

Remarks on an Inequality of Schulenberg and Wilcox (*) (**).

LEONARD SARASON (a Seattle, U.S.A.)

Summary. — *J. R. Schulenberg and C. H. Wilcox [1], [2], have proven a coerciveness inequality for a class of nonelliptic first-order partial differential operators of the form $A = E^{-1}A_j D_j$, where A_j ($j = 1, \dots, n$) is a constant $m \times m$ Hermitian matrix, $E = E(x)$ is uniformly positive definite, bounded, and uniformly differentiable Hermitian $m \times m$ matrix, and where the symbol $A(p, x) = E(x)^{-1}A_j p_j$ has constant rank for all $p \in R^n - \{0\}$ and $x \in R^n$. They prove coerciveness on $N(A)^\perp$, the orthogonal complement of the null space $N(A)$ relative to the inner product*

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{R^n} u(x) \cdot E(x) v(x) dx.$$

Their proof is rather long, a simpler and shorter proof is given here. This proof leads naturally to a generalization of their results to the case where the A_j 's need not be Hermitian.

Let A_1, \dots, A_n be constant Hermitian $m \times m$ matrices with the property that the symbol

$$A(p) = \sum A_j p_j$$

has constant rank for all real $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n) \neq 0$. Let $E(x)$ be a matrix function of $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^n$ which is uniformly positive definite, bounded, and with uniformly bounded first derivatives in R^n . Let

$$(1) \quad A = E(x)^{-1} \sum A_j D_j, \quad x \in R^n, \quad D_j = \frac{1}{i} \partial / \partial x_j.$$

SCHULEMBERGER and WILCOX define the space \mathfrak{H} as the completion of the space C_0^∞ with respect to the norm

$$(2) \quad \|u\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 = \langle u, u \rangle = \int_{R^n} u(x) \cdot E(x) u(x) dx,$$

and prove the following theorem:

THEOREM 1. — *Let N be the null space of A . There exists $c > 0$ such that if u is in the domain of A and is orthogonal (with respect to the \mathfrak{H} inner product) to N ,*

(*) Entrato in Redazione il 31 marzo 1971.

(**) Work supported in part by NSF grant GP-17526.

then the first derivatives of u are \mathcal{K} and satisfy

$$(3) \quad \sum \|D^i u\|_{\mathcal{K}} \leq c(\|u\|_{\mathcal{K}} + \|Au\|_{\mathcal{K}}).$$

We now present our shorter proof.

We first observe that because of the conditions on E , the spaces \mathcal{K} and $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n) = H$ have the same elements and equivalent norms, and that the operations of multiplication by $E(x)$ or $E^{-1}(x)$ are bounded in \mathcal{K} , H , and in the space H^1 with norm

$$\|u\|_1^2 = \|u\|^2 + \sum_j \|D_j u\|^2,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Also, one can write

$$\langle u, v \rangle = (u, Ev),$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the inner product in H .

Let L be the operator

$$L = \sum A_j D_j = EA,$$

and let N be its null space. Because of the above remarks we can restate Theorem 1 in the following form:

THEOREM 1'. - There exists $c > 0$ such that if u is in the domain of L and in orthogonal in H to EN , then u is in H^1 , and

$$(3') \quad \|u\|_1 \leq c(\|u\| + \|Lu\|).$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1'. - Denote the orthogonal complements in H of N and of EN by N^\perp and $(EN)^\perp$ respectively.

We first prove a coerciveness inequality of the form (3') for functions $u \in D(L) \cap N^\perp$. Setting $Lu = f$, we take Fourier transforms and find

$$(4) \quad A(p) \hat{u}(p) = \hat{f}(p)$$

If $u \in N^\perp$, then for each $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\hat{u}(p)$ is orthogonal to the null space $N(p)$ of $A(p)$. Since $A(p)$ is Hermitian and has constant rank for $p \neq 0$, the eigenvalues λ_i of $A(p)$ are bounded above $k|p|$ for some $k > 0$ for all $p \neq 0$. Hence the restriction of $A(p)$ to $N(p)^\perp$ has an inverse with bound $(k|p|)^{-1}$, and we conclude immediately that

$$\|D_j u\| = \|p_j u\| \leq k^{-1} \|f\| = k^{-1} \|Lu\|,$$

which implies (3') with $c = k^{-1}$.

Next, suppose that $u \in D(L) \cap (EN)^\perp \cap H^1$.

Write $u = u_1 + u_2$, with $u_1 \in N$ and $u_2 \in N$. Since $u \in (EN)^\perp$, we have

$$(u_1 + u_2, Eu_2) = 0$$

and hence

$$(u_1, Eu_2) = -(u_2, Eu_2).$$

Thus

$$\|E\| \|u_1\| \|u_2\| \geq |u_1, Eu_2| = (u_2, Eu_2) \geq C \|u_2\|^2,$$

where C is a lower bound for E , and we conclude that

$$(5) \quad \|u_2\| \leq C^{-1} \|E\| \|u_1\|.$$

We can also estimate the first derivatives of u_2 in terms of u_1 and its first derivatives. As we observed above, $v \in N^\perp$ implies that $v(p) \in N(p)^\perp$ for all p . Similarly, $v \in N$ implies that $v(p) \in N(p)$ for all p . Hence $D_j u_1 \in N^\perp$ and $D_j u_2 \in N$, $j=1, \dots, n$.

If $w \in N$, then w_x , defined by

$$\widehat{w}_x(p) = \begin{cases} \widehat{w}(p), & |p| \leq T, \\ 0, & |p| > T, \end{cases}$$

is in $N \cap H^1$, and $D_j w_x$ is in N . Further, as $T \rightarrow \infty$, we have $ED_j w_x \rightarrow ED_j w$ in the topology of H^{-1} , the dual of H^1 .

Since $u \in H^1 \cap (EN)^\perp$,

$$(u, ED_j w) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} (u, ED_j w_x) = 0$$

and we have

$$(6) \quad (D_j u, Ew) = (u, (D_j E)w) + (u, ED_j w) = (u, (D_j E)w).$$

Substituting $D_j u_2$ for w in (6) gives

$$(7) \quad (D_j u, ED_j u_2) = (u, (D_j E)D_j u_2)$$

which implies, because of the positivity of E , that with some positive constants c and c_1 ,

$$(8) \quad c \|D_j u_2\|^2 \leq (u, (D_j E)D_j u_2) + \|D_j u_1\| \|E\| \|D_j u_2\| \leq c_1 \|u_1\|_1 \|D_j u_2\| + c_1 \|u_2\| \|D_j u_2\|.$$

Now (8) together with (5) implies

$$(9) \quad \|D_j u_2\| \leq C \|u_1\|_1,$$

and (9) together with (5) implies

$$(10) \quad \|u_2\|_1 \leq C \|u_1\|_1, \text{ some } C > 0.$$

Since $u_1 \in N^\perp$, we have already shown that u_1 obeys (3'):

$$(11) \quad \|u_1\|_1 \leq c(\|u_1\| + \|Lu_1\|) = c(\|u_1\| + \|Lu\|).$$

Combining (10) and (11) and using the inequality

$$\|u\|_1 \leq \|u_1\|_1 + \|u_2\|_1,$$

we see that u does satisfy (3').

There remains to drop the assumption that $u \in H^1$. To do this we use mollifiers, which we now describe. Let $j \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be an even, non-negative function satisfying

$$\int j(x) dx = 1.$$

Then the mollifiers $J_M : H \rightarrow H$, defined by

$$J_M u(x) = M^n \int j(N(x-y)) u(y) dy$$

satisfy

- (i) $\|J_M\| = 1$,
- (ii) $J_M \rightarrow I$ strongly as $M \rightarrow \infty$,
- (iii) J_M commutes with constant-coefficient differential operators, and if L_1 is a first-order partial differential operator with coefficients uniformly in C_1 , then

$$J_M L_1 - L_1 J_M \rightarrow 0 \text{ strongly as } M \rightarrow \infty,$$

- iv J_M is self-adjoint.

Now suppose that $u \in D(L) \cap (EN)^\perp$. Define the sequence of approximating functions

$$(12) \quad u_M = E^{-1} J_M E u.$$

Because of (i), $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} u_M = E^{-1} \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} J_M (Eu) = E^{-1} Eu = u$.

Next we claim that $u_M \in D(L) \cap (EN)^\perp$. Since $J_M (Eu) \in H^1$, $u_M \in H^1 \subset D(L)$. To show that $u_M \in (EN)^\perp$, we let $w \in N$. Because of (iii), $J_M w$ is also in N . Thus

$$(u_M, Ew) = (E^{-1} J_M E u, Ew) = (J_M E u, w) = (u, E J_M w) = 0.$$

Since $u_M \in D(L) \cap (EN) \cap H^1$, u_M satisfies (3'):

$$(13) \quad \|u_M\|_1 \leq c(\|u_M\| + \|Lu_M\|).$$

Consider now

$$Lu_M = LE^{-1}J_M Eu = J_M Lu + [(LE^{-1})J_M - J_M(LE^{-1})]u.$$

Using (ii) and (iii), we find that $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} Lu_M = Lu$. Taking the limit of (13) as $M \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that u satisfies (3').

Theorem 1' is easily extended to the following:

THEOREM 2. — *The statement of Theorem 1' remains true if we drop the requirement that the A_j 's be Hermitian.*

The proof of Theorem 1 carries over to Theorem 2 except that we must reprove the existence of $k > 0$ such that

$$(14) \quad |A(p)w| \geq k|w||p|, \quad w \in N(p)^\perp, p \neq 0.$$

Because of the homogeneity of $A(p)$ it suffices to prove the inequality for $|w|=1$. Because $N(p)$ has constant dimension and because $A(p)$ depends continuously on p for $p \neq 0$, $N(p)$ and $N(p)^\perp$ depend continuously on p for $p \in S^{n-1}$. If there did not exist $k > 0$ as claimed, we could construct two sequences, $p_j \in S^{n-1}$ and $w_j \in S^{m-1}$ such that $w_j \in N(p_j)^\perp$ and such that $A(p_j)w_j \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Because of the compactness of S^{n-1} and of S^{m-1} and of S^{m-1} , and because of the continuous dependence of $N(p)$ on p for $p \in S^{n-1}$, we could then find $p \in S^{n-1}$ and $w \in S^{m-1} \cap N(p)^\perp$ such that $A(p)w = 0$, a contradiction. Theorem 2 is proved.

REMARK. — SCHULENBERGER and WILCOX assumed that the matrix $E(x)$ tends to a constant matrix E_0 as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. This assumption was for convenience in applying pseudodifferential operators and plays no part in this paper.

REMARK 2. — Most of the technical work in the proof of Theorem 1' lay in proving the inequality (10). Evidently if we had assumed that $E(x)$ was uniformly in C^s , we could have gone on inductively to prove

$$\|u_2\|_s \leq C\|u_1\|_s, \quad s \text{ a positive integer.}$$

Note that if we introduce the orthogonal projector P into N , then $u \in (EN)^\perp$ is equivalent with $PEu = 0$, or $u = E^{-1}(1-P)Eu$. We thus have the following lemma:

LEMMA 1. — Let $E(x)$ be a matrix function of $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ which is Hermitian, uniformly positive, and uniformly in C^s , s a positive integer. Let P be an orthogonal

projection operator which commutes with differentiation:

$$P = P^* = P^2, \text{ and } D_j P = P D_j.$$

Then there exists $c_s > 0$ such that all functions $u \in H^s$ and which satisfy $PEu = 0$, also satisfy

$$(15)_s \quad \|Pu\|_s \leq c_s \|(1-P)u\|_s.$$

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] J. R. SCHULENBERGER - C. H. WILCOX, *Coerciveness inequalities for nonelliptic systems of partial differential equations*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., **87** (to appear, 1971).
 - [2] J. R. SCHULENBERGER - C. H. WILCOX, *A coerciveness inequality for a class of nonelliptic operators of constant deficit*, Technical Summary Report no. 8, Department of Mathematics, University of Denver (October 1970).
-