+2}[\alpha] \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{NS}}^{(1)}  \tag{5.7}\\
\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{BS}}^{(1)}(\alpha \mid \beta) & =C_{n+2}[\alpha] C_{n+2}[\beta] . \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the one-loop CHY integrands can be connected via transmutation operators in a similar way as the tree-level ones. Indeed, we only need to extend the transmutation operator $\mathcal{T}[\alpha]$ to $n+2$ points, $\mathcal{T}[12 \cdots n-+]$, i.e. to include the two particles + and - . We can then apply it to the reduced Pfaffian of the $2(n+2) \times 2(n+2)$ matrix $\Psi_{\text {NS }}^{r}$ before summing over the internal degrees of freedom $r$. Analogously to the tree-level case, the result is the $(n+2)$-point Parke-Taylor factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}[12 \cdots n-+] \Psi_{\mathrm{NS}}^{r}=C_{n+2}[12 \cdots n-+] \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

leading to the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{G}}^{(1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{YM}}^{(1)}(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{BS}}^{(1)}(\alpha \mid \beta) \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Importantly, following the same steps as in the previous sections, one can derive the one-loop integrands for all the other theories discussed in this paper and their extensions, and find similar results as those collected in figure 2.

The similarity between tree-level and one-loop amplitudes finds its reason in the Feynman tree theorem and it is realised in the ambitwistor string context by the so-called gluing operator [10]. This indeed relates amplitudes at one loop to tree-level amplitudes with two additional particles. However, this theorem does not hold at two or more loops. Therefore, we do not expect the integrands at two or more loops to be connected by the transmutation operators in the same way.

## 6 Conclusions and outlook

In this paper we have applied the recently proposed transmutation operators [6] to the CHY formalism of scattering amplitudes and reconstructed CHY integrands for a wide range of theories. Starting from gravity, we have obtained integrands for Yang-Mills, biadjoint scalar, Einstein-Maxwell, Yang-Mills scalar, Born-Infeld, Dirac-Born-Infeld, nonlinear sigma model and special Galileon theory. Their expressions are shown in figure 2. and agree with the known integrands of these theories [4, 5]. Moreover, we derived the CHY integrands for the extended theories of BI, NLSM and SG and find agreement with [14]. Therefore, these results also confirm, for any number of points, the form of those CHY integrands which, while having passed non-trivial tests, were still at the level of conjecture. These computations provide a powerful tool for calculating integrands of arbitrary theories by applying the appropriate combination of transmutation operators. Finally, we use these results to obtain the one-loop CHY integrands for the same theories, by using the similarity among one-loop $n+2$-points and tree-level $n$-points integrands. However, we do not expect the integrands at two or more loops to be connected via the transmutation operators [6] in the same way. Indeed, at higher loops, the integrands cannot be constructed from the tree-level ones and a suitable modification of these operators has yet to be found. We leave this interesting question for future work.
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## A The Pfaffian

Let $M$ be an antisymmetric $2 n \times 2 n$ matrix whose elements are denoted by $m_{i j}$. The Pfaffian of $M$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pf}(M)=\sum_{\rho \in \Pi} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\pi_{\rho}\right) \prod_{k=1}^{n} m_{i_{k} j_{k}} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Pi$ is the set of all partitions of $\{1,2, \ldots, 2 n\}$ into pairs without regard of the order. A generic element $\rho \in \Pi$ can be represented as an ordered set of $n$ ordered pairs

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\left\{\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(i_{n}, j_{n}\right)\right\} \quad \text { with } i_{k}<j_{k} \text { and } i_{1}<i_{2}<\ldots<i_{n} . \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To every $\rho \in \Pi$ one associates a permutation $\pi_{\rho}$ with signature $\operatorname{sgn}\left(\pi_{\rho}\right)$

$$
\pi_{\rho}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 2 & \ldots & 2 n-1 & 2 n  \tag{A.3}\\
i_{1} & j_{1} & \ldots & i_{n} & j_{m}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

In other words one first builds a product of components $m_{i j}$ such that every index appears only once and $i<j$ is true for every component. Such a product will consist of $n$ factors. In a second step one sums over all ( $2 n-1$ )!! possible (and different) products that can be obtained in this way, where every even permutation comes with a plus sign and every odd permutation with a minus sign.

The Pfaffian fulfills the following recursion relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pf}(M)=\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{2 n}(-1)^{i+j+1+\Theta(i-j)} m_{i j} \operatorname{Pf}\left(M_{i, j}^{i, j}\right) \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, 2 n\}$. Here $\Theta$ denotes the Heaviside step function and $M_{i, j}^{i, j}$ the matrix $M$ with rows and columns $i$ and $j$ removed. Generalizing this notation we will write $M_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}}^{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}}$ for the matrix $M$ with rows and columns $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}$ removed.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1} v_{i} v_{j}$, with $v_{i}$ a momentum or polarization vector, has to be intended as $v_{i} \cdot v_{j}$

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ This can be done w.l.o.g., by virtue of the symmetry properties of $\mathcal{T}_{a b}$.

