Gold-Copper-Zinc Alloys with Shape Memory

G. B. Brook and R. F. Iles

Fulmer Research Institute, Stoke Poges, England

A limited examination of the shape-memory properties of a range of gold-copper-zinc alloys has shown that shape-memory occurs in a fairly wide range of compositions in which there is flexibility to optimise other important properties such as hot workability, mechanical strength and ductility.

The gold-cadmium intermetallic compound, AuCd, has been known to have shape-memory properties for many years; although many patents have been granted for devices making use of the shape-memory properties of this alloy, no commercial use has been possible because the alloy is too brittle. It was not until shape-memory was discovered in the compound TiNi, which is ductile in polycrystalline form, that the industrial use of memory alloys became possible.

The potential for gold memory alloys has been discussed in an earlier article in this journal (1) in which the phenomenon of shape-memory was explained and some examples were illustrated of gold alloys which have shape-memory together with sufficient ductility for them to be fabricated to sheet or wire by conventional methods.

The Chamber of Mines of South Africa has supported a limited feasibility study at Fulmer Research Institute to evaluate a range of compositions in one of the most promising systems, the pseudo-binary system between the ductile copper-zinc memory alloys, the beta brasses, and the less ductile gold-zinc alloys based on AuZn.

The alloys selected contained between 40 and 60 per cent of gold by weight (these are now the subject of world wide patents (2)).

Selection of Alloys

Previous examination of the beta phase in the system gold-copper-zinc has been very limited, with most work concentrating on the crystallography of a specially ordered type of beta phase known as the "Heusler" alloy. Heusler ordering occurs at approximately $\text{Au}_{25}\text{Cu}_{25}\text{Zn}_{50}$ (where the subscripts refer to atomic percentages), but the beta phase itself forms a continuous single-phase field between the gold-zinc and copper-zinc binary systems.

The temperature at which the memory phenomenon is exhibited is determined by the martensitic

transformation of the beta phase. This temperature M_s temperature, varies in an unusual manner in this system. In the binary copper-zinc system the M_s temperature falls with increasing zinc content but rises with increasing zinc in the gold-zinc system (3). Near the Heusler composition, at constant zinc content, the M_s increases to maximum and then falls again (4, 5, 6, 7, 8) as copper replaces gold.

The available data on ternary alloys from Fulmer and published data have been assembled in Figure 1, and M_s vs. composition contours and ternary beta phase boundaries have been estimated. The beta phase in binary gold-zinc melts at about 640°C, and in binary copper-zinc at about 920°C; hence the upper limits to the extent of the beta phase field have been constructed by joining the relevant boundaries at 850°C for copper-zinc and 600°C for gold-zinc. (The wider boundaries in Figure 1 (upper right) are not isothermal). Compositions near the Heusler alloys, for instance, will melt at some intermediate temperature. Similarly, the ternary beta-phase field at room temperature has been estimated from the behaviour of the parent binary alloys. The supersaturation of a beta phase rapidly quenched from a high temperature can be estimated by the amount by which its composition differs from that of the equilibrium low temperature beta phase. The greater the degree of supersaturation, the less stable the alloy will be on slow cooling from the beta-phase field or on heating to temperatures in the region of 200–250°C.

The experimentally determined M_s temperatures are shown in Figure 1 for alloys containing 45, 47 and 49 atomic percentage of zinc. From these points, and the M_s temperatures in the binary alloys, contours of constant M_s temperature have been estimated. The "ridge" of the maximum M_s temperatures is shown by the dotted line near the "Heusler" compositions; actual values of the M_s

Fig. 1 Section of the gold-copper-zinc ternary phase diagram, showing beta phase field at high temperature (between AuZn at 600°C and CuZn at 850°C), and contours of constant M_s temperature estimated from known data

temperatures along this ridge have also been inserted.

The diagram constructed from these very limited data was used to select five compositions with gold contents between approximately 40 and 60 per cent by weight and with predicted M_s temperatures of -30°C . These alloys are marked by crosses numbered 1 to 5 in Fig. 1. The nominal compositions of the five alloys are shown in Table I, together with analysed compositions for the first two alloys. All compositions referred to subsequently are nominal since these lie very close to the actual compositions.

The alloys were prepared from fine gold granules and turnings of high purity zinc and degassed

cathode copper. Small melts of total weight 80 g were produced by encapsulating in evacuated quartz tubes, and melting in an R.F. induction coil. Molten alloys were shaken to ensure thorough mixing. The encapsulated ingots were then homogenised for 3 days at 600°C.

Examination of the Alloys

Alloys 1 and 2 were essentially single phase after quenching from 600°C. (Figures 2 and 3.)

Alloy 3 contained grain boundary films of gamma phase (Figure 4), i.e. it contains excess zinc.

Alloys 5 and 6 contained excess alpha phase when

Table I
The Range of Au-Cu-Zn Alloys Examined

Alloy No.	Weight per cent			Atomic per cent			
	Au	Cu	Zn by diff.	Au	Cu	Zn by diff.	
ACZ1	62.1	13.2	24.7	35	23	42	nominal
"	61.6	12.9	25.5	34.6	22.4	43.0	actual
ACZ2	56.6	15.2	28.2	30	25	45	nominal
"	56.8	15.2	28.0	30.1	25.0	44.9	actual
ACZ3	51.7	16.0	32.3	26	25	49	nominal
ACZ4	46.3	22.3	31.4	22	33	45	nominal
ACZ5	40.2	28.7	31.1	18	40	42	nominal

quenched from 640°C as would be expected from Figure 1. Considering the assumptions made in deriving Figure 1, the alloys had the structures close to those expected.

The workability of the alloys was assessed by forging the ingots of 11.3 mm diameter at 600°C down to 10 mm diameter followed by hot rolling to 0.8 mm thick sheet. At each stage, the thickness was reduced by 10 per cent and the alloy then reheated to 600°C. Alloys 1, 4 and 5 could be forged and rolled without difficulty but some cracking occurred in alloys 2 and 3. This is not surprising in view of the presence of the intergranular gamma phase in alloy 3 and shrinkage porosity in alloy 2.

The M_s temperatures of these alloys after quenching from 600°C (1 and 2) or 640°C (3, 4, 5) were -100°C, -50°C, -118°C, -64°C, and below -196°C respectively. These values are reasonably satisfactory considering the assumptions made in deriving Figure 1 and the presence of second phases in alloys 3, 4 and 5 which would change the composition of the remaining beta phase and thus the M_s temperature.

All the alloys were paler in colour than pure gold. Alloy 1 had a pale gold colour whereas alloys 2 and 3 were almost white but with a yellow and a pink tinge respectively. Alloys 4 and 5 were like 2 but paler.

The metallographic structure of alloy 2 was most unusual. Each grain of the beta phase is subdivided by a series of almost parallel lines (Figure 2). At first sight these resembled micro-cracks but this is unlikely as they were not observed on polished surfaces. Furthermore, on heat-treating at 200°C, alpha needles precipitated and there was no sign of the parallel lines. Thus the structure is believed to be elongated sub-grains with cell boundaries parallel to certain crystallographic planes. Fine plates of martensite are visible within each sub-grain.

Alloy 1 has the structure of a large-grained beta phase but containing some small precipitates of another phase, possibly alpha. (Figure 3).

The remaining alloys were duplex. In alloy 3 (Figure 4) the second phase had the appearance of the gamma phase, more of which precipitated within the grains. This phase is extremely brittle and some cracks can be seen in Figure 4 propagating within the gamma phase and at its interface with beta.

Alloy 4 was finer in grain size but contained appreciable quantities of the lighter-etching alpha phase. Figure 5 illustrates the alloy after quenching from 640°C; in the as-cast alloy cooled at a lower rate, more alpha phase was present.

The alloy 5, with least gold, was also an alpha plus beta alloy but the composition of the beta phase was

Fig. 2 Alloy ACZ2 (57% Au, 15% Cu, 28% Zn) in the cast state. Each grain contains many narrow sub-grains; the fine martensitic structure can be seen within each sub-grain
×100

Fig. 3 Alloy ACZ1 (62% Au, 13% Cu, 25% Zn) quenched from 600°C. Coarse grained beta phase containing fine precipitate, possibly alpha phase $\times 30$

Fig. 4 Alloy ACZ3 (52% Au, 16% Cu, 32% Zn) quenched from 640°C. Beta phase with precipitation of gamma at grain boundaries and within the grains $\times 30$

Fig. 5 Alloy ACZ4 (46% Au, 22% Cu, 32% Zn) quenched from 640°C, illustrating a beta phase with alpha phase precipitated at grain boundaries and within grains $\times 30$

Fig. 6 Alloy ACZ5 (40% Au, 29% Cu, 31% Zn) quenched from 640°C; as ACZ4 but containing more alpha phase $\times 30$

such that no M_s temperature could be detected above -196°C (Figure 6). As it proved impossible to heat-treat this alloy to produce a transformable beta phase, it was not examined further.

A quantitative assessment of ductility and shape-memory has been made on the 0.8 mm sheet produced in the hot workability trials.

Samples of rolled sheet from each alloy were water quenched from 600°C (alloys 1 and 2) or 640°C (alloys 3 and 4), into water at 20°C. They were then deformed by bending at room temperature in the beta phase or in liquid nitrogen at -196°C , at which temperature beta had transformed to martensite.

Alloys 2 and 3 had virtually no ductility at room temperature. This can be explained from the microstructures; alloy 2 had a large grain size, alloy 3 contained the embrittling grain boundary phase. In both cases a small amount of ductility was observed by bending at -196°C . This was probably only about 1 per cent but the original shape was recovered when the specimens were reheated to room temperature. Because both alloys were so brittle it was impossible to make tensile specimens from the sheet.

Alloys 1 and 4 possessed far more satisfactory properties. Bend tests at room temperature on alloy 1 indicated a probable ductility of about 2 per cent, and at -196°C about 3 per cent before failure. The recovery of shape on heating was practically complete in the sample deformed at -196°C . Alloy 4 had even greater ductility at both room temperature and -196°C , although the recovery of shape on heating was not total because of the large amount of non-recoverable second phase present.

The maximum information from one tensile specimen was obtained by cooling through the M_s temperature after applying at 20°C successively larger constant stresses. As the stressed specimen cools under stress, the transformation of beta to martensite causes an elongation to take place, known as "transformation plasticity", which is proportional to the stress applied. When the load is released below the M_f and the specimen is reheated, the original dimensions are restored during heating through the A_s temperature, because of the shape-memory effect. Hence the test can be used to estimate ductility of the alloy at the M_s temperature and

accurately describes the heat recovery properties. Results of this test for alloys 1 and 4 are shown in Table II below. The strain values are as follows:

l_1 = strain at 20°C when constant stress applied.

l_2 = elongation strain when cooled through the M_s .

l_3 = strain when the stress was unloaded below the M_f .

H.R.S. = heat recoverable strain when reheated.

Eff. per cent = the efficiency of heat recovery

$$\frac{\text{H.R.S.}}{l_2 + (l_1 - l_3)} \times 100 \text{ per cent.}$$

The rise in M_s as the constant stress was increased is the usual behaviour in this test. The slight discrepancy between the M_s of alloy 1 in Table II and the earlier measurements using electrical potential is a consequence of the greater sensitivity of the electrical method.

The heat-recoverable properties were excellent, especially for alloy 4 considering the large amount of second phase present. The results also indicate that the ductility at the M_s was about 2 per cent for alloy 1 and 3 per cent for alloy 4. Further specimens of alloy 4 were used for the determination of the stress-strain curve at 20°C and -65°C (the M_s temperature), with the results shown in Table III.

The total elongation at the M_s temperature was almost 6 per cent, although the specimen failed

prematurely in the grips. This also indicates that the specimen of alloy 4 in Table II failed prematurely because previous experience has shown that the maximum elongation achieved on cooling under stress through the M_s is slightly greater than with isothermal deformation. It is certain that by adjusting composition and optimising the thermal and mechanical treatment it would be possible to reduce the grain size of alloys 1 and 4 and thus increase toughness.

Summary and Conclusions

The objective of this limited examination of the shape-memory properties in gold-copper-zinc alloys has been to demonstrate that shape-memory occurs in a wide range of compositions in which there is the flexibility to vary composition to optimise other important properties such as hot workability, mechanical strength and ductility at ambient temperature.

From a prediction of the unknown phase diagram and a rationalisation of the known data on the transformation behaviour of some Au-Cu-Zn alloys, the hypothetical M_s composition contours have been estimated in Figure 1. Alloys chosen on this basis have shown this diagram to be roughly true. The errors arise from the fact that the beta phase is more restricted than was estimated.

The uniqueness of this system is that the locus of the maximum M_s temperature forms a ridge at roughly equiatomic concentrations of gold and

Table II
(a) Transformation Plasticity and Heat Recovery of Alloy 1

Constant Stress		l_1 per cent	l_2 per cent	l_3 per cent	H.R.S. per cent	Eff. per cent	M_s °C
lbf/in ²	MNm ⁻²						
5,000	34.5	0.05	0.20	0.05	0.20	100	-125
10,000	68.9	0.20	0.95	0.20	0.90	95	-118
12,500	86.2	0.30	1.20	0.30	1.15	96	-111
15,000	103.4	0.40	1.60	0.35	1.55	94	-111
17,000	117.2	0.50	specimen failed		—	—	—

(b) Transformation Plasticity and Heat Recovery of Alloy 4

Constant Stress		l_1 per cent	l_2 per cent	l_3 per cent	H.R.S. per cent	Eff. per cent	M_s °C
lbf/in ²	MNm ⁻²						
6,000	41.4	—	0.25	—	0.25	100	—
11,000	75.8	0.05	1.05	0.05	1.00	95	-43
15,000	103.4	0.30	1.35	0.25	1.40	100	-48
17,500	120.7	0.60	1.70	0.35	1.75	90	-29
22,000	151.7	0.55	2.40	0.55	2.15	90	-26
25,000	172.4	0.65	Specimen failed		—	—	—

Table III
Tensile Tests on Alloy 4

Temperature °C	Yield Stress		0.5 per cent Proof Stress		U.T.S.		Total Elongation at failure per cent
	lbf/in ²	MNm ⁻²	lbf/in ²	MNm ⁻²	lbf/in ²	MNm ⁻²	
20	7,500	51.7	17,500	120.7	33,000	227.4	3.75
—65	6,000	41.4	11,000	75.8	29,000	202.6	5.75*

*Premature failure in grips

copper extending in the general direction of increasing zinc content. This means that for a particular M_s temperature (which defines the critical temperature below which the alloy has shape memory), there is the choice of a gold or copper-rich alloy.

Alloys 1 and 2 represent two gold-rich alloys. While they both could be satisfactorily hot-worked, the alloy richer in zinc (alloy 2) was brittle at room temperature. Alloy 1 showed some ductility and had a satisfactory shape memory.

Alloy 3, richer in zinc and approximately along the ridge of maximum M_s temperature, contained the

Thus this research has shown that commercially useful alloys can be found in the region of 22 atomic per cent Au, 33 atomic per cent Cu and 45 atomic per cent Zn (46 weight per cent gold, 22 weight per cent copper and 31 weight per cent zinc).

The alloys most stable at 200°C were found close to the pseudo-binary single beta phase field and on the gold-rich side of the ridge. It will be necessary to compromise between stability and ductility in the gold alloys depending on the application envisaged.

Table IV summarises the qualitative assessment of the properties of the alloys investigated.

Table IV
Qualitative Assessment of Properties of the Au-Cu-Zn Alloys Examined

Alloy Number	Structure	Hot Workability	Ductility at 20°C and M_s	Heat Recovery
1	single phase beta	fair	fair	good
2	single phase beta	poor	poor	good
3	duplex beta+gamma	poor	poor	good
4	duplex beta+alpha	good	good	good
5	duplex beta+alpha	very good	not examined	not examined

brittle gamma phase and was of little interest. Zinc contents as high as 49 atomic per cent are too high to be of commercial interest.

The most attractive alloy was alloy 4 on the copper-rich side of the ridge. This actually contained some alpha phase, i.e. a higher zinc content could have been tolerated. The alpha phase did not detract from its shape-memory performance and may well have contributed to the increased ductility. It is worth noting that this contained as much zinc as the brittle alloy 2, and demonstrates the greater ductility of the alloys on the copper-rich side of the ridge. Alloy 5 was so deficient in zinc that the alpha phase predominated and though very ductile the alloy had no shape-memory. All alloys had a pale colour approaching that of white gold.

The best properties were obtained with the essentially single phase beta alloy 1 in the gold-rich alloys, and with the duplex structure of alloy 4 in the copper-rich alloys.

References

- 1 G. B. Brook, *Gold Bull.*, 1973, **6**, (1), 8-11
- 2 G. B. Brook and R. F. Iles, *British Patent* 1,346,047
- 3 H. Pops and T. B. Massalski, *Acta Metall.*, 1967, **15**, (11) 1770-1772
- 4 N. Nakanishi, Y. Murakami and S. Kachi, *Scripta Metall.*, 1971, **5**, (5), 433-439
- 5 Y. Murakami, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan*, 1972, **33**, (5), 1350-1360
- 6 Y. Murakami, H. Asano, N. Nakanishi and S. Kachi, *Japan J. Appl. Phys.*, 1967, **6**, (11), 1265-1271
- 7 N. Nakanishi, Y. Murakami, H. Takehara, Y. Senda, H. Sugiyama and S. Kachi, *Japan J. Appl. Phys.*, 1968, **7**, (3), 302-303
- 8 N. Nakanishi, Y. Murakami and S. Kachi, *Scripta Metall.*, 1968, **2**, (12), 673-675