

μ -Homotopic Maps are Loop Homotopic (*) (**).

NORBERT H. SCHLOMIUK (Montréal, Canada)

Summary. – In [4] we have defined a notion of μ -homotopy in the category of simplicial groups and we have made the conjecture that μ -homotopy is equivalent to loop homotopy. The purpose of this paper is to prove this conjecture.

Introduction.

In [4] we have developed a theory of principal cofibre bundles, dual in the sense of ECKMANN-HILTON [1] to the theory principal fibre bundles with group G . We have shown that any such bundle with « cobase » A and « cofibre » FK where FK is MILNOR's F construction [3] over the simplicial set K , is induced via a map from GK into A . Here G is the construction of KAN [2]. The question whether loop-homotopic maps induce equivalent cofibre bundles remained open; it was only shown that if we replace the usual definition of homotopy in the category \mathcal{G} of simplicial groups, namely that of loop-homotopy by an ad hoc notion of μ -homotopy, then it is true that two maps from GK into A are μ -homotopic if and only if they induce equivalent bundles. We conjectured that μ -homotopy is equivalent to loop-homotopy. We shall prove (Theorem 1.3) that this is indeed the case and we conclude that two loop-homotopic maps from A to B induce equivalent bundles and that two maps from GK into A induce equivalent bundles if and if they are loop-homotopic.

1. – Let K be a simplicial set which is reduced i.e. it has only one 0-simplex k_0 , and let K_n represent the set of all simplexes of K of dimension n . Using the same notations as in [4] we define the simplicial group GK by constructing $(GK)_n$ as the group with generators $\tau(x)$, $x \in K_{n+1}$ and relations $\tau(s_0x) = e_n$ (the unit element). The face and degeneracy operators in K are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_0(\tau(x)) &= \tau(\partial_0x)^{-1}(\partial_1x) \\ \partial_i(\tau(x)) &= \tau(\partial_{i+1}(x)) \quad \text{if } i \neq 0 \\ s_i(\tau(x)) &= \tau(s_{i+1}(x)) \quad \text{if } i \geq 0\end{aligned}$$

(*) Entrata in Redazione il 25 febbraio 1972.

(**) This work was done while the author held a Visiting Professorship at the Istituto Matematico, Università di Perugia, under the auspices of the Italian National Research Council.

Our notations and conventions are different from those of [2]; also we would like to point out that there is an obvious misprint in the definition of $\partial_0(\tau(x))$ given in [4].

Given a simplicial group G and a simplicial set K , we shall construct following [2] the « tensor product » $G \otimes K$ as the simplicial group generated by the symbols $g \otimes x$, where $g \in G$ and $x \in K$, with the relations $(g \otimes x)(h \otimes x) = gh \otimes x$. The face and degeneracy operators are defined by $\partial_i(g \otimes x) = (\partial_i g \otimes \partial_i x)$ and $s_i(g \otimes x) = (s_i g \otimes s_i x)$. The unit interval I is the simplicial set consisting of one non-degenerate 1-simplex 01 , its vertices 0 and 1 and their degeneracies. Any simplex of I can be represented as $0^p 1^q$, $p+q \leq 1$, $p \geq 0$, $q \geq 0$. There are two obvious imbeddings of G into $G \otimes I$ namely i_0 defined by $i_0(g) = g \otimes 1^{n+1}$ and i_1 defined by $i_1(g) = g \otimes 0^{n+1}$. It is obvious from the contractibility of I , that as simplicial sets $i_j(G)$ are deformations retracts of $G \otimes I$.

DEFINITION 1.1. [2]. - Two morphisms $f_0, f_1: G \rightarrow H$, $G, H \in \mathfrak{G}$ are called loop-homotopic if there is a morphism $F: G \otimes I \rightarrow H$ such that $f_0 = F i_0$, $f_1 = F i_1$.

It has been shown in [2] that if G is free, the loop-homotopy relation is an equivalence relation.

Let $G = GK$ where K is a reduced simplicial set.

DEFINITION 1.2. [4]. - Two morphisms $f_0, f_1: GK \rightarrow A$, $A \in \mathfrak{G}$ are called μ -homotopic if there is a map $\mu: K \rightarrow A$, $\mu(K_n) = A_n$ such that:

$$\partial_0(\mu(x)) = f_0(\tau(x))^{-1} \mu(\partial_0 x) f_1(\tau(x))$$

$$\partial_i(\mu(x)) = \mu(\partial_i x), \quad i \neq 0$$

$$s_i(\mu(x)) = \mu(s_i x), \quad i \geq 0.$$

Our main result is the following

THEOREM 1.3. - If K is a reduced simplicial set and A is a simplicial group, two morphisms from GK to A are μ -homotopic if and only if they are loop-homotopic.

In view of the preceding result, Theorem 2.6.5. of [4] can now be rephrased as

COROLLARY 1.4. - Two principal cofibre bundles with cobase A and cofibre FK are equivalent if and only if they are induced by loop-homotopic morphisms $GK \rightarrow A$.

This is a dual of the classification theorem of principal fibre bundles. (For a definition of principal cofibre bundles see [4]). In general if A is any simplicial group and P is a principal cofibre bundles with cobase A and cofibre FK then any morphism $f: A \rightarrow A$ induces a principal cofibre bundles with cobase B and cofibre FK . Since P itself is induced by $k: GK \rightarrow A$ two cofibre bundles induced by loop-homotopic morphisms $f_1, f_2: A \rightarrow A$ are induced from the universal bundle b the loop-homotopic morphisms $f_0 k, f_1 k: GK \rightarrow A$ and are therefore equivalent. Thus we have:

COROLLARY 1.5. - *If P is a principal cofibre bundle over A and $f_0, f_1: A \rightarrow B$ are loop-homotopic, then the induced bundles f_0P, f_1P are equivalent.*

Since the unit interval is not reduced, the cartesian product between a reduced simplicial set K and I is not a reduced simplicial set. This forces us to use the following

DEFINITION 1.6. - *The reduced cartesian product $Kx'I$ where K is reduced, is the simplicial set obtained from KxI by identifying all the simplexes of the form $(s_0^n k_0, x)$ $x \in I_n$ with one completely degenerated simplex $s_0^n y_0$.*

The next sections will be concerned with the proof of Theorem 1.3.

2. - Let j_0 denote the imbedding of K into $Kx'I$ defined by $j_0(x) = (x, 1^{n+1})$ and let $j_1(x) = (x, 0^{n+1})$.

LEMMA 2.1. - *Let $f_0, f_1: GK \rightarrow A$ be two μ -homotopic morphisms. Then there exists a morphism $F: G(Kx'I) \rightarrow A$ such that $F_0 G j_i = f_i, i = 0, 1$.*

PROOF. - Let $F: G(Kx'I) \rightarrow A$ be defined on the free generators of $G(Kx'I)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F(\tau(x, 1^x)) &= f_0(\tau(x)) \\ F(\tau(x, 01^n)) &= \mu(\partial_0 x) f_1(\tau(x)) \\ F(\tau(x, 0^p 1^q)) &= f_1(\tau(x)), \quad n+1 = p+q = N, \quad p \geq 2, \end{aligned}$$

It can be checked directly, by using the definition of μ -homotopy and that of the face and degeneracy operators in GK , that the mapping F satisfies our requirements.

LEMMA 2.2. - *If two morphisms $f_0, f_1: GK \rightarrow A$ satisfy the conclusions of Lemma 2.1., they are loop-homotopic.*

PROOF. - Let \overline{W} be the functor from \mathcal{G} to the category \mathfrak{S} of reduced simplicial sets defined in [2]. There is a natural map α which establishes a 1-1 correspondence between \mathcal{G} -morphisms: $GK \rightarrow A$ and \mathfrak{S} -morphisms: $K \rightarrow \overline{W}A$. Therefore the map $\alpha(F)$ is a homotopy in \mathfrak{S} between $\alpha(f_0)$ and $\alpha(f_1)$ (see Proposition 10.5 of [2]). By applying Theorem 11.1 [2] we conclude that f_0 and f_1 are loop-homotopic.

3. - We now prove that loop-homotopy implies μ -homotopy. Let $p: G \otimes I \rightarrow G$ be the canonical projection $p(g \otimes x) = g, g \in G, x \in I, G \in \mathcal{G}$. Then we have the fibration

$$1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \otimes I \xrightarrow{p} G \rightarrow 1$$

and since $i_0(G)$ is a deformation retract of $G \otimes I$ and $pi_0 = 1$, the fiber (kernel) H has trivial homotopy groups. (In fact it is contractible.)

LEMMA 3.1. - Given simplexes x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n in H_{n-1} such that $\partial_i x_j = \partial_{j-1} x_i$ for all $i < j$, there exists a simplex $y \in H_n$ such that $\partial_i y = x_i$ for all i .

PROOF. - Since H satisfies the KAN extension condition, we can find $z \in H$ such that $\partial_i z = x_i^{-1}$ for $i \neq 0$. Then $x_0 \partial_0 z$ satisfies $\partial_i(x_0 \partial_0 z) = e_{n-1}$ for all i . By the vanishing of the motopy groups of H , there exists $w \in H_n$ such that $\partial_0 w = x_0 \partial_0 z$ and $\partial_i w = e_n$ for all $i \neq 0$. We can take $y = wz^{-1}$.

We shall now prove by induction on n the following statement: (a_n) Let i_0, i_1 be canonical imbeddings: $GK \rightarrow GK \otimes I$, where K is a reduced simplicial set. Then there exists a μ -homotopy $\mu: K_k \rightarrow GK \otimes I$ defined for $k \leq n$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i)} \quad & \mu(K_k) \subset H_k \\ \text{ii)} \quad & \partial_0 \mu(x) = i_0(\tau(x))^{-1} \mu(\partial_0 x) i_1(\tau(x)) \\ & \partial_i \mu(x) = \mu(\partial_i x), \quad i > 0 \\ & s_i \mu(x) = \mu(s_i x), \quad i \geq 0, x \in K_{k-1}, k \leq n-1. \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 3.2. - (a_n) is true for all $n \geq 0$.

Assuming for the moment the validity of 3.2. we obtain

COROLLARY 3.3. - If two morphisms $f_0, f_1: GK \rightarrow A$ are loop-homotopic, they are μ -homotopic.

PROOF. - By hypothesis, there is a morphisms $F: GK \otimes I \rightarrow A$ such that $f_0 = Fi_0$, $f_1 = Fi_1$. Then the composition $\bar{\mu} = F\mu$, where μ has been constructed in Proposition 3.2. yields the required μ -homotopy between f_0 and f_1 .

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.2. - For $n = 0$, set $\mu(x) = \mu(k_0) = e_0$ and there is nothing to verify. Suppose that we have proved (a_{n-1}). If $x = s_i y$, $y \in K_{n-1}$ define $\mu(x) = s_i \mu(y)$. Then $\mu(x) \in H_n$ satisfies conditions i) and ii) of (a_n).

If $x \in K_n$ is non-degenerate, consider the elements

$$\begin{aligned} y_0 &= i_0(\tau(x))^{-1} (\partial_0 x) i_1(\tau(x)) \\ y_i &= \mu(\partial_i x), \quad i > 0. \\ y_0 &= i_0(\tau(x))^{-1} (\partial_0 x) i_1(\tau(x)) \end{aligned}$$

$y_i \in H_{n-1}$ satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 3.1 and we can define $\mu(x) \in H_n$ as the element satisfying $\partial_i(x) = y_i$. This proves (a_n) and concludes the proof of Proposition 3.2.

Lemma 2.2. and Corollary 3.3 yield Theorem 1.3.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. ECKMANN - P. J. HILTON, *Groupes d'homotopie et dualité, I, II, III*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris (1958), pp. 244, 2555, 2993.
 - [2] D. M. KAN, *On homotopy theory and c.s.s. groups*, Ann. of Math., **68** (1958), pp. 38-53.
 - [3] J. MILNOR, *The construction FK*, Lecture notes, Princeton Univ., Princeton, N.J., 1956.
 - [4] N. H. SCHLOMIUK, *Principal cofibrations in the category of simplicial groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **146** (1969), pp. 151-166.
-