## Some Partitions of a Skew Matrix (\*)

A. DUANE PORTER (Laramie)

Summary. - Explicit formulas are obtained over a finite field for the number of partitions of a skew matrix B into various forms: for example, B = X'AY' - XA'Y.

1. Introduction. – In this journal [4] JOHN H. Hodges found the number of  $m \times t$  matrices X over a finite field which satisfy the matric equation X'A - A'X = B, where A is  $m \times t$  of rank r, B is a  $t \times t$  skew matrix and the prime denotes transpose. In this paper we wish to consider a more general partitioning question, and so discuss equations of the form

$$(1.1) Y_b' \dots Y_1' A X_a' \dots X_1' - X_1 \dots X_a A' Y_1 \dots Y_b = B,$$

with A and B as defined above,  $X_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le a$ ,  $Y_j$ ,  $1 \le j \le b$ , are matrices of arbitrary sizes subject to the condition that the product, difference and equality must be defined. In Th. I we determine the number of partitions of B as described by (1.1) for a,  $b \ge 2$ . Then, in Th. II and Th. III, we consider the cases a = 1,  $b \ge 2$  and a = b = 1, respectively. Finally, we discuss the number of partitions of a skew matrix B into a sum of h matrices, each in the form of the left side of (1.1)

2. Notation and preliminaries. – Let F = GF(q) be the finite field of  $q = p^f$  elements, p odd. Matrices with elements from F will be denoted by Roman capitols  $A, B, \ldots A(s, m)$  will denote a matrix of s rows and m columns and A(s, m; r) a matrix of the same dimensions having rank r.  $I_r$  will denote the identity matrix of order r and I(s, m; r) will denote an  $s \times m$  matrix having  $I_r$  in its upper left hand corner and zeros elsewhere. If  $A = A(n, n) = (a_{ij})$  then  $\sigma(A) = a_{11} + \ldots + a_{nn}$  is the trace of A. Clearly  $\sigma(A + B) = \sigma(A) + \sigma(B)$ . For a skew matrix C (one so that C = -C'), we have  $\sigma(A'C) = -\sigma(AC)$ , where A' denotes A transpose.

For  $\alpha \in F$ , we define

(2.1) 
$$e(\alpha) = \exp 2\pi i t(\alpha)/p; \qquad t(\alpha) = \alpha + \alpha^p + ... + \alpha^{p^{f-1}},$$

<sup>(\*)</sup> Entrata in Redazione il 21 settembre 1968.

from which it follows that

(2.2) 
$$\begin{cases} e(\alpha + \beta) = e(\alpha)e(\beta), \\ \sum_{\beta} e(\alpha\beta) = \begin{cases} q, & \alpha = 0, \\ 0, & \alpha \neq 0, \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

where the sum is over all  $\beta \in F$ . It is noted in [1; (2.3)] that if A = A(t, t) is skew, then

where the summation extends over all skew matrices B = B(t, t). The number of skew matrices of order t and rank 2z is given [1; (5.6)] to be

(2.4) 
$$H(t, 2z) = q^{z(z-1)} \prod_{i=0}^{2z-1} (q^{i-1} - 1) / \prod_{i=1}^{z} (q^{2i} - 1).$$

Following [1; (8.3)], we define

(2.5) 
$$W(B, 2z) = \sum_{C} e \{ \sigma(BC) \},$$

where B = B(t, t) is skew, and the sum extends over all skew matrices C = C(t, t; 2z). This sum is evaluated [2; Th. I] to be

$$(2.6) W(B, 2z) = q^{jz} \sum_{k=0}^{z} (-1)^k q^{k(k-2j-1)} \begin{bmatrix} j \\ k \end{bmatrix}' H(t-2j, 2r-4k),$$

with

and the prime indicates that q to be replaced by  $q^2$  in the q-binomial coefficients. If D is an arbitrary  $m \times n$  matrix, it may be shown that

with the sum over all matrices E(m, n).

We also find need for the number g(s, w; y) of  $s \times w$  matrices of rank

y. This is given by LANDSBERG [2] to be

(2.8) 
$$g(s, w; y) = q^{y(y-1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^{y} (q^{s-i+1} - 1)(q^{w-i+1} - 1)/(q^{i} - 1).$$

## 3. The main theorem. - We may now prove

THEOREM 1. - Let a, b be integers  $\geq 2$ ; A = A(m, n; r);  $B = B(t, t; 2\rho)$  be skew;  $X_1 = X_1(t, t_1)$ ;  $X_i = X_i(t_{i-1}, t_i)$  for 1 < i < a;

$$X_a = X_a(t_{a-1}, n); \quad Y_1 = Y_1(m, t_{a+1}); \quad Y_j = Y_j(t_{a+j-1}, t_{a+j}) \quad \text{for} \quad 1 < j < b,$$

 $Y_b = Y_b(t_{a+b-1}, t)$ , where  $m, n, t, t_1, ..., t_{a+b-1}$  represent arbitrary positive integers, and  $r, 2\rho$  integers such that  $r \ge 2\rho \ge 0$ . Then the number  $N = (a, b, m, n, r, \rho, t, t_i)$  of partitions of B as defined by (1.1) is given by

$$N = q^{\gamma} \sum_{2z=0}^{t_0} W(B, 2z) N_{a+b}(z)$$

where  $\gamma = t(t_{a+b-1} - [t-1]/2) + t_{a-1}(n-r) + t_{a+1}(m-r)$ ; W(B, 2z) is given by (2.6);  $N_{a+b}(z)$  is given by (3.4);  $t_0 = t$  or t-1 according as t is even or odd.

PROOF. - In view of (2.3), the number of solutions of (1.1) is given by

$$\begin{split} N &= q^{-\imath(\imath-1)/2} \mathop{\Sigma}\limits_{\mathcal{C}} S(X_1, \, ..., \, \, X_a, \, \, Y_1, \, ..., \, \, Y_b) e \, \{ \, \sigma[\, Y_b' \, ... \, \, Y_1'A \, \cdot \, \\ & \cdot \, X_a' \, ... \, X_1' - X_1 \, ... \, X_a A' \, Y_1 \, ... \, \, Y_b - B] C \}, \end{split}$$

where  $S(X_1, ..., X_a, Y_1, ..., Y_b)$  denotes a summation over all matrices  $X_i$ ,  $Y_j$ ,  $1 \le i \le a$ ,  $1 \le j \le b$ , and the sum over C is over all skew matrices of order t. If we note (2.2), divide the sum over C into sums over all C = C(t, t; 2z),  $0 \le 2z \le t_0$ , and recall that  $\sigma(D'C) = -\sigma(DC)$ , we may write the above equation as

(3.1) 
$$\begin{cases} N = q^{-t(t-1)/2} \sum_{2z=0}^{t_0} \sum_{C(t, t; 2z)} e \{ \sigma(BC) \} \\ S(X_1, \dots, X_a, Y_1, \dots, Y_b) e \{ -2\sigma(X_1 \dots X_a A' Y_1 \dots Y_b C) \}. \end{cases}$$

There is no loss of generality by taking A' = I(n, m; r), which is the canonical form of A' under equivalence [6; Th. 3-7]. If we let  $X_a = [X_{a1}, X_{a2}]$  and  $Y_1 = \text{col}[Y_{11}, Y_{12}]$  with  $X_{a1} = X_{a1}(t_{a-1}, r)$ ,  $X_{a2} = X_{a2}(t_{a-1}, n-r)$ ,  $Y_{11} = Y_{11}(r, t_{a+1})$ ,  $Y_{12} = Y_{12}(m-r, t_{a+1})$ , then after the above substitution for A,

 $X_{a2}$  and  $Y_{12}$  are multiplied by zero so the value of the inner sum in (3.1) is independent of their choice. Hence, this sum equals

(3.2) 
$$\begin{cases} q^{T}S(X_{1}, ..., X_{a1}, Y_{11}, ..., Y_{b})e\{-2\sigma(X_{1}...X_{a1}Y_{11}...Y_{b}C)\}, \\ \text{with } T = t_{a-1}(n-r) + t_{a+1}(m-r). \end{cases}$$

It is noted [4; § 2] that for DC square,  $\sigma(DC) = \sigma(CD)$ , so by making this substitution and then summing over  $Y_b$  in accordance with (2.7), the sum in (3.2) may be evaluated as

(3.3) 
$$\begin{cases} q^{u_{a+b-1}}, & \text{if } CX_1 \dots X_{a_1} Y_{11} \dots Y_{b-1} = 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, we must have  $CX_1 ... X_{s1}Y_{11} ... Y_{b-1} = 0$  or else the contribution to the sum in (3.2) and so also to N will be zero. Thus, we seek the number of solutions of this equation. However, this is a special case of a matric equation previously considered by the author, and with rank C = 2z, the number of solutions is given [7; Th. III] to be

$$(3.4) \begin{array}{c} N_{a+b}(2z) = q^{\beta} \sum\limits_{\substack{z_{a+b-1}=0 \\ z_{a+b-1}=0}}^{(t_{a+b-1}, \ 2z)} g(2z, \ t_{a+b-1}; \ z_{a+b-2}) q^{-t_{a+b-2}z_{a+b-2}} \cdot \\ \cdot \prod\limits_{\substack{i=2 \\ z_{a+b-i-1}=0 \\ z_{a+b-i-1}=0}}^{\sum} g(z_{a+b-i}, \ t_{a+b-i}; \ z_{a+b-i-1}) \cdot \\ \cdot q^{-z_{a+b-i-1}t_{a+b-i-1}}, \end{array}$$

$$\text{with} \quad \beta = t_{a+b-1}(t_{a+b-2}-2z) + tt_1 + \ldots + t_{a+b-3}t_{a+b-2},$$

where  $(u, v) = \text{minimum of } u \text{ and } v; \ g(s, w; y) \text{ is defined by } (2.8); \text{ the sum over any } z_k \text{ is defined to be 1 when the upper limit is 0; } t_a \text{ is defined to be } r.$ If we now combine (2.5) with (3.1) through (3.4), the theorem is established.

4. Two special cases. – It is of some interest to consider (11) for a=1,  $b \ge 2$  and a=1, b=1. The proofs of the theorems below for these cases are basically the same as for Th. I so will not be included. We note that the case  $a \ge 2$ , b=1 may be obtained directly from the case a=1,  $b \ge 2$  so need not be considered separately.

THEOREM II. - Let  $a \ge 2$ , b = 1 be integers; A, B,  $X_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le a$ , be as defined in Th. I;  $Y_1 = Y_1(m, t)$ . Then the number  $N_{a1} = (a, 1, m, n, r, e, t, t_i)$  of partitions of B as defined in (1.1) is given by

$$N_{a1} = q^{\delta} \sum_{z=0}^{\nu_0} W(B, 2z) N_{a+1}(z),$$

where  $\delta = t(m - [t-1]/2) + t_{a-1}(n-r)$ ; W(B, z) is defined by (2.6);  $N_{a+1}(z)$  may be obtained from (3.4) by letting  $t_a = r$ , b = 1, defining  $t_{a-3} = 1$  for a = 3 and the product over i to be 1 for a = 2.

THEOREM III. - Let a=b=1; A, B,  $Y_1$  be as defined in Th. II;  $X_1=X_1(t, n)$ . Then the number  $N_{11}$  of partitions of B as defined by (1.1) is given by

$$N_{11} = q^{t(m+n-[t-1]2)} \sum_{2z=0}^{t_0} W(B, 2z)q^{-2rz}.$$

5. The general partition. - We now let  $A_k = A_k(m_k, n_k; r_k)$  and  $A_k(X_k, Y_k) = Y'_{kb_k} ... Y'_{k1} A_k X'_{kak} ... X'_{k1} - X_{k1} ... X_{ka_k} A'_k Y_{k1} ... X_{kb_k}$ , where  $X_{k1} = X_{k1}(t, t_{k1})$ ;  $X_{ki} = X_{ki}(t_{ki-1}, t_{ki})$  for  $1 < i < a_k$ ;

$$X_{ka_k} = X_{ka_k}(t_{ka_k-1}, n_k); \qquad Y_{k1} = Y_{k1}(m_k, t_{ka_k+1});$$
  $Y_{k,j} = Y_{k,j}(t_{k,a_k+j-1}, t_{k,a_k+j}) \quad ext{for} \quad 1 < j < b_k; \qquad Y_{k,b_k} = Y_{k,b_k}(t_{k,a_k+b_k-1}, t),$ 

for each  $1 \le k \le h$ . We then seek the number of ways a skew matrix  $B = B(t, t; 2\rho)$  may be partitioned as

$$(5.1) A_1(X_1, Y_1) + ... + A_h(X_h, Y_h) = B.$$

It is possible to prove

THEOREM IV. - The number  $N_h$  of partitions of the matrix B as described in (5.1) when  $a_k$ ,  $b_k \ge 2$ ,  $1 \le k \le h$ , is given by

$$N_h = q^{eta-\iota(\iota-1)/2} \sum\limits_{2z=0}^{\iota_0} W(B, \ 2z) \prod\limits_{k=1}^h N_{a_k+b_k}(2z),$$

where  $\beta = \beta_1 + ... + \beta_h$  and  $\beta_k$  is defined by (5.5); W(b, z) is defined by (2.5);  $N_{a_k+b_k}(2z)$  is defined by (5.4) and (5.5);  $t_0$  is as defined in Th. I.

PROOF. - It is clear that

$$N_{\rm h} = q^{-\imath(\imath-1)\cdot 2} \sum_{C} \sum_{X_{\rm hi},\ Y_{\rm hj}} e \left\{ \, {\rm G}([A_1\!(X_1\,,\ Y_1) + \ldots + A_{\rm h}\!(X_{\rm h}\,,\ Y_{\rm h}) - B]C) \, \right\},$$

where the sum over C is over all skew matrices of order t, and the sum over  $X_{ki}$ ,  $X_{kj}$  indicates a summation over each  $X_{ki}$ .  $Y_{kj}$  as these matrices are defined above. If we note (2.2), the properties of trace, divide the sum over C into successive sums over all C = C(t, t; 2z),  $0 \le 2z \le t_0$ , recall (2.5), and

note that the sum over  $X_{ki}$ ,  $Y_{kj}$  is distinct for each k, we obtain

(5.2) 
$$N_h = q^{-t(t-1)} \sum_{2z=0}^{t_0} W(B, 2z) \prod_{k=1}^h S_k,$$

with

$$(5.3) S_k = S(X_{k,1}, \ldots, X_{k,a_k}, Y_{k,1}, \ldots, Y_{k,b_k})e \{\sigma[A_k(X_k, Y_k)]\},$$

and  $S(X_{k,1}, ..., X_{k,a_k}, Y_{k,1}, ..., Y_{k,b_k})$  is as defined in the proof of Th. I. The value of  $S_k$  is given by (3.2) through (3.4), after making appropriate substitutions, to be

$$(5.4) q^{\beta_k} N_{a_k+b_k}(2z),$$

where

$$\beta_k = t_{k, a_k-1}(n_k - r_k) + t_{k, a_k+1}(m_k - r_k) + tt_{k, a_k+b-1},$$

and  $N_{a_k+b_k}(2z)$  is obtained from (3.4) by letting  $a=a_k$ ,  $b=b_k$ ,  $z=z_k$ ,  $t_j=t_{k,j}$ ,  $z_j=z_{k,j}$ ,  $r_k=t_{k,a_k}$ . The theorem follows by substituting (5.4) into (5.2).

It is possible to state results corresponding to Th. IV when some or all of  $a_k$  and  $b_k = 1$ . However, we shall not take the space to do so.

## REFERENCES

- L. CARLITZ, Representations by skew forms in a finite field, Archiv der Mathematik. Vol. V (1954), pp. 19-31.
- [2] JOHN H. HODGES, Exponential sums for skew matrices over a finite field, Archiv der Mathematik, Vol. VII (1966), pp. 116-121.
- [3] -, Some matric equations over a finite fields, Annali de Matematica, Vol. XLIV (1957), pp. 245-250.
- [4] -, A bilinear matrix equation over a finite field, Duke Mathematical Journal, Vol. 31 (1964), pp. 661-666.
- [5] Georg Landsberg, Uber Eine Anzahlbestimmung und eine damit zusammenhängende Reihe, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, Vol. III (1893), pp. 87-88.
- [6] Sam Perlis, Theory of Matrices, Addison-Wesley, 1952.
- [7] A. DUANE PORTER, The matric equation  $AX_1 ... X_a = B$ , Atti Acc. Nazionale dei Lincei, to appear.