For debate

Pancreatic transplantation: why, when and who?*

P.J.Lefèbvre

Division of Diabetes, Nutrition and Metabolic Disorders, Department of Medicine, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium

At the Third International Congress on Pancreatic and Islet Transplantation held in Lyon, France in June 1991, D.Sutherland who keeps the International Pancreas Transplant Registry stated that from December 1966 through 30 May 1991, 3207 pancreas transplants in diabetic patients had been reported. A detailed analysis of the world results of 2871 pancreas transplants performed at the cutoff date of 21 October 1990 has just been published [1]. Pancreas transplantation cases have been reported by 144 institutions to the Registry since 1966, including 80 in North America, 48 in Europe, and 16 elsewhere. While reporting to the Registry is mandatory in the United States, it is not elsewhere. Sutherland estimates that 60 % of all transplantations performed in the United States, and 40% of all performed elsewhere have been reported to the Registry.

As reflected in the correspondence section of a leading medical journal [2–4], indications for performing pancreas transplantation in diabetic patients remain the matter of a passionate debate. Is it possible today to answer the crucial questions [5] of why and when pancreatic transplant should be performed, or may be considered, and in which patients it should be envisaged?

Pancreatic transplantation: why?

The answer to this question is probably different, at least conceptually, for the surgeon, for the internist and for the patient.

Over the last 30 years, surgery has entered an extraordinary new era. The often desperate surgery of amputation, mutilation and destruction has progressively been transformed to a surgery of repair, reconstruction and replacement. Transplantation surgery is the latest example of this transition. Many patients, who were dying of endstage heart failure or of fulminant hepatitis, are alive and well today thanks to a heart or liver transplant. Thanks to kidney transplant, numerous patients have recovered a quality of life they had forgotten during years of 3 days-aweek haemodialysis. Every transplantation surgeon who understands diabetes, who has realized the constraints that interfere with the everyday life of a young patient on multiple daily insulin injections, and who has seen the terrible outcome of the disease in many patients (blindness, amputations, kidney failure, coronary heart disease, stroke, to list only a few) must be tempted to see if he can do better [6].

Today, the internist (sometimes before him the paediatrician) is responsible for the care of the diabetic patients. This is a formidable task that requires a scientific mind, devotion and tenacity. Sometimes, the exercise looks like a lottery. The physician does his best for all his patients, he teaches them, trains them, monitors their therapy, uses the best insulins available, recommends multiple injections a day, tries a portable insulin pump and, finally has patients who have done their best and still present after 10. 15 or 20 years of diabetes with severe complications, while others, sometimes less compliant, and indeed not so wellcontrolled, are still healthy after 50 years or more of the disease [7]. Whilst there are epidemiological indications [8, 9] that good blood glucose control helps to reduce the incidence of microvascular complications, on an individual basis nobody at present can predict which patient will follow the first track, and which one the second [10]. The internist too is tempted to do better and thinks about, or dreams of, pancreas (or islet) transplantation as the ultimate achievement in substitution therapy. Finally the patient. Here, and this is probably the most difficult problem, patients ask for transplantation. The adolescent, who is told that he has diabetes because his/her pancreas does not produce insulin anymore and that insulin injections are now needed, almost inevitably asks why "a graft" is not possible. The adult diabetic patient, who fears hypoglycaemia, the discomfort of today, as hyperglycaemia,

^{*} The content of this paper is based upon an invited lecture, with the same title, given at the *Third International Congress on Pancreatic and Islet Transplantation* held in Lyon, France, 6–8 June 1991.

The section "For debate . . . " is open to contributions dealing with issues of a particularly debatable nature in diabetology. Contributions are published either standing by themselves or accompanied by invited comments. Other comments from the readership may be published as Letters to the Editor. Manuscripts intended for publication in this section of the journal are accepted at the discretion of the Editor-in-Chief and may be subject to a referee procedure.

the insidious danger of tomorrow, and who sees that, despite considerable efforts, he has to face both, the patient who has read about pancreas transplantation, will ask his physician if it is not intended for him. So to the question "Pancreas transplantation, why?", the answer is: for the surgeon because he can do it, for the internist because he is not always satisfied with what he achieves today, and, for the patient, because he has the hope that a pancreas transplantation will "cure" diabetes. In fact, all three are probably right in principle, but, in the reality of the early 1990's, all three are wrong [to a large extent]. Pancreas transplantation today is only for a minority of the diabetic patients, so the next two questions: when and who?

Pancreas transplantation: when?

The obvious answer is: not too early, not too late. Our knowledge of the aetiology of Type 1 (insulin-dependent) diabetes has greatly increased over the last few years. The disease is autoimmune in nature and occurs in genetically predisposed individuals [11, 12]. Protocols are under development to identify individuals at risk, and, to further identify the subjects in whom the disease is in progress at the subclinical level. Experts consider that tools will be available, probably before the end of this century, to prevent the occurrence of diabetes before the onset of the clinical manifestations of the disease [13]. When diabetes is manifest, a large percentage of the islet Beta-cell mass is already destroyed [14] and, despite promising early results [15, 16] immunotherapy at this stage is unable to prevent progression of the disease or even to reverse it [17]. If and when diabetes can effectively be prevented, then pancreas (or islet) transplantation should be considered only for those who have already developed diabetes and for those, hopefully few, who will escape prevention of the disease. If we exclude islet transplantation from the debate, we return to the "not too early, not too late" problem mentioned earlier. Let us consider "not too late" first. There is evidence today that a pancreas transplant will not reverse advanced retinal [18,19] lesions. In contrast, there are some indications that progression of diabetic neuropathy [20] and nephropathy [21] may be halted through the restoration of a euglycaemic state by successful pancreatic transplantation. "Not too early" is not a matter of a given number of years: the time scale is probably not the same for all patients. As long as medical management is successful, that adequate metabolic control is achieved, that quality of life is good and that major complications do not occur, there is no indication at present for a pancreas transplant. Obviously, at this time, further considering the question "when", simultaneously requires considering the question "who".

Pancreas transplantation: who?

One may consider this question as ambiguous. Does "who?" mean "what patient?" or "what surgeon?".

Let us consider "what surgeon?" first. In this particular field, the responsibility of the physician towards the patient is great. The situation is very different from that of heart or liver transplantation where, on good indication, non-intervention indeed equals sentence to death. In the case of pancreatic transplantation the procedure carries a risk (for 1986–1990, the one-year patient survival rate was 89% and the one-year graft survival rate was 62%) [1], the immunosuppressive treatment carries a risk, and the life of the patient is not in immediate danger. Would it not be reasonable to agree that, in the early 1990's, 25 years after the pioneer case reported by Kelly et al. [22], pancreas transplantation should only be performed in specialized centres and by specialized surgeons working together with interested internists? The concerns of Tattersall [3], who wrote that "the situation threatens to arise in which surgeons in small units will perform the operation for unspecified indications in response to pressure from patients", are probably justified.

Next, the crucial question, "what patient?". The only answer is: for the patient who will benefit from it, in terms of longevity, morbidity and quality of life. There is so far evidence to suggest that, in some patients, pancreas transplantation may improve survival. For instance, it is usually accepted that diabetic patients with abnormalities in cardiorespiratory reflexes have increased frequency of sudden death and increased overall mortality rates [23]. Navarro et al. [24] have shown that the 84-month survival rate of patients with abnormal cardiorespiratory reflexes was significantly better after successful pancreas transplantation than when a pancreas graft had failed or transplantation was not performed. In terms of morbidity, several studies have shown improvement of diabetic neuropathy after successful pancreas (or pancreas plus kidney) transplantation [20, 25–27]. As far as retinopathy is concerned, the Minnesota group [18] reported that pancreas transplantation and subsequent normoglycaemia neither reversed nor prevented the progression of diabetic retinopathy, in addition the Munich group [19] recently reported that pancreas transplantation failed to improve late stage diabetic retinopathy in patients followed up for 3 years. Furthermore, they have emphasized that "periods of destabilisation that occur in some patients who experience multiple rejection episodes are a definite threat for retinopathy". Quality of life has been objectively and scientifically investigated by several groups. Improvements in quality of life after pancreas transplantation, often associated with kidney transplant, have been recently reported from Minneapolis [28], Stockholm [29], Munich [30] and Boston [31], with indications that quality of life was better after combined kidney-pancreas transplant than after kidney transplantation alone.

In view of these considerations is it possible to answer the question "who should be offered a pancreas transplant today?" It is obvious that the situation of the patient, who has reached end-stage diabetic nephropathy, is different from that of the patient who has not. Nathan et al. [31] have recently compared the outcome of 33 pancreas and kidney recipients to that of 18 diabetic recipients of kidney transplant alone, performed over the same time period. General quality of life improved significantly both in pancreas plus kidney and kidney alone recipients but quality of life specifically concerning diabetes-related problems improved only in the pancreas plus kidney recipient group. However, pancreas plus kidney recipients required hospitalization twice as long for transplant surgery and twice as many readmissions for a variety of complications. Overall mortality was similar in the two groups. From that [31], and from other studies [3], it is reasonable to suggest that pancreatic

transplantation should be considered when a kidney transplantation is decided for a Type 1 diabetic patient. However, such double transplants should only be performed [1] in patients whose general condition and cardiovascular status are satisfactory and [2], as mentioned above, by medico-surgical teams qualified for this type of operation. Another group is patients who have already successfully received a kidney transplant and in whom a pancreas transplantation is envisaged. In the International Pancreas Transplant Registry [1], 223 such patients (or 7.8% of the total) were included at the cutoff date of 21 October 1990. The major argument in favour of such a pancreas transplantation in such patients is that they are already receiving immunosuppressive therapy for their kidney transplant. Analysis of the registry figures shows that those patients have a lower pancreas graft function rate (45% at one year) vs those having simultaneously received pancreas and kidney (68%). The reasons for pancreas graft failure in these patients should be analysed in more depth and indications for adding a pancreas transplant to a patient who has already successfully received a kidney transplant should be defined more precisely.

The most difficult question is, however, that of the indications for solitary pancreatic transplantation before endstage diabetic nephropathy [33-34]. Referring to the Registry [1], 221 such patients have had such transplants up to October 1990, representing some 10% of all the reported transplanted cases both in North America and in Europe. It is precisely for this category of patients that the great debate has been opened. To the question "is pancreatic transplantation, when used alone and prior to end-stage renal disease, (A) a safe and (B) an effective method of preventing progression of diabetic nephropathy", a panel of 26 specialists (10 surgeons, 8 endocrinologists, 1 gastroenterologist and 7 nephrologists) recently considered that the procedure was investigational (13), doubtful (6), promising (5) and unacceptable (2). In fact, results of a completely successful pancreas transplantation are quite remarkable with a full normalization of blood glucose profiles and HbA_{1c} levels [36-39]. Such results are almost never achieved by insulin intensive therapy [40] using multiple insulin injections or insulin infusion pumps, a situation which is undoubtedly due to the fact than in most cases insulin is injected, or infused, in the wrong place, in wrong doses and at wrong times. The decision to undertake a pancreas transplantation in a diabetic patient who is not in end-stage renal failure is probably one of the most difficult to make. As a guideline, one may follow the University of Michigan Transplant Evaluation Committee [41] which has stated "candidates are selected who have predictors of future morbidity and mortality but who do not yet demonstrate irreversible neurovascular complications and an inexorable general course of deterioration". Precise criteria to evaluate the candidates who meet these principles have been designed and published [41]. Candidates should have insulin-dependent diabetes, be aged 18-50 years, have a sufficient cardiac reserve and express a thorough understanding of the risks and benefits of the procedure. Inclusion criteria are one of the following: (1) established diabetic nephropathy with persistence of creatinine clearance above 60 ml/min; (2) proven autonomic neuropathy; and (3) labile diabetes/"failure of insulin therapy" with repeated episodes of hypoglycaemia and/or diabetic ketoacidosis, requiring prolonged or repeated hospitalization. Interestingly, no form of diabetic retinopathy alone was considered as inclusion criteria. Exclusion criteria, one being sufficient are: advanced nephropathy, uncorrectable coronary artery disease, major psychiatric illness, ongoing substance abuse, active infection, active peptid ulcer disease or cancer, unless cured or without recurrence for more than 5 years. Such criteria are similar to those used by the University of Minnesota group [34], the leading centre in solitary pancreas transplantation [32, 33].

So to the question "What patient for a pancreas transplantation?" (12) one may consider answering:

1. In a patient with end-stage diabetic nephropathy, simultaneous kidney and pancreas transplantation *should* be envisaged; this is an *a priori* indication; a decision to perform the kidney transplant only should be essentially based upon the presence of contraindications for this type of major surgery;

2. In a patient who has already successfully received a kidney, pancreas transplantation *may* be considered but there is a great need to better define this indication;

3. In the patient who does not require a kidney transplant, pancreas transplantation should only be done on an investigational basis, in carefully selected individuals, by medico-surgical teams who continuously aim at improving their techniques, evaluating their results and confronting these with those obtained by their peers all over the world, like they did in Lyon in June 1991.

In conclusion, for the past 25 years the surgeons have joined the team of the internists to attempt to improve the results of the management of insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Pancreas transplantation has been performed, and the results carefully analysed in more than 3000 patients world wide. Over the last 3 years, the one-year patient survival rate is over 90% and more than two thirds of the patients have a functioning graft one year after surgery [1]. Pancreas transplantation resulted in increased longevity, lower morbidity and better quality of life in many patients [42]. In many others however, particularly in the early times, it resulted in peri- and post-operative death, in multiple rejection episodes requiring hospitalisation, in transplant failure and in a return to insulin injections, not to speak of the psychological, sociological and financial consequences of these events. Whole organ or segmental pancreas transplant has been an important step in diabetes management and one should acknowledge the efforts and tenacity of all those involved in this enterprise. The future goal with respect to Type 1 diabetes is prevention of the disease which may well be achieved before the year 2000 [13]. For those who have established insulin-dependent diabetes, the future is better replacement therapy, which will probably be best achieved by transplantation of isolated islets [43-45], Beta cell transplants [46] or bioartificial devices [47]. If this is confirmed, patients and physicians in 10, 15 or 20 years from now should respectfully remember those patients and physicians, who, in the last third of the twentieth century, have established this milestone in diabetes management that has been pancreas transplantation.

P.J. Lefèbvre: Pancreatic transplantation

References

- 1. Sutherland DER (1991) Report from the International Pancreas Transplant Registry. Diabetologia 34 [Suppl. 1]: S28–S39
- Tattersall R (1989) Is pancreas transplantation for insulin-dependent diabetics worthwile? N Engl J Med 321: 112–114
- Dafoe DC, Vinik AI (1990) Is pancreas transplantation for insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus worthwhile? Letter to the Editor. N Engl J Med 322: 1608–1609
- 4. Tattersall R (1990) Reply. N Engl J Med 322: 1609
- 5. Pyke D (1988) Pancreas transplantation for diabetes? Lancet I: 816–817
- World Health Organization (1985) Diabetes mellitus. Report of a WHO Study Group, Technical Report Series 727, WHO, Geneva
- Hardy KJ, Gill GV (1991) Five hundred and forty one years of diabetes: a study of 10 Nabarro medal winners. Diabetic Med 8 [Suppl. 1]: 29A
- Pirart J (1978) Diabetes mellitus and its degenerative complications: a prospective study of 4400 patients observed between 1947 and 1973. Diabetes Care 1: 168–88; 252–263
- Tchobroutsky G (1978) Relationship of diabetic control to development of microvascular complications. Diabetologia 15:143–152
- Hansen KF (1991) The determinants of microvascular complications in diabetes: an overview. In: Pickup J, Williams G (eds) Textbook of Diabetes, Vol 2. Blackwell, Oxford, pp 519–525
- Eisenbarth G (1986) Type 1 diabetes mellitus: a chronic autoimmune disease. N Engl J Med 314: 1360–1368
- Foulis AK, Bottazzo GF (1988) Insulitis in the human pancreas. In: Lefèbvre PJ, Pipeleers DG (eds) The pathology of the endocrine pancreas in diabetes. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, pp 41–52
- Palmer JP, McCullock DK (1991) Prediction and prevention of IDDM 1991. Diabetes 40: 943–947
- 14. Gepts W (1965) Pathological anatomy of the pancreas in juvenile diabetes mellitus. Diabetes 14: 619–633
- Stiller CR, Dupré J, Gent M et al. (1984) Effect of cyclosporine immunosuppression in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus of recent onset. Science 223: 1362–1367
- Assan R, Debray-Sachs M, Laborie C et al. (1985) Metabolic and immunological effects of cyclosporin in recently diagnosed type I diabetes mellitus. Lancet 1:67–71
- Marks J, Skyler JS (1991) Immunotherapy of Type I diabetes mellitus. J Clin Endocr Metab 72:3–9
- Ramsay RC, Goetz FC, Sutherland DER et al. (1988) Progression of diabetic retinopathy after pancreas transplantation for insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med 318: 208–214
- 19. Schneider A, Meyer-Schwickerath E, Nusser J, Land W, Landgraf R (1991) Diabetic retinopathy and pancreas transplantation: a 3-year follow-up. Diabetologia 34 [Suppl. 1]: S95–S99
- Kennedy WR, Navarro X, Goetz FC, Sutherland DER, Najarian JS (1990) Effects of pancreatic transplantation on diabetic neuropathy. N Engl J Med 322: 1031–1037
- 21. Bilous RW, Mauer SM, Sutherland DER, Najarian JS, Goetz FC, Steffes MW (1989) The effects of pancreas transplantation on the glomerular structure of renal allografts in patients with insulin-dependent diabetes. N Engl J Med 321: 80–85
- 22. Kelly WD, Lillehei RC, Merkel FK, Iduzek Y, Goetz FC (1967) Allotransplantation of the pancreas and duodenum along with the kidney in diabetic nephropathy. Surgery 61: 827–837
- Ewing DJ, Campbell IW, Clarke BF (1976) Mortality in diabetic autonomic neuropathy. Lancet I: 601–603
- 24. Navarro X, Kennedy WR, Loewenson RB, Sutherland DER (1990) Influence of pancreas transplantation on cardiorespiratory reflexes, nerve conduction, and mortality in diabetes mellitus. Diabetes 39: 802–806
- 25. Müller-Felber W, Landgraf R, Wagner S et al. (1991) Follow-up study of sensory-motor polyneuropathy in Type 1 (insulin-dependent) diabetic subjects after simultaneous pancreas- and kidney transplantation and after graft rejection. Diabetologia 34 [Suppl. 1]: S113–S117
- 26. Nusser J, Scheuer R, Abendroth D, Illner WD, Land W, Landgraf R (1991) Effect of pancreatic and/or renal transplantation

on diabetic autonomic neuropathy. Diabetologia 34 [Suppl.1]: S118-S120

497

- 27. Solders G, Tydén G, Persson A, Groth C-G (1991) Improvement in diabetic neuropathy 4 years after successful pancreatic and renal transplantation. Diabetologia 34 [Suppl.1]: S125–S127
- Zehrer CL, Gross CR (1991) Quality of life of pancreas transplant recipients. Diabetologia 34 [Suppl. 1]: S145–S149
- 29. Nakache R, Tydén G, Groth C-G (1989) Quality of life in diabetic patients after combined pancreas-kidney or kidney transplantation. Diabetes 38 [Suppl. 1]: 40-42
- 30. Piehlmeier W, Bullinger M, Nusser J et al. (1991) Quality of life in Type 1 (insulin-dependent) diabetic patients prior to and after pancreas and kidney transplantation in relation to organ function. Diabetologia 34 [Suppl. 1]: S150–S157
- Nathan DM, Fogel H, Norman D et al. (1991) Long-term metabolic and quality of life results with pancreatic/renal transplantation in IDDM. Transplantation 52: 85–91
- 32. Sutherland DER, Dunn DL, Goetz FC (1989) A 10-year experience with 290 pancreas transplants at a single institution. Ann Surg 210: 274–288
- Sutherland DER (1986) Pancreas transplantation in nonuremic diabetic patients. Transpl Proc 18: 1747–1749
- 34. Sutherland DER (1988) Who should get a pancreas transplant? Diab Care 11: 681–685
- Diagnostic and Therapeutic Technology Assessment (DATTA) (1991) Pancreatic transplantations. JAMA 265: 510–514
- 36. Robertson RP, Diem P, Sutherland DER (1991) Time-related, cross-sectional and prospective follow-up of pancreatic endocrine function after pancreas allograft transplantation in Type 1 (insulin-dependent) diabetic patients. Diabetologia 34 [Suppl. 1]: \$57-\$60
- 37. Landgraf R, Nusser J, Riepl RL et al. (1991) Metabolic and hormonal studies of Type 1 (insulin-dependent) diabetic patients after successful pancreas and kidney transplantation. Diabetologia 34 [Suppl.1]: S61–S67
- Bolinder J, Tydén G, Tibell A, Groth CG, Ostman J (1991) Longterm metabolic control after pancreatic transplantation with enteric exocrine diversion. Diabetologia 34 [Suppl. 1]: S76–S80
- 39. Dubernard JM, La Rocca E, Gelet A et al. (1987) Simultaneous pancreas and kidney transplantation: long-term results and comparison of two surgical techniques. Transpl Proc 19: 2285–2287
- 40. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group (1988) Are continuing studies of metabolic control and microvascular complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus justified? N Engl J Med 318: 246–50
- 41. The University of Michigan Pancreas Transplant Evaluation Committee (1988) Pancreatic transplantation as treatment for IDDM. Proposed candidate criteria before end-stage diabetic nephropathy. Diab Care 11: 669–675
- 42. Robertson RP (1991) Pancreas transplantation in humans with diabetes mellitus. Diabetes 40: 1085–1089
- Scharp DW, Lacy PE, Santiago JV et al. (1990) Insulin independence after islet transplantation into type 1 diabetic patient. Diabetes 39: 515–518
- 44. Scharp DW, Lacy PE, Santiago JV et al. (1991) Results of our first nine intraportal islet allografts in type 1, insulin-dependent diabetic patients. Transplantation 51: 76-85
- 45. Federlin K, Bretzel RG, Hering BJ (1991) Recent achievements in experimental and clinical islet transplantation. Diab Med 8: 5–12
- 46. Pipeleers D (1987) The biosociology of pancreatic B cells. Diabetologia 30: 277–291
- 47. Reach G (1989) Artificial or bioartificial systems for the totally automatic treatment of diabetes mellitus: the gap between the dream and the reality. Diab Nutr Metab 2: 165–170

Dr. P. J. Lefèbvre

Diabetes, Nutrition and Metabolic Disorders Unit

C.H.U.Sart Tilman (B 35)

B-4000 Liege 1 Belgium