

## Noncharacteristic Hypersurfaces for Complexes of Differential Operators (\*).

(†) ALDO ANDREOTTI - MAURO NACINOVICH (Pisa)

---

**Sunto.** — *Sia  $X$  una varietà differenziabile ed  $S$  una ipersuperficie orientata in  $X$ . Si consideri un complesso di operatori differenziali su  $X$ . Se  $S$  è formalmente non caratteristica, esso induce un complesso di operatori su  $S$ . Si generalizza la nozione di simbolo di un operatore differenziale al caso di multigradazioni e si dimostra che, se  $S$  è non caratteristica, modulo « trasformazioni fibra » il complesso indotto è un complesso di operatori differenziali. In particolare, se una ipersuperficie è non caratteristica rispetto alla nozione usuale di simbolo, il complesso al bordo è sempre un complesso di operatori differenziali. Nell'ultima parte del lavoro si studia il complesso al bordo indotto dal complesso di Hilbert dell'operatore  $\partial\bar{\partial}$  su una varietà complessa.*

In this paper we consider again the notion of noncharacteristic hypersurfaces for a complex of differential operators already introduced in [3]. We generalize here the notion of symbol of a differential operator to cover the case of multigradings considered in classical analysis (for instance the notion of ellipticity given by Douglis and Nirenberg). We prove that on a noncharacteristic hypersurface the boundary complex induced by a given complex of differential operators up to « fiber transformations » is a complex of differential operators (theorem 1).

In particular on a hypersurface which is noncharacteristic with respect to the usual notion of symbol as used in [3] we get that the boundary complex is always a complex of differential operators (corollary to theorem 1).

We end this paper with the investigation of the boundary complex for the Hilbert complex of the operator  $\partial\bar{\partial}$  on a complex manifold (given by BIGOLIN [9]). We recover some interesting results obtained already by AUDIBERT [6], BEDFORD and FEDERBUSH ([7], [8]). For simplicity we have restricted our consideration to the  $C^\infty$  category; we believe however to have given a comprehensive set of general statements.

The Hartog type theorem for boundaries with nonvanishing Levi form is contained in papers of MARTINELLI [12] and RIZZA [13] where the first set of  $(n-1)^2$  equations for the tangential operator  $(\partial\bar{\partial})_S$  are first derived and interpreted geometrically.

That all the results established relating to the trace at the boundary of a pluriharmonic function (theorem 3, corollary to proposition 8, last part of corollary to

---

(\*) Entrata in Redazione il 2 maggio 1980.

proposition 12) should be valid under much weaker assumptions of the type used by FICHERA in [10] is very plausible.

This paper ends with a theorem asserting the nonvalidity of Poincaré's lemma in general for the boundary complex of the complex of the  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -operator (theorem 4).

### 1. - Differential operators, multigrading and symbols, the local situation.

a) Let  $\Omega$  denote an open set in the numerical space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  are Cartesian coordinates. Let  $D = (\partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n)$  be the symbol of differentiation and let  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  denote the space of  $C^\infty$  (complex valued) functions on  $\Omega$ .

Let  $A(x, D) = (a_{ij}(x, D))_{1 \leq i \leq q, 1 \leq j \leq p}$  be a  $q \times p$  matrix of differential operators with  $C^\infty$  coefficients so that  $A(x, D)$  defines a linear map

$$A(x, D): \mathcal{E}^p(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^q(\Omega).$$

Assume that we have chosen two sequences of integers

$$\begin{aligned} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p & \quad \text{for } \mathcal{E}^p(\Omega), \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_q & \quad \text{for } \mathcal{E}^q(\Omega), \end{aligned}$$

such that one can write, for any  $i, j$ ,

$$a_{ij}(x, D) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq a_j - b_i} a_{ij\alpha}(x) D^\alpha$$

where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ ,  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is a multiindex,

$$|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \quad \text{and} \quad D^\alpha = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}.$$

Note that if the sequences  $(a_1, \dots, a_p)$ ,  $(b_1, \dots, b_q)$  satisfy the property mentioned above, also, for any integer  $k$ , the sequences  $(a_1 + k, \dots, a_p + k)$ ,  $(b_1 + k, \dots, b_q + k)$  satisfy the same property.

We define

$$\hat{a}_{ij}(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| = a_j - b_i} a_{ij\alpha}(x) \xi^\alpha$$

for  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and where  $\xi^\alpha$  stands for  $\xi_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \xi_n^{\alpha_n}$  where  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ .

We define the *symbol of the operator*  $A(x, D)$  for the multigrading  $(a_j, b_i)$  given above, the matrix of polynomials in  $\xi$  with coefficients in  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ :

$$\hat{A}(x, \xi) = (\hat{a}_{ij}(x, \xi)).$$

b) Let

$$B(x, D): \mathcal{E}^a(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^r(\Omega)$$

be a second differential operator with  $C^\infty$  coefficients in  $\Omega$

$$B(x, D) = (b_{hi}(x, D))_{1 \leq h \leq r, 1 \leq i \leq a}.$$

We fix a third sequence of integers

$$c_1, c_2, \dots, c_r \quad \text{for } \mathcal{E}^r(\Omega)$$

so that, for any  $h$  and  $i$ ,

$$b_{hi}(x, D) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq b_i - c_h} b_{hi\alpha}(x) D^\alpha$$

is an operator of order  $b_i - c_h$ .

We can then construct the symbol of the operator  $B(x, D)$  for the multigrading  $(b_i, c_h)$ ;

$$\hat{B}(x, \xi) = \left( \sum_{|\alpha| = b_i - c_h} b_{hi\alpha}(x) \xi^\alpha \right).$$

Also, one can consider the operator

$$B(x, D) \circ A(x, D): \mathcal{E}^p(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^r(\Omega)$$

as an operator « compatible » with the multigrading  $(a_j, c_h)$ . Therefore we can consider its symbol of multigrading  $(a_j, c_h)$ . We have the important property

$$\widehat{B \circ A}(x, \xi) = \hat{B}(x, \xi) \hat{A}(x, \xi)$$

(multiplicative property of the symbol).

## 2. - Differential operators between vector bundles, multigrading and symbols.

a) Let  $X$  be a differentiable manifold of pure dimension  $n$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} E &\xrightarrow{\pi} X, \\ F &\xrightarrow{\mu} X, \end{aligned}$$

be vector bundles on  $X$  with fibres modeled respectively on  $\mathbf{C}^p, \mathbf{C}^q$ . We say that  $E$  is a vector bundle on  $X$  of rank  $p$  and  $F$  a vector bundle on  $X$  of rank  $q$ .

Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a system of coordinate patches on  $X$  such that on each  $U_i$   $E|_{U_i}$  and  $F|_{U_i}$  are trivial. We fix trivializations on each  $U_i$

$$E|_{U_i} \simeq U_i \times \mathbf{C}^p,$$

$$F|_{U_i} \simeq U_i \times \mathbf{C}^q,$$

and consequently the transition functions

$$e_{ij}: U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow GL(p, \mathbf{C}),$$

$$f_{ij}: U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow GL(q, \mathbf{C}),$$

for the bundles  $E$  and  $F$ :

$$\begin{aligned} e_{ij}e_{jk} &= e_{ik} \\ f_{ij}f_{jk} &= f_{ik} \end{aligned} \quad \text{on } U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k.$$

Given a section  $s: X \rightarrow E$ ,  $\pi \circ s = \text{id}_X$ , this is represented in the local trivializations  $E|_{U_i} \simeq U_i \times \mathbf{C}^p$  by  $(x, s_i(x))$ ,  $x \in U_i$ ,  $s_i(x) \in \mathbf{C}^p$  so that

$$s_i \in \mathcal{E}^p(U_i)$$

and on  $U_i \cap U_j$  we have

$$s_i(x) = e_{ij}(x) s_j(x).$$

Similarly for a section of  $F$ .

b) A differential operator from the bundle  $E$  to the bundle  $F$  is a linear map

$$A(x, D): \Gamma(X, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, F)$$

where  $\Gamma(X, E)$  and  $\Gamma(X, F)$  represent the spaces of  $C^\infty$  sections of  $E$  and  $F$  respectively such that

- i)  $A(x, D)$  is continuous for the Schwartz topologies of  $\Gamma(X, E)$  and  $\Gamma(X, F)$ ,
- ii)  $A(x, D)$  is local i.e. for any  $s \in \Gamma(X, E)$

$$\text{supp } (A(x, D)s) \subset \text{supp } s.$$

From a theorem of Peetre we derive that the datum of a differential operator  $A(x, D)$  is *equivalent*

( $\alpha$ ) to the assignment for every  $U_i$  of a differential operator

$$A^{(i)}(x, D): \mathcal{E}^p(U_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^q(U_i)$$

$i \in I$ , with the property that

( $\beta$ ) the diagrams

$$(*) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}^p(U_i) & \xrightarrow{A^{(i)}} & \mathcal{E}^q(U_i) \\ \downarrow e_{ji} & & \downarrow f_{ji} \\ \mathcal{E}^p(U_j) & \xrightarrow{A^{(j)}} & \mathcal{E}^q(U_j) \end{array}$$

commute where they are defined.

If we set

$$A^{(i)}(x, D) = \sum a_\alpha^{(i)}(x) D^\alpha$$

from the identity on  $U_i \cap U_j$

$$A^{(i)} e_{ij} s_j = f_{ij} A^{(j)} s_j$$

$\forall s_j \in \mathcal{E}^p(U_j) = \Gamma(U_j, E)$ , we derive that the condition (\*) is equivalent to the consistency condition

$$(\beta) \quad \sum a_\alpha^{(i)}(x) \binom{\alpha}{\beta} D^{\alpha-\beta} e_{ij}(x) = f_{ij} a_\beta^{(j)}(x)$$

which expresses the identity of differential operators on  $U_i \cap U_j$

$$(*) \quad A^{(i)} \circ e_{ij} = f_{ij} \circ A^{(j)}.$$

c) A grading on the bundle  $E$  will be, by definition, an assignment for each open set  $U_i$ ,  $i \in I$  of a grading

$$a_1^{(i)}, \dots, a_p^{(i)} \quad \text{for } \mathcal{E}^p(U_i) = \Gamma(U_i, E)$$

such that, setting

$$e_{ij}(x) = (e_{ij,r,s}(x))_{1 \leq r \leq p, 1 \leq s \leq p}$$

we have

$$(**) \quad e_{ij,r,s}(x) = 0 \quad \text{whenever } a_s^{(j)} - a_r^{(i)} \neq 0.$$

For instance we can fix an integer  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and set

$$a_1^{(i)} = \dots = a_p^{(i)} = a \quad \forall i \in I;$$

then the condition (\*\*) on the transition functions becomes empty and therefore we have defined a grading on  $E$ . A grading of this sort will be called a *classical grading*.

The following proposition clarifies the structure of a graded vector bundle

PROPOSITION 0. — *Let  $E$  be a multigraded vector bundle on a connected manifold  $X$ . Then  $E$  splits into direct sum of vector bundles*

$$E = E_1 \oplus E_2 \oplus \dots \oplus E_l$$

on each of which a classical grading is given.

PROOF. — ( $\alpha$ ) Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  be an open covering of  $X$  such that for any  $i \in I$   $E|_{U_i}$  is trivialized,  $E|_{U_i} \simeq U_i \times \mathbb{C}^p$  and graded with a grading  $a_1^{(i)}, \dots, a_p^{(i)}$ .

Let  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_p$  be a permutation of  $(1, 2, \dots, p)$  such that

$$a_{i_1}^{(i)} \geq a_{i_2}^{(i)} \geq \dots \geq a_{i_p}^{(i)}.$$

Let  $\lambda^{(i)}$  denote the matrix  $p \times p$  with 1 in the places  $(1, i_1), (2, i_2), \dots, (p, i_p)$  so that

$$\lambda^{(i)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ \vdots \\ p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} i_1 \\ i_2 \\ \vdots \\ i_p \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $\det \lambda^{(i)} = \pm 1$ . We set

$$\alpha_1^{(i)} = a_{i_1}^{(i)}, \alpha_2^{(i)} = a_{i_2}^{(i)}, \dots, \alpha_p^{(i)} = a_{i_p}^{(i)}$$

and change the local trivializations of  $E|_{U_i}$  by the isomorphisms given by the matrices  $\lambda^{(i)}$ .

Consider the commutative diagram (where it is defined)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E|_{U_i} & \xrightarrow{e_{ij}} & E|_{U_j} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E|_{U_i} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{e}_{ij}} & E|_{U_j} \end{array}$$

where  $\tilde{e}_{ij} = \lambda^{(i)} e_{ij} (\lambda^{(j)})^{-1}$ . With the new trivializations the  $\tilde{e}_{ij}$ 's will be the transition functions and these correspond to the gradings  $\alpha_1^{(i)}, \alpha_2^{(i)}, \dots, \alpha_p^{(i)}$  on each  $U_i \in \mathcal{U}$ .

We have thus proved that it is not restrictive to assume that for every  $i \in I$  the chosen grading is such that

$$a_1^{(i)} \geq a_2^{(i)} \geq \dots \geq a_p^{(i)}.$$

( $\beta$ ) Let on  $U_i$  be

$$a_1^{(i)} = \dots = a_r^{(i)} > a_{r+1}^{(i)} = \dots = a_{r+s}^{(i)} > \dots$$

and let  $U_j \in \mathcal{U}$  be such that  $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ . Then by the prescribed conditions on the transition functions we deduce that on  $U_j$  we must have

$$\begin{aligned} a_1^{(j)} &= \dots = a_r^{(j)} = k_1 = a_1^{(i)} = \dots = a_r^{(i)} \\ a_{r+1}^{(j)} &= \dots = a_{r+s}^{(j)} = k_2 = a_{r+1}^{(i)} = \dots = a_{r+s}^{(i)} \\ &\dots \dots \dots \end{aligned}$$

Since  $X$  is connected we realize that the above relations must be valid on any  $U_j$  even if  $U_j \cap U_i = \emptyset$  as one can find a finite sequence of open sets  $U_{j_1}, \dots, U_{j_l}$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  such that

$$U_i \cap U_{j_1} \neq \emptyset, U_{j_1} \cap U_{j_2} \neq \emptyset, \dots, U_{j_{l-1}} \cap U_{j_l} \neq \emptyset, U_{j_l} \cap U_j \neq \emptyset.$$

We deduce then that for any  $i, j$  in  $I$  the matrices  $e_{ij}$  split into the direct sum of blocks of the form

$$e_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} e_{ij}^1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & e_{ij}^2 & \dots & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & e_{ij}^l \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $e_{ij}^1$  is an  $r \times r$  matrix,  $e_{ij}^2$  is an  $s \times s$  matrix, ... all non singular.

Set  $E_\nu$  to be the bundle defined by the transition function  $e_{ij}^\nu$   $1 \leq \nu \leq l$ , and let us choose the classical grading on  $E_\nu$  given by the integer  $k_\nu$ . We have proved that up to an isomorphism

$$E \simeq E_1 \oplus E_2 \oplus \dots \oplus E_l$$

with classical gradings  $k_1$  on  $E_1$ ,  $k_2$  on  $E_2$ , ...,  $k_l$  on  $E_l$ .

d) Suppose now that we have given two vector bundles  $E$  and  $F$  and a differential operator

$$A(x, D): \Gamma(X, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, F).$$

Suppose also that we have chosen gradings

$$\begin{aligned} a_1^{(i)}, \dots, a_p^{(i)} & \quad \text{on } E, \\ b_1^{(i)}, \dots, b_q^{(i)} & \quad \text{on } F, \end{aligned}$$

compatible with the operator  $A(x, D)$ . This means that setting

$$A^{(i)}(x, D) = (a_{rs}^{(i)}(x, D))_{1 \leq r \leq q, 1 \leq s \leq p}$$

we have

$$a_{rs}^{(i)}(x, D) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq a_s^{(i)} - b_r^{(i)}} a_{rs, \alpha}^{(i)}(x) D^\alpha.$$

From the consistency conditions (\*) we derive then the following formula

$$(1) \quad \hat{A}^{(i)}(x, \xi) e_{ij}(x) = f_{ij}(x) \hat{A}^{(i)}(x, \xi).$$

Now note that a change of coordinates in  $X$  affects the  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$  as if they were the components of a covariant vector. Thus  $(x, \xi)$  has to be thought of as a point in the cotangent bundle  $T^*(X)$ . Consider also the vector bundle

$$\text{Hom}_X(E, F).$$

A section  $\sigma \in \Gamma(X, \text{Hom}_X(E, F))$  is given by a collection  $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$  of matrices  $M_i$ ,  $C^\infty$  on  $U_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , of type  $q \times p$  such that

$$M_i(x) e_{ij}(x) = f_{ij}(x) M_j(x) \quad \forall x \in U_i \cap U_j.$$

Formula (1) then shows that the symbol of a differential operator

$$A(x, D): \Gamma(X, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, F)$$

is a map

$$\hat{A}(x, \xi): T^*(X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_X(E, F)$$

such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^*(X) & \xrightarrow{\hat{A}(x, \xi)} & \text{Hom}_X(E, F) \\ & \searrow \alpha & \swarrow \beta \\ & X & \end{array}$$

is commutative,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  being the natural projections.



e) The above representation of the symbol  $\hat{A}(x, \xi)$  does not take into account the fact that the matrices  $\hat{A}^{(i)}(x, \xi)$  are polynomials in  $\xi$ . For this reason we develop the following considerations.

We first consider the cotangent bundle  $T^*(X)$  of  $X$  of covariant vectors on  $X$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  the ring of  $C^\infty$  functions on  $T^*(X)$  which are polynomials along the fibres.

Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a set of coordinate patches covering  $X$  and let

$$T^*(X)|_{U_i} \simeq U_i \times \mathbf{R}^n$$

be local trivializations with  $x^{(i)} = (x_1^{(i)}, \dots, x_n^{(i)})$  coordinates on  $U_i$  and  $\xi^{(i)} = (\xi_1^{(i)}, \dots, \xi_n^{(i)})$  coordinates along the fibres  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

An element  $p(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  is a collection of polynomials

$$p_i(x^{(i)}, \xi^{(i)})$$

in the variables  $\xi^{(i)} \in \mathbf{R}^n$  with  $C^\infty$  coefficients in  $x^{(i)} \in U_i$  such that on  $U_i \cap U_j$  we have

$$p_j(x^{(j)}, \xi^{(j)}) = p_i \left( x^{(i)}(x^{(j)}), \frac{\partial x^{(i)}}{\partial x^{(j)}} \xi^{(j)} \right)$$

where  $\partial x^{(i)}/\partial x^{(j)}$  denotes the Jacobian matrix of the change of coordinates from  $U_j$  to  $U_i$ :

$$\begin{cases} \xi_\alpha^{(i)} = \sum_1^n \frac{\partial x_\beta^{(i)}}{\partial x_\alpha^{(j)}} \xi_\beta^{(j)}, \\ 1 < \alpha \leq n. \end{cases}$$

The space  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  could be called the ring of « *codifferential symmetric forms* ». Note that if  $X$  is parallelizable i.e. if

$$T^*(X) \simeq X \times \mathbf{R}^n$$

(as a fiber space over  $X$ ) then  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  is nothing but the ring  $\mathcal{E}(X)[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]$  of polynomials in the  $n$  variables  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$  with  $C^\infty$  coefficients on  $X$ . Here  $\mathcal{E}(X)$  denotes the ring of  $C^\infty$  functions on  $X$ .

Given a vector bundle  $E$  on  $X$ , trivial on the covering  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  with transition functions  $\{e_{ij}\}$  we can consider the space

$$\mathcal{P}(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{E}(X)} \Gamma(X, E)$$

of « *codifferential symmetric forms with values in  $E$*  ».

An element  $\varphi$  of this space is locally given by a collection

$$\varphi_i(x, \xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_i^1(x, \xi) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_i^p(x, \xi) \end{pmatrix}$$

of codifferential symmetric forms  $\varphi_i^j(x, \xi)$   $1 \leq j \leq p$  on each coordinate patch  $U_i \in \mathcal{U}$  such that on  $U_i \cap U_j$  we have

$$\varphi_i(x, \xi) = e_{ij}(x) \varphi_j(x, \xi).$$

We note that the space of codifferential symmetric forms with values in  $E$  is no longer a ring but only a module over  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ .

Given now the vector bundles  $E$  and  $F$  over  $X$ , given a differential operator  $A(x, D): \Gamma(X, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, F)$ , given a grading on  $E$  and a grading on  $F$  compatible with the differential operator  $A(x, D)$ , we can then consider the symbol  $\hat{A}(x, \xi)$  as a  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ -linear map (because of formula (1))

$$\mathcal{P}(X, E) \xrightarrow{\hat{A}(x, \xi)} \mathcal{P}(X, F)$$

where by definition

$$\mathcal{P}(X, E) = \mathcal{P}(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{G}(X)} \Gamma(X, E), \quad \mathcal{P}(X, F) = \mathcal{P}(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{G}(X)} \Gamma(X, F)$$

are the spaces of codifferential symmetric forms with values in  $E$  and  $F$  respectively.

Finally let us consider a third vector bundle

$$G \xrightarrow{\nu} X.$$

of rank  $r$  (i.e. with fiber  $\mathbf{C}^r$ ). Assume that we have given a second differential operator

$$B(x, D): \Gamma(X, F) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, G)$$

and suppose that a grading

$$e_1^{(i)}, \dots, e_r^{(i)} \quad \text{on } G$$

is given such that it is compatible with the differential operator  $B(x, D)$ .

We can then consider the space  $\mathcal{P}(X, G)$  of codifferential symmetric forms on  $X$  with values in  $G$  and the symbol  $\hat{B}(x, \xi)$  of  $B(x, D)$  as a  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ -linear map

$$\mathcal{P}(X, F) \xrightarrow{\hat{B}(x, \xi)} \mathcal{P}(X, G).$$

From the multiplicative property of the symbol we derive the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{P}(X, E) & \xrightarrow{\hat{A}(x, \xi)} & \mathcal{P}(X, F) \\
 \searrow \widehat{B \circ A}(x, \xi) & & \swarrow \hat{B}(x, \xi) \\
 & & \mathcal{P}(X, G)
 \end{array}$$

**3. - Complexes of differential operators, the symbolic complex, elliptic complexes.**

a) We give on  $X$  a sequence  $E^0, E^1, E^2, \dots$  of vector bundles with fibres  $\mathbf{C}^{p_0}, \mathbf{C}^{p_1}, \mathbf{C}^{p_2}, \dots$  i.e. of ranks  $p_0, p_1, p_2, \dots$ , respectively.

We give a sequence of differential operators

$$\begin{aligned}
 A^0(x, D) &: \Gamma(X, E^0) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, E^1), \\
 A^1(x, D) &: \Gamma(X, E^1) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, E^2), \\
 A^2(x, D) &: \Gamma(X, E^2) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, E^3), \\
 &\dots \dots \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

with the property that

$$A^1 \circ A^0 = 0, A^2 \circ A^1 = 0, \dots \text{ i.e. } A^{j+1} \circ A^j = 0 \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

We then say that we have given a complex of differential operators.

Setting for the sake of a simple notation

$$\mathcal{E}^{(j)}(X) = \Gamma(X, E^j)$$

the given complex will be denoted by:

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{E}^{(0)}(X) \xrightarrow{A^0(x, D)} \mathcal{E}^{(1)}(X) \xrightarrow{A^1(x, D)} \mathcal{E}^{(2)}(X) \xrightarrow{A^2(x, D)} \dots$$

b) Suppose now that we have given gradings

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_1^{(i)}, \dots, a_{p_0}^{(i)} & \quad \text{on } E^0, \\
 b_1^{(i)}, \dots, b_{p_1}^{(i)} & \quad \text{on } E^1, \\
 c_1^{(i)}, \dots, c_{p_2}^{(i)} & \quad \text{on } E^2, \\
 & \dots \dots \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in I$ ,  $I$  being the index set of a covering  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $X$  by coordinate patches on which each bundle  $E^j$  is trivial.

We assume that these gradings are compatible with the differential operators  $A^0(x, D), A^1(x, D), \dots$  so that for each operator  $A^j(x, D)$  we can consider the corresponding symbol  $\hat{A}^j(x, \xi)$ . We obtain then the following sequence of  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ -linear maps

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{P}(X, E^0) \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^0(x, \xi)} \mathcal{P}(X, E^1) \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^1(x, \xi)} \mathcal{P}(X, E^2) \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^2(x, \xi)} \dots$$

This sequence is a complex by virtue of the multiplicative property of the symbols i.e. by formula (2).

The sequence (4) will be called the symbolic complex on  $X$  associated to the given complex (3).

Let us fix a point  $x^0 \in X$  and let  $\mathfrak{w}_{x^0}(X) \subset \mathcal{E}(X)$  denote the ideal of  $\mathcal{E}(X)$  of those functions which vanish at  $x^0$ . We can tensor over  $\mathcal{E}(X)$  the above sequence (4) by  $\mathbb{C}_{x^0} = \mathcal{E}(X)/\mathfrak{w}_{x^0}(X)$  considered as an  $\mathcal{E}(X)$ -module. Then for each  $j \geq 0$

$$\mathcal{P}(X, E^j) \otimes \mathcal{E}(X)/\mathfrak{w}_{x^0}(X) \simeq \mathcal{P}^{p_j}$$

where  $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]$  is the ring of polynomials in the variables  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ . From the complex (4) we then obtain the complex

$$(4)_{x^0} \quad \mathcal{P}^{p_0} \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^0(x^0, \xi)} \mathcal{P}^{p_1} \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^1(x^0, \xi)} \mathcal{P}^{p_2} \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^2(x^0, \xi)} \dots$$

We call this complex *the symbolic complex associated to the given complex (3) at the point  $x^0 \in X$* .

c) Finally we can fix  $x^0 \in X$  and  $\xi^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}$ , on the fiber of  $T^*(X)$  over  $x^0$ . From  $(4)_{x^0}$  we then obtain another complex

$$(5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{p_0} \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^0(x^0, \xi^0)} \mathbb{C}^{p_1} \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^1(x^0, \xi^0)} \mathbb{C}^{p_2} \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^2(x^0, \xi^0)} \dots$$

where  $\mathbb{C}^{p_j}$  stands for the fiber over  $x^0$  of the bundle  $E^j$ .

We will say that the given complex (3) is an *elliptic complex at  $x^0 \in X$*  if for any choice of  $\xi^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}$  the sequence (5) is an exact sequence.

We may remark that one can consider the complexified cotangent bundle  $T_{\mathbb{C}}^*(X)$  (with fibers  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and the same transition functions  $t_{ij}(x) = \partial x^{(j)}/\partial x^{(i)}$  of  $T^*(X)$ ). Then the sequence (5) can be considered also for given  $x^0 \in X$  and given  $\xi^0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , the fiber of  $T_{\mathbb{C}}^*(X)$  over  $x^0$ .

d) Replacing the symbols  $\xi$  with the symbols of differentiation  $D$  we obtain from  $(4)_{x^0}$  the complex of differential operators with constant coefficients on  $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)^{p_0} \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^0(x^0, D)} \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)^{p_1} \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^1(x^0, D)} \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)^{p_2} \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^2(x^0, D)} \dots$$

This is what is usually called the symbolic complex for the complex (3) at the point  $x^0 \in X$ .

The complex (6) is a Hilbert complex (cf. [4]) if and only if

$${}^t(4)_{x^0} \quad \mathcal{P}_{p_0} \xleftarrow{{}^t\hat{A}^0(x^0, \xi)} \mathcal{P}_{p_1} \xleftarrow{{}^t\hat{A}^1(x_0, \xi)} \mathcal{P}_{p_2} \xleftarrow{{}^t\hat{A}^2(x_0, \xi)} \dots$$

is an exact sequence.

We recall the following theorems (cf.[5])

**THEOREM 0.** - *Assume that at a point  $x^0 \in X$  the symbolic complex (6) is a Hilbert complex. Then the given complex (3) admits the formal Poincaré lemma at  $x^0$ .*

Assume that the manifold  $X$  is a real analytic manifold, that the bundles  $E^j$  are also real analytic (i.e. on a real analytic coordinate atlas  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  the transition functions are real analytic functions  $e_{ik}^{(j)}: U_i \cap U_k \rightarrow GL(p_j, \mathbb{C})$  and the differential operators  $A^j(x, D)$  have real analytic coefficients). We have then ([5])

**THEOREM 1.** - *Assume that at a point  $x^0 \in X$  the symbolic complex (6) is a Hilbert complex. Then (under the above assumptions) the given complex (3) admits the analytic Poincaré lemma at  $x^0$ .*

**THEOREM 2.** - *Under the same assumptions of analyticity. Assume that at a point  $x^0 \in X$  the symbolic complex (6) is a Hilbert complex.*

*Assume also that at the point  $x^0$  the given complex is elliptic <sup>(1)</sup>.*

*Then the given complex (3) admits the  $C^\infty$  Poincaré lemma at  $x^0$ .*

It is still an open question to decide whether theorem 2 remains valid without the assumptions of analyticity on  $X$ ,  $E^j \forall j$ , and  $A^j(x, D)$ .

#### 4. - Fiber transformations and change of grading.

a) Let  $E$  be a vector bundle on  $X$ , let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a covering of  $X$  by coordinate patches on which the bundle  $E$  is trivial

$$E|_{U_i} \simeq U_i \times \mathbb{C}^p$$

$p$  being the rank of  $E$ .

Let

$$M(x, D): \Gamma(X, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, E)$$

be a differential operator from  $E$  to  $E$ .

---

<sup>(1)</sup> In the sense that the sequence  $\mathbb{C}^{p_0} \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^0(x^0, \xi^0)} \mathbb{C}^{p_1} \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^1(x^0, \xi^0)} \mathbb{C}^{p_2} \rightarrow \dots$  is exact for any  $\xi^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}$  even if the first map is not injective.

We assume that we have given gradings

$$\begin{aligned} a_1^{(i)}, \dots, a_p^{(i)} & \quad \text{on } E \text{ as the « source bundle »,} \\ \alpha_1^{(i)}, \dots, \alpha_p^{(i)} & \quad \text{on } E \text{ as the « target bundle »,} \end{aligned}$$

compatible with the differential operator  $M(x, D)$ . This means that locally on  $U_i$  the local representations of  $M(x, D)$

$$M^{(i)}(x, D) = (m_{rs}^{(i)}(x, D))_{1 \leq r \leq p, 1 \leq s \leq p}$$

are such that

$$\text{order of } m_{rs}^{(i)}(x, D) \leq a_s^{(i)} - \alpha_r^{(i)}$$

for each  $i \in I$ . We can then consider the symbol

$$\hat{M}(x, \xi) = \{\hat{M}^{(i)}(x, \xi)\}_{i \in I}$$

of the operator  $M(x, D)$ . We have the following

PROPOSITION 1. — *We assume that*

i)  $M(x, D)$  is a differential operator of total degree zero. By this we mean that

$$\sum_1^p a_s^{(i)} = \sum_1^p \alpha_r^{(i)} \quad \forall i \in I$$

and therefore for each  $i \in I$   $\det \hat{M}^{(i)}(x, \xi)$  is a homogeneous polynomial in  $\xi$  of degree zero, thus independent of  $\xi$ .

ii) For each  $i$

$$\det \hat{M}^{(i)}(x, \xi) = \det \hat{M}^{(i)}(x, 0) \neq 0.$$

Then there exists a unique differential operator

$$N(x, D): \Gamma(X, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, E)$$

compatible with the gradings

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1^{(i)}, \dots, \alpha_p^{(i)} & \quad \text{on the source bundle } E, \\ a_1^{(i)}, \dots, a_p^{(i)} & \quad \text{on the target bundle } E, \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} N(x, D) \circ M(x, D) &= \text{identity on } \Gamma(X, E), \\ M(x, D) \circ N(x, D) &= \text{identity on } \Gamma(X, E). \end{aligned}$$

This is a consequence of the local theorem proved in proposition 2 of [5]. We remark explicitly that if  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are respectively left and right inverse of  $M$  we must have  $N_1 = N_2$ . Indeed from  $N_1 \circ M = \text{identity}$ ,  $M \circ N_2 = \text{identity}$ , as the algebra of differential operators from  $E$  to  $E$  is associative we derive

$$(N_1 \circ M) \circ N_2 = N_2 \quad \text{thus } N_1 \circ (M \circ N_2) = N_2$$

hence  $N_1 = N_2$  as we wanted.

If  $e_{ij}: U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow GL(p, \mathbb{C})$  are the transition functions of  $E$  we must have

$$M^{(i)}(x, D) \circ e_{ij}(x) = e_{ij}(x) \circ M^{(j)}(x, D)$$

and

$$\hat{M}^{(i)}(x, \xi) \circ e_{ij}(x) = e_{ij}(x) \hat{M}^{(j)}(x, \xi).$$

A differential operator  $M(x, D)$  satisfying the hypothesis of proposition 1 will be called a « fiber transformation »; it establishes an isomorphism of  $\Gamma(X, E)$  onto itself:

$$M(x, D): \Gamma(X, E) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Gamma(X, E).$$

REMARK. - If the grading on  $E$  as source and target bundle is a classical grading i.e.  $\forall i \in I$

$$a_1^{(i)} = \dots = a_p^{(i)} = k = \alpha_1^{(i)} = \dots = \alpha_p^{(i)}$$

for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  then  $M(x, D)$  is a differential operator of order zero thus locally defined by matrices  $M^{(i)}(x)$  not containing derivatives and with  $\det M^{(i)}(x) \neq 0$ ,  $\forall x \in U_i$ .

b) Suppose now that we have a complex of differential operators

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{E}^{(0)}(X) \xrightarrow{A^0(x, D)} \mathcal{E}^{(1)}(X) \xrightarrow{A^1(x, D)} \mathcal{E}^{(2)}(X) \xrightarrow{A^2(x, D)} \dots$$

where  $\mathcal{E}^{(j)}(X) = \Gamma(X, E^j)$  for some bundle  $E^j$  of rank  $p_j$ .

Assume also that we have given gradings

$$\begin{aligned} a_1^{(i)}, \dots, a_{p_0}^{(i)} & \quad \text{on } E^0, \\ b_1^{(i)}, \dots, b_{p_1}^{(i)} & \quad \text{on } E^1, \\ c_1^{(i)}, \dots, c_{p_2}^{(i)} & \quad \text{on } E^2, \\ \dots & \quad \dots \end{aligned}$$

compatible with the operators of the complex,  $i \in I$  the index set of the charted covering  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  on which each bundle  $E^j$  is assumed to be trivial.

Suppose that we change grading on the bundles  $E^j$  into

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1^{(i)}, \dots, \alpha_{p_0}^{(i)} & \text{ on } E^0 \text{ with } \sum_h \alpha_h^{(i)} = \sum_h a_h^{(i)}, \\ \beta_1^{(i)}, \dots, \beta_{p_1}^{(i)} & \text{ on } E^1 \text{ with } \sum_h \beta_h^{(i)} = \sum_h b_h^{(i)}, \\ \gamma_1^{(i)}, \dots, \gamma_{p_2}^{(i)} & \text{ on } E^2 \text{ with } \sum_h \gamma_h^{(i)} = \sum_h c_h^{(i)}, \\ & \dots \dots \dots \end{aligned}$$

and that for each bundle  $E^j$  we give a fibre transformation

$$M_j(x, D): \mathcal{E}^{(j)}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{(j)}(X),$$

compatible with the old and new gradings on  $E^j$ .

Set, for  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$B^j(x, D) = M_{j+1}(x, D) \circ A^j(x, D) \circ M_j^{-1}(x, D).$$

Then

$$B^j(x, D): \mathcal{E}^{(j)}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{(j+1)}(X)$$

is a differential operator compatible with the new grading. We obtain thus a commutative diagram

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{E}^{(0)}(X) & \xrightarrow{A^0(x, D)} & \mathcal{E}^{(1)}(X) & \xrightarrow{A^1(x, D)} & \mathcal{E}^{(2)}(X) & \xrightarrow{A^2(x, D)} & \dots \\ \downarrow M_0(x, D) & & \downarrow M_1(x, D) & & \downarrow M_2(x, D) & & \\ \mathcal{E}^{(0)}(X) & \xrightarrow{B^0(x, D)} & \mathcal{E}^{(1)}(X) & \xrightarrow{B^1(x, D)} & \mathcal{E}^{(2)}(X) & \xrightarrow{B^2(x, D)} & \dots \end{array}$$

in which the horizontal rows are complexes and the vertical maps are isomorphisms. The complex of differential operators

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{E}^{(0)}(X) \xrightarrow{B^0(x, D)} \mathcal{E}^{(1)}(X) \xrightarrow{B^1(x, D)} \mathcal{E}^{(2)}(X) \xrightarrow{B^2(x, D)} \dots$$

with the new gradings  $\{\alpha_i\}, \{\beta_j\}, \{\gamma_k\}, \dots$  will be called the transformed of the complex (4) by means of the fiber transformations  $M_0(x, D), M_1(x, D), M_2(x, D), \dots$

Let for  $j \geq 0$   $H^j(X; \mathcal{E}^*(X), A^*)$  denote the  $j$ -th cohomology group of the complex (3) i.e.

$$H^j(X; \mathcal{E}^*(X), A^*) = \frac{\text{Ker} \left\{ \mathcal{E}^{(j)}(X) \xrightarrow{A^j(x, D)} \mathcal{E}^{(j+1)}(X) \right\}}{\text{Im} \left\{ \mathcal{E}^{(j-1)}(X) \xrightarrow{A^{j-1}(x, D)} \mathcal{E}^{(j)}(X) \right\}}$$



(setting  $\mathcal{E}^{(-1)}(X) = 0$ ). Similarly, by replacing the complex (3) with the complex (6) we can define the groups  $H^j(X; \mathcal{E}^*(X), B^*)$ . We have the obvious

PROPOSITION 2. *If the complex (6) is obtained from the complex (3) by fiber transformations then for every  $j \geq 0$  we have natural isomorphisms*

$$H^j(X; \mathcal{E}^*(X), A^*) \simeq H^j(X; \mathcal{E}^*(X), B^*).$$

This isomorphism is induced by the differential operator

$$M_j(x, D): \mathcal{E}^{(j)}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{(j)}(X).$$

c) Let  $S$  denote a closed subset of  $X$ . We set

$$\mathcal{F}_S^{(j)}(X) = \{s(x) \in \mathcal{E}^{(j)}(X) \mid s(x) \text{ is flat on } S\}.$$

Let  $x^0 \in S \cap U_i$  and let us represent  $s(x) \in \mathcal{E}^{(j)}(X)$  locally near  $x^0$  by a set of  $C^\infty$  functions

$$s_i(x): U_i \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{p_j}.$$

We say that  $s(x)$  is flat at  $x^0$  if all partial derivatives of  $s_i(x)$  vanish at  $x^0$ ;

$$D^\alpha s_i(x_0) = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbf{N}^n.$$

We say that  $s(x)$  is flat on  $S$  if it is flat at every point  $x^0 \in S$ .

The differential operator  $A^j(x, D)$  sends  $\mathcal{F}_S^{(j)}(X)$  into  $\mathcal{F}_S^{(j+1)}(X)$ . We thus obtain a subcomplex of (3)

$$(7) \quad \mathcal{F}_S^{(0)}(X) \xrightarrow{A^0(x, D)} \mathcal{F}_S^{(1)}(X) \xrightarrow{A^1(x, D)} \mathcal{F}_S^{(2)}(X) \xrightarrow{A^2(x, D)} \dots$$

whose cohomology groups will be denoted by

$$H^j(X; \mathcal{F}_S^*(X), A^*), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Taking the quotient complex of (3) by (7) we obtain the complex

$$(8) \quad \frac{\mathcal{E}^{(0)}(X)}{\mathcal{F}_S^{(0)}(X)} \xrightarrow{A^0(x, D)} \frac{\mathcal{E}^{(1)}(X)}{\mathcal{F}_S^{(1)}(X)} \xrightarrow{A^1(x, D)} \frac{\mathcal{E}^{(2)}(X)}{\mathcal{F}_S^{(2)}(X)} \xrightarrow{A^2(x, D)} \dots$$

where we have denoted by  $A^j(x, D)$  the operators induced by the differential operators  $A^j(x, D)$  on the quotient spaces. The cohomology groups of the complex (8)

will be denoted by

$$H^j(X; \mathcal{E}^*(X)/\mathcal{F}_S^*(X), A^*) \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

We have the following straightforward

PROPOSITION 3. – *If the complex (6) is obtained from the complex (3) by fiber transformations then for every  $j \geq 0$  we have also natural isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} H^j(X; \mathcal{F}_S^*(X), A^*) &\simeq H^j(X; \mathcal{F}_S^*(X), B^*) \\ H^j(X; \mathcal{E}^*(X)/\mathcal{F}_S^*(X), A^*) &\simeq H^j(X; \mathcal{E}^*(X)/\mathcal{F}_S^*(X), B^*). \end{aligned}$$

## 5. – Noncharacteristic hypersurfaces.

a) Let

$$\varrho: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

be a  $C^\infty$  function on  $X$ , real valued. We consider the set

$$S = \{x \in X | \varrho(x) = 0\}.$$

This is a closed set. We say that  $S$  is a *hypersurface* if at each point  $x^0 \in S$  we have

$$d\varrho(x^0) \neq 0.$$

If this is so at each point  $x^0 \in S$  we can select a system of local  $C^\infty$  coordinates  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  where  $x_1 = \varrho(x)$ . Therefore in a small neighborhood  $U$  of  $x^0$  we have

$$S = \{x \in U | x_1 = 0\}.$$

One could define a hypersurface  $S$  as a closed subset  $S \subset X$  with the property that for each point  $x^0 \in S$  we can find an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x^0$  and a  $C^\infty$  function  $\varrho_U: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with the properties

$$\begin{aligned} d\varrho_U &\neq 0 \quad \text{on } U, \\ S \cap U &= \{x \in U | \varrho_U(x) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume we have a hypersurface  $S$  in this second sense and let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  be an open covering of  $X$  by coordinate charts in which  $S$  is defined by the local equa-

tions  $\{\varrho_i(x) = 0\}$ . One verifies that on  $U_i \cap U_j$  we have

$$\varrho_i(x) = h_{ij}(x) \varrho_j(x)$$

with  $h_{ij}(x) \in C^\infty$  and  $h_{ij}(x) \neq 0$ .

We say that the hypersurface  $S$  is *orientable* if the covering  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  (that we will suppose locally finite) and the local equations  $\{\varrho_i(x) = 0\}_{i \in I}$  can be so chosen that  $h_{ij}(x) > 0$ ,  $\forall i, j \in I$ .

We then claim that *then and only then the hypersurface  $S$  can be defined by a global equation  $\{\varrho(x) = 0\}$  as in the first definition.*

PROOF. Assume  $S$  is orientable. Then if the local equations are properly chosen  $h_{ij} > 0$  and thus one can consider  $\log h_{ij}(x)$  for  $x \in U_i \cap U_j$  as a uniquely defined real valued function. We have on  $U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k$

$$\log h_{ij}(x) + \log h_{jk}(x) = \log h_{ik}(x).$$

In particular for  $i = k$

$$\log h_{ij}(x) = -\log h_{ji}(x).$$

Let  $\{\sigma_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  be a  $C^\infty$  partition of unity subordinated to the open covering  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ . Set on  $U_i$

$$\mu_i(x) = \sum_\alpha \sigma_\alpha(x) \log h_{\alpha i}(x).$$

This has meaning as the covering  $\mathcal{U}$  is locally finite. Then we have on  $U_i \cap U_j$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_j(x) - \mu_i(x) &= \sum_\alpha \sigma_\alpha(x) \{\log h_{\alpha j}(x) + \log h_{i\alpha}(x)\} \\ &= \log h_{ij}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $h_{ij}(x) = e^{\mu_j(x)} e^{-\mu_i(x)}$  and therefore on  $U_i \cap U_j$

$$\varrho_i(x) e^{\mu_i(x)} = \varrho_j(x) e^{\mu_j(x)} = \varrho(x)$$

is a globally defined real valued  $C^\infty$  function defining  $S$ . The converse statement is obvious (take  $\mathcal{U} = \{X\}$  and the unique local equation  $\varrho(x) = 0$ ).

As we will consider only oriented hypersurfaces we will stick to the first definition. In this case  $X$  is divided into two distinct regions (closed in  $X$ )

$$X^+ = \{x \in X | \varrho(x) \geq 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad X^- = \{x \in X | \varrho(x) \leq 0\}$$

having only the hypersurface  $S$  in common.

b) Suppose now that we have given on  $X$  a complex of differential operators

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{E}^{(0)}(X) \xrightarrow{A^0(x, D)} \mathcal{E}^{(1)}(X) \xrightarrow{A^1(x, D)} \mathcal{E}^{(2)}(X) \xrightarrow{A^2(x, D)} \dots$$

with gradings on the fiber bundles  $E^j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , compatible with the given differential operators.

One can then consider the corresponding symbolic complex

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{P}(X, E^0) \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^0(x, \xi)} \mathcal{P}(X, E^1) \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^1(x, \xi)} \mathcal{P}(X, E^2) \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^2(x, \xi)} \dots$$

Let  $S$  be an oriented hypersurface in  $X$  and let  $\rho(x) = 0$  be an equation for  $S$ . At each point  $x^0 \in S$  the vector

$$\text{grad } \rho(x^0) = \left( \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x_1}(x^0), \dots, \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x_n}(x^0) \right)$$

is defined. Another choice of the equation of  $S$  changes the vector  $\text{grad } \rho(x^0)$  by multiplication by a nonvanishing factor.

We will say that the hypersurface  $S$  is *noncharacteristic for the given complex (3) at the point  $x^0 \in S$* , if the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{p_0} \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^0(x^0, \text{grad } \rho(x^0))} \mathbb{C}^{p_1} \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^1(x^0, \text{grad } \rho(x^0))} \mathbb{C}^{p_2} \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^2(x^0, \text{grad } \rho(x^0))} \dots$$

is an exact sequence.

Let  $x^0 \in U_i \in \mathcal{U}$  and let on  $U_i$

$$\begin{aligned} a_1^{(i)}, \dots, a_{p_0}^{(i)} & \text{ be the grading for } E^0, \\ b_1^{(i)}, \dots, b_{p_1}^{(i)} & \text{ be the grading for } E^1, \\ & \dots \end{aligned}$$

Choose an integer  $l \geq \alpha_\alpha^{(i)}$ ,  $\forall \alpha$ ,  $l \geq b_\alpha^{(i)}$ ,  $\forall \alpha, \dots$  and let  $\sigma(x)$  be another equation for  $S$ . Let

$$\text{grad } \sigma(x^0) = \lambda_0 \text{grad } \rho(x^0)$$

with  $\lambda_0 > 0$ . We have then a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{C}^{p_0} \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^0(x^0, \text{grad } \rho(x^0))} & \mathbb{C}^{p_1} \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^1(x^0, \text{grad } \rho(x^0))} & \mathbb{C}^{p_2} \rightarrow & \dots & \\ \downarrow N_0(\lambda_0) & \downarrow N_1(\lambda_0) & \downarrow N_2(\lambda_0) & & \\ \mathbb{C}^{p_0} \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^0(x^0, \text{grad } \sigma(x^0))} & \mathbb{C}^{p_1} \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^1(x^0, \text{grad } \sigma(x^0))} & \mathbb{C}^{p_2} \rightarrow & \dots & \end{array}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} N_0(\lambda_0) &= \text{diag} \langle \lambda_0^{l-a_1}, \dots, \lambda_0^{l-a_{p_0}} \rangle, \\ N_1(\lambda_0) &= \text{diag} \langle \lambda_0^{l-b_1}, \dots, \lambda_0^{l-b_{p_1}} \rangle, \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the definition is independent of the choice of the equation of  $S$ .

c) Let

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{E}^{(0)}(X) \xrightarrow{B^0(x, D)} \mathcal{E}^{(1)}(X) \xrightarrow{B^1(x, D)} \mathcal{E}^{(2)}(X) \xrightarrow{B^2(x, D)} \dots$$

be another complex of differential operators between the same fiber bundles as before and with a new grading compatible with the differential operators  $B^i(x, D)$ .

Suppose that the complex (6) is obtained from the complex (3) by means of the fiber transformations  $M_0(x, D), M_1(x, D), M_2(x, D), \dots$

From the commutative diagram (5) (see previous section) we derive then the commutative diagram:

$$(9) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{P}(X, E^0) & \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^0(x, \xi)} & \mathcal{P}(X, E^1) & \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^1(x, \xi)} & \mathcal{P}(X, E^2) & \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^2(x, \xi)} & \dots \\ \downarrow M_0(x, \xi) & & \downarrow M_1(x, \xi) & & \downarrow M_2(x, \xi) & & \\ \mathcal{P}(X, E^0) & \xrightarrow{\hat{B}^0(x, \xi)} & \mathcal{P}(X, E^1) & \xrightarrow{\hat{B}^1(x, \xi)} & \mathcal{P}(X, E^2) & \xrightarrow{\hat{B}^2(x, \xi)} & \dots \end{array}$$

Let us recall that at every point  $x \in X$ ,  $\det \hat{M}_j(x, \xi)$  is independent of  $\xi$  and different from zero.

From the commutative diagram (9) taking  $x = x^0 \in S$  and  $\xi = \text{grad } \rho(x^0)$  we derive the commutative diagram

$$(10) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{C}^{p_0} \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^0(x^0, \text{grad } \rho(x^0))} & \mathbb{C}^{p_1} \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^1(x^0, \text{grad } \rho(x^0))} & \mathbb{C}^{p_2} \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^2(x^0, \text{grad } \rho(x^0))} & \dots \\ \downarrow M_0(x^0, \text{grad } \rho(x^0)) & \downarrow M_1(x^0, \text{grad } \rho(x^0)) & \downarrow M_2(x^0, \text{grad } \rho(x^0)) & \\ \mathbb{C}^{p_0} \xrightarrow{\hat{B}^0(x^0, \text{grad } \rho(x^0))} & \mathbb{C}^{p_1} \xrightarrow{\hat{B}^1(x^0, \text{grad } \rho(x^0))} & \mathbb{C}^{p_2} \xrightarrow{\hat{B}^2(x^0, \text{grad } \rho(x^0))} & \dots \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are isomorphisms. We have therefore the following

**PROPOSITION 4.** - *Assume that at the point  $x^0 \in S$  the hypersurface  $S$  is noncharacteristic for the complex of differential operators (3).*

*If (6) is another complex of differential operators obtained from the complex (3) by a (graded) fiber transformation then the hypersurface  $S$  is also noncharacteristic for this new complex at the same point  $x^0 \in S$ .*

A hypersurface  $S$  in  $X$  is called *noncharacteristic* if it is noncharacteristic at each one of its points (with respect to a given complex of differential operators).

If the given complex is elliptic at every point of  $X$  then any hypersurface is noncharacteristic. Conversely if any hypersurface of  $X$  is noncharacteristic the given complex must be elliptic at every point of  $X$ .

## 6. - Formally noncharacteristic hypersurfaces.

a) *The local situation.* We consider a coordinate patch on  $X$  identified by its chart with an open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . On  $\Omega$  we have a  $C^\infty$  function  $\varrho: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and we consider the set

$$S_\Omega = \{x \in \Omega \mid \varrho(x) = 0\}.$$

We assume that  $d\varrho \neq 0$  on  $S_\Omega$  so that  $S_\Omega$  is a smooth hypersurface. Finally we replace  $\Omega$  by another open set relatively compact in  $\Omega$ .

LEMMA 1. - *We can find an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $S_\Omega$  in  $\Omega$  and a new  $C^\infty$  function  $t: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with*

$$\begin{aligned} S_\Omega &= \{x \in U \mid t(x) = 0\}. \\ dt &\neq 0 \quad \text{on } U, \end{aligned}$$

such that on  $U$  we have identically

$$\sum \left( \frac{\partial t}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 = 1.$$

PROOF. - On some neighborhood  $U$  of  $S_\Omega$  in  $\Omega$  we have

$$\sum \left( \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 > 0.$$

Replacing  $\Omega$  by  $U$  and  $\varrho$  by  $\left\{ \sum (\partial \varrho / \partial x_i)^2 \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \varrho$  we may assume that on  $S_\Omega$  we have

$$\sum \left( \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 \Big|_{S_\Omega} = 1.$$

We consider now the following set of equations

$$(*) \quad \begin{cases} t \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial x_i}(s_1, \dots, s_n) + s_i = x_i, & 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ \varrho(s_1, \dots, s_n) = \varrho. \end{cases}$$

The Jacobian determinant

$$\det \frac{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_n, \varrho)}{\partial(s_1, \dots, s_n, t)}$$

equals, up to the sign, the quadratic form

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \left( \delta_{ij} + t \frac{\partial^2 \varrho(s)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right) \frac{\partial \varrho(s)}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \varrho(s)}{\partial x_j}.$$

For  $s \in S_\Omega$  and  $t = 0$  this form is different from zero. Thus it remains different from zero in an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $S_\Omega$  in  $\Omega$  for  $|t| < \varepsilon_U$  for  $\varepsilon_U > 0$  conveniently small.

We can then solve equations (\*) with functions

$$t = t(x, \varrho), \quad s_j = s_j(x, \varrho), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

defined for  $x$  in a small neighborhood  $U$  of  $S_\Omega$  in  $\Omega$  and for  $|\varrho| < \eta_U$  with  $\eta_U > 0$  conveniently small.

We consider now the functions defined on  $U$

$$t = t(x, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad s_j = s_j(x, 0).$$

We have identically

$$(**) \quad \begin{cases} t(x, 0) \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial x_i}(s(x, 0)) + s_i(x, 0) = x_i, & 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ \varrho(s(x, 0)) = 0. \end{cases}$$

We first remark that from the nature of these equations

( $\alpha$ ) the quantities

$$x_i - s_i(x, 0) = t(x, 0) \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial x_i}(s(x, 0))$$

are proportional to the quantities  $(\partial \varrho / \partial x_i)(s(x, 0))$ .

Secondly from the identities on  $U$

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho(s(x, 0)) &\equiv 0, \\ \sum \left( \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial x_i}(s(x, 0)) \right)^2 &\equiv 1 \end{aligned}$$

we derive that

$$(\beta) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial x_i}(s(x, 0)) \frac{\partial s_i}{\partial x_j} \equiv 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

and

$$(\gamma) \quad \sum_{i,h=1}^n \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial x_i} (s(x, 0)) \frac{\partial^2 \varrho}{\partial x_i \partial x_h} (s(x, 0)) \frac{\partial s_h}{\partial x_j} (x, 0) \equiv 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

From the equations (\*\*) we derive also that

$$t(x, 0) = \sum (x_i - s_i(x, 0)) \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial x_i} (s(x, 0)).$$

Taking partial derivatives we get

$$\frac{\partial t(x, 0)}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial x_j} (s(x, 0))$$

because of ( $\alpha$ ), ( $\beta$ ) and ( $\gamma$ ). Therefore identically on  $U$

$$\sum \left( \frac{\partial t(x, 0)}{\partial x_j} \right)^2 \equiv 1.$$

We thus have that the function

$$t = t(x, 0)$$

satisfies the desired requirements. Note that  $t(x, 0)$  vanishes on  $S_\varrho$  and its gradient is different from zero.

REMARK. - The hypersurfaces  $t = \text{constant}$  are hypersurfaces on  $U$  parallel to  $S_\varrho$ .

Restricting eventually  $\Omega$  we may assume without loss of generality that on  $\Omega$  the function  $\varrho$  satisfies the condition

$$\sum \left( \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 \equiv 1.$$

b) We introduce on  $\Omega$  the differential operators (vector fields)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial x_i} (x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \\ D_{i_j} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial x_j} (x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

We have the following formulae

$$(i) \quad D_{i_j}(\varrho h) = \varrho D_{i_j} h, \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega),$$



(so that  $D_{t_j}$  is a tangential operator to  $S$  in the sense that it sends the ideal  $\mathcal{I}(S)$  of  $C^\infty$  functions vanishing on  $S$  into itself);

$$(ii) \quad \left[ D_{t_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho} \right] = D_{t_j} \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho} \circ D_{t_j} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \varrho}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} D_{t_i},$$

$$(iii) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial x_i} D_{t_i} = 0.$$

Let  $dS$  denote the Euclidian element of hypersurface area on  $S$ . We have that

$$\frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial x_\alpha} dS = (-1)^{\alpha-1} dx_1 \dots \widehat{dx_\alpha} \dots dx_n|_S$$

therefore

$$(iv) \quad dS = \sum (-1)^{\alpha-1} \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial x_\alpha} dx_1 \dots \widehat{dx_\alpha} \dots dx_n|_S.$$

Given a differential operator on  $\Omega$

$$A(x, D): \mathcal{E}^p(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^q(\Omega),$$

$$A(x, D) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} a_\alpha(x) D^\alpha$$

with  $a_\alpha(x)$  matrices of type  $q \times p$  with  $C^\infty$  entries one can consider the (formal) adjoint operator

$$A^*(x, D): \mathcal{E}^q(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^p(\Omega),$$

$$A^*(x, D) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha ({}^t a_\alpha(x) \cdot).$$

One has the following formula

$$\int_{\Omega} {}^t V A(x, D) U \, dx = \int_{\Omega} {}^t (A^*(x, D) V) U \, dx$$

for  $U \in \mathcal{D}^p(\Omega)$ ,  $V \in \mathcal{D}^q(\Omega)$  (i.e.  $C^\infty$  with compact support) and where  $dx = dx_1 \dots dx_n$ .

For instance we have for the adjoint of  $\partial/\partial \varrho$ :

$$(v) \quad \nabla_\varrho = - \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho} \right)^* = \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho} + \Delta \varrho$$

where

$$\Delta \varrho = \sum \frac{\partial^2 \varrho}{\partial x_i^2}.$$

We have the following identity

$$(vi) \quad \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial \varrho} \right) U = \sum \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial x_i} {}^t V U \right) - {}^t V \nabla_\varrho U$$

for  $U, V \in \mathcal{E}^s(\Omega)$ .

Also we have for the adjoint of the « tangential » operators  $D_i$ ,

$$(vii) \quad (D_i)^* = -D_i.$$

c) Given a differential operator  $A(x, D)$ , using the above formulas, and the fact that  $A^{**}(x, D) \equiv A(x, D)$ , we can always write  $A(x, D)$  in one of the forms

$$A(x, D) = A_0(x, D_i) + A_1(x, D_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial Q} + \dots + A_k(x, D_i) \frac{\partial^k}{\partial Q^k},$$

$$A(x, D) = A_0(x, D_i) + \nabla_Q A_1(x, D_i) + \dots + \nabla_Q^k A_k(x, D_i),$$

where the  $A_j(x, D_i)$  are operators containing only the « tangential derivatives »  $D_i$ .  
Let

$$\Omega^- = \{x \in \Omega \mid \varrho(x) \leq 0\}.$$

Let  $v \in \mathcal{D}^q(\Omega)$  and  $u \in \mathcal{D}^p(\Omega)$ . We have the following properties

(α) Let  $A(x, D_i): \mathcal{E}^p(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^q(\Omega)$  be a tangential operator and let  $A^*(x, D_i): \mathcal{E}^q(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^p(\Omega)$  be its adjoint. Then

$$\int_{\Omega^-} {}^t v A(x, D_i) u \, dx = \int_{\Omega^-} {}^t (A^*(x, D_i) v) u \, dx.$$

This formula is easy to verify for an operator of the form  $a(x)D_i$  and thus in general.

(β) Let the operator  $A(x, D)$  be written in the form

$$A(x, D) = A_0(x, D_i) + \nabla_Q A_1(x, D_i) + \dots + \nabla_Q^k A_k(x, D_i)$$

$$= A_0(x, D_i) + \nabla_Q C_1(x, D)$$

$$= A_0(x, D_i) + \nabla_Q A_1(x, D_i) + \nabla_Q^2 C_2(x, D_i)$$

$$\dots \dots \dots$$

$$= A_0(x, D_i) + \nabla_Q A_1(x, D_i) + \dots + \nabla_Q^k C_k(x, D)$$

where

$$C_1(x, D) = A_1(x, D_i) + \nabla_Q A_2(x, D_i) + \dots + \nabla_Q^{k-1} A_k(x, D_i)$$

$$C_2(x, D) = A_2(x, D_i) + \nabla_Q A_3(x, D_i) + \dots + \nabla_Q^{k-2} A_k(x, D_i)$$

$$\dots \dots \dots$$

$$C_k(x, D) = A_k(x, D_i).$$

The following formula is then valid (*Green's formula*)

$$\int_{\Omega^-} {}^t v A(x, D) u \, dx = \int_{\Omega^-} {}^t (A^*(x, D) v) u \, dx + \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} \int_S {}^t \left( \frac{\partial^{i-1} v}{\partial \varrho^{i-1}} \right) C_i(x, D) u \, dS.$$

The proof follows from repeated application of formula (vi):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega^-} {}^t v A(x, D) u \, dx &= \int_{\Omega^-} {}^t v (A_0(x, D_i) + \nabla_{\varrho} C_1(x, D)) u \, dx = \\ &= \int_{\Omega^-} {}^t (A_0^*(x, D_i) v) u \, dx - \int_{\Omega^-} {}^t \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial \varrho} \right) C_1(x, D) u \, dx + \int_S {}^t v C_1(x, D) u \, dS = \\ &= \int_{\Omega^-} {}^t (A_0^*(x, D_i) v) u \, dx - \int_{\Omega^-} {}^t \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial \varrho} \right) (A_1(x, D_i) + \nabla_{\varrho} C_2(x, D)) u \, dx + \int_S {}^t v C_1(x, D) u \, dS \\ &= \int_{\Omega^-} {}^t \left\{ \left( A_0^*(x, D_i) - A_1^*(x, D_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho} \right) v \right\} u \, dx + \int_{\Omega^-} {}^t \left( \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \varrho^2} \right) C_2(x, D) u \, dx + \\ &+ \int_S {}^t v C_1(x, D) u \, dS - \int_S {}^t \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial \varrho} \right) C_2(x, D) u \, dS = \dots \end{aligned}$$

d) *The sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_A(\mathbf{S})$ .* Let be given on  $X$  two vector bundles  $E$  and  $F$  and a differential operator

$$A(x, D): \Gamma(X, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, F).$$

Let  $S$  be an oriented hypersurface on  $X$  with the equation  $\{\varrho(x) = 0\}$  where  $\varrho: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $C^\infty$  and  $d\varrho|_S \neq 0$ . We set

$$\Omega^- = \{x \in X | \varrho(x) \leq 0\}, \quad \Omega^+ = \{x \in X | \varrho(x) \geq 0\}.$$

Let  $E^*$  and  $F^*$  denote the dual vector bundles of  $E$  and  $F$  respectively. If on the open covering  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$   $E$  and  $F$  are given respectively by the transition functions  $e_{ij}$  and  $f_{ij}$ , then  $E^*$  and  $F^*$  are given respectively by the transition functions  ${}^t e_{ij}^{-1}$  and  ${}^t f_{ij}^{-1}$ . Let  $n = \dim X$  and let  $\Omega^n$  denote the bundle of differential  $n$ -forms on  $X$ . If  $T^*(X)$  is the cotangent bundle of  $X$  then with usual notations we have  $\Omega^n = \Lambda^n T^* X$ .

There is a uniquely defined differential operator

$$A^*(x, D): \Gamma(X, F^* \otimes \Omega^n) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, E^* \otimes \Omega^n)$$

with the following property

$$\begin{aligned} \forall v \, dx \in \Gamma(X, F^* \otimes \Omega^n) & \quad \text{supp } (v \, dx) \text{ compact,} \\ \forall u \in \Gamma(X, E) & \quad \text{supp } (u) \text{ compact,} \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\int_X \langle v, A(x, D)u \rangle dx = \int_X \langle A^*(x, D)v, u \rangle dx$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle dx$  denotes the natural bilinear form of duality

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle dx: \Gamma(X, F) \times \Gamma(X, F^* \otimes \Omega^n) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \Omega^n),$$

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle dx: \Gamma(X, E) \times \Gamma(X, E^* \otimes \Omega^n) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \Omega^n).$$

The operator  $A^*(x, D)$  is called the (*formal*) *adjoint* of the differential operator  $A(x, D)$ .

If  $x^{(i)} = (x_1^{(i)}, \dots, x_n^{(i)})$  are coordinates on  $U_i$  and if  $A^{*(i)}(x, D)$  is the local expression of the operator  $A^*(x, D)$  in those coordinates and if  $A^{(i)}(x, D)$  is the local expression of the operator  $A(x, D)$  in those same coordinates, then  $A^{*(i)}(x, D)$  is the formal adjoint of  $A^{(i)}(x, D)$  and on  $U_i \cap U_j$  we have

$$A^{*(i)}(x, D) \circ {}^t f_{ij}^{-1} \det \frac{\partial x^{(j)}}{\partial x^{(i)}} = \det \frac{\partial x^{(j)}}{\partial x^{(i)}} {}^t e_{ij}^{-1} A^{*(j)}(x, D).$$

Let now  $U$  be an open set in  $X$  and let

$$u \in \Gamma(U, E);$$

we will say that  $u$  is in the domain of  $A(x, D)$  along  $S$  or that  $u$  has zero Cauchy data on  $S$  for  $A(x, D)$ , if for every

$$\varphi dx \in \Gamma(U, F^* \otimes \Omega^n), \quad \text{supp } \varphi dx \text{ compact in } U$$

we have

$$\int_{\Omega^-} \langle \varphi, A(x, D)u \rangle dx = \int_{\Omega^-} \langle A^*(x, D)\varphi, u \rangle dx.$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{S}_A(S, U)$  the vector space

$$\mathcal{S}_A(S, U) = \{u \in \Gamma(U, E) \mid u \text{ has zero Cauchy data on } S \text{ for } A(x, D)\}.$$

If  $V \subset U$  is open we have an obvious restriction map

$$\mathcal{S}_A(S, U) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_A(S, V).$$

One verifies readily that

$$U \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_A(S, U)$$

is not only a presheaf but a sheaf (denoted by  $\mathcal{S}_A(S)$ ).

If  $U$  is covered by the chart  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

If the equation  $\varrho$  of  $S$  in  $U$  is chosen as specified in point  $a)$  above.

If  $A(x, D)$  has the local expression on  $U$  given in  $(\beta)$  of point  $c)$  above.

If  $u = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_p \end{pmatrix}$  represents a local section on  $U$  of the bundle  $E$

then  $u \in \mathcal{I}_A(S, U)$  if and only if

$$C_i(x, D)u|_S = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

If  $A(x, D)$  is a differential operator of total order  $k$  then  $C_i(x, D)$  is a differential operator of order  $k - i$ .

In particular let

$$\mathcal{F}_S(U) = \{u \in \Gamma(U, E) | u \text{ flat } ^{(2)} \text{ on } S\}.$$

From the previous remark it follows that

$$\mathcal{F}_S(U) \subset \mathcal{I}_A(S, U).$$

Also  $U \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_S(U)$  is a sheaf (denoted by  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_S$ ) so that we have an exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{F}}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_A(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_A(S)/\overline{\mathcal{F}}_S \rightarrow 0.$$

e) Suppose now that we have given on  $X$  a complex of differential operators

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{E}^{(0)}(X) \xrightarrow{A^0(x, D)} \mathcal{E}^{(1)}(X) \xrightarrow{A^1(x, D)} \mathcal{E}^{(2)}(X) \xrightarrow{A^2(x, D)} \dots$$

where  $\mathcal{E}^{(j)}(X) = \Gamma(X, E^j)$ .

Let  $S$  be an oriented hypersurface in  $X$ . We can consider for any  $j \geq 0$  the spaces  $\mathcal{I}_{A^j}(S, X)$ . We have with self explaining notations, with  $\varphi dx$  compactly supported,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega^-} \langle \varphi, A^{j+1} A^j u \rangle dx &= 0 = \int_{\Omega^-} \langle A^{*j} A^{*j+1} \varphi, u \rangle dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega^-} \langle A^{*j+1} \varphi, A^j u \rangle dx \quad \text{if } u \in \mathcal{I}_{A^j}(S). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$A^j(x, D) \mathcal{I}_{A^j}(S, X) \subset \mathcal{I}_{A^{j+1}}(S, X).$$

---

<sup>(2)</sup> By this we mean that for any point  $x^0 \in S$  and any chart  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  at  $x^0$  we have  $(D^\alpha u)(x^0) = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ .

We have therefore the subcomplexes of (3)

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{I}_{A^0}(S, X) \xrightarrow{A^0(x, D)} \mathcal{I}_{A^1}(S, X) \xrightarrow{A^1(x, D)} \mathcal{I}_{A^2}(S, X) \xrightarrow{A^2(x, D)} \dots$$

and obviously

$$(12) \quad \mathcal{F}_S^0(X) \xrightarrow{A^0(x, D)} \mathcal{F}_S^1(X) \xrightarrow{A^1(x, D)} \mathcal{F}_S^2(X) \xrightarrow{A^2(x, D)} \dots$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_S^j(X) = \{u \in \mathcal{E}^{(j)}(X) \mid u \text{ is flat on } S\}.$$

Therefore we have the quotient complex

$$\frac{\mathcal{I}_{A^0}(S, X)}{\mathcal{F}_S^0(X)} \xrightarrow{A^0(x, D)} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{A^1}(S, X)}{\mathcal{F}_S^1(X)} \xrightarrow{A^1(x, D)} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{A^2}(S, X)}{\mathcal{F}_S^2(X)} \xrightarrow{A^2(x, D)} \dots$$

We will say that the hypersurface  $S$  is *formally noncharacteristic* for the given complex (3) if the sequence

$$(13) \quad 0 \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{I}_{A^0}(S, X)}{\mathcal{F}_S^0(X)} \xrightarrow{A^0(x, D)} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{A^1}(S, X)}{\mathcal{F}_S^1(X)} \xrightarrow{A^1(x, D)} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{A^2}(S, X)}{\mathcal{F}_S^2(X)} \xrightarrow{A^2(x, D)} \dots$$

is an exact sequence.

We have the following

PROPOSITION 5. - *Suppose that the complexes*

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{E}^{(0)}(X) \xrightarrow{A^0(x, D)} \mathcal{E}^{(1)}(X) \xrightarrow{A^1(x, D)} \mathcal{E}^{(2)}(X) \xrightarrow{A^2(x, D)} \dots$$

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{E}^{(0)}(X) \xrightarrow{B^0(x, D)} \mathcal{E}^{(1)}(X) \xrightarrow{B^1(x, D)} \mathcal{E}^{(2)}(X) \xrightarrow{B^2(x, D)} \dots$$

*are graded with a classical grading on each bundle  $E^j$  ( $j \geq 0$ ). Suppose that (6) is obtained from (3) by fiber transformations*

$$M_j(x): \mathcal{E}^{(j)}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{(j)}(X)$$

(so that  $B^j = M_{j+1} \circ A^j \circ M_j^{-1}$ ).

*Then if the hypersurface  $S$  is formally noncharacteristic for the complex (3) it is also formally noncharacteristic for the complex (6).*

PROOF. - Since the grading is classical the bundle  $E^j$  must have the same grading with respect to the complexes (3) and (6). Moreover the fiber transformations  $M_j$ ,

are not only of total degree zero but also in each of them each entry is an operator of degree zero; they arise therefore from an isomorphism  $M_j: E^j \rightarrow E^j$ . It follows that we have

$$\mathcal{I}_{B^j}(S, X) = M_j \mathcal{I}_{A^j}(S, X).$$

Hence we have commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{A^j}(S, X)}{\mathcal{F}_S^j(X)} \xrightarrow{A^j(x, D)} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{A^{j+1}}(S, X)}{\mathcal{F}_S^{j+1}(X)} & & \\ \left\downarrow M_j \right. & & \left\downarrow M_{j+1} \right. \\ \frac{\mathcal{I}_{B^j}(S, X)}{\mathcal{F}_S^j(X)} \xrightarrow{B^j(x, D)} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{B^{j+1}}(S, X)}{\mathcal{F}_S^{j+1}(X)} & & \end{array}$$

with vertical isomorphisms. This establishes our proposition.

f) *An example.* Take  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$  denote the space of  $C^\infty$  functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $t$  be a Cartesian coordinate on  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $S = \{0\} = \{t = 0\}$ .

Consider the following commutative diagram of differential operators

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{d/dt} & \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathcal{E}^2(\mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & d/dt \\ 0 & t(d/dt) \end{pmatrix}} & \mathcal{E}^2(\mathbb{R}) \\ \uparrow 1 & & \uparrow 1 & & \uparrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -d/dt \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & & \uparrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{d/dt} & \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathcal{E}^2(\mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t(d/dt) \end{pmatrix}} & \mathcal{E}^2(\mathbb{R}) \end{array}$$

The two horizontal rows are complexes that can be considered obtained one from the other with fiber transformations corresponding to convenient nonclassical gradings.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{d/dt}(0, \mathbb{R}) &= \{u \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}) \mid u(0) = 0\} = t\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}), \\ \mathcal{I}_0(0, \mathbb{R}) &= \{u \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})\} = \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}), \\ \mathcal{I}_{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a/dt \\ 0 & t(d/dt) \end{pmatrix}}(0, \mathbb{R}) &= \{(u, v) \in \mathcal{E}^2(\mathbb{R}) \mid v(0) = 0\} = \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus t\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}), \\ \mathcal{I}_{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t(d/dt) \end{pmatrix}}(0, \mathbb{R}) &= \{(u, v) \in \mathcal{E}^2(\mathbb{R})\} = \mathcal{E}^2(\mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

We denote by  $\Phi_0$  the space of formal power series in the variable  $t$ .

The sequence (13) reduces then to the following sequence, for the complex of the top horizontal line:

$$0 \rightarrow t\Phi_0 \xrightarrow{d/dt} \Phi_0 \xrightarrow{0} \Phi_0 \oplus t\Phi_0 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & d/dt \\ 0 & t(d/dt) \end{pmatrix}} \Phi_0^2.$$

This sequence is an exact sequence, hence  $S = \{0\}$  is formally noncharacteristic for that complex <sup>(3)</sup>.

For the complex of the bottom horizontal line we have instead the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow t\Phi_0 \xrightarrow{d/dt} \Phi_0 \xrightarrow{0} \Phi_0^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t(d/dt) \end{pmatrix}} \Phi_0^2.$$

This sequence is not exact at the place before the last.

We conclude that the notion of formally noncharacteristic hypersurface is *not* invariant under fiber transformations of general type (arising from nonclassical gradings of the complex). The assumption in proposition 5 that the grading be classical is therefore essential.

g) We revert to the situation considered in point e) above.

Setting

$$Q^{(j)}(S) = \frac{\mathcal{E}^{(j)}(X)}{\mathcal{I}_{A^j}(S, X)}$$

we derive from (3) and (11) a quotient complex of the form

$$(14) \quad Q^{(0)}(S) \xrightarrow{A_S^0} Q^{(1)}(S) \xrightarrow{A_S^1} Q^{(2)}(S) \xrightarrow{A_S^2} \dots$$

where the  $A_S^i$  are induced by the differential operators  $A^i(x, D)$  but are not necessarily differential operators. They are linear operators between the linear spaces  $Q^{(j)}(S)$  and  $Q^{(j+1)}(S)$ .

The cohomology groups of the complex (14) will be denoted by

$$H^i(S; Q^*(S), A_S^*).$$

PROPOSITION 6. — *Let (3) and (6) be graded complexes of differential operators endowed with classical gradings and obtained one from the other by fiber transformations.*

<sup>(3)</sup> We tacitly assume that the domain along  $S$  of the «empty» operator is the whole space. Thus we have the space  $\Phi_0^2$  in the last place. (This may not be a correct view.)



Let  $S$  be an oriented hypersurface on  $X$  and let

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Q^{(0)}(S) & \xrightarrow{A_s^0} & Q^{(1)}(S) & \xrightarrow{A_s^1} & Q^{(2)}(S) & \xrightarrow{A_s^2} & \dots \\ C^{(0)}(S) & \xrightarrow{B_s^0} & C^{(1)}(S) & \xrightarrow{B_s^1} & C^{(2)}(S) & \xrightarrow{B_s^2} & \dots \end{array}$$

be the corresponding boundary complexes. Then for  $j \geq 0$

$$H^j(S; Q^*(S), A_s^*) \simeq H^j(S; C^*(S), B_s^*)$$

with a natural isomorphism induced by the fiber transformations.

PROPOSITION 7. - Let (3) be a given complex of differential operators on  $X$ . Let  $S$  be an oriented hypersurface on  $X$  and let (4) be the corresponding boundary complex.

Assume that  $S$  is formally noncharacteristic for the complex (3). Then we have for any  $j \geq 0$

$$H^j(S; Q^*(S), A_s^*) \simeq H^j(X; \mathcal{E}^*(X)/\mathcal{F}_S^*(X), A^*).$$

PROOF. - We have an exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{I}^*(S, X)}{\mathcal{F}_S^*(X)} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{E}^*(X)}{\mathcal{F}_S^*(X)} \rightarrow Q^*(S) \rightarrow 0.$$

By the assumption that  $S$  is formally noncharacteristic it follows that the complex  $\mathcal{I}^*(S, X)/\mathcal{F}_S^*(X)$  is acyclic in all dimensions (including zero). Therefore  $Q^*(S)$  and  $\mathcal{E}^*(X)/\mathcal{F}_S^*(X)$  have the same cohomology.

## 7. - Local canonical form of a graded complex.

a) We want to prove the following local theorem:

THEOREM 1. - Let  $\Omega$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{E}^{p_0}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{A^0(x, D)} \mathcal{E}^{p_1}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{A^1(x, D)} \mathcal{E}^{p_2}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{A^2(x, D)} \dots$$

be a graded finite complex of differential operators with gradings

$$a_1, \dots, a_{p_0}; \quad b_1, \dots, b_{p_1}; \quad c_1, \dots, c_{p_2}; \quad \dots$$

respectively on  $\mathcal{E}^{p_0}(\Omega)$ ,  $\mathcal{E}^{p_1}(\Omega)$ ,  $\mathcal{E}^{p_2}(\Omega)$ , ... .

Let  $S$  be an oriented hypersurface on  $\Omega$  with equation  $\{\varrho(x) = 0\}$ .

We assume that at a point  $x^0 \in S$ ,  $S$  is noncharacteristic i.e. that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{p_0} \xrightarrow{\hat{A}_0(x_0, \text{grad } \varrho(x_0))} \mathbb{C}^{p_1} \xrightarrow{\hat{A}_1(x_0, \text{grad } \varrho(x_0))} \mathbb{C}^{p_2} \xrightarrow{\hat{A}_2(x_0, \text{grad } \varrho(x_0))} \dots$$

is an exact sequence.

One can find an open neighborhood  $\omega$  of  $x^0$  in  $\Omega$  and graded fiber transformations

$$M_0(x, D): \mathcal{E}^{p_0}(\omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{p_0}(\omega) \quad \text{of grading } (a_j, \alpha_i)$$

$$M_1(x, D): \mathcal{E}^{p_1}(\omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{p_1}(\omega) \quad \text{of grading } (b_j, \beta_i)$$

$$M_2(x, D): \mathcal{E}^{p_2}(\omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{p_2}(\omega) \quad \text{of grading } (c_j, \gamma_i)$$

.....

with

$$\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p_0}; \quad \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{p_1}; \quad \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{p_2}; \quad \dots$$

permutations respectively of

$$a_1, \dots, a_{p_0}; \quad b_1, \dots, b_{p_1}; \quad c_1, \dots, c_{p_2}; \quad \dots$$

and such that the transformed complex

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{E}^{p_0}(\omega) \xrightarrow{B^0(x, D)} \mathcal{E}^{p_1}(\omega) \xrightarrow{B^1(x, D)} \mathcal{E}^{p_2}(\omega) \xrightarrow{B^2(x, D)} \dots$$

has the following properties

- i)  $S_\omega = \omega \cap S$  is formally noncharacteristic for (6);
- ii) in the boundary complex of (6)

$$C^{(j)}(S_\omega) \xrightarrow{B_S^j} C^{(j+1)}(S_\omega) \xrightarrow{B_S^{j+1}} C^{(j+2)}(S_\omega) \xrightarrow{B_S^{j+2}} \dots$$

for each  $j \geq 0$  we have (denoting by  $\mathcal{E}(S_\omega)$  the  $C^\infty$  functions on  $S_\omega$ )

$$C^{(j)}(S_\omega) \simeq \mathcal{E}^{q_j}(S_\omega) \quad (\text{some } q_j \geq 0)$$

and

$$B_S^j: C^{(j)}(S_\omega) \rightarrow C^{(j+1)}(S_\omega)$$

is a differential operator;

- iii) the sheaf on  $\omega$ ,  $U \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{B^j}(S, U)$  and therefore also the sheaf

$$U \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{B^j}(S, U) / \mathcal{F}_S^j(U)$$

are soft sheaves.

We derive from this statement that if the grading of the given complex is classical then the considered fiber transformations  $M_j$  do not contain the symbols of partial derivations. One can thus apply proposition 5 to the situation considered. We obtain therefore from the above theorem the following

COROLLARY. - *Let there be given on  $X$  a graded complex*

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{E}^{(0)}(X) \xrightarrow{A^0(x, D)} \mathcal{E}^{(1)}(X) \xrightarrow{A^1(x, D)} \mathcal{E}^{(2)}(X) \xrightarrow{A^2(x, D)} \dots$$

*of differential operators, and let us assume that the grading is classical.*

*Let  $S$  be an oriented hypersurface on  $X$  which we assume to be noncharacteristic for the complex (2) at every one of its points.*

*Then*

i) *The boundary complex*

$$(14) \quad Q^{(0)}(S) \xrightarrow{A_s^0} Q^{(1)}(S) \xrightarrow{A_s^1} Q^{(2)}(S) \xrightarrow{A_s^2} \dots$$

*is a complex of differential operators on the manifold  $S$ .*

ii) *The sheaves*

$$U \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{A^i}(S, U)$$

*and*

$$U \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{A^i}(S, U) / \mathcal{F}_S^i(U)$$

*are soft sheaves.*

iii) *The hypersurface  $S$  is formally noncharacteristic for the given complex (2).*

The last statement iii) follows from the statement ii) since we have an exact sequence of soft sheaves (cf. [11], theorem 3.5.4, p. 154)

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{A^0}(S) / \mathcal{F}_S^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{A^1}(S) / \mathcal{F}_S^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{A^2}(S) / \mathcal{F}_S^2 \rightarrow \dots$$

b) PROOF OF THEOREM 1. - ( $\alpha$ ) Let us assume that  $x^0$  is at the origin of the coordinates and that those are so chosen that  $\varrho(x) \equiv x_n$ . This can be obtained by replacing  $\Omega$ , if necessary, by a smaller open neighborhood of  $x^0$ .

We set

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, t); & \xi &= (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{n-1}, \tau), \\ y &= (y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}); & \eta &= (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{n-1}), \end{aligned}$$

so that the operator  $A^i(x, D)$  and its symbol  $\hat{A}^i(x, \xi)$  will be denoted also by  $A^i(y, t; \partial/\partial y, \partial/\partial t)$  and  $\hat{A}^i(y, t; \eta, \tau)$ .

By assumption we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{p_0} \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^0(0, 0; 0, 1)} \mathbb{C}^{p_1} \xrightarrow{A^1(0, 0; 0, 1)} \mathbb{C}^{p_2} \xrightarrow{A^2(0, 0; 0, 1)} \dots$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} p_0 &= \varrho_0 = \text{rank } \hat{A}^0(0, 0; 0, 1), \\ p_1 - \varrho_0 &= \varrho_1 = \text{rank } \hat{A}^1(0, 0; 0, 1) \\ p_2 - \varrho_1 &= \varrho_2 = \text{rank } \hat{A}^2(0, 0; 0, 1) \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$p_j = \varrho_j + \varrho_{j-1} \quad (\varrho_{-1} = 0), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Let  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{C}(\eta, \tau)$  be the field of rotational functions in  $\eta$  and  $\tau$ . We have for any  $j \geq 0$

$$\varrho_j \leq \hat{\varrho}_j = \text{rank}_{\mathcal{R}} \hat{A}^j(0, 0; \eta, \tau).$$

Since the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^{p_0} \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^0(0, 0; \eta, \tau)} \mathcal{R}^{p_1} \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^1(0, 0; \eta, \tau)} \mathcal{R}^{p_2} \xrightarrow{\hat{A}^2(0, 0; \eta, \tau)} \dots$$

is a complex we derive that for all  $j \geq 0$

$$\hat{\varrho}_{j-1} + \hat{\varrho}_j \leq p_j \quad (\hat{\varrho}_{-1} = 0).$$

Therefore

$$\varrho_j = \hat{\varrho}_j \quad \forall j \geq 0.$$

Since the complex is finite we can then find a small open neighborhood  $\omega$  of  $x^0$  such that for  $x = (y, t) \in \omega$  we have

$$\varrho_j = \text{rank}_{\mathcal{R}} \hat{A}^j(y, t; \eta, \tau) \quad \forall (y, t) \in \omega.$$

Indeed if  $\hat{\varrho}_j(y, t)$  denotes this rank we have  $\hat{\varrho}_j = \hat{\varrho}_j(y, t)$  for all  $j$  and a convenient  $\omega$ . On the other hand as before we derive the inequalities

$$\hat{\varrho}_{j-1}(y, t) + \hat{\varrho}_j(y, t) \leq p_j \quad (\hat{\varrho}_{-1}(y, t) = 0) \quad (\text{cf. [5], lemma 1}).$$

( $\beta$ ) Let  $\mathbf{C}_0[\tau]$  denote the graded ring of homogeneous polynomials in the variable  $\tau$ . By  $\mathcal{M}_{p \times p}(\mathbf{C}_0[\tau])$  we denote the ring of  $p \times p$  matrices with entries in  $\mathbf{C}_0[\tau]$ . By a homogeneous matrix of grading  $a_1, \dots, a_p; \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p$  (abbreviated  $(a_j, \alpha_j)$ ) in  $\mathcal{M}_{p \times p}(\mathbf{C}_0[\tau])$  we mean a matrix  $M(\tau) \in \mathcal{M}_{p \times p}(\mathbf{C}_0[\tau])$  with  $M(\tau) = (m_{ij}(\tau))$  where the  $m_{ij}(\tau)$  are homogeneous polynomials of degree  $a_j - \alpha_i$  (the zero polynomial if  $a_j - \alpha_i < 0$ ). The number  $\sum a_j - \sum \alpha_i$  is called the total degree of  $M(\tau)$ . By [5], lemma 2, we can find homogeneous matrices  $R(\tau) \in \mathcal{M}_{p \times p}(\mathbf{C}_0[\tau])$  and  $L(\tau) \in \mathcal{M}_{p \times p}(\mathbf{C}_0[\tau])$  of total degree zero and determinant different from zero of gradings  $(a_j, \alpha_j), (b_j, \beta_j)$  as specified in the statement of the theorem, such that

$$L(\tau)\hat{A}^0(0, 0; 0, \tau)R(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & \\ \tau^{k_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \tau^{k_{e_0}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we apply the fiber transformations  $R(\partial/\partial t)$  to  $\mathcal{E}^{p_0}(\Omega)$  and  $L^{-1}(\partial/\partial t)$  to  $\mathcal{E}^{p_1}(\Omega)$  then

$$\begin{aligned} A^0\left(y, t; \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) & \text{ is replaced by } L\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)A^0\left(y, t; \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)R\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), \\ A^1\left(y, t; \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) & \text{ is replaced by } A^1\left(y, t; \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)L^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and the other operators are unchanged.

We can thus assume that

$$\hat{A}^0(0, 0; 0, \tau) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & \\ \tau^{k_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \tau^{k_{e_0}} \end{pmatrix}$$

and that  $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots \geq b_{e_0}$ .

Then in  $\hat{A}^1(0, 0; 0, \tau)$  the last  $e_0$  columns must be zero since

$$\hat{A}^1(0, 0; 0, \tau)\hat{A}^0(0, 0; 0, \tau) \equiv 0.$$

( $\gamma$ ) We can find homogeneous matrices  $R(\tau) \in \mathcal{M}_{e_1 \times e_1}(\mathbf{C}_0[\tau])$  and  $L(\tau) \in \mathcal{M}_{p_2 \times p_2}(\mathbf{C}_0[\tau])$  of total degree zero and determinant different from zero and of gradings  $(b_j, \beta_j)$  and  $(e_j, \gamma_j)$  as specified in the statement of the theorem such that, by replacing

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}^0(0, 0; 0, \tau) & \text{ by } \begin{pmatrix} R(\tau)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \hat{A}^0(0, 0; 0, \tau), \\ \hat{A}^1(0, 0; 0, \tau) & \text{ by } L(\tau)\hat{A}^1(0, 0; 0, \tau) \begin{pmatrix} R(\tau) & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\hat{A}^0(0, 0; 0, \tau) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & \\ \tau^{k_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \tau^{k_{e_0}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{A}^1(0, 0; 0, \tau) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \tau^{h_1} & \\ & \ddots \\ & & \tau^{h_{e_1}} \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots \geq b_{e_0}, \quad c_1 \geq c_2 \geq \dots \geq c_{e_1}.$$

We apply the fiber transformation  $\begin{pmatrix} R(\partial/\partial t) & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$  to  $\mathcal{E}^{p_1}(\Omega)$  and  $L^{-1}(\partial/\partial t)$  to  $\mathcal{E}^{p_2}(\Omega)$ .

Then  $A^0$  and  $A^1$  are transformed in the way indicated above,  $A^2$  is replaced by

$$A^2\left(y, t; \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)L^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), \quad \text{while the other } A^j \text{ are unchanged.}$$

We can therefore assume that  $\hat{A}^0$  and  $\hat{A}^1$  have the forms indicated above. Moreover in  $\hat{A}^2(0, 0; 0, \tau)$  the last  $e_1$  columns will be zero.

Operating with  $\hat{A}^1(0, 0; 0, \tau)$  and  $\hat{A}^2(0, 0; 0, \tau)$  as we did before with  $\hat{A}^0(0, 0; 0, \tau)$  and  $\hat{A}^1(0, 0; 0, \tau)$  and so on, we realize that we can assume without loss of generality that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}^0(0, 0; 0, \tau) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \tau^{k_1} \dots \tau^{k_{e_0}} \end{pmatrix}; & \hat{A}^1(0, 0; 0, \tau) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \tau^{h_1} \dots \tau^{h_{e_1}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \\ \hat{A}^2(0, 0; 0, \tau) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \tau^{l_1} \dots \tau^{l_{e_2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}; & \dots & \end{aligned}$$

with  $b_1 \geq \dots \geq b_{e_0}; c_1 \geq \dots \geq c_{e_1}; \dots$

(d) According to [5], lemma 3, in an open neighbourhood  $\omega$  of the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we can find fiber transformations

$$\begin{aligned} M &\text{ on } \mathcal{E}^{e_0}(\omega) && \text{of grading } \langle (a_j, \alpha_j) \rangle \\ N &\text{ on } \mathcal{E}^{e_1}(\omega) && \text{of grading } \langle (b_j, \beta_j) \rangle \\ P &\text{ on } \mathcal{E}^{e_2}(\omega) && \text{of grading } \langle (c_j, \gamma_j) \rangle \\ &\dots && \dots \end{aligned}$$

such that the gradings are as specified in the statement of the theorem and with the following properties: write

$$A^j(x, D) = \begin{pmatrix} A_0^{(j)} & A_1^{(j)} \\ A_2^{(j)} & A_3^{(j)} \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $A_2^{(j)}$  of type  $e_j \times e_j$  (thus

$$A^0(x, D) = \begin{pmatrix} A_0^{(0)} \\ A_2^{(0)} \end{pmatrix};$$

then the matrices

$$MA_2^{(0)}, NA_2^{(1)}, PA_2^{(2)}, \dots$$

are of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 MA_2^{(0)} &= \text{diag} \left\langle \frac{\partial^{k_1}}{\partial t^{k_1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial^{k_{e_0}}}{\partial t^{k_{e_0}}} \right\rangle + R \left( y, t; \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right), \\
 NA_2^{(1)} &= \text{diag} \left\langle \frac{\partial^{h_1}}{\partial t^{h_1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial^{h_{e_1}}}{\partial t^{h_{e_1}}} \right\rangle + S \left( y, t; \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right), \\
 PA_2^{(2)} &= \text{diag} \left\langle \frac{\partial^{l_1}}{\partial t^{l_1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial^{l_{e_2}}}{\partial t^{l_{e_2}}} \right\rangle + T \left( y, t; \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right), \\
 &\dots \dots \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

with  $R = (r_{ij})$ ,  $S = (s_{ij})$ ,  $T = (t_{ij})$ , ... such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{order of } s_{ij} \text{ in } \frac{\partial}{\partial t} &< h_j, & 1 \leq j \leq e_1, \\
 \text{order of } r_{ij} \text{ in } \frac{\partial}{\partial t} &< k_j, & 1 \leq j \leq e_0, \\
 \text{order of } t_{ij} \text{ in } \frac{\partial}{\partial t} &< l_j, & 1 \leq j \leq e_2, \\
 &\dots \dots \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

Then the systems  $MA_2^{(0)}$ ,  $NA_2^{(1)}$ ,  $PA_2^{(2)}$ , ... will lead to well posed Cauchy problems on  $t = 0$  in the sense that those systems are in Cauchy-Kowalewska form.

We apply the fiber transformations

$$\begin{aligned}
 \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & M \end{pmatrix} &\text{ to } \mathcal{E}^{v_0}(\omega), \\
 \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix} &\text{ to } \mathcal{E}^{v_1}(\omega), \\
 \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & P \end{pmatrix} &\text{ to } \mathcal{E}^{v_2}(\omega),
 \end{aligned}$$

and thus we replace

$$\begin{aligned}
 A^0 &\text{ with } \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & M \end{pmatrix} A^0, \\
 A^1 &\text{ with } \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix} A^1 \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & M^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \\
 A^2 &\text{ with } \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & P \end{pmatrix} A^2 \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & N^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \\
 &\dots \dots \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

This will not affect the canonical forms already obtained for the symbols  $\hat{A}^j(0, 0; 0, \tau)$ .

We can thus assume also that

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_2^{(0)} &= \text{diag} \left\langle \frac{\partial^{k_1}}{\partial t^{k_1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial^{k_{e_0}}}{\partial t^{k_{e_0}}} \right\rangle + R \left( y, t; \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right), \\
 A_2^{(1)} &= \text{diag} \left\langle \frac{\partial^{h_1}}{\partial t^{h_1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial^{h_{e_1}}}{\partial t^{h_{e_1}}} \right\rangle + S \left( y, t; \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right), \\
 A_2^{(2)} &= \text{diag} \left\langle \frac{\partial^{l_1}}{\partial t^{l_1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial^{l_{e_2}}}{\partial t^{l_{e_2}}} \right\rangle + T \left( y, t; \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right), \\
 &\dots \dots \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

with  $R, S, T, \dots$  of the form specified above.

( $\varepsilon$ ) We apply now lemma 5 of [5] and write

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_0^{(0)} &= Q_0 A_2^{(0)} + R_0, \\
 A_0^{(1)} &= Q_1 A_2^{(1)} + R_1, \\
 A_0^{(2)} &= Q_2 A_2^{(2)} + R_2, \\
 &\dots \dots \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

with  $Q_j$  and  $R_j$  differential operators (of proper gradings) with

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_0 &= (r_{ij}^{(0)}) \quad \text{and order of } r_{ij}^{(0)} \text{ in } \frac{\partial}{\partial t} < k_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq e_0, \\
 R_1 &= (r_{ij}^{(1)}) \quad \text{and order of } r_{ij}^{(1)} \text{ in } \frac{\partial}{\partial t} < h_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq e_1, \\
 R_2 &= (r_{ij}^{(2)}) \quad \text{and order of } r_{ij}^{(2)} \text{ in } \frac{\partial}{\partial t} < l_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq e_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Performing the fiber transformations

$$\begin{aligned}
 \begin{pmatrix} I & -Q_0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} & \quad \text{on} \quad \mathcal{E}^{p_0}(\omega), \\
 \begin{pmatrix} I & -Q_1 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} & \quad \text{on} \quad \mathcal{E}^{p_1}(\omega), \\
 \begin{pmatrix} I & -Q_1 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} & \quad \text{on} \quad \mathcal{E}^{p_2}(\omega),
 \end{aligned}$$

we realize that

these fiber transformations have the gradings specified in the statement of the theorem; moreover for

$$A_j = \begin{pmatrix} A_0^{(j)} & A_1^{(j)} \\ A_2^{(j)} & A_3^{(j)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$



we can make the assumptions specified in points  $(\gamma)$ ,  $(\delta)$ , and suppose also that

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{in } A_0^{(0)} = (\alpha_{ij}^{(0)}), \quad \text{order of } \alpha_{ij}^{(0)} \text{ in } \frac{\partial}{\partial t} < k_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq \varrho_0, \\ &\text{in } A_0^{(1)} = (\alpha_{ij}^{(1)}), \quad \text{order of } \alpha_{ij}^{(1)} \text{ in } \frac{\partial}{\partial t} < h_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq \varrho_1, \\ &\text{in } A_0^{(2)} = (\alpha_{ij}^{(2)}), \quad \text{order of } \alpha_{ij}^{(2)} \text{ in } \frac{\partial}{\partial t} < l_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq \varrho_2, \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

With all these conditions verified, we call the complex in « canonical form ». A complex of differential operators in canonical form is therefore a complex of the following type

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\varrho_0}(\omega) \xrightarrow{A^0} \mathcal{E}^{\varrho_1}(\omega) \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\varrho_0}(\omega) \xrightarrow{A^1} \mathcal{E}^{\varrho_2}(\omega) \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\varrho_1}(\omega) \xrightarrow{A^2} \dots$$

with gradings on the spaces  $\mathcal{E}^{\varrho_j}(\omega) \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\varrho_{j-1}}(\omega)$  ( $\mathcal{E}^{\varrho_{-1}}(\omega) = 0$ ) compatible with the differential operators

$$\begin{aligned} A^j \left( y, t; \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) : \mathcal{E}^{\varrho_j}(\omega) \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\varrho_{j-1}}(\omega) &\rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\varrho_{j+1}}(\omega) \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\varrho_j}(\omega), \\ A^j &= \begin{pmatrix} A_0^{(j)} & A_1^{(j)} \\ A_2^{(j)} & A_3^{(j)} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_2^{(j)}$  is of the type  $\varrho_j \times \varrho_j$  with

$$A_2^{(j)} \left( y, t; \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) = \text{diag} \left\langle \frac{\partial^{k_1^{(j)}}}{\partial t^{k_1^{(j)}}}, \dots, \frac{\partial^{k_{\varrho_j}^{(j)}}}{\partial t^{k_{\varrho_j}^{(j)}}} \right\rangle + R^{(j)} \left( y, t; \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right),$$

where each entry  $r_{hl}$  in  $R^{(j)}$  is an operator with

$$\text{order of } r_{hl} \text{ in } \frac{\partial}{\partial t} < k_l^{(j)}$$

and where the operator  $A_0^{(j)}$  has every entry  $\alpha_{hl}$  with

$$\text{order of } \alpha_{hl} \text{ in } \frac{\partial}{\partial t} < k_l^{(j)}.$$

We set

$$q_j = k_1^{(j)} + \dots + k_{\varrho_j}^{(j)}.$$

It is also assumed for the gradings  $\{a_j\}$ ,  $\{b_j\}$ ,  $\{c_j\}$ , ... that

$$b_1 \geq \dots \geq b_{\varrho_0}; \quad c_1 \geq c_2 \geq \dots \geq c_{\varrho_1}; \quad \dots$$

and that

$$\hat{A}^j(0, 0; 0, \tau) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \hat{A}_2^{(j)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\hat{A}_2^{(j)}(0, 0; 0, \tau) = \text{diag} \langle \tau^{k_1^{(j)}}, \dots, \tau^{k_{\varrho_j}^{(j)}} \rangle.$$

In all this picture  $S = \{t = 0\}$  is the basic noncharacteristic hypersurface that has determined the canonical form.

( $\zeta$ ) We consider for every  $j \geq 0$  the linear map

$$\sigma: \mathcal{E}^{\varrho_j}(\omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\varrho_j}(\omega) \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\varrho_j-1}(\Omega),$$

$$\sigma(u) = u \oplus 0.$$

LEMMA 2. - *Let*

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ \vdots \\ f_{\varrho_j} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{E}^{\varrho_j}(\omega).$$

The following are equivalent conditions

- i)  $f \in \mathcal{S}_{A_2^{(j)}}(S, \omega)$ ,
- ii)  $\partial^s f_h / \partial t^s|_{t=0} = 0, 0 \leq s \leq k_h^{(j)} - 1, 1 \leq h \leq \varrho_j$ ,
- iii)  $\sigma(f) \in \mathcal{S}_{A^j}(S, \omega)$ .

PROOF. - The equivalence of i) and ii) follows from Green's formula.

With the notations used in number 6 b), for the operator  $A_2^{(j)}$  we have

$$C_1(x, D) = \text{diag} \left\langle \frac{\partial^{k_1^{(j)}-1}}{\partial t^{k_1^{(j)}-1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial^{k_{\varrho_j}^{(j)}-1}}{\partial t^{k_{\varrho_j}^{(j)}-1}} \right\rangle + \text{lower order},$$

$$C_2(x, D) = \text{diag} \left\langle \frac{\partial^{k_1^{(j)}-2}}{\partial t^{k_1^{(j)}-2}}, \dots, \frac{\partial^{k_{\varrho_j}^{(j)}-2}}{\partial t^{k_{\varrho_j}^{(j)}-2}} \right\rangle + \text{lower order},$$

.....

where in  $C_h(x, D)$  « lower order » means that in the entry  $(r, s)$  the order in  $\partial/\partial t$  is  $< k_s^{(j)} - h$ .

It follows then that the conditions

$$C_1(x, D)f|_S = 0, \quad C_2(x, D)f|_S = 0, \quad \dots$$

are equivalent with the conditions given by ii).

Now let  $\sigma(f) \in \mathcal{I}_{A^j}(S, \omega)$ . This is equivalent to saying that

$$f \in \mathcal{I}_{A_0^{(j)}}(S, \omega)$$

and

$$f \in \mathcal{I}_{A_2^{(j)}}(S, \omega).$$

Thus iii)  $\Rightarrow$  i). But if  $f \in \mathcal{I}_{A_2^{(j)}}(S, \omega)$ , because of ii) and the canonical form of  $A_0^{(j)}$  we deduce that automatically  $f \in \mathcal{I}_{A_0^{(j)}}(S, \omega)$ . Thus by the above remark  $\sigma(f) \in \mathcal{I}_{A^j}(S, \omega)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{E}(S)$  be the space of  $C^\infty$  functions on  $S = \{(y, t) \in \omega \mid t = 0\}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{E}(\omega)/\mathcal{F}_S(\omega) \simeq \mathcal{E}(S)\{\{t\}\}$ , the space of formal power series in  $t$  with coefficients in  $\mathcal{E}(S)$ . From the equivalence of conditions i) and ii) in the previous lemma and from the canonical form of  $A_2^{(j)}$  we deduce the following

LEMMA 3. - For any  $j \geq 0$ , given  $f \in (\mathcal{E}(S)\{\{t\}\})^{e_j}$  we can find a unique  $u \in (\mathcal{E}(S) \cdot \{\{t\}\})^{e_j}$  such that

$$\begin{cases} A_2^{(j)}\left(y, t; \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)u = f \\ u \in \mathcal{I}_{A_2^{(j)}}(\omega, S) / \mathcal{F}_S^{e_j}(\omega). \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 4. - We have the following

i) for any  $j \geq 0$

$$\mathcal{I}_{A^j}(S, \omega) = A^{j-1} \sigma \mathcal{I}_{A_2^{(j-1)}}(S, \omega) + \sigma \mathcal{I}_{A_2^{(j)}}(S, \omega) + \mathcal{F}_S^j(\omega)$$

with  $\mathcal{F}_S^j(\omega) = (\mathcal{F}_S(\omega))^{e_j + e_{j-1}}$ .

ii) the map

$$\sigma: \frac{\mathcal{E}^{e_j}(\omega)}{\mathcal{I}_{A_2^{(j)}}(S, \omega)} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{E}^{e_j}(\omega) \oplus \mathcal{E}^{e_{j-1}}(\omega)}{\mathcal{I}_{A^j}(S, \omega)}$$

is an isomorphism.

PROOF OF i). - For  $j = 0$   $u \in \mathcal{E}^{e_0}(\omega)$  is such that

$$u \in \mathcal{I}_{A_0}(S, \omega)$$

if and only if

$$u \in \mathcal{I}_{A_1^{(0)}}(S, \omega)$$

(as this has for consequence that  $u \in \mathcal{I}_{A_0^{(0)}}(S, \omega)$  also).

Therefore in this case

$$\mathcal{I}_{A^0}(\mathcal{S}, \omega) = \mathcal{I}_{A^0}(\mathcal{S}, \omega).$$

Here  $\sigma$  is the identity map on  $\mathcal{E}^{e_0}(\omega)$ . This shows the validity of i) for  $j = 0$ .

Let us assume that  $j \geq 1$ . Let  $f = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{E}^{e_j}(\omega) \otimes \mathcal{E}^{e_{j-1}}(\omega)$  with  $f \in \mathcal{I}_{A^j}(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ . By lemma 3 we can find  $w \in \mathcal{I}_{A_2^{(j-1)}}(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$  such that  $A_2^{(j-1)}w = v \pmod{(\mathcal{F}_S(\omega))^{e_{j-1}}}$ .

By lemma 2  $\sigma(w) = \begin{pmatrix} w \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{I}_{A^{j-1}}(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$  and therefore  $A^{j-1}\sigma(w) \in \mathcal{I}_{A^j}(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ . Moreover

$$(*) \quad f - A^{j-1}\sigma(w) = \begin{pmatrix} u - A_0^{(j-1)}w \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{(\mathcal{F}(\omega))^{e_j + e_{j-1}}}.$$

By lemma 2,  $u - A^{j-1}\sigma(w) \in \mathcal{I}_{A^j}(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ . This proves our contention.

PROOF OF ii). - By lemma 2  $\sigma$  is injective. To show that  $\sigma$  is surjective we proceed as before. If  $j = 0$  there is nothing to prove. Let  $f = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{E}^{e_j}(\omega) \oplus \mathcal{E}^{e_{j-1}}(\omega)$  with  $j \geq 1$ . As before we can find  $w \in \mathcal{I}_{A_2^{(j-1)}}(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$  such that  $(*)$  above holds. As  $A^{j-1}\sigma(w) \in \mathcal{I}_{A^j}(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$  we obtain the desired statement.

As a corollary we obtain the following

LEMMA 5. - *The sheaf on  $\omega$*

$$U \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{A^j}(\mathcal{S}, U)$$

is a soft sheaf, ( $j \geq 0$ ).

PROOF. - To prove this fact we use the following criterion.

On a paracompact locally compact space  $X$  a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  of abelian groups is a soft sheaf if the following holds

for any point  $x \in X$  we can find an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  with the property

for any compact set  $F \subset U$ ,

for any section  $s \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F})$ ,

we can find a section  $s_U \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F})$  such that

$$\text{supp } s_U \subset U,$$

$$s_U|_F = s,$$

(we can assume  $U$  relatively compact).

Now this property follows immediately for the sheaf considered from formula i) of the previous lemma. One has only to remark that the sheaf  $U \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_S^j(U)$  is a fine sheaf as it is a sheaf of modules over the sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $C^\infty$  functions on  $X$ .

From the fact that  $U \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{A^j}(S, U)$  is soft and that  $U \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_S^j(U)$  is also soft (being a fine sheaf) it follows that the sheaf  $U \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{A^j}(S, U)/\mathcal{F}_S^j(U)$  is also a soft sheaf (cf. [11], theorem 3.5.3, p. 154).

Statement iii) of theorem 1 is therefore proved.

( $\eta$ ) We can now prove statement i) of theorem 1, i.e. that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{I}_{A^0}(S, \omega)}{\mathcal{F}_S^0(\omega)} \xrightarrow{A^0} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{A^1}(S, \omega)}{\mathcal{F}_S^1(\omega)} \xrightarrow{A^1} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{A^2}(S, \omega)}{\mathcal{F}_S^2(\omega)} \xrightarrow{A^2} \dots$$

is an exact sequence.

Let us consider first an  $f \in \mathcal{I}_{A^0}(S, \omega)$ , and assume that  $A^0 f \in \mathcal{F}_S^1(\omega)$ . We have to show then that  $f \in \mathcal{F}_S^0(\omega)$ . Now  $A_2^{(0)} f$  is flat on  $S$ . By lemma 3  $f$  must be flat on  $S$  i.e.  $f \in \mathcal{F}_S^0(\omega)$  as we wanted, because (as we have already seen)  $f \in \mathcal{I}_{A_2^{(0)}}(S, \omega)$ .

Let now  $j \geq 1$  and choose  $w \in \mathcal{I}_{A_2^{(j-1)}}(S, \omega)$  so that  $f - A^{j-1} \sigma(w) = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ mod } \mathcal{F}_S^j(\omega)$ . This is possible by lemma 4 i). If  $A^j f \in \mathcal{F}_S^{j+1}(\omega)$  then  $A_2^{(j)} u$  is flat on  $S$ . Because of lemma 2  $u \in \mathcal{I}_{A_2^{(j)}}(S, \omega)$ . By lemma 3 we deduce that  $u$  is flat on  $S$  i.e.

$$f \in A^{j-1} \sigma(w) + \mathcal{F}_S^j(\omega).$$

This proves that  $f$  is in the image of  $A^{j-1}$  and thus the exactness of the sequence at the  $j$ -th place.

( $\theta$ ) It remains to prove statement ii) in the formulation of the theorem.

To this purpose it will be enough to show that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \frac{\mathcal{E}^{e_0}(\omega)}{\mathcal{I}_{A_2^{(0)}}(S, \omega)} & \xrightarrow{A_0^{(0)}} & \frac{\mathcal{E}^{e_1}(\omega)}{\mathcal{I}_{A_2^{(1)}}(S, \omega)} & \xrightarrow{A_0^{(1)}} & \frac{\mathcal{E}^{e_2}(\omega)}{\mathcal{I}_{A_2^{(2)}}(S, \omega)} & \xrightarrow{A_0^{(2)}} & \dots \\ \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow \sigma & & \\ \frac{\mathcal{E}^{e_0}(\omega)}{\mathcal{I}_{A^0}(S, \omega)} & \xrightarrow{A^0} & \frac{\mathcal{E}^{e_1}(\omega) \oplus \mathcal{E}^{e_0}(\omega)}{\mathcal{I}_{A^1}(S, \omega)} & \xrightarrow{A^1} & \frac{\mathcal{E}^{e_1}(\omega) \oplus \mathcal{E}^{e_2}(\omega)}{\mathcal{I}_{A^2}(S, \omega)} & \xrightarrow{A^2} & \dots \end{array}$$

where the vertical isomorphisms are those given in lemma 4.

Let  $u \in \mathcal{E}^{e_j}(\omega)$  be given. We can find by lemma 3  $w \in \mathcal{I}_{A_2^{(j)}}(S, \omega)$  such that

$$A_2^{(j)} w = A_2^{(j)} u \text{ mod } \mathcal{F}_S(\omega)^{e_j}.$$

Clearly  $u$  and  $u - w$  belong to the same class mod  $\mathcal{I}_{A_2^{(j)}}(S, \omega)$ .

Now

$$A^j \sigma(u-w) = \begin{pmatrix} A_0^{(j)}(u-w) \\ A_2^{(j)}(u-w) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_0^{(j)}(u-w) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\mathcal{F}_s^j(\omega)}.$$

This shows that in the above diagram we have commutativity (at the  $j$ -th place) since  $\mathcal{F}_s^j(\omega) \subset \mathcal{I}_{A^j}(S, \omega)$ .

We remark explicitly that, by lemma 2,

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}^{q_j}(\omega)}{\mathcal{I}_{A_0^{(j)}}(S, \omega)} \simeq \mathcal{E}(S \cap \omega)^{q_j}, \quad (q_j = k_1^{(j)} + \dots + k_{q_j}^{(j)}).$$

One then verifies that each operator  $A_0^{(j)}$  can be written as a differential operator

$$B_j: \mathcal{E}(S \cap \omega)^{q_j} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(S \cap \omega)^{q_j+1}$$

because  $A_0^{(j)}$  is in canonical form.

This statement could also be deduced from Peetre's theorem and the fact that  $B_j$  is linear continuous and

$$\text{supp } B_j u \subset \text{supp } u.$$

With this the proof of theorem 1 is complete.

c) Let now  $\phi$  be any paracompactifying family of supports ([11], p. 150) and let (2) be a complex of differential operators on the manifold  $X$ .

Let  $S$  be an oriented hypersurface on  $X$  defined by the equation  $\{\varrho = 0\}$ .

We set

$$X^+ = \{x \in X | \varrho(x) \geq 0\}, \quad X^- = \{x \in X | \varrho(x) \leq 0\},$$

and we define the groups

$$H_\phi^j(X, \mathcal{E}^*), \quad H_\phi^j(X^+, \mathcal{E}^*), \quad H_\phi^j(X^-, \mathcal{E}^*)$$

as the cohomology groups with supports in  $\phi$  of the complexes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_\phi^{(0)}(X) &\xrightarrow{A^0} \mathcal{E}_\phi^{(1)}(X) \xrightarrow{A^1} \mathcal{E}_\phi^{(2)}(X) \rightarrow \dots \\ \mathcal{E}_\phi^{(0)}(X^\pm) &\xrightarrow{A_0} \mathcal{E}_\phi^{(1)}(X^\pm) \xrightarrow{A^1} \mathcal{E}_\phi^{(2)}(X^\pm) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_\phi^{(j)}(X) = \{s \in \mathcal{E}^{(j)}(X) | \text{supp } s \in \phi\}$$

and where  $\mathcal{E}^{(j)}(X^\pm)$  represents the  $C^\infty$  sections of  $E^j$  on  $X^\pm$  up to the boundary  $S$  but not beyond it, while

$$\mathcal{E}_\phi^{(j)}(X^\pm) = \{s \in \mathcal{E}^{(j)}(X^\pm) \mid \text{supp } s \in \phi \cap X^\pm\} .$$

Similarly we can define the boundary complex with supports  $\phi$  by means of exact sequences of the form

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{A^j}^\phi(X, S) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_\phi^{(j)}(X) \rightarrow Q_\phi^{(j)}(S) \rightarrow 0$$

( $\mathcal{I}_{A^j}^\phi(X, S) = \Gamma_\phi(X, \mathcal{I}_{A^j})$ ) and the groups  $H_\phi^i(S, Q^*)$ , these being the cohomology groups of the boundary complex

$$Q_\phi^{(0)}(S) \xrightarrow{A_s^0} Q_\phi^{(1)}(S) \xrightarrow{A_s^1} Q_\phi^{(2)}(S) \rightarrow \dots .$$

From a standard argument we derive the following

**THEOREM 2.** - *Let (2) be a complex of differential operators on  $X$  endowed with a classical grading. Let  $\phi$  be a paracompactifying family of supports.*

*Assume that the hypersurface  $S$  is noncharacteristic. Then we have a Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H_\phi^0(X, \mathcal{E}^*) \rightarrow H_\phi^0(X^+, \mathcal{E}^*) \oplus H_\phi^0(X^-, \mathcal{E}^*) \rightarrow H_\phi^0(S, Q^*) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow H_\phi^1(X, \mathcal{E}^*) \rightarrow H_\phi^1(X^+, \mathcal{E}^*) \oplus H_\phi^1(X^-, \mathcal{E}^*) \rightarrow H_\phi^1(S, Q^*) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

**PROOF.** - By the corollary to theorem 1 we have an exact sequence of soft sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{I}_{A^0}}{\mathcal{F}_S^0} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{I}_{A^1}}{\mathcal{F}_S^1} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{I}_{A^2}}{\mathcal{F}_S^2} \rightarrow \dots .$$

From this, by taking sections with support in  $\phi$  we derive an exact sequence

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{I}_{A^0}^\phi(S, X)}{\mathcal{F}_S^0(X)} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{I}_{A^1}^\phi(S, X)}{\mathcal{F}_S^1(X)} \rightarrow \dots$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}_{A^j}^\phi(S, X) = \{s \in \mathcal{I}_{A^j}(S, X) \mid \text{supp } s \in \phi\} .$$

Indeed one has

$$\Gamma_\phi\left(X, \frac{\mathcal{I}_{A^j}}{\mathcal{F}_S^j}\right) = \frac{\mathcal{I}_{A^j}^\phi(S, X)}{\mathcal{F}_S^j(X)}$$

because the sheaves  $\mathcal{I}_{A^j}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_S^j$  are soft.

From the exactness of the sequence (\*) we derive the Mayer-Vietoris sequence by the usual argument (cf. [3]).

### 8. - An example: boundary values of pluriharmonic functions.

a) Let  $X$  be a complex manifold. For every open set  $\Omega \subset X$  we set

$$A^{r,s}(\Omega) = \text{space of } C^\infty \text{ forms of type } (r, s),$$

$$A^{(j)}(\Omega) = \bigoplus_{r+s=j} A^{r,s}(\Omega),$$

$d =$  exterior differential,  $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$  where  $\bar{\partial}$  (resp.  $\partial$ ) is the exterior differentiation with respect to antiholomorphic (resp. holomorphic) local coordinates.

We consider the following complex of differential operators

$$(\alpha) \quad A^{0,0}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\partial\bar{\partial}} A^{1,1}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{d} A^{1,2}(\Omega) \oplus A^{2,1}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{d} \dots$$

$$\dots \xrightarrow{d} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n-1} A^{j,n-j}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{d} A^{(n+1)}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{d} \dots \xrightarrow{d} A^{(2n)}(\Omega) \rightarrow 0.$$

If  $\Omega$  is open and  $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$  denotes the space of (complex valued) pluriharmonic functions on  $\Omega$  we have the exact sequence (which gives an augmentation to the complex  $(\alpha)$ )

$$(\varepsilon) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{i} A^{0,0}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\partial\bar{\partial}} A^{1,1}(\Omega).$$

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote the sheaf of germs of pluriharmonic functions on  $X$  and let  $\mathcal{O}$  denote the sheaf of germs of holomorphic functions on  $X$ . We have the exact sequence of sheaves

$$(\beta) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{O} \oplus \bar{\mathcal{O}} \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$\sigma(a) = a \oplus a \quad (a \in \mathbb{C}),$$

$$\tau(f \oplus g) = f - g \quad f \in \mathcal{O}, g \in \bar{\mathcal{O}},$$

the bar over  $\mathcal{O}$  denoting complex conjugation so that  $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$  is the sheaf of germs of antiholomorphic functions.

This complex is a complex of differential operators with constant coefficients in any holomorphic coordinate patch.

b) For the complex  $(\alpha)$  the bundle  $E^0$  is the trivial bundle, the bundle  $E^1$  is the bundle  $\mathcal{T}^*(X) \otimes \overline{\mathcal{T}^*(X)}$  where  $\mathcal{T}^*(X)$  is the holomorphic tangent bundle, the bundle  $E^2$  is the bundle  $\mathcal{T}^*(X) \otimes A^2 \overline{\mathcal{T}^*(X)} \oplus A^2 \mathcal{T}^*(X) \otimes \overline{\mathcal{T}^*(X)}$  etc. Gradings will be chosen classically so that there will be a jump of two units from  $E^0$  to  $E^1$  and of one unit from every bundle  $E^j$  to the successive  $E^{j+1}$ .



To write the symbolic complex of  $(\alpha)$  at a point we will use the following notations:

$$\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \bar{\xi}_1, \dots, \bar{\xi}_n]$$

ring of polynomials in the indeterminates  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$  and  $\bar{\xi} = (\bar{\xi}_1, \dots, \bar{\xi}_n)$ .

$\mathbb{P}^{r,s}$  = space of exterior forms of type  $r$  in  $d\xi_1, \dots, d\xi_n$  and of type  $s$  in  $d\bar{\xi}_1, \dots, d\bar{\xi}_n$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{P}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}^{(j)} = \bigoplus_{r+s=j} \mathbb{P}^{r,s},$$

$$\alpha = \sum_1^n \xi_i d\xi_i, \quad \bar{\alpha} = \sum_1^n \bar{\xi}_i d\bar{\xi}_i.$$

A direct verification shows that the symbolic complex of  $(\alpha)$  at any point  $x_0 \in X$  (i.e. the complex denoted before as  $(4)_{x_0}$ ) is the complex

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{\alpha}) \quad \mathbb{P}^{0,0} \xrightarrow{\wedge \alpha \wedge \bar{\alpha}} \mathbb{P}^{1,1} \xrightarrow{\wedge(\alpha + \bar{\alpha})} \mathbb{P}^{1,2} \oplus \mathbb{P}^{2,1} \xrightarrow{\wedge(\alpha + \bar{\alpha})} \dots \\ \dots \xrightarrow{\wedge(\alpha + \bar{\alpha})} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{P}^{j,n-j} \xrightarrow{\wedge(\alpha + \bar{\alpha})} \mathbb{P}^{(n+1)} \xrightarrow{\wedge(\alpha + \bar{\alpha})} \dots \xrightarrow{\wedge(\alpha + \bar{\alpha})} \mathbb{P}^{(2n)} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

We know that  $(\alpha)$  is an exact sequence on any countable open set of holomorphy; in particular on any open set  $\Omega$  convex in a holomorphic coordinate patch [9]. This proves that the transposed complex  ${}^t(\hat{\alpha})$  of  $(\hat{\alpha})$  is exact. Taking into account the isomorphism

$$\mathbb{P}^{r,s} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n-r, n-s}$$

the complex  ${}^t(\hat{\alpha})$  can be written in the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & \mathbb{P}^{n-1, n-2} & & \\ & & & & \swarrow \wedge \bar{\alpha} & & \\ & & & & & & \mathbb{P}^{n-1, n-1} \xrightarrow{\wedge(\alpha + \bar{\alpha})} \dots \\ {}^t(\hat{\alpha}) \quad 0 \leftarrow N \leftarrow \mathbb{P}^{n,n} \xleftarrow{\wedge \alpha \wedge \bar{\alpha}} & \mathbb{P}^{n-1, n-1} & \oplus & & & & \\ & & & & \swarrow \wedge \alpha & & \\ & & & & \mathbb{P}^{n-2, n-1} & & \\ & & & & & & \mathbb{P}^{(n-1)} \xleftarrow{\wedge(\alpha + \bar{\alpha})} \mathbb{P}^{(n-2)} \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow \mathbb{P}^{(0)} \leftarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where  $N$  is the cokernel of the last map. The exactness of the sequence  ${}^t(\hat{\alpha})$  can also be established directly by the results of [4] (corollaries 1 and 2, pp. 606-607).

The complex  $(\alpha)$  is a particular Hilbert complex.

e) Let  $S$  be an oriented hypersurface given on  $X$  by an equation  $\varrho = 0$ . Writing  $z_j = x_j + ix_{n+j}$  for the local holomorphic coordinates on  $X$  we write the gradient of  $\varrho$  in terms of holomorphic and antiholomorphic coordinates

$$\text{grad } \varrho(x_0) = \left( \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial z_n}, \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial \bar{z}_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial \bar{z}_n} \right)_{x_0}.$$

We have

$$\alpha(\text{grad } \varrho) = \sum \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial z_i} d\xi_i = \partial \varrho,$$

$$\bar{\alpha}(\text{grad } \varrho) = \sum \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial \bar{z}_i} d\bar{\xi}_i = \bar{\partial} \varrho.$$

We also set

$\mathbf{C}^{r,s}$  = space of exterior forms of type  $r$  in  $d\xi_1, \dots, d\xi_n$  and of type  $s$  in  $d\bar{\xi}_1, \dots, d\bar{\xi}_n$  with coefficients in  $\mathbf{C}$ ,

$$\mathbf{C}^{(j)} = \bigoplus_{r+s=j} \mathbf{C}^{r,s},$$

and note that  $\mathbf{C}^{r,s} \simeq \mathbf{C}^{n-r, n-s}$ .

Now remark that the map

$$\mathbb{P}^{n,n} \xleftarrow{\wedge \alpha \wedge \bar{\alpha}} \mathbb{P}^{n-1, n-1}$$

is given by the matrix of one row

$$(\xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1, \dots, \xi_i \bar{\xi}_i, \dots, \xi_n \bar{\xi}_n) = M_0(\xi, \bar{\xi})$$

so that in  $\mathbf{C}^{2n}$  where  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \bar{\xi}_1, \dots, \bar{\xi}_n$  are independent variables the variety

$$V = \{(\xi, \bar{\xi}) \in \mathbf{C}^{2n} \mid M_0(\xi, \bar{\xi}) = 0\}$$

has no point, except the origin, where  $\bar{\xi}$  is the conjugate of  $\xi$  <sup>(4)</sup>.

In particular

$$\text{grad } \varrho = \left( \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial z_n}, \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial \bar{z}_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial \bar{z}_n} \right) \notin V.$$

---

<sup>(4)</sup>  $V$  is the union of the two linear spaces  $L = \{\xi_i = 0, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  and  $\bar{L} = \{\bar{\xi}_i = 0, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ .

From [5], proposition 1, we derive that we have an exact sequence:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & \mathbb{C}^{n-1, n-2} & & \\
 & & & & \swarrow \wedge \bar{\partial} \varrho & & \\
 & & & & \mathbb{C}^{n-1, n-1} & \oplus & \mathbb{C}^{n-1, n-1} \\
 {}^{(i)}(\gamma) \quad 0 \leftarrow \mathbb{C}^{n, n} \leftarrow \wedge \partial \varrho \wedge \bar{\partial} \varrho & & & & & & \leftarrow \wedge (\partial \varrho + \bar{\partial} \varrho) \dots \\
 & & & & \nwarrow \wedge \partial \varrho & & \\
 & & & & \mathbb{C}^{n-2, n-1} & & \\
 & & & & \dots & & \\
 & & & & \mathbb{C}^{(n-1)} \leftarrow \wedge (\partial \varrho + \bar{\partial} \varrho) \mathbb{C}^{(n-2)} \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow \wedge (\partial \varrho + \bar{\partial} \varrho) \mathbb{C}^{(0)} \leftarrow 0 .
 \end{array}$$

From this exact sequence or better from the exact sequence obtained by transposition:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 {}^{(i)}(\gamma) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{00} \xrightarrow{\wedge \partial \varrho \wedge \bar{\partial} \varrho} \mathbb{C}^{11} \xrightarrow{\wedge (\partial \varrho + \bar{\partial} \varrho)} \mathbb{C}^{12} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{21} \xrightarrow{\wedge (\partial \varrho + \bar{\partial} \varrho)} \dots \\
 \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{(n+1)} \xrightarrow{\wedge (\partial \varrho + \bar{\partial} \varrho)} \mathbb{C}^{(n+2)} \rightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{\wedge (\partial \varrho + \bar{\partial} \varrho)} \mathbb{C}^{(2n)} \rightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

we deduce that the given complex is elliptic at every point and that *any hypersurface S is noncharacteristic.*

d) We have now the following

LEMMA. - *Given the complex  $(\alpha)$  and the hypersurface  $S = \{\varrho = 0\}$  in  $\Omega$ , the successive domains of the operators  $\partial \bar{\partial}$ ,  $d$ ,  $d$ , ... of the complex  $(\alpha)$  along  $S$  are given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{I}_{\partial \bar{\partial}}(S, \Omega) &= \varrho^2 A^{00}(\Omega) , \\
 \mathcal{I}_d(S, \Omega) &= \varrho A^{11}(\Omega) + \partial \varrho \wedge \bar{\partial} \varrho A^{00}(\Omega) , \\
 \mathcal{I}_d(S, \Omega) &= \varrho(A^{12}(\Omega) + A^{21}(\Omega)) + d \varrho A^{11}(\Omega) , \\
 &\dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \\
 \mathcal{I}_d(S, \Omega) &= \varrho \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} A^{j, n-j}(\Omega) + d \varrho \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} A^{j, n-j-1}(\Omega) , \\
 &\dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \\
 \mathcal{I}_d(S, \Omega) &= \varrho A^{(2n)}(\Omega) + d \varrho A^{(2n-1)}(\Omega) .
 \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. -  $\alpha$ ) Let  $\varphi \in A^{00}(\Omega)$  and let  $u \in \mathcal{D}^{n-1, n-1}(\Omega)$  where  $\mathcal{D}$  denotes compactly supported forms. We have

$$\int_{\Omega^-} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi \wedge u = \int_S \bar{\partial} \varphi \wedge u + \int_S \varphi \wedge \partial u - \int_{\Omega^-} \varphi \bar{\partial} \partial u .$$

Thus  $\varphi \in \mathcal{I}_{\partial \bar{\partial}}(S, \Omega)$  if and only if  $\forall u \in \mathcal{D}^{n-1, n-1}(\Omega)$

$$(*) \quad \int_S \partial \varphi \wedge u + \int_S \varphi \wedge \partial u = 0 .$$

Take  $z_0 \in S$  at the origin of the coordinates. Setting  $z_n = x_n + iy_n$  we may assume that  $S \cap \Omega$  is given by

$$\varrho \equiv y_n - \sigma(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_n)$$

with  $\sigma \in C^\infty$  and vanishing at the origin of second order. Taking

$$u = (\alpha(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_n) + (y_n - \sigma)\beta(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_n)) dz_1 \dots dz_{n-1} d\bar{z}_1 \dots d\bar{z}_{n-1}$$

with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  compactly supported we realize that if

$$\varphi = \varphi^0(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_n) + \varrho\varphi^1(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_n) + \dots$$

we must have  $\varphi^0 = 0$ ,  $\varphi^1 = 0$  i.e.  $\varphi \in \varrho^2 A^{00}(\Omega)$ . Conversely, if this holds then (\*) holds and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}(S, \Omega)$ .

$\beta$ ) With selfexplaining notations we have for  $\varphi \in A^{11}(\Omega)$

$$\int_{\Omega^-} d\varphi \wedge (u^{n-1, n-2} + u^{n-2, n-1}) = \int_S \varphi \wedge (u^{n-1, n-2} + u^{n-2, n-1}) + \int_{\Omega^-} \varphi \wedge (\bar{\partial}u^{n-1, n-2} + \partial u^{n-2, n-1}).$$

Thus  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_d(S, \Omega)$  if and only if

$$\int_S \varphi \wedge u^{n-1, n-2} = 0 = \int_S \varphi \wedge u^{n-2, n-1}$$

$$\forall u^{n-1, n-2} \in \mathcal{D}^{n-1, n-2}(\Omega) \text{ and } \forall u^{n-2, n-1} \in \mathcal{D}^{n-2, n-1}(\Omega).$$

Taking into account lemma 1 of ([2], part II, p. 755) we get the desired conclusion as we must have

$$\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\varrho|_S = 0,$$

$$\varphi \wedge \partial\varrho|_S = 0,$$

where the restriction means restriction of the coefficients of the form considered.

$\gamma$ ) Let  $\varphi = \varphi^{12} + \varphi^{21} \in A^{12}(\Omega) + A^{21}(\Omega)$ . To have  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_d(S, \Omega)$  we must have

$$0 = \int_S \varphi^{12} \wedge u^{n-1, n-3} = \int_S (\varphi^{12} + \varphi^{21}) \wedge u^{n-2, n-2} = \int_S \varphi^{21} \wedge u^{n-3, n-1}$$

$$\forall u^{n-1, n-3} \in \mathcal{D}^{n-1, n-3}(\Omega), \forall u^{n-2, n-2} \in \mathcal{D}^{n-2, n-2}(\Omega), \forall u^{n-3, n-1} \in \mathcal{D}^{n-3, n-1}(\Omega).$$

From the first and last integral we derive that

$$\varphi^{12} = \varrho\alpha^{12} + \bar{\partial}\varrho \wedge \beta^{11},$$

$$\varphi^{21} = \varrho\gamma^{21} + \partial\varrho \wedge \delta^{11},$$

with convenient forms  $\alpha^{12}, \beta^{11}, \gamma^{21}, \delta^{11}$  in  $\Omega$ . From the middle integral we get then

$$\int_S (\bar{\partial}_\varrho \beta^{11} + \partial_\varrho \delta^{11}) u^{n-2} \bar{u}^{n-2} = 0$$

i.e.

$$\int_S \bar{\partial}_\varrho (\beta^{11} - \delta^{11}) u^{n-2} \bar{u}^{n-2} = 0$$

since  $\int_S \bar{\partial}_\varrho \delta^{11} u^{n-2} \bar{u}^{n-2} = 0$  because the form  $\bar{\partial}_\varrho$  induces the 0-form on  $S$ . Thus  $\bar{\partial}_\varrho \beta^{11} = \bar{\partial}_\varrho \delta^{11} + \varrho \theta^{21}$  and therefore

$$\varphi^{12} + \varphi^{21} = \varrho(\alpha^{12} + \theta^{21} + \gamma^{21}) + \bar{\partial}_\varrho \delta^{11}.$$

$\delta$ ) The general argument is the same as in  $\gamma$ ).

We define therefore on  $S_\varrho = S \cap \Omega$

$$Q^{(0)}(S_\varrho) = \frac{A^{00}(\Omega)}{\varrho^2 A^{00}(\Omega)} \simeq A^{(0)}(S_\varrho) + \varrho A^{(0)}(S_\varrho).$$

$$Q^{(1)}(S_\varrho) = \frac{A^{11}(\Omega)}{\varrho A^{11}(\Omega) + \partial_\varrho \wedge \bar{\partial}_\varrho A^{00}(\Omega)},$$

$$Q^{(\mu)}(S_\varrho) = \frac{\sum_{\substack{r+s=\mu+1 \\ r \geq 1, s \geq 1}} A^{r,s}(\Omega)}{\varrho \sum_{\substack{r+s=\mu+1 \\ r \geq 1, s \geq 1}} A^{r,s}(\Omega) + \partial_\varrho \sum_{\substack{r+s=\mu \\ r \geq 1, s \geq 1}} A^{r,s}(\Omega)} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq \mu \leq n-1,$$

$$Q^{(n)}(S_\varrho) = A^{(n+1)}(S_\varrho) \quad \text{for } n \leq \mu \leq 2n-2.$$

where  $A^{(j)}(S)$  denotes the space of forms of degree  $j$  on  $S$ . The boundary complex has therefore the form

$$Q^{(0)}(S) \xrightarrow{(\partial \bar{\partial})_S} Q^{(1)}(S) \xrightarrow{d_S} Q^{(2)}(S) \xrightarrow{d_S} \dots \xrightarrow{d_S} Q^{(n)}(S) \xrightarrow{d} Q^{(n+1)}(S) \xrightarrow{d} \dots \xrightarrow{d} Q^{(2n-2)}(S) \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that the last part from  $Q^{(n)}(S)$  on coincides with the de Rham complex of exterior differentiation

$$A^{(n+1)}(S) \xrightarrow{d} A^{(n+2)}(S) \xrightarrow{d} \dots \xrightarrow{d} A^{(2n-1)}(S) \rightarrow 0.$$

$e$ ) *Explicit expression of the operator  $(\partial \bar{\partial})_S$ .* Let  $z_0 \in S$  be at the origin in its coordinate patch  $\Omega$ . We can assume that there

$$\varrho \equiv y_n - \sigma(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_n), \quad z_n = x_n + iy_n$$

with  $\sigma$  vanishing at the origin with its first partial derivatives. In a small neighborhood of the origin we will have expressions of the form

$$\begin{aligned} dz_n &= a \partial \varrho + \sum_1^{n-1} \alpha_j dz_j, \\ d\bar{z}_n &= \bar{a} \bar{\partial} \varrho + \sum_1^{n-1} \bar{\alpha}_j d\bar{z}_j, \end{aligned}$$

with  $\alpha(0) \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha_j(0) = 0$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ . Actually

$$a = -2 \left( i + \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x_n} \right)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_j = a \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial z_j}.$$

Also

$$\partial \bar{\partial} \varrho = \sum_1^{n-1} l_{ij} dz_i d\bar{z}_j + \partial \varrho \sum_1^{n-1} \bar{\beta}_i d\bar{z}_i - \bar{\partial} \varrho \sum_1^{n-1} \beta_i dz_i + \gamma \partial \varrho \wedge \bar{\partial} \varrho.$$

Note that the analytic tangent space to  $S$  at the origin is  $\{z_n = 0\}$  and that  $\sum_1^{n-1} l_{ij}(0) dz_i d\bar{z}_j$  is the Levi form of  $\varrho$  at the origin restricted to the analytic tangent space.

We can take  $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}$  and  $x_n$  as local coordinates on  $S$  near  $z_0$ .

Let

$$u_0 + \varrho u_1 \in A^0(S) + \varrho A^0(S)$$

with

$$u_0 = u_0(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_n), \quad u_1 = u_1(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_n).$$

The equations  $(\partial \bar{\partial})_S(u_0 + \varrho u_1) = 0$  can be written as

$$(\delta) \quad \begin{cases} \partial \bar{\partial}(u_0 + \varrho u_1) \wedge \partial \varrho|_S = 0, \\ \partial \bar{\partial}(u_0 + \varrho u_1) \wedge \bar{\partial} \varrho|_S = 0, \end{cases}$$

taking into account the form of the space  $Q^{(1)}(S)$ . Here restriction to  $S$  means restriction of the coefficients of the form.

This allows an explicit calculation of that system of equations.

We set, for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{ij} &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\alpha}_j \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial x_n} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_i \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{z}_j \partial x_n} + \frac{1}{4} \alpha_i \bar{\alpha}_j \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2}, \\ S_i &= a \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{z}_i \partial x_n} + \frac{1}{4} \bar{\alpha}_i \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2} \right\}, \\ T_i &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_i} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\alpha}_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the system of equations  $(\partial\bar{\partial})_S(u_0 + \varrho u_1) = 0$  reduces to the system of  $(n-1) \cdot (n+1)$  equations

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{ij}u_0 + l_{ij}u_1 = 0, \\ 1 \leq i, j \leq n-1, \\ \mathcal{S}_i u_0 + T_i u_1 = 0, \\ 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ \bar{\mathcal{S}}_i u_0 + \bar{T}_i u_1 = 0, \\ 1 \leq i \leq n-1. \end{cases}$$

REMARK. - Assume that the Levi form of  $\varrho$  along the analytic tangent space to  $S$  is different from zero. If  $u_0 + \varrho u_1$  and  $u_0 + \varrho u_1^*$  are two solutions of the equations  $(\delta)$  then  $u_1 = u_1^*$ . Moreover, locally, we can, from one of the first set of equations, obtain  $u_1$  in terms of  $u_0$  and substitute in the remaining equations. Therefore  $u_0$  satisfies in that case a set of differential equations of second and third order (cf. [13]).

f) *Hartogs type theorem.* We assume now that  $S$  is compact in  $X$  and that

$$X^- = \{x \in X | \varrho(x) \leq 0\} \quad \text{is compact,}$$

$$X^+ = \{x \in X | \varrho(x) \geq 0\} \quad \text{has any connected component noncompact,}$$

$\{\varrho = 0\}$  being an equation for  $S$ .

Let  $H^0(X^-, \mathcal{H})$  denote the space of  $C^\infty$  functions on  $X^-$  which are pluriharmonic in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ .

Let  $H^0(S, \mathcal{H}_S^{(1)})$  denote the space of couples of functions  $u_0 + \varrho u_1 \in A^0(S) + \varrho A^0(S)$  satisfying the equations  $(\delta)$ :

$$(\partial\bar{\partial})_S(u_0 + \varrho u_1) = 0.$$

Let

$$r: H^0(X^-, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow H^0(S, \mathcal{H}_S^{(1)})$$

be defined by

$$r(h) = u_0 + \varrho u_1$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} u_0 &= h|_S, \\ u_1 &= \frac{dh}{d\varrho}|_S. \end{aligned}$$

We have then the following

THEOREM 3. - Under the above assumptions if the manifold  $X$  is  $(n-2)$ -complete ( $n \geq 2$ ) and  $H_k^2(X, \mathbf{C}) = 0$  then the natural map

$$r: H^0(X^-, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow H^0(S, \mathcal{H}_S^{(1)})$$

is an isomorphism.

PROOF. - From the Mayer-Vietoris sequence with compact supports we deduce that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X^-, \mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{r} H^0(S, \mathcal{H}_S^{(1)}) \rightarrow H_k^1(X, \mathcal{H}).$$

From the exact sequence of sheaves  $(\beta)$  we deduce the exact sequence

$$H_k^1(X, \mathcal{O}) \oplus H_k^1(X, \bar{\mathcal{O}}) \rightarrow H_k^1(X, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow H_k^2(X, \mathbf{C}).$$

By the assumption that  $X$  is  $(n-2)$ -complete and by the duality theorem we derive

$$H_k^1(X, \mathcal{O}) \simeq H^{n-1}(\Omega, \Omega^n) = 0$$

where  $\Omega^n$  is the sheaf of holomorphic  $n$ -forms (cf. [1]).

By assumption also  $H_k^2(X, \mathbf{C}) = 0$ . Thus  $H_k^1(X, \mathcal{H}) = 0$  and from this we deduce our conclusion.

REMARK. - The above assumptions are verified if  $X$  is a Stein manifold of dimension  $n \geq 3$  [0] or if  $X$  is Stein of dimension 2 and  $H_k^2(X, \mathbf{C}) \simeq H_2(X, \mathbf{C}) = 0$ . In particular for  $X = \mathbf{C}^n$   $n \geq 2$ . We have indicated with  $\mathcal{H}_S^{(1)}$  the sheaf on  $S$  defined by the exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_S^{(1)} \rightarrow Q^{(0)} \xrightarrow{(\partial\bar{\partial})_S} Q^{(1)}$$

where for  $S_U$  open in  $S$   $Q^{(0)}$  and  $Q^{(1)}$  denote the sheaves

$$S_U \rightarrow Q^{(0)}(S_U), \quad S_U \rightarrow Q^{(1)}(S_U).$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{H}_S$  the sheaf of germs of  $C^\infty$  functions  $u$  on  $S$  such that we can find a germ of  $C^\infty$  function  $v$  on  $S$  with

$$(\partial\bar{\partial})_S(u + \varrho v) = 0.$$

If

$$\sigma: \frac{A^{00}(\Omega)}{\varrho^2 A^{00}(\Omega)} \rightarrow \frac{A^{00}(\Omega)}{\varrho A^{00}(\Omega)}$$



for every  $\Omega$  open in  $X$  is the natural map we deduce, at the sheaf level, a natural map

$$\sigma: Q^{(0)} \rightarrow A^{(0)}$$

where  $A^{(0)}$  is the sheaf of  $C^\infty$  germs on  $S$ .

From the above considerations we have a natural surjective map

$$\mathcal{H}_S^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{H}_S \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking into account the remark at the end of point e) of this section we obtain the following

PROPOSITION 8. — *Let the hypersurface  $S$  in  $X$  have the property that the Levi form of  $\varrho$  on the analytic tangent space to  $S$  is nowhere zero. Then the natural map  $\sigma$  is an isomorphism of sheaves:*

$$\mathcal{H}_S^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}_S.$$

COROLLARY. — *Under the assumptions of theorem 3 and of proposition 8 the natural map*

$$H^0(X^-, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow H^0(S, \mathcal{H}_S)$$

*(given by  $h \rightarrow h|_S$ ) is an isomorphism.*

REMARK. — Let  $h$  be a  $C^\infty$  function on  $X^-$  which is pluriharmonic in  $\overset{\circ}{X}^-$  (so that  $\partial\bar{\partial}h = 0$ ). Then

$$\alpha = \partial h, \quad \beta = \bar{\partial} h,$$

are closed forms on  $X^-$  with  $C^\infty$  coefficients up to the boundary. Fix  $z_0 \in \overset{\circ}{X}^-$  and let  $z$  be a variable point and assume that  $H^1(X^-, \mathbb{C}) = 0$ . Then

$$f(z) = \int_{z_0}^z \alpha \quad \text{is } C^\infty \text{ on } X^- \text{ and holomorphic in } \overset{\circ}{X}^-,$$

$$g(z) = \int_{z_0}^z \beta \quad \text{is } C^\infty \text{ on } X^- \text{ and antiholomorphic on } \overset{\circ}{X}^-,$$

and

$$h(z) - h(z_0) = f(z) + g(z).$$

g) The sheaf  $\mathcal{H}_S$ . For  $\Omega$  open in  $X$  we set  $S_\Omega = S \cap \Omega$  and define

$$A^{00}(S_\Omega) = \frac{A^{00}(\Omega)}{\varrho A^{00}(\Omega)} = A^{(0)}(S_\Omega),$$

$$A^{01}(S_\Omega) = \frac{A^{01}(\Omega)}{\varrho A^{01}(\Omega) + \bar{\partial}\varrho A^{00}(\Omega)}, \dots$$

The operator  $\bar{\partial}$  on  $X$  induces then a boundary operator  $\bar{\partial}_S$  (cf. [1], [2]) and we get a complex

$$A^{00}(S_\Omega) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_S} A^{01}(S_\Omega) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_S} \dots$$

Denoting by  $A_S^{0j}$  the sheaf  $S_\Omega \rightarrow A^{0j}(S_\Omega)$  at the sheaf level we have a complex of sheaves

$$(4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow A_S^{00} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_S} A_S^{01} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_S} \dots$$

where by definition  $\mathcal{O}_S = \text{Ker} \left\{ A_S^{00} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_S} A_S^{01} \right\}$ .

Passing to the complex conjugate we define analogously the sheaves  $A_S^{10}$ , the operator  $\partial_S$  and the complex of sheaves

$$(5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{O}}_S \rightarrow A_S^{10} \rightarrow A_S^{11} \rightarrow \dots$$

We define  $\mathcal{F}_S^{rs}(\Omega) = \{ \varphi \in A^{r,s}(\Omega) \mid \varphi \text{ is « flat » on } S \}$ . By «  $\Gamma$  » we denote the usual functor « sections ».

LEMMA 6. - Let  $f \in \Gamma(S_\Omega, \mathcal{O}_S)$ . There exists a representative  $\tilde{f} \in A^{00}(\Omega)$  of  $f$  such that

$$\bar{\partial}\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{F}_S^{01}(\Omega)$$

(and  $\tilde{f}|_S = f$ ).

This is lemma 2.2 of [2], part I, p. 240.

LEMMA 7. - Let  $u_0 + \varrho u_1 \in \Gamma(S_\Omega, \mathcal{H}^{(1)})$ , there exists a representative  $\tilde{u} \in A^{00}(\Omega)$  of  $u_0 + \varrho u_1$  such that

$$\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{F}_S^{11}(\Omega)$$

(and  $\tilde{u}|_S = u_0$ ,  $d\tilde{u}/d\varrho|_S = u_1$ ).

PROOF. - We denote by  $u_0$  and  $u_1$  any fixed extensions of these functions to  $\Omega$  as  $C^\infty$  functions. We have

$$\partial\bar{\partial}(u_0 + \varrho u_1) = \varrho\alpha_1 + \partial\varrho \wedge \bar{\partial}\beta_1$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in A^{11}(\Omega)$  and  $\beta_1 \in A^{00}(\Omega)$ . Then with  $\alpha'_1 \in A^{11}(\Omega)$  conveniently chosen

$$\partial\bar{\partial}(u_0 + \varrho u_1 - \frac{1}{2}\varrho^2\beta_1) = \varrho\alpha'_1$$

and as  $\partial$  and  $\bar{\partial}$  applied to the left hand side give zero, we get

$$\partial\varrho \wedge \alpha'_1|_S = 0, \quad \bar{\partial}\varrho \wedge \alpha'_1|_S = 0.$$

Hence

$$\alpha'_1 = \varrho\alpha_2 + \partial\varrho \wedge \bar{\partial}\varrho\beta_2$$

with convenient  $\alpha_2$  and  $\beta_2$ . Thus we have

$$\partial\bar{\partial}(u_0 + \varrho u_1 - \frac{1}{2}\varrho^2\beta_1) = \varrho^2\alpha_2 + \varrho\partial\varrho \wedge \bar{\partial}\varrho\beta_2$$

and with a convenient  $\alpha'_2$ ,

$$\partial\bar{\partial}(u_0 + \varrho u_1 - \frac{1}{2}\varrho^2\beta_1 - \frac{1}{6}\varrho^3\beta_2) = \varrho^2\alpha'_2.$$

Moreover one has

$$\partial\varrho \wedge \alpha'_2|_S = 0, \quad \bar{\partial}\varrho \wedge \alpha'_2|_S = 0$$

so that

$$\alpha'_2 = \varrho\alpha_3 + \partial\varrho \wedge \bar{\partial}\varrho \wedge \beta_3$$

with convenient  $\alpha_3$  and  $\beta_3$ .

Proceeding in this way we see that we can solve the equation

$$\partial\bar{\partial}(u_0 + \varrho u_1 + \dots) \equiv O_S^\infty$$

with a formal power series in  $\varrho$  with coefficients  $C^\infty$  on  $S_\varrho$ , where  $O_S^\infty$  denotes « vanishing of infinite order on  $S$  ». By the use of Whitney extension theorem (cf. [2], I, proposition 22, p. 337) we conclude as desired.

Let  $A^{(r)}$  denote the sheaf of  $C^\infty$  exterior forms of degree  $r$  and let  $\mathcal{F}_S^{(r)}$  denote the subsheaf of those forms with coefficients « flat » on  $S$ . We set

$$W_S^{(r)} = A^{(r)} / \mathcal{F}_S^{(r)}.$$

Exterior differentiation induces a natural map

$$d: W_S^{(r)} \rightarrow W_S^{(r+1)}.$$

LEMMA 8. - *The following is an exact sequence of sheaves*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \rightarrow W_S^{(0)} \xrightarrow{d} W_S^{(1)} \xrightarrow{d} W_S^{(2)} \xrightarrow{d} \dots$$

PROOF. - Only the differentiable structure is concerned in this lemma. We may assume to work in  $\mathbf{R}^{m+1}$  near the origin where  $(x_1, \dots, x_m, t)$  are coordinates and where  $S = \{t = 0\}$ .

The exactness is obvious on  $W_S^{(0)}$ .

Let

$$\omega = \sum_0^\infty t^n (\beta_n^{(r)}(x, dx) + dt \sigma_n^{(r-1)}(x, dx))$$

be an element of  $W_{S,0}^{(r)}$  with  $r \geq 1$ . Here  $\beta_n^{(r)}$  and  $\sigma_n^{(r-1)}$  denote germs of exterior forms of degree  $r$  and  $r-1$  respectively.

Let  $d_x$  be the exterior differentiation on the variable  $x$ . The condition  $d\omega = 0$  is equivalent to the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} d_x \beta_n^{(r)}(x, dx) &= 0 & \forall n, \\ (n+1) \beta_{n+1}^{(r)}(x, dx) &= d_x \sigma_n^{(r-1)}(x, dx) & \forall n. \end{aligned}$$

From the first set of equations we derive that we can find forms  $\beta_n^{(r-1)}(x, dx)$  such that

$$\beta_n^{(r)}(x, dx) = d_x \beta_n^{(r-1)}(x, dx) \quad \forall n.$$

From the second we get  $d_x (\sigma_n^{(r-1)}(x, dx) - (n+1) \beta_{n+1}^{(r-1)}(x, dx)) = 0$ . If  $r \geq 2$  then we can find forms  $\sigma_n^{(r-2)}(x, dx)$  such that

$$\sigma_n^{(r-1)}(x, dx) = d_x \sigma_n^{(r-2)}(x, dx) + (n+1) \beta_{n+1}^{(r-1)}(x, dx);$$

thus  $\omega = d \left\{ \sum_0^\infty t^n (\beta_n^{(r-1)}(x, dx) - dt \sigma_n^{(r-2)}(x, dx)) \right\}$ . This proves the lemma if  $r \geq 2$ . If  $r = 1$  only a slight modification of the above argument is needed.

PROPOSITION 9. - *We have an exact sequence of sheaves*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \oplus \overline{\mathcal{O}}_S \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{H}_S \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$\alpha(f \oplus g) = f - g$$

and where  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_S \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}}_S$ .

PROOF. - Given  $f \in \mathcal{O}_{S, z_0}$ , for  $z_0 \in S$  by lemma 6 we can find an extension  $\tilde{f}$  of  $f$  such that  $\bar{\partial}\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{F}_S^{01}$ . Then  $\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{F}_S^{11}$ . Expanding  $f$  in power series of  $\varrho$  we have  $\tilde{f} = f + \varrho g + \dots$  and  $(\partial\bar{\partial})_S(f + \varrho g) = 0$ . Thus  $\mathcal{O}_S$  is a subsheaf of  $\mathcal{H}_S$ . Similarly  $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_S \subset \mathcal{H}_S$  and therefore  $\alpha$  is well defined. Clearly  $\text{Ker } \alpha = \mathcal{L}$ .

We have to show that  $\alpha$  is surjective.

Given  $u \in \mathcal{H}_{S, z_0}$  by the definition of the sheaf  $\mathcal{H}_S$  we can find a germ of  $C^\infty$  function  $v$  on  $S$  at  $z_0$  such that  $u + \varrho v \in \mathcal{H}_{S, z_0}^{(1)}$  i.e.  $(\partial\bar{\partial})_S(u + \varrho v) = 0$ . By lemma 7 we can find an extension  $\tilde{u}$  of  $u + \varrho v$  such that  $\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{F}_S^{11}$ . By lemma 8 from  $d(\bar{\partial}\tilde{u}) \in \mathcal{F}_S^{(2)}$  we deduce that we can find a germ  $\sigma$  of function at  $z_0$  in the space such that

$$\bar{\partial}\tilde{u} = d\sigma \text{ mod } \mathcal{F}_S^{(1)}.$$

Hence

$$\partial\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_S^{10}$$

and

$$\bar{\partial}(\tilde{u} - \sigma) \in \mathcal{F}_S^{01}.$$

Set  $\tau = \tilde{u} - \sigma$ . From the above equations we derive that

$$\sigma|_S \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}_S \quad \tau|_S \in \mathcal{O}_S$$

and that

$$u = \tau|_S + \sigma|_S.$$

This proves our contention.

PROPOSITION 10. - Let  $S_\Omega \subset S$  be the open subset of  $S$  where the Levi form of  $\varrho$  restricted to the analytic tangent plane to  $S$  is different from zero.

We have on  $S_\Omega$

$$\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathbf{C}$$

( $\mathbf{C}$  the constant sheaf) so that in the exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \rightarrow 0$$

$i$  being the natural injection, we have  $\mathcal{N}|_{S_\Omega} = 0$ .

PROOF. - Clearly  $\mathbf{C}$  is a subsheaf of  $\mathcal{L}$ . Let  $z_0 \in S_\Omega$  and let  $f_{z_0} \in \mathcal{L}_{z_0}$ . Let  $\tilde{f}$  be a  $C^\infty$  extension of  $f$  to an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $z_0$  in  $X$ . If  $U$  is sufficiently small we have

$$\bar{\partial}\tilde{f} = \varrho\alpha^{01} + \bar{\partial}\varrho\beta^{00},$$

$$\partial\tilde{f} = \varrho\gamma^{10} + \partial\varrho\sigma^{00},$$

with  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \sigma$  convenient  $C^\infty$  forms on  $U$ . Indeed these equations translate the fact that  $\bar{\partial}_S f_{z_0} = \partial_S f_{z_0} = 0$ .

Therefore we have

$$d\bar{f} = \varrho(\alpha + \gamma) + \bar{\partial}\varrho\beta + \partial\varrho\sigma.$$

Set  $\mu = \alpha + \gamma$ . As  $ddf = 0$  we derive that

$$0 = d\varrho\mu + \varrho d\mu + \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}\varrho\beta - \bar{\partial}\varrho d\beta + \bar{\partial}\partial\varrho\sigma - \partial\varrho d\sigma$$

i.e. at each point of  $S \cap U$

$$\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}\varrho(\beta - \sigma) + \bar{\partial}\varrho(\mu - d\beta) + \partial\varrho(\mu - d\sigma) = 0.$$

From this we deduce that

$$\partial\varrho \wedge \bar{\partial}\varrho \wedge \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}\varrho \wedge (\beta - \sigma)|_{S_\sigma} = 0.$$

By the assumption the form  $\partial\varrho \wedge \bar{\partial}\varrho \wedge \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}\varrho$  on  $S_\sigma$  is different from zero (with the notations of point  $e$ ) of this section that form equals  $\left(\sum_1^{n-1} l_{ij} dz_i d\bar{z}_j\right) \partial\varrho \wedge \bar{\partial}\varrho$ . Therefore on  $S_\sigma$   $\beta = \sigma$ .

Hence

$$d\bar{f} = \varrho(\alpha + \gamma) + d\varrho\beta.$$

But this proves that  $df_{z_0} = j^* d\bar{f}$ ,  $j$  being the natural injection of  $S_\sigma$  in  $U$ . Therefore  $f_{z_0}$  is constant in a neighborhood of  $z_0$ , i.e.  $f_{z_0} \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**COROLLARY.** - *If the Levi form of  $\varrho$  restricted to the analytic tangent space to  $S$  is everywhere different from zero and if  $H^1(S, \mathbb{C}) = 0$  then we have an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(S, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \oplus \Gamma(S, \bar{\mathcal{O}}_S) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Gamma(S, \mathcal{H}_S) \rightarrow 0.$$

We do not know if in the case  $S$  compact with  $H^1(S, \mathbb{C}) = 0$  the above statement still holds without any assumption on the Levi form of  $\varrho$ .

*h) The case of a Levi form of rank  $\geq 2$ .* Let us start again with the consideration of the complex  $(\alpha)$ .

We set

$$\mathcal{I}^0(S, \Omega) = \varrho A^{00}(\Omega),$$

$$\mathcal{I}^1(S, \Omega) = \varrho A^{11}(\Omega) + \partial\varrho A^{01}(\Omega) + \bar{\partial}\varrho A^{10}(\Omega) + \bar{\partial}\partial\varrho A^{00}(\Omega),$$

$$\mathcal{I}^2(S, \Omega) = \varrho(A^{12}(\Omega) + A^{21}(\Omega)) + d\varrho A^{11}(\Omega) = \mathcal{I}_d(S, \Omega),$$

and in general for  $\mu \geq 2$

$$\mathcal{I}^\mu(S, \Omega) = \mathcal{I}_a^\mu(S, \Omega).$$

We realize that

$$(\eta) \quad \mathcal{I}^0(S, \Omega) \xrightarrow{\partial\bar{\partial}} \mathcal{I}^1(S, \Omega) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{I}^2(S, \Omega) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{I}^3(S, \Omega) \xrightarrow{d} \dots$$

is a subcomplex of  $(\alpha)$ . Moreover the sheaves

$$\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^i(S, \Omega)$$

are fine (therefore soft) sheaves.

Note that the subcomplex of  $(\alpha)$  given by the domains of the various operators

$$(\theta) \quad \mathcal{I}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}^0(S, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_a^0(S, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_a^1(S, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_a^2(S, \Omega) \rightarrow \dots$$

is a subcomplex of  $(\eta)$ .

We set

$$\begin{aligned} C^{(0)}(S_\Omega) &= A^{00}(\Omega) / \mathcal{I}^0(S, \Omega), \\ C^{(1)}(S_\Omega) &= A^{11}(\Omega) / \mathcal{I}^1(S, \Omega), \\ C^{(\mu)}(S_\Omega) &= Q^{(\mu)}(S_\Omega) \quad \text{for } \mu \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

At the sheaf level, we have therefore a commutative diagram of sheaves and linear maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{H}_S^{(1)} & \rightarrow & Q^{(0)} & \xrightarrow{(\partial\bar{\partial})_S} & Q^{(1)} & \xrightarrow{d_S} & Q^{(2)} & \xrightarrow{d_S} & Q^{(3)} & \xrightarrow{d_S} & \dots \\ & & \downarrow \lambda & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{F}_S & \rightarrow & C^{(0)} & \xrightarrow{(\partial\bar{\partial})^R} & C^{(1)} & \xrightarrow{d^R} & Q^{(2)} & \xrightarrow{d_S} & Q^{(3)} & \xrightarrow{d_S} & \dots \end{array}$$

where  $(\partial\bar{\partial})^R$  and  $d^R$  are the induced linear maps of sheaves by the operators  $\partial\bar{\partial}$  and  $d$  in the surrounding space, and where, by definition  $\mathcal{F}_S = \text{Ker} \left\{ C^{(0)} \xrightarrow{(\partial\bar{\partial})^R} C^{(1)} \right\}$ .

Note that  $C^{(0)} \simeq A^{(0)} = \mathcal{E}_S$  the sheaf of germs of  $C^\infty$  functions on  $S$ . The sheaf  $C^{(1)}$  is a sheaf of  $\mathcal{E}_S$  modules.

PROPOSITION 11. - *On the set  $S_\Omega$  where the Levi form of  $\varrho$  restricted to the analytic tangent space to  $S$  is different from zero the sheaf  $C^{(1)}$  is a locally free sheaf of modules of rank  $(n-1)^2 - 1$ .*

PROOF. - Indeed if  $z_0 \in S_\Omega$  we can choose a system of  $(n-1)^2 - 1$  forms of type  $(1, 1)$  linearly independent over the  $C^\infty$  functions  $\mathcal{E}(U)$  in a small neighbor-

hood  $U$  of  $z_0$  in  $X$ , say

$$\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_{(n-1)^2-1},$$

so that

$$A^{11}(U) = \sum_1^{(n-1)^2-1} \mathcal{E}(U)\omega_\alpha + \partial\bar{\partial}\mathcal{E}(U) + \partial\mathcal{E}A^{01}(U) + \bar{\partial}\mathcal{E}A^{10}(U).$$

**COROLLARY.** - On  $S_\rho$  the linear maps  $(\partial\bar{\partial})^R$  and  $d^R$  are given by differential operators.

**PROOF.** - Indeed  $C^{(0)}, C^{(1)}, Q^{(2)}$  can be viewed as the sheaves of germs of  $C^\infty$  sections of appropriate  $C^\infty$  vector bundles on  $S_\rho$ . The operators  $(\partial\bar{\partial})^R$  and  $d^R$  are continuous for the usual Schwartz topology and preserve supports. One can therefore apply Peetre's theorem.

Also a direct calculation gives the same conclusion. We note that  $(\partial\bar{\partial})^R$  is a differential operator of the second order. It is the zero operator if  $n = 2$ .

From the commutativity of the above diagram we derive a natural inclusion

$$\mathcal{H}_S \subset \mathcal{F}_S.$$

Indeed  $\mathcal{H}_S$  is just the image of  $\mathcal{H}_S^{(1)}$  in  $\mathcal{F}_S$  by the map  $\lambda$ .

We set

$$\mathcal{F}_S^j(\Omega) = \{s \in \mathcal{F}^j(S, \Omega) \mid s \text{ is flat on } S\}.$$

We know that since the complex  $(\alpha)$  is elliptic we have an exact sequence of soft sheaves

$$(λ) \quad 0 \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}^0}{\mathcal{F}_S^0} \xrightarrow{\partial\bar{\partial}} \frac{\mathcal{F}_a^1}{\mathcal{F}_S^1} \xrightarrow{d} \frac{\mathcal{F}_a^2}{\mathcal{F}_S^2} \xrightarrow{d} \dots$$

We can also consider the complex of sheaves (all soft)

$$(μ) \quad 0 \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{F}^0}{\mathcal{F}_S^0} \xrightarrow{\partial\bar{\partial}} \frac{\mathcal{F}^1}{\mathcal{F}_S^1} \xrightarrow{d} \frac{\mathcal{F}^2}{\mathcal{F}_S^2} \xrightarrow{d} \dots$$

**PROPOSITION 12.** - Let  $z^0 \in S$ , and let  $\mathcal{L}(\varrho)|_{T_{z^0}(S)}$  denote the Levi form of  $\varrho$  restricted to the analytic tangent space at  $z_0$  to  $S$ .

i) If  $\mathcal{L}(\varrho)|_{T_{z^0}(S)}$  is different from zero then the sequence  $(\mu)$  is exact at the place  $\mathcal{F}^0/\mathcal{F}_S^0$ .

ii) If  $\mathcal{L}(\varrho)|_{T_{z^0}(S)}$  is different from zero and has rank  $\geq 2$  then the sequence  $(\mu)$  is exact also at the place  $\mathcal{F}^1/\mathcal{F}_S^1$  and therefore everywhere.



PROOF. - The exactness of the sequence

$$\frac{\mathcal{F}^1}{\mathcal{F}_s^1} \xrightarrow{d} \frac{\mathcal{F}^2}{\mathcal{F}_s^2} \xrightarrow{d} \dots$$

follows from the exactness of  $(\lambda)$  and the fact that  $\mathcal{F}_a \subset \mathcal{F}^1$ .

We prove i). Let  $w = \varrho u \in \mathcal{F}^0$ . Assume that

$$\partial\bar{\partial}(\varrho u) \in \mathcal{F}_s^1$$

i.e.

$$\varrho \partial\bar{\partial}u + \partial\varrho \wedge \bar{\partial}u - \bar{\partial}\varrho \wedge \partial u + \partial\bar{\partial}\varrho u \in \mathcal{F}_s^1$$

therefore

$$\partial\varrho \wedge \bar{\partial}\varrho \wedge \partial\bar{\partial}\varrho u|_s = 0.$$

Because of the assumption  $u|_s = 0$  i.e.  $u = \varrho v$  and  $w = \varrho u = \varrho^2 v \in \mathcal{F}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}$ . Because  $(\lambda)$  is exact we derive that  $w \in \mathcal{F}_s^0$  as we wanted.

We prove ii). Let, with obvious notations, be

$$g^{11} = \varrho\alpha^{11} + \partial\varrho\beta^{01} + \bar{\partial}\varrho\gamma^{10} + \partial\bar{\partial}\varrho\sigma^{00} \in \mathcal{F}^1.$$

Then  $\varrho\sigma^{00} \in \mathcal{F}^0$  and

$$g^{11} - \partial\bar{\partial}(\varrho\sigma^{00}) = \varrho\theta^{11} + \partial\varrho\theta^{01} + \bar{\partial}\varrho\theta^{10}.$$

Assume that  $dg^{11} \in \mathcal{F}_s^2$ : We have also  $d(g^{11} - \partial\bar{\partial}(\varrho\sigma^{00})) \in \mathcal{F}_s^2$ . This gives

$$\varrho \partial\theta^{11} + \partial\varrho(\theta^{11} - \partial\theta^{01}) - \bar{\partial}\varrho \partial\theta^{10} + \partial\bar{\partial}\varrho\theta^{10} \in \mathcal{F}_s^2$$

and an analogous relation with  $\bar{\partial}$  replaced by  $\partial$ . We deduce then that

$$\partial\varrho \wedge \bar{\partial}\varrho \wedge \partial\bar{\partial}\varrho \wedge \theta^{10}|_s = 0.$$

Because of the assumption we must have

$$\theta^{10} = \varrho\lambda^{10} + \partial\varrho\mu^{00}.$$

(we can assume  $\varrho$  as in the form of point  $e$ ) of this section with  $\sum_1^{n-1} l_j dz_j d\bar{z}_j = \sum_1^{n-1} \varepsilon_j dz_j d\bar{z}_j$  in diagonal form at the origin and  $\varepsilon_1 \neq 0$ ,  $\varepsilon_2 \neq 0$ . Setting  $\theta^{10} = \sum_1^{n-1} a_j dz_j + \mu^{00} \partial\varrho + \varrho\lambda^{10}$  we deduce that  $a_j = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$  at the origin. From this we get our conclusion.)

Similarly

$$\theta^{01} = \varrho \lambda^{01} + \nu^{00} \bar{\partial} \varrho.$$

Hence

$$g^{11} - \partial \bar{\partial}(\varrho \sigma^{00}) = \varrho(\theta^{11} + \partial \varrho \lambda^{01} + \bar{\partial} \varrho \lambda^{10}) + \partial \varrho \wedge \bar{\partial} \varrho(\nu^{00} - \mu^{00}).$$

Therefore  $g^{11} - \partial \bar{\partial}(\varrho \sigma^{00}) \in \mathcal{I}_d$  and consequently by the exactness of  $(\lambda)$  we get  $g^{11} - \partial \bar{\partial}(\varrho \sigma^{00}) \in \mathcal{F}_S^1$ .

Let  $\Sigma$  be an open portion<sup>\*\*\*</sup> of  $S$ . We can consider on  $\Sigma$  the following complexes for any family of supports  $\phi$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & \Gamma_\phi(\Sigma, A^{00}/\mathcal{F}_S^{00}) \xrightarrow{\partial \bar{\partial}} \Gamma_\phi(\Sigma, A^{11}/\mathcal{F}_S^{11}) \xrightarrow{d} \Gamma_\phi(\Sigma, (A^{12} \oplus A^{21})/(\mathcal{F}_S^{12} \oplus \mathcal{F}_S^{21})) \xrightarrow{d} \dots, \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \Gamma_\phi(\Sigma, Q^{(0)}) \xrightarrow{(\partial \bar{\partial})_S} \Gamma_\phi(\Sigma, Q^{(1)}) \xrightarrow{d_S} \Gamma_\phi(\Sigma, Q^{(2)}) \xrightarrow{d_S} \dots, \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & \Gamma_\phi(\Sigma, C^{(0)}) \xrightarrow{(\partial \bar{\partial})^R} \Gamma_\phi(\Sigma, C^{(1)}) \xrightarrow{d^R} \Gamma_\phi(\Sigma, C^{(2)}) \xrightarrow{d_S} \dots. \end{aligned}$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{H}} &= \text{Ker} \{A^{00}/\mathcal{F}_S^{00} \xrightarrow{\partial \bar{\partial}} A^{11}/\mathcal{F}_S^{11}\}, & \mathcal{H}_S^{(1)} &= \text{Ker} \{Q^{(0)} \xrightarrow{(\partial \bar{\partial})_S} Q^{(1)}\}, \\ \mathcal{T}_S &= \text{Ker} \{C^{(0)} \xrightarrow{(\partial \bar{\partial})^R} C^{(1)}\} \end{aligned}$$

and we denote the cohomology groups of the above complexes with the notations, for any  $j \geq 0$

$$H_\phi^j(\Sigma, [\hat{\mathcal{H}}]), \quad H_\phi^j(\Sigma, [\mathcal{H}_S^{(1)}]), \quad H_\phi^j(\Sigma, [\mathcal{T}_S]).$$

From the previous proposition we deduce then the following

COROLLARY. - *Set*

$$S_\Omega^{(2)} = \{x \in S \mid \text{rank } \mathcal{L}(\varrho) \mid T_x(S) \geq 2\}.$$

Let  $\Sigma$  be any open subset of  $S_\Omega^{(2)}$ . Then for any family of supports  $\phi$  (paracompactifying) we have

$$H_\phi^j(\Sigma, [\mathcal{H}_S^{(1)}]) = H_\phi^j(\Sigma, [\hat{\mathcal{H}}]) = H_\phi^j(\Sigma, [\mathcal{T}_S])$$

for any  $j \geq 0$ .

In particular for  $j = 0$  and  $\phi$  the family of closed sets, germitifying  $\Sigma$  at any point of  $S_\Omega^{(2)}$  we get that

$$\lambda: \mathcal{H}_S^{(1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_S$$

is an isomorphism of sheaves over  $S_\Omega^{(2)}$ . Thus on  $S_\Omega^{(2)}$

$$\mathcal{H}_s^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}_s \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}_s.$$

COROLLARY. - If  $S_\Omega^{(2)} = S$  we have a Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H_\phi^0(X, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow H_\phi^0(X^+, \mathcal{H}) \oplus H_\phi^0(X^-, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow H_\phi^0(S, [\mathcal{T}_s]) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow H_\phi^1(X, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow H_\phi^1(X^+, \mathcal{H}) \oplus H_\phi^1(X^-, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow H_\phi^1(S, [\mathcal{T}_s]) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

REMARK. - We have denoted with the peculiar notation  $H_\phi^j(\Sigma, [\hat{\mathcal{H}}])$  etc. the cohomology groups of the complexes (i), (ii), and (iii) above as they may not be isomorphic to the cohomology groups with values in the corresponding sheaves. Indeed the complexes of sheaves

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \frac{A_{00}}{\mathcal{F}_s^{00}} \rightarrow \frac{A^{11}}{\mathcal{F}_s^{11}} \rightarrow \frac{A^{12} \oplus A^{21}}{\mathcal{F}_s^{12} \oplus \mathcal{F}_s^{21}} \rightarrow \dots \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_s^{(1)} \rightarrow Q^{(0)} \rightarrow Q^{(1)} \rightarrow Q^{(2)} \rightarrow \dots \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_s \rightarrow C^{(0)} \rightarrow C^{(1)} \rightarrow C^{(2)} \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

may not be exact. This situation will be discussed in the next point.

i) We consider the locally closed region  $X^- = \{x \in X \mid \varrho(x) \leq 0\}$ . We have defined (section 7 c) the cohomology groups  $H^j(X^-, \mathcal{O})$ ,  $H^j(X^-, \bar{\mathcal{O}})$  and  $H^j(X^-, \mathcal{H})$  by means of the complexes of Dolbeault, of its « conjugate » and of the complex  $(\alpha)$ .

We can also consider the usual cohomology groups  $H^j(X^-, \mathbb{C})$ .

We first claim that

LEMMA 9. -  $H^j(X^-, \mathbb{C})$  is the  $j$ -th cohomology group of the complex

$$A^0(X^-) \xrightarrow{d} A^{(1)}(X^-) \xrightarrow{d} A^{(2)}(X^-) \xrightarrow{d} \dots$$

where  $A^{(j)}(X^-)$  is the space of  $C^\infty$  forms of degree  $j$  defined on  $X^-$  up to the boundary but not beyond it, and where  $d$  is exterior differentiation.

PROOF. - This lemma deals only with the  $C^\infty$  structure of  $X$ . We are reduced to prove the following. Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $X^- = \{(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid x_m \leq 0\}$ . Similarly for  $X^+$ . Let  $A^{(j)}$  be the sheaf of  $C^\infty$  forms on  $\mathbb{R}^m$  of degree  $j$  and let  $\mathcal{F}^{(j)} = \{s \in A^{(j)} \mid s \text{ is « flat » on } X^+\}$  so that setting  $A_-^{(j)} = A^{(j)} / \mathcal{F}^{(j)}$  we have  $A^{(j)}(X^-) = \Gamma(X, A_-^{(j)})$ . One has to show that at a point  $x^0 = (x_1^0, \dots, x_{m-1}^0, 0) \in \partial X^-$  we have the Poincaré lemma, i.e. that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow A_{-x^0}^{(0)} \xrightarrow{d} A_{-x^0}^{(1)} \xrightarrow{d} A_{-x^0}^{(2)} \xrightarrow{d} \dots$$

is an exact sequence. This follows with the usual proof of Poincaré lemma for the operator  $d$ , or by the use of the Mayer-Vietoris sequence on a small ball centered at  $x^0$ .

Let us now consider the map for  $j \geq 1$

$$\sigma: H^j(X^-, \mathcal{O}) \oplus H^j(X^-, \bar{\mathcal{O}}) \rightarrow H^j(X^-, \mathcal{H})$$

given as follows: Let  $\{\varphi^{0j}\}$ ,  $\bar{\partial}\varphi^{0j} = 0$  and  $\{\varphi^{j0}\}$ ,  $\partial\varphi^{j0} = 0$  be cohomology classes in  $H^j(X^-, \mathcal{O})$  and  $H^j(X^-, \bar{\mathcal{O}})$  so that  $\varphi^{0j}$  ( $\varphi^{j0}$ ) is a  $C^\infty$  form of type  $(0, j)$  ( $(j, 0)$ ) defined on  $X^-$  but not beyond. We define

$$\sigma(\{\varphi^{0j}\} \oplus \{\varphi^{j0}\}) = \{\partial\varphi^{0j} + \bar{\partial}\varphi^{j0}\}.$$

This map is linear and well defined.

LEMMA 10. - *If  $H^j(X^-, \mathbf{C}) = 0 = H^{j+1}(X^-, \mathbf{C})$  and  $j \geq 1$  we have that  $\sigma$  is an isomorphism:*

$$H^j(X^-, \mathcal{O}) \oplus H^j(X^-, \bar{\mathcal{O}}) \xrightarrow{\sigma} H^j(X^-, \mathcal{H}).$$

PROOF. - The map  $\sigma$  is injective. Assume that  $j \geq 2$  and that with obvious notations,

$$\partial\varphi^{0j} + \bar{\partial}\varphi^{j0} = d(\eta^{1j-1} + \dots + \eta^{j-11}).$$

Then since  $\bar{\partial}\varphi^{0j} = 0 = \partial\varphi^{j0}$  we get

$$d(\varphi^{0j} + \varphi^{j0} - \eta^{1j-1} - \dots - \eta^{j-11}) = 0.$$

By lemma 9 we deduce then, since  $H^j(X^-, \mathbf{C}) = 0$ ,

$$\varphi^{0j} + \varphi^{j0} - \eta^{1j-1} - \dots - \eta^{j-11} = d(\theta^{0j-1} + \dots + \theta^{j-10}).$$

Hence  $\varphi^{0j} = \bar{\partial}\theta^{0j-1}$  and  $\varphi^{j0} = \partial\theta^{j-10}$ . This proves our contention.

The map  $\sigma$  is surjective. We shall assume  $j \geq 2$ . Let

$$\varphi^{1j} + \varphi^{2j-1} + \dots + \varphi^{j1}$$

with

$$d(\varphi^{1j} + \dots + \varphi^{j1}) = 0$$

represent a class of  $H^j(X^-, \mathcal{H})$ . Since  $H^{j+1}(X^-, \mathbf{C}) = 0$  we have, by lemma 9,

$$\varphi^{1j} + \varphi^{2j-1} + \dots + \varphi^{j1} = d(\theta^{0j} + \theta^{1j-1} + \dots + \theta^{j0})$$

i.e.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bar{\partial}\theta^{0j} = 0, \\ \varphi^{1j} = \partial\theta^{0j} + \bar{\partial}\theta^{1j-1}, \\ \varphi^{2j-1} = \partial\theta^{1j-1} + \bar{\partial}\theta^{2j-2}, \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ \varphi^{j1} = \partial\theta^{j-11} + \bar{\partial}\theta^{j0}, \\ \partial\theta^{j0} = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

Hence

$$\varphi^{1j} + \dots + \varphi^{j1} = \partial\theta^{0j} + \bar{\partial}\theta^{j0} + d(\theta^{1j-1} + \dots + \theta^{j-11}).$$

This proves the surjectivity of  $\sigma$ .

It remains to treat the case  $j = 1$ . Let  $\varphi^{01}$  and  $\varphi^{10}$  be such that  $\bar{\partial}\varphi^{01} = 0$ ,  $\partial\varphi^{10} = 0$  and assume that

$$\partial\varphi^{01} + \bar{\partial}\varphi^{10} = \partial\bar{\partial}\theta^{00}.$$

Then

$$d(\varphi^{01} + \varphi^{10} - \bar{\partial}\theta^{00}) = 0.$$

Since  $H^1(X^-, \mathbb{C}) = 0$  we must have

$$\varphi^{01} + \varphi^{10} - \bar{\partial}\theta^{00} = d\eta^{00}$$

i.e.

$$\varphi^{01} = \bar{\partial}\theta^{00} + \bar{\partial}\eta^{00}, \quad \varphi^{10} = \partial\eta^{00}.$$

This shows the injectivity of  $\sigma$  also for  $j = 1$ .

Consider now  $\varphi^{11}$  with  $d\varphi^{11} = 0$ . As  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) = 0$  we have

$$\varphi^{11} = d(\eta^{10} + \eta^{01}).$$

Therefore

$$\varphi^{11} = \bar{\partial}\eta^{10} + \partial\eta^{01}$$

with

$$\partial\eta^{10} = 0 = \bar{\partial}\eta^{01}.$$

Thus  $\sigma$  is surjective also in the case  $j = 1$ .

THEOREM 4. — Let  $z_0 \in S$  be a point where the Levi form of  $\varrho$  restricted to the analytic tangent space to  $S$  is nondegenerate with  $p$  positive and  $n - 1 - p = q$  negative eigenvalues. Then in the boundary complex of sheaves

$$Q^{(0)} \xrightarrow{(\hat{\partial}\bar{\partial})_S} Q^{(1)} \xrightarrow{d_S} Q^{(2)} \xrightarrow{d_S} \dots$$

The Poincaré lemma fails to be true at  $Q_{z_0}^{(p)}$  and at  $Q_{z_0}^{(q)}$  but holds at any other place.

PROOF. — The theorem being of local nature we can assume that  $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ , that  $z_0$  is at the origin of the coordinates and that  $\varrho$  is in the form used at point e) of this section.

Set

$$B_n = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n \left| \sum |z_j|^2 < \frac{1}{n^2} \right. \right\}, \quad B_n^+ = \{ z \in B_n | \varrho \geq 0 \}, \\ B_n^- = \{ z \in B_n | \varrho \leq 0 \}, \quad \Sigma_n = S \cap B_n.$$

For  $j \geq 1$  and  $n$  large we have  $H^j(B_n, \mathbb{C}) = H^j(B_n^+, \mathbb{C}) = H^j(B_n^-, \mathbb{C}) = 0$ . Therefore for  $n$  large

$$H^j(B_n, \mathcal{H}) \simeq H^j(B_n, \mathcal{O}) \oplus H^j(B_n, \bar{\mathcal{O}}) = 0.$$

Hence from the Mayer-Vietoris sequence we derive that, for  $j \geq 1$ ,

$$H^j(\Sigma_n, [\mathcal{H}^{(1)}]) \simeq H^j(B_n^+, \mathcal{H}) \oplus H^j(B_n^-, \mathcal{H}).$$

Also by lemma 10

$$(*) \quad \begin{cases} H^j(B_n^+, \mathcal{H}) \simeq H^j(B_n^+, \mathcal{O}) \oplus H^j(B_n^+, \bar{\mathcal{O}}), \\ H^j(B_n^-, \mathcal{H}) \simeq H^j(B_n^-, \mathcal{O}) \oplus H^j(B_n^-, \bar{\mathcal{O}}). \end{cases}$$

Taking direct limits we get

$$\lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ n}} H^j(\Sigma_n, [\mathcal{H}^{(1)}]) \simeq \lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ n}} H^j(B_n^+, \mathcal{H}) \oplus \lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ n}} H^j(B_n^-, \mathcal{H}).$$

Taking into account the isomorphisms (\*) and theorem 3 of ([2], II, p. 795) we get

$$\lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ n}} H^j(B_n^\pm, \mathcal{H}) = 0 \quad \text{if } j \neq 0, p, q.$$

Thus the Poincaré lemma holds for  $j \neq 0, p, q$ .

For  $j = p, q$  and for a proper sign of  $\varrho$  we have

$$\lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ n}} H^p(B_n^+, \mathcal{H}) \quad \text{is infinite dimensional,} \\ \lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ n}} H^q(B_n^-, \mathcal{H}) \quad \text{is infinite dimensional,}$$

(by theorem 4 of [2], II, p. 798; see also [1], theorem 9.6.1, p. 165). This shows that

$$\lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ n}} H^j(\Sigma_n, [\mathcal{H}^{(n)}]) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } j = p, j = q$$

(indeed these spaces are infinite dimensional). Hence the statement of the theorem.

## REFERENCES

- [0] A. ANDREOTTI - T. FRANKEL, *The Lefschetz theorem on hyperplane sections*, Ann. of Math., **69** (1959), pp. 713-717.
- [1] A. ANDREOTTI, *Nine lectures on complex analysis*, C.I.M.E. 1973, Cremonese, Roma (1974).
- [2] A. ANDREOTTI - C. D. HILL, *E. E. Levi convexity and the Hans Lewy problem*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, **26** (1972), part I, pp. 325-363; part II, pp. 747-806.
- [3] A. ANDREOTTI - C. D. HILL - S. ŁOJASIEWICZ - B. MACKICHAN, *Complexes of differential operators*, Invent. Math., **35** (1976), pp. 43-86.
- [4] A. ANDREOTTI - M. NACINOVICH, *Complexes of partial differential operators*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, s. 4, **3** (1976), pp. 553-621.
- [5] A. ANDREOTTI - M. NACINOVICH, *On analytic and  $C^\infty$  Poincaré lemma*, to appear in Advances in Math.
- [6] T. AUDIBERT, *Caractérisation locale par des opérateurs différentiels des restrictions à la sphère de  $\mathbb{C}^n$  des fonctions pluriharmoniques*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **284** (1977), pp. 1029-31.
- [7] E. BEDFORD, *Solutions of  $(\partial\bar{\partial})_p$  as the real part of C.R. functions*, preprint.
- [8] E. BEDFORD - P. FEDERBUSH, *Pluriharmonic boundary values*, Tôhoku Math. Journ., s. 2, **26** (1974), pp. 505-511.
- [9] B. BIGOLIN, *Gruppi di Aeppli*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, **23** (1969), pp. 259-287.
- [10] G. FICHERA, *Caratterizzazione della traccia sulla frontiera di un campo, di una funzione analitica di più variabili complesse*, Rend. Accad. Lincei, **22** (1957), pp. 706-715.
- [11] R. GODEMENT, *Théorie des faisceaux*, Hermann, Paris (1958).
- [12] E. MARTINELLI, *Studio di alcune questioni della teoria delle funzioni biarmoniche e delle funzioni analitiche di due variabili complesse con l'ausilio del calcolo differenziale assoluto*, Atti Accad. d'Italia, **12** (1941), pp. 143-167.
- [13] G. B. RIZZA, *Dirichlet problem for n-harmonic functions and related geometrical properties*, Math. Ann., **130** (1955), pp. 202-218.
- [14] F. SEVERI, *Risoluzione generale del problema di Dirichlet per le funzioni biarmoniche*, Opere matematiche, **3**, pp. 402-410.
- [15] G. TOMASSINI - P. DE BARTOLOMEIS, *Traces of pluriharmonic functions*, Proceedings of the Conference on Analytic Functions, Kozubnik (1979).