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#### Abstract

The MLL gene is a major player in leukemia, particularly in infant leukemia and in secondary, therapy-related acute leukemia. The normal $M L L$ gene plays a key role in developmental regulation of gene expression (including $H O X$ genes), and in leukemia this function is subverted by breakage, recombination, and chimeric fusion with one of 40 or more alternative partner genes. In infant leukemias, the chromosome translocations involving $M L L$ arise during fetal hematopoiesis, possibly in a primitive lymphomyeloid stem cell. In general, these leukemias have a very poor prognosis. The malignancy of these leukemias is all the more dramatic considering their very short preclinical natural history or latency. These data raise fundamental issues of how such divergent $M L L$ chimeric genes transform cells, why they so rapidly evolve to a malignant status, and what alternative or novel therapeutic strategies might be considered. We review here progress in tackling these questions. Int J Hematol. 2003;78:390-401.
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## 1. Introduction

The diverse chromosomal and gene abnormalities in leukemia help define biological subsets of the disease and impact prognosis and choice of treatment. Translocations involving chromosome band 11q23 are present in various hematologic malignancies including acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), acute myeloblastic leukemia (AML), and myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) but are particularly prevalent in two situations: infant leukemia ( age $<1$ year) [1] and treatment-related secondary leukemias (mostly AML) associated with previous exposure to topoisomerase-inhibiting chemotherapeutic drugs [2]. The presence of an 11q23 translocation itself is an indicator of poor prognosis. The gene rearranged in 11 q 23 translocations, $M L L$ (also called ALL-1, HRX, or HTRX) was first cloned from the breakpoint cluster region of 11q23 in 1991 [3-6]. MLL rearrangement can involve internal tandem duplication (see further

[^0]below) but characteristically $M L L$ joins up with a partner gene to form a chimeric fusion gene. Remarkably, approximately 40 genes are so far identified as alternative fusion partners for $M L L$ in leukemias $[7,8]$. In this review we summarize recent progress in understanding the biology of leukemias with $M L L$ gene rearrangement and new insights into the mechanisms of leukemogenesis.

## 2. Genomic Details of $M L L$ : Clues for Understanding the Mechanism of Translocation

The $M L L$ gene spans approximately 90 kb , consisting of 36 exons with nearly 12 kb coding sequences. From the latest studies of exon-intron structure of the $M L L$ gene [9-11], the total number of exons was revised and exon numbering is now different from that previously published [12,13]. However, as most of the reports still use the earlier exon numbering system, we do the same also.

In leukemic cells with $M L L$ gene rearrangement most of the genomic breakpoints cluster within a $8.3-\mathrm{kb}$ BamHI fragment encompassing exon 5 to 11 of the $M L L$ gene, the socalled breakpoint cluster region (bcr) [14] (Figure 1). $M L L$ bcr has several DNA motifs that are implicated in recombination of DNA, such as topoisomerase-II-binding sites [15] and Alu sequences [14]. In addition, a high-affinity scaffold attachment region (SAR) was identified within the telomeric


Figure 1. Exon/intron structure of the $M L L$ gene. $\mathrm{A}, M L L$ spans 90 kb in chromosome 11 band q 23 , consisting of 36 exons and 12 kb coding sequences. B, Physical map surrounding the breakpoint cluster region (bcr) of the $M L L$ gene. Narrow bars represent the introns, and black boxes represent the exons. The vertical arrows indicate the topoisomerase-II-consensus sites. High-affinity scaffold attachment region (SAR) exists within the telomeric region of bcr, weak affinity SAR at centromeric of bcr. Note that the breakpoint of infant/secondary leukemia and de novo leukemia (in non-infant children or adults) cluster in a different part of the bcr. B indicates Bam $\mathrm{HI} ; \mathrm{H}$, HindIII.
(3') region of the bcr, spanning from approximately the middle to the end of the ber region [15]. SARs are sites for binding of DNA to nuclear scaffold/matrix proteins, functioning to maintain the structure of chromosomal loops and to provide venues for regulation of transcription, DNA replication, and recombination [16,17]. Notably, most of the topoisomerase-II-consensus sites are clustered in the telomeric part of the $M L L$ bcr, overlapping the SAR [15].

The presence of these recombination-prone sequences in the small $M L L$ ber region indicates that rearrangement of the $M L L$ gene might be the result of DNA breakage/recombination events. Alu repeats and topoisomerase-II-binding sites are thought to be involved in the recombination mechanism in $M L L$ partial tandem duplications [18-20] and therapy-related $M L L$ gene rearrangement after prior exposure to drugs that target topoisomerase-II (etoposide, teniposide, and anthracyclines) [21], respectively. This relationship between topoisomerase-II inhibitors and $M L L$ gene breakage is supported by several studies showing in vitro induction of $M L L$ gene cleavage (but not recombination) by topo-II inhibitors in human hematopoietic cells [22-24]. Error-prone repair leading to $M L L$ translocations following gene breakage was also suggested from the scrutiny of sequences of $M L L$ fusion genes [25-27]. Possible mechanisms leading to $M L L$ translocations in secondary leukemia might include chromosomal breakage within the $M L L$ gene induced by topoisomerase-II inhibitors or other therapeutic agents, followed by error-prone DNA repair mechanisms, eg, nonhomologous end joining (reviewed in [28]). Cleavage of the $M L L$ gene was also shown to correlate to apoptotic stimuli $[29,30]$. A recent report showed that apoptotic stimuli not only caused double stand breaks in the $M L L$ gene but also produced MLL-AF9 genes in surviving cells [31].

There are significant differences between de novo and therapy-related acute leukemias in the distribution of genomic breakpoints within $M L L$ bcr [15]. In therapyrelated leukemias breakpoints tend to cluster in the telomeric part of the $M L L$ bcr, where most of the topoisom-erase-II consensus binding sites are present. In contrast, in de novo leukemias breakpoints cluster in the more centromeric region of $M L L$ bcr. The same biased distribution of gene breakpoints within $M L L$ bcr seen in treatment-related leukemias, ie, telomeric $M L L$ bcr, has also been seen in infant leukemias [32]. This observation indicated that the mechanisms of cleavage of the $M L L$ gene in infant leukemias might be similar to therapy-related, topoisom-erase-II inhibitor-induced secondary leukemias. This finding in turn has led to the suggestion that infant exposure to some unknown agents with topoisomerase-II inhibitor-like activity or similar functions might be the initiating event of $M L L$ rearranged infant leukemia. Considering that $M L L$ rearrangement takes place in utero in infant leukemia (see below) and that cells in the developing fetus have a high degree of cell turnover, in utero exposure to drugs, foods, or environmental factors that inhibit topoisomerase-II is considered a plausible initiating factor of $M L L$ gene rearrangements for infant leukemia [33,34].

## 3. MLL Gene Rearrangements In Utero

There is compelling evidence that the $M L L$ gene fusions characteristic of infant acute leukemia arise in utero. This is not surprising given the young age at diagnosis (average, 6 months for ALL), occasional congenital or neonatal presentations [35,36], and in one case, acute leukemia in a fetus that died at 36 weeks of gestation [37]. Definitive evidence
comes from two studies: an investigation of identical twins concordant for infant leukemia [38] and a retrospective scrutiny of archived neonatal blood spots (Guthrie cards) of infants with ALL [39]. Both studies exploited the fact that each patient with an $M L L$ gene rearrangement and fusion has a unique or clonotypic breakpoint at the DNA level. Three pairs of identical infant twins with $M L L$ fusion-positive ALL were found to share the same clonotypic but noninherited breakpoint, indicative of a single-cell origin. The only plausible interpretation of this is that $M L L$ fusion occurred in one fetus and the resultant clone spread to the other co-twin via intraplacental anastomoses [38,40]. Other twin infants with ALL or AML and with shared $M L L$ breakpoints have since been described [41,42].

Genomic fusion sequences of $M L L$ and its common partner gene in infant ALL, $A F 4$, were also used to screen the stored neonatal blood spots of 3 infants (aged 5, 6, and 24 months) with $M L L-A F 4$ positive ALL. In all 3, blood spots scored positively for the clonotypic fusion gene sequences. These data provide unequivocal evidence for an in utero origin of infant acute leukemia with $M L L$ fusion. Similar twin and Guthrie card studies and results have been obtained for childhood leukemia with other gene fusions, including $T E L$ $A M L 1$ and $A M L-E T O$ (reviewed in [28,40]). Clearly, these data endorse the likelihood that $M L L$ gene fusions are a consequence of transplacental exposures during pregnancy.

The possible nature of the relevant exposures of pregnant women that may cause $M L L$ gene breaks and chimeric fusions in the developing fetus is the subject of ongoing epidemiological investigations. The obvious parallel with $M L L$ gene-associated secondary leukemia suggested that chemically similar exposures might be responsible [33,34,38]. This idea is supported by the observation that known topoisom-erase-II inhibitors [22] and also dietary flavonoids [23] can cause DNA cleavage in the $M L L$ gene in vitro. There might therefore be many other natural or medicinal substances that can biologically act as topoisomerase-II inhibitors. Epidemiological data so far is limited. An association has been reported between excess intake during pregnancy of fruit, possibly reflecting flavonoid excess, and resultant infant leukemia [33]. An international collaborative study involving patients in Japan, South America, Italy, and other countries found the selective link between pregnancy exposure to pesticides, in particular propoxur (Baygon), or consumption during pregnancy of the drug dipyrone, known as Mexican aspirin, and infant leukemias that had $M L L$ gene rearrangements [43].

Inherited genetic background or allelic variation may alter susceptibility to $M L L$ gene breakage in the developing fetus. Vulnerability to infant leukemia, particularly infant ALL with $M L L-A F 4$, has been associated with low-function $\mathrm{NAD}(\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{H}$ quinone oxidoreductase (NQO1) alleles [44,45]. NQO1 detoxifies benzene metabolites and quinone ring-based chemicals (which include flavonoids). Loss of functional alleles in the enzyme methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) are also associated significantly with decreased risk of leukemia with $M L L$ translocations [46]. These genetic data are likely to be extended in the near future with the successful completion of the human genome project and the availability of new techniques for highthroughput single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) screen-
ing. The available data, however, strongly indicate that $M L L$ gene fusions driving the pathogenesis of infant leukemia are probably a consequence of transplacental chemical exposures of the developing fetal hematopoietic system, with risk being modulated by genetic and possibly dietary factors.

Infant ALL with MLL-AF4 fusions has a particularly poor clinical outcome, although the prognosis has been somewhat improved with more intensive induction chemotherapy including cytosine arabinoside (Ara-C) and bone marrow transplantation [1]. These leukemias respond poorly to lymphoid chemotherapy used to treat the common forms of childhood ALL, and one distinct possibility is that infant ALL is not in fact a lymphoid leukemia at all but rather originates in a lymphomyeloid or $\mathrm{B} /$ monocytic stem cell that is active in fetal hematopoiesis [1,28]. Evidence supporting this view comes from the lymphomyeloid phenotypes on single leukemic cells [47], myeloid gene expression profiles in microarray tests (see further below), patterns of drug sensitivity [1], and the observation of a rapid intraclonal switch from B lymphoid to monocytic cells in a congenital leukemia following administration of corticosteroid and Ara-C [36]. Modeling of leukemogenesis in mice via $M L L$ fusions with $M L L-G A S 7$ also provides convincing evidence for lymphomyeloid stem cells as potential targets for transformation [48].

## 4. Functions of Normal MLL

The protein product encoded by $M L L$ has 3969 amino acids and a molecular weight of nearly 430 kd . MLL is the mammalian equivalent of Drosophila trithorax [5,6,12], which is a key developmental regulator of stable gene expression from $H O X$ and possibly other loci in humans, mice, and other vertebrate species $[49,50]$.

Important insights into the normal function of MLL protein have been obtained from several gene-targeting or mutation studies. In a gene knock-out study, mice with a single targeted allele (ie, MLL heterozygous) showed axial-skeletal abnormalities with disordered identity of cervical, thoracic, and lumbar regions and a disturbed pattern of $H O X A 7$ and $H O X C 9$ gene expression [49]. Another consistent abnormality observed in these mice was anemia and thrombocytopenia indicating hematopoietic progenitor dysfunction. The homozygote with no functional MLL allele is an embryonic lethal with completely absent expression of HOXA 7 and HOXC 9 in the embryo [49]. Hematopoietic progenitors from homozygous embryos showed impaired proliferative activity [51,52]. These studies indicate that MLL is a positive regulator of $H O X$ genes, probably via maintenance of gene expression rather than activation [50]. A critical role of MLL in hematopoietic development was further shown by experiments in vitro using hematopoietic progenitors from mice that were MLL null $[51,53]$ or mice with MLL mutations [52]. Proliferation and differentiation of hematopoietic cells without MLL were greatly impaired. $H O X$ gene expression has been identified in normal hematopoietic tissues including hematopoietic stem cells and confers a significant impact on proliferation and differentiation [54]. The hematopoietic dysfunction of MLL null cells is likely


Figure 2. Protein structure of MLL. Wild-type MLL is proteolytically cleaved into N-terminal and C-terminal moiety (MLL ${ }^{\mathrm{N}}$ and MLL ${ }^{\mathrm{C}}$ ). These 2 proteins interact with each other to confirm stability. MT indicates DNA methyltransferase homology region; PHD, PHD zinc fingers; TA, transactivation domain; SET, SET domain; SNL1 and 2, speckled nuclear localization signals 1 and 2. Dotted vertical arrow indicates the location of the breakpoint that occurs in leukemia with MLL gene translocation.
therefore to be attributed to deregulated patterns of HOX gene expression in hematopoietic stem cells or progenitors. The corollary is that this same transcriptional signaling pathway is probably corrupted as a consequence of $M L L$ gene fusion in leukemogenesis.

MLL protein possesses at least 4 functional domains that could confer significant functional activity (Figure 2): AT hooks, DNA methyltransferase homology domain (MT), PHD zinc finger, and C-terminal SET domain. In addition to these domains, MLL also has nuclear localization signals in its N-terminal that determine a punctate localization pattern of the protein in the nucleus [55,56]. Of these functional domains, AT hooks and MT domain have DNA-binding activity that could be involved in the regulation of gene transcription through direct binding to DNA. The AT hook has homology to the high-mobility group I (HMG-I) protein, which binds to AT-rich regions of the minor groove of the DNA. Similar to HMG-I, MLL can bind to AT-rich sequences $[57,58]$, but it recognizes DNA structure rather than specific consensus sequences. The MT domain is a cysteine-rich CXXC domain that is highly conserved among CpG methylation-related proteins such as DNMT1 and MBD1. Like these proteins, the MT domain of MLL has DNA-binding activity to CpG sequences, although only to unmethylated sequences, in contrast to MBD1, which binds methylated CpG sequences [59]. Although MLL can bind to CpG sequences, there is no evidence as yet for actual DNA methyltransferase activity conferred by MLL. The region of MLL including the MT domain is also known to have transcriptional repression activity [60] by recruiting repressor complex(es) such as HDAC or polycomb group proteins such as HPC2 or Bmi-1 [61].

Only a few reports concerning the PHD finger of MLL are available so far, and the precise functions of this evolutionarily conserved domain are still unknown. At least some part of transcriptional regulation/chromatin remodeling activity of MLL may be attributable to the PHD finger domain because most proteins with PHD fingers are components of chromatin remodeling/transcriptional regulation complexes [62]. One of the PHD fingers of MLL has homodimerization activity, although its significance is currently unclear [63]. In addition, nuclear cyclophilin Cyp33 can bind to the PHD finger of MLL, and overexpression of Cyp33 is known to have some effects on the expression pattern of $H O X$ genes [63]. MLL also has a transcriptional activation domain between the PHD fingers and C-terminal SET domain. This activation domain of MLL can bind directly to CBP and facilitate the binding of CBP with CREB to promote transcriptional activation [64]. The SET domain is also an evolutionarily highly conserved region and has activity as a lysine-directed histone methyltransferase that impacts on chromatin structure and transcriptional regulation of HOX genes $[65,66]$.

Full-length MLL protein is proteolytically cleaved into 2 parts (MLL N-terminal fragment MLL ${ }^{\mathrm{N}}$ and C-terminal fragment MLL ${ }^{\text {C }}$ ), and these 2 proteins need to interact with each other to avoid degradation and confer stability [67,68]. The cleavage sites within MLL and the interaction domain of $M_{L}{ }^{\mathrm{N}}$ with the MLL ${ }^{\mathrm{C}}$ fragment are located downstream of the MLL breakpoint cluster region and are thus deleted in MLL fusion proteins. The mechanism of degradation of $\mathrm{MLL}^{\mathrm{N}}$ protein is still not clear, but loss of the interacting domain with the MLL ${ }^{\text {C }}$ fragment in the MLL fusion protein could result in instability of the fusion protein. A common

Table 1.
MLL Fusion Partners*

| Gene | Chromosome Locus | Functions | Localization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Translocation partner genes of MLL (common) |  |  |  |
| AF4 | 4 q 21 | Transcriptional activator | N |
| AF6 | 6 q 27 | Maintenance of cell-cell junctions and cell polarity | C |
| AF9 | 9 p 22 | Transcriptional factor | N |
| AF10 | 10p12 | Transcriptional factor | N |
| ELL/MEN | 19p13.1 | RNA polymerase II transcription elongation factor | N |
| ENL | 19 p 13.3 | Transcriptional activator | N |
| Translocation partner genes of MLL (rare) |  |  |  |
| AFX | Xq13 | Forkhead transcriptional factor | N |
| Septin6 | Xq22 | Septin family | C |
| AF1p | 1 p 22 | Regulation of endocytosis | C, N |
| AF1q | 1 q 21 | NK | NK |
| LAF4 | 2 q 11 | Transcriptional activator | N |
| AF3p21 | 3 p 21 | NK | N |
| GMPS | 3 q 25 | Guanosine monophosphate synthetase | C |
| LPP | 3 q 28 | Regulation of cell motility and focal adhesion | C, N |
| AF5q31 | 5 q 31 | Transcriptional activator (?) | N (?) |
| GRAF | 5 q 31 | Negative regulator of RhoA | C |
| FKHRL1/AF6q21 | 6 q 21 | Forkhead transcriptional factor | N |
| AF9q34/DAB2IP | 9 q 34 | Ras GTPase-activating protein | C |
| FBP17 | 9 q 34 | NK | C |
| ABI1 | 10p11.2 | Regulation of endocytosis (?), cell motility | C |
| LCX /TET1 | 10q22 | NK | NK |
| CALM | 11q14-q21 | Regulation of endocytosis (assembly of clathrin coat to plasma membrane) | C, N |
| LARG | 11 q 23.3 | Activator of Rho GTPases | C |
| CBL | 11 q 23.3 | Negative regulator of receptor tyrosine kinases | C |
| GPHN | 14 q 24 | Gly and GABA receptors assembly, molybdenum cofactor biosynthesis | C |
| AF15q14 | 15 q 14 | NK | NK |
| MPFYVE | 15q14 | NK | NK |
| CBP | 16p13 | Transcriptional coactivator, histone acetylase | N |
| GAS7 | 17p13 | Actin assembly/crosslinking of actin filaments | C |
| AF17 | 17q21 | Transcriptional factor, up-regulated by $\beta$-catenin | N |
| LASP1 | 17q21 | NK | C |
| MSF/AF17q25 | 17 q 25 | Septin family | C |
| EEN | 19p13.3 | Regulation of endocytosis (?) | $C$ (?) |
| hCDCrel/AF22 | 22 q 11 | Septin family | C |
| p300 | $22 \mathrm{q13}$ | Transcriptional coactivator, histone acetylase | N |

* N indicates nuclear localization; C , cytoplasmic localization; NK, not known; (?), likely but not confirmed.
shared feature of MLL fusion partner proteins could be to stabilize this unstable MLL ${ }^{\mathrm{N}}$ fragment.


## 5. Promiscuity of MLL Fusions

MLL has approximately 40 reported fusion partners that appear to contribute to a variety of functional domains [1,7,8,69] (Table 1). All fusions in leukemia are in frame and are believed to produce a full-length hybrid protein with novel properties. Despite this extensive genetic diversity of fusion the MLL fusion proteins always include, at the N -terminus, the DNA-binding AT hooks and MT homology domains of MLL but not the PHD zinc finger, and SET domain [60] (Figure 3A). The differences between various MLL fusions therefore reside in the domains contributed by fusion partners. Some of the fusion partner genes encode nuclear protein with presumed transcriptional modulation activity. However,
a considerable number are cytoplasmic proteins with diverse functions. MLL fusion proteins form punctate patterns in the nucleus, regardless of whether the fusion partner itself is normally nuclear or cytoplasmic in origin [55,70] (M.E. et al, unpublished data). Several investigators have searched for structural and/or functional similarities among the different MLL partners. From the structural point of view, and with the exception of the homology shown by the group of AF4, LAF4, and AF5q31, the group of AF9 and ENL, the group of AF10 and AF17, the group of AFX and FKHRL1/AF6q21, and the group including MSF, septin6, and hCDCrel, sequence analysis does not reveal any universally shared or uniform features. These data highlight a conundrum: is there a common mechanism to explain the contribution of the many diverse partner genes in $M L L$ gene chimeras? Any explanation would need to accommodate the fact that MLL internal duplications can also be leukemogenic [71], but MLL truncation alone is not.


Figure 3. $A$, Schematic representation of wild-type and generic MLL mutant protein. N-terminal moiety of MLL is fused to the C-terminal portion of the fusion partner protein, or internally duplicated in leukemia. B, Schematic representation of MLL-GPHN fusion protein and wild-type GPHN. MT, SET, see legend of Figure 2; MoaB, MogA, and MoeA, regions in GPHN with sequence similarities to the respective Escherichia coli proteins involved in molybdenum cofactor biosynthesis. Arrows indicate the fusion site. Numbers refer to amino acid positions in wild-type MLL or GPHN. The black bar indicates the tubulin-binding site of GPHN (an oligomerization domain).

## 6. What Determines the Transforming Capacity of MLL Fusion Genes?

Obtaining in vivo and in vitro model systems to assess the transforming capacity of the fusion proteins has been important to elucidating the mechanism underlying leukemia with $M L L$ plus its translocation partners. Lavau et al first described an in vitro myeloid clonogenic assay using retroviralmediated transduction of murine bone marrow cells [72] (Figure 4). When an $M L L$ fusion gene is retrovirally transduced into lineage-depleted murine bone marrow cells, colonies are formed in myeloid-conditioned methylcellulose. Immortalized myeloid progenitors maintain self-renewal and clonogenic capacity during serial replating and give rise to leukemia when introduced to irradiated or SCID mice. In contrast, normal progenitor cells display loss in clonogenic potential after one round of replating. This method not only allows assessment of the transforming capacity of $M L L$ fusion genes in vivo and in vitro, but also facilitates mutational analyses for determining the minimal domains required for transformation.

The transforming capacity of MLL fusions with nuclear proteins as partners has been studied extensively using these retroviral gene transfer assays. MLL fusion between ENL, ELL, AF10, AFX, FKHRL1, and CBP all transform murine hematopoietic progenitors in the myeloid clonogenic assay [72-78]. When transferred into a mouse model, these different fusions result in leukemia development in vivo over variable time frames, with shorter latency noted for MLL-AF10 (mean 52 days) [75] compared with MLL-AFX (mean 185
days) [76]. One of the important conclusions from these studies is that neither N-terminal MLL alone or the C-terminal fusion partner alone was sufficient for transformation, indicating that dominant gain of function may be important [72].

Functional studies of the respective fusion proteins revealed that the minimal domains required for transformation display transactivation potentials [73-81]. This finding indicates that a common mechanism for transformation in some of MLL's nuclear protein fusion partners is to provide transcriptional effector domains to MLL, causing deregulation of its transcription-modulating functions. Further evidence comes from studies in which the transcriptional activation domain of herpes simplex virus VP16 conferred on MLL competence to transform murine primary bone marrow cells [82]. These data support the notion that a transcriptional domain may be an obligatory requirement for transformation by MLL fusion protein [76,77,79]. However, knock-in of an $M L L-\beta$-galactosidase (LacZ) gene also induced leukemia, albeit with a relatively long latency (approximately one third of mice leukemic by 18 months of age) [83]. LacZ itself has not been reported to have any transcriptional activity, but one possibly relevant feature of LacZ is its capacity to form tetramers [84]. The presence of this feature suggested the possibility that $3^{\prime}$ protein sequences contributed by some MLL fusion partners might provide regions that facilitate oligomerization of MLL which, in turn, alters $5^{\prime}$ MLL protein function, interaction with DNA for example, in such a way as to be transforming. The finding that $M L L$ can contribute to AML via duplication of exons rather than by chromosome translocation and


Figure 4. Experimental strategy for transduction of primitive myeloid progenitor cells. Murine bone marrow cells are harvested 5 days after 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) injection. Hematopoetic progenitors are enriched by lineage depletion of bone marrow cells, then transduced with a retroviral vector encoding an $M L L$ fusion gene sequence. Transduced cells were serially replated in methylcellulose medium containing cytokines, and also transplanted into SCID or sublethally irradiated synergic mice.
fusion [71] also implies that partner genes may provide some generic function that alters $M L L$ gene activity.

We have recently showed, using an MLL-GPHN fusion gene $[85,86]$ (Figure 3B) as a model, that the small oligomerization domain of GPHN is necessary and sufficient, as a fusion partner with MLL, to transform hematopoietic stem cells (M.E. et al, unpublished data). So et al also recently reported that two cytoplasmic fusion partners of MLL, AF1p and GAS7 with coiled-coil domains, induce dimerization of MLL and activate its transcriptional and oncogenic properties [87]. These studies suggest that transcriptional activity is not an obligatory requirement for a partner gene to transform hematopoietic cells, and also suggest that oligomerization of truncated MLL protein may be important, possibly resulting in inappropriate recruitment of proteins and consequent deregulation of gene expression (Figure 5).

## 7. The Brief Latencies of Infant and Secondary Leukemia: Is MLL Fusion Sufficient to Cause Leukemia?

A striking feature of the natural history of infant ALL and secondary leukemias with $M L L$ fusion genes is the remarkably brief preclinical natural history or latency. In the former, the average age at presentation is approximately 6 months [88]. For secondary leukemias with $M L L$ fusions, the average latency from introduction of therapy is approximately 26 months [89], and $M L L$ fusions are detectable very early in this period [90]. This brief latency is very unusual and perhaps unique for a malignant cancer. Coupled with the extremely high concordance of $M L L$ fusion gene-positive leukemia in twins, these data suggest that $M L L$ fusions are
powerfully leukemogenic. The very high twin concordance rate for leukemia in infants [40] implies that in the presence of a functional $M L L$ fusion, leukemia is inevitable and that all necessary genetic events occur prenatally. This finding has important etiological connotations. One biological explanation for this might be that the $M L L$ fusion gene-encoded protein has a pleiotypic or global impact on chromatin structure and gene expression, simultaneously corrupting several signaling pathways, ie, an $M L L$ chimeric gene is sufficient for leukemia. This was considered as a possible explanation of the infant twin data [38].

But there are other data that do not fit with this explanation. First, at diagnosis, leukemias with $M L L$ fusions frequently have additional detectable chromosomal abnormalities (and gene mutations) [91-93]. Second, animal modeling tells a different story. Arguably the best in vivo model for $M L L$ fusion-positive acute leukemia has until very recently been the $M L L-A F 9$ knock-in [94]. A striking feature of this and other in vivo murine models is, however, that latency is usually protracted ( $>9$ months). The authors of these reports comment that latency reflects the time required for necessary secondary genetic events to arise. This theory accords with a chicken model for $M L L$ fusion-positive leukemia in which MLL-ENL produced leukemia in vivo but only in combination with an activated kinase [95]. But these modeling observations ignore the paradox that the latency time frame is extraordinarily brief in the real clinical setting of $M L L$ fusion-positive infant leukemia or secondary leukemia. A recent report describes an inducible cre-lox translocation system in which somatic $M L L-E N L$ fusion can be generated in vivo [96]. A striking feature of this system is the high penetrance of leukemia ( $100 \%$ ) and a shorter average latency of
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Figure 5. Different mechanisms for regulating target gene(s) by MLL proteins. A, Wild-type MLL is cleaved into MLL ${ }^{\mathrm{N}}$ and MLL ${ }^{\mathrm{C}}$, which then interact with each other to form a multiprotein complex that can regulate $H O X$ (or other) genes by remodeling chromatin through histone acetylation, deacetylation, and methylation. Wild-type MLL is necessary to maintain HOX gene expression in hematopoietic progenitor cells. B, MLL chimeric protein with nuclear fusion partner containing the activation domain is depicted. MLL fusion protein binds to DNA through MLL moiety. Fusion protein can recruit transcriptional modulators through MLL and partner protein included in the chimera, causing constitutive activation of the target genes. C, MLL chimeric protein with some cytoplasmic fusion partners can force oligomerization, which can also cause constitutive activation of the target genes. MLL ${ }^{\mathrm{N}}$, MLL ${ }^{\mathrm{C}}$, N-terminal and C-terminal moiety of MLL; TA, translocation partner with transactivation domain; O, translocation partner with oligomerization domain.
approximately 2 to 3 months. This latency period is still relatively long in mice compared with human infants, but it is sufficiently brief for the authors to suggest (with reference to the twin studies) that $M L L$ gene fusion might, by itself, be sufficient to cause leukemia. The dilemma is then: are secondary mutations necessary to complement $M L L$ fusions and, if so, how is it possible for them to be acquired so rapidly?

Previous laboratory and epidemiological studies suggest a possible resolution of this conundrum [40]. The twin data suggest that all necessary genetic events for infant ALL are accomplished prenatally, ie, in a few weeks or months, and that $M L L$ gene fusion arises as a consequence of transplacental exposure to chemical carcinogens. Suppose therefore that:
(a) exposure to such chemicals during pregnancy (and in therapy preceding secondary leukemia) is chronic, and
(b) MLL fusion gene function includes both (1) a block to differentiation, probably via $H O X$ gene dysregulation [97,98] and (2) abrogation of some critical aspect of cell cycle checkpoints, DNA damage recognition, apoptosis, and repair.

Then:
the presence of an $M L L$ fusion gene-positive clone of preleukemic cells plus continued presence of the carcinogen would greatly increase the risk of secondary genetic events arising and/or of damaged cells surviving such damage. In


Figure 6. A hypothesis for brief latency in infant ALL. $M L L$ gene fusion arises in utero as a consequence of transplacental exposure to chemical carcinogens. The presence of a $M L L$ fusion gene positive clone of preleukemic cells with continued presence of the carcinogen would increase the risk of secondary genetic events arising in damaged cells surviving such damage. Note that the same hypothesis can be applied to therapy-related secondary leukemias with $M L L$ fusions.
the mouse model systems, the $M L L$ fusion transgene is continually expressed but the DNA-damaging agent is not. An experimental parallel, or precedent, might be the very much abbreviated latency of leukemia in p53 mutant mice exposed to low-dose X-irradiation [99], coupled with our earlier finding that in a p53 null background, cells that have been X-irradiated and that would otherwise have died now survive as clonogenic mutants [100].

Data indirectly supporting this functional model for MLL-associated leukemia come from Adler et al [101]. The GADD34 gene encodes a DNA damage-inducible factor that promotes apoptosis. MLL fusion protein appears to target and inhibit GADD34. This hypothesis (Figure 6) is currently being assessed in model systems using MLL-GPHN.

## 8. Clinical Implications

### 8.1. DNA Arrays as a Tool for Understanding Biology and Therapeutic Response

Although pediatric acute leukemia is a success story for cancer treatment [102], infant leukemias and de novo/ secondary leukemias with $M L L$ gene rearrangements, particularly infants with MLL-AF4, still have a relatively poor outcome [1,21,103-105]. A better understanding of the mechanism of leukemogenesis with $M L L$ gene fusions might identify novel targets for improved therapy. Gene expression profiling studies are a step in this direction [98,106-110]. From these studies $M L L$-positive leukemias are seen to have unique gene expression signatures. Interestingly, genes that have a functional role in early B-cell development are underexpressed in infant pro-B ALL with MLL fusions, and some genes that are expressed in hematopoietic progenitors, such as $F L T 3, L M O 2$, are highly expressed [98,106,107,109]. Some HOX genes such as HOXA9, HOXA5, HOXA4, and HOXC6 are expressed at high levels in leukemias with $M L L$ fusions [98,106, 107]. MEIS1, which encodes a cofactor for HOX proteins and is known to accelerate HOXA9-dependent leukemia, is also overexpressed [98,106,107]. Additionally Tsutsumi et al were able to recognize unique expression pro-
files that segregated infant ALL into good and very poor responders [109]. Genes whose expression provides this important distinction included the transcription factors $C B F 2$ and $C D P$. DNA microarrays provide therefore not only an incisive diagnostic tool to complement conventional karyotyping, fluorescence in situ hybridization, and reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction, but data that may suggest a biological rationale for treatment failure and identify molecular targets for novel therapies.

### 8.2. New Therapeutic Targets

There are some very encouraging precedents for targeted biological therapy in leukemia. In acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL) with the $P M L-R A R \alpha$ fusion gene, the aberrant protein product blocks differentiation of myeloid cells by recruiting repressor molecules such as histone deacetylase (HDAC) enzymes [111]. Successful targeted therapy for this type of leukemia exploits retinoic acid to reverse the block to normal myeloid cell development [112], or uses inhibitors for the HDAC repressor molecules [113]. The histone deacetylase inhibitors tricostatin and phenylbutyrate have been shown to reverse ETO-mediated transcription repression in AML1-ETO-positive AML [114]. These inhibitors have also been shown to restore retinoic acid-dependent transcriptional activation to cause terminal differentiation of the blasts [115]. $B C R-A B L$ fusion in Philadelphia chromosome-positive CML and ALL results in active Abl kinase enzyme. Targeted therapy for this type of leukemia uses STI-571 (Gleevec/imatinib mesylate), a selective inhibitor of Abl and related kinases, and has been very effective in CML $[116,117]$, despite the emergence of drug-resistant Abl mutations in some cases [118].

In $M L L$ positive ALL $16 \%-18 \%$ have mutations in the activation loop of Flt 3 , indicating that this may be a common secondary hit in $M L L$ leukemias [119,120]. In Flt3-mutated cases, constitutive activation of the kinase result in resistance to induction therapy. A recent report has shown that the Flt3specific inhibitor PKC412 can inhibit aberrant cell proliferation in vitro and in vivo [119], suggesting that Flt3 may be a viable target for some leukemias with $M L L$ fusions as well as for other leukemias (eg, many adult AMLs) in which Flt3 activation is implicated.

In diverse human cancers, hypermethylation of CpG islands in the promotors of tumor suppressor genes often exist [121,122], causing gene silencing. The demethylating agent 5-Aza-cytidine is an inhibitor of DNA methylation when incorporated into DNA [123]. This drug has worked successfully in MDS [124] and also was found to have a suppressive effect in cell lines bearing an $M L L$ gene rearrangement [125]. Clearly its potential in leukemia with MLL fusions merits further exploration.

Finally, recent findings suggest that one of the common mechanisms in leukemia is abnormal recruitment of histone deacetylase (HDAC)-containing complexes to promoters of target genes. The MT domain of MLL, which is also retained in the chimeric protein, is known to bind to HDAC complexes [61]. The role of HDAC in $M L L$ leukemia has not been fully clarified yet but HDAC inhibitors are candidate therapeutic molecules for these otherwise intransigent leukemias.
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