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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Abstract. - It is proved in this paper that the lowest upper bound of the number of the isolated ze- } \\
& \text { ros of the Abelian integral } \\
& \qquad I(h)=\oint\left(\alpha+\beta x+\gamma x^{2}\right) y d x \\
& \text { is two for } h \in(-1 / 12,0) \text {, where } \Gamma_{h} \text { is the compact component of } H(x, y)=(1 / 2) y^{2}+ \\
& +(1 / 3) x^{3}+(1 / 4) x^{4}=h \text {, and } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \text { are arbitrary constants. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 1. - Introduction.

Consider the Abelian integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(h)=\oint_{\Gamma_{h}} Y d x-X d y \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H, X$ and $Y$ are real polynomials of $x$ and $y, \Gamma_{h}$ is the compact component of $H=h$. Finding the lowest upper bound for the number of zeros of $I(h)$ is called the weakened Hilbert 16th problem, posed by V. I. Arnold [1], and this problem is closely related to determining the number of limit cycles of the perturbed system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d x}{d t}=\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}+\varepsilon X(x, y)  \tag{1.2}\\
\frac{d y}{d t}=-\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}+\varepsilon Y(x, y)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $0<|\varepsilon| \ll 1$.
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In particular, suppose [2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x, y)=\frac{1}{2} y^{2}+U(x)=h \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U(x)$ is a real polynomial of $x$ with degree $n$. Finding the number of zeros of $I(h)$ is one of ten problems in [2]. When $n=3$, this problem was solved by [9], [11], [13] etc. When $n=4$, some results were given by [7], [12], [14], but this case is far from completely solved. In this paper, we consider the case $n=4$ and the Hamiltonian vector field $d H=0$ possesses two critical points, one of which is a center and the other is a cusp; then (1.3) can be reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x, y)=\frac{1}{2} y^{2}+\frac{1}{3} x^{3}+\frac{1}{4} x^{4}=h . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The perturbed system has the following form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}=y,  \tag{1.5}\\
\dot{y}=-x^{2}-x^{3}+\varepsilon\left(\alpha+\beta x+\gamma x^{2}\right) y,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are arbitrary constants, $0<|\varepsilon| \ll 1$.
The unperturbed system (1.5) ${ }_{0}$ has the first integral (1.4) and the closed level sets $\Gamma_{h}=\{(x, y) \mid H=h, h \in(-1 / 12,0) \cup(0, \infty)\}$ are shown in fig. 1.1. The origin (0,0) is a cuspidal point which has two hyperbolic sectors and two separatrices: unstable $\Gamma_{0}^{u}$ and stable $\Gamma_{0}^{8} . \Gamma_{-1 / 12}$ and $\Gamma_{0}$ correspond to the center ( $-1,0$ ) and cuspidal loop with $\Gamma_{0}^{u}=\Gamma_{0}^{s}$ respectively.


Fig. 1.1.

Denote

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{i}(h)=\oint_{\Gamma_{h}} x^{i} y d x, \quad i=0,1,2  \tag{1.6}\\
I(h)=\alpha I_{0}+\beta I_{1}+\gamma I_{2} \tag{1.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $h \in(-1 / 12,0) \cup(0,+\infty)$. The central result of this paper is the following:
Theorem 1.1. - Either the Abelian integral $I(h)$ vanishes identically, or the lowest upper bound of the number of its zeros is two in the interval $(-1 / 12,0)$.

For system (1.5) ${ }_{\varepsilon}$, we have
Theorem 1.1*. - For all sufficiently small $\varepsilon$, either the system (1.5) is Hamiltoni- $^{\text {i }}$ an, or the lowest upper bound for the number of limit cycles of (1.5) $)_{\varepsilon}$ bifurcating from $\Gamma_{h}$ is two for $h \in(-1 / 12,0)$.

For the homoclinic loop $\Gamma_{h_{0}}$ of a hyperbolic saddle, there is the well known asymptotic expansion of R. Roussarie [15]

$$
I(h)=c_{0}+c_{1}\left(h-h_{0}\right) \ln \left(h-h_{0}\right)+c_{2}\left(h-h_{0}\right)+\ldots .
$$

However, it seems that no one has given an asymptotic expansion of $I(h)$ in the neighbourhood of a cuspidal loop. In this paper, using analytic theory of ordinary differential equations, we get

Theorem 1.2. - For system (1.5) ${ }_{\varepsilon}$, near the value $h=0$ corresponding to cuspidal loop, $I(h)$ has the following asymptotic expansion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(h)=d_{0}+d_{1}|h|^{5 / 6}+d_{2} h+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)|h|^{5 / 6} h^{k} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)|h|^{7 / 6} h^{k} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the following statements are equivalent:
i) $d_{0}=d_{1}=d_{2}=0$,
ii) $\alpha=\beta=\gamma=0$,
iii) $I(h) \equiv 0$,
where $|h|<1 / 12, d_{0}=(4 / 27) \sqrt{2} \pi \alpha-(10 / 81) \sqrt{2} \pi \beta+(28 / 243) \sqrt{2} \pi \gamma, d_{1}=\alpha C_{1}, d_{2}=$ $=-2 \sqrt{2} \pi \beta+(4 / 3) \sqrt{2} \pi \gamma$, and $a_{k}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma), b_{k}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ are linear functions of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$, $C_{1}$ is a constant with $C_{1}<0$ for $h<0$ and $C_{1}>0$ for $h>0$.

The relationship between the expansion (1.8) and the number of limit cycles of (1.5) $)_{\varepsilon}$ near $\Gamma_{0}$ is still open. We may conjecture as follows: If $d_{0}=0$ (resp. $d_{0}=d_{1}=0$ ) and $d_{1} \neq 0$ (resp. $d_{2} \neq 0$ ), then there exists a neighbourhood of the loop $\Gamma_{0}$ containing at


The paper is organized as follows: In sect. 2, the monotonicity of $P(h)=I_{1}(h) / I_{0}(h)$, $Q(h)=I_{2}(h) / I_{0}(h)$ and $R(h)=I_{2}(h) / I_{1}(h)$ is proved, which implies that the curve $\Omega=$ $=\{(P, Q) \mid P=P(h), Q=Q(h)\}$ can be defined in $P Q$ - plane. In sect. 3, the asymptotic
expansions of $I(h)$ near its endpoints are given and Theorem 1.2 is proved, which shows that the lowest upper bound of the number of zeros of $I(h)$ is at least 2 . A simple but important fact is that the ratio $g(h)=I^{\prime \prime}(h) / I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(h)$ satisfies a Riccati equation and $I^{\prime \prime}(h)$ can be denoted as a linear combination of $I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(h)$ and $I_{1}^{\prime \prime}(h)$. This is crucial for our analysis. From the beginning of sect. 5 , instead of estimating the number of zeros of $I(h)$, we will prove that $I(h)$ has at most two inflection points, i.e., $I^{\prime \prime}(h)$ has at most two zeros in $(-1 / 12,0)$, which implies that the lowest upper bound of the number of zeros of $I(h)$ does not exceed three. Qualitative analysis of Riccati equation of $I_{1}^{\prime \prime}(h) / I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(h)$ yields the monotonicity of $I_{1}^{\prime \prime}(h) / I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(h)$, which gives the upper bound of the number of zeros of $I^{\prime \prime}(h)$ in most cases. In sect. 6, by applying the fact that the zeros of $g(h)$ equal the zeros of $I^{\prime \prime}(h)$, we estimate the upper bound of the number of zeros of $I^{\prime \prime}(h)$ in those cases which are not discussed in sect. 5. Finally, the asymptotic expansion of $I(h)$ near its endpoints shows the main results of this paper.
2. - Monotonicity of $P(h), Q(h)$ and $R(h)$.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(h)=\frac{I_{1}(h)}{I_{0}(h)}, \quad Q(h)=\frac{I_{2}(h)}{I_{0}(h)}, \quad R(h)=\frac{I_{2}(h)}{I_{1}(h)} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Green's formula that
Lemma 2.1. - For $h \in(-1 / 12,0)$,
i) $I_{0}(h)>0, I_{1}(h)<0, I_{2}(h)>0, I_{0}^{\prime}(h)>0, I_{2}^{\prime}(h)>0$,
ii) $P(h)<0, Q(h)>0$.

Rewrite (1.4) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} y^{2}+\Phi(x)=h \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi(x)=x^{3} / 3+x^{4} / 4$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\prime}(x)(x+1)>0, \quad \text { for } x \in\left(-\frac{4}{3},-1\right) \cup(-1,0) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $x \in(-4 / 3,-1)$, there exists a unique $\tilde{x} \in(-1,0)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x)=\Phi(\tilde{x}), \quad-\frac{4}{3}<x<-1<\tilde{x}<0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we can define a function $\tilde{x}=\tilde{x}(x)$ for $x \in(-4 / 3,-1)$ satisfying (2.4). The in-
equality (2.3) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \tilde{x}}{d x}=\frac{\Phi^{\prime}(x)}{\Phi(\tilde{x})}<0 . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{k m}(x)=\frac{x^{k} \Phi^{\prime}(\tilde{x})-\tilde{x}^{k} \Phi^{\prime}(x)}{x^{m} \Phi^{\prime}(\tilde{x})-\tilde{x}^{m} \Phi^{\prime}(x)}, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k=1,2, m=0,1$.
By Theorem 1 of [10], we have
Lemma 2.2. - If $\xi_{k m}^{\prime}(x)>0$ (resp. $<0$ ), then $u_{k m}^{\prime}(h)<0$ (resp. $>0$ ), where $u_{k m}(h)=I_{k}(h) / I_{m}(h)$.

Theorem 2.3. - For $h \in(-1 / 12,0), P^{\prime}(h)>0, Q^{\prime}(h)<0, R^{\prime}(h)>0$.
Proof. - For $P(h)=I_{1}(h) / I_{0}(h)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi_{10}(x)=\frac{x \Phi^{\prime}(\tilde{x})-\tilde{x} \Phi^{\prime}(x)}{\Phi^{\prime}(\tilde{x})-\Phi^{\prime}(x)}=\frac{x \tilde{x}(1+x+\tilde{x})}{\tilde{x}^{2}+x^{2}+\tilde{x} x+x+\tilde{x}}, \\
& \xi_{10}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{A(x, \tilde{x})}{\left(\tilde{x}^{2}+x \tilde{x}+x^{2}+x+\tilde{x}\right)^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A(x, \tilde{x})=\left[\tilde{x}(1+x+\tilde{x})+x \frac{d \tilde{x}}{d x}(1+x+\tilde{x})+x \tilde{x}\left(1+\frac{d \tilde{x}}{d x}\right)\right]\left(\tilde{x}^{2}+x^{2}+x \tilde{x}+x+\tilde{x}\right)- \\
&-\left(2 \tilde{x} \frac{d \tilde{x}}{d x}+2 x+\tilde{x}+x \frac{d \tilde{x}}{d x}+1+\frac{d \tilde{x}}{d x}\right) x \tilde{x}(1+x+\tilde{x})= \\
&=\tilde{x}^{2}(\tilde{x}+1)(\tilde{x}+1+2 x)+x^{2}(x+1)(x+1+2 \tilde{x}) \frac{d \tilde{x}}{d x}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (2.4), (2.5) that $\tilde{x}+1>0, x+1<0, \tilde{x}+2 x+1=(x+\tilde{x})+(x+1)<0$, $x+1+2 \tilde{x}<0, d \tilde{x} / d x<0$, which implies $A(x, \tilde{x})<0$, i.e., $\xi_{10}^{\prime}(x)<0$. Hence, by Lemma 2.2, $P^{\prime}(h)>0$.

For $Q(h)$ and $R(h)$,

$$
\xi_{20}(x)=\frac{x^{2} \Phi^{\prime}(\tilde{x})-\tilde{x}^{2} \Phi^{\prime}(x)}{\Phi^{\prime}(\tilde{x})-\Phi^{\prime}(x)}=\frac{x^{2} \tilde{x}^{2}}{\tilde{x}+x+\tilde{x}^{2}+x \tilde{x}+x^{2}},
$$

$$
\xi_{20}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{B(x, \tilde{x})}{\left(x+\tilde{x}+\tilde{x}^{2}+x \tilde{x}+x^{2}\right)^{2}},
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi_{21}(x)=\frac{x^{2} \Phi^{\prime}(\tilde{x})-\tilde{x}^{2} \Phi^{\prime}(x)}{x \Phi^{\prime}(\tilde{x})-\tilde{x} \Phi^{\prime}(x)}=\frac{x \tilde{x}}{1+x+\tilde{x}} \\
& \xi_{21}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{C(x, \tilde{x})}{(1+x+\tilde{x})^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(x, \tilde{x})= & x \tilde{x}\left[\tilde{x}(\tilde{x}+1)(x+2 \tilde{x})+x \frac{d \tilde{x}}{d x}(x+1)(\tilde{x}+2 x)\right]>0 \\
& C(x, \tilde{x})=\tilde{x}(1+\tilde{x})+x(1+x) \frac{d \tilde{x}}{d x}<0
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies $\xi_{20}^{\prime}(x)>0, \xi_{21}^{\prime}(x)<0$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.2, $Q^{\prime}(h)<0$ and $R^{\prime}(h)>0$.
3. - Picard-Fuchs equation and the asymptotic behaviour of $I(h)$ near its endpoints.

In this section, we shall derive Picard-Fuchs equation of $I_{i}(h), i=0,1,2$ and describe the behaviour of $I(h)$ near $h=0$ and $h=-1 / 12$.

Lemma 3.1.
i) $I_{i}\left(-(1 / 12)^{+}\right)=0, P\left(-(1 / 12)^{+}\right)=-1, Q\left(-(1 / 12)^{+}\right)=1$,
ii) $I_{0}(0)=(4 / 27) \sqrt{2} \pi, I_{1}(0)=-(10 / 81) \sqrt{2} \pi, I_{2}(0)=(28 / 243) \sqrt{2} \pi, P(0)=$ $=-5 / 6, Q(0)=7 / 9$,
iii) For $h \in[-1 / 12,0],-1 \leqslant P(h) \leqslant-5 / 6,7 / 9 \leqslant Q(h) \leqslant 1$.

Proof. - The results i) and ii) are obtained by direct computation. The conclusion iii) follows from the results i), ii) and Theorem 2.3.

Proposition 3.2. - $I_{0}(h), I_{1}(h), I_{2}(h)$ satisfy the following Picard-Fuchs equation

$$
h(12 h+1) \frac{d}{d h}\left(\begin{array}{l}
I_{0}  \tag{3.1}\\
I_{1} \\
I_{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
9 h+5 / 6 & -1 / 6 & -5 / 4 \\
h & 12 h+7 / 6 & 5 / 4 \\
-h & 2 h & 15 h
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
I_{0} \\
I_{1} \\
I_{2}
\end{array}\right),
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
3 I_{0}=4 h I_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{3} I_{2}^{\prime}  \tag{3.2}\\
4 I_{1}=4 h I_{1}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{3} I_{0}-\frac{1}{3} I_{2}^{\prime} \\
5 I_{2}=\left(4 h+\frac{1}{3}\right) I_{2}^{\prime}-\frac{2}{3} I_{1}+\frac{1}{3} I_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. - It follows from (1.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial y}{\partial h}=\frac{1}{y} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}+x^{2}+x^{3}=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, (3.3) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{i}^{\prime}(h)=\oint_{\Gamma_{h}} x^{i} y^{-1} d x, \quad i=0,1,2 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (3.4) by $x^{n-3} y^{-1}$ and integrating by parts over $\Gamma_{h}$ give the following equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oint_{\Gamma_{h}} x^{n} y^{-1} d x=(n-3) \oint_{\Gamma_{h}} x^{n-4} y d x-\oint_{\Gamma_{h}} x^{n-1} y^{-1} d x \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{3}^{\prime}(h)=-I_{2}^{\prime}(h)  \tag{3.7}\\
I_{4}^{\prime}(h)=I_{0}(h)+I_{2}^{\prime}(h)  \tag{3.8}\\
I_{5}^{\prime}(h)=2 I_{1}(h)-I_{0}(h)-I_{2}^{\prime}(h) \tag{3.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using (1.4) and (3.5) again,we get
(3.10) $\quad I_{k}(h)=\oint_{\Gamma_{h}} \frac{x^{k} y^{2}}{y} d x=$

$$
=\oint_{\Gamma_{h}} \frac{x^{k}\left(2 h-(2 / 3) x^{3}-(1 / 2) x^{4}\right)}{y} d x=2 h I_{k}^{\prime}-\frac{2}{3} I_{k+3}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} I_{k+4}^{\prime}
$$

On the other hand, integrating by parts and using (3.4), (3.5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k}(h)=-\frac{1}{k+1} \oint_{\Gamma_{k}} x^{k+1} d y=\frac{1}{k+1} \oint_{\Gamma_{h}} x^{k+1} \frac{x^{2}+x^{3}}{y} d x=\frac{1}{k+1}\left(I_{k+3}^{\prime}+I_{k+4}^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eliminating $I_{k+4}^{\prime}$ from (3.10) and (3.11), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(k+3) I_{k}=4 h I_{k}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{3} I_{k+3}^{\prime} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $k=0,1,2$, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
3 I_{0}=4 h I_{0}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{3} I_{3}^{\prime}  \tag{3.13}\\
4 I_{1}=4 h I_{1}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{3} I_{4}^{\prime} \\
5 I_{2}=4 h I_{2}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{3} I_{5}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Substituting (3.7) $\sim(3.9)$ into (3.13), we obtain (3.2), which implies (3.1).

Proposition 3.3 (Behaviour near $h=0$ and $h=-1 / 12$ ).
i)
(3.14) $\left(\begin{array}{c}I_{0}(h) \\ I_{1}(h) \\ I_{2}(h)\end{array}\right)=C_{1}|h|^{5 / 6}\left(\begin{array}{r}1-(35 / 88) h+\ldots \\ (21 / 44) h+\ldots \\ -(6 / 11) h+\ldots\end{array}\right)+$

$$
+C_{2}|h|^{7 / 6}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1-(385 / 208) h+\ldots \\
-2+(55 / 26) h+\ldots \\
-(30 / 13) h+\ldots
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{c}
(4 / 27) \sqrt{2} \pi \\
-(10 / 81) \sqrt{2} \pi-2 \sqrt{2} \pi h \\
(28 / 243) \sqrt{2} \pi+(4 / 3) \sqrt{2} \pi h
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $C_{i}$ is real constant, $i=1,2, C_{1}<0$ for $h<0$ and $C_{1}>0$ for $h>0,|h|<$ $<1 / 12$.
ii) Let $|h+1 / 12|<1 / 12$, then $I_{i}(h)(i=0,1,2)$ is holomorphic at $h=-1 / 12$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
I_{0}(h)=I_{0}^{\prime}\left(-\frac{1}{12}\right)\left[\left(h+\frac{1}{12}\right)+\frac{31}{24}\left(h+\frac{1}{12}\right)^{2}+\frac{10465}{1728}\left(h+\frac{1}{12}\right)^{3}+\ldots\right]  \tag{3.15}\\
I_{1}(h)=I_{0}^{\prime}\left(-\frac{1}{12}\right)\left[-\left(h+\frac{1}{12}\right)-\frac{7}{24}\left(h+\frac{1}{12}\right)^{2}-\frac{2065}{1728}\left(h+\frac{1}{12}\right)^{3}+\ldots\right] \\
I_{2}(h)=I_{0}^{\prime}\left(-\frac{1}{12}\right)\left[\left(h+\frac{1}{12}\right)-\frac{5}{24}\left(h+\frac{1}{12}\right)^{2}-\frac{695}{1728}\left(h+\frac{1}{12}\right)^{3}+\ldots\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. - (i) Consider the analytic continuation of $I_{i}(h)$ from $(-1 / 12,0) \cup(0,+\infty)$ to the complex domain C . Using analytic theory of ordinary differential equation [5], [6], we obtain asymptotic expansions of $I_{i}(h), i=0,1,2$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\begin{array}{c}
I_{0}(h) \\
I_{1}(h) \\
I_{2}(h)
\end{array}\right)=\bar{C}_{1} h^{5 / 6}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1-(35 / 88) h+\ldots \\
(21 / 44) h+\ldots \\
-(6 / 11) h+\ldots
\end{array}\right)+  \tag{3.16}\\
+\bar{C}_{2} h^{7 / 6}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1-(385 / 208) h+\ldots \\
-2+(55 / 26) h+\ldots \\
-(30 / 13) h+\ldots
\end{array}\right)+\bar{C}_{3}\left(\begin{array}{c}
18 \\
-15-243 h \\
14+162 h
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}
$$

where $\bar{C}_{i}(i=0,1,2)$ is a complex or real constant, $h \in \mathrm{C}, 0<|h|<1 / 12$. We notice that $I_{i}(h)$ is real for $h \in(-1 / 12,0)$, hence the imaginary part of $I_{i}(h)$ equals zero in (3.16), which implies $\bar{C}_{1}=e^{-(5 / 6) \pi i} C_{1}, \bar{C}_{2}=e^{-(7 / 6) \pi i} C_{2}\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right.$ are real constants) for $h<0$, and $\bar{C}_{i}$ is real for $h>0$. From Lemma 3.1 and $I_{0}^{\prime}(h)>0$, we have $\bar{C}_{3}=2 \sqrt{2} \pi / 243$ and $\bar{C}_{1}>0$ for $h>0$. Therefore, $I_{i}(h)$ is denoted as (3.14).

For $h<0$, it follows from (3.14) that

$$
P(h)=-\frac{5}{6}+\frac{45}{16 \pi} \sqrt{2} C_{1}|h|^{5 / 6}-\frac{27}{2} h-\frac{63}{16 \pi} \sqrt{2} C_{2}|h|^{7 / 6}+\ldots .
$$

Therefore,

$$
P^{\prime}(h)=-\frac{75}{32} \sqrt{2} C_{1}|h|^{-1 / 6}-\frac{27}{2}+o(1)
$$

If $C_{1}=0$, then $P^{\prime}(h)=-27 / 2+o(1)<0$ as $h \rightarrow 0^{-}$. This contradicts Theorem 2.3. Using Theorem 2.3 again, we have $C_{1}<0$ for $h<0$.
(ii) Using the same argument as above, we can get ii).

Proof of Theorem 1.2. - (1.8) follows from Proposition 3.3. $d_{0}=d_{1}=d_{2}=0$ if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{4}{27} \sqrt{2} \pi \alpha-\frac{10}{81} \sqrt{2} \pi \beta+\frac{28}{243} \sqrt{2} \pi \gamma=0 \\
\alpha C_{1}=0 \\
-2 \sqrt{2} \pi \beta+\frac{4}{3} \sqrt{2} \pi \gamma=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Noting $C_{1}<0$ and

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{4}{27} \sqrt{2} \pi & -\frac{10}{81} \sqrt{2} \pi & \frac{28}{243} \sqrt{2} \pi \\
C_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -2 \sqrt{2} \pi & \frac{4}{3} \sqrt{2} \pi
\end{array}\right|=-\frac{32}{243} \pi^{2} C_{1} \neq 0
$$

therefore, $d_{0}=d_{1}=d_{2}=0$ if and only if $\alpha=\beta=\gamma=0$, which implies $I(h) \equiv 0$. Conversely, if $I(h) \equiv 0$, then $d_{i}(i=0,1,2)$ must equal zero. The theorem is proved.

The intersection points of the lines $\alpha+\beta P+\gamma Q=0$ with the curve $\Omega$ correspond to the zeros of $I(h)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\left\{(P, Q) \mid P=P(h), Q=Q(h), h \in\left(-\frac{1}{12}, 0\right)\right\}, \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

or $Q=Q(h(P))$, and $h=h(P)$ is the inverse function of $P=P(h)$, cf. Theorem 2.3.
Proposition 3.4. - The lowest upper bound $N$ for the number of zeros of $I(h)$ is at least two for $h \in(-1 / 12,0)$.

Proof. - Theorem 2.3 yields $N \geqslant 1$. Suppose $N=1$, which implies that $\Omega$ is a straight line in $(-1 / 12,0)$. Assume $\Omega: \tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta} P+\tilde{\gamma} Q=0$ and $|\tilde{\alpha}|+|\widetilde{\beta}|+|\tilde{\gamma}| \neq 0$, then $I(h)=\tilde{\alpha} I_{0}+\tilde{\beta} I_{1}+\tilde{\gamma} I_{2} \equiv 0$, which contradicts Theorem 1.2. Therefore, $N \geqslant 2$.

## 4. - Riccati equation of $I^{\prime \prime}(h) / I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(h)$.

From this section, we begin to estimate the number of inflection points of $I(h)$, i.e. the zeros of $I^{\prime \prime}(h)$, which determine the upper bound of the number of zeros of $I(h)$. We will derive the Riccati equation satisfied by $I^{\prime \prime}(h) / I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(h)$, which is based on $I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(h) \neq 0$, $h \in(-1 / 12,0)$, proved by S.N. Chow and L. Gavrilov in [3] [8]. Theorem 2.3 implies that $I(h)$ has at most one zero for $h \in(-1 / 12,0)$ if $\alpha \beta \gamma=0, \alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}+\gamma^{2} \neq 0$. Hence, from now on, without loss of generality, we suppose $\beta=1$ unless the opposite is claimed.

Lemma 4.1.

> i) $4 h I_{1}^{\prime \prime}=-\frac{2}{3} I_{0}^{\prime}-4 h I_{0}^{\prime \prime}$,
> ii) $I_{2}^{\prime \prime}=6 h I_{0}^{\prime \prime}+18 h I_{1}^{\prime \prime}$

Proof. - Differentiate once the first two equations in (3.2),

$$
\begin{gather*}
4 h I_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{3} I_{2}^{\prime \prime}=-I_{0}^{\prime}  \tag{4.3}\\
4 h I_{1}^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{3} I_{0}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{3} I_{2}^{\prime \prime}=0 \tag{4.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Eliminating $I_{2}^{\prime \prime}(h)$ (resp. $I_{0}^{\prime}$ ) from (4.3) and (4.4) yields (4.1) (resp. (4.2)).
Lemma 4.2. - The integral $I_{0}, I_{1}$ satisfy the following equation

$$
2 h(12 h+1)\binom{I_{0}^{\prime \prime}}{I_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-21 h-7 / 3 & 27 h-1 / 3  \tag{4.5}\\
-7 h & -51 h-5 / 3
\end{array}\right)\binom{I_{0}^{\prime \prime}}{I_{1}^{\prime \prime}} .
$$

Proof. - Differentiate twice the second and third equation of (3.2) to get

$$
\begin{gather*}
4 h I_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{1}{3} I_{0}^{\prime \prime}-4 I_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{3} I_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}  \tag{4.6}\\
\left(4 h+\frac{1}{3}\right) I_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}=-3 I_{2}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{2}{3} I_{1}^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{3} I_{0}^{\prime \prime} \tag{4.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Differentiating (4.3) once, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}(h)=-15 I_{0}^{\prime \prime}-12 h I_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (4.2) and (4.8) into (4.6) and (4.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 h(12 h+1) I_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\left(-21 h-\frac{7}{3}\right) I_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\left(27 h-\frac{1}{3}\right) I_{1}^{\prime \prime} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies (4.5).
Lemma 4.3. - Assume $v=I_{1}+\gamma I_{2}, w=v^{\prime \prime} / I_{0}^{\prime \prime}$, then $w(h)$ satisfies the following Ric-
cati equation
(4.11) $2 h(12 h+1)(1+18 \gamma h) w^{\prime}=-\left(27 h-\frac{1}{3}\right) w^{2}+$

$$
+\left[216 \gamma h^{2}+(44 \gamma-30) h+\frac{2}{3}\right] w+\left(-60 \gamma^{2}+72 \gamma\right) h^{2}+(8 \gamma-7) h
$$

Proof. - Substituting $I_{1}=v-\gamma I_{2}$ into (4.5), we get
(4.12)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 h(12 h+1) I_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime}=-\left(21 h-\frac{7}{3}\right) I_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\left(27 h-\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(v^{\prime \prime}-\gamma I_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
2 h(12 h+1) v^{\prime \prime \prime}=2 \gamma h(12 h+1) I_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}-7 h I_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\left(-51 h-\frac{5}{3}\right)\left(v^{\prime \prime}-\gamma I_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Eliminating $I_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ from $I_{1}^{\prime \prime}=v^{\prime \prime}-\gamma I_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ and (4.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{1+18 \gamma h}\left(6 h I_{0}^{\prime \prime}+18 h v^{\prime \prime}\right) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (4.13) into (4.12) yieids

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 h(12 h+1)(1+18 \gamma h) I_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime}=  \tag{4.14}\\
\quad=\left[-540 \gamma h^{2}+(-40 \gamma-21) h-\frac{7}{3}\right] I_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\left(27 h-\frac{1}{3}\right) v^{\prime \prime} \\
2 h(12 h+1)(1+18 \gamma h) v^{\prime \prime \prime}= \\
=\left[\left(-60 \gamma^{2}+72 \gamma\right) h^{2}+(8 \gamma-7) h\right] I_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\left[-324 \gamma h^{2}+(4 \gamma-51) h-\frac{5}{3}\right] v^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Noticing $w^{\prime}=\left(v^{\prime \prime \prime} I_{0}^{\prime \prime}-v^{\prime \prime} I_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) /\left(I_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}$, the equation (4.11) follows from (4.14).
Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(h)=\frac{I^{\prime \prime}(h)}{I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(h)}, \quad h \in\left(-\frac{1}{12}, 0\right) . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, $g=\alpha+w$. Theorem 4.4 follows from Lemma 4.3:

Theorem 4.4. - $g(h)$ satisfies the following Riccati equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 h(12 h+1)(1+18 \gamma h) g^{\prime}=  \tag{4.16}\\
& \quad=-\left(27 h-\frac{1}{3}\right) g^{2}+\left[216 \gamma h^{2}+(54 \alpha+44 \gamma-30) h+\frac{2}{3}(1-\alpha)\right] g+F(h)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& F(h)=\left(-60 \gamma^{2}-216 \gamma \alpha+72 \gamma\right) h^{2}+  \tag{4.17}\\
& \qquad+\left[-27 \alpha^{2}+(-44 \gamma+30) \alpha+8 \gamma-7\right] h+\frac{1}{3} \alpha(\alpha-2)
\end{align*}
$$

5.     - Monotonicity of $I_{1}^{\prime \prime} / I_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ and relevant results.

## Define

$$
r(h)=\frac{I_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{I_{0}^{\prime \prime}}, \quad h \in\left(-\frac{1}{12}, 0\right)
$$

Lemma 5.1. $-r(h)$ satisfies the following Riccati equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 h(12 h+1) r^{\prime}=-\left(27 h-\frac{1}{3}\right) r^{2}+\left(-30 h+\frac{2}{3}\right) r-7 h \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - (5.1) follows from (4.11) by taking $\gamma=0$.
Consider the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{h}=2 h(12 h+1)  \tag{5.2}\\
\dot{r}=-\left(27 h-\frac{1}{3}\right) r^{2}+\left(-30 h+\frac{2}{3}\right) r-7 h
\end{array}\right.
$$

The zero isocline $r^{ \pm}(h)$ of system (5.2) is determined by the algebraic curve

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(h, r)=-\left(27 h-\frac{1}{3}\right) r^{2}+\left(-30 h+\frac{2}{3}\right) r-7 h=0 \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& r^{+}(h)=\frac{45 h-1+3 \sqrt{36 h^{2}-(23 / 3) h+1 / 9}}{1-81 h},  \tag{5.4}\\
& r^{-}(h)=\frac{45 h-1-3 \sqrt{36 h^{2}-(23 / 3) h+1 / 9}}{1-81 h} .
\end{align*}
$$

Obviously, $36 h^{2}-(23 / 3) h+1 / 9>0$ for $h \in(-\infty, 0)$.
Lemma 5.2.
i) $r^{+}\left(-\frac{1}{12}\right)=-\frac{7}{31}, r^{-}\left(-\frac{1}{12}\right)=-1, r^{+}(0)=0, r^{-}(0)=-2$,
ii) $\left.\frac{d r^{+}(h)}{d h}\right|_{h=-1 / 12}=\frac{770}{961}$.

Proof. - Direct computation.
Lemma 5.3. $-\left(d r^{+}(h)\right) / d h>0,\left(d r^{-}(h)\right) / d h<0$ for $h \in(-\infty, 0)$.
Proof. - Assume $\left(d r^{ \pm}(h)\right) / d h=0$ at $h=\bar{h}$. Differentiating (5.3) with respect $h$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{ \pm}(\bar{h})=-\frac{1}{3} \quad \text { or } \quad r^{ \pm}(\bar{h})=-\frac{7}{9} . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, $G(\bar{h},-1 / 3)=-5 / 27 \neq 0, G(\bar{h},-7 / 9)=-77 / 243 \neq 0$, which contradicts to the definition of $r^{ \pm}(h)$ (see (5.3)). This implies $\left(d r^{ \pm}(h)\right) / d h \neq 0$. By Lemma 5.2 i), we get $\left(d r^{+}(h)\right) / d h>0,\left(d r^{-}(h)\right) / d h<0$.

Theorem 5.4. - For $h \in(-1 / 12,0)$,
i) $\frac{d}{d h}\left(\frac{I_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{I_{0}^{\prime \prime}}\right)>0$,
ii) $-\frac{7}{31}<\frac{I_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{I_{0}^{\prime \prime}}<0$.

Proof. - It follows from Proposition 3.3 that

$$
r(h)=\frac{I_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{I_{0}^{\prime \prime}}=-\frac{7}{31}+\frac{385}{961}\left(h+\frac{1}{12}\right)+o\left(h+\frac{1}{12}\right)+\ldots
$$

as $h \rightarrow-(1 / 12)^{+}$, which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(-\frac{1}{12}\right)=-\frac{7}{31}, \quad r^{\prime}\left(-\frac{1}{12}\right)=\frac{385}{961} . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, Proposition 3.3 gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0^{-}} r(h)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0^{-}} \frac{I_{1}^{\prime \prime}(h)}{I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(h)}=0 . \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that $r(h)=\left(I_{1}^{\prime \prime}(h)\right) /\left(I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(h)\right)$ satisfies (5.2), which has four critical points: two saddles at $B(0,-2)$ and $D(-1 / 12,-7 / 31)$, an unstable node at $A(0,0)$, a stable node at $C(-1 / 12,1)$. From (5.7) and (5.8), the graph $r(h)=\left(I_{1}^{\prime \prime}(h)\right) /\left(I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(h)\right)$ is the trajectory of (5.2) starting from the unstable node $A$ to the saddle point $D$. On the other hand, the zero isoclines $r^{+}(h)$ and $r^{-}(h)$ are monotonically increasing and decreasing respectively (cf. Lemma 5.3). In the phase plane of system (5.2), the region $\{(h, r) \mid$ $-1 / 12 \leqslant h \leqslant 0\}$ is divided into three parts by the curve $r^{+}(h), r^{-}(h)$ and the invariant lines $h=0, h=-1 / 12$. It follows from Lemma 5.2 ii) and (5.7) that $\left(d r^{+}(h)\right) /\left.d h\right|_{h=-1 / 22}>(d r(h)) /\left.d h\right|_{h=-1 / 22}$. Hence, the graph of $r(h)=I_{1}^{\prime \prime} / I_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ must stay in the region $\left\{(h, r) \mid-1 / 12 \leqslant h \leqslant 0, r^{-}(h)<r<r^{+}(h)\right\}$, which implies

$$
\frac{d}{d h}\left(\frac{I_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{I_{0}^{\prime \prime}}\right)=\frac{d r(h)}{d h}=\frac{-(27 h-1 / 3)\left(r-r^{+}\right)\left(r-r^{-}\right)}{2 h(12 h+1)}>0
$$

for $h \in(-1 / 12,0)$, see fig. 5.1. The conclusion ii) follows from i), (5.7) and (5.8).
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Denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(h)=f(h)+\frac{I_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{I_{0}^{\prime \prime}} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h \in(-1 / 12,0)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(h)=\frac{\alpha+6 \gamma h}{1+18 \gamma h} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f^{\prime}(h)=(-18 \gamma(\alpha-1 / 3)) /(1+18 \gamma h)^{2}$, we have $f^{\prime}(h)>0$ for $\gamma(\alpha-1 / 3)<0$ and $f^{\prime}(h)<0$ for $\gamma(\alpha-1 / 3)>0$

## Lemma 5.5.

i) $I^{\prime \prime}(h)=(\alpha+6 \gamma h) I_{0}^{\prime \prime}+(1+18 \gamma h) I_{1}^{\prime \prime}$,
ii) $I^{\prime \prime}(-1 / 18 \gamma) \neq 0$ for $\alpha \neq 1 / 3$, which implies that the number of zeros of $I(h)$ equals the number of zeros of $q(h)$ for $\alpha \neq 1 / 3$.

Proof. - The equality i) follows from Lemma 4.1 ii). The conclusion ii) follows from i) and $I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(h) \neq 0$ for $h \in(-1 / 12,0) \cup(0,+\infty)$.

Remark. - Lemma 5.5 is important for our analysis. We may estimate the number of zeros of $q(h)$ instead of the number of zeros of $I^{\prime \prime}(h)$.

Corollary 5.6. - If $\alpha=1 / 3, h \in(-1 / 12,0)$, then
i) $h=-1 / 18 \gamma$ is the unique zero of $I^{\prime \prime}(h)$ for $\gamma>2 / 3$,
ii) $I^{\prime \prime}(h)$ has no zero for $\gamma \leqslant 2 / 3$.

Proof. - When $\alpha=1 / 3, I(h)=(1+18 \gamma h)\left(1 / 3+I_{1}^{\prime \prime} / I_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. It follows from Theorem 5.4 ii) that $1 / 3+I_{1}^{\prime \prime} / I_{0}^{\prime \prime}>0$. Noting that $-1 / 18 \gamma \in(-1 / 12,0)$ for $\gamma>2 / 3$ and $-1 / 18 \gamma$ $\notin(-1 / 12,0)$ for $\gamma \leqslant 2 / 3$, the results are proved.

Corollary 5.7. - i) If $\gamma>2 / 3$, then $I^{\prime \prime}(h)$ has at most two zeros for $0<\alpha<1 / 3$ and at most one zero for $\alpha \leqslant 0, h \in(-1 / 12,0)$.
ii) If $\gamma<0, \alpha>1 / 3$, then $I^{\prime \prime}(h)$ has at most one zero in $(-1 / 12,0)$.

Proof. - i) It follows from Theorem 5.4 and $f^{\prime}(h)>0$ that $q^{\prime}(h)>0$, i.e., $q(h)$ is monotonically increasing function in $(-1 / 12,-1 / 18 \gamma) \cup(-1 / 18 \gamma, 0)$, so $q(h)$ has at most two zeros in $(-1 / 12,0)$. However, if $\alpha \leqslant 0$, then $f(h)=(\alpha+6 \gamma h) /(1+18 \gamma h)<0$ for $h \in(-1 / 18 \gamma, 0)$. Theorem 5.4 ii) yields $q(h)<0$ for $h \in(-1 / 18 \gamma, 0)$. Therefore, $q(h)$ has at most one zero in $(-1 / 12,-1 / 18 \gamma)$. The result follows from Lemma 5.5 ii).
ii) In this case, $-1 / 18 \gamma \notin(-1 / 12,0)$ and $q^{\prime}(h)>0$, which implies ii).

Corollary 5.8. - If $0<\gamma \leqslant 2 / 3, h \in(-1 / 12,0)$, then
i) $I^{\prime \prime}(h)$ has at most one zero for $0<\alpha<1 / 3$,
ii) $I^{\prime \prime}(h)$ has no zero for $\alpha \leqslant 0$.

Proof. - In the case of $0<\gamma \leqslant 2 / 3, \alpha<1 / 3$, we have $-1 / 18 \gamma \leqslant-1 / 12, f^{\prime}(h)>0$. It follows from Theorem 5.4 that $q^{\prime}(h)>0$ for $h \in(-1 / 12,0)$, which implies i). However, if $\alpha \leqslant 0$, noting $(\alpha+6 \gamma h) /(1+18 \gamma h)<0$ and Theorem 5.4 ii), we conclude that $q(h)<0$ for $h \in(-1 / 12,0)$, the result ii) follows.

Corollary 5.9. - i) $I^{\prime \prime}(h)$ has no zero in $(-1 / 12,0)$ for $0<\gamma \leqslant 2 / 3, \alpha>1 / 3$.
ii) $I^{\prime \prime}(h)$ has no zero in $(-1 / 18 \gamma, 0)$ for $\gamma>2 / 3, \alpha>1 / 3$.

Proof. - i) In this case, $f(h)$ is a continuous and monotonically decreasing function in $(-1 / 12,0)$, hence $f(h)>f(0)$, i.e. $f(h)>\alpha>1 / 3$ for $h \in(-1 / 12,0)$. By Theorem 5.4 ii), we obtain $q(h)=f(h)+I_{1}^{\prime \prime} / I_{0}^{\prime \prime}>0$, i.e., $I^{\prime \prime}(h)$ has no zero in $(-1 / 12,0)$.
ii) Using the same argument as above.

## 6. - Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 6.1. - i) If $\alpha \leqslant 0, \gamma \leqslant 0$, then $I(h)$ has no zero in $(-1 / 12,0)$,
ii) If $\gamma>2 / 3, \alpha \geqslant 3 / 8$, then $I(h)$ has no zero in $(-1 / 12,0) \cup(0,+\infty)$.

Proof. - i) Lemma 2.1 and $\alpha \leqslant 0, \gamma \leqslant 0$ implies $I(h)<0$.
ii) Recall system (1.5) $)_{\varepsilon}$ (taking $\beta=1$ )

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}=y=\tilde{X}(x, y) \\
\dot{y}=-x^{2}-x^{3}+\varepsilon\left(\alpha+x+\gamma x^{2}\right) y=\tilde{Y}(x, y)
\end{array}\right.
$$

It follows from $\gamma>2 / 3, \alpha \geqslant 3 / 8$ that $1-4 \gamma \alpha<0$, which implies $\operatorname{div}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})=\varepsilon(\alpha+x+$ $\left.+\gamma x^{2}\right) \neq 0$. Therefore, system (1.5) has no limit cycle in the phase plane, i.e., $I(h)$ has no zero in $(-1 / 12,0) \cup(0,+\infty)$.

By Theorem 4.4, $g(h)=I^{\prime \prime} / I_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ satisfies the following equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{h}=2 h(12 h+1)(1+18 \gamma h),  \tag{6.1}\\
\dot{g}=-\left(27 h-\frac{1}{3}\right) g^{2}+\left[216 \gamma h^{2}+(54 \alpha+44 \gamma-30) h+\frac{2}{3}(1-\alpha)\right] g+F(h)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Lemma 6.2. - i) In the case of $\gamma>2 / 3$, system (6.1) has six critical points: two unstable nodes at $A(0, \alpha)$ and $C(-1 / 12, \alpha+\gamma-1), a$ stable node at $E(-1 / 18 \gamma, \alpha-1 / 3)$, three saddles at $B(0, \alpha-2), D(-1 / 12, \alpha-(5 \gamma+7) / 31)$ and $F(-1 / 18 \gamma, \alpha+(-33+$
$+34 \gamma) /(3(9+2 \gamma)))$. The ordinates of critical points $C, D, E, F$ satisfy

$$
\alpha-\frac{5 \gamma+7}{31}<\alpha-\frac{1}{3}<\alpha+\gamma-1 \leqslant \alpha+\frac{-33+34 \gamma}{3(9+2 \gamma)}
$$

for $2 / 3<\gamma \leqslant 3 / 2$, and

$$
\alpha-\frac{5 \gamma+7}{31}<\alpha-\frac{1}{3}<\alpha+\frac{-33+34 \gamma}{3(9+2 \gamma)}<\alpha+\gamma-1
$$

for $\gamma>3 / 2$.
ii) In the case of $\gamma<2 / 3$, system (6.1) has four critical points in the region $\{(h, g) \mid-1 / 12 \leqslant h \leqslant 0\}$ : an unstable node at $A(0, \alpha)$, two saddle points at $B(0, \alpha-$ $-2)$ and $D(-1 / 12, \alpha-(5 \gamma+7) / 31)$, a stable node at $C(-1 / 12, \alpha+\gamma-1)$. The ordinates of $C, D$ satisfy

$$
\alpha+\gamma-1<\alpha-\frac{5 \gamma+7}{31}
$$

iii) If $\gamma \neq 0$, then system (6.1) has three invariant straight lines $h=0, h=-1 / 12$ and $h=-1 / 18 \gamma$.

Lemma 6.3. - i) In the case of $\gamma>2 / 3$, the critical points $A, E, D$ are on the curve $g(h)=I^{\prime \prime} / I_{0}^{\prime \prime}, h \in[-1 / 12,0]$.
ii) In the case of $\gamma<2 / 3$, the critical points $A$ and $D$ are on the curve $g(h)=$ $=I^{\prime \prime} / I_{0}^{\prime \prime}, h \in[-1 / 12,0]$.

Proof. - From Lemma 5.5 i), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(h)=\frac{I^{\prime \prime}}{I_{0}^{\prime \prime}}=\alpha+6 \gamma h+(1+18 \gamma h) \frac{I_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{I_{0}^{\prime \prime}} . \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (5.7), (5.8) and (6.2) that

$$
g\left(-\frac{1}{12}\right)=\alpha-\frac{5 \gamma+7}{31}, \quad g(0)=\alpha, \quad g\left(-\frac{1}{18 \gamma}\right)=\alpha-\frac{1}{3}
$$

which implies i) and ii).
Obviously, since $I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(h) \neq 0$, the number of zeros of $g(h)$ equals the number of zeros of $I^{\prime \prime}(h)$. It follows from (6.1) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\dot{g}\right|_{g=0}=F(h), \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that the trajectories of system (6.1) contact the h-axis at most at two points, on which the vector field is horizontal. On the other hand, the abscissa of the intersection point of curve $g(h)$ and the h-axis is the zero of $g(h)$. We will use these facts and system (6.1) to estimate the upper bound of the number of zeros of $I^{\prime \prime}(h)$.
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Proposition 6.4. - Suppose $\gamma>2 / 3,1 / 3<\alpha<3 / 8$, then
i) $I^{\prime \prime}(h)$ has one zero in $(-1 / 12,0)$ for $\alpha-(5 \gamma+7) / 31<0$,
ii) $I^{\prime \prime}(h)$ has no zero in $(-1 / 12,0)$ for $\alpha-(5 \gamma+7) / 31 \geqslant 0$.

Proof. - Assume that $h$-axis intersects the lines $h=-1 / 12, h=-1 / 18 \gamma, h=0$ at $P_{1}, P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ respectively. Lemma 6.2 shows that $A, F, C$ and $E$ are in the upper halfplane. By Corollary 5.9 ii) and Lemma 6.3, $g(h)$ does not intersect the $h$-axis at ( $-1 / 18 \gamma, 0$ ) and $g(h)$ consists of $\overparen{A E}$ and $\overparen{D E}$, where $\overparen{A E}$ and $\overparen{D E}$ are trajectories of the system (6.1) in the phase-plane.
i) In the case of $\alpha-(5 \gamma+7) / 31<0, D$ and $B$ are under the $h$-axis, and other critical points are in the upper half-plane. We can determine the directions of three invariant straight lines at $P_{1}, P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ by Lemma 6.2 i) (see fig. 6.1), which implies $F(0)<0, F(-1 / 18 \gamma)>0, F(-1 / 12)<0$ (cf. formula (6.1),(6.3), (4.17)). Noticing that $F(h)$ has at most two zeros in $(-\infty,+\infty)$, there must exist $h_{1} \in(-1 / 12,-1 / 18 \gamma)$ and $h_{2} \in(-1 / 18 \gamma, 0)$, such that $F\left(h_{i}\right)=0, i=1,2$. It follows that the trajectories of the system (6.1) cross the $h$-axis from the lower half-plane to the upper one for $h \in\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)$ and go in the opposite direction for $h \in\left(-\infty, h_{1}\right) \cup\left(h_{2},+\infty\right)$. Hence $\overparen{D E}$ must intersect the h -axis only once and the result follows.
ii) Using the same arguments as above, one gets the result ii).

Proposition 6.5. - Suppose $0<\alpha<1 / 3, \gamma<0$, then
i) $I^{\prime \prime}(h)$ has one zero for $\alpha-(5 \gamma+7) / 31<0$,
ii) $I^{\prime \prime}(h)$ has at most two zeros for $\alpha-(5 \gamma+7) / 31 \geqslant 0$.

Proof. - Using the same arguments as for Proposition 6.4.
Lemma 6.6 (Behaviour near the endpoints).
i) $I^{\prime}\left(-\frac{1}{12}\right)=I_{0}^{\prime}\left(-\frac{1}{12}\right)(\alpha+\gamma-1), I^{\prime \prime}\left(-\frac{1}{12}\right)=\frac{31}{12} I_{0}^{\prime}\left(-\frac{1}{12}\right)\left(\alpha-\frac{5 \gamma+7}{31}\right)$,
ii) $I\left(0^{-}\right)=\frac{4}{27}\left(\alpha-\frac{5}{6}+\frac{7}{9} \gamma\right) \sqrt{2} \pi$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I^{\prime}(h)=-\frac{5}{6} C_{1} \alpha|h|^{-1 / 6}+\left(-2 \sqrt{2} \pi+\frac{4}{3} \sqrt{2} \pi \gamma\right)+o(1) \\
& I^{\prime \prime}(h)=-\frac{5}{36} C_{1} \alpha|h|^{-7 / 6}+o\left(|h|^{-7 / 6}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

as $h \rightarrow 0^{-}$, where $h \in(-1 / 12,0), I(h)=\alpha I_{0}+I_{1}+\gamma I_{2}$.
Proof. - It follows from Proposition 3.3 ii) and Theorem 1.2.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. - Let $I(h)=\alpha I_{0}+\beta I_{1}+\gamma I_{2}$. Suppose $I(h) \not \equiv 0$, which implies $\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}+\gamma^{2} \neq 0$.
i) Assume $\alpha \beta \gamma=0$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.3 that $I(h)$ has at most one zero in $(-1 / 12,0)$.
ii) Assume $\alpha \beta \gamma \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, suppose $\beta=1$. It follows from Corollaries 5.6-5.9 and Proposition 6.1, 6.4, 6.5 that either $I(h)$ has no zero or $I^{\prime \prime}(h)$ has at most two zeros, i.e., $I(h)$ has at most two inflection points for $h \in(-1 / 12,0)$. Since $I(-1 / 12)=0$, this implies that $I(h)$ has at most three zeros in $(-1 / 12,0)$.

Assuming that $I(h)$ has three zeros in $(-1 / 12,0)$, the graph of $I(h)$ must be one of curves drawn in fig. 6.2.
(a) In the case of fig. $6.2(a)$, it follows from convexity, monotonicity and function value of $I(h)$ near its endpoints $h=0, h=-1 / 12$ that

$$
\begin{cases}I^{\prime}\left(-\frac{1}{12}\right) \geqslant 0, & \lim _{h \rightarrow 0^{-}} I^{\prime}(h)<0, \quad I_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(-\frac{1}{12}\right) \leqslant 0  \tag{6.4}\\ \lim _{h \rightarrow 0^{-}} I^{\prime \prime}(0)<0, & I(0)<0\end{cases}
$$

By Lemma 6.6, we have

$$
\alpha+\gamma-1 \geqslant 0, \quad \alpha<0, \quad \alpha-\frac{5 \gamma+7}{31} \leqslant 0, \quad \alpha-\frac{5}{6}+\frac{7}{9} \gamma<0 .
$$



Fig. 6.2.

The first two inequalities imply $\alpha<0, \gamma>0$. When $\alpha<0, \gamma>0$, it follows from Corollary 5.7 i ) and Corollary 5.8 that $I(h)$ has at most one inflection point in $(-1 / 12,0)$, which contradicts to the assumption.
(b) In the case of fig. 6.2 (b), using the same argument as (a), we have

$$
\alpha+\gamma-1 \leqslant 0, \quad \alpha>0, \quad \alpha-\frac{5 \gamma+7}{31} \geqslant 0, \quad \alpha-\frac{5}{6}+\frac{7}{9} \gamma>0,
$$

which implies

$$
\gamma<\frac{2}{3}, \quad \alpha>0, \quad \alpha>\frac{5}{6}-\frac{7}{9} \gamma .
$$

In the case of $0<\gamma \leqslant 2 / 3, \alpha>0$, it follows from Corollary 5.8 i), Corollary 5.9 i) and Corollary 5.6 ii) that $I^{\prime \prime}(h)$ has at most one zero in $(-1 / 12,0)$. This contradicts to the assumption again.

In the case of $\gamma<0, \alpha>5 / 6-(7 / 9) \gamma>1 / 3$, Corollary 5.7 ii) implies that $I^{\prime \prime}(h)$ has at most one zero for $h \in(-1 / 12,0)$, which contradicts to the assumption, too.

Summing up the above discussion, we conclude that $I(h)$ has at most two zeros in $(-1 / 12,0)$. Theorem 1.1 follows from this result and Proposition 3.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.1*. - It follows from Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.
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