# On uniform distribution of sequences <br> in $G F\} q, x\}$ and $[G F q, x]$. (*) $^{*}$ <br> by John H. Hodges (U. S. A.) (**) 

Summary, - Analogs are proved for sequences in $\Phi=G F[q, x]$ and $\Phi^{\prime}=G F\{q, x\}$ of resulis proved in 1962 by C. L. Vanden Eynden concerning uniform distribution of sequence of integers related to sequences of real numbers. The concept of uniform disiribution ( $\bmod$ m), m an integer, in Vanden Eynden's nork is sometimes replaced here by modified forms of uniform distribution $(\bmod M) M \in \Phi$.

## 1. - Introduction and preliminaries.

Let $\Phi^{\prime}=G F\{q, x\}$ denote the field of all formal expressions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\sum_{i=-\infty}^{m} c_{i} x^{i} \quad\left(c_{i} \in G F(q)\right), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x$ is an indeterminate and the coefficients $c_{i}$ all belong to an arbitrary fixed finite field of $q=p^{x}$ elements. Let $\Phi=G F[q, x]$ denote the subring of $\Phi^{\prime}$ consisting of all polynomials in $x$ over $G F^{\prime}(q)$. Throughont this paper, lower case Greek letters will denote elements of $\Phi^{\prime}$ and italic capitals will denote elements of $\Phi$, except as indicated.

If $\alpha$ has the representation (1.1) with $c_{m} \neq 0$, following Carlitz $[1 ; ~ § 2]$ we define the degree of $\alpha$ by $\operatorname{deg} \alpha=m$, where $m$ is an integer which may be positive, negative or zero. We also define $\operatorname{deg} 0=-\infty$, where $-\infty<k$ for all integers $k$. The integral part and fractional part of $\alpha$, denoted by $[\alpha]$ and (( $\alpha))$ respectively, are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\alpha]=\sum_{i=0}^{m} c_{i} i^{i} \text { and }((\alpha))=\alpha-[x]=\bar{\Sigma}_{i=-\infty}^{1} c_{i} x^{i} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $[\alpha] \in \Phi$ and $\operatorname{deg}((\alpha))<0$. We note that for any $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi^{\prime},[\alpha+\beta]=[\alpha]+[\beta]$ and $((\alpha+\beta))=((\alpha))+((\beta))$. The statement $\alpha \equiv \beta(\bmod 1)$ is defined to mean

[^0]that $\alpha=\beta+A$ where $A \in \Phi$, that is, $A$ is a polynomial. Thus every $\alpha \in \Phi^{\prime}$ is congruent ( $\bmod 1$ ) to a unique $\beta$, namely $\beta=((\alpha))$, such that $\operatorname{deg} \beta<0$.

The following definitions are also due to Carlitz $[1 ; ~ \& 4]$. Given an infinite sequence $T=\left\{\gamma_{i}\right\}$ in $\Phi^{\prime}$, an arbitrary element $\beta$ of $\Phi^{\prime}$ and any positive integers $n$ and $k$, let $N_{k}(n, \beta)$ be the number of $\gamma_{i}$ with $1 \leqq i \leqq n$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}\left(\left(\gamma_{i}-\beta\right)\right)<-k \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the sequence $I$ is said to be uniformly distributed (mod 1), abbreviated as u.d. $(\bmod 1)$ in $\Phi^{\prime}$ if and only if for all $k \geqq 1$ and all $\beta \in \Phi^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} N_{k}(n, \beta) / n=q^{-k}, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is said to be semi-uniformly distributed (mod 1), abbreviated as s.u.d. $(\bmod 1)$, in $\Phi^{\prime}$ if and only if for all $k \geqq 1$ and all $\beta \in \Phi^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} N_{k}\left(q^{t}, \beta\right) / q^{t}=q^{-k} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(We note Carlitz used the phrase weakly uniformly distributed for the concept we have called here semi-uniformly distributed. Since a somewhat different concept of weakly uniformly distributed is to be defined below for sequences in $\Phi$, it has seemed appropriate to rename the concept defined for $\Phi^{\prime}$ by (1.5).)

Let $M$ be any monic (leading coefficient equal to 1 ) element of $\Phi$ of degree $m>0$. The case $M=1$ would be trivial here and the terminology would conflict with that established above). Let $\theta=\left\{A_{i}\right\}$ be any infinite sequence of elements of $\Phi$ and for any $B \in \Phi$ and integer $n \geqq 1$, let $\theta(n, B, M)$ denote the number of terms among $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ such that $A_{i} \equiv B(\bmod M)$. Then as in [2] we say that the sequence $\theta$ is uniformly distributed modulo $M$, abbreviated as u.d. $(\bmod M)$, if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta(n, B . M) / n=q^{-m}, \quad(\text { all } B \in \Phi) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is uniformly distributed, abbreviated as u.d., if and only if it is u.d. $(\bmod M)$ for every monic $M$ of degree $>0$ in $\Phi$. By analogy with (1.5) we define $\theta$ to be semi-uniformly distributed modulo $M$, abbreviated as s.u.d. $(\bmod M)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \theta\left(q^{t} B, M\right) / q^{t}=q^{-m}, \quad \text { (all } B \in \Phi\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and semi-uniformly distributed if and only if it s.a.d. $(\bmod M)$ for all monic $M$ of degree $>0$ in $\Phi$.

For certain questions of interest concerning sequences in $\Phi$ a somewhat different condition than (1.6; or (1.7) must be used. Let $\theta$ be an infinite sequence in $\Phi$ in which no element of $\Phi$ appears infinitely many times. For any $B \in \Phi$, any monic $M \in \Phi$ of degree $m>0$, and any integer $n \geqq 1$, let

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\theta(n)=\text { number of terms of } \theta \text { such that } \operatorname{deg} A_{i}<n,  \tag{1.8}\\
N(\theta, n, B, M)= \\
\text { number of terms of } \theta \text { such that } \operatorname{deg} A_{i}<n \\
\text { and } A_{i} \equiv B(\bmod M) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then as in [2] we say that $\theta$ is weakly uniformly distributed modulo $M$, abbreviated as w.u.d. $(\bmod M)$, if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} N(\theta, n, B, M) / \theta(n)=q^{-m}, \quad(\text { all } B \in \Phi), \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that $\theta$ is weakly uniformly distributed if and only if it is w.u.d. $(\bmod M)$ for all monic $M$ of degree $>0$ in $\Phi$.

We note that in all of the above definitions there is no loss of generality in restricting $M$ to be monic of degree $m>0$ and only letting $B$ run through the $q^{m}$ elements of any complete residue system $(\bmod M)$. Also, all of the distribution properties defined are unaltered by the omission of any finite number of terms at the beginning of a sequence or the addition of a fixed element of the appropriate set to every term of a sequence.

In this paper we shall prove a number of results relating the distribution of sequences in $\Phi^{\prime}$ to the distribation of certain associated sequences in $\Phi$. The main application of these reselts is to the proof of the fact that if $f(u)$ is a polynomial of degree $k$ with coefficients in $\Phi^{\prime}, 1 \leqq k<p$, and some coefficient of $f(u)$ besides $f(0)$ is irrational, that is, is not a quotient of elements of $\Phi$, then a certain related sequence $\theta_{f}=\left\{\left[f\left(A_{i}\right)\right]\right\}$ in $\Phi$ is w.u.d. The results obtained here are analogous to (but somewhat more involved than) those proved by Vanden Eynden [4], and reported by Niven [3], for uniform distribution of sequences of real number and of integers.
2. - Relationships between uniform distributions in $\Phi^{\prime}$ and in $\Phi$.

Using the definitions given in Section 1 we first prove
Theorem 2.1. - A sequence $\Gamma=\left\{\gamma_{i}\right\}$ in $\Phi^{\prime}$ is u.d. $(\bmod 1) /$ s.n.d. $(\bmod 1)$ if and only if for all monic $M \in \Phi$, the sequence $\Gamma_{M}=\left\{\left[M_{\gamma_{i}}\right]\right.$ is

$$
\text { u.d. }(\bmod M) / \text { s.u.d. }(\bmod M)
$$

Proof. - We give the proof for uniform distributivitiy. The proof for semi-uniform distributivity is essentially the same.

Suppose that $\Gamma=\left\{\gamma_{i}\right\}$ is u.d. $(\bmod 1)$ in $\Phi^{\prime}$. Let $M$ be any monic element of $\Phi$ of degree $m>0$ and $B \in \Phi$ be arbitrary of degree $<m$. Then with $\beta=B / M$, for all $h \geqq 1$, by condition (1.4) we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} N_{k}(n, B / M) / n=q^{-k}
$$

If $\gamma_{i}$ satisfies $\operatorname{deg}\left(\left(\gamma_{i}-B / M\right)\right)<-k$, Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{i}-B / M=F_{i}+\left(\left(\gamma_{i}-B / M\right)\right), \quad F_{i} \in \Phi \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we multiply equation (2.1) by $M$ and take the case $k=m$, we get

$$
M \gamma_{i}=B+M F_{i}+M\left(\left(\gamma_{i}-B / M\right)\right)
$$

where $\operatorname{deg} M\left(\left(\gamma_{i}-B / M\right)\right)<0$. Therefore, for such a $\gamma_{i}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[M_{\gamma_{i}}\right]=B+M F_{i}=B(\bmod M) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, if $\gamma_{i}$ satisfies (2.2), then (2.1) holds with $\operatorname{deg}\left(\left(\gamma_{i}-B / M\right)\right)<-m$. In view of this equivalence between (2.1) and (2.2), it is clear that for all positive integers $n$, all monic $M \in \Phi$ of degree $m$ and all $B \in \Phi$,

$$
\Gamma_{M}(n, B, M)=N_{m}(n, B / M)
$$

so that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_{M}(n, B, M) / n=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} N_{m}(n, B / M) / n=q^{-m}
$$

Thus $\Gamma_{M}$ is u.d. $(\bmod M)$ in $\Phi$.
On the other hand, suppose that for all monic $M \in \Phi, \mathrm{P}_{M}=\left\{\left[M_{\gamma_{i}}\right]\right\}$ is u.d. $(\bmod M)$ in $\Phi$. Then for any $B \in \Phi$, if $\operatorname{deg} M=m$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_{M}(n, B, M) / n=q^{-m}
$$

Let $m$ be any positive integer and $\beta \in \Phi^{\prime}$ be arbitrary. Then $\beta=F_{\beta}+((\beta))$, with $F_{\beta} \in \Phi$ so $F_{\beta}=0$ or deg $F_{\beta} \geqq 0$ and $\operatorname{deg}((\beta))<0$. Let $M$ be any fixed monic polynomial of degree $m$ and let

$$
M((\beta))=B+\gamma \quad \text { with } \quad B \in \Phi, \quad \gamma=((M((\beta)))
$$

so that $\operatorname{deg} B<m$ and $\operatorname{deg} \gamma<0$.
Now for any positive integer $n$, if $1 \leqq i \leqq n$ and $\left[M \gamma_{i}\right]=B(\bmod M)$, then by the equivalence of (2.1) and (2.2) we know that that deg (( $\gamma_{i}$ $B / M))<-m$ But, $B=M((\beta))-\gamma$ with $\operatorname{deg} \gamma<0$, so that $B / M=((\beta))-\gamma / M$ with $\operatorname{deg}(\gamma / M)<-m$. Thus,

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(\left(\gamma_{i}-\beta+\gamma / M\right)\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(\left(\gamma_{i}-((\beta))+\gamma / M\right)\right)<-m,
$$

which implies, since $\operatorname{deg}(\gamma / M)<-m$, that $\operatorname{deg}\left(\left(\gamma_{i}-\beta\right)\right)<-m$. Conversely, if $\operatorname{deg}\left(\left(\gamma_{i}-\beta\right)\right)<-m$, then $\left[M \gamma_{i}\right] \equiv B(\bmod M)$ so that for all integers $n \geqq 1$ $N_{m}(n, B)=\Gamma_{M}(n, B, M)$. Therefore,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} N_{m}(n, \beta) / n=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_{M}(n, B, M) / n=q^{-m} .
$$

Therefore $\Gamma=\left\{\gamma_{i}\right\}$ is $u . d .(\bmod 1)$ and Theorem 2.1 is proved.
As an immediate consequence of this theorem we can prove
Corollary 2.2. - If $\left\{\gamma_{i}\right\}$ is any sequence in $\Phi^{\prime}$ sush that for all monic $K \in \Phi$ the sequence $\left\{\gamma_{i} / K\right\}$ is u.d. $(\bmod 1) /$ s.u.d. $(\bmod 1)$, then the sequence $\left\{\left[\gamma_{i}\right]\right\}$ is u.d./s.u.d. in $\Phi$, that is, it is u.d. $(\bmod K)$ for all monic $K \in \Phi$.

Proof. - Again we only give the proof for uniform distributivity. Let $\left\{\gamma_{i}\right\}$ satisfy the hypothesis and $K$ be any monic element of $\Phi$ so that $\left\{\gamma_{i} / K\right\}$ is u.d. $(\bmod 1)$ in $\Phi^{\prime}$. Then by Theorem 2.1, for all monic $M \in \Phi,\left\{\left[M \gamma_{i} / K\right]\right\}$ is u.d. $(\bmod M)$. In particular, with $M=K$ it follows that $\left\{\left[\gamma_{i}\right]\right\}$ is u.d. $(\bmod K)$. Since $K$ is arbitrary, it follows by definition that $\left\{\left[\gamma_{i}\right]\right\}$ is u.d. in $\Phi$.

In [2; §2] a sequence $\theta=\left\{A_{i}\right\}$ in $\Phi$ was defined to be rising if and only if $A_{i} \neq A_{j}$ and $\operatorname{deg} A_{i} \leqq \operatorname{deg} A_{j}$ for all integers $1 \leqq i<j$. (This is an analog for $\Phi$ of a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers.) In particular, any sequence $\theta$ containing all of the elements of $\Phi$, each occurring once arranged according to monotonically increasing degree is a rising sequence and is easily seen to be w.u.d. although, as shown in [2 § 2], it need not be u.d. In order to consider the next results, we need to extend the concept of rising sequence to $\Phi^{\prime}$.
$A$ sequence $\Gamma=\left\{\gamma_{i}\right\}$ in $\Phi^{\prime}$ will be called rising if and only if it has the properties:
(a) $\operatorname{deg} \gamma_{i} \leqq \operatorname{deg} \gamma_{j}$ for all $1 \leqq i<j$.
(b) For every sufficiently large integer $t$, the number $\mathrm{\Gamma}(t)$ of elements of $\Gamma$ of degree $<t$ is $\overline{<} q^{t}$.

Furthermore, $\Gamma$ will be called linearly rising if and only if it has property (2.3a) and the additional property
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { There exists a linear polynomial } g(t)=k t+c \text { with integral coef- } \\ \text { ficients } k>0, c \text { such that for all sufficiently large } i \text {, deg } \gamma_{i}= \\ g\left(c_{i}\right) \geqq 0 \text { for some integer } c_{i}>0 \text { and for all sufficiently large } t, \\ \text { the number } \mathrm{\Gamma}(g(t)) \text { of elements of } \Gamma \text { of degree }<g(t) \text { is equal to } q^{2} .\end{array}\right.$

We note that if a sequence $\theta$ in $\Phi$ is rising in $\Phi$, then it is also rising in $\Phi^{\prime}$ and if, in addition, it contains all the elements of $\Phi$, then is linearly rising in $\Phi^{\prime}$ with $g(t)=t$.

Now, as a direct consequence of Theorem 2.1 we have
Corollary 2.3. - If sequence $\Gamma=\left\{\gamma_{i}\right\}$ in $\Phi^{\prime}$ is rising and u.d. (mod 1), then for all monic $M \in \Phi$, the sequence $\Gamma_{m}=\left(\left[M_{\gamma_{i}}\right]\right)$ is w.u.d. $(\bmod M)$ in $\Phi$.

Proof. - If $\Gamma$ satisfies the hypotheses then, by Theorem 2.1, for all monic $M \in \Phi, \Gamma_{M}$ is u.d. $(\bmod M)$ in $\Phi$.

Since $\Gamma$ is rising in $\Phi^{\prime}$, by (2.3b) no element of $\Phi^{\prime}$ appears infinitely often in $\Gamma$ and by (2.3a) and (2.3b), for sufficiently large $t>0$, the $\Gamma(t) \leqq q^{t}$ elements of $\Gamma$ of degree $<t$ are the first $\Gamma(t)$ elements of $\Gamma$. Therefore, for any sufficiently large $t>0$, if $\Gamma_{M}(t)=j>0$, these $j$ elements of $\Gamma_{M}$ of degree $<t$ are the first $j$ elements of $\Gamma_{M}$ so that for any $B \in \Phi$,

$$
N\left(\Gamma_{M}, t, B, M\right)=\Gamma_{M}(j, B, M) .
$$

Thus, since $\Gamma_{M}$ is u.d. $(\bmod M)$, if $d e g M=m$,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} N(M, t, B, M) / \Gamma_{M}(t)=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_{m}(j, B, M) / j=q^{-m},
$$

since the subsequence of distinct quotients on the left is a subsequence of the convergent sequence of quotients on the right and no quotient in the left sequence appears infinitely often. Therefore, by definition, $\Gamma_{M}$ is w.u.d. $(\bmod , M)$ in $\Phi$.

A result which is similar to Corollary 2.3, but seems to be more useful in the applications we wish to consider, is

Theorem 2.4. - If the sequence $\Gamma=\left\{\gamma_{i}\right\}$ in $\Phi^{\prime}$ is linearly rising and s.u.d. $(\bmod 1)$, then for all monic $M \in \Phi$, the sequence $\Gamma_{m}=\left\{\left[M \gamma_{i}\right]\right\}$ is w.u.d. $(\bmod M)$ in $\Phi$.

Proof. - By definition of linearly rising, there exists a linear polynomial $g(t)=k t+c$ with integral coefficients $k>0, c$ such that for all sufficiently large $i$, $\operatorname{deg} \gamma_{i}=g\left(c_{i}\right) \geqq 0$ for some integer $c_{i}>0$ and for all sufficiently large $t$, the number $\Gamma(g(t))$ of elements of $\Gamma$ of degree $<g, t)$ is $\left.\Gamma\left(g^{\prime} t\right)\right)=\Gamma(k t+c)=q^{t}$.

Let monic $M \in \Phi$ be arbitrary of degree $m>0$. For all integers $i \geqq 1$, $M_{\gamma_{i}}=M\left[\gamma_{i}\right]+M\left(\left(\gamma_{i}\right)\right.$, so that for all sufficiently large $i$,

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left[M \gamma_{i}\right]=\operatorname{deg} M\left[\gamma_{i}\right]=m+g\left(c_{j}\right)=k c_{i}+(m+c) .
$$

Since for all sufficiently large $\left.t, \Gamma\left(g^{\prime} t\right)\right)=q^{t}$, it follows that for all sufficiently large $t$, sequence $\Gamma_{M}=\left\{\left[M \gamma_{i}\right]\right\}$ has the property $\Gamma_{M}(k t+(m+c))=q^{t}$ and by property (2.3a), these $q^{t}$ elements of $\Gamma_{b r}$ correspond to the first $q^{t}$ elements of I. Also, by virtue of the equivalence between conditions (2.1) and (2.2), we see that for all $B \in \Phi$

$$
\left.N\left(\left.\right|^{\prime}, k t+(m+c), B, M\right)=N_{m}^{\prime} q^{t}, B / M\right)
$$

Therefore, for all $B \in \Phi$, since $\Gamma$ is s.a.d. $(\bmod 1)$ in $\Phi^{\prime}$,
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N\left(\Gamma_{M}, n, B, M\right)}{\Gamma_{M}(n)}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N\left(\Gamma_{M}, k t+(m+c), B, M\right)}{\Gamma_{M}(k t+(m+c))}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} N_{m}\left(q^{t}, B / M\right) / q^{z}=q^{-m}$.
since for sufficiently large $n$, the distinct quotients on the left are elements of a subsequence of the convergent sequence of quotients in the niddle and no quotient in the left sequence appears infinitely often. Thus by definition $\Gamma_{M}$ is w.u.d. $(\bmod M)$ in $\Phi$.

Corollary 2.5. - Let $f(u)$ be any polynomial with coefficients in $\Phi^{\prime}$ and $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$ be any rising sequence in $\Phi$ which contains all the elements of $\Phi$. If the sequence $\theta=\left\{f\left(A_{i}\right)\right\}$ is s.u.d. (mod 1) in $\Phi$, then for all monic $M \in \Phi$, the sequence $\theta_{M}=\left\{\left[M f\left({ }^{\prime} i\right)\right]\right.$ is w.a.d. $(\bmod M)$ in $\Phi$.

Proof. - Let $f(u)$ have degree $k$ and $c$ be the degree, as an element of $\Phi^{\prime}$, of the leading coefficient of $f(\boldsymbol{u})$. Then if $\operatorname{deg} A_{i}=a_{i}, \operatorname{deg} f\left(A_{i}\right)=k a_{i}+c \geqq 0$ for all sufficiently large $a_{i}$. Let $M \in \Phi$ be monic of degree $m$. Then for all integers $t \geqq 1$, $\operatorname{deg} f\left(A_{i}\right)=\left(k a_{i}+c\right)<k t+c$ if and only if $a_{i}<t$, and this latter condition holds if and only if $1 \leqq i \leqq q^{t}$. Thus for all sufficiently large $t$, the number $\theta(k t+c)$ of elements of $\theta$ of degree $<k t+c$ is $q^{i}$. Also, since $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$ is a rising sequence, $\operatorname{deg} f\left(A_{i}\right) \leqq \operatorname{deg} f\left(A_{j}\right)$ for all $1 \leqq i<j$. Therefore, by definition, $\theta$ is linearly rising sequence in $\Phi^{\prime}$. Since by hypothesis $\theta$ is s.u.d. $(\bmod 1)$ it follows by Theorem 2.4 that for all monic $M \in \Phi$, the sequence $\theta_{M}=\left\{\left\lfloor M f\left(A_{i}\right)\right]\right\}$ is w.u.d. $(\bmod M)$ in $\Phi$.

## 3. - An application to sequences in $\Phi$.

The motivation for the introduction in section 2 of the concept of a linearly rising rising sequence in $\Phi^{\prime}$ and its role in Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 2.5 is to be found in the following result.

Theorem 3.1. - Let $f(u)$ be any polnomial of degree $k, 1 \leqq k<p$, with coefficients in $\Phi^{\prime}$ such that $f(u)-f(0)$ has at least one irrational (not a quo. tient of elements of $\Phi$ ) coefficient and let $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$ be any rising sequence in $\Phi$
which contains all the elements of $\Phi$. Then the sequence $\left\{\left[f\left(A_{i}\right)\right]\right\}$ is w.u.d. in $\Phi$.

Proof. - For every monic $K \in \Phi$, the polynomial $f(u) / K$ with coefficients in $\Phi^{\prime}$ has the property of $f(u)$ stated in the hypotheses. Then by a result of Carlitz [1; Theorem 9] it follows that $\left\{f\left(A_{i}\right) / K\right\}$ is s.u.d. $(\bmod 1)$ in $\Phi^{\prime}$ for all monie $K \in \Phi$.
Thus, by Corollary 2.5, for any fixed monic $K \in \Phi$, the sequence $\left\{\left[\bar{M} f\left(A_{i}\right) / K\right]\right\}$ is w.a.d. $(\bmod M)$ in $\Phi$. In particular, with $M=K,\left\{\left[f\left(A_{i}\right)\right]\right\}$ is w.u.d. $(\bmod K)$. Since this result holds for all monic $K \in \Phi$, by definition, $\left\{\left[f\left(A_{i}\right)\right]\right\}$ is w.u.d. in $\Phi$.

The case of this theorem with $f(\boldsymbol{u})=\xi u$, where $\xi$ is irrational, has been previously proved by the author [2; Theorem 4.2].
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