CORRIGENDUM

A NOTE ON MY PAPER "NOTES ON COMPACT SEMIGROUPS WITH IDENTITY"

Wolfgang Ruppert

As I noticed only too late, a number of typing errors and omissions have occurred in my recent paper [1]. Furthermore the examples Q(m), m > 1 of [1] 1.24 are actually not right topological semigroups as stated. The present note gives corrections to these errors.

- 1. In the proof of 1.15. the sentence "Then $1 = \lim_m h_m^{-1} h_m = 1$ = kh, k = h^{-1} " should read "Then $1 = \lim_m h_m^{-1} = hk$, k = h^{-1} ".
- 2. In construction 1.23., definition (9), $\phi_k^*(0,ab^{-1})$ should be replaced by $\phi_k^*(0,ba^{-1})$.
- 3. In construction 1.24., definition (9'), $\phi^*(0,ab^{-1})$ should be replaced by $\phi_k^*(0,ba^{-1})$. However, (9') does not suffice to make Q(m) into a right topological semigroup. It has been overlooked that for $b_n + 0$, and i = 2m + k + 1 $\phi_1^*(0,a)\phi_k^*(0,b_na^{-1})$ converges to $\phi_k^*(0,0) \ddagger \phi_1^*(0,0)$. In order to get a right topological semigroup, we have to identify $o = \phi_1^*(0,0)$ and $o' = \phi_2^*(0,0)$. The resulting semigroup is also semitopological, but its underlying topological space is not a surface. The statements about the ideals of Q(m) should be altered accordingly. The statement about Q(0) is correct.
- 4. In the definition of the topology of S in Construction 1.25. the intersection $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}^{-1}(e_{i}O_{2})$ is to be replaced by $\bigcap_{i=1}^{-1} (e_{i}O_{2}) | i \in I \}$.

Ruppert

- 5. In 2.10., 2.12. and 2.13. it was tacitely assumed that H(1) is connected. Thus, this assumption should be added to 2.10., 2.12., 2.13., or the general assumption 2.1.(iv) changed into "H(1) is connected".
- 6. In the proof of Lemma 2.9. " $f(p) \notin K \setminus G = A_1 \cup A_2$ " should read " $f(p) \notin O_1 \cup O_2$ ".
- 7. In the statement of Lemma 2.12. a bar is missing over $F_r(x)$ in $\overline{F_r(x)} \cong \mathbb{R}_{\delta}$. In the proof, "(R,+) (S) (R,·)" should read (R,+) (S) (R,·).
- 8. In the proof of 2.13.(i), the statement "xSx = $x\overline{F_r(x)F_1(x)}x = \{x\}$ " is not obvious from the context. Thus the paragraph is best replaced by the following:
- "By a result of Berglund-Hofmann [3] p. 140, M(S) is a group H(m), so $F_r(x) = F_r(m) = F_1(m) = F_1(x)$ for every $x \in M(S)$. If $x \notin M(S)$, let e,e' be the idempotents in $\overline{F_r(x)} \setminus F_r(x)$ and $\overline{F_1(x)} \setminus F_1(x)$ respectively (2.12). Then e'Se = e' $\overline{F_1(x)} F_r(x)$ e = {e'e}, thus e'xe = x = e'e M(S), a contradiction".
- 9. In the proof of theorem 2.18. the sentence beginning with "Consequently, S is the union ..." should read "Consequently, S is the union of 4m copies of J, every two of which have at most an edge and the vertex $\operatorname{meM}(S)$ in common". I_k and I_1 below should be replaced by J_k and J_1 . 10. In theorem 2.19., statement (iii) should clearly contain the assumption "if A is not a group".
- [1] RUPPERT, W.: Notes on compact semigroups with identity Semigroup Forum 14, (1977), 199-234.

Wolfgang RUPPERT

Mathematisches Institut Universität für Bodenkultur

Gregor Mendel-Straße 33 A - 1180 Wien, Österreich Received February 17, 1978.