Erratum

Level and Pythagoras number of some geometric rings

Louis Mahé

IRMAR, Campus de Beaulieu, F-35042 Rennes-Cedex, France

Math. Z. 204, 615-629 (1990)

Statements 1) and 3) of Theorem 4.1 are false. The correct statement of the theorem is the following

Theorem 4.1 Let A be a finitely generated R-algebra of Krull dimension $\leq d$, and f a totally positive element of A. Then

1) if $d \leq 3$, we have an identity

$$f[2^{d+1}-1] = 1 + 2^{d+1} - 1$$

2) if $d \leq 4$ and f is a unit in A, we have

$$f[2^d] = 1 + 2^d - 1$$

3) if $d \ge 4$, we have

$$f[2^d+7] = 1 + [2^{d+1} + d - 4]$$

4) if $d \ge 5$ and f is a unit in A, we have

$$f\left[2^d\right] = 1 + \left[2^d + d - 5\right].$$

Subsequently, Corollary 4.4 on the level has to be modified as follows:

Corollary 4.4 Let A be an R-algebra of transcendence degree d without any real point (i.e. Spec, $A = \emptyset$), then

1) if
$$d \le 4$$
 then $-1 = \boxed{2^{d+1} - 1}$
2) if $d \ge 5$ then $-1 = \boxed{2^{d+1} + d - 5}$.

Proof. Apply 2) or 4) of Proposition 4.3 to f = -1 which is a totally positive unit when Spec, $A = \emptyset$.

Also in Remark 4.5, if the level of a curve without any real point is actually less than 3 (because $2^1 + 1 = 2^2 - 1!$), the real bound for surfaces is 7 instead of 5. Nothing else in the paper is affected by this modification, except the proof of Theorem 4.1, which is the subject of Sect. 5.

We give below a complete replacement of the end of Sect. 5 starting from line 18 p. 624.

Let us prove part 1). Let d = tr.d.(A). If f is not a unit, it may be 0 in the function field K_i of level 2^d , of some complex irreducible component of A and in that case, we get $0=1+[2^d]$. Because 0 has the shape $f[2^{d-1}]$, we can

write $f[2^{d-1}] = 1 + [2^d]$ in every field K_i , and thus in the product $\prod K_i$.

In the 0-dimensional case, we have f[1]=1+1 in each K_i , and so in A. In dimension 1, with the above arguments, we obtain an identity

$$(1+1-f(1))^2+2-f(1)=0$$

in A. Applying Lemma 3.1 with X = 1 and $Y = \boxed{1} - f$, we get

$$1 + 1 - f(1) + (1 + 1) - f(1)(2 - f(1)) = 0$$

which gives

$$1 + 1 - f 1 + 2 - f 2 = 0$$

and eventually

f[3] = 1 + [3].

The same method in dimension 2, starting from

$$(1+3-f(3))^2+4-f(2)=0$$

leads (using Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3 part 1) to

$$f[7] = 1 + [7].$$

For the dimension 3, we do as in dimension 4 when f is a unit, starting with a double reduction in the dimension to get an identity

$$((1+3-f3)^2+4-f2)^2+8-f4=0$$

and using Lemmas 3.1 with $X = (1+3-f(3))^2$ and Y = [4-f(2)], we get

$$(1+3-f[3])^6+(4-f[2]+(5-f[2])(8-f[4])=0.$$

With Lemmas 3.2 (n=6) and 3.3 part 2, this gives

$$(1+3-f3)^2+4-f2+8-f8=0$$

and a last application of Lemma 3.1 gives

$$1 + 3 - f3 + 4 - f4 + 8 - f8 = 1 + 15 - f15 = 0.$$

In order to get the parts 3) and 4) of Theorem 4.1, we make an induction respectively for $d \ge 3$ and $d \ge 4$. Let tr.d.(A) = d + 1 and f a totally positive (resp. unit) in A. Letting d' = d + 1 (resp. d), we have

$$g^2 + \boxed{2^d} - f \boxed{2^d} = 0$$

Erratum

in A for some non zero-divisor g. By induction hypothesis, we get

$$1 + [s_d] - \overline{f}[t_d] = 0$$

in A/g for $s_d = 2^{d+1} + d - 4$ (resp. $s_d = 2^d + d - 5$) and $t_d = 2^d + 7$ (resp. 2^d), and so

$$\lambda g = 1 + [s_d] - f[t_d]$$

in A, and

$$(\lambda g)^2 = (1 + x - f y)^2$$

where $x = [s_d]$ and $y = [t_d]$. This gives

$$(\lambda g)^2 = 1 + (x - f y)^2 + 2(x - f y).$$

But because 2 is a square, we get

$$(\lambda g)^2 = 1 + \underline{s_d} + 1 - f \underline{t_d}.$$

Returning to the original equation, we get

$$1 + [s_d + 1] - f[t_d] + [2^{d'}] - f[2^d] = 1 + [s_d + 1 + 2^{d'}] - f[t_d] + [2^d] = 0.$$

In the non unit case, putting $s_3 = 15 = 2^4 + 3 - 4$, d' = d + 1 and $t_3 = 15 = 2^3 + 7$, the induction relations $s_{d+1} = 1 + s_d + 2^{d+1}$ and $t_{d+1} = t_d + 2^d$ give the result. In the unit case, putting $s_4 = 15 = 2^4 + 4 - 5$ and $t_4 = 16 = 2^4$, the relations $s_{d+1} = 1 + s_d + 2^d$ and $t_{d+1} = t_d + 2^d$ give the result.