

spinal anesthesia in obstetrics. *Anesthesiology* 1965; 26: 340–53.

- 3 Kim YI, Mazza NM, Marx GF. Massive spinal block with hemiparalysis after a "test dose" for extradural analgesia. *Anesthesiology* 1975; 43: 370–2.
- 4 Etherington-Wilson W. Specific gravity of the cerebrospinal fluid. *BMJ* 1943; 2: 165–7.

### REPLY

Thank you for giving us the opportunity to respond to Drs. Foster and Marx's letter.

Lidocaine 1.5% with epinephrine, as used by us in the patient in question<sup>1</sup> is reported to be "isobaric" by the manufacturers. We agree that this solution would become hypobaric at body temperature. Some of the signs and symptoms in our patient can be explained by this phenomenon.

We also agree with these authors recommending that epidural test doses should not be administered with the patient in a sitting position when the administration of the block is difficult.

Neelkanth V. Palka MD FFARCS  
Randal C. Boudreaux MD  
Aparna V. Mankad MD  
Department of Anesthesiology  
University of South Alabama Medical Center  
Mobile, AL 36617

### REFERENCE

- 1 Palkar NV, Boudreaux RC, Mankad AV. Accidental total spinal block: a complication of an epidural test dose. *Can J Anaesth* 1992; 39: 1058–60.

## Accidental total spinal block (3)

To the Editor:

I would like to challenge the statement by Palkar *et al.*<sup>1</sup> that 15 µg epinephrine must be added to epidural test solutions to rule out intravascular injection. Although this may well be true for young, calm or premedicated adult surgical patients not receiving β-blockers, this cannot be extrapolated to other patient groups such as pregnant, in particular, labouring women.

In order to be clinically useful, an intravascular injection of an epinephrine containing test dose must consistently produce tachycardia in a patient who has an otherwise stable heart rate. Chestnut<sup>2</sup> found that 50% of labouring women had at least one spontaneous heart rate acceleration during the period of epidural placement. Injecting either saline or 15 µg epinephrine *iv* into labouring women Leighton<sup>3</sup> found the heart rate response to be neither specific nor sensitive. In the group receiving saline, 20% had an increase in heart rate, yet only 50% of those actually given epinephrine showed an increase.

In addition, the test dose must be safe, both for the mother and fetus. Hood<sup>4</sup> showed intravenous solutions

containing 10–20 µg injected into pregnant ewes consistently decreased uterine blood flow to 55–65% of control, but without evidence of fetal compromise. However, Leighton<sup>3</sup> demonstrated signs of fetal distress in two of ten patients receiving *iv* epinephrine. In addition, she questioned the safety of epinephrine in pre-eclamptic patients.

Clearly the role of epinephrine in the obstetric epidural is controversial. Many centres, ours included, do not routinely use an epinephrine containing test dose in pregnant patients. The alternatives to test for intravascular catheter placement are either to use an air test dose with a precordial Doppler monitor, or a plain local anaesthetic test dose sufficient to have a reasonable probability of eliciting mild systemic symptoms should *iv* injection occur, without leading to too high a block in the average patient in the event of an unintentional subarachnoid injection. Such a test dose would be 3 ml of 1.5 or 2% lidocaine.

However, as this case report showed, high spinal blockade can occur with as little as 45 mg subarachnoid lidocaine. This illustrates that even the most conscientiously planned test dose does not replace a high index of suspicion regarding catheter placement, slow titration of epidural local anaesthetic, vigilance, and preparedness of the unexpected.

Simon J. Lucy MD FRCPC  
Department of Anaesthesia  
St. Boniface General Hospital  
Winnipeg, Manitoba

### REFERENCES

- 1 Palkar NV, Boudreaux RC, Mankad AV. Accidental total spinal block: a complication of an epidural test dose. *Can J Anaesth* 1992; 39: 1058–60.
- 2 Chestnut DH, Owen CL, Brown CF, Vandewalker GE, Weiner CP. Does labour effect the variability of maternal heart rate during induction of epidural anesthesia? *Anesthesiology* 1988; 68: 622–5.
- 3 Leighton BL, Norris MC, Sosis M, Epstein R, Chayen B, Larijani GE. Limitations of epinephrine as marker of intravascular injection in labouring women. *Anesthesiology* 1987; 66: 688–91.
- 4 Hood DD, Dewan DM, James FM. Maternal and fetal effects of epinephrine in gravid ewes. *Anesthesiology* 1986; 64: 610–3.

### REPLY

We thank Dr. Lucy for showing interest in our Case Report recently published in your Journal.<sup>1</sup> There is no "ideal" test dose and the controversy over its volume and composition still continues.<sup>2–6</sup> We agree with Dr. Lucy that even the most conscientiously planned test dose does not replace a high index of suspicion regarding the catheter placement, vigilance and preparedness of the unexpected. In fact, Chestnut *et al.*<sup>6</sup> put