

sen lässt. Worauf das beruht, ist zurzeit unklar. Die Lichtbauchreaktion wird wahrscheinlich von den Facettenaugen gesteuert, weil sie während der Entwicklung der Tiere erst dann auftritt, wenn die ersten Ommatidien ausgebildet sind (SEIFERT<sup>1</sup>). Eine unterschiedliche Verteilung des Abschirmpigmentes in den Facettenaugen bei Hell- und Dunkeltieren, die die Abhängigkeit der Unterschiedsempfindlichkeit von der Gesamtintensität erklären würde, konnten wir an Gefrierschnitten nicht feststellen.

*Summary.* Between 2 sources of light, free-swimming *Artemia salina* turn their ventral side towards the brighter

one. The ratio of the 2 light intensities at the threshold for this reaction is independent of the direction of gravity. This shows, together with other observations, that the body orientation is exclusively controlled by the direction of the impinging light.

W. JAHN und C. v. CAMPENHAUSEN

Zoologisches Institut der Universität, Lehrstuhl für Tierphysiologie, 5000 Köln (Deutschland), 18. März 1968.

### Chromosomes of Leptodactylidae (Amphibia anura)

Within the group of the Amphibia anura, the South American family of the Leptodactylidae, constituted by several species most of which are still unknown from the cytogenetical point of view, is of particular interest.

The only species studied up to the present are: *Pseudopaludicola falcipes*<sup>1</sup>, *Leptodactylus ocellatus*<sup>1-3</sup>, *Eleutherodactylus guentheri*, *Eupemphix nattereri*, with  $2n = 22$  chromosomes<sup>2</sup>, *Crossodactylus dispar* with  $2n = 26$ , and *Pseudopaludicola ameghini* with  $2n = 20$ <sup>2</sup>.

According to present knowledge, those species with 22 chromosomes which are distributed in different and far-away geographical regions, prevail. BEČAK et al.<sup>2</sup> found that *P. ameghini* had  $2n = 20$ , this being the lowest number described up to now for this family. Nevertheless, we have found a species of the same genus, *P. falcipes*<sup>1</sup> with  $2n = 22$  (see Table). In order to extend these investigations, 23 species belonging to this family (see Table), whose karyotypes are composed of metacentric and submetacentric chromosome pairs, were studied by us.

In general, during the meiotic prophase, at the diplo-nema stage, the bivalents were found to have an anular configuration with 2 distal chiasmata. At the moment of metaphase I, the bivalents remain highly condensed, while neither the position of the chiasmata nor the morphology shown in the early stages of meiotic prophase could be distinguished. This phenomenon is characteristic of the Amphibia anura. In some species bivalents with only 1 distal chiasma can be found, giving place to open ring configurations.

Somatic and gonial heteromorphic pairs or heteropycnotic bivalents which could indicate the existence of cytologically differentiated sex chromosomes were not found.

Recently, BIANCHI and MOLINA<sup>3</sup> have demonstrated that DNA synthesis in *L. ocellatus* somatic chromosomes does not show sex-linked differences in chromosome morphology or in patterns of chromosome replication which would constitute a new proof of the absence of a special pair that could be distinguished as sex chromosomes.

If the variation from 20–26 chromosomes found in these karyotypes is accompanied by a modification of the relations of shape and size of the chromosomes, there is no doubt that chromosomal rearrangements have been produced during the course of the evolution in the different members of the family. This fact contrasts with the case of the *Bufo*idae, which constitute a more stable group in spite of the close relationship between both families.

*Resumen.* Se estudiaron los cariotipos de 23 especies de la familia sudamericana Leptodactylidae (anfibios anuros), no hallándose cromosomas sexuales citológicamente diferenciados.

N. BRUM-ZORRILLA and F. A. SAEZ

Departamento de Citogenética, Instituto de Investigación de Ciencias Biológicas, Montevideo (Uruguay), 8 April 1968.

Species	Diploid No. (2n)	Source
<i>Leptodactylus pentadactylus</i>	22	Tingo María (Perú)
<i>L. prognathus</i>	22	Treinta y Tres (Uruguay)
<i>L. bujonius</i>	22	Santiago del Estero (Argentina)
<i>L. ocellatus</i>	22	Montevideo (Uruguay)
<i>L. chaquensis</i>	22	Corrientes (Argentina)
<i>L. laticeps</i>	22	Santiago del Estero (Argentina)
<i>Pseudopaludicola falcipes</i>	22	Montevideo (Uruguay)
<i>Physalaemus gracilis</i>	22	Treinta y Tres (Uruguay)
<i>P. biligonigerus</i>	22	Treinta y Tres (Uruguay)
<i>Pleurodema cinerea</i>	22	Tucumán (Argentina)
<i>P. tucumana</i>	22	Tucumán (Argentina)
<i>P. nebulosa</i>	22	La Rioja (Argentina)
<i>Eleutherodactylus discoidalis</i>	22	Tucumán (Argentina)
<i>E. ranoides</i>	22	San José (Costa Rica)
<i>E. guentheri</i>	22	Sao Paulo (Brasil)
<i>Eupsophus nodosus</i>	22	Santiago (Chile)
<i>Cycloramphus fuliginosus</i>	22	Sao Paulo (Brasil)
<i>Thoropa miliaris</i>	26	Sao Paulo (Brasil)
<i>Calyptocephalella gayi</i>	26	Santiago (Chile)
<i>Telmatobius (marmoratus group)</i>	22	La Paz (Bolivia)
<i>Elosia aspera</i>	26	Sao Paulo (Brasil)
<i>E. lateristrigata</i>	26	Sao Paulo (Brasil)
<i>Crossodactylus gaudichaudii</i>	22	Sao Paulo (Brasil)

<sup>1</sup> F. A. SAEZ and N. BRUM, *Nature* 185, 945 (1960).

<sup>2</sup> M. L. BEČAK, N. RABELLO and W. BEČAK, *Ciênc. Cult.*, S. Paulo 19, 272 (1967).

<sup>3</sup> N. O. BIANCHI and J. O. MOLINA, *Chromosoma* 22, 391 (1967).