

## Dirichlet Problem for a Divergence Form Elliptic Equation with Unbounded Coefficients in an Unbounded Domain (\*)

MAURIZIO CHICCO - MARINA VENTURINO

---

**Abstract.** – *We prove existence and uniqueness of the solution of the Dirichlet problem for a class of elliptic equations in divergence form with discontinuous and unbounded coefficients in unbounded domains.*

### 1. – Introduction.

In 1985 in two interesting papers [4], [5] P. L. Lions considered the Dirichlet problem

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} a_0(u, v) = \langle T, v \rangle & \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \\ u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \end{cases}$$

where  $T$  is given in  $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ . The bilinear form  $a_0(\cdot, \cdot)$  is defined as follows:

$$(2) \quad a_0(u, v) := \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_{x_i} v_{x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i u_{x_i} v + cuv \right\} dx$$

where  $a_{ij} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} t_i t_j \geq \nu |t|^2$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (with  $\nu$  a positive constant),  $b_i \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ),  $c \geq c_0$  (positive constant). The open set  $\Omega$ , contained in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , is not supposed to be bounded. The main result of the works by P. L. Lions is that, under the hypotheses above, there exists a unique solution of problem (1) and the a priori inequality

$$(3) \quad \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq K_1 \|T\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}$$

holds, where  $K_1$  is a constant depending on  $n$  and the coefficients of the bilinear form  $a_0(\cdot, \cdot)$ .

---

(\*) Entrata in Redazione il 22 aprile 1999.

Indirizzo degli AA.: Dipartimento di Metodi e Modelli Matematici, Università di Genova, P.le Kennedy Pad. D, 16129 Genova, Italia. E-mail: chicco@dima.unige.it, venturin@dima.unige.it

We are grateful to dr. Laura Servidei for correcting English style.

The aim of the present note is to extend these results assuming the coefficients  $b_i$  to belong only to the space  $X^p(\Omega)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) with  $p > n$  (see Definition 1 below or [2]). The proof is similar to the one in [4], [5]; we add some new remarks (e.g. Lemma 3).

**2. - Preliminaries.**

Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; for simplicity we assume  $n \geq 3$ .

DEFINITION 1. - *Let*

$$\omega(f, p, \delta) := \sup \{ \|f\|_{L^p(E)} : E \text{ measurable, } E \subset \Omega, \text{ meas } E \leq \delta \}$$

$$X^p(\Omega) := \{ f \in L^p_{loc}(\Omega) : \omega(f, p, \delta) < +\infty \ \forall \delta > 0 \}$$

$$X^p_0(\Omega) := \{ f \in X^p(\Omega) : \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \omega(f, p, \delta) = 0 \}$$

For further properties of these spaces, see [2].

LEMMA 1 (Uniqueness). - *If  $a_{ij} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  ( $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ),  $\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} t_i t_j \geq \nu |t|^2$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $b_i \in X_0^n(\Omega)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ),  $c \geq c_0$  in  $\Omega$  ( $\nu, c_0$  positive constants),  $c \in X_0^{n/2}(\Omega)$ , then problem (1) (with the bilinear form  $a_0(\cdot, \cdot)$  defined in (2)) has at most one solution.*

PROOF. - It is sufficient to show that if  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $a_0(u, v) \leq 0 \ \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $v \geq 0$  in  $\Omega$ , then  $u \leq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Arguing by contradiction, suppose that  $m := \text{ess sup } u > 0$ . Choose  $t$  with  $0 < t < m$  and let  $u_t := \max(u - t, 0)$ . Since  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , in particular  $u \in L^2(\Omega)$ , then  $u_t > 0$  only in a set of finite measure. Therefore, replacing  $v$  with  $u_t$  in (1) and observing that  $u_{x_i} = (u_t)_{x_i}$  a.e. in  $\Omega_t := \{x \in \Omega : t < u(x) < m\}$ , it follows from the assumptions above that

$$(4) \quad c_0 \|u_t\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \nu \|(u_t)_{x_i}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq S \sum_{i=1}^n \|b_i\|_{L^n(\Omega_t)} \|(u_t)_{x_i}\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)},$$

where  $S$  denotes the constant in the Sobolev inequality

$$\|\phi\|_{L^{2n/(n-2)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq S \|\phi_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

(It is well known that the constant  $S$  depends only on  $n$ : see e.g. [8].) We can choose  $t$  so close to  $m$  that  $\text{meas } \Omega_t$  be as small as we like, and since  $b_i \in X_0^n(\Omega)$ , we obtain  $\sum_{i=1}^n \|b_i\|_{L^n(\Omega_t)} < \nu/S$ . Then from (4) we get

$$u_t = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega,$$

which is a contradiction, since  $m := \text{ess sup } u > t$ . ■

The following lemma is a more precise version of the classical Sobolev inequality.

LEMMA 2. - Let  $Q$  be a cube in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with side length  $r$ , and  $u \in H^1(Q)$ . Then there exists a constant  $K_2$ , depending only on  $n$ , such that

$$(5) \quad \|u\|_{L^{2n/(n-2)}(Q)} \leq K_2[(1/r)\|u\|_{L^2(Q)} + \|u_x\|_{L^2(Q)}].$$

PROOF. - A proof of this result can be found e.g. in [3]; we give an outline only for convenience of the reader. First of all, it is sufficient to consider the case  $r = 1$ , and the general case easily follows by a change of variables (dilation).

We can use inequalities (5.7), (5.8) of [3] replacing  $\Omega$  with  $Q$ ,  $l = 1$ ,  $p = 2$ , and obtain

$$(6) \quad \|u\|_{L^{2n/(n-2)}(Q)} \leq 2^{(n-2)/(2n-2)} \|u\|_{L^{(2n-2)/(n-2)}(Q)} + 4(n-1)/(n-2) \sum_{i=1}^n \|u_{x_i}\|_{L^2(Q)}.$$

Since  $2 < 2(n-1)/(n-2) < 2n/(n-2)$ , then from Lemma 3.1 of [3], with  $p_1 = 2$ ,  $p = 2(n-1)/(n-2)$ ,  $p_2 = 2n/(n-2)$ ,  $\varepsilon = 2^{-(3n-4)/(2n-2)}$ , we get:

$$(7) \quad \|u\|_{L^{(2n-2)/(n-2)}(Q)} \leq 2^{-(3n-4)/(2n-2)} \|u\|_{L^{2n/(n-2)}(Q)} + 2^{n(3n-4)/(2n-2)(n-2)} \|u\|_{L^2(Q)}.$$

We combine (7) and (6) and finally get

$$\|u\|_{L^{2n/(n-2)}(Q)} \leq 2^{(3n-4)/(n-2)} \|u\|_{L^2(Q)} + 8(n-1)/(n-2) \sum_{i=1}^n \|u_{x_i}\|_{L^2(Q)}$$

whence the conclusion (5) easily follows. ■

DEFINITION 2. - (Stampacchia [7]). *The bilinear form*

$$(8) \quad a(u, v) := \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_{x_i} v_{x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i u_{x_i} v + \sum_{i=1}^n d_i u v_{x_i} + cuv \right\} dx$$

is said to be coercitive on  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  if there exists a positive constant  $c_1$  such that

$$a(u, u) \geq c_1 \|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 \quad \forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

The following result is an extension of theorem 3.2 of Stampacchia [7].

LEMMA 3. - Suppose  $a_{ij}$  ( $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) as in Lemma 1,  $b_i, d_i \in X_0^n(\Omega)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ),  $c \in X_0^{n/2}(\Omega)$ ,  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  defined as in (8).

Then there exists a constant  $\lambda_0$  (depending on the coefficients of  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ ) such that the bilinear form

$$a(u, v) + \lambda \int_{\Omega} uv dx$$

is coercitive on  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  whenever  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ .

PROOF. - Let  $\{Q_h\}_{h \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a family of open cubes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with constant side length  $r$ , such that  $\bigcup_{h=1}^{+\infty} \overline{Q_h} = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $Q_k \cap Q_h = \emptyset$  if  $h \neq k$ . By the assumptions above and Definition

1, we can choose  $r > 0$  such that

$$(9) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \|b_i\|_{L^n(Q_h)} \leq \nu/8K_2, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \|d_i\|_{L^n(Q_h)} \leq \nu/8K_2, \quad \|c\|_{L^{n^2}(Q_h)} \leq \nu/8K_2^2, \\ (h = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then, taking Lemma 2 into account, if  $u \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  it turns out:

$$(10) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{Q_h} |b_i u_{x_i} u| dx \leq (\nu/8K_2) \|u\|_{L^{2n/(n-2)}(Q_h)} \|u_x\|_{L^2(Q_h)} \leq \\ \leq (\nu/8) \|u_x\|_{L^2(Q_h)} [(1/r) \|u\|_{L^2(Q_h)} + \|u_x\|_{L^2(Q_h)}] \leq \\ \leq (\nu/4) \|u_x\|_{L^2(Q_h)}^2 + (\nu/32r^2) \|u\|_{L^2(Q_h)}^2 \quad (h = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and, by the same procedure,

$$(11) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{Q_h} |d_i u_{x_i} u| dx \leq (\nu/4) \|u_x\|_{L^2(Q_h)}^2 + (\nu/32r^2) \|u\|_{L^2(Q_h)}^2 \quad (h = 1, 2, \dots)$$

$$(12) \quad \int_{Q_h} |cu^2| dx \leq \|c\|_{L^{n^2}(Q_h)} \|u\|_{L^{2n/(n-2)}(Q_h)}^2 \leq \\ \leq (\nu/4) \|u_x\|_{L^2(Q_h)}^2 + (\nu/4r^2) \|u\|_{L^2(Q_h)}^2 \quad (h = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Now suppose  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ; from (10) we easily deduce

$$(13) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i u_{x_i} u dx \right| \leq \sum_{h=1}^{+\infty} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_h} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n b_i u_{x_i} u \right| dx \leq \\ \leq (\nu/4) \sum_{h=1}^{+\infty} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_h} u_x^2 dx + (\nu/32r^2) \sum_{h=1}^{+\infty} \int_{\Omega \cap Q_h} u^2 dx = (\nu/4) \|u_x\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + (\nu/32r^2) \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$$

and similarly

$$(14) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i u_{x_i} u dx \right| \leq \dots \leq (\nu/4) \|u_x\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + (\nu/32r^2) \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2,$$

$$(15) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega} cu^2 dx \right| \leq \dots \leq (\nu/4) \|u_x\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + (\nu/4r^2) \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

From (13), (14), (15) and uniform ellipticity the conclusion follows, with  $\lambda_0 = 5\nu/16r^2 + \nu/4$  and  $c_1 = \nu/4$ . ■

Following Stampacchia [7] we have, first of all, that the Dirichlet problem

$$(16) \quad \begin{cases} a(u, v) + \lambda \int_{\Omega} uv \, dx = \langle T, v \rangle \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \\ u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \end{cases}$$

(with  $T$  given in  $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ ) has a unique solution if  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ . Notice, furthermore, that the Dirichlet problem

$$(17) \quad \begin{cases} a(u, v) = \langle T, v \rangle \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \\ u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution if the same holds in the particular case  $\langle T, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} uv \, dx$  with  $w \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ . In fact we have the following result:

LEMMA 4. - *Suppose that the Dirichlet problem*

$$(18) \quad \begin{cases} a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} wv \, dx \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \\ u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution whenever  $w$  is given in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ , and the a priori inequality

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq K_3 \|w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

holds. Then problem (17) also has a unique solution, and it turns out

$$(19) \quad \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq K_4 \|T\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}$$

where  $K_4$  depends on the coefficients of  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  ( $K_4$  can be explicitly evaluated).

PROOF. - Let  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$  (defined in Lemma 3). According to what we observed before, the problem

$$(20) \quad \begin{cases} a(u_1, v) + \lambda \int_{\Omega} u_1 v \, dx = \langle T, v \rangle \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \\ u_1 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution  $u_1$ , which satisfies the a priori inequality

$$(21) \quad \|u_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq (1/c_1) \|T\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}$$

where  $c_1$  is the constant in Definition 2. (Inequality (19) can be easily proved by using the fact that the bilinear form  $a(u, v) + \lambda \int_{\Omega} uv \, dx$  is coercitive on  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ ). Then we con-

sider the problem

$$(22) \quad \begin{cases} a(u_2, v) = \int_{\Omega} u_1 v \, dx \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \\ u_2 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \end{cases}$$

which by hypothesis has a unique solution  $u_2$ , and the inequality

$$(23) \quad \|u_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq K_3 \|u_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

holds. From (20), (22) we get

$$(24) \quad \begin{cases} a(u_1 + \lambda u_2, v) = \langle T, v \rangle \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \\ u_1 + \lambda u_2 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \end{cases}$$

i.e.  $u_1 + \lambda u_2$  is a solution of problem (17), and it is unique by hypothesis. Furthermore from (21), (23) we deduce

$$(25) \quad \|u_1 + \lambda u_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq (1/c_1)(1 + \lambda K_3) \|T\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}$$

whence (19), with  $K_4 = (1/c_1)(1 + \lambda_0 K_3)$ , and  $\lambda_0$  as in Lemma 3. ■

The following Lemma is an extension of a result by Miranda ([6], Theorem 4.1).

LEMMA 5. - Let  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be a solution of the equation

$$(26) \quad a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

with  $f \in L^q(\Omega) \quad \forall q \geq q_0$  ( $q_0$  constant,  $q_0 \geq 2$ ),  $b_i \in X_0^n(\Omega)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ),  $d_i \in X^p(\Omega)$  with  $p > n$ ,  $c = c' + c''$ ,  $c' \geq c_0$  ( $c_0$  positive constant),  $c' \in X^{n/2}(\Omega)$ ,  $c'' \in X^{np/(n+p)}(\Omega)$ .

Then there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\bar{q} \geq q_0$ ,  $K_5 > 0$  such that if  $\omega(d_i, n, 1) < \varepsilon$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ),  $\omega(c'', n/2, 1) < \varepsilon$ , then

$$\|u\|_{L^{\bar{q}}(\Omega)} \leq K_5 \|f\|_{L^{\bar{q}}(\Omega)}$$

PROOF. - By Remark 3 of [2] applied to the coefficients  $d_i, c''$ , it turns out  $d_i \in X_0^n(\Omega)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ),  $c'' \in X_0^{n/2}(\Omega)$ , so we can apply the Theorem of [2] obtaining  $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Therefore if  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\gamma \geq 0$ , then  $v := |u|^{\gamma+1} \text{sign}(u) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ . By choosing  $v$  as a test function we find (since  $v_{x_i} = (\gamma+1) |u|^\gamma u_{x_i}$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ ):

$$(27) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} a_{ij} u_{x_i} v_{x_j} \, dx \geq v(\gamma+1) \int_{\Omega} |u|^\gamma u_x^2 \, dx.$$

Furthermore, let  $\{Q_h\}_{h \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a family of cubes of side length  $r > 0$ , as in Lemma 3. We

have, by Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (28) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{Q_h} b_i u_{x_i} v \, dx \right| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|b_i\|_{L^n(Q_h)} \| |u|^{\gamma/2} u_x \|_{L^2(Q_h)} \| |u|^{\gamma^*} \|_{L^{2n/(n-2)}(Q_h)} \leq \\
 &\leq K_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \|b_i\|_{L^n(Q_h)} \| |u|^{\gamma/2} u_x \|_{L^2(Q_h)} \left[ \frac{1}{r} \| |u|^{\gamma^*} \|_{L^2(Q_h)} + \gamma^* \| |u|^{\gamma/2} u_x \|_{L^2(Q_h)} \right] \leq \\
 &\leq K_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \|b_i\|_{L^n(Q_h)} [(\gamma^* + \mu/2) \| |u|^{\gamma/2} u_x \|_{L^2(Q_h)}^2 + 1/(2r^2\mu) \| |u|^{\gamma^*} \|_{L^2(Q_h)}^2]
 \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\gamma^* := 1 + \gamma/2$ ,  $r > 0$  is as in Lemma 2 and  $\mu > 0$  arbitrary. Now let us choose  $r$  such that  $0 < r \leq 1$  and

$$(29) \quad K_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \|b_i\|_{L^n(Q_h)} \leq \nu/4 \quad (h = 1, 2, \dots)$$

this is possible according to the assumptions on the coefficients  $b_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ).  
 -Furthermore choose  $\mu$  in (28) such that

$$(30) \quad \mu = \nu/(2c_0 r^2).$$

Therefore from (28), (29), (30) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 (31) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{Q_h} b_i u_{x_i} v \, dx \right| &\leq \\
 &\leq [\nu(\gamma^* + \nu/(4c_0 r^2))/4] \| |u|^{\gamma/2} u_x \|_{L^2(Q_h)}^2 + (c_0/4) \| |u|^{\gamma^*} \|_{L^2(Q_h)}^2 \quad (h = 1, 2, \dots).
 \end{aligned}$$

If we choose

$$(32) \quad \gamma := \max(1, \nu/(2c_0 r^2), q_0 - 2)$$

we get

$$\nu/(4c_0 r^2) \leq \gamma/2$$

With all these choices (31) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 (33) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{Q_h} b_i u_{x_i} v \, dx \right| &\leq \\
 &\leq [\nu(1 + \gamma)/4] \| |u|^{\gamma/2} u_x \|_{L^2(Q_h)}^2 + (c_0/4) \| |u|^{\gamma^*} \|_{L^2(Q_h)}^2 \quad (h = 1, 2, \dots)
 \end{aligned}$$

whence, by summing on  $h$ , we finally get

$$(34) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} b_i u_{x_i} v \, dx \right| \leq [\nu(1 + \gamma)/4] \| |u|^{\gamma/2} u_x \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + (c_0/4) \| |u|^{\gamma^*} \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

By a similar procedure we can evaluate the other terms of the bilinear form  $a(u, v)$ . We

have (again, by Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2):

$$\begin{aligned}
 (35) \quad & (\gamma + 1)^{-1} K_2^{-1} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{Q_h} d_i u v_{x_i} dx \right| \leq \\
 & \leq K_2^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|d_i\|_{L^n(Q_h)} \| |u|^{\gamma/2} u_x \|_{L^2(Q_h)} \| |u|^{\gamma^*} \|_{L^{2n/(n-2)}(Q_h)} \leq \\
 & \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|d_i\|_{L^n(Q_h)} \| |u|^{\gamma/2} u_x \|_{L^2(Q_h)} \left[ \frac{1}{r} \| |u|^{\gamma^*} \|_{L^2(Q_h)} + \gamma^* \| |u|^{\gamma/2} u_x \|_{L^2(Q_h)} \right] \leq \\
 & \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|d_i\|_{L^n(Q_h)} [(1 + \gamma^*) \| |u|^{\gamma/2} u_x \|_{L^2(Q_h)}^2 + (1/4r^2) \| |u|^{\gamma^*} \|_{L^2(Q_h)}^2] \quad (h = 1, 2, \dots)
 \end{aligned}$$

whence, by summing over  $h$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (36) \quad & (\gamma + 1)^{-1} K_2^{-1} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} d_i u v_{x_i} dx \right| \leq \\
 & \leq \left[ \sup_h \sum_{i=1}^n \|d_i\|_{L^n(Q_h)} \right] \left[ (1 + \gamma^*) \| |u|^{\gamma/2} u_x \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + (1/4r^2) \| |u|^{\gamma^*} \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly again

$$\begin{aligned}
 (37) \quad & \left| \int_{Q_h} c'' u v dx \right| \leq \int_{Q_h} |c''| |u|^{\gamma+2} dx \leq \|c''\|_{L^{n/2}(Q_h)} \| |u|^{\gamma^*} \|_{L^{2n/(n-2)}(Q_h)} \leq \\
 & \leq K_2^2 \|c''\|_{L^{n/2}(Q_h)} [\gamma^* \| |u|^{\gamma/2} u_x \|_{L^2(Q_h)} + (1/r) \| |u|^{1+\gamma/2} \|_{L^2(Q_h)}]^2 \leq \\
 & \leq 2K_2^2 \|c''\|_{L^{n/2}(Q_h)} [(\gamma^*)^2 \| |u|^{\gamma/2} u_x \|_{L^2(Q_h)}^2 + (1/r^2) \| |u|^{\gamma^*} \|_{L^2(Q_h)}^2] \\
 & \hspace{20em} (h = 1, 2, \dots)
 \end{aligned}$$

and by summing over  $h$

$$(38) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega} c'' u v dx \right| \leq 2K_2^2 \left[ \sup_h \|c''\|_{L^{n/2}(Q_h)} \right] \left[ (\gamma^*)^2 \| |u|^{\gamma/2} u_x \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + (1/r^2) \| |u|^{\gamma^*} \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right].$$

From (27), (34), (36), (38) we easily get the result. In fact if we choose  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$(39) \quad 0 < \varepsilon \leq \min \{ c_0 r^2 / [K_2(\gamma + 1)], c_0 r^2 / (8K_2^2), \nu / [2K_2(\gamma + 4)], \nu / [K_2^2(\gamma + 2)^2] \}$$



since  $0 < r \leq 1$ , then from (36), (38)

$$(40) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} d_i u v_{x_i} dx \right| \leq [\nu(\gamma + 1)/4] \| |u|^{\nu/2} u_x \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + (c_0/4) \| |u|^{\gamma^*} \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$$

$$(41) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega} c'' u v dx \right| \leq [\nu(\gamma + 1)/4] \| |u|^{\nu/2} u_x \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + (c_0/4) \| |u|^{\gamma^*} \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

From (27), (34), (40), (41), using (26) we deduce

$$(42) \quad \|f\|_{L^{\gamma+2}(\Omega)} \|u\|_{L^{\gamma+2}(\Omega)}^{\gamma+1} \geq \int_{\Omega} f v dx = a(u, v) \geq \nu(\gamma + 1) \| |u|^{\nu/2} u_x \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + c_0 \| |u|^{\gamma^*} \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 +$$

$$-(\nu/4 + \nu/4 + \nu/4)(\gamma + 1) \| |u|^{\nu/2} u_x \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - (3c_0/4) \| |u|^{\gamma^*} \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \geq (c_0/4) \|u\|_{L^{\gamma+2}(\Omega)}^{\gamma+2}$$

whence finally

$$(43) \quad \|u\|_{L^{\gamma+2}(\Omega)} \leq (4/c_0) \|f\|_{L^{\gamma+2}(\Omega)}.$$

The assertion is therefore proved with  $\bar{q} := \gamma + 2$ ,  $K_5 := 4/c_0$ ,  $\gamma$  given by (32), and  $\varepsilon$  defined as in (39). ■

It is now convenient to define the «dual bilinear form» with respect to  $a(u, v)$  as follows:

$$(44) \quad a'(u, v) := a(v, u) \quad \forall u, v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

It is clear that, going from  $a(u, v)$  to  $a'(u, v)$ , we interchange the coefficients  $b_i$  with the  $d_i$ 's. Using the fact that  $L^p(\Omega)$  and  $L^q(\Omega)$  are dual spaces if  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ , it is easy to prove that Lemma 5 is equivalent to the following:

LEMMA 5'. - Let  $w \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be a solution of the equation

$$(45) \quad a(w, v) = \int_{\Omega} g v dx \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

with  $g \in L^p(\Omega) \forall p \in (1, p_0)$  ( $p_0$  constant,  $p_0 \in (1, 2]$ ),  $d_i \in X_0^n(\Omega)$ ,  $b_i \in X^q(\Omega)$  with  $q > n$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ),  $c = c' + c''$ ,  $c' \in X^{n/2}(\Omega)$ ,  $c' \geq c_0$  ( $c_0$  positive constant),  $c'' \in X^{nq/(n+q)}(\Omega)$ . Then there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\bar{p} \in (1, p_0]$ ,  $K_6 > 0$  such that if  $\omega(b_i, n, 1) < \varepsilon$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ),  $\omega(c'', n/2, 1) < \varepsilon$ , then

$$(46) \quad \|w\|_{L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)} \leq K_6 \|g\|_{L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)}$$

PROOF. - As in [4], [5], we may assume without loss of generality that  $\Omega$  is bounded, provided the constants in the a priori inequalities we prove are independent on  $\Omega$ . Notice also that we have supposed the coefficients  $b_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) to be sufficiently small, instead of the  $d_i$ 's as in Lemma 5. Therefore it is possible to apply Lemma 5 provided we

replace the bilinear form  $a(u, v)$  with  $a'(u, v) := a(v, u)$  since, as we have already remarked, in this way the roles of the coefficients  $b_i$  and  $d_i$  are reversed.

Let  $w$  be as in the hypothesis; we want to show

$$(47) \quad \|w\|_{L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)} \leq K_6 \|g\|_{L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)}$$

with  $K_6 = K_5$ ,  $1/\bar{p} + 1/\bar{q} = 1$ ,  $K_5$ ,  $\bar{q}$  as in Lemma 5. From well known results (see e.g [1]) we have

$$(48) \quad \|w\|_{L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)} = \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} wf \, dx : f \in L^{\bar{q}}(\Omega), \|f\|_{L^{\bar{q}}(\Omega)} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Let  $f \in L^{\bar{q}}(\Omega) \forall \bar{q} \geq 2$ . Consider the Dirichlet problem

$$(49) \quad \begin{cases} a'(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} fv \, dx \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \\ u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \end{cases}$$

The solution  $u$  is unique by Lemma 5. Since  $\Omega$  is supposed to be bounded, the Riesz-Fredholm theory is valid and uniqueness of  $u$  implies its existence. By applying again Lemma 5 to the solution  $u$ , we get the existence of a number  $\bar{q} \geq 2$  such that

$$(50) \quad \|u\|_{L^{\bar{q}}(\Omega)} \leq K_5 \|f\|_{L^{\bar{q}}(\Omega)}.$$

From (45), (49) it clearly follows

$$(51) \quad a'(u, w) = \int_{\Omega} fw \, dx = \int_{\Omega} gw \, dx.$$

From (48), (51), Lemma 5 and Hölder's inequality we finally get

$$(52) \quad \|w\|_{L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)} = \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} gw \, dx : f \in L^{\bar{q}}(\Omega), \|f\|_{L^{\bar{q}}(\Omega)} \leq 1 \right\} \leq \\ \leq \sup \{ \|g\|_{L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)} \|u\|_{L^{\bar{q}}(\Omega)} : f \in L^{\bar{q}}(\Omega), \|f\|_{L^{\bar{q}}(\Omega)} \leq 1 \} \leq K_5 \|g\|_{L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)}$$

which completes the proof. ■

The next result, in a similar form, was already used in [4].

LEMMA 6. - Let  $\alpha \in Lip(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $\alpha \geq \bar{c}$  ( $\bar{c}$  positive constant) in  $\Omega$ , and  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  be a solution of the equation

$$\alpha(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ f_0 v + \sum_{i=1}^n f_i v_{x_i} \right\} dx \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

(where the bilinear form  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  is defined in (8)). Then the function  $\alpha u$  is solution of

the equation

$$a^*(\alpha u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \left( \alpha f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \alpha_{x_i} \right) v + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha f_i v_{x_i} \right\} dx \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

where we define

$$(53) \quad a^*(u, v) := \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}^* u_{x_i} v_{x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i^* u_{x_i} v + d_i^* u v_{x_i}) + c^* uv \right\} dx,$$

$$a_{ij}^* := a_{ij} \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

$$b_i^* := b_i + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \alpha_{x_j} / \alpha \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

$$d_i^* := d_i - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ji} \alpha_{x_j} / \alpha \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

$$c^* := c - \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - d_i) \alpha_{x_i} / \alpha - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \alpha_{x_i} \alpha_{x_j} / \alpha^2.$$

PROOF. - The proof can be left to the reader. ■

### 3. - Main result.

**THEOREM 1.** - *Suppose that the bilinear form  $a_0(\cdot, \cdot)$  (defined in (2)) satisfies the same hypotheses of Lemma 1 and that there exists  $p > n$  such that  $b_i \in X^p(\Omega)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ). Then the Dirichlet problem (1) has a solution  $u$ , satisfying (2).*

PROOF. - We partially follow the same procedure of [4], [5]. First of all, according to Lemma 4, it is sufficient to show that the Dirichlet problem

$$(54) \quad \begin{cases} a_0(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} f v dx \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \\ u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \end{cases}$$

has a solution whenever  $f$  is given in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  or, more generally, in  $L^2(\Omega)$ ; this in turn is equivalent to show the a priori inequality

$$(55) \quad \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq K_7 \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

for the solution  $u$  of (54). If  $u$  is a solution of (54) and  $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ , we know that

$$(56) \quad \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq (1/c_0) \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$$

therefore it would be sufficient to prove an inequality such as

$$(57) \quad \|u\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq K_8 \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)}$$

in order to get (55) by interpolation. Using again a duality argument, we remark that (57) is equivalent to

$$(58) \quad \|w\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq K_9 \|g\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$$

where  $w \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  is the solution of the dual problem

$$(59) \quad a_0'(w, v) := a_0(v, w) = \\ = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} w_{x_j} v_{x_i} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i w v_{x_i} + c w v \right\} dx = \int_{\Omega} g v dx \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

We also observe that, by the same duality arguments as above, the inequality

$$(60) \quad \|w\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq (1/c_0) \|g\|_{L^1(\Omega)}$$

holds, since it follows from (56). Finally, as in [4], [5] without loss of generality we can suppose  $\Omega$  to be bounded, provided we prove that all the constants in the a priori inequalities are independent on  $\Omega$ .

By using the above lemmata, we prove (58) as follows. Let  $\{Q_h\}_{h \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a family of cubes of constant side length  $r = 1$  which cover  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as in Lemma 3;

let  $\phi_h := \chi_{Q_h}$  ( $h = 1, 2, \dots$ ), so that  $\sum_{h=1}^{+\infty} \phi_h(x) = 1$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $g$  be a given function in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  and consider the solution  $w_h$  of the Dirichlet problem

$$(61) \quad \begin{cases} a_0'(w_h, v) = \int_{\Omega} \phi_h g v dx & \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \\ w_h \in H_0^1(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

Since  $\phi_h$  has compact support and  $g \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ , obviously  $\phi_h g \in L^q(\Omega)$  for all  $q \geq 1$ , therefore from (60) it follows

$$(62) \quad \|w_h\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq (1/c_0) \|\phi_h g\|_{L^1(\Omega)}.$$

From (62) and the results of [2] (see Remark 4 in particular) we easily deduce

$$(63) \quad \|w_h\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq K_{10} \|\phi_h g\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$$

(note that  $\|\phi_h g\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \|\phi_h g\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ ). Inequality (63) has the same form as (58), so by the interpolation argument above we have, for the time being, existence and uniqueness of the solution  $w_h$  of problem (61), and this is true for any  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Notice also that it turns out  $\sum_{h=1}^{+\infty} w_h = w$  because  $\sum_{h=1}^{+\infty} \phi_h g = g$  in  $\Omega$  and because of uniqueness which follows from (60). (As a matter of fact, since we have temporarily supposed  $\Omega$  to be bounded, the sums with respect to  $h$  are finite, so  $\sum_h w_h$  obviously belongs

to  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . From (63) the a priori inequality for  $w$  in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  would follow, but the constant would be dependent on  $\Omega$  (more precisely, on the maximum value of  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $Q_h \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$ ). Therefore a different argument must be used, as in [4], [5].

Let  $x_h$  be the center of the cube  $Q_h$  (for  $h = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $\mu$  a positive constant; define  $\alpha_h(x) := e^{\mu|x-x_h|}$ . According to Lemma 6, the function  $\alpha_h w_h$  satisfies the equation

$$(64) \quad a^*(\alpha_h w_h, v) = \int_{\Omega} \alpha_h \phi_h g v \, dx \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

where the bilinear form  $a^*(., .)$  has coefficients

$$a_{ij}^* := a_{ji} \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

$$b_i^* := \mu \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ji}(x_j - x_{hj})/|x - x_h|, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

$$d_i^* := b_i - \mu \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(x_j - x_{hj})/|x - x_h|, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

$$c^* := c + \mu \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x_i - x_{hi})/|x - x_h| - \mu^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x_i - x_{hi})(x_j - x_{hj})/|x - x_h|^2$$

From the expressions of these coefficients and Lemma 5', we can choose  $\mu > 0$  so small that Lemma 5' can be applied: therefore we deduce the following a priori inequality for the function  $\alpha_h w_h$ :

$$(65) \quad \|\alpha_h w_h\|_{L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)} \leq K_6 \|\alpha_h \phi_h g\|_{L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)} \quad (h = 1, 2, \dots)$$

for some  $\bar{p} \geq 1$ . Furthermore, obviously

$$(66) \quad \|\alpha_h \phi_h g\|_{L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)} \leq K_{11} \|g\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$$

where the constant  $K_{11}$  depends only on  $n$  and  $\mu$ . So by applying the results of [2] we deduce

$$(67) \quad \|\alpha_h w_h\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq K_{12} \left[ \|\alpha_h w_h\|_{L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)} + \|\alpha_h \phi_h g\|_{L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)} \right].$$

From the above inequalities and the definition of  $\alpha_h$  it follows

$$(68) \quad |w_h(x)| \leq K_{13} e^{-\mu|x-x_h|} \|g\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \quad (h = 1, 2, \dots)$$

whence

$$(69) \quad |w(x)| \leq \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} |w_h(x)| \leq K_{13} \|g\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \sum_{h=1}^{+\infty} e^{-\mu|x-x_h|} \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Since the series on the right hand side converges, (58) is proved and the assertion follows as explained before. ■

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. BRÉZIS, *Analyse fonctionnelle, théorie et applications*, Masson, Paris, 1992.
  - [2] M. CHICCO - M. VENTURINO, *A priori inequalities in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  for solutions of elliptic equations in unbounded domains*, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova*, **102** (1999), pp. 141-149.
  - [3] E. GAGLIARDO, *Proprietà di alcune classi di funzioni in più variabili*, *Ricerche Mat.*, **7** (1958), pp. 102-137.
  - [4] P. L. LIONS, *Remarques sur les équations linéaires elliptiques du second ordre sous forme divergence dans les domaines non bornés*, *Atti Acc. Naz. Lincei Rend. Sc. Fis. Mat. Natur.* (8), **78** (1985), pp. 205-212.
  - [5] P. L. LIONS, *Remarques sur les équations linéaires elliptiques du second ordre sous forme divergence dans les domaines non bornés, II*, *Atti Acc. Naz. Lincei Rend. Sc. Fis. Mat. Natur.* (8), **79** (1985), pp. 178-183.
  - [6] C. MIRANDA, *Alcune osservazioni sulla maggiorazione in  $L^p$  delle soluzioni delle equazioni ellittiche del secondo ordine*, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4), **61** (1963), pp. 151-170.
  - [7] G. STAMPACCHIA, *Le problème de Dirichlet pour les équations elliptiques du second ordre à coefficients discontinus*, *Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble*, **15** (1965), pp. 189-258.
  - [8] G. TALENTI, *Best constant in Sobolev inequality*, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4), **110** (1976), pp. 353-372.
-