## ERRATUM

Analytic perturbation functions for static interactions in perturbed angular correlations of $\gamma$-rays, T. Butz, Hyp. Int. 52(1989) 189.

Please correct the following errors:
eq. (20) $\quad P_{2}(\cos \varepsilon)=\frac{1}{16}\left(1 \pm 12 \cos \omega_{\mathrm{L}} t+3 \cos 2 \omega_{\mathrm{L}} t\right)$
eq. (77)

$$
\hat{U}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
a_{5 / 2} & 0 & b_{5 / 2} & 0 & c_{5 / 2} & 0 \\
0 & c_{3 / 2} & 0 & b_{3 / 2} & 0 & a_{3 / 2} \\
a_{1 / 2} & 0 & b_{1 / 2} & 0 & c_{1 / 2} & 0 \\
0 & c_{1 / 2} & 0 & b_{1 / 2} & 0 & a_{1 / 2} \\
a_{3 / 2} & 0 & b_{3 / 2} & 0 & c_{3 / 2} & 0 \\
0 & c_{5 / 2} & 0 & b_{5 / 2} & 0 & a_{5 / 2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{l}
a_{5 / 2} \\
b_{5 / 2} \\
c_{5 / 2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
1-18 \eta^{2} /\left(\left(-8-E_{1}\right)\left(-2-E_{1}\right)\right) \\
-\eta \sqrt{10} /\left(-8-E_{1}\right) \\
\eta^{2} \sqrt{180} /\left(\left(-8-E_{1}\right)\left(-2-E_{1}\right)\right)
\end{array}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{N_{5 / 2}}, \\
& \left(\begin{array}{l}
a_{1 / 2} \\
b_{1 / 2} \\
c_{1 / 2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
-\eta \sqrt{10} /\left(10-E_{3}\right) \\
1 \\
-\eta \sqrt{18} /\left(\left(-2-E_{3}\right)\right.
\end{array}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{N_{1 / 2}} \\
& \left(\begin{array}{l}
a_{3 / 2} \\
b_{3 / 2} \\
c_{3 / 2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\eta^{2} \sqrt{180} /\left(\left(-8-E_{2}\right)\left(10-E_{2}\right)\right) \\
-\eta \sqrt{18} /\left(-8-E_{2}\right) \\
1-10 \eta^{2} /\left(\left(-8-E_{2}\right)\left(10-E_{2}\right)\right)
\end{array}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{N_{3 / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

with the normalization factors

$$
N_{x}=\sqrt{a_{x}^{2}+b_{x}^{2}+c_{x}^{2}}, \quad x=5 / 2,1 / 2,3 / 2
$$

As prophesied after eq. (9), I became a victim of my change of nomenclature during the revision. However, there were serious errors in the eigenvectors, too.

Two lines above eq. (80): ". . . out of $1 / 2,3 / 2,5 / 2$ not yet used. . ."
A Pascal version of a program illustrating the use of eqs. (77) and (79) together with table 2 and the complementarity rule eq. (80) or (85) is available from the author upon request.

I am grateful to $P$. Steiner for pointing out errors in eq. (77).

