

## Assay of *cyclo*-3', 5'-Adenosine Monophosphate (*cAMP*) in Sub-picomole Quantities Using a Covalent Protein-Sephacrose Complex<sup>1</sup>

Two types of assay based on the competitive adsorption ('binding') of cold and radioactively labelled *cAMP* to specific 'binding protein' molecules are known. The first is an immunological method employing antibodies produced against *cAMP*<sup>2</sup>, the second uses naturally occurring, pre-formed '*cAMP* binding proteins' extracted from various tissues<sup>3</sup>. We have found both to have their drawbacks: The antigenicity of *cAMP* (free or coupled to a carrier) is low and the preparation of antibodies rather tricky. After the establishment of equilibrium between the amount of *cAMP* 'bound' ( $c_b$ ) and free in solution ( $c_f$ ), it is difficult to achieve a clean-cut separation between the two phases so that only either  $c_b$  or  $c_f$ , but not both, can be measured, thus reducing the precision and introducing a number of experimental problems.

We have therefore covalently attached partially purified 'binding proteins' from cow adrenals to Sepharose 2B by the cyanogen bromide method<sup>4</sup>. The resulting product is very stable and retains its specific binding properties unchanged during months at 1–4°C. The mean association constant for *cAMP* at pH 7.4 is  $K_{ass} \approx 4.5 \times 10^8$  l/mole, and the maximal binding capacity  $P \approx 1.5$  picomoles/ml of protein-Sephacrose suspension (assays in 0.03 M Tris-HCl buffer). Equilibration with cold and tracer (tritium) *cAMP* is achieved within less than 1 h at 4°C without shaking, and the 'Sephacrose protein *cAMP* complex' can be rapidly and quantitatively isolated by filtration over a 35  $\mu$ m polyester net (filtration and washing take about 20 sec.). Both  $c_f$  and  $c_b$  can be determined by scintillation counting, because neither sephacrose nor polyester net interfere. The compound has been used for quick and reliable assays of picomole and subpicomole amounts (down to about 0.2 pmoles) of *cAMP* in biological samples. The results compare very well with those of parallel immunological assays run on less diluted samples with 1–2 pmoles of *cAMP*.

Slight pH and salt concentration effects on  $K_{ass}$  and  $P_0$  as well as the Hill-coefficient were observed. It is therefore necessary to measure both standard and unknown at the same pH and salt concentration (p.e. addition of NaCl to  $\approx 0.7$  M). No specific salt effects are shown. None of the

common nucleotides interfere with the assay at less than  $\approx 500$  times the *cAMP* concentration; only *cyclo*-3', 5'-inosine monophosphate ( $K_{ass} \approx 1 \times 10^8$  l/mole) could be disturbing.

**Assay.** 50  $\mu$ l of standard or unknown *cAMP* solution; 50  $\mu$ l of <sup>3</sup>H-*cAMP* solution (containing  $\approx 0.2$  pmole of tracer, 15 Ci/mole); 200  $\mu$ l of 'sephacrose coupled *cAMP* binding protein' suspension (containing  $\approx 0.3$  pmole of binding sites). Keep for 1 h at 4°C. Pipette an aliquot of supernatant (no need for centrifugation) into counting vial and count ( $\rightarrow c_f$ ). Filter through 35  $\mu$ m polyester net, rinse and wash with 1.5–2 ml of 50 mM Tris-HCl 5 mM theophyllin buffer. Transfer net plus precipitate into a scintillation vial and count ( $\rightarrow c_b$ ). Calibration curves were determined anew for every assay series.

**Zusammenfassung.** Es wird eine neue, einfache und ausserordentlich empfindliche Bestimmungsmethode für Mengen von 0.2–1 Picomol *cAMP* beschrieben. Sie verwendet spezifische Bindungsproteine, die durch covalente Verknüpfung mit Sepharose unlöslich gemacht und stabilisiert wurden, und arbeitet nach dem Isotopen-Kompetitionsverfahren.

H. U. FISCH, V. PLIŠKA and R. SCHWYZER

*Institut für Molekularbiologie und Biophysik, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule, CH-8049 Zürich (Switzerland), 31 January 1972.*

- <sup>1</sup> Detailed publication submitted to European Journal of Biochemistry.
- <sup>2</sup> A. L. STEINER, D. M. KIPNIS, R. UTIGER and C. PARKER, Proc. natn. Acad. Sci., USA 64, 367 (1969).
- <sup>3</sup> A. G. GILMAN, Proc. natn. Acad. Sci., USA 67, 305 (1970). – G. M. WALTON and L. D. GARREN, Biochemisty 9, 4223 (1970). – B. L. BROWN, J. D. M. ALBANO, R. P. EKINS, A. M. SCHERZI and W. TAMPION, Biochem. J. 121, 561 (1971). – M. ROBBELL, Acta Endocr. Suppl. 153, 337 (1971).
- <sup>4</sup> J. PORATH, Nature, Lond. 218, 834 (1968).

## Inhibition of Liver Lactate Dehydrogenase by Serotonin: Possible Relationship to Endotoxin Effects<sup>1</sup>

Though *E. coli* endotoxin does inhibit the function of isolated mitochondria<sup>2,3</sup>, it shows no general interference with energy metabolism *in vivo*, as long as the shock state produced by its i.v. injection has not entered the stage of hemodynamic decompensation<sup>4</sup>. This suggests that primary intracellular effects of endotoxins, if present, are slow to develop, probably because of a size restricted penetration of cellular membranes. However, the *in vivo* injection of endotoxin releases low-molecular, humoral 'mediators', which might be a potential cause of early intracellular alterations. One of these – epinephrine – activates the adenyl cyclase system<sup>5</sup>, but there is no information on a possible interference with enzyme activities by the other mediators. As will be shown in this paper, serotonin is capable of inhibiting liver lactate dehydrogenase (LDH).

**Materials and methods.** Five adult, outbred healthy rabbits were anesthetized with Nembutal® 30 mg/kg i.v., and the liver was rapidly removed. 10 g of liver tissue were minced and homogenized in cold 0.25 M sucrose + 5 mM

- <sup>1</sup> Work supported by Research Grant No. 3.10.68 from the Swiss National Foundation for Scientific Research.
- <sup>2</sup> L. Mela, L. V. BACALZO and L. D. MILLER, Am. J. Physiol. 220, 571 (1971).
- <sup>3</sup> W. SCHUMER, P. ERVE, S. K. KAPICA and G. S. MOSS, J. Surg. Res. 10, 609 (1970).
- <sup>4</sup> E. PAPPOVA, B. URBASCHEK, L. HEITMANN, M. OROZ, E. STREIT, A. LEMEUNIER and P. LUNDGAARD-HANSEN, J. Surg. Res. 11, 506 (1971).
- <sup>5</sup> T. W. RALL and E. W. SUTHERLAND, J. biol. Chem. 237, 1228 (1962).