

# A theorem on the absolute Cesàro summability of factored Fourier series.

By S. M. MAZHAR (Univ. Allahabad, India)

---

**Summary.** - *In this paper, the author proves a theorem on the absolute CESÀRO summability of factored FOURIER series. His theorem extends a theorem of MATSUMOTO and generalizes a theorem of PRASAD and BHATT.*

**1.1.** Let  $f(t)$  be a periodic function with period  $2\pi$  and integrable ( $L$ ) over  $(-\pi, \pi)$  and let its FOURIER series be given by

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &\sim \frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_1^{\infty} (a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt) \\ &= \sum_0^{\infty} A_n(t). \end{aligned}$$

We write

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{2} \{ f(x+t) + f(x-t) \},$$

$$\Phi_{\alpha}(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-u)^{\alpha-1} \varphi(u) du \quad \alpha > 0,$$

$$\Phi_0(t) = \varphi(t),$$

and

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(t) = \Gamma(\alpha + 1) t^{-\alpha} \Phi_{\alpha}(t), \quad \alpha \geq 0$$

**1.2.** In 1948, CHENG proved the following theorem concerning the absolute summability factor of FOURIER series :

**THEOREM A.** - If  $\varphi_{\alpha}(t)$  ( $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ ) is of bounded variation in  $(0, \pi)$ , then

$$\sum A_n(t) / \{ \log(n) \}^{1+\epsilon} \quad \epsilon > 0,$$

at the point  $t = x$ , is summable  $|C, \alpha|$ .

Generalizing the above theorem of CHENG [1], MATSUMOTO [2] established the following theorem :

THEOREM B. - If

$$\int_0^{\pi} |d\{t^{-\gamma}\Phi_{\beta}(t)\}| < \infty,$$

then the series

$$\sum_0^{\infty} n^{\gamma-\beta} A_n(t) / \{\log(n+2)\}^{1+\varepsilon},$$

at the point  $t = x$ , is summable  $|C, \gamma|$ , where  $1 \geq \gamma \geq \beta \geq 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

The object of the present paper is to find in place of this special type of factor  $1/\{\log(n+2)\}^{1+\varepsilon}$  in the above theorem of MATSUMOTO [2], a general factor  $\lambda_n$ , where  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a convex sequence such that  $\sum \lambda_n/n$  is convergent.

2.1. In what follows we shall prove the following theorem :

THEOREM. - If  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a convex sequence such that  $\sum \lambda_n/n < \infty$  and if

$$\int_0^{\pi} |d\{t^{-\gamma}\Phi_{\beta}(t)\}| < \infty,$$

then the series

$$\sum n^{\gamma-\beta} \lambda_n A_n(t),$$

at the point  $t = x$ , is summable  $|C, \gamma|$ , where  $1 \geq \gamma \geq \beta \geq 0$ .

It may be remarked that this theorem also generalizes the following theorem of PRASAD and BHATT [3].

THEOREM C. - If  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a convex sequence such that  $\sum \lambda_n/n < \infty$  and  $\varphi_{\alpha}(t)$  is of bounded variation in  $(0, \pi)$ , where  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , then the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n A_n(t)$ , at the point  $t = x$ , is summable  $|C, \alpha|$ .

2.2. The following lemmas will be required for the proof of our theorem :

LEMMA 1. (MATSUMOTO [2]). - Let

$$S_k(n, t) = \sum_{\nu=0}^k A_{k-\nu}^{-1} \sin \nu t, \quad k \leq n \text{ and } 1 \geq \gamma > 0,$$

then

$$S_k(n, t) = \begin{cases} O \{ k(n - k)^{r-1} \} \\ O \{ t^{-1}(n - k)^{r-1} \} \end{cases} \quad n > k,$$

and

$$S_n(n, t) = \begin{cases} O(n^r) \\ O(t^{-r}). \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 2. (MATSUMOTO [2]). - Let

$$S_k^\lambda(n, t) = \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^\lambda S_k(n, t) \quad \lambda \geq 1 \text{ and } k \leq n,$$

then we have

$$S_k^\lambda(n, t) = \begin{cases} O \{ k^{\lambda+1}(n - k)^{r-1} \} \\ O \{ k^\lambda t^{-1}(n - k)^{r-1} \} \end{cases} \quad k < n,$$

and

$$S_n^\lambda(n, t) = \begin{cases} O(n^{\lambda+r}) \\ O(n^\lambda t^{-r}). \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 3. - Let

$$F(n, \nu) = (n - \nu)^{r-1} | \Delta \{ \nu^\delta \lambda_\nu \} |, \quad \delta = r - \beta.$$

If

$$J(n, u) = \int_u^\pi (t - u)^{-\beta} \frac{d}{dt} H^r(n, t) dt,$$

where

$$H^r(n, t) = \frac{1}{A_n^r} \sum_{\nu=0}^n A_{n-\nu}^{r-1} \nu^\delta \lambda_\nu \text{Sin } \nu t,$$

then

$$J(n, u) = \begin{cases} O \left[ n^{\beta-r} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \nu F(n, \nu) + n^{r+\delta} \lambda_n \right\} \right] \\ O \left[ n^{\beta-r} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} u^{-1} F(n, \nu) + n^\delta u^{-r} \lambda_n \right\} \right]. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. - By partial summation we have

$$(2.2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} H^\gamma(n, t) &= \frac{1}{A_n^\gamma} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} s_\nu(n, t) \Delta \{ \nu^\delta \lambda_\nu \} + s_n(n, t) n^\delta \lambda_n \right\} \\ &= \begin{cases} O \left[ n^{-\gamma} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \nu F(n, \nu) + n^{\gamma+\delta} \lambda_n \right\} \right] \\ O \left[ n^{-\gamma} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} t^{-1} F(n, \nu) + t^{-\gamma} n^\delta \lambda_n \right\} \right] \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 1. Applying Lemma 2, we have

$$(2.2.2) \quad \frac{d}{dt} H^\gamma(n, t) = \begin{cases} O \left[ n^{-\gamma} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \nu^2 F(n, \nu) + n^{\gamma+\delta+1} \lambda_n \right\} \right] \\ O \left[ n^{-\gamma} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \nu t^{-1} F(n, \nu) + n^{\delta+1} t^{-\gamma} \lambda_n \right\} \right]. \end{cases}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} J(n, u) &= \left( \int_u^{u+n^{-1}} + \int_{u+n^{-1}}^\pi \right) (t-u)^{-\beta} \frac{d}{dt} H^\gamma(n, t) dt \\ &= I_1 + I_2, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$I_1 = \begin{cases} O \left[ n^{\beta-1-\gamma} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \nu^2 F(n, \nu) + n^{\gamma+\delta+1} \lambda_n \right\} \right] \\ O \left[ n^{\beta-1-\gamma} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} u^{-1} \nu F(n, \nu) + n^{\delta+1} u^{-\gamma} \lambda_n \right\} \right], \end{cases}$$

and from second mean value theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= n^\beta \int_{u+n^{-1}}^\xi \frac{d}{dt} H^\gamma(n, t) dt \quad u + n^{-1} < \xi < \pi, \\ &= \begin{cases} O \left[ n^{\beta-\gamma} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \nu F(n, \nu) + n^{\gamma+\delta} \lambda_n \right\} \right] \\ O \left[ n^{\beta-\gamma} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} u^{-1} F(n, \nu) + u^{-\gamma} n^\delta \lambda_n \right\} \right]. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 4. - Let

$$I(n, u) = \int_0^u v^\gamma \frac{d}{dv} J(n, v) dv,$$

then

$$I(n, u) = O \left[ u^\gamma n^{\beta-\gamma} \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} v F(n, v) + n^{\gamma+\delta} \lambda_n \right\} \right].$$

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} I(n, u) &= u^\gamma \int_n^u \frac{d}{dv} J(n, v) dv && 0 < \eta < u, \\ &= u^\gamma [J(n, v)]_n^u \\ &= O \left[ u^\gamma n^{\beta-\gamma} \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} v F(n, v) + n^{\gamma+\delta} \lambda_n \right\} \right] \end{aligned}$$

by using the first estimation for  $J(n, u)$ .

LEMMA 5. - Let

$$K(n, u) = \int_u^\pi v^\gamma \frac{d}{dv} J(n, v) dv$$

then, for  $\beta > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} K(n, u) &= O \left[ n^{\beta-\gamma} \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} u^{\gamma-1} F(n, v) + n^{\delta} \lambda_n \right\} \right] \\ &\quad + O \left[ n^{-\gamma} \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} u^{\gamma-\beta-1} F(n, v) + u^{-\beta} n^{\delta} \lambda_n \right\} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. - We have

$$K(n, u) = [v^\gamma J(n, v)]_u^\pi - \gamma \int_u^\pi v^{\gamma-1} J(n, v) dv = K_1 + K_2, \text{ say.}$$

Using second estimate of  $J(n, u)$  of Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= \pi^\gamma J(n, \pi) - u^\gamma J(n, u) \\ &= O \left[ n^{\beta-\gamma} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} u^{\gamma-1} F(n, \nu) + n^\delta \lambda_n \right\} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} K_2 &= -\gamma \int_u^\pi v^{\gamma-1} \int_v^\pi (t-v)^{-\beta} \frac{d}{dt} H^\gamma(n, t) dt dv \\ &= -\gamma \int_u^\pi \frac{d}{dt} H^\gamma(n, t) \int_u^t v^{\gamma-1} (t-v)^{-\beta} dv dt \\ &= -\gamma \int_u^\pi \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right) H^\gamma(n, t) t^{\gamma-\beta} \int_{u/t}^1 z^{\gamma-1} (1-z)^{-\beta} dz dt \\ &= -\gamma \int_{u/\pi}^1 z^{\gamma-1} (1-z)^{-\beta} dz \int_\xi^\pi t^{\gamma-\beta} \frac{d}{dt} H^\gamma(n, t) dt \quad u < \xi < \pi, \\ &= O \left\{ \int_\xi^\pi t^{\gamma-\beta} \frac{d}{dt} H^\gamma(n, t) dt \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the second estimate of  $H^\gamma(n, t)$  from (2.2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_2 &= O \left\{ [H^\gamma(n, t) t^{\gamma-\beta}]_\xi^\pi - (\gamma - \beta) \int_\xi^\pi H^\gamma(n, t) t^{\gamma-\beta-1} dt \right\} \\ &= O \left[ n^{-\gamma} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} u^{\gamma-\beta-1} F(n, \nu) + u^{-\beta} n^\delta \lambda_n \right\} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 5.

**3.1. PROOF OF THE THEOREM.** - Case (i):  $\gamma > \beta > 0$ .

It is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_1^\infty |\zeta_n^\gamma|/n < \infty,$$

where  $\zeta_n^\gamma$  is the  $n$ -th CESÀRO mean of order  $\gamma$  of the sequence  $\{n^{1+\delta}\lambda_n A_n(x)\}$   
i. e.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \zeta_n^\gamma &= \frac{1}{A_n^\gamma} \sum_{\nu=0}^n A_{n-\nu}^{\gamma-1} \nu^\delta \lambda_\nu A_\nu(x) & \delta &= \gamma - \beta, \\
 (3.1.1) \quad &= \frac{1}{A_n^\gamma} \sum_{\nu=0}^n A_{n-\nu}^{\gamma-1} \nu^\delta \lambda_\nu \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \varphi(t) \text{Cos } \nu t \, dt \\
 &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \varphi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \frac{1}{A_n^\gamma} \sum_{\nu=0}^n A_{n-\nu}^{\gamma-1} \nu^\delta \lambda_\nu \text{Sin } \nu t \right\} dt \\
 &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \varphi(t) \frac{d}{dt} H^\gamma(n, t) dt \\
 &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{d}{dt} H^\gamma(n, t) \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} \int_0^t (t-u)^{-\beta} d\Phi_\beta(u) \\
 &= \frac{2}{\pi \Gamma(1-\beta)} \int_0^\pi d\Phi_\beta(u) \int_u^\pi (t-u)^{-\beta} \frac{d}{dt} H^\gamma(n, t) dt \\
 &= \frac{2}{\pi \Gamma(1-\beta)} \int_0^\pi d\Phi_\beta(u) J(n, u) \\
 &= -\frac{2}{\pi \Gamma(1-\beta)} \int_0^\pi \Phi_\beta(u) \frac{d}{du} J(n, u) du \\
 (3.1.2) \quad &= -\frac{2}{\pi \Gamma(1-\beta)} [u^{-\gamma} \Phi_\beta(u) I(n, u)]_0^\pi + \\
 &\quad \frac{2}{\pi \Gamma(1-\beta)} \int_0^\pi I(n, u) d\{ \Phi_\beta(u) u^{-\gamma} \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it is sufficient to prove that

$$(3.1.3) \quad \sum_1^\infty \frac{|I(n, \pi)|}{n} < \infty$$

and

$$(3.1.4) \quad \sum_1^{\infty} \frac{|I(n, u)|}{n} < \infty$$

uniformly with respect to  $u$ , for  $0 < u < \pi$ .

PROOF OF (3.1.3). - Taking  $\varphi(t) = t^{\gamma-\beta}$ , we have easily

$$\Phi_{\beta}(t) = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma - \beta + 1)t^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)}$$

therefore,

$$d \{ u^{-\gamma} \Phi_{\beta}(u) \} = 0.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\pi} \varphi(t) \operatorname{Cos} vt \, dt &= \int_0^{\pi} t^{\gamma-\beta} \operatorname{Cos} vt \, dt \\ &\simeq v^{-\gamma+\beta} \Gamma(\gamma - \beta + 1) \operatorname{Cos} \frac{\pi}{2} (\gamma - \beta + 1) \quad (\text{TITCHMARSH [4]}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence from (3.1.1) and (3.1.2), we have

$$I(n, \pi) = O \left\{ \frac{1}{A_n^{\gamma}} \sum_{\nu=0}^n A_{n-\nu}^{\gamma-1} \lambda_{\nu} \right\},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1.5) \quad \sum \frac{|I(n, \pi)|}{n} &= O \left\{ \sum_1^{\infty} n^{-\gamma-1} n^{\gamma-1} \right\} + O \left\{ \sum_1^{\infty} n^{-\gamma-1} \sum_1^n A_{n-\nu}^{\gamma-1} \lambda_{\nu} \right\} \\ &= O(1) + O \left\{ \sum_1^{\infty} \lambda_{\nu} \sum_{\nu}^{\infty} (n - \nu + 1)^{\gamma-1} n^{-\gamma-1} \right\} \\ &= O(1) + O \left\{ \sum_1^{\infty} \lambda_{\nu} \int_{\nu}^{\infty} (x - \nu)^{\gamma-1} x^{-\gamma-1} dx \right\} \\ &= O(1) + O \left\{ \sum_1^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{\nu}}{\nu} \right\} = O(1). \end{aligned}$$



PROOF OF (3.1.4). - Let

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |I(n, u)| = \sum_1^{\left[\frac{1}{u}\right]} + \sum_{\left[\frac{1}{u}\right]+1}^{\infty} = M_1 + M_2, \text{ say.}$$

Applying Lemma 4, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= O \left[ \sum_1^{\left[\frac{1}{u}\right]} u^\gamma n^{\beta-\gamma-1} \left\{ \sum_0^{n-1} v F(n, v) + n^{\gamma+\delta} \lambda_n \right\} \right] \\ &= O \left[ \sum_1^{\left[\frac{1}{u}\right]} u^\gamma n^{\beta-\gamma-1} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} v(n-v)^{\gamma-1} | \Delta \{ v^\delta \lambda_v \} | \right] + O \left[ \sum_1^{\left[\frac{1}{u}\right]} u^\gamma n^{\gamma-1} \lambda_n \right] \\ &= M_{11} + M_{12}, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$M_{12} = O \left( u^\gamma \left[ \frac{1}{u} \right]^\gamma \right) = O(1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} M_{11} &= O \left\{ u^\gamma \sum_1^{\left[\frac{1}{u}\right]} n^{\beta-\gamma-1} \sum_1^n (n-v+1)^{\gamma-1} (v-1) | \Delta \{ (v-1)^\delta \lambda_{v-1} \} | \right\} \\ &= O \left\{ u^\gamma \sum_1^{\left[\frac{1}{u}\right]} (v-1) | \Delta \{ (v-1)^\delta \lambda_{v-1} \} | \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \sum_{n=v}^{\left[\frac{1}{u}\right]} n^{\beta-\gamma-1} (n-v+1)^{\gamma-1} \right\} \\ &= O \left\{ u^\gamma \sum_1^{\left[\frac{1}{u}\right]} (v-1) | \Delta \{ (v-1)^\delta \lambda_{v-1} \} | v^{\beta-1} \right\} \\ &= O \left\{ u^\gamma \sum_1^{\left[\frac{1}{u}\right]} (v-1)^{\delta+1} \Delta \lambda_{v-1} v^{\beta-1} \right\} \\ &\quad + O \left\{ u^\gamma \sum_1^{\left[\frac{1}{u}\right]} v^\delta \lambda_v v^{\beta-1} \right\} \\ &= M_{111} + M_{112}, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

$$M_{111} = O \left\{ u^\gamma \left[ \frac{1}{u} \right]^\gamma \sum_i^{\left[ \frac{1}{u} \right]} \Delta \lambda_{v,-1} \right\} = O(1), \text{ since } \Delta \lambda_v \geq 0,$$

and

$$M_{112} = O \left\{ u^\gamma \left[ \frac{1}{u} \right]^\gamma \sum_i^{\left[ \frac{1}{u} \right]} \frac{\lambda_v}{v} \right\} = O(1).$$

Therefore  $M_1 = O(1)$ .

As regards  $M_2$ , we have

$$I(n, u) = I(n, \pi) - k(n, u)$$

and since we have already proved in (3.1.5) that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|I(n, \pi)|}{n} < \infty,$$

it is sufficient to prove that

$$\sum_{n=\left[ \frac{1}{u} \right]+1}^{\infty} \frac{|k(n, u)|}{n} = O(1),$$

uniformly in  $u$ , for  $0 < u < \pi$ .

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=\left[ \frac{1}{u} \right]+1}^{\infty} \frac{|k(n, u)|}{n} &= O \left\{ \sum_{n=\left[ \frac{1}{u} \right]+1}^{\infty} \left[ u^{\gamma-1} n^{\beta-\gamma-1} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} (n-v)^{\gamma-1} | \Delta \{ v^\delta \lambda_v \} | \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\lambda_n}{n} + n^{-1-\beta} u^{-\beta} \lambda_n \right\} \\ &= M_{21} + M_{22} + M_{23}, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that  $M_{22}$  and  $M_{23}$  are bounded and

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{21} &= O \left\{ u^{\gamma-1} \sum_{n=\left[\frac{1}{u}\right]+1}^{\infty} n^{\beta-\gamma-1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} (n-\nu)^{\gamma-1} |\Delta \{ \nu^{\delta} \lambda_{\nu} \}| \right\} \\
&= O \left\{ u^{\gamma-1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\left[\frac{1}{u}\right]} |\Delta(\nu^{\delta} \lambda_{\nu})| \sum_{n=\left[\frac{1}{u}\right]+1}^{\infty} n^{\beta-\gamma-1} (n-\nu)^{\gamma-1} \right\} \\
&\quad + O \left\{ u^{\gamma-1} \sum_{\nu=\left[\frac{1}{u}\right]}^{\infty} |\Delta(\nu^{\delta} \lambda_{\nu})| \sum_{\nu+1}^{\infty} n^{\beta-\gamma-1} (n-\nu)^{\gamma-1} \right\} \\
&= M_{211} + M_{212}, \text{ say.}
\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{212} &= O \left\{ u^{\gamma-1} \sum_{\nu=\left[\frac{1}{u}\right]}^{\infty} (\nu^{\delta} \Delta \lambda_{\nu} + \nu^{\delta-1} \lambda_{\nu+1}) \nu^{\beta-1} \right\} \\
&= O \left\{ u^{\gamma-1} \left[ \frac{1}{u} \right]^{\gamma-1} \right\} = O(1), \text{ as } u \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Since, in the case of  $M_{211}$ ,  $\nu < \left[ \frac{1}{u} \right] + 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{211} &= O \left\{ u^{\gamma-1} \sum_{n=\left[\frac{1}{u}\right]+1}^{\infty} n^{\beta-2} \right\} + O \left\{ u^{\gamma-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\left[\frac{1}{u}\right]} |\Delta \{ \nu^{\delta} \lambda_{\nu} \}| \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \int_{\left[\frac{1}{u}\right]+1}^{\infty} x^{\beta-\gamma-1} \left( x - \left[ \frac{1}{u} \right] - 1 \right)^{\gamma-1} dx \right\} \\
&= O \left\{ u^{\gamma-1} \left[ \frac{1}{u} \right]^{\beta-1} \right\} + O \left\{ u^{\gamma-1} \left[ \frac{1}{u} \right]^{\beta-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\left[\frac{1}{u}\right]} (\nu^{\delta} \Delta \lambda_{\nu} + \nu^{\delta-1} \lambda_{\nu+1}) \right\} \\
&= O \left\{ u^{\gamma-1} \left[ \frac{1}{u} \right]^{\gamma-1} \right\} = O(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$M_2 = O(1).$$

This proves the theorem for  $\beta > 0$ .

Case (ii): When  $\gamma > \beta = 0$ . In this case, we are given that

$$\int_0^\pi |d\{t^{-\gamma}\varphi(t)\}| < \infty, \quad 0 < \gamma \leq 1$$

and we have to show that  $\sum_0^\infty n^\gamma \lambda_n A_n(x)$  is summable  $|C, \gamma|$ , that is, we have to establish the convergence of the series  $\sum |\zeta_n^\gamma|/n$ , where <sup>(1)</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_n^\gamma &= \frac{1}{A_n^\gamma} \sum_{\nu=0}^n A_{n-\nu}^{\gamma-1} \nu^\gamma \lambda_\nu A_\nu(x) \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \varphi(t) \frac{d}{dt} H^\gamma(n, t) dt \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi (t^{-\gamma} \varphi(t)) \left( t^\gamma \frac{d}{dt} H^\gamma(n, t) \right) dt \\ &= O \left\{ \int_0^\pi v^\gamma \frac{d}{dv} H^\gamma(n, v) dv \right\} \\ &\quad + O \left\{ \int_0^\pi d\{t^{-\gamma} \varphi(t)\} \int_0^t v^\gamma \frac{d}{dv} H^\gamma(n, v) dv \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Writing

$$\bar{I}(n, t) = \int_0^t v^\gamma \frac{d}{dv} H^\gamma(n, v) dv,$$

it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_1^\infty \frac{|\bar{I}(n, t)|}{n} < \infty,$$

uniformly in  $t$ , for  $0 < t \leq \pi$ . Putting  $\varphi(t) = t^\gamma$ , we have, as in the case (i)

$$\bar{I}(n, \pi) = O \left\{ \frac{1}{A_n^\gamma} \sum_{\nu=0}^n A_{n-\nu}^{\gamma-1} \lambda_\nu \right\}.$$

<sup>(1)</sup> From this place onward we shall be using the same notations as in the case (i) but with the obvious change that here  $\delta = \gamma$ .

Hence

$$\sum_1^{\infty} \frac{|\bar{I}(n, \pi)|}{n} = O \left\{ \sum_1^{\infty} n^{-\gamma-1} \sum_{\nu=0}^n (n-\nu+1)^{\gamma-1} \lambda_{\nu} \right\} = O(1), \text{ as shown}$$

in (3.1.5). It, therefore, remains to show that

$$\sum_1^{\infty} \frac{|\bar{I}(n, t)|}{n} < \infty,$$

uniformly in  $t$  for  $0 < t < \pi$ .

Now

$$\sum_1^{\infty} \frac{|\bar{I}(n, t)|}{n} = \sum_1^{\left[\frac{1}{t}\right]} + \sum_{\left[\frac{1}{t}\right]+1}^{\infty} = N_1 + N_2, \text{ say.}$$

By the second mean value theorem and the first estimation of (2.2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_1 &= O \left\{ \sum_1^{\left[\frac{1}{t}\right]} \left[ t^{\gamma} n^{-\gamma-1} \sum_1^{n-1} \nu (n-\nu)^{\gamma-1} |\Delta | \nu^{\gamma} \lambda_{\nu} | | + t^{\gamma} n^{\gamma-1} \lambda_n \right] \right\} \\ &= O \left\{ t^{\gamma} \sum_1^{\left[\frac{1}{t}\right]} \nu |\Delta | \nu^{\gamma} \lambda_{\nu} | | \sum_{\nu+1}^{\left[\frac{1}{t}\right]} n^{-\gamma-1} (n-\nu)^{\gamma-1} \right\} + O(1) \\ &= O \left\{ t^{\gamma} \sum_1^{\left[\frac{1}{t}\right]} |\Delta | \nu^{\gamma} \lambda_{\nu} | | \right\} + O(1) = O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$N_2 = O \left\{ \sum_{n=\left[\frac{1}{t}\right]+1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{|\bar{I}(n, \pi)|}{n} + \frac{|\bar{K}(n, t)|}{n} \right] \right\},$$

where

$$\bar{K}(n, t) = \int_t^{\pi} \nu^{\gamma} \frac{d}{d\nu} H^{\gamma}(n, \nu) d\nu.$$

Now

$$\sum_{n=\left[\frac{t}{t}\right]+1}^{\infty} \frac{|\bar{I}(n, \pi)|}{n} < \infty$$

and from the second relation for  $H^\gamma(n, v)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{K}(n, t) &= [v^\gamma H_{-t}^\gamma(n, v)]_t^\pi - \gamma \int_t^\pi v^{\gamma-1} H^\gamma(n, v) dv \\ &= O \left\{ n^{-\gamma} t^{\gamma-1} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} (n-v)^{\gamma-1} |\Delta | v^\gamma \lambda_v || + \lambda_n \right\} \\ &\quad - \frac{\gamma}{A_n^\gamma} \sum_{v=0}^n A_{n-v}^{\gamma-1} v^\gamma \lambda_v \int_t^\pi v^{\gamma-1} \text{Sin } v v \, dv \\ &= X_1 + X_2, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=\left[\frac{t}{t}\right]+1}^{\infty} \frac{|X_1|}{n} &= O \left\{ \sum_{n=\left[\frac{t}{t}\right]+1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n} \right\} + O \left\{ \sum_{n=\left[\frac{t}{t}\right]+1}^{\infty} t^{\gamma-1} n^{-\gamma-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} (n-v)^{\gamma-1} |\Delta | v^\gamma \lambda_v || \right\} \\ &= O(1), \end{aligned}$$

by proceeding as in the proof of the relation :

$$M_{21} = O(1).$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=\left[\frac{1}{t}\right]+1}^{\infty} \frac{|X_2|}{n} &= O \left\{ \sum_{n=\left[\frac{1}{t}\right]+1}^{\infty} n^{-\gamma-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^n A_{n-\nu}^{\gamma-1} \nu^{\gamma-1} \lambda_{\nu} t^{\gamma-1} \right\} \\
 &= O \left\{ t^{\gamma-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\left[\frac{1}{t}\right]+1} \nu^{\gamma-1} \lambda_{\nu} \sum_{n=\left[\frac{1}{t}\right]+1}^{\infty} n^{-\gamma-1} A_{n-\nu}^{\gamma-1} \right\} \\
 &\quad + O \left\{ t^{\gamma-1} \sum_{\nu=\left[\frac{1}{t}\right]+1}^{\infty} \nu^{\gamma-1} \lambda_{\nu} \sum_{n=\nu}^{\infty} n^{-\gamma-1} A_{n-\nu}^{\gamma-1} \right\} \\
 &= O \left\{ t^{\gamma-1} \left[ \frac{1}{t} \right]^{\gamma-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\left[\frac{1}{t}\right]+1} \frac{\lambda_{\nu}}{\nu} \right\} + O \left\{ t^{\gamma-1} \sum_{\nu=\left[\frac{1}{t}\right]+1}^{\infty} \nu^{\gamma-2} \lambda_{\nu} \right\} \\
 &= O \left\{ t^{\gamma-1} \left[ \frac{1}{t} \right]^{\gamma-1} \right\} = O(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem for the case  $\gamma > \beta = 0$ .

Case (iii): When  $\gamma = \beta > 0$ . The proof of the theorem for this case is similar to that of Case (i) and hence we omit it

Case (iv): When  $\gamma = \beta = 0$ . In this case  $A_n(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$ , hence

$$\sum \lambda_n |A_n(x)| = \sum O \left\{ \frac{\lambda_n}{n} \right\} < \infty.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

The author is much indebted to Prof. B. N. PRASAD, F. N. I., for his kind encouragement and helpful guidance.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. T. CHENG, *Summability factors of Fourier series at a given point*, «Duke Mathematical Journal», 15 (1948), pp. 29-36.
- [2] K. MATSUMOTO, *On absolute Cesàro summability of a series related to a Fourier series*, «Tôhoku Mathematical Journal», 8 (1956), pp. 205-222.
- [3] B. N. PRASAD and S. N. BHATT, *The summability factors of a Fourier series*, «Duke Mathematical Journal», 24 (1957), pp. 103-117.
- [4] E. C. TITCHMARSH, *The Theory of Functions*, (1952), Oxford.