problem, we usually pass an introducer ("bougie") into the trachea through the ETT under vision and then guide the straight ETT into the trachea – under vision, this does require an extra maneuver.

Akihiro Suzuki MD PhD Takayuki Kunisawa MD PhD Hiroshi Iwasaki MD PhD Asahikawa Medical College, Hokkaido, Japan E-mail: masuikasuzuki@yahoo.co.jp Accepted for publication May 23, 2007.

### Reference

 Koyama J, Aoyama T, Kusano Y, et al. Description and first clinical application of AirWay Scope for tracheal intubation. J Neurosurg Anesthesiol 2006; 18: 247–50.

# In-line head and neck positioning facilitates tracheal intubation with the Airway Scope

## To the Editor:

The Airway Scope, a new videolaryngoscope for tracheal intubation, has an anatomically shaped blade that provides a view of the glottis through a non-lineof sight view with minimal airway manipulation. In contrast, the conventional Macintosh laryngoscope requires certain airway manipulation for laryngeal exposure, which involves alignment of the oral, pharyngeal and tracheal axes. To align these three axes, a pillow is placed under the head to facilitate direct exposure of the larynx. With respect to the Airway Scope, the importance of such a step is not clear. We report here the results of a study performed on a manikin to describe the preferable head and neck positioning for laryngeal exposure using the Airway Scope. This evaluation was performed as a part of our previously reported investigation.<sup>1</sup> In all, 40 operators intubated a 7-mm tracheal tube into the trachea of a manikin (Airway Management Trainer, Laerdal Medical Japan). Intubations with the aid of the Airway Scope were performed in both an in-line head and neck position without a pillow and in the sniffing position with a pillow (occipital elevation by 7 cm). The mean time required for accurate instrumentation was significantly shorter in an in-line head and neck position  $(8.9 \pm 3.1 \text{ sec})$  than in the sniffing position  $(14.0 \pm 10.8 \text{ sec}, P < 0.01, \pm \text{SD}, \text{ paired } t \text{ test})$ . Our finding suggests that the device is particularly well suited for use in patients with an in-line head and neck position for proper airway management especially in

trauma patients with possible injury of the cervical spine where movement of the head and neck might be undesirable. Placement of a pillow under the head for the sniffing position during tracheal intubation with the Airway Scope does not seem to provide any advantage or speedier instrumentation.

Yoshihiro Hirabayashi MD Jichi medical University, Tochigi, Japan E-mail: yhira@jichi.ac.jp Support was provided solely from institutional and/ or departmental sources. The author has no affiliation with any manufacturer of any devices described in the manuscript. *Accepted for publication May 25, 2007.* 

#### Reference

 Hirabayashi Υ. Airway Scope versus Macintosh laryngoscope: a manikin study. Emerg Med J 2007; 24: 357–8.

# Failure of the Airway Scope to reach the larynx

The Airway Scope (AWS) is a useful and possibly promising device for orotracheal intubation in a patient with a difficult airway,<sup>1</sup> because it provides an excellent view of the glottis without requiring alignment of the oral, pharyngeal, and laryngeal axes.<sup>2</sup> We, however, encountered a case in which the INTLOCK, the blade of the AWS, could not proceed beneath the epiglottis, and consequently the AWS failed to intubate the patient's trachea, probably because the distance from the mouth to the larynx of the patient was longer than the designed length of the INTLOCK.

A 74-yr-old man presented to the intensive care unit (ICU) because of respiratory failure from pneumonia one month after total gastrectomy. He had presented a Cormack and Lehane grade 3 view with a Macintosh laryngoscope on the day of the surgery. His trachea had been intubated using the McCoy laryngoscope (blade #4) with intense cricoid pressure according to the anesthetic chart.

In the ICU, since a Macintosh laryngoscopy again failed to expose the patient's glottis, we attempted to use the AWS for intubation. The INTLOCK was inserted into the pharynx without any difficulty, but the tip of the INTLOCK consistently advanced into the vallecula, and would not feed beneath the epiglottis. Although we could visualize the glottic opening under the epiglottis on the monitor of the AWS, we were unable to advance the tracheal tube into the