

Averaging Operators on Normed Köthe Spaces (*).

RICHARD A. ALÒ (Beaumont, Texas)

ANDRÈ DE KORVIN - CHARLES ROBERTS (Terre Haute, Indiana)

Summary. — Under study is the existence of averaging operators determined by measurable maps φ from a measure space (S, Σ, μ) into an arbitrary Hausdorff topological space T . The map φ induces a continuous map φ° from the space $C_b(T)$ into the normed (Banach) function space $L_\varrho = L_\varrho(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ defined by $\varphi^\circ(f) = f \circ \varphi$ for all $f \in C_b(T)$. An integral representation for such operators is first studied. The existence is then determined by the existence of an averaging operator U_1 for the restriction of φ to a certain measurable subset B_1 of S . Utilizing a representation of $L_\varrho(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ as a Banach function space over a compact extremally disconnected Hausdorff space \hat{S} , we are able to give a definition for the concept of plural points and irreducible map. A significant upper bound is given for the operator U_1 . Finally conditions are considered under which no bounded projection from L_ϱ onto the range of φ° may exist. From a topological point of view the development is pursued in a general setting. Averaging operators have recently been used for the study of injective Banach spaces of the type $C_b(T)$ and in non-linear prediction and approximation theory relative to Tshebyshev subspaces of L_ϱ .

1. — Introduction.

Let φ be a measurable map from the measure space (S, Σ, μ) into the arbitrary Hausdorff topological space T . Let us assume that φ induces a continuous map from the space $C_b(T)$ of bounded real valued continuous functions on T (with the sup norm topology), into the (as defined below) complete normed Köthe space $L_\varrho = L_\varrho(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ defined by $\varphi^\circ(f) = f \circ \varphi$ for all $f \in C_b(T)$. If U is a bounded linear operator from L_ϱ into $C_b(T)$ then U is called an *averaging operator* for the measurable map φ or it is said that φ admits the averaging operator U if

$$U \circ \varphi^\circ(f) = f$$

for all $f \in C_b(T)$ ⁽¹⁾.

In Theorem 3 we show that the existence of an averaging operator for the measurable map φ from S into T , with φ° injective, is determined by the existence of an averaging operator for φ_1 which is the restriction of φ to a certain measurable subset B_1 of S . This extends the literature ⁽²⁾ to a larger class of spaces.

(*) Entrata in Redazione l'11 settembre 1975.

⁽¹⁾ This definition could readily be made by replacing $C_b(T)$ or L_ϱ by other spaces of functions defined on T or S respectively. For example as in [18], the case is studied for L_ϱ replaced by $C(S)$ where S and T are compact Hausdorff spaces.

⁽²⁾ See [4], [5], [6], [12], and [18]. The analogy of course with our work, is that we have under investigation, the existence of projections from $L_\varrho(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ onto the range, $R(\varphi^\circ)$. Results for the historically interesting $L_\infty(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ case are herein obtained.

Before we can obtain this result (Theorem 3 in Section 3), we first show in Section 2 how any bounded linear operator from L_ϱ into $C_b(T)$ may have a so-called *integral representation*. This leads to an interesting characterization (Theorem 1) of averaging operators U as well as some computational assistance (Proposition 2) for such operators. In Section 4, significant upper bounds are obtained for the « representing » finitely additive set functions $\{\gamma_t\}_{t \in T}$ of U and a significant inequality is obtained between the norm of U and the norm of its restriction U_1 as given in Theorem 3. This depends much on Theorem 4, which tells us that these set functions may be replaced (via an isomorphism) by regular Borel measures $\{\beta_t\}_{t \in T}$ over a « relatively nice » extremally disconnected compact Hausdorff measure space $(\hat{S}, \hat{\Sigma}, \hat{\mu})$ with $\hat{\mu}$ a regular Borel measure on the field $\hat{\Sigma}$ of clopen subsets of \hat{S} . In fact $L_\varrho(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ and $L_\varrho(\hat{S}, \hat{\Sigma}, \hat{\mu})$ are isometric and lattice isomorphic. Finally in Section 5, we give conditions on φ under which no bounded projection from L_ϱ onto the range of φ^e may exist.

The spaces $L_\varrho = L_\varrho(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ on which we base our considerations have had considerable interest (see for example, the many papers in [14]). If M is the collection of scalar valued μ -measurable functions on the measure space (S, Σ, μ) , then the function norm ϱ from M into the extended reals \mathbb{R}^+ is defined for all $f, g \in M$ as

- (i) $0 \leq \varrho(f) \leq \infty$; $\varrho(f) = 0$ if and only if $f \equiv \mathfrak{L}$ (μ almost everywhere) where \mathfrak{L} is the constant function on S taking all $s \in S$ to 0.
- (ii) $\varrho(\alpha f) = |\alpha| \varrho(|f|)$ for all finite scalars α .
- (iii) $\varrho(f + g) \leq \varrho(f) + \varrho(g)$.
- (iv) $|f| \leq |g|$ (μ almost everywhere) on M implies that $\varrho(f) \leq \varrho(g)$.

We will denote by $L_\varrho = L_\varrho(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ the normed linear space of all functions $f \in M$ with $\varrho(f) < \infty$: The norm on L_ϱ is given by $\|f\|_\varrho = \varrho(|f|)$ and is called the ϱ -norm of L_ϱ . The spaces L_ϱ are called *normed Köthe spaces*.

In general the spaces L_ϱ are not complete. However under rather weak conditions, such as the weak Fatou property they may be made complete (see [14]). We will assume that L_ϱ has this property, that is, the spaces L_ϱ are complete in the ϱ -norm. Such complete normed Köthe spaces are called *Banach function spaces*. They include as examples the well-known Lebesgue spaces $L^p(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ $1 \leq p < \infty$ and the less well-known but equally important Orlicz spaces (see [15], [14], [10], [2]).

2. – Integral representations of operators.

Before proceeding to find conditions under which there exists (or fails to exist) a bounded projection from L_ϱ onto the range of φ^e , we need to first give some general results about bounded linear operators and their integral representations.

Let U be a bounded linear operator from L_ϱ into $C_b(T)$. Then for each $t \in T$, the operator U gives rise to the *point linear functionals* $U_t \in (L_\varrho)^*$ defined by $U_t(f) = (U(f))(t)$ for all $f \in L_\varrho$. In [17] it is shown that there exists a unique finitely additive scalar valued set function γ_t defined on Σ , where γ is in the dual space $(L_\varrho)^*$, such that $U_t(f) = \int f d\gamma_t$ for all $f \in L_\varrho$: If δ_t represents the *point mass* at $t \in T$ then in [17], it is shown that for all $f \in L_\varrho$

$$U_t(f) = (U(f))(t) = \langle U(f), \delta_t \rangle = \langle f, U^*(\delta_t) \rangle.$$

Thus

$$\langle f, U^*(\delta_t) \rangle = \langle f, \gamma_t \rangle$$

for all $f \in L_\varrho$, that is, $U^*(\delta_t) = \gamma_t$ ⁽³⁾. It is easy to see that the map now defined taking $t \in T$ to $\gamma_t \in (L_\varrho)^*$ is continuous when the weak* topology is placed on the dual space $(L_\varrho)^*$.

If U is now an averaging operator for the measurable function φ from S into T then for $f \in L_\varrho$,

$$\langle f, \delta_t \rangle = \langle U(\varphi^e(f)), \delta_t \rangle = \langle \varphi^e(f), U^*(\delta_t) \rangle = \langle f, [\varphi^e]^*(\gamma_t) \rangle.$$

Consequently $[\varphi^e]^*(\gamma_t) = \delta_t$ for all $t \in T$. Thus U is an averaging operator for φ if and only if $[\varphi^e]^*(\gamma_t) = \delta_t$ for all $t \in T$.

For such γ_t , we need to designate its decomposition as yielded in [17] by $\gamma_{t,1} + \gamma_{t,2}$: The (scalar) valued set function $\gamma_{t,1}$ is finitely additive, defined on $\Sigma_0 = \{A \in \Sigma: \varrho(\chi_A) < \infty\}$ (χ_A represents *characteristic function* of A), vanishes on μ -null sets and $\varrho'(\gamma_{t,1}) < \infty$ ⁽⁴⁾. The set function $\gamma_{t,2}$ is purely finitely additive, (scalar) valued, defined on Σ , vanishes on μ -null sets and its support is contained in the support of some $f \in L_\varrho \setminus M^e$ designates the *closure of the span of all Σ_0 -simple functions in L_ϱ* .

Thus we have almost shown completely the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. - *If U is a bounded linear operator from L_ϱ into $C_b(T)$, then for each $t \in T$ there is a unique $\gamma_t \in (L_\varrho)^*$ such that $\gamma_t = U^*(\delta_t)$. The operator U and the in-*

⁽³⁾ The operator U^* represents the adjoint of U which takes the dual space $M(T)$ of $C_b(T)$ into the dual space $(L_\varrho)^*$. Any appropriate $M(T)$ will do (dependent on the topological structure of T , naturally) just as long as the point mass $\delta_t \in M(T)$. Of course $\delta_t \in M(T)$ if and only if the *point evaluation map* ξ_t from $C_b(T)$ into the scalars, defined by $\xi_t(f) = f(t)$, is continuous.

⁽⁴⁾ As in the case of the Lebesgue spaces \mathfrak{L}^p it is natural to define for L_ϱ an *associate norm* ϱ' as either for $f \in L_\varrho$, $\varrho'(f) = \sup \{ \int |fg| d\mu: g \in L_\varrho, \varrho(g) \leq 1 \}$ or for γ a finitely additive set function on Σ_0 as $\varrho'(\gamma) = \sup \{ |\int f d\gamma|: f \text{ in unit ball of } M^e \}$. Of course if $g \in L_\varrho$ and if $d\gamma = g d\mu$ then $\varrho'(\gamma) = \varrho'(f)$ (see [17]). Analogously $L_{\varrho'} = \{f \in L_\varrho: \varrho'(f) < \infty\}$ is a Banach function space (see [14]).

tegral representation from t to γ_t are related by

$$(U(f))(t) = \gamma_t(f) \quad \text{for } t \in L_\sigma \text{ and } t \in T$$

with

$$\|U\| = \sup \{\|U_t\| : t \in T\}.$$

This map from t to γ_t is weak* continuous.

If φ^e is continuous ⁽⁵⁾ then U is an averaging operator for the measurable function φ from S into T if and only if $[\varphi^e]^*(\gamma_t) = \delta_t$. If the range $R(\varphi^e)$ of φ^e is contained in M^e then U is an averaging operator for φ if and only if $\gamma_{t_1} \circ \varphi^{-1} = \delta_t$ (as elements f of the dual space of $C_b(T)$).

PROOF. - The last part is all that is left to check. If $R(\varphi^e) \subset M^e$ then $\int F(\varphi^e(f)) d\gamma_{t_2} = 0$ (see [17]). Thus for $f \in L_\sigma$

$$\langle f, \delta_t \rangle = \langle \varphi^e(f), \gamma_t \rangle = \int f(\varphi(s)) d\gamma_{t,1} = \int f(t) d(\gamma_{t,1} \circ \varphi^{-1}).$$

Thus as elements of the dual of $C_b(T)$, $\delta_t = \gamma_{t,1} \circ \varphi^{-1}$. This completes our proof.

We should remark, that the above result is somewhat similar to that of PEŁCZYŃSKI in [16]. Also the above proof will be established for even more general situations. In particular $C_b(T)$ may be replaced by even more general spaces, for example, by a Banach space $F(T)$ of functions on T where the map from f to $f(t)$ is continuous. Such is the case for the space of bounded functions on T under the supremum norm.

For the case where φ^e maps just $C(T)$ into $C(S)$ (as in [18]) where φ is a continuous map of S onto T , the points $t \in T$ for which the fiber $\varphi^{-1}(t)$ is a subset in S of more than one point play an important role in studying averaging operators. Such points t have been called *plural points in T* (see [18]). In our study where φ^e is defined on L_σ and φ is a measurable map, the concept as defined, is not satisfactory. Shortly we will redefine this taking into account the measure μ . For the time being let P_φ be that subset of T such that

$$P_\varphi = \{t \in T : \text{card}(\varphi^{-1}(t)) > 1\}.$$

Let B be a Borel subset of T containing P : and let $B_1 = \varphi^{-1}(B)$. We assume that φ is measurable with respect to Σ_0 , that is $\int f d\gamma_{t,1}$ exists (as defined in [9]). We now may give some formulas to compute $\langle \varphi^e(f), \gamma_t \rangle$ when φ^e has values in M^e .

⁽⁵⁾ Since we have assumed that φ induced a continuous map φ^e from $C_b(T)$ into $L_\sigma(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ by $\varphi^e(f) = f \circ \varphi$ for all $f \in C_b(T)$ we have $\varrho(f \circ \varphi) < \infty$. Hence φ^e is a bounded linear operator. Such a situation is rather easy to construct. For example if φ is a measurable map then the map φ^e defined above from $C_b(T)$ into the Lebesgue space $L^\infty(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ is a bounded linear operator. If $\mu \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is of finite variation (with respect to Borel partitions T) then the map φ^e defined from $C_b(T)$ into the Lebesgue space $L^p(S, \Sigma, \mu)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, is again a bounded linear operator.

PROPOSITION 2. - Assume that $R(\varphi^e) \subset M^e$ and that φ admits an averaging operator. Then the following hold.

(1) If $t \in B$ then $\langle \varphi^e(f), \gamma_t \rangle = \int_{B_1} \varphi^e(f) d\gamma_{t,1}$.

(2) If φ is surjective and if $t \notin B$ then $\langle \varphi^e(f), \gamma_t \rangle = \int_{B_1} \varphi^e(f) d\gamma_{t,1} + f(t)$.

PROOF. - If $t \in B$, then $\delta_t(\mathcal{C}B) = 0$ ⁽⁶⁾. Thus $\gamma_{t,1}(\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{C}B)) = 0$. Moreover if H is measurable and $B \cap H = \emptyset$ then $\gamma_{t,1}(\varphi^{-1}(H)) = 0$. Consequently for $t \in B$,

$$\langle \varphi^e(f), \gamma_t \rangle = \int_{B_1} \varphi^e(f) dk_{t,1} + \int_{\mathcal{C}B_1} \varphi^e(f) d\gamma_{t,1} = \int_{B_1} \varphi^e(f) d\gamma_{t,1}.$$

This shows statement (1). For statement (2), if $t \notin B$, then $\varphi^{-1}(t)$ is a singleton in S . Partition T into the Borel sets B , $\{t\}$, and the set A . Then S is partitioned into sets $B_1, B_2 = \{\varphi^{-1}(\{t\})\}$, and $\varphi^{-1}(A)$. On A , $\gamma_{t,1} \circ \varphi^{-1} \equiv \mathbf{0}$, so

$$\langle \varphi^e(f), \gamma_t \rangle = \int_{B_1} \varphi^e(f) d\gamma_{t,1} + \int_{B_2} \varphi^e(f) d\gamma_{t,1}.$$

The last integral is just $f(t)$ for $\gamma_{t,1} \circ \varphi^{-1} \equiv \mathbf{1}$ on $\{t\}$. This completes the proof.

The assumption in our proposition (and in other results) that $R(\varphi^e)$ be contained in M^e is reasonable. For example in the class $L = L^p$ of Orlicz spaces where φ satisfies the so called Δ_2 condition, one has that $M^e = L_e$ (see [15]).

The result in Proposition 2 for $\varphi^e(f)$ may be given more generally for any $h \in L_e$. If $t \in B$, then

$$\langle h, \gamma_t \rangle = \int_{B_1} h d\gamma_{t,1} + h\varphi^{-1}(t).$$

3. - Existence of averaging operators.

Let us now consider the question of the existence of an averaging operator for φ in terms of the existence of an averaging operator for the restriction φ_1 of φ to B_1 .

In particular let B be a Borel subset of T (it need not contain P_φ at all!) and let $B_1 = \varphi^{-1}(B)$. Since φ is measurable, $B_1 \in \Sigma$. A new Banach function space $L_{\varrho_1}(B_1, \Sigma_1, \mu_1)$ may be defined as follows. Let $\Sigma_1 = \{A \cap B_1 : A \in \Sigma\}$ and let μ_1 be the restriction of μ to Σ_1 . For f a function defined on B_1 and measurable with respect to μ_1 , we may define \bar{f} on S by $\bar{f} \equiv f$ on B_1 and $\bar{f} \equiv \mathbf{0}$ on $\mathcal{C}B_1$. Now ϱ_1 may be defined for such f by $\varrho_1(f) = \varrho(\bar{f})$.

Clearly L_{ϱ_1} is a Banach function space. Let φ_1 mapping B_1 into B be the restriction of φ to B_1 .

⁽⁶⁾ By $\mathcal{C}B$ we mean $T \setminus B$.

For U a bounded linear operator from L_e into $C_b(T)$, we will need the following two concepts for the next theorem. We will say that the operator U is B extendable if for every $g \in C_b(B)$, the map $U(\varphi_1^e(g))$ in $C_b(T)$ is an extension of g . In particular if U is B -extendable then $\varphi_1^e(g) \in L_e$. Motivated by this we will say that φ is determined by B_1 if for every $f \in L_e$ such that $f|_{B_1} = \varphi_1^e(g)$ for some $g \in C_b(B)$ there is $g' \in C_b(T)$ such that $f = \varphi^e(g')$. Note that in this case g' need not be an extension of g .

What may be said if B does definitely contain the subset P_φ of T ? In this case, as we will see in the next theorem, the fact that φ is determined by B_1 , may be replaced by the following somewhat weaker statement. We will say that φ is weakly determined by B_1 if for all $f \in L_e(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ there is $g \in C_b(B)$ such that $f|_{B_1} = \varphi_1^e(g)$ and if g' is defined on T to be $g'(t) = g(t)$ for $t \in B$ and $g'(t) = f(\varphi^{-1}(t))$ for $t \in CB$ then $g' \in C_b(T)$. Let us note that if S and T were both compact spaces and if B is a closed Borel subset of T containing P_φ then φ is always weakly determined by B_1 .

THEOREM 3. - Assume that $R(\varphi^e) \subset M^e$. If φ admits an averaging operator U that is B -extendable, then φ_1 admits an averaging operator U_1 from $L_{e_1}(B_1, \Sigma_1, \mu_1)$ into $C(B_1)$.

Conversely if φ_1 admits an averaging operator U_1 and if φ^e is injective with φ determined by B_1 then φ admits an averaging operator. However if $P_\varphi \subset B$ then φ need not be determined by B_1 but need only be weakly determined by B_1 .

PROOF. - Let U be an averaging operator for φ that is B -extendable and let $\{\gamma_t\}_{t \in T}$ be the family of associated set functions as described for Theorem 1. The operator U from $L_e(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ into $C_b(T)$ induces an operator U_1 from $L_{e_1}(B_1, \Sigma_1, \mu_1)$ into $C_b(B)$ defined by $(U_1(f))(t) = \int_{B_1} f d\gamma_t$ for all $f \in L_{e_1}$ and $t \in B$. Since $\varrho_1(f) \leq 1$ implies $\varrho(\bar{f}) \leq 1$, it follows that $\|U_1\| \leq \|U\|$, that is U_1 is a bounded linear operator. It is clear that $(U_1(f))(t) = (U(\bar{f}))(t)$ for all $t \in B$. Thus we have $U_1[\varphi_1^e(g)] = U[\varphi_1^e(g)]|_B$ where the right side represents restriction to B . Since U is B -extendable, it follows that $U_1[\varphi_1^e(g)] = g$ for all $g \in C(B)$. Thus U_1 is an averaging operator for φ_1 .

If it is assumed now that φ^e is injective then to show that φ has an averaging operator U , it is sufficient to show the existence of a projection P from $L_e(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ onto the range of φ^e (since there exists a one-to-one correspondence between projections from L_e onto $R(\varphi^e)$ and averaging operators from L_e into $C_b(T)$). If U_1 is an averaging operator for φ_1 , define P_1 to be $\varphi_1^e \circ U_1$: Clearly P_1 is a bounded projection operator from $L_{e_1}(B_1, \Sigma_1, \mu_1)$ onto $R(\varphi_1^e)$. Define a bounded linear operator T from $L_e(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ into $L_{e_1}(B_1, \Sigma_1, \mu_1)$ by $T(f) = f|_{B_1}$ for all $f \in L_e$. We now may define the required projection P . For $f \in L_e(S, \Sigma, \mu)$, define

$$P(f) = f - \overline{P_1 T(f)} - T(f).$$

Now $P^2(f) = P(f) - \overline{P_1 T(P(f))} - T(P(f))$. For all $h \in L_{e_1}(B_1, \Sigma_1, \mu_1)$, if $s \in CB_1$, then $\bar{h}(s) = 0$. Consequently $(P^2(f))(s) = (P(f))(s)$ for all $s \in CB_1$. Moreover for $s \in B_1$,

$\bar{h}(s) = h(s)$. Thus

$$(P_1 TP(f))(s) = (\varphi_1^e U_1 TP(f))(s) = (TP(f))(s).$$

Consequently $(P^2(f))(s) = (P(f))(s)$ for all $s \in S$, that is, P is a projection.

The range of P and the range of φ^e coincide. For if $P(f) = f$ then $P_1 T(f) - T(f) = 0$. Consequently $T(f) \in R(\varphi_1^e)$. If now φ is determined by B_1 then $f \in R(\varphi^e)$. Conversely if $f = \varphi^e(h)$ for some $h \in C(T)$, then $P(f) = f - P_1 T(f) - T(f)$. In this case $P_1 T(f) = T(f)$ and thus $P(f) = f$. Therefore $R(\varphi^e) = R(P)$.

If we now assume that the subset P_φ of T is contained in B , then the above arguments show there is a $g \in C(B)$ such that $T(f) = \varphi_1^e(g)$. If φ is now weakly determined by B_1 , let g' be the function in $C_b(T)$ as defined in the definition. Hence $f = \varphi_1^e(g')$ and φ is now determined by B_1 . *This completes our proof.*

Thus the existence of an averaging operator has somewhat been characterized in terms of a smaller, so to speak, averaging operator defined on an appropriate function space. Let us now consider more of a reduction type theorem where the set functions $\{\gamma_i\}_{i \in T}$ may be replaced, in some cases, by regular Borel measures $\{\beta_i\}_{i \in T}$ defined over a compact space.

We need to assume that $L_\varrho = M_\varrho$ ⁽⁷⁾ and that $\varrho(\chi_S) < \infty$: The last condition is needed to insure that there is an $f_0 \in L_\varrho(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ such that $f_0 > \mathbf{0}$ μ almost everywhere. This assumption permits us to make use of a spectral type theorem given in [17]. In particular, let $\hat{\Sigma}$ be the σ -field generated by the compact subsets of the locally compact Hausdorff space \hat{S} . Let $\hat{\mu}$ be a measure on $\hat{\Sigma}$ which is finite on compact sets. An adequate function norm $\hat{\varrho}$ is defined (see below) so that one may consider the appropriate function space $L_{\hat{\varrho}}(\hat{S}, \hat{\Sigma}, \hat{\mu})$. Every element in $L_{\hat{\varrho}}$ has σ -compact support. Further let B_ϱ be the algebra of essentially bounded functions in $L_\varrho(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ and let $\text{cl}_\infty B_\varrho$ be its closure in $L_\infty(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ (where $L_\infty = L_\varrho$ for $\varrho = \varrho_\infty$ as discussed in [14]). In [17], it is shown that

(1) *there is a measure space $(\hat{S}, \hat{\Sigma}, \hat{\mu})$ (as defined above) such that $L_\varrho(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ is isometric and (lattice) isomorphic to $L_\varrho(\hat{S}, \hat{\Sigma}, \hat{\mu})$.*

Moreover if there is an $f_0 \in L_\varrho(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ such that $f_0 > \mathbf{0}$, μ almost everywhere, then

(2) *statement (1) holds where now \hat{S} is a compact extremally disconnected Hausdorff space and where μ is a regular Borel measure ($\mu(S) < \infty$) on the σ -field generated by the clopen subsets of \hat{S} .*

(3) *there is an isomorphism Φ from $\text{cl}_\infty B_\varrho$ onto $C_b(\hat{S})$. Also $f \equiv \mathbf{0}$, μ almost everywhere if and only if $\Phi(f) \equiv \mathbf{0}$, $\hat{\mu}$ almost everywhere and $\|f\|_{\infty, \mu} = \|\Phi(f)\|_{\infty, \hat{\mu}}$. In addition Φ takes characteristic functions in $\text{cl}_\infty B_\varrho$ into characteristic functions in $C_b(\hat{S})$.*

⁽⁷⁾ As pointed out previously this is a reasonable assumption. The Orlicz space $L_\varrho = L^p$ with Δ_2 condition have this property (see [15]).

Let us note that the condition on $f_0 \in L_\varrho$ given above to demonstrate statements (2) and (3), does hold rather generally, for example, in any σ -finite measure space.

Let $\hat{\Sigma}_\varrho$ denote those clopen subsets in $\hat{\Sigma}$ contained in the compact extremally disconnected space \hat{S} , which are in one-to-one correspondence with the sets $A \in \Sigma$. For every $t \in T$ we may define the finitely additive scalar valued set functions β_t by $\beta_t(A) = \gamma_t(\hat{A})$ for $\hat{A} \in \hat{\Sigma}_\varrho$, $A \in \Sigma_0 = \Sigma$. Since we are assuming that $M^e = L_\varrho$, it is clear that $\gamma_t = \gamma_{t,1}$. Note also that $\varrho'(\beta_t) = \varrho'(\gamma_t)$ where

$$\varrho'(\beta_t) = \sup \left\{ \left| \int \hat{f} d\beta_t \right| : \hat{f} \text{ is a } \hat{\Sigma}_\varrho \text{ simple function; } \hat{\varrho}(\hat{f}) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Now for $\hat{A} \in \hat{\Sigma}_\varrho$, $\hat{\mu}(\hat{A}) = 0$ if and only if $\mu(A) = 0$. Consequently $\hat{\mu}(\hat{A}) = 0$ implies $\beta_t(\hat{A}) = 0$.

Let $|\beta_t|$ represent the *variation* of β_t , that is,

$$|\beta_t|(\hat{S}) = \sup \left\{ \left| \sum \gamma_t(A_i) \right| : (A_i)_{i \in I} \text{ finite partition in } \Sigma \right\}.$$

Now this variation is finite. In fact if $(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}$ are a finite set of scalars such that $|\alpha_i| = 1$ and such that $\alpha_i \beta_t(A_i) = |\beta_t(A_i)|$ then

$$\sum |\gamma_t(A_i)| = \int \left(\sum \alpha_i \chi_{A_i} \right) d\gamma_t \leq \varrho(\chi_t) \varrho'(\gamma_t) < \infty.$$

It is also clear that β_t is regular on $\hat{\Sigma}_\varrho$. Let us see now how β_t may be extended to a regular Borel measure on $\hat{\Sigma}$. Since $\varrho(\chi_S) < \infty$ the ring $\hat{\Sigma}_\varrho$ is *dense* in the power set of \hat{S} , that is, if K and G are respectively compact and open subsets of \hat{S} , then there is $\hat{A} \in \hat{\Sigma}$ such that $K \subset \hat{A} \subset G$. In [8], it is shown that such a situation yields β_t as countably additive on $\hat{\Sigma}_\varrho$ and that a unique extension to $\hat{\Sigma}$ of β_t exists as a regular Borel measure. Furthermore the variation of the extension (considered as a Borel measure) is finite and coincides on $\hat{\Sigma}_\varrho$ with the variation of β_t . For simplicity let us retain β_t as notation for this extension.

Let ψ be the correspondence that takes Σ simple functions into $\hat{\Sigma}_\varrho$ simple functions as now

$$\int \left(\sum \alpha_i \chi_{A_i} \right) d\gamma_t = \int \left(\sum \alpha_i \chi_{\hat{A}_i} \right) d\beta_t.$$

Since $M^e = L_\varrho$, ψ may be extended to all $f \in L_\varrho$ as f is then in the closure of Σ -simple functions. Since $\varrho'(\gamma_t) = \varrho'(\beta_t) < \infty$, a final limit argument will show that $\int f d\gamma_t = \int \hat{f} d\beta_t$ where $\hat{f} = \psi(f)$.

Note that what we have just proceeded to do, could be applied to more general situations. What is crucial here is that in addition to $M^e = L_\varrho$, we need the variation $|\beta_t|$ finite, the field $\hat{\Sigma}_\varrho$ dense in the power set of \hat{S} and the existence of an $f_0 \in L_\varrho$ such that $f_0 > \mathbf{0}$, μ almost everywhere. If $M^e \neq L_\varrho$, then the above arguments may be applied to $\beta_{t,1}$. More formally we have shown

THEOREM 4. - If $L_\varrho = M^e$ and if $\varrho(\chi_S) < \infty$ then there is an extremally disconnected compact Hausdorff space \hat{S} with $\hat{\Sigma}$, its field of clopen subsets, and $\hat{\mu}$, a regular Borel measure on $\hat{\Sigma}$, such that $L_\varrho(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ is isometric and lattice isomorphic with $L_\varrho(\hat{S}, \hat{\Sigma}, \hat{\mu})$. If φ is this isomorphism and if U is an averaging operator for φ then for every $t \in T$ there exists a regular Borel measure β_t on $\hat{\Sigma}$ such that

$$\int f d\gamma_t = \int \hat{f} d\beta_t$$

where $\hat{f} = \varphi(f)$ for $f \in L_\varrho$ and γ_t is the additive set function associated with U as determined for Theorem 1.

4. - Upper bounds.

We are now in a position to give a reasonable definition of plurality as was indicated earlier. The above result also leads to a definition, for the present context, of the concept of an irreducible map (see [18] for the concepts in the more restricted cases).

Again we need to assume that $L_\varrho = M^e$ and the existence of an $f_0 \in L_\varrho$ such that $f_0 > \mathbf{0}$, μ almost everywhere. Let U be an averaging operator for φ .

DEFINITION 5. - For $t \in T$, if $\varphi^{-1}(t) \in \Sigma_0$, let $\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(t)$ be the associated clopen set in the Stone space \hat{S} . The point $t \in T$ is called a *plural point* if

(a) whenever β_t is positive on subsets of $\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(t)$ then there is a set $\hat{A} \in (\hat{\Sigma}_c)_0$ such that $\hat{A} \subset \hat{\varphi}^{-1}(t)$ and $0 < \beta_t(\hat{A}) < 1$.

(b) whenever β is not positive on $\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(t)$ then for the part N of $\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(t)$ on which β_t is negative there is a subset $\hat{A} \in (\hat{\Sigma}_c)_0$ such that $\hat{A} \subset N$ and $0 < \beta_t(\hat{A}) < 1$.

Let Pl_φ be the set of plural points of T . The measurable map φ will be called *irreducible*⁽⁸⁾ if for $A \subset S$, with $\mu(A) > 0$ there is a $t \in T$ such that $\emptyset \neq \varphi^{-1}(t) \subset A$.

Let us recall that since φ has an averaging operator and since $M^e = L_\varrho$, Theorem 1 says that $\beta_t(\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(t)) = 1$. Also let us note that as we have defined it, saying that t is a plural point amounts to saying that $\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(t)$ is not an atom for β_t . An interesting relation between non atomicity and the Darboux property may be found in [8].

We now make use of our ideas to establish an upper bound for the variation of the set functions γ_t in terms of the norm for U .

⁽⁸⁾ Our measure theoretical concept has its topological analogue as the following: the continuous map φ from the topological space S onto the topological space T is *irreducible* if for every non-empty open set G in S there is a point $t \in T$ such that $\emptyset \neq \varphi^{-1}(t) \subset G$.

THEOREM 6. - Let φ have an averaging operator U and assume that

- (1) $Me = L_e$;
- (2) $\varrho(\chi_S) < \infty$;
- (3) t is plural;
- (4) φ is irreducible;

then $|\gamma_t|(\mathcal{S}) < \varrho(\chi_S)\|U\| - 1$.

PROOF. - To simplify the notation in this proof we will replace the operator $\hat{\varphi}^{-1}$ by ξ . As noted above $\beta_t(\xi(t)) = 1$. Plurality of t finds a clopen set $\hat{A} \subset \hat{\mathcal{S}}$ such that $1 = \beta_t(A) + \beta_t(\xi(t) - A)$ where $0 \neq |\beta_t(\hat{A})| \neq 1$, $0 \neq \beta_t[\xi(t) - \hat{A}] \neq 1$. Thus, in short, there is a clopen set \tilde{A} (which may be either \hat{A} or $\xi(t) - \hat{A}$) such that for $\varepsilon > 0$, $\beta_t(\tilde{A}) < \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}$. Actually there is a compact set $K \subset \tilde{A}$ such that $0 < \beta_t(K) < \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ and $|\beta_t(K)| = |\beta_t|(K)$. If β_t is positive on $\xi(t)$, the regularity of β_t assures the existence of a compact set $K \subset \tilde{A}$ such that

$$|\beta_t(K) - \beta_t(\tilde{A})| < \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} - \beta_t(\tilde{A}).$$

Since $0 < \beta_t(\tilde{A}) < 1$, K may be chosen so that $\beta_t(K) \neq 0$. In addition $\beta_t(K) = \beta_t(\tilde{A}) + \beta_t(K) - \beta_t(\tilde{A}) < \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}$. Clearly $\beta_t(K) = |\beta_t(K)| = |\beta_t|(K)$ as β_t is countably additive. If β_t is not positive on $\xi(t)$, let N be the negative part as in the definition. Again by the regularity of β_t , a compact set $K \subset N$ may be obtained so that $|\beta_t(K) - \beta_t(N)| < \frac{1}{2}$. Again it may be assumed that $\beta_t(K) \neq 0$. Now $\beta_t(K) \leq \beta_t(N) + \frac{1}{2} < \frac{1}{2}$. Since $-\beta_t$ is positive on N , $-\beta_t(K) = |\beta_t(K)| = |\beta_t|(K)$.

Now the regularity of $|\beta_t|$ permits us to pick a clopen set $C \subset \hat{\mathcal{S}}$ such that $K \subset C$ and $|\beta_t|(C \setminus K) < \varepsilon$. Incidentally $\chi_C \in C(\hat{\mathcal{S}})$ and $\hat{\varrho}(\chi_C) < \infty$. A finite pairwise disjoint family of clopen sets $C_i \subset \hat{\mathcal{S}} \setminus C$, $i \in I$, may be chosen such that

$$|\beta_t|(\hat{\mathcal{S}} \setminus K) - \varepsilon < \sum_{i=1}^n |\beta_t(C_i)|.$$

Of course $\hat{\varrho}(\chi_{C_i}) < \infty$.

Let α_i be scalars such that $|\alpha_i| = 1$ and $\alpha_i \beta_t(C_i) = |\beta_t(C_i)|$. Now

- (1) $|\beta_t|(\hat{\mathcal{S}} \setminus C) - \varepsilon < \int \left(\sum \alpha_i \chi_{C_i} \right) d\beta_t$;
- (2) $\int \chi_C d\beta_t \leq \beta_t(K) + |\beta_t|(C \setminus K) < \beta_t(K) + \varepsilon$ (7).

Since the map from t to γ_t is weak* continuous (Theorem 1), it follows that there is some neighborhood V of t such that for all $y \in V$

- (3) $|\beta_t|(\hat{\mathcal{S}} \setminus G) - \varepsilon < \int \left(\sum \alpha_i \chi_{C_i} \right) d\beta_y$;
- (4) $\int \chi_C d\beta_y < \beta_t(K) + \varepsilon$.

If $D \in \Sigma$ is the correspondent of C , then $\mu[\varphi^{-1}(V) \cap D] > 0$. In fact $\varphi^{-1}(V) \cap D \supset \varphi^{-1}(t) \cap D$. The last set corresponds to $\xi(t) \cap G$ which contains K . Now $\hat{\mu}(K) > 0$ or else $\beta_i(K) = 0$ which is a contradiction. Thus

$$\hat{\mu}[\xi(t) \cap G] > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu[\varphi^{-1}(V) \cap V] > 0.$$

The irreducibility of φ assures $q \in T$ such that $\varphi^{-1}(q) \neq \emptyset$ and $\varphi^2(q) \subset \varphi^{-1}(V) \cap D$. Hence $q \in V$ and

$$|\beta_i|(S \setminus G) - \varepsilon < \int \sum \alpha_i \chi_{C_i} d\beta_\alpha; \quad \int \chi_C d\beta_\alpha < \beta_i(K) + \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varphi^{-1}(q) \subset D$, $\xi(q) \subset G$ and

$$\int \chi_C d\beta_\alpha = \int_{C - \xi(q)} \chi_C d\beta_\alpha + \beta_\alpha[\xi(q)].$$

Consequently $\beta_\alpha(C) = \beta_\alpha(C - \xi(q)) + 1$, and by (4)

$$\int_{C - \xi(q)} \chi_C d\beta_\alpha = \beta_\alpha(C) - 1 < \beta_i(K) + \varepsilon - 1.$$

Recall that $0 < |\beta_i(K)| = |\beta_i|(K) < \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$. If $\beta_i(K) < 0$ for sufficiently small $\varepsilon < 0$ then

$$|\beta_\alpha|[C \setminus \xi(q)] > |\beta_i(K) + \varepsilon - 1| > |\beta_i(K)| - 3\varepsilon.$$

If $0 < \beta_i(K) < \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}$ for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, then

$$|\beta_\alpha|(C \setminus \xi(q)) < |\beta_\alpha[C \setminus \xi(q)]| > \frac{1}{2} - 3\varepsilon > |\beta_i(K)| - 3\varepsilon$$

since

$$|\beta_\alpha|[C \setminus \xi(q)] < \beta_i(K) + \varepsilon - 1 < \frac{1}{2} + 2\varepsilon$$

Thus in all cases for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$

$$|\beta_\alpha|[C \setminus \xi(q)] > |\beta_i|(K) - 3\varepsilon.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|U\| &> \sup \{ |\int f d\gamma_\alpha| : f \in M_1^q \} = \\ &= \sup \{ |\sum \beta_\alpha(\hat{A}_i) \alpha_i| : \hat{f} = \sum \alpha_i \chi_{\hat{A}_i} \text{ a } \hat{\Sigma}_\varepsilon\text{-step function, } \varrho(\hat{f}) < \infty \}. \end{aligned}$$

Picking scalars β_i , $|\beta_i| = 1$ and $\beta_i \gamma_\alpha(A_i) = |\gamma_\alpha(A_i)|$ we have

$$\varrho(\sum \chi_{\hat{A}_i} \beta_i) \leq \hat{\varrho}(\chi_{\hat{S}}) = \varrho(\chi_S).$$

Since Σ_c is dense in the power set of \mathcal{S} , we have

$$\|U\| > \frac{1}{\varrho(\chi_s)} [|\beta_c|(\mathcal{S} \setminus C) + |\beta_c|(C \setminus \xi(q)) + 1].$$

Thus

$$\|U\| > \frac{1}{\varrho(\chi_s)} \left[\int (\sum \chi_{c_i} \alpha_i) d\beta_c + 1 - 4\varepsilon \right] > \frac{1}{\varrho(\chi_s)} [|\beta_c|(\mathcal{S}) + 1 - 5\varepsilon].$$

It finally follows that $|\gamma_t|(\mathcal{S}) \leq \varrho(\chi_s) \|U\| - 1$ and our proof is now complete.

We now have the answer to a rather natural question. What is the relation between the norm of the averaging operator U and the norm of U_1 as defined above. We quickly obtain it below.

COROLLARY 7. - *Assuming the hypotheses of Theorem 8 and assuming that φ and φ_1 admit the averaging operators U and U_1 respectively, then*

$$\|U_1(f)\| \leq \|\hat{f}\|_\infty [\varrho(\chi_s) \|U\| - 1]$$

where $B = \text{cl } Pl_\varphi$ as needed for U_1 .

PROOF. Following through the proof of Theorem 3 we see that $(U_1(f))(t) = \int_{B_1} \hat{f} d\gamma_t$. Then pick $\hat{f} \in C(\mathcal{S})$, $0 < \hat{f} < 1$ with $\int \hat{f} d\beta_t > \|\beta_t\| - \varepsilon$ where $\varepsilon > 0$ is given, t is a fixed point in B_1 , and $\|\beta_t\| = |\beta_t|(\mathcal{S})$. Now if G is an open set containing t and if $r \in G \cap Pl_\varphi$, then the theorem yields

$$\varrho(\chi_s) \|U\| - 1 > |\beta_r|(\mathcal{S}) \geq \int \hat{f} d\beta_r.$$

The weak* continuity of the map that takes r to γ_r (restricting to G if necessary) yields

$$\varrho(\chi_s) \|U\| - 1 > \int \hat{f} d\beta_r > \|\beta_t\| - \varepsilon$$

for all $r \in G$. Now for every $t \in \text{cl } Pl_\varphi$ it follows that $\|\beta_t\| \leq \varrho(\chi_s) \|U\| - 1$. Since

$$(U_1(f))(t) = \int_{B_1} \hat{f} d\gamma_t = \int_{B_1} \hat{f} d\beta_t$$

it follows that

$$\|U_1(f)(t)\| \leq \|\hat{f}\|_\infty \sup \{ \|\beta_t\| : t \in \text{cl } Pl_\varphi \} \leq \|\hat{f}\|_\infty [\varrho(\chi_s) \|U\| - 1].$$

This completes the proof.

5. – Projection problem.

We are now led to the consideration of obtaining conditions on ϱ with which we will know that no bounded projection will exist onto the range of φ^e . For this remaining section we will need to assume that L_ϱ is reflexive.

A function $f \in L_\varrho$ is said to be of *absolutely continuous norm* if the sequence $\{\varrho(f_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is monotonically decreasing and convergent to zero whenever the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in L_\varrho$ is monotonically decreasing and pointwise convergent μ almost everywhere to zero with $f_1 \leq |f|$.

Let L_ϱ^a represent all $f \in L_\varrho$ which are of absolutely continuous norm. It can be shown that L_ϱ^a is a norm closed order ideal in L_ϱ (see [19], Chapter 15 for its significance). For our purposes, its significance will be in its determination of the reflexivity of L_ϱ .

The function norm ϱ is said to be *absolutely continuous* if $L_\varrho = L_\varrho^a$. The space L_ϱ is reflexive if and only if both ϱ and ϱ' are absolutely continuous and ϱ has the weak Fatou property.

Now we will assume that L_ϱ^a is identified with $L_{\varrho'}$ and $R(\varphi^e)$ is considered as a subset of $L[L_\varrho^a, \mathbb{C}]$ the set of bounded linear operators from L_ϱ^a into the complex scalars \mathbb{C} , or as a subset of $L_{\varrho'}$. The following operators will be needed.

Let U be an arbitrary element of $L[L_\varrho^a, \mathbb{C}]$. For E in a partition \mathfrak{E} of Σ_0 and for $f \in L_\varrho^a$, define the operator $U_E \in L[L_\varrho^a, \mathbb{C}]$ by

$$U^E(f) = U \left[\left(\int_E f d\mu \right) \chi_E \right]$$

and define the linear operator $A_\mathfrak{E} \in L[L_{\varrho'}, L_{\varrho'}]$ by

$$A_\mathfrak{E}(f) = f_\mathfrak{E} = \sum_{E \in \mathfrak{E}} \left(\int_E \frac{|f|}{\mu(E)} d\mu \right) \chi_E.$$

We may also define

$$A_E(f) = \frac{1}{\mu(E)} \left(\int_E f d\mu \right) \chi_E.$$

The function norm ϱ is said to be *weakly leveling* if for each partition \mathfrak{E} in Σ_0 , $\varrho(f_\mathfrak{E}) \leq \varrho(f)$. All well known Banach function spaces such as the Orlicz spaces (and in particular the Lebesgue spaces) have weakly leveling function norms. In [11] this concept was referred to as ϱ *having property (J)*. The present terminology appropriate in comparison to the concept of *leveling* as discussed in [10].

If $R(\varphi^e)$ is closed, we will let P be a bounded projection of $L[L_\varrho^a, \mathbb{C}]$ onto $R(\varphi^e)$. Thus if P^* is the adjoint of P , then P^* is a bounded linear map from L_ϱ^a into L_ϱ^a .

Asking that $R(\varphi^e)$ be closed is not much of an assumption. Specifically this occurs when φ admits an averaging operator.

Any linear operator K from L_ϱ^a into L_ϱ^a may be written (see [19]) as $K(f) = \int g f d\mu$ for some $g \in L_{\varrho'}^a = L_\varrho^a$. The important assumption here is that for a certain class of operators K , g may be chosen in $R(\varphi^e)$.

THEOREM 10. - *Assume the following conditions*

- (1) L_ϱ is reflexive with $(L_\varrho^a)^* \cong L_{\varrho'}^a$.
- (2) $R(\varphi^e)$ is closed;
- (3) ϱ' has the weak leveling property;
- (4) For every $E \in \mathcal{E}$ there is $f_E \in C_b(T)$ such that

$$U_E(f) = \langle \varphi^e(f_E), f \rangle (= \int \varphi^e(f_E) f d\mu).$$

Then either φ^e is surjective or no bounded projection P exists from $L_\varrho(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ onto $R(\varphi^e)$ such that

$$P[UA_\mathcal{E}] = P(U)A_\mathcal{E}.$$

In particular either φ^e is surjective or no bounded projection P from L_ϱ^a onto $R(\varphi^e)$ exists such that P^* commutes with A_E .

PROOF. - If φ^e is not surjective, let P be a bounded projection of $L[L_\varrho^a, \mathbf{C}]$ onto $R(\varphi^e)$ with $P[UA_\mathcal{E}] = P(U)A_\mathcal{E}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} UA_\mathcal{E}(f) &= U \left[\sum_{\mathcal{E}} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(E_i)} \int_{E_i} f d\mu \right) \chi_{E_i} \right] \\ &= \sum_{\mathcal{E}} \frac{1}{\mu(E_i)} U_{E_i}(f) = \sum_{\mathcal{E}} \frac{1}{\mu(E_i)} \langle \varphi^e(f_{E_i}), f \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if $h = \sum_{\mathcal{E}} (1/\mu(E_i)) f_{E_i}$, then $UA_\mathcal{E}(f) = \langle \varphi^e(h), f \rangle$. Consequently $UA_\mathcal{E} \in R(\varphi^e)$ and $P[UA_\mathcal{E}] = UA_\mathcal{E}$. Since ϱ' has the weak leveling property, we obtain from [11], that $A_\mathcal{E}(f)$ converges to f in the ϱ norm as \mathcal{E} gets finer. Thus

$$\lim_{\mathcal{E}} P[UA_\mathcal{E}](f) = U(f)$$

and so

$$\lim_{\mathcal{E}} P[UA_\mathcal{E}](f) = \lim_{\mathcal{E}} P(U)A_\mathcal{E}(f) = P(U)(f).$$

Hence $P(U) = U$ which contradicts the assumption of φ^e being not surjective.

To complete the proof we need show that if P^* commutes with A_E then $P[UA_E] = P(U)A_E$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P[UA_E], f \rangle &= \langle UA_E, P^*(f^*) \rangle = \langle U, \sum_{E_i} \frac{1}{\mu(E_i)} \int_{E_i} P^*(f) d\mu \chi_{E_i} \rangle \\ &= \langle U, \sum_{E_i} \frac{1}{\mu(E_i)} A_{E_i} P^*(f) \rangle = \langle U, P^* \left[\sum_{E_i} \frac{1}{\mu(E_i)} A_{E_i}(f) \right] \rangle \\ &= \langle P(U), \sum_{E_i} \frac{1}{\mu(E_i)} \int_{E_i} f d\mu \chi_{E_i} \rangle = \langle P(U)A_E, f \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $P[UA_E] = P(U)A_E$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] R. A. ALÒ - A. DE KORVIN - L. KUNES, *Topological aspects of q -regular measures*, *Studia Math.*, **48** (1973), pp. 49-60.
- [2] R. A. ALÒ - A. DE KORVIN, *Topologies associated with operators on normed function spaces*, *Proc. Conference on General Topology, Rome, 1973, Symposia Mathematica*, **16** (1975), pp. 209-243.
- [3] R. A. ALÒ - L. I. SENNOTT, *Extending linear space-valued functions*, *Math. Ann.*, **191** (1971), pp. 79-86.
- [4] D. AMIR, *Projections onto continuous function spaces*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **15** (1964), pp. 396-402.
- [5] D. AMIR, *On projections and simultaneous extensions*, *Israel J. Math.*, **2** (1964), pp. 245-248.
- [6] R. ARENS, *Projections on continuous function spaces*, *Duke Math. J.*, **32** (1965), pp. 469-478.
- [7] D. W. DEAN, *Subspaces of $C(H)$ which are direct factors of $C(H)$* , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **16** (1965), pp. 237-242.
- [8] N. DINCULEANU, *Vector Measures*, Pergamon Press, Berlin, 1967.
- [9] N. DUNFORD - J. T. SCHWARTZ, *Linear Operators*, part I, New York, 1958.
- [10] H. W. ELLIS - I. HALPERIN, *Function spaces determined by a leveling length function*, *Canad. J. Math.*, **5** (1953), pp. 576-592.
- [11] N. GRETSEKY, *Representation theorems on Banach function spaces*, *Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc.*, **84** (1968), pp. 56.
- [12] J. R. ISBELL - Z. SEMADENI, *Projection constants and spaces of continuous functions*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **107** (1963), pp. 38-48.
- [13] S. KAKUTANI, *Concrete representation of abstract (M) spaces*, *Ann. Math.*, (2) **42** (1941), pp. 994-1024.
- [14] W. A. J. LUXEMBURG - A. C. ZAAANEN, *Notes on Banach function spaces*, *Proc. Acad. Sci. Amsterdam (Indag. Math.)*, Note I, A **66** (1963), pp. 135-147; Note II, A **66** (1963), pp. 148-153; Note III, A **66** (1963), pp. 239-250; Note IV, A **66** (1963), pp. 251-263; Note V, A **66** (1963), pp. 496-504; Note VI, A **66** (1963), pp. 655-668; Note VII, A **66** (1963), pp. 669-681; Note VIII, A **67** (1964), pp. 104-119; Note IX, A **67** (1964), pp. 360-376; Note X, A **67** (1964), pp. 493-506; Note XI, A **67** (1964), pp. 507-518; Note XII, A **67** (1964), pp. 519-529; Note XIII, A **67** (1964), pp. 530-543; Note XIV,

- A **68** (1965), pp. 229-248; Note XV, A **68** (1965), pp. 415-446; Note XVI, A **68** (1965), pp. 646-667.
- [15] W. ORLICZ, *Absolute continuity of set functions with respect to a finitely subadditive measure*, Amer. Soc. Math. Polonae, Series I, **14** (1970), pp. 101-118.
- [16] A. PELCZYNSKI, *Linear extensions, linear averagings, and their applications to linear topological classification of spaces of continuous functions*, Dissertations Math., **58** (1968).
- [17] M. M. RAO, *Linear operations, tensor products and contractive projections in function spaces*, Stud. Math., **38** (1970), pp. 131-186.
- [18] J. WOLFE, *Injective Banach spaces of type $O(T)$* , Ph. D. Thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 1971.
- [19] A. C. ZAAANEN, *Integration* (Second Edition), North Holland, Amsterdam, 1967.
- [20] T. ANDO, *Contractive projections in L^p -spaces*, Pac. J. Math., **17** (1966), pp. 391-405.
-