ERRATA-CORRIGE

Addendum to « The Definition and Ergodic Properties of the Stochastic Adjoint
of a Unitary Transformation »

by **N. Wiener** and **E. J. Akutowicz**, (pag. 205)

The Brownian motion processes occurring throughout the above-named paper should be understood to be *separable* Brownian motion processes.

The separability condition is tacitly used in § 4 where it is asserted that the paths $X(t, \alpha)$ are continuous except for a null α -set. Because conditions (2.2)-(2.5) on p. 207 are used to identify a process as a Brownian motion process, this continuity would not follow without the additional hypothesis that $X(t, \alpha)$ is separable. The process $Y(t, \alpha)$ occurring in the LEMMA in § 4 will then necessarily be separable.