Acknowledgments

The help of various staff members of Battelle Memorial Institute in specimen preparation and in experimental determinations is gratefully acknowledged. Discussion with F. A. Rough and H. A. Saller of recent thermal studies by them has been of great assistance in interpreting the data in the region of the compound. This help is greatly appreciated. The work was performed under Atomic Energy Commission Contract W-7405-Eng-92.

Technical Note

References

¹ A. W. Seybolt, D. E. White, F. W. Boulger, and M. C. Udy: Tentative Uranium-Titanium Phase Diagram. *Reactor Science and Technology* (April 1951) **1**, No. 1, pp. 118-119.

² R. W. Buzzard, R. B. Liss, and D. P. Fickle: The Uranium-Titanium Binary System. Reactor Science and Technology (April 1952) 2, No. 1, pp. 97-103. Also Nat. Bur. of Standards, Journal of Researches (April 1953) 50, pp. 209-214.

Heat Treatment of Titanium Generalized in Terms of Beta Prime

by Leonard D. Jaffe

T HE data recently published¹⁻⁹ on heat treatment of titanium alloys have not been readily systematized into an overall picture. When the β titanium phase transforms isothermally at low temperatures, the hardness follows a typical age-hardening pattern, going through a peak as schematized by the "hardness" vs "time of isothermal transformation" coordinates of Fig. 1. As the temperature is raised, the peak will decrease in height and occur at shorter times; slowing must however take place as the β transus is approached.

The brittle microconstituent in the region of peak hardness has been termed β' . Its structure is still undetermined but it is clearly a transition stage from soft β to the $\beta + \alpha$ that is found at the right of the peak.

On continuous cooling, high cooling rates correspond to short times on Fig. 1; abscissa labeling has been added accordingly. Extremely rapid cooling will retain soft β ; very slow cooling will precipitate soft α ; intermediate rates give hard β' . Increasing distance from the water-quenched end of a Jominy hardenability bar corresponds to slower cooling (right on Fig. 1), but the range of cooling rates covered by a Jominy bar is only a small portion of that shown in the figure.

The β -stabilizing alloying elements retard the transformation process, moving the material to the left on Fig. 1. A lean alloy is harder water-quenched (β') than air-cooled $(\beta + \alpha)$; a rich alloy is harder air-cooled (β') than water-quenched (β) . Examples of the position of various compositions with respect to the curve, based on limited data in the literature, are given at the top of the figure.

Oxygen and carbon accelerate the transformation process, moving the material to the right on Fig. 1.

If an alloy quenched quickly enough to retain soft β is reheated below the β transus (tempered), it ages, going through a hardness maximum as time increases (moving right on Fig. 1). Material which after cooling from the β range lies on or right of the hardness peak, will move still further right on tempering, and so soften.

Since the rate of the tempering (aging) reaction increases with temperature, the figure also may be used to represent the variation in properties with tempering temperature, at short tempering times.

The simple picture given here neglects martensite

L. D. JAFFE, Member AIME, is associated with the Watertown Arsenal Laboratory, Watertown, Mass. TN 204E. Manuscript, Oct. 8, 1953. Behavior of Alloys of Commercial Purity, Water quenched (x) and Air cooled (o) from the Beta Range in small sections



Fig. 1—Effect of variables upon properties of alloys first held in β range, schematic.

transformation, as well as differences between decomposition products of β formed by direct isothermal transformation and those formed by quenching and tempering. It may nevertheless be of use as a guide for practical heat treatment and for further research.

References

¹ H. W. Worner: *Journal* Inst. Metals (1951-1952) **80**, pp. 213-216.

² H. K. Adenstedt, J. R. Pequignot, and J. M. Raymer: *Trans.* ASM (1952) **44**, pp. 990-1003.

³ D. J. Delazaro, M. Hansen, R. E. Riley, and W. Rostoker: *Trans.* AIME (1952) **194**, pp. 265-269; JOURNAL OF METALS (March 1952).

⁴C. W. Phillips and D. N. Frey: *Trans.* AIME (1952) **194**, pp. 381-386; JOURNAL OF METALS (April 1952).

⁵L. S. Busch: JOURNAL OF METALS (February 1953) 5, pp. 146-149.

⁶ M. W. Parris, L. L. Hirsch, P. D. Frost, and J. H. Jackson: ASM Preprint No. 4, 1953.

⁷ H. D. Kessler and M. Hansen: ASM Preprints No. 5 and No. 6, 1953.

⁸ P. D. Frost, W. M. Parris, L. L. Hirsch, J. R. Doig, and C. M. Schwartz: ASM Preprint No. 7, 1953.

^e D. J. Delazaro and W. Rostoker: ASM Preprint No. 8, 1953.