Perioperative myocardial ischaemia

The goal of anaesthetic management in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) is the prevention of perioperative myocardial ischaemia. This approach is based on the assumption that sustained intraoperative ischaemia may precipitate postoperative myocardial infarction (MI) with its attendant complications. This presentation will review available information regarding perioperative ischaemia, and discuss its possible relationship to postoperative MI.

Detection of ischaemia

Acute myocardial ischaemia caused by coronary occlusion results in a predictable sequence of events known as the ischaemic cascade.¹ The earliest manifestation of ischaemia is diastolic myocardial dysfunction, followed by systolic dysfunction, electrocardiographic (ECG) changes, and angina. The entire sequence develops in less than a minute. Perioperatively, ischaemia is usually diagnosed when either abnormal ventricular contraction or ECG abnormalities are detected.

Ischaemia impairs myocardial contraction and causes ventricular regional wall motion abnormalities (RWMA). These may be detected noninvasively by cardiokymography² or radionuclide angiography.³ Transoesophageal echocardiography (TEE) is relatively noninvasive and may be the most sensitive technique for the detection of intraoperative myocardial ischaemia.⁴ Unfortunately, TEE can only be used after the induction of anaesthesia. The specificity of RWMA detected by TEE is unknown. Some new RWMA may reflect altered ventricular loading rather than ischaemia.⁵

The ECG is a relatively insensitive monitor, detecting fewer than 60% of ischaemic episodes.^{2,4-9} However, when it is rigorously interpreted, the ECG is a relatively specific index of ischaemia.¹⁰ It has the additional advantage of being inexpensive, noninvasive, and universally available. Recent technological advances, including continuous ambulatory monitoring and computerized ST-segment analysis have facilitated the diagnosis of ischaemia, and broadened our understanding of ischaemic patterns in patients with CAD^{11,12}

Ischaemia in nonsurgical patients

The issue of perioperative myocardial ischaemia is best understood in the context of the usual ischaemic pattern in nonsurgical patients with CAD.¹³ Continuous ambulatory Ian R. Thomson MD

ECG monitoring reveals that the average patient with CAD experiences several ischaemic episodes daily.¹⁴ These episodes usually last several minutes but occasionally persist for hours. Ischaemia is most frequent after awakening in the morning.15 This diurnal pattern is relevant in view of the usual timing of CABG. Most ischaemia is not classical effort-related angina. Ischaemia is usually "silent" (i.e. asymptomatic), unrelated to physical exertion, and not preceded by systemic haemodynamic changes which alter myocardial oxygen balance. Silent ischaemia is accompanied by new myocardial perfusion defects, and may reflect acute reductions in myocardial blood flow caused by increased coronary vascular resistance.¹⁴ Frequent or severe silent ischaemia is associated with adverse outcome (e.g. infarction, revascularization, or death) in patients with unstable angina or recent MI.16,17

Incidence

During coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG), up to 67 per cent of patients experience an ischaemic episode between induction of anaesthesia and cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB).^{2,8,9,11,18-30} The median reported incidence of ischaemia is 22 per cent. Because prebypass ischaemia frequently follows noxious stimuli like intubation and sternotomy, it traditionally has been attributed to the stress of anaesthesia and surgery. However, Knight et al. monitored the ECG for 48 hr before and following surgery in patients undergoing CABG.11 Ischaemia occurred preoperatively in 42 per cent of patients and intraoperatively in 18 per cent. Preoperative ischaemia predicted intraoperative ischaemia, and the frequency of ischaemic episodes in these two periods was not different (0.09 vs 0.11 episodes hr⁻¹). Thus, prebypass ischaemia may reflect the "preoperative ischaemic pattern," rather than the stress of anaesthesia and surgery. Despite revascularization, ischaemic ECG changes and/or new RWMA occur in 40 per cent of patients following myocardial reperfusion.^{11,31} The aetiology of postoperative ischaemia is unclear.

A similar ischaemic pattern occurs during noncardiac surgery in patients with either known CAD or significant

Department of Anaesthesiology, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada.

risk factors.^{4-6,32-43} The median incidence of intraoperative ischaemia is 36 per cent, with frequent episodes during intubation, surgical stimulation, and emergence. Ischaemia is not more frequent intraoperatively than preoperatively,^{39,41,42} but may be more frequent postoperatively.⁴³

Predictors

Coriat *et al.*³² noted that, among patients with CAD undergoing noncardiac surgery, ischaemia was more common in those with severe disabling angina (Class III or IV). Patients with poor left ventricular function may also be at increased risk.¹⁹ However, recent studies of patients undergoing noncardiac surgery indicate that ischaemic ECG changes occur as frequently among patients at risk of CAD (i.e. two or more major risk factors) as among those with known CAD.⁴¹⁻⁴³ However, the specificity of ischaemic ECG changes in the "at risk" group is unclear. Patients at risk of CAD may require more extensive monitoring than they have traditionally received.

Pathophysiology of perioperative ischaemia

Traditionally, perioperative ischaemia has been attributed to systemic haemodynamic changes which unfavourably alter myocardial oxygen balance (e.g. tachycardia, decreased coronary perfusion pressure). This view is outmoded. For example, Slogoff found that over 50 per cent of prebypass ischaemia was unrelated to tachycardia. hypertension, hypotension, or an acute change in heart rate or blood pressure.^{21,22} Knight et al. extended those findings to the entire perioperative period. 14 Preoperative ischaemia was usually silent and not associated with abnormal haemodynamics or acute haemodynamic change. Intraoperatively and postoperatively, 50-60 per cent of ischaemia was not haemodynamically related. As in nonsurgical patients, perioperative ischaemia seems to be precipitated most frequently by increased coronary vascular resistance.

The fact that ischaemia is frequently unrelated to abnormal systemic haemodynamics should not cause anaesthetists to abandon the principle of tight haemodynamic control in patients with CAD. Abnormal haemodynamics, particularly tachycardias, remain an important cause of perioperative ischaemia. Prospective studies have demonstrated that anaesthetic regimens which increase heart rate are associated with a higher incidence of myocardial ischaemia than those which prevent tachycardia.⁴⁴ Haemodynamically related ischaemia has been particularly common during noncardiac surgery where anaesthetic depth may be less, and intraoperative myocardial revascularization does not occur.^{33–37} After noncardiac surgery, postoperative myocardial ischaemia is often associated with tachycardia.^{42,43,45}

In patients undergoing CABG, Slogoff noted ischaemia twice as frequently in patients with tachycardia (HR > 90–100 bpm).^{22,23} Knight *et al.*¹¹ achieved better prebypass haemodynamic control than Slogoff, and noted less prebypass ischaemia (18 vs 42 per cent). When the same group administered anaesthesia "under strict haemodynamic control," the incidence of prebypass ischaemia decreased to 2 per cent.²⁶ Paradoxically, "strict haemodynamic control" may decrease the incidence of ischaemia which is unrelated to haemodynamics. Let us assume that ischaemia results from increased coronary vascular resistance in patients with limited coronary reserve. By optimizing myocardial oxygen balance, haemodynamic control increases coronary reserve, so that ischaemia is less likely to occur when increased coronary resistance decreases oxygen delivery. Simply put, vasospasm might be less likely to provoke ischaemia when heart rate is 50 bpm than when it is 100 bpm.

Prevention

If coronary vasospasm were a frequent cause of perioperative myocardial ischaemia, then drugs which relax vascular smooth muscle might reduce the incidence. Intravenous nitrates have proved effective in some studies.^{33,34,46} but not in others.^{47,48} Suprisingly, calcium entry blockers (CEB) have proved relatively ineffective. In an uncontrolled study, Slogoff found that patients taking CEB preoperatively had the same incidence of prebypass ischaemia as patients who received no antianginal medication (56 vs 51 per cent).²³ However, patients taking betaadrenergic blockers (BB) had a significantly lower incidence of tachycardia and ischaemia (37 per cent). Similar studies have confirmed Slogoff's findings.18,27,36,45 The reason for the inefficacy of preoperative CEB is unclear.18 Controlled prospective trials are needed. It is also surprising that BB therapy, which theoretically might cause coronary spasm, effectively prevents both haemodynamically related and unrelated ischaemia. These observations suggest an important role for tachycardia in the pathogenesis of perioperative ischaemia whether haemodynamically related or unrelated (see above).

Preoperative beta-blockade may partially explain the differing incidences of ischaemia reported by various authors. In patients undergoing CABG, Slogoff²³ noted a 25 per cent incidence of ischaemia upon arrival in the operating room, whereas Chung¹⁸ noted a four per cent incidence and Knight¹¹ found none. Only 32 per cent of Slogoff's patients received a BB immediately preoperatively, compared with 75 and 72 per cent in the other studies.

Thomson: PERIOPERATIVE MYOCARDIAL ISCHAEMIA

No specific anaesthetic regimen (e.g., volatile vs narcotic) has been demonstrated prospectively to reduce the incidence of perioperative ischaemia.^{9,24,26} However, adequate prospective studies have not yet been performed.

Significance of ischaemia

Perioperative myocardial ischaemia, detected by ECG or TEE, predicts adverse cardiac events, including MI, pulmonary oedema, and death, both after CABG, and noncardiac surgery. Slogoff performed a multivariate analysis of data from 1518 patients undergoing CABG.^{21,22} Prebypass myocardial ischaemia, detected by ECG, was the most important predictor of postoperative MI (sensitivity 0.68, specificity 0.58), followed by the duration of aortic cross-clamping, and the surgeon's assessment of the quality of revascularization. Infarction was three times more likely in patients with prebypass ischaemia. Cheng et al. found that perioperative infarction, detected by positron emission tomography, was predicted by prebypass ischaemic ECG changes (sensitivity and specificity 0.71).²⁵ Knight et al. noted adverse cardiac events in 23 per cent of patients with ECG evidence of perioperative ischaemia, but no adverse outcomes in nonischaemic patients.11

In patients undergoing vascular surgery, Smith *et al.* noted a significant association between new RWMA, detected by TEE, and postoperative MI.⁴ In high-risk patients undergoing major noncardiac surgery, London noted a 26 per cent incidence of adverse cardiac events among patients who developed new intraoperative RWMA, and a one per cent incidence among those without TEE evidence of new ischaemia.⁵

Based on Slogoff's work, it has been suggested that prebypass myocardial ischaemia causes postoperative MI in patients undergoing CABG.⁴⁹ Supporting this hypothesis is experimental evidence indicating that repeated episodes of myocardial ischaemia provoke sustained ventricular dysfuntion and/or infarction in animals.^{50,51} This suggestion also agrees with the traditional bias of anaesthetists, and is attractive to investigators whose funding is based on the assumption that preventing perioperative ischaemia will reduce postoperative morbidity. However, there are cogent arguments against uncritical acceptance of the suggestion that prebypass ischaemia causes infarction.

Continuous ambulatory ECG monitoring indicates that ischaemia is no more frequent or severe intraoperatively, than it was preoperatively.^{11,26,30} It seems illogical that transient prebypass ischaemic events which do not lead to infarction in ambulatory patients would cause infarction after CPB. A transient episode of subendocardial ischaemia is a minor insult compared with the prolonged period of total ischaemia and surgical manipulation occurring during revascularization on CPB. In this regard, Knight *et al.* reported that although the preoperative ischaemic pattern predicted prebypass ischaemia, it did not predict ischaemia after myocardial reperfusion.¹¹

A review of the literature reveals significant uncoupling of ischaemia and infarction in various studies.⁵² For example, Slogoff noted a very high incidence of prebypass ischaemia, but a low incidence of infarction (43 and 4.2 per cent respectively).^{21,22} In contrast, Knight et al. reported a lower incidence of ischaemia but a higher incidence of infarction (18 and 12 per cent respectively).11 If ischaemia caused infarction, then elimination of perioperative ischaemia ought to reduce the incidence of postoperative MI. Yousif et al. randomly assigned 100 CABG patients to receive a continuous infusion of either isosorbide dinitrate or placebo for 24 hours prior to surgery.46 The incidence of ischaemia, detected by continuous ECG recording, was reduced by isosorbide infusion (0 vs 18 per cent), but the incidence of postoperative MI was not affected (18 vs 22 per cent).

A statistically significant relationship between two variables (e.g. ischaemia and infarction), does not prove cause and effect. It is equally possible that both are causally related to another variable (e.g. severity of CAD) which is responsible for the coincidental relationship. This is the most likely explanation of the association between ischaemia and infarction in CABG. Preoperative and/or prebypass ischaemia probably defines a population of patients with severe, diffuse, CAD. These same patients may present the surgeon with technical difficulties which lead to prolonged ischaemia, inadequate myocardial protection, and incomplete revascularization during bypass. It is possible that optimal anaesthetic management can reduce the incidence of prebypass ischaemia to zero without influencing the incidence of postoperative infarction.26

High-risk patients undergoing noncardiac surgery are a different population in which prevention of perioperative ischaemia might ultimately prove useful. Recent studies indicate that the postoperative period is associated with sustained tachycardia and an increased frequency and duration of ischaemia.^{39,41,43,45} Anecdotal reports indicate that morbid cardiac events may be preceded by a crescendo of silent ischaemia.^{53,54} Careful monitoring and treatment of postoperative ischaemia could conceivably reduce morbidity. However, it is not necessary to postulate a causal relationship between ischaemia and infarction to explain their association.

In noncardiac surgery, perioperative ischaemia which simply recapitulates a preoperative ischaemic pattern seems intuitively unlikely to cause postoperative infarction. This is especially true with regard to preoperative or intraoperative ischaemia which is temporally separated from postoperative infarction by several days. It seems more likely that perioperative ischaemia identifies a population of patients with severe CAD who are also at increased risk of postoperative infarction. However, the ischaemia may not cause the infarction. In the nonsurgical population acute MI is caused by coronary thrombosis. If this is the case after noncardiac surgery, then stress-related changes in blood coagulability which lead to thrombosis in patients with severe coronary artery stenosis are a more likely cause of infarction. Techniques such as epidural analgesia which produce sustained perioperative stress reduction may reduce the incidence of adverse cardiac events in high-risk patients undergoing noncardiac surgery.⁵⁵

Conclusions

Techniques which detect the mechanical and electrical manifestations of ischaemia are defining the incidence and temporal pattern of perioperative ischaemia. Preoperative ischaemia is more common than previously thought, tends to be silent, and is unrelated to systemic haemodynamic changes. Intraoperative ischaemia is often unrelated to haemodynamics, and may reflect the preoperative ischaemic pattern more than the stress of anaesthesia and surgery. Postoperative ischaemia in noncardiac surgery is also silent and may be prolonged and severe. Perioperative ischaemia is associated with adverse postoperative cardiac events both after CABG and noncardiac surgery. The possibility that perioperative ischaemia may cause postoperative infarction has not been ruled out. However, it is more likely that ischaemia and infarction are two unrelated manifestations of severe underlying coronary artery disease. Further investigations are needed to resolve this intriguing controversy.

References

- Nesto RW, Kowalchuk GJ. The ischemic cascade: temporal sequence of hemodynamic, electrocardiographic and symptomatic expressions of ischemia. Am J Cardiol 1987; 57: 23C-30C.
- 2 Bellows, WH, Bode RH, Levy JH, Föex P, Lowenstein E. Noninvasive detection of periinduction ischemic ventricular dysfunction by cardiokymography in humans: preliminary evidence. Anesthesiology 1984; 60: 155-8.
- 3 Giles RW, Berger HJ, Barash PG et al. Continuous monitoring of left ventricular performance with the computerized nuclear probe during laryngoscopy and intubation before coronary artery bypass surgery. Am J Cardiol 1982; 50: 735-40.
- 4 Smith JS, Cahalan MK, Benefiel DJ et al. Intraoperative detection of myocardial ischemia in high-risk patients:

electrocardiography versus two-dimensional echocardiography. Circulation 1985; 72: 1015-21.

- 5 London MJ, Tubau JF, Wong BS, Layug E, Mangano DT. The "natural history" of segmental wall motion abnormalities detected by intraoperative transoesophageal echocardiography: a clinically blinded prospective approach. Anesthesiology 1988; 69: A7.
- 6 Gewertz BL, Kremser PC, Zarins CK et al. Transoesophageal echocardiographic monitoring of myocardial ischemia during vascular surgery. J Vasc Surg 1987; 5: 607-13.
- 7 Ellis JE, Roizen MF, Aronson S et al. Comparison of two automated ST-segment analysis systems, EKG (including T wave inversion analysis), and transesophageal echocardiography for the diagnosis of intraoperative myocardial ischemia. Anesthesiology 1988; 69: A5.
- 8 Sell TL, Croughwell N, Camporesi E, Jones RH, Reves JG. Assessment of wall motion by radionuclide angiocardiography during endotracheal intubation. Anesthesiology 1987; 67: A27.
- 9 Kleinman B, Henkin RE. Glisson SN et al. Qualitative evaluation of coronary flow during anesthetic induction using thallium-201 perfusion scans. Anesthesiology 1986; 64: 157-64.
- 10 Deanfield JE, Ribiero P, Oakley K, Krikler S, Selwyn AP. Analysis of ST-segment changes in normal subjects: implications for ambulatory monitoring in angina pectoris. Am J Cardiol 1984; 54: 1321-5.
- 11 Knight AA, Hollenberg M, London MJ et al. Perioperative myocardial ischemia: importance of the preoperative ischemic pattern. Anesthesiology 1988: 68: 681-8.
- 12 Levin RI, Cohen D, Frisbie W et al. Potential for real-time processing of the continuously monitored electrocardiogram in the detection, quantitation, and intervention of silent myocardial ischemia. Cardiology Clin 1986; 4: 735-45.
- 13 Epstein SE, Quyyumi AA, Bonow RO. Myocardial ischemia – silent or symptomatic. N Engl J Med 1988; 318: 1038–43.
- 14 Deanfield JE, Selwyn AP, Chierchia S et al. Myocardial ischaemia during daily life in patients with stable angina: its relation to symptoms and heart rate changes. Lancet 1983; 2: 753-8.
- 15 Rocco MB, Barry J, Campbell S et al. Circadian variation of transient myocardial ischemia in patients with coronary-artery disease. Circulation 1987; 75: 395-400.
- 16 Gottlieb SO, Weisfeldt MI, Ouyang P, Mellits ED, Gerstenblith G. Silent ischemia as a marker for early unfavorable outcomes in patients with unstable angina. N Engl J Med 1986; 314: 1214-9.
- 17 Gottlieb SO, Gottlieb SH, Achuff SC. Silent ischemia on Holter monitoring predicts mortality in high-risk postinfarction patients. JAMA 1988; 259: 1030-5.

Thomson: PERIOPERATIVE MYOCARDIAL ISCHAEMIA

- 18 Chung F, Houston PL, Cheng DCH et al. Calcium channel blockade does not offer adequate protection from perioperative myocardial ischemia. Anesthesiology 1988; 69: 343-7.
- 19 Lieberman RW, Orkin FK, Jobes DR, Schwartz AJ. Hemodynamic predictors of myocardial ischemia during halothane anesthesia for coronary-artery revascularization. Anesthesiology 1983; 59: 36-41.
- 20 Moffit EA, Sethna DH, Bussell JA, Raymond M, Matloff JM, Gray RJ. Myocardial metabolism and hemodynamic responses to halothane or morphine anesthesia for coronary artery surgery. Anesth Analg 1982; 61: 979-85.
- 21 Slogoff S, Keats AS. Does perioperative myocardial ischemia lead to postoperative myocardial infarction? Anesthesiology 1985; 62: 107-14.
- 22 Slogoff S. Keats AS. Further observations on perioperative myocardial ischemia. Anesthesiology 1986; 65: 539– 42.
- 23 Slogoff S, Keats AS. Does chronic treatment with calcium entry blocking drugs reduce perioperative myocardial ischemia? Anesthesiology 1988; 68: 676-80.
- 24 Wilkinson PL, Hamilton WK, Moyers JR et al. Halothane and morphine-nitrous oxide anesthesia in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass operation: patterns of intraoperative ischemia. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1981; 82: 372-82.
- 25 Cheng DCH, Chung F, Burns RJ, Houston P, David T. Perioperative myocardial ischemia: predictor of infarction by technetium pyrophosphate-SPECT. Anesthesiology 1987; 67: A594.
- 26 Goehner P, Hollenberg M, Leung J, Browner W, Cason B, Mangano DT. Hemodynamic control suppresses myocardial ischemia during isoflurane or sufentanil anesthesia for CABG. Anesthesiology 1988; 69: A32.
- 27 Mitchell MM, Prakash O, Rulf ENR, Van Daele M, Cahalan MK. Does nitrous oxide induce myocardial ischemia in patients with poor ventricular function? Anesthesiology 1988; 69: A81.
- 28 Smith H, Nathan HJ. Failure to predict myocardial ischaemia in patients with coronary artery disease. Can J Anaesth 1988; 35: S80-1.
- 29 Wilton NCT, Luckoff C, Sachdev V, Kirsh M, Shea M. Silent pre-operative myocardial ischemia in surgical patients with ischemic heart disease. Anesthesiology 1987; 67: A591.
- 30 Wilton NCT, Marcus F, Michelson L, Kirsh M, Shea M. Silent myocardial ischemia in CABG patients: comparison of ischemia during sleep, following premedication, and peri-operatively. Anesthesiology 1988; 69: A52.
- 31 Leung J, O'Kelly B, Tubau J, Browner W, Mangano DT. Regional wall motion changes during CABG:

importance of the post-bypass period. Abstracts of the Annual Meeting of the Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists 1988; p. 100.

- 32 Coriat P, Harari A, Daloz M, Viars P. Clinical predictors of intraoperative myocardial ischemia in patients with coronary artery disease undergoing noncardiac surgery. Acta Anaesth Scand 1982; 26: 287–90.
- 33 Coriat P, Fusciardi J, Daloz M, Harari A, Ducardonet A, Viars P. Prevention of pre-operative myocardial ischemia with continuous nitroglycerin infusion. Ann Fr Anesth Reanim 1982; 1: 47–51.
- 34 Coriat P, Daloz M, Bousseau D, Fusciardi J, Echter E, Viars P. Prevention of intraoperative myocardial ischemia during noncardiac surgery with intravenous nitroglycerin. Anesthesiology 1984; 61: 193-6.
- 35 Godet G, Coriat P, Baron JF et al. Prevention of intraoperative myocardial ischemia during noncardiac surgery with intravenous diltiazem: a randomized trial versus placebo. Anesthesiology 1987; 66: 241-5.
- 36 London MJ, Hollenberg M, Wong MG et al. Intraoperative myocardial ischemia: localization by continuous 12lead electrocardiography. Anesthesiology 1988; 69: 232– 41.
- 37 Roy WL, Edelist G, Gilbert B. Myocardial ischemia during non-cardiac surgical procedures in patients with coronary-artery disease. Anesthesiology 1979; 51: 393-7.
- 38 Christopherson R, Beattie C, Manolio TA et al. Incidence of perioperative myocardial ischemia in patients having lower extremity vascular grafting. Abstracts of the Annual Meeting of the Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists 1988; p. 115.
- 39 Clements F, McCann R. Perioperative silent ischemia; prevalence and significance in patients undergoing peripheral vascular surgery. Abstracts of the Annual Meeting of the Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists 1988; p. 101.
- 40 Ellis JE, Roizen MF, Aronson S, Feinstein SB, Briller JE. Frequence with which ST segment trends predict intraoperative myocardial ischemia. Anesthesiology 1987; 67: A2.
- 41 Fegert G, Hollenberg M, Browner W et al. Perioperative myocardial ischemia in the noncardiac surgical patient. Anesthesiology 1988; 69: A49.
- 42 McIntyre RW, Campbell DQ, Baldwin B, Smith LR, Levin RI. Myocardial ischemia in patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists 1988; p. 117.
- 43 Wong MG, Wellington YC, London MJ, Layug E, Li J, Mangano DT. Prolonged postoperative myocardial ischemia in high-risk patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery. Anesthesiology 1988; 69: A56.
- 44 Thomson IR, Putnins CL. Adverse effects of pancuronium

CANADIAN JOURNAL OF ANAESTHESIA

during high-dose fentanyl anesthesia for coronary artery bypass grafting. Anesthesiology 1985; 62: 708-13.

- 45 Clements FM, Kok S, de Kok E, McCann R. Perioperative ischemia: Should we be less tolerant of tachycardia? Anesthesiology 1988; 69: A50.
- 46 Yousif H, Davies G, Westaby S, Prendiville OF, Sapsford RN, Oakley CM. Preoperative myocardial ischaemia: its relation to perioperative infarction. Br Heart J 1987; 58: 9-14.
- 47 Thomson IR, Mutch WAC, Culligan JD. Failure of intravenous nitroglycerin to prevent myocardial ischemia during fentanyl-pancuronium anesthesia. Anesthesiology 1984; 61: 385–93.
- 48 Gallagher JD, Moore RA, Jose AB, Botros SB, Clark DL. Prophylactic nitroglycerin infusions during coronary artery bypass surgery. Anesthesiology 1986; 64: 785-9.
- 49 Lowenstein E. Perianesthetic ischemic episodes cause myocardial infarction in humans – a hypothesis confirmed. Anesthesiology 1985; 62: 103-6.
- 50 Braunwald E, Kloner RA. The stunned myocardium: prolonged, postischemic ventricular dysfuntion. Circulation 1982; 66: 1146-9.
- 51 Geft I, Fishbein MC, Ninomiya K et al. Intermittent brief periods of ischemia have a cumulative effect and may cause myocardial necrosis. Circulation 1982; 66: 1150-3.
- 52 Lowenstein E. McPeek B. Capitalizing on research findings that appear to conflict. Anesthesiology 1988; 68: 668-70.
- 53 Dodds TM, Delphin E, Stone JG, Gal SG, Coromilas J. Detection of perioperative myocardial ischemia using Holter monitoring with real-time ST-segment analysis. Anesth Analg 1988; 67: 890-3.
- 54 Clements FM, McCann RL, Levin RI. Continuous ST segment analysis for the detection of perioperative myocardial ischemia. Crit Care Med 1988; 16: 710-1.
- 55 Yeager MP, Glass DD, Neff RK, Brinck-Johnsen T. Epidural anesthesia and analgesia in high risk surgical patients. Anesthesiology 1987; 66: 729-36.

S40