

# On a Theorem of E. Cartan.

By J. A. LESLIE (Ibadan, Nigeria).

---

**Summary.** - *The object of this note is to prove: - Let  $G$  be a connected, locally compact subgroup of an analytic group  $H$  modelled on a Banach space. Then  $G$  itself is a finite dimensional analytic subgroup of  $H$ .*

## Introduction.

In this paper we generalise E. Cartan's famous criterium for a connected locally compact group to be an analytic group. In the classical text ([1], pp. 130-135) of C. CHEVALLEY, E. Cartan's criterium is generalised to take the form: *Let  $G$  be a connected, locally compact group. If there exists a continuous univalent homeomorphism  $h$  of  $G$  into a finite dimensional analytic group  $H$ , then  $G$  itself is a finite dimensional analytic group.*

Our generalisation takes the connected locally compact group  $G$  as a subgroup of a non-necessarily finite dimensional analytic group and derives that  $G$  must be a finite dimensional analytic group.

In [2] the reader will find a detailed exposition of all aspects used in this paper of the elementary global theory of analytic groups modelled on the open sets of a BANACH space.

The ideas behind the proof of my generalized form of E. Cartan's theorem are essentially the same as those used in [1] to prove the above cited theorem of C. CHEVALLEY. They are here translated into the language of BANACH spaces which to a certain extent, I believe, clarifies the original proof of the less general theorem.

PRELIMINARIES. - In (2) I showed that there exists an analytic mapping,  $\exp$ , from the tangent space,  $T_e(G)$ , at the identity of an analytic group  $G$  modelled on open subsets of a BANACH space into the group  $G$  with the following important properties:

1. There exists an open neighborhood  $U$  of 0 in  $T_e(G)$  and an open neighborhood  $\exp(U)$  of  $e \in G$  such that  $\exp: T \rightarrow \exp(U)$  is a homeomorphism onto.

2.  $\exp((t_1 + t_2)v) = \exp(t_1v) \exp(t_2v)$  for all  $t_i \in R(\exp(U), \exp^{-1})$  will be called a *canonical chart* at the identity of  $G$ .

3. Given any analytic homomorphism  $h: R \rightarrow G$   $\exists v \in T_e(G)$  such that  $h(t) = \exp(tv)$ .

PROPOSITION 1. - Let  $G$  be a locally compact subgroup of an analytic group  $H$  and let  $\exp: S_a(o) \rightarrow H$  be a canonical coordinate system at the identity of  $H$ . Suppose further that  $K = G \cap \exp(S_b(o))$ ,  $0 < b < a$ , is a compact neighborhood of the identity in  $G$ . The function defined by  $\Pi(k) = \exp^{-1}(k) / \|\exp^{-1}(k)\|$  on  $K - e$  is continuous and furthermore  $\Pi(K \cap C(e))$  is compact.

PROOF: The continuity of  $\Pi$  is evident. To show  $\Pi(K - e)$  compact it clearly suffices to show that there exists a positive real number  $r$  such that

$$(*) \quad \Pi(K \cap C(\exp(S_r(o))) = \Pi(K \cap C(e)).$$

Let  $x \neq e$  be an element of  $K \cap \exp(S_{b/2}(o))$  and suppose  $b/(m+1) \leq \|\exp^{-1}(x)\| < b/m$  where  $m \geq 2$ . From the homomorphic property of  $\exp$  in  $S_a(o)$  we have  $\exp^{-1}(x^m) = m \exp^{-1}(x)$  and thus  $\Pi(x) = \Pi(x^m)$ . Now  $b/2 < (m/(m+1))b \leq m \|\exp^{-1}(x)\| = \|\exp^{-1}(x^m)\| < b$ . Q. E. D.

THEOREM. - Let  $G$  be a connected, locally compact subgroup of an analytic group  $H$ . Then  $G$  itself is a finite dimensional analytic group; in fact,  $G$  may be given the structure of an analytic subgroup of  $H$  (see [2]).

PROOF. - Let  $(V_1 = \exp(S_1), \exp^{-1})$  be a canonical chart at the identity of  $H$  where  $S_1$  is an open ball of  $T_e(H)$  with center 0 and let  $U = \bar{V}_1 \cap G$  be a connected compact neighborhood of the origin in  $G$ .

Put  $B$  equal to the boundary of  $U$ . As  $B$  is compact and  $e \notin B$  there exists a positive real number  $a$  such that  $\|\alpha\| > a$  for  $\exp(\alpha) \in B$ ; we have  $S_a(o) \subset S_1$ .

Put  $V = \exp(S_a(o))$ ; and designate by  $H_1$  the subset of  $L(H)$  of those infinitesimal transformation  $Y \in L(H)$  such that  $\exp(tY) \in G$  for all real numbers  $t$ .

LEMMA 1. - Let  $\sigma_k \in U$ ,  $\sigma_k \neq e$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , be a sequence of elements such that  $\lim_k \sigma_k = e$ . If  $\sigma_k = \exp(\alpha_k)$  and  $\lim_k \frac{\alpha_k}{\|\alpha_k\|}$  exists, then  $\lim_k \frac{\alpha_k}{\|\alpha_k\|} \in H_1$ .

PROOF. - For every  $k \exists$  a largest integer  $i_k$  which has the following two properties: (i)  $i_k \|\alpha_k\| < a$ ; (ii)  $\sigma_k^m \in U$  for  $0 \leq m \leq i_k$ .

Let  $\sigma' = \exp(\alpha')$  be the limit point of a converging subsequence of  $(\sigma_k^{i_k})$ ; since  $i_k \|\alpha_k\| < a$ ,  $\alpha'$  is a limit point of the set  $\{i_k \alpha_k\}$  and therefore  $\|\alpha'\| \leq a$ .

(\*) Thus no cluster point of the set  $\{\sigma_k^{i_k}\}$  can belong to  $B$ .

By the definition of  $i_k$  we have either  $(i_k + 1) \|\alpha_k\| \geq a$  or  $\alpha_k^{i_k+1} \notin U$ . Let  $K$  be the set of integers  $i_k$  such that  $\sigma_k^{i_k+1} \notin U$ .

If  $K$  were infinite then  $\sigma'$  would also be a cluster point of the set  $\{\sigma_k^{i_k+1}\} = \{\sigma_k^{i_k} \cdot \sigma_k\}$  since  $\lim \sigma_k = e$ , thus  $\sigma' \in B$  contrary to (\*), therefore  $K$

must be finite. It follows that there exists  $N > 0$  such that for  $k > N$

$$i_k \|\alpha_k\| < a \leq (i_k + 1) \|\alpha_k\|;$$

now since  $\lim_k [(i_k + 1) \|\alpha_k\| - i_k \|\alpha_k\|] = \lim_k \|\alpha_k\| = 0$  we have the following equalities.

(1)  $\lim_k i_k \|\alpha_k\| = a,$

(2)  $\lim_k i_k = \infty,$

(3) suppose  $0 \leq t < a$ , if  $m_k = [a^{-1}ti_k]$  (where « $[ \quad ]$ » means «the integral part of»), then  $\lim_k m_k/i_k = ta^{-1},$

and

(4)  $\lim_k (m_k \|\alpha_k\| - t) = 0.$

It is useful to observe that

(5)  $m_k < i_k$

and therefore

(6)  $m_k \|\alpha_k\| < a$  and  $\sigma_k^{m_k} \in U.$

(4) implies

(iii)  $\lim_k m_k \alpha_k = t \lim_k \frac{\alpha_k}{\|\alpha_k\|}$

which implies that

$$\lim_k \sigma_k^{m_k} = \exp \left( t, \lim_k \frac{\alpha_k}{\|\alpha_k\|} \right), \quad 0 \leq t < a, \quad \text{exists.}$$

Put  $\sigma(t) = \exp \left( t, \lim_k \frac{\alpha_k}{\|\alpha_k\|} \right) \sigma(t) \in \bar{U}$  since  $\sigma_k^{m_k} \in U.$

Replacing  $\sigma_k$  by  $\sigma_k^{-1} \sigma_k^{-1} \in U$  for  $k$  sufficiently large) we have  $\sigma_k^{-1} = \exp(-\alpha_k)$ , thus  $\sigma(-t) = \exp(-tY)$ , where

$$Y = \lim_k \frac{\alpha_k}{\|\alpha_k\|}; \quad \text{for } |t_2|, |t_1|, |t_1 + t_2| < a$$

we have  $\sigma(t_1 + t_2) = \sigma(t_1)\sigma(t_2)$ . Designate by  $Hom_c(R, G)$  the set of continuous homomorphisms of the additive group  $R$  into  $G$ .

As  $R$  is simply connected, there exists a continuous extensions  $\Theta \in \text{Hom}_c(\mathbb{R}, G)$  of  $\sigma(t)$  whose corresponding element in  $L(H)$  is  $Y$ .

COROLLARY. - Let  $\Theta \in \text{Hom}_c(\mathbb{R}, G)$ ; we have

$$\Theta(t) \in U \quad \text{for } t < a(\|Y(\Theta)\|)^{-1}.$$

LEMMA 2. -  $H_1$  is a finite dimensional subspace of  $L(H)$ .

PROOF. - Note that if  $Y \in H_1$  then  $aY \in H_1$  since  $\exp(t(aY)) = (\exp(at)Y) \in (G)$ .

To prove that  $H_1$  is a vector-space we need only prove that  $Z_1, Z_2 \in H_1$  implies  $Z_1 + Z_2 \in H_1$ . Put  $\Theta_i(t) = \exp(tZ_i)$  and put  $\sigma_k = \Theta_1(k^{-1})\Theta_2(k^{-1})$  ( $1 \leq k < \infty$ ). For  $k$  sufficiently large,  $\sigma_k \in U$ ; moreover  $\exp^{-1}(\Theta_i(k^{-1})) = k^{-1}Z_i$ . From (2)  $\exp^{-1}(\sigma_k) = k^{-1}(Z_1 + Z_2) + k^{-2}A(k)$  where  $\|A(k)\|$  remains bounded for  $R \geq 1$ .

We may assume without loss of generality that  $Z_1 + Z_2 \neq 0$ ; thus  $\sigma_k \neq e$  for  $k$  sufficiently large. Now

$$\|k^{-1}\|Z_1 + Z_2\| - k^{-2}\|A(k)\| \leq \|\exp^{-1}(\sigma_k)\| \leq k^{-1}\|Z_1 + Z_2\| + k^{-2}\|A(k)\|$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\exp^{-1}(\sigma_k)}{k^{-1}\|Z_1 + Z_2\| - k^{-2}\|A(k)\|} = \frac{Z_1 + Z_2}{\|Z_1 + Z_2\|} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\exp^{-1}(\sigma_k)}{k^{-1}\|Z_1 + Z_2\| + k^{-2}\|A(k)\|};$$

therefore

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\exp^{-1}(\sigma_k)}{\|\exp^{-1}(\sigma_k)\|} = \frac{Z_1 + Z_2}{\|Z_1 + Z_2\|} \in K_1$$

by lemma 1. Thus  $Z_1 + Z_2 \in H_1$ .

$H_1$  is a closed subspace of  $L(H)$ . In effect, let  $\alpha_n$  be a CAUCHY sequence in  $H_1$  converging to  $\alpha \in H$ ,  $\neq 0$ . Put  $\beta_n = \|\alpha - \alpha_n\| \alpha_n \in H_1$  put  $\sigma_n = \exp(\beta_n)$ . Clearly  $\lim_n \sigma_n = e$  and for  $n$  sufficiently large we have  $\exp(\beta_n) \in G$ , thus

$$\lim_n \frac{\beta_n}{\|\beta_n\|} = \frac{\alpha_n}{\|\alpha_n\|} = \frac{\alpha}{\|\alpha\|} \in H_1 \text{ therefore } \alpha \in H_1.$$

As  $\exp$  is a homeomorphism of a closed neighborhood of the origin of  $H_1$  onto a compact subset of  $h(G)$  we have that  $H_1$  must be finite dimensional.

Q. E. D.

The lemma implies that if  $Y \in H_1$  is such that  $\|Y\| < a$  then there exists an element  $g \in U$  such that  $g = \exp(Y)$ . This proves that  $\exp/H_1 \cap S_a(o)$  is a homeomorphism onto a subset  $U_1 \subset U$  containing the origin.

LEMMA 3. -  $U_1$  is a neighborhood of  $e \in G$ .

PROOF. -  $H_1$  being finite dimensional there exists a direct sum decomposition of  $L(H) = H_1 + H_2$ , where  $H_2$  is a closed subspace of  $L(H)$ ; let  $\pi_i L(H) \rightarrow H_i$  be the canonical projection. The element  $\exp(\alpha_1), \exp(\alpha_2), \alpha_i \in H_i$ , is

in  $V$  when  $\|\alpha_1\|$  and  $\|\alpha_2\|$  are sufficiently small. Put  $\exp^{-1}(\exp(\alpha_1) \cdot \exp(\alpha_2)) = f(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (\pi_1, of)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) + (\pi_2, of)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ ;  $f_i(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (\pi_i, of)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  is an analytic function such that  $(\partial f_i / \partial \alpha_i)_{(0,0)} = i_{H_i}$  and  $(\partial f_i / \partial \alpha_j)_{(0,0)} = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . From the inverse function theorem (2, Appendix I) we deduce the existence of a chart  $(U, \Psi)$  at  $e \in H$  such that  $\Psi(\exp(\alpha_1) \cdot \exp(\alpha_2)) = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$  whenever  $\|\alpha_1\|, \|\alpha_2\| < b$  for some positive real number  $b$ . Put  $V_\beta = \exp(S_\beta(o))$  where  $0 \leq \beta < b, \alpha$ .

Suppose that  $U_1$  is not a neighborhood of  $e \in G$ , and choose a sequence  $\sigma_k \in U$  such that  $\sigma_k \notin U_1$  with  $\lim_k \sigma_k = e \in G$ ; suppose in addition  $\sigma_k \in V_2$ .

Now  $\lim_k \Psi(\sigma_k) = 0 \in L(H)$  thus for  $k$  sufficiently large  $\exp(\pi_1(\Psi(\sigma_k))) = \sigma'_k \in U_1$  and  $\lim_k \sigma'_k = e \in G$ . Put  $\sigma''_k = (\sigma'_k)^{-1} \sigma_k$ . For  $k$  sufficiently large, one has  $\sigma''_k \notin T_1$  thus without loss of generality by a choice of a subsequence we may suppose since  $\sigma'_k \in U_1$  that we have  $\sigma''_k \neq e \in G, \sigma''_k \in U$  for  $k$  sufficiently large. By the choice of the chart  $(U, \Psi)$  at  $e \in H$ , we have

$$\sigma''_k = \exp(\pi_2(\Psi(\sigma_k))) \text{ and } \Psi(\sigma''_k) = \exp^{-1}(\sigma''_k).$$

From Proposition 1 it follows that the set of  $\{\exp^{-1}(\sigma_k) / \|\exp^{-1}(\sigma_k)\|\}$  has a cluster point  $\alpha \in L(H)$ .

Let  $\alpha_k$  be a subsequence of  $\left\{ \frac{\exp^{-1}(\sigma_k)}{\|\exp^{-1}(\sigma_k)\|} \right\}$  converging to  $\alpha$ . By lemma 1,  $\alpha \in H_1$ ; however,  $\pi_1(\alpha) = \lim_k \pi_1(\alpha_k) = 0$  which is a contradiction since  $\|\alpha\| = \lim_k \|\alpha_k\| = 1$ . Q. E. D.

If  $\sigma \in U_1$ , put  $f(\sigma) = \exp^{-1}(\sigma) \in H_1$ ;  $f$  is a homeomorphism of  $U$ , onto a subset of  $H_1$  containing the ball  $\|\alpha\| < \alpha, \alpha \in H_1$ . Let  $\alpha'$  be a positive real number such that  $\|\exp^{-1}(\sigma)\|, \|\exp^{-1}(\sigma')\| < \alpha'$  implies  $\|\exp^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}\sigma')\| < \alpha$  and designate by  $U'_1$  the set of elements  $\sigma \in U_1$  such that  $\|\exp^{-1}(\sigma)\| < \alpha'$ . As  $\exp^{-1}(\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1})$  may be expressed as an analytic function of  $\exp^{-1}(\sigma_1)$  and  $\exp^{-1}(\sigma_2)$  for  $\|\exp^{-1}(\sigma_2)\|, \|\exp^{-1}(\sigma_1)\| < \alpha'$ . It follows that  $G$  is an analytic group (see [2]).

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] C. CHEVALLEY, *Theory of Lie Groups*.
- [2] J. LESLIE, *Some Remarks on Function Spaces* (to appear).