

# On Smooth Subcanonical Varieties of Codimension 2 in $\mathbf{P}^n$ , $n \geq 4$ (\*) (\*\*).

EDOARDO BALlico - LUCA CHIANTINI (Waltham, Mass., U.S.A.)

**Summary.** – We study subcanonical codimension 2 subvarieties of  $\mathbf{P}^n$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , using as our main tool the rank 2 vector bundle canonically associated to them. With this method we prove first that every smooth canonical surface in  $\mathbf{P}^4$  is a complete intersection. Next we study smooth varieties of codimension 2 in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ ,  $n \geq 6$ ; it is well known that all of them are subcanonical and R. Hartshorne conjectured that they are always complete intersections, if  $n \geq 7$ . We prove this conjecture in the particular case of a variety  $X$  for which the integer  $e$  such that  $\omega_X = \theta_X(e)$  is 0 or negative. This result, together with a strong result by Z. Ran, provides a quadratic bound for the degree of a non-complete intersection variety of codimension 2 in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ ,  $n \geq 6$ .

## Introduction.

This paper is concerned about smooth subvarieties  $X$  of the complex projective space  $\mathbf{P}^n$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , whose canonical divisor is a multiple of an hyperplane section: such subvarieties are called «subcanonical»; this class contains all smooth «canonical» varieties, i.e. varieties embedded in  $\mathbf{P}^n$  by a sublinear system of the canonical system.

The main examples of subcanonical varieties are the complete intersections; indeed if  $X = H_1 \cap H_2 \cap \dots \cap H_n$ ,  $H_i$  hypersurface of degree  $d_i$  in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ , then  $\theta_X(\sum d_i - n - 1) = \omega_X$ .

We only consider the case  $\text{codim}(X, \mathbf{P}^n) = 2$ . In this situation, by a standard construction, the normal bundle of  $X$  can be lifted to a rank 2 vector bundle  $E$  on  $\mathbf{P}^n$  and  $X$  can be viewed as the zero locus of a global section of  $E$ . Many properties of  $X$  are strictly connected with properties of  $E$ :  $E$  has Chern classes  $c_2(E) = \deg X$  and  $c_1(E) =$  the integer such that  $\omega_X = \theta_X(c_1(E) - n - 1)$ ; moreover  $X$  is a complete intersection of hypersurfaces of degree  $a$  and  $b$  if and only if  $E = \theta_{\mathbf{P}^n}(a) \oplus \theta_{\mathbf{P}^n}(b)$ , i.e.  $E$  splits into a sum of line bundles.

This correspondence between codimension 2 subcanonical varieties and rank 2 vector bundles is the main tool of our investigation.

In section 1 we look at the case  $n = 4$ . In [C], it is raised the question of the existence of a smooth, non complete intersection, canonical surface  $S$  on  $\mathbf{P}^4$ ; using

---

(\*) Entrata in Redazione il 21 gennaio 1983; versione modificata ricevuta il 7 aprile 1983.

(\*\*) This paper was written while both authors were granted by a C.N.R. fellowship.

Severi's formula for the number of nodal points, it is showed that  $S$  must have degree 12 and arithmetic genus 4. We are able to prove that such surface cannot exist. Indeed the vector bundle associated to  $S$ , twisted by  $-3$ , would give a rank 2 bundle  $E$  on  $\mathbf{P}^4$  with Chern classes  $c_1(E) = 0$  and  $c_2(E) = 3$ . In [B-E], BARTH and ELENOWAJG claimed the non-existence of such a bundle, but their proof only works in the case « $E$  stable» while for the case « $E$  non stable» they refer to a theorem of GRAUBERT and SCHNEIDER ([G-S], 3.1) whose proof is incomplete ([Zentralblatt], 412-32014; [Math. Reviews], 58 n. 1279; [S], p. 92). For our problem the existence of the surface  $S$  would imply that the bundle  $E$  is *semi-stable*, so we limit our examination to such bundles. We have two ways to get the result: in the first we use BARTH-ELENOWAJG's construction, together with some supplementary information on the cohomology of  $E$ , obtained by the cohomology of  $S$  (which is partially known by Kodaira vanishing, Riemann-Roch theorem and the fact that  $S$  must be linearly normal ([Se])) and we get a contradiction. In the second way we prove the non-existence of a semi-stable but not stable rank 2 vector bundle  $E$  on  $\mathbf{P}^4$  with Chern classes  $c_1(E) = 0$  and  $c_2(E) = 3$ : first we show that  $E$  would have a section whose zero locus  $X$  is a non-reduced, locally complete intersection, multiplicity 3 structure on a plane, then we prove that such an  $X$  must be degenerate, and this is inconsistent with  $c_1(E) = 0$ ; this, together with the correct part of Barth-Elenowajg's theorem, gives the non-existence of  $S$ .

While there are a lot of examples of smooth, non complete intersection, subcanonical curves in  $\mathbf{P}^3$ , when the dimension raises the situation seems to be much poorer; HORROCKS and MUMFORD showed in [H-M] that there are abelian surfaces embedded in  $\mathbf{P}^4$  and they are examples of non complete intersection, subcanonical varieties; all of them are related, up to projective transformations, to the same rank 2 vector bundle  $E$ , moreover, as far as we know,  $E$  is the unique known example of a vector bundle of rank 2 in  $\mathbf{P}^4$  which is not the sum of line bundles (up to twisting by  $\theta_{\mathbf{P}^n}(m)$ ).

For  $n > 4$  we know no examples of smooth subcanonical varieties, of codimension 2 in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ , except complete intersections.

If  $n \geq 6$ , then every smooth codimension 2 subvariety  $X$  of  $\mathbf{P}^n$  is subcanonical, indeed BARTH and LARSEN showed that the PICARD group of  $X$  is  $\mathbf{Z}$ , generated by the class of an hyperplane section, hence in particular  $\omega_X = \theta_X(e)$  for some integer  $e$ .

In 1974 HARTSHORNE conjectured that all smooth codimension 2 subvarieties of  $\mathbf{P}^n$ ,  $n \geq 7$ , are complete intersections. In section 2 we give a short survey on the progresses made in this direction from 1974 till now; we point our attention on a recent preprint of Z. RAN, which seems to provide some useful tool for the study of codimension 2 subvarieties. Using Barth-Larsen's theorem, Ran's results and the Riemann-Roch formula for a vector bundle, we are able to prove a very particular case of the conjecture: we prove that if  $X$  is smooth of codimension 2 in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ ,  $n \geq 6$  and  $\omega_X = \theta_X(e)$ ,  $e \leq 0$ , then  $X$  is complete intersection.

In the last section we briefly study smooth subcanonical threefolds  $X$  in  $\mathbf{P}^5$ . By Riemann-Roch formula for threefolds, we see that fixed the integer  $e$  such that

$\omega_X = \theta_X(e)$ , in general only finitely many values are allowed for  $d = \deg X$ ; then reducing ourselves to a general hyperplane section of  $X$  and using some result of the theory of surfaces in  $\mathbf{P}^3$ , we prove that  $X$  is complete intersection if  $e \leq 2$ .

We end giving, as a corollary of Ran's theorems and of our theorem of section 2, the following lower bound for the degree of a non C.I. smooth codim 2 subvariety of  $\mathbf{P}^n$ ,  $n \geq 6$ : if  $d = \deg X$  and  $X$  is not C.I., then  $d > (n + 2)^2/4$ . This bound is much better than the linear one given in [B-V] and it is, as far as we know, the first quadratic bound on the degree of a non C.I. codimension 2 subvariety of  $\mathbf{P}^n$ .

We wish to thank Z. RAN for some useful conversations on this subject.

**0. - Preliminaries.**

Once forever,  $\mathbf{P}^n$  means the projective  $n$ -space over the *complex field*.

Sometimes we shall abbreviate in the text, « complete intersection » with « C.I. ».

A subscheme  $X \subseteq \mathbf{P}^n$  is said to be « degenerate » if it is contained in some hyperplane.

i) If  $X$  is a smooth subcanonical variety of codimension 2 in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ,  $I_X$  is its ideal sheaf, then there is a unique non-trivial extension of sheaves on  $\mathbf{P}^n$ ,  $0 \rightarrow \theta_{\mathbf{P}^n} \rightarrow E \rightarrow I_X(a) \rightarrow 0$  such that  $E$  is a rank 2 vector bundle with Chern classes  $c_1(E) = a$  and  $c_2(E) = \deg X$ :  $E$  is the extension to  $\mathbf{P}^n$  of the normal bundle of  $X$ . Moreover  $\omega_X = \theta_X(a - n - 1)$  and  $X$  is the zero locus of a global section of  $E$  (see [H3], § 1). More generally this construction holds when  $X$  is any locally C.I. scheme of codimension 2 in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ , such that, for some integer  $e$ ,  $\theta_X(e)$  is a dualizing sheaf for  $X$ .

ii) We shall use the following definition of stability for rank 2 vector bundles on  $\mathbf{P}^n$ , which is equivalent to the one given in [H3] or in [M] (see [H3], Prop. 3.1). Let  $c_1$  be the first Chern class of  $E$ . Then:

- a)  $E$  is « stable » if  $H^0(E(a)) = 0$ ,  $\forall a \leq c_1/2$ ;
- b)  $E$  is « semistable » if  $H^0(E(a)) = 0$ ,  $\forall a < c_1/2$ .

iii) Let  $X$  be a subcanonical, locally C.I., reduced codimension 2 subscheme of  $\mathbf{P}^3$ , zero locus of a section of the vector bundle  $E$ .

If  $X$  is contained in a smooth quadric surface, then  $X$  is C.I. or it is a disjoint union of lines ([H1], p. 231).

If  $X$  is contained in a quadric cone  $Q$ , then  $X$  is always C.I.: indeed if  $\deg X$  is even, then  $X$  is a Cartier divisor of  $Q$ , but  $\text{Pic } Q = \mathbf{Z}$ , generated by the class of an hyperplane section; if  $\deg X$  is odd, then one can see that for a generic line  $r \in Q$ ,  $X \cup r$  is a Cartier divisor on  $Q$ , hence  $X \cup r$  is C.I., i.e.  $X$  is linked to  $r$ ; it follows that  $X$  is arithmetically COHEN-MACAULAY ([Ra], 2.3) so that  $E$  is a sum of line bundles.

§ 1. – This section is mainly devoted to the proof of the following

THEOREM 1. – *Every smooth canonical surface  $S$  in  $\mathbf{P}^4$  is complete intersection.*

Of course we may assume that  $S$  is non-degenerate, otherwise the claim is obvious. In this case, using Riemann-Roch theorem as in [H1], App. A, p. 434, we get the formula:

$$(1) \quad d^2 - 10d - 5(H \cdot K) - 2K^2 + 12 + 12p_a = 0$$

( $d = \deg S$ ,  $p_a =$  arithmetic genus of  $S$ ,  $H =$  hyperplane section of  $S$ ,  $K =$  canonical divisor of  $S$ ) which in our situation gives:

$$0 = d(d - 17) + 12p_a + 12 \quad (\text{see also [C], corol. 6.6}).$$

As it is pointed out in [C], § 6, this relation tells us that only the following cases may occur:

$$a) \quad d = 8, 9; \quad p_a = 5.$$

In this case  $S$  must be C.I. (see [C], § 6)

$$b) \quad d = 12; \quad p_a = 4.$$

In this case the irregularity  $q(S)$  of  $S$  is 1, hence  $S$  cannot be C.I.

From now on, let  $S$  indicate a canonical surface of degree 12 and arithmetic genus 4 in  $\mathbf{P}^4$ ; let  $I_S$  be its ideal sheaf. All we need to show is that such  $S$  cannot exist.

The proof uses Barth-Elenewajg's theory of the spectrum of a rank 2 vector bundle (see [B-E]).

Let  $E_0$  be the vector bundle associated to  $S(0 \cdot i)$ , then  $E_0$  has Chern classes  $c_1(E_0) = 6$  and  $c_2(E_0) = 12$ . Put  $E = E_0(-3)$ ; it is easy to see that  $c_1(E) = 0$  and  $c_2(E) = 3$ .

In [B-E], § 4, BARTH and ELENCWAJG claim that there are no rank 2 vector bundles  $E$  on  $\mathbf{P}^4$  with  $c_1(E) = 0$  and  $c_2(E) = 3$ ; this would imply immediately the theorem. But indeed they only prove the case «  $E$  stable », while for the case «  $E$  non stable » they refer to a theorem of GRAUERT and SCHNEIDER ([G-S], 3.1) whose proof is unfortunately incomplete ([Zentralblatt] 412-32014, [Math.Reviews] 58, n. 1279; see also [S], p. 92).

In our case, however, we can get some more informations about the cohomology of  $E$  looking at the cohomology groups  $H^i(I_S)$  and  $H^i(\theta_S)$ ; this permits to handle the case in which  $E$  is not stable. In fact we have not to use Barth-Elenewajg's result, but rather to repeat their construction, making the computations in a different way, using the surface  $S$ .

Now we give a short account of Barth-Elenewajg's construction.

Let  $E$  be a rank 2 vector bundle on  $\mathbf{P}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ; let  $L \subseteq \mathbf{P}^n$  be a line such that  $E|_L = 2\theta_L$ ; such a line exists, for instance, if  $E$  is semistable. The idea is to study  $E$  looking at its restrictions to the planes passing through  $L$ .

Let  $p: \tilde{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$  be the blowing up of  $\mathbf{P}^n$  along  $L$ ; if  $P_L = \mathbf{P}^{n-2}$  is the projective space which parametrizes the planes  $\pi$  of  $\mathbf{P}^n$  containing  $L$ , then  $\tilde{P}$  can be viewed as the subset of  $\mathbf{P}^n \times P_L$  defined by  $\tilde{P} = \{(x, \pi) : x \in \pi\}$ , so we have a canonic projection  $q: \tilde{P} \rightarrow P_L$ . Geometrically this map can be constructed as follows: fix a  $(n-2)$ -linear subspace  $P_L \subseteq \mathbf{P}^n$ , disjoint from  $L$ , then send every point  $x$  of  $\mathbf{P}^n - L$  to  $(x, \overline{L}) \cap P_L$  and send every plane  $\pi$  of  $\mathbf{P}^n$  containing  $L$  (i.e. every point of the exceptional divisor of  $\tilde{P}$ ) to  $\pi \cap P_L$ .

Define  $\kappa_1 = R^1 q_* p^*(E(-1))$ ; by the theory of change of basis it follows that  $\kappa_1$  is a vector bundle on  $\mathbf{P}^{n-2} = P_L$  of rank equal to  $c_2(E)$ .

We shall use only the following properties of  $\kappa_1$ , which are proved in [B-E], Prop. 2.2.1:

- 1)  $\kappa_1 = \check{\kappa}_1$ ;
- 2)  $h^0(\kappa_1) = h^1(E(-1))$ ;
- 3)  $h^0(\kappa_1(-1)) = h^1(E(-2))$ ;
- 4)  $h^1(\kappa_1(-1)) = h^2(E(-2))$ .

Moreover we shall use the following crucial fact: if  $n = 3$ , then  $\kappa_1$  is a vector bundle on  $\mathbf{P}^1$ , so it splits into a direct sum of line bundles. Now let  $n > 3$ ; for a general linear 3-space  $H$ ,  $L \subseteq H \subseteq \mathbf{P}^n$ , we may repeat the construction for  $E|_H$  so we get a vector bundle on  $\mathbf{P}^1$ ; let us call it  $\kappa_1^H$ . Let  $m$  be the line of  $P_L$  corresponding to  $H$ , then  $\kappa_{1|m}$  also splits into a sum of line bundles. We have:

- 5)  $\kappa_1^H \simeq \kappa_{1|m}$  as bundles on  $\mathbf{P}^1$  ([B-E], § 2).

PROOF OF TH. 1. We shall use the existence of  $S$  to evaluate the dimension of some cohomology group of  $E$  and to get a contradiction.

By construction we have the following exact sequences:

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \theta_{\mathbf{P}^4} \rightarrow E(3) \rightarrow I_S(6) \rightarrow 0$$

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow I_S \rightarrow \theta_{\mathbf{P}^4} \rightarrow \theta_S \rightarrow 0 .$$

Since  $S$  is not a C.I., by [C], Prop. 5.9 it follows that  $S$  cannot be contained in any quadric hypersurface, i.e.  $h^0(I_S(2)) = 0$ . By the cohomology sequence of (2), twisted by  $-4$ , this implies  $h^0(E(-1)) = 0$ , which means, by definition, that  $E$  is *semi-stable*. It follows that for a generic line  $L \subseteq \mathbf{P}^4$ ,  $E|_L = 2\theta_L$ , so we may apply Barth-Elenewajg's construction.

Fix such a line  $L$ ; then we get a bundle  $\kappa_1$  on a projective plane  $P_L = \mathbf{P}^2$  which parametrizes all the planes of  $\mathbf{P}^4$  passing through  $L$ . We have  $\text{rank}(\kappa_1) = c_2(E) = 3$ ;

then for every line  $m \subseteq P_L$ ,  $\kappa_{1|m}$  splits into a sum of 3 line bundles and since  $\kappa_{1|m} \simeq \kappa_{1|m}$  by property 1) above, then we must have:

$$(4) \quad \kappa_{1|m} \simeq \theta_m(-k) \oplus \theta_m \oplus \theta_m(+k)$$

$k$  a non-negative integer, eventually depending on  $m$ .

Take any 3-dimensional linear subspace  $H \supseteq L$  and put  $F = E|_H$ .  $F$  is still semi-stable, indeed  $F|_L = 2\theta_L$  and  $L \subseteq H$  so that, by semicontinuity,  $F|_m = 2\theta_m$  for a generic line  $m$  in  $H$ , hence any section of  $F(-1)$  must vanish identically on a generic line, hence it must vanish everywhere.

We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E(-3) \rightarrow E(-2) \rightarrow F(-2) \rightarrow 0$$

from which we get

$$H^1(E(-2)) \rightarrow H^1(F(-2)) \rightarrow H^2(E(-3)).$$

But, by (2),  $h^2(E(-3)) = h^2(I_S)$  and by (3)  $h^2(I_S) = h^1(\theta_S) = q(S) = 1$ . On the other hand, by (2),  $h^1(E(-2)) = h^1(I_S(1))$  which is 0 since, by a theorem of SEVERI (see [Se]),  $S$  must be linearly normal. It follows  $h^1(F(-2)) \leq 1$ .

Let  $m$  be the line of  $P_L$  corresponding to  $H$ , then by property 5) we know that  $\kappa_{1|m} \simeq \kappa_1^H$  and the bundle on the right hand side is obtained by Barth-Elencajg's construction applied to  $F$ , hence by property 3),  $h^0(\kappa_1^H(-1)) = h^0(F(-2)) \leq 1$ .

It follows by (4) that we have only 2 possibilities for  $\kappa_{1|m}$ , namely:

- a)  $\kappa_{1|m} \simeq 3\theta_m$  if  $h^0(\kappa_{1|m}(-1)) = 0$ ;
- b)  $\kappa_{1|m} \simeq \theta_m(-1) \oplus \theta_m \oplus \theta_m(1)$  if  $h^0(\kappa_{1|m}(-1)) = 1$ .

Case a) can be excluded, as in [B-E], p. 18, looking at the Atiyah-Rees invariant of  $F$ ,  $\alpha(F) = h^0(F(-2)) + h^2(F(-2))$ . In this case  $h^0(F(-2)) = 0$  since  $F$  is semi-stable and, by property 4),  $h^2(F(-2)) = h^1(\kappa_1^H(-1)) = h^1(\kappa_{1|m}(-1)) = 0$  so that  $\alpha(F) = 0$ ; on the other hand, since  $F$  is the restriction to  $\mathbf{P}^3$  of a vector bundle in  $\mathbf{P}^3$ , by [A-R], Prop. 7.2 we must have  $\alpha(F) = \Delta(\Delta - 1)/12 \pmod{2}$ , where  $\Delta = (c_1^2 - 4c_2)/4$ , that is  $\alpha(F) = 1$ , absurd.

So, varying  $H$  among the hyperplanes of  $\mathbf{P}^4$  through  $L$ , we see that for every line  $m$  in  $P_L$ ,  $\kappa_{1|m} \simeq \theta_m(-1) \oplus \theta_m \oplus \theta_m(1)$  so that, by definition,  $\kappa_1$  is uniform. Uniform rank 3 vector bundles on  $\mathbf{P}^2$  were classified by ELENCAJG (see [E]). In our situation this classification implies that  $\kappa_1$  is one of the following:

- i)  $\theta_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-1) \oplus \theta_{\mathbf{P}^2} \oplus \theta_{\mathbf{P}^2}(1)$ ;
- ii)  $T\mathbf{P}^2(-2) \oplus \theta_{\mathbf{P}^2}(1)$  ( $T\mathbf{P}^2 =$  tangent bundle);

- iii)  $TP^2(-1) \oplus \theta_{P^2}(-1)$ ;
- iv)  $S^2 TP^2 \otimes \theta_{P^2}(-3)$ ; ( $S^2 = 2^{nd}$  symmetric power).

By property 3),  $h^0(\kappa_1(-1)) = h^1(E(-2))$  and this is 0, again by (2) and by the fact that  $S$  is linearly normal. This excludes case i) and case ii).

In case iii) we have  $h^1(\kappa_1(-1)) = h^1(TP^2(-2)) = 0$ , but, on the other hand, by property 4),  $h^1(\kappa_1(-1)) = h^2(E(-2))$  and by (2)  $h^2(E(-2)) = h^2(I_S(1))$ ; by (3)  $h^2(I_S(1)) = h^2(\theta_S(1))$  and by duality  $h^2(\theta_S(1)) = h^0(\theta_S) = q(S)$  which is 1, a contradiction.

It remains case iv). By property 2),  $h^0(\kappa_1) = h^1(E(-1))$  which, by (2), is equal to  $h^1(I_S(2))$ . By (3), since  $S$  is not contained in any quadric, we have  $h^1(I_S(2)) = h^0(\theta_S(2)) - h^0(\theta_{P^2}(2))$ ; we may use Riemann-Roch theorem on  $S$  to compute  $h^0(\theta_S(2))$ , in fact by duality  $h^2(\theta_S(2)) = h^0(\theta_S(-1)) = 0$  and  $h^1(\theta_S(2))$  is 0 by Kodaira vanishing; it follows by Riemann-Roch  $h^0(\theta_S(2)) = 17$  hence  $h^0(\kappa_1) = h^1(I_S(2)) = 2$ . But the bundle  $S^2 TP^2 \otimes \theta_{P^2}(-3)$  has no global sections, since  $S^2 TP^2 \otimes \theta_{P^2}(-3) \oplus \theta_{P^2}$  is isomorphic to  $\text{End}(TP^2)$  and  $TP^2$ , being stable, has only constants as endomorphisms (for more details, see [B-E], p. 22).

This excludes case iv) and proves the theorem.

REMARK 2. - As far as we know, the following can be said about the classification of smooth subcanonical surfaces in  $P^4$ .

Put  $\omega_S = \theta_S(e)$ .

a)  $e < 0$ . It is well known by the theory of surfaces that there are only complete intersections. They all are degenerate if  $e < -1$ , while for  $e = -1$  there are the degenerate cubic surface and the Del Pezzo surface in  $P^4$ , which is complete intersection of two quadrics.

b)  $e = 0$ . This very interesting case was completely solved by HORROCKS and MUMFORD. Indeed if  $\omega_S = \theta_S$  and  $S$  is not C.I., then it follows from the classification of surfaces ([Be], Th. VIII.2) that  $S$  must be an abelian variety of degree 10, and  $q(S) = 2$ . HORROCKS and MUMFORD proved in [H-M] that there are abelian surfaces with an embedding in  $P^4$  of degree 10; the corresponding vector bundle  $E$  is unique up to projective transformations. Up to shifting,  $E$  is the only known example of indecomposable rank 2 vector bundle on  $P^n$ ,  $n > 3$  (in characteristic 0).

c)  $e = 1$ . By Th. 1, there are only complete intersections.

d)  $e = 2k$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . If  $E$  is the HORROCKS-MUMFORD's bundle, then by its cohomology (calculated in [H-M], p. 74) it follows that a general section of  $E(k)$  has a codimension 2 zero locus which is a smooth surface  $S$  with  $\omega_S = \theta_S(2k)$  and  $q(S) = 0$ .

We do not know in  $P^4$  examples of smooth, non C.I., subcanonical surfaces with odd  $e$ , or examples of smooth subcanonical surfaces of general type with  $q(S) \neq 0$ .

We have an alternative way for proving Th. 1. In the previous argument we used the fact that the semistable bundle  $E$  was related to the surface  $S$ , in order to compute part of its cohomology and prove its non-existence. Using a different method, we are able to say something more about rank 2 vector bundles on  $\mathbf{P}^4$  with  $c_1 = 0$  and  $c_2 = 3$ ; namely we can state the following:

PROPOSITION 3. - *There are no semistable vector bundles on  $\mathbf{P}^4$  with Chern classes  $c_1 = 0$  and  $c_2 = 3$ .*

PROOF. - Let  $E$  be such a bundle. By BARTH-ELENCWAJG's theorem ([B-E], § 4)  $E$  cannot be stable, so  $h^0(E) \neq 0$  and  $h^0(E(-1)) = 0$ . It follows that  $E$  has a global section whose zero locus  $X$  has codimension 2 or is empty.

If  $X = \emptyset$ , then  $E$  would split into a sum of line bundles ([H3], 1.0.1)  $E = \theta_{\mathbf{P}^4}(a) \oplus \oplus \theta_{\mathbf{P}^4}(b)$ , with  $ab = 3$ ,  $a + b = 0$ , absurd.

It follows  $\text{codim}(X, \mathbf{P}^4) = 2$  and  $\theta_X(-5)$  is a dualizing sheaf for  $X$ , moreover  $X$  must be locally C.I. of degree 3.

Let  $Y$  be a general hyperplane section of  $X$ ;  $Y$  is subcanonical and  $\theta_Y(-4)$  is a dualizing sheaf, indeed  $Y$  is the zero locus of a global section of the bundle  $E|_Y$ . We show that  $Y$  is a triple line examining subcanonical subschemes of degree 3 in  $\mathbf{P}^3$ , locally C.I. (for a similar argument, see [H3], 9.1).

Since  $\text{deg } Y = 3$  and  $\omega_Y = \theta_Y(-4)$ , by reasons of genus  $Y$  cannot be reduced.  $Y$  must be connected, otherwise a connected component should be a line  $L$ , but  $\omega_L = \theta_L(-2)$ .

The case  $Y$  formed by a double line  $Y'$  and a line  $L$  intersecting in a point can be excluded; in fact the inclusion  $f: L \hookrightarrow Y$  implies, by [H1], III, Ex. 7.2 and 6.10, the isomorphism  $\omega_L \simeq f^! \omega_Y$ , which gives, by definition of  $f^!$ , a non zero map  $f_* \theta_L \rightarrow \theta_Y(-2)$ . The image of the section  $1_L$  of  $f_* \theta_L$  must vanish on  $L - (Y' \cap L)$  since every section of  $\theta_Y(-2)$  has support on  $Y'$ . But by [H4], Prop. III.6, the formation of  $f^!$  commutes with flat pullback and on the open set  $U = L - (Y' \cap L)$   $f^!$  « is » the identity, so the image of  $1_L$  cannot vanish on  $U$ , a contradiction.

It follows that  $Y$  must be a triple line; then every hyperplane section of  $X$  has support on a line, hence the support of  $X$  must be a plane  $\pi$  of  $\mathbf{P}^4$ , hence  $X$  is a non-reduced structure on a plane and  $X$  is locally C.I., so it has no embedded components moreover  $\text{deg } X = 3$ . We show that such an  $X$  must be degenerate.

Choose coordinates in  $\mathbf{P}^4$ ,  $x, y, z, w, t$ , such that  $\pi$  is defined by  $x = y = 0$ ; put  $I =$  homogeneous ideal of  $X =$  ideal spanned by the homogeneous polynomials which vanish on  $X$ .

STEP 1. - If  $F \in \mathbf{C}[x, y, z, w, t]$  is a homogeneous polynomial which vanishes on  $X$  at a closed point  $P \in \pi$  (i.e. the image of  $F$  in  $\theta_{\mathbf{P}^4, P}$  belongs to the ideal of  $X$  in  $\theta_{\mathbf{P}^4, P}$ ) then  $F$  vanishes on  $X$  in an open subset  $U \subseteq X$  and  $U$  is dense on  $X$  since  $X$  has support on  $\pi$  and no embedded components. Thus  $F$  vanishes on the whole  $X$ ,



i.e.  $F \in I$ . A fortiori the same is true if  $F$  vanishes on  $X$  at a non closed point of  $\pi$ , i.e. at the generic point of a closed subscheme of  $\pi$ .

STEP 2. - Put  $\bar{x} = x/t$  and define similarly  $\bar{y}, \bar{z}, \bar{w}$ . The ring  $\mathbf{C}(\bar{z}, \bar{w})[\bar{x}, \bar{y}]_{(\bar{z}, \bar{w})} = A_\xi$  can be canonically identified with the local ring of the generic point of  $\pi$  in  $\mathbf{P}^4$ . Let us continue to indicate, by abuse, the images of  $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}, \bar{w}$  in  $A$  by the same letters. Let  $I_\xi =$  ideal of  $X$  in  $A$ . Since  $X$  has support on  $\pi$ ,  $A_\xi/I_\xi$  is artinian and since  $X$  has multiplicity 3 at all points of  $\pi$ , then  $\text{length } A_\xi/I_\xi = 3$ . But this is possible only if  $\bar{x}^3, \bar{x}^2\bar{y}, \bar{x}\bar{y}^2, \bar{y}^3$  all belong to  $I_\xi$ . By step 1 this imply  $x^3, x^2y, xy^2, y^3 \in I$ .

STEP 3. - For every closed point  $P \in \pi$ ,  $I$  must contain a homogeneous element of the form  $\phi = \alpha(z, w, t)x + \beta(z, w, t)y +$  terms of higher degree in  $x, y$ , with  $\alpha(P) \neq 0$  or  $\beta(P) \neq 0$ . Indeed  $X$  is locally C.I., so let  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathbf{C}[x, y, z, w, t]$  be homogeneous elements which define hypersurfaces  $H_1, H_2$  whose intersection locally at  $P$  is  $X$ . Put, for  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $\phi_i = \alpha_i(z, w, t)x + \beta_i(z, w, t)y +$  terms of higher degree in  $x, y$ ; if  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$  all vanish at  $P$  then  $H_1, H_2$  both have multiplicity at least 2 at  $P$ , so  $X$  has multiplicity at least 4 at  $P$ , absurd. Then one of the  $\phi_i$ 's is of the required form and it belongs to  $I$  by step 1.

STEP 4. - It follows by step 2 and step 3 that  $I$  contains an element which can be written in the form  $\phi = Q(Ax + By) + Cx^2 + Dxy + Ey^2$ , with  $Q, A, B, C, D, E \in \mathbf{C}[z, w, t]$ ,  $A, B$  non both identically 0,  $Q \neq 0$  and  $A, B$  without common factors.

Let  $\phi' = A'x + B'y +$  terms of higher degree in  $x, y$ , be another element of  $I$ ; then we claim that there exists  $Q' \in \mathbf{C}[z, w, t]$  with  $A'x + B'y = Q'(Ax + By)$ . This is obvious if  $A'x + B'y \equiv 0$ , so assume this is not the case.

Let  $\varphi = q(a\bar{x} + b\bar{y}) + c\bar{x}^2 + d\bar{x}\bar{y} + e\bar{y}^2 \in \mathbf{C}[\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}, \bar{w}]$  be a dehomogeneization of  $\phi$ , where  $q = Q/t^{a+2e}$  and  $a, b, c, d, e$  are defined in the same way. Similarly take  $\varphi' = a'\bar{x} + b'\bar{y} +$  terms of higher degree in  $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$ , as a dehomogeneization of  $\phi'$ . By abuse we shall also consider  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi'$  as elements of  $\mathbf{C}(z, w)[x, y]$  or  $A_\xi$ .

Since  $qa \neq 0$  or  $qb \neq 0$ , then in  $A_\xi/(\varphi)$ ,  $\bar{x} \in (\bar{y})$  or  $\bar{y} \in (\bar{x})$  so that  $\text{length } A_\xi/I_\xi = \text{length } A_\xi/(\varphi, \bar{x}^3, \bar{x}^2\bar{y}, \bar{x}\bar{y}^2, \bar{y}^3) = 3$  hence  $(\varphi, \bar{x}^3, \bar{x}^2\bar{y}, \bar{x}\bar{y}^2, \bar{y}^3)$ , which is contained in  $I_\xi$ , must be equal to  $I_\xi$ .

Since  $\varphi' \in I_\xi$  then there exists  $\sigma \in \mathbf{C}(\bar{z}, \bar{w})[\bar{x}, \bar{y}]$ ,  $\sigma \notin (\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  such that  $\sigma\varphi' \in (\varphi, \bar{x}^3, \bar{x}^2\bar{y}, \bar{x}\bar{y}^2, \bar{y}^3)\mathbf{C}(\bar{z}, \bar{w})[\bar{x}, \bar{y}]$  so that  $\sigma\varphi' = \varrho\varphi +$  terms of degree at least 3 in  $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$ ; since  $\sigma$  must have non-vanishing constant term, then there are elements  $\sigma_0, \varrho_0 \in \mathbf{C}(z, w)$ ,  $\sigma_0, \varrho_0 \neq 0$  such that  $\sigma_0(a'\bar{x} + b'\bar{y}) = \varrho_0 q(a\bar{x} + b\bar{y})$ . Taking away denominators, we may assume  $\sigma_0, \varrho_0 \in \mathbf{C}[\bar{z}, \bar{w}]$  i.e. the previous relation holds in  $\mathbf{C}[\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}, \bar{w}]$ . Dividing by the common factors of  $\sigma_0$  and  $\varrho_0 q$ , we find relatively prime elements  $\sigma_1, \varrho_1 \in \mathbf{C}[\bar{z}, \bar{w}]$  with  $\sigma_1(a'\bar{x} + b'\bar{y}) = \varrho_1 q(a\bar{x} + b\bar{y})$ , i.e.  $\sigma_1 a' = \varrho_1 a$  and  $\sigma_1 b' = \varrho_1 b$ . Since  $\sigma_1$  does not divide  $\varrho_1$ , it must divide both  $a$  and  $b$ , but by assumption  $A$  and  $B$  are relatively prime in  $\mathbf{C}[z, w, t]$ , so their dehomogeneization are too.

This implies  $\sigma_1 \in \mathbf{C}$  so  $a' = a/\sigma_1$  and  $b' = b/\sigma_1$  and homogeneizing suitably, we find what we claimed.

STEP 5. -  $A$  and  $B$  are homogeneous of the same degree. If they are not constant there is a point  $P \in \pi$  where they both vanish. By the previous step, this means that no element of  $I$  can be written as  $\alpha(z, w, t)x + \beta(z, w, t) +$  terms of higher degree in  $x, y$ , with  $\alpha(P) \neq 0$  or  $\beta(P) \neq 0$ ; this contradicts step 3.

Thus  $A$  and  $B$  are constant. After a suitable change of the coordinates  $x$  and  $y$ , assume  $A = 1, B = 0$ ; so every element of  $I$  is of the form:  $Qx +$  terms of higher degree in  $x, y$ .

STEP 6. - Now  $\phi \in \mathbf{C}[x, y, z, w]$  is  $qx + cx^2 + dxy + ey^2$ . It is clear that in  $A_\xi$ ,  $\varphi_0 = qx + ey^2$  also belong to  $I_\xi = (\varphi, \bar{x}^3, \bar{x}^2\bar{y}, \bar{x}\bar{y}^2, \bar{y}^3)$ , so that the element  $\varphi_0 = qx + ey^2$  also belong to  $I_\xi = (\varphi, \bar{x}^3, \bar{x}^2\bar{y}, \bar{x}\bar{y}^2, \bar{y}^3)$ , so that the element  $\phi_0 = Qx + Ey^2$ , which is a homogeneization of  $\varphi_0$ , also belong to  $I$  by step 1; again if  $Q, E$  have a common factor  $F \in \mathbf{C}[z, w, t]$  and  $Q = Q_0F, E = E_0F$ , then  $Q_0x + E_0y^2$  also vanishes on  $X$  at the generic point  $\xi$  so by step 1  $Q_0x + E_0y^2 \in I$ ; it follows that we may assume  $Q$  and  $E$  relatively prime in  $\mathbf{C}[z, w, t]$ .

STEP 7. - If  $Q \in \mathbf{C}$ , by reason of degree  $E = 0$  and  $X$  is degenerate. So it remains to show that if  $Q$  is not a constant we get a contradiction.

In fact in this case there exists a point  $P \in \pi$  such that  $Q(P) = 0, E(P) \neq 0$  since  $Q, E$  have no common factor. Moreover by step 5 and step 3 there is an element  $\phi' = A'x +$  terms of higher degree in  $x, y$   $I$  such that  $A'(P) \neq 0$ . By changing the coordinates  $z, w, t$ , we may assume  $P = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$ ; let  $I_P$  be the ideal of  $X$  in  $\theta_{\mathbf{P}^4, P} = \mathbf{C}[\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}, \bar{w}]_{(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}, \bar{w})}$ . Let  $\pi'$  be the plane defined by  $z = w = 0$ ; then  $\pi' \cap X$  has support in  $P$  and degree 3, so we must have length  $\theta_{\mathbf{P}^4, P}/I_P + (\bar{z}, \bar{w}) = 3$ ; but  $I_P$  contains elements  $\varphi = q(\bar{z}, \bar{w})\bar{x} + e(\bar{z}, \bar{w})\bar{y}^2$  with  $q(0, 0) = 0, e(0, 0) \neq 0$  and  $\varphi' = a'(\bar{z}, \bar{w})\bar{x} +$  terms of higher degree in  $x, y$ , where  $a'(0, 0) \neq 0$ . It follows that  $\theta_{\mathbf{P}^4, P}/I_P + (\bar{z}, \bar{w}) = \mathbf{C}[\bar{x}, \bar{y}]/(\bar{x}, \bar{y}^2)$  so it has length 2, absurd.

To finish the proof of the proposition we only need to note that  $X$  would be the C.I. of a hyperplane and a cubic hypersurface; hence  $E = \theta_{\mathbf{P}^4}(3) \oplus \theta_{\mathbf{P}^4}(1)$ , absurd since  $c_1(E) = 0$ .

COROLLARY 4. - *In  $\mathbf{P}^4$  there are no irreducible, reduced canonical surfaces  $S$  of degree 12 with locally complete intersection singularities.*

PROOF. -  $S$  would be the zero locus of a section of a rank 2 vector bundle  $E'$  with Chern classes  $c_1(E') = 6$  and  $c_2(E') = 12$ . If  $S$  is not contained in any quadric hypersurface, then by  $0 \rightarrow \theta_{\mathbf{P}^4} \rightarrow E' \rightarrow I_S(6) \rightarrow 0$  ( $I_S =$  ideal sheaf of  $S$ ) it follows that  $E'$  would be semistable, hence  $E = E'(-3)$  would be a semistable rank 2 vector bundle on  $\mathbf{P}^4$  with Chern classes  $c_1(E) = 0$  and  $c_2(E) = 3$ , absurd by the previous Proposition.

Suppose  $S$  is contained in a quadric: then for a general hyperplane  $H, C = S \cap H$  is a reduced irreducible curve in  $\mathbf{P}^3$  which is subcanonical, since it is the zero locus of a section of  $E'|_H$ ; since  $C$  is contained in a quadric surface, it must be C.I. by 0.iii),

hence  $E'|_H \simeq \theta_H(2) \oplus \theta_H(q)$  and  $q$  is a positive integer such that  $q + 2 = c_1(E'|_H) = 6$  and  $2q = c_2(E'|_H) = 12$ , absurd.

REMARK 5. - By the discussion at the beginning of this section, it follows that the previous Corollary implies Th. 1.

The multiple points allowed for  $S$  in Corol. 4 are different from the ones allowed in [C]. Indeed in [C] general isolated singularities were allowed, hence  $S$  might be not locally C.I.

We shall use Prop. 3 also in section 3.

§ 2. - In this section we are going to study subvarieties of codimension 2 in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ ,  $n \geq 6$ .

Our interest moves from the following conjecture, stated by R. HARTSHORNE in 1974 (see [H2])

CONJECTURE. - *Let  $X$  be a smooth subvariety of dimension  $r$  in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ . If  $r > (2/3)n$ , then  $X$  is a complete intersection.*

In particular, if  $\text{codim } X = 2$ , then the conjecture implies that  $X$  is C.I. if  $n \geq 7$ ; in the same paper Hartshorne also posed the question about the existence of a non C.I., smooth subvariety of codimension 2 in  $\mathbf{P}^6$ .

The conjecture arose from a theorem of Barth and Larsen, which we shall use in the following form:

THEOREM (BARTH-LARSEN) (see [H2], th. 2.2). - *Let  $X$  be a nonsingular variety of dimension  $r$  in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ , then:*

- a) *the restriction map  $H^i(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathbf{C})$  is an isomorphism for  $i \leq 2r - n$ ;*
- b) *if  $r \geq (n + 2)/2$ , then  $\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbf{Z}$ , generated by the class of an hyperplane section.*

Note that for complete intersections, a) and b) above are consequences of Lefschetz's theorem.

Part b) implies that if  $X$  has « small » codimension in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ , then it is subcanonical, since we must have  $\omega_X = \theta_X(e)$  for some integer  $e$ ; in particular this holds for every codimension 2 smooth subvariety of  $\mathbf{P}^n$ ,  $n \geq 6$ .

Another consequence of Barth-Larsen's theorem that we need to point out is the following: if  $\text{codim } X = 2$  we have  $h^i(\theta_X) = 0$  for  $0 < i \leq r - 2$ . Indeed in this case, by a),  $h^i(X, \mathbf{C}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \text{ odd} \\ 0 & i \text{ even} \end{pmatrix}$ ; on the other hand, since  $i > 0$ , by Hodge decomposition we have  $h^i(X, \mathbf{C}) = \sum_{p+q=i} h^{p,q}(X, \mathbf{C}) \geq h^{0,i}(X, \mathbf{C}) + h^{i,0}(X, \mathbf{C}) = 2h^i(\theta_X)$ .

Hartshorne's paper also contains a wide survey on this subject up to 1974. After 1974 few progresses seems to be made in proving or confuting the conjecture; there is the following Zak's extension of a Severi's theorem:

**THEOREM (Zak)** (see [F-L]). - *If  $r \geq (2/3)n$ , then any smooth subvariety of  $\mathbf{P}^n$  of dimension  $r$  is linearly normal.*

Further progresses were made by Z. RAN in a recent preprint (see [R]). We give an account of the results of Ran that we are going to use.

Let  $X$  be a smooth, non-degenerate codimension 2 subvariety of  $\mathbf{P}^n$ , which is subcanonical (this last condition holds automatically, by Barth-Larsen's theorem, if  $n \geq 6$ ). Let  $E$  be the rank 2 vector bundle associated to  $X$ ; put  $c_1 = c_1(E)$ ,  $c_2 = c_2(E) = \deg X$  and for every  $t$  define  $f(t) = c_2(E(t - c_1)) = c_2 - tc_1 + t^2$ .

Ran proves that for every  $k \leq n - 2$  and for a generic point  $P \in \mathbf{P}^n - X$  the cone of  $(k + 1)$ -secants to  $X$  passing through  $P$  has degree  $f(0) \dots f(k)/k!$  ([R], p. 3). It follows that if  $f(0) \dots f(k) \neq 0$  then  $X$  cannot be contained in any surface  $W$  of degree  $k$ , since any  $(k + 1)$ -secant to  $W$  must be contained in  $W$  ([R], Th. 2).

Using this result, Ran proves that  $X$  is C.I. if either:

- a)  $c_1 \geq (c_2/m) + m$  for some  $m \in (0, n - 2]$ ;
- b)  $2\sqrt{c_2} \leq c_1 \leq 2n - 4$ .

In particular, if there are integers  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $c_1 = a + b$  and  $c_2 = ab$  (i.e.  $E$  has the same Chern classes of  $\theta_{\mathbf{P}^n}(a) \oplus \theta_{\mathbf{P}^n}(b)$ ) and one of them lies in  $(0, n - 2]$  (this holds automatically if  $c_1 \leq 2n - 4$ ,  $a, b > 0$ ) then by a),  $X$  is C.I.

Note that if  $X$  is contained in a surface of degree  $k \leq n - 2$ , then we must have  $f(0) \dots f(k) = 0$ , hence  $0 = f(i) = c_2 - ic_1 + i^2$  for some  $i \leq n - 2$ , hence  $c_2 = i(c_1 - i)$ ,  $c_1 = i + (c_1 - i)$  and  $i \in (0, n - 2]$  since  $c_2 = \deg X > 0$ ; it follows that  $X$  is C.I.

Using the previous discussion and Riemann-Roch formula for vector bundles we are able to prove the following particular case of the conjecture:

**THEOREM 6.** - Let  $X \subseteq \mathbf{P}^n$ ,  $n \geq 6$  be a smooth subvariety of codimension 2; then by Barth-Larsen's theorem  $\omega_X = \theta_X(e)$  for some integer  $e$ . If  $e \leq 0$  then  $X$  is complete intersection.

**PROOF.** - The isomorphism  $\omega_X \simeq \theta_X(e)$  induces on  $\mathbf{P}^n$  an extension

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \theta_{\mathbf{P}^n} \rightarrow E \rightarrow I_X(e + n + 1) \rightarrow 0$$

where  $I_X$  is the ideal sheaf of  $X$  and  $E$  is the rank 2 vector bundle associated to  $X$ , with Chern classes  $c_1(E) = e + n + 1$  and  $c_2(E) = \deg X$ .  $X$  is C.I. if and only if  $E$  splits into a sum of line bundles.

By [E-F], Corol. 1.7,  $E$  splits if and only if its restriction  $E|_H$  to a general hyperplane  $H$  does.  $E|_H$  is the vector bundle associated to the subscheme  $X \cap H$  of  $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ ; for  $H$  general,  $X \cap H$  is still nonsingular and  $\omega_{X \cap H} = \theta_{X \cap H}(e + 1)$  by adjunction formula; hence cutting with hyperplanes and making induction, we may reduce ourselves to prove the statement only for  $e \leq 0$ ,  $n = 6$  and  $e = 0$ , all  $n \geq 6$ .

Suppose  $n = 6, e \leq -1$ . Choose hyperplanes  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  such that  $V = X \cap H_1$  and  $S = V \cap H_2$  are smooth of dimension 3 and 2 respectively. Hence  $\omega_V = \theta_V(e + 1)$  and  $\omega_S = \theta_S(e + 2)$ . We claim that  $S$  is C.I. This follows from Remark 2a) for  $e \leq -3$  and from theorem 1 if  $e = -1$ ; if  $e = -2$  the claim follows from Remark 2b) since the irregularity  $h^1(\theta_S)$  of  $S$  is 0; indeed by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \theta_V(-1) \rightarrow \theta_V \rightarrow \theta_S \rightarrow 0$$

we get

$$H^1(\theta_V) \rightarrow H^1(\theta_S) \rightarrow H^2(\theta_V(-1))$$

and by duality  $h^1(\theta_V) = h^2(\theta_V(-1))$  which is 0 by Kodaira vanishing.

Thus we may assume  $e = 0, n \geq 6$ . We distinguish two cases.

CASE 1. -  $n$  odd,  $n = 2k + 1$ .

Since  $\dim X = n - 2$  and, by Kodaira vanishing,  $h^i(\theta_X(1)) = 0$  for  $0 < i \leq n - 2$ , then  $\chi(\theta_X(1)) = h^0(\theta_X(1))$ . By Zak's theorem  $X$  is linearly normal hence, if it is non-degenerate,  $h^0(\theta_X(1)) = n + 1$ . It follows from (1) twisted by  $-n$  that

$$(2) \quad \chi(E(-n)) = \chi(\theta_{\mathbf{P}^n}(-n))$$

for every vector bundle  $E$  on  $\mathbf{P}^n$  with  $c_1(E) = n + 1$ , which has a section whose zero locus is a smooth non-degenerate variety of codimension 2.

Riemann-Roch theorem assures us that  $\chi(E(-n))$  can be expressed as a polynomial, with total degree  $\leq n$ , in the Chern classes  $c_1 = c_1(E(-n)) = -n + 1 = -2k$  and  $c_2 = c_2(E(-n)) = d - n^2$  where  $d = \deg X$ .

Now fix  $n$ ;  $\chi(E(-n))$  becomes a polynomial  $T$  in  $c_2$ , which must satisfy (2), hence we see that only a finite number of values are allowed for  $d = \deg X$ , if  $X$  is non-degenerate, provided that  $T$  is non constant. We prove that  $T$  is not constant by computing its leading term.

A quick way to do it, following [H1], App. A, § 3, is to carry the computation on a sum of line bundles: put  $F = \theta_{\mathbf{P}^n}(a) \oplus \theta_{\mathbf{P}^n}(b)$ , then  $c_1(F) = a + b, c_2(F) = ab$  and

$$\chi(F) = \binom{a+n}{n} + \binom{b+n}{n};$$

the left hand side can be uniquely expressed as a polynomial in  $c_1(F)$  and  $c_2(F)$ ; this polynomial gives the Riemann-Roch formula for a generic rank 2 vector bundle.

We need a technical

LEMMA. - Let  $a, b, k$  be integers,  $k \geq 0$  and put  $a + b = c_1$ ,  $ab = c_2$ . Then

- i)  $a^{2k} + b^{2k} = (-1)^k 2c_2^k + \text{terms of lower degree in } c_2$ ;
- ii)  $a^{2k+1} + b^{2k+1} = (-1)^k (2k+1)c_1 c_2^k + \text{terms of lower degree in } c_2$ .

PROOF. - The proof is done by induction on  $k$ . Let us write « $\equiv$ » to mean «equal up to terms of lower degree in  $c_2$ ». Both formulas are obvious for  $k = 0$ , so let us suppose  $k > 0$ .

i)  $a^{2k} + b^{2k} = (a^k + b^k)^2 - 2c_2^k$ ; if  $k$  is even, by induction  $a^k + b^k \equiv (-1)^{k/2} 2c_2^{k/2}$  so that  $a^{2k} + b^{2k} \equiv 2c_2^k$ ; if  $k$  is odd, by induction again,  $(a^k + b^k)$  cannot contain terms of degree  $k$  in  $c_2$ , so i) is proved.

ii)  $a^{2k+1} + b^{2k+1} = (a^{2k} + b^{2k})(a + b) - ab(a^{2k-1} + b^{2k-1})$ ; by i) we have  $(a^{2k} + b^{2k})(a + b) \equiv 2c_1 c_2^k (-1)^k$  and by induction  $ab(a^{2k-1} + b^{2k-1}) \equiv c_2^k (-1)^{k-1} (2k-1)c_1$ ; adding we find ii).

Now we return to the proof of the theorem.

We have:

$$(3) \quad \chi(F) = \binom{a+n}{n} + \binom{b+n}{n} = \\ = ((a+n)(a+n-1) \dots (a+1) + (b+n)(b+n-1) \dots (b+1))/n! = \\ = ((a^n + b^n) + n(n+1)(a^{n-1} + b^{n-1})/2 + (\text{some coefficient})(a^{n-2} + b^{n-2}) + \dots)/n!$$

hence, by the Lemma, replacing  $n = 2k + 1$ ,  $ab = c_2$ ,  $a + b = c_1 = -2k$ , we have:

$$\chi(E(-n)) = \frac{1}{(2k+1)!} ((-1)^k (2k+1)(-2k)c_2^k + (-1)^k 2c_2^k (2k+1)(2k+2)/2 + \\ + \text{terms of lower degree in } c_2).$$

Thus the leading coefficient of  $T$  is  $(2(-1)^k (2k+1))/(2k+1)!$  and  $T$  has degree  $k$  in  $c_2$ .

It follows that, for fixed  $n$ , equation (2) has at most  $k$  roots in  $c_2$ . We know yet some of these roots: they are the numbers  $d - n^2$  where  $d$  is the degree of a non-degenerate C.I. of two hypersurfaces whose degrees have sum  $n + 1 = 2k + 2$ . This gives exactly  $k$  distinct values for  $d$ , namely  $d_1 = 2(2k)$ ,  $d_2 = 3(2k-1)$ , ...,  $d_k = (k+1)^2$ , hence the corresponding values for  $d - n^2$  exhaust all the roots of (2).

It follows that if  $X$  is non-degenerate, its degree must be one of the  $d_i$ 's, hence its associated vector bundle has the same Chern classes of a sum of line bundles of positive degree. Since by assumptions  $c_1(E) = n + 1 \leq 2n - 4$ , it follows by Ran's theorems that  $X$  is C.I.

If  $X$  is degenerate, then it is obviously C.I., so the case « $n$  odd» is proved.

CASE 2. -  $n$  even,  $n = 2k$ .

In this case we construct a formula similar to (2) which is valid also for degenerate  $X$ . We look at  $\chi(\theta_x)$ ; by Barth-Larsen's theorem if  $\dim X = r$  then  $h^i(\theta_x) = 0$ ,  $0 < i \leq r - 2$ , moreover since  $r > 3$ , this implies also  $h^{r-1}(\theta_x) = 0$  by duality; finally  $h^r(\theta_x) = h^0(\theta_x) = 1$  hence we have  $\chi(\theta_x) = 2$ .

From (1) twisted by  $-n-1$ , it follows:

$$(4) \quad \chi(E(-n-1)) = 1 + \chi(\theta_{P^n}(-n-1))$$

and this holds for every rank 2 vector bundle  $E$  with  $c_1(E) = n + 1$ , which has a section whose zero locus is a smooth subvariety of codimension 2.

But again  $\chi(E(-1-n))$  can be expressed as a polynomial in  $c_1 = c_1(E(-1-n)) = -n-1 = -2k-1$  and  $c_2 = c_2(E(-n-1)) = \deg X = d$ , hence fixing  $n$ ,  $\chi(E(-n-1))$  becomes a polynomial  $T'$  in  $c_2$  which must satisfy (4).

For the same computations as before, replacing in (3)  $n = 2k$ ,  $ab = c_2$ ,  $a + b = c_1 = -2k - 1$ , by the Lemma

$$\chi(E(-n-1)) = \frac{1}{(2k)!} ((-1)^k 2c_2^k) + \text{terms of lower degree in } c_2$$

hence  $T'$  has leading term  $(-1)^k 2/(2k)!$  and degree  $k$ . It follows that for fixed  $n$ , equation (4) has  $k$  roots in  $c_2 = d$ . But we know yet these roots: they are the degrees of complete intersections of two hypersurfaces whose degrees have sum  $n + 1$ , namely they are the (distinct) numbers  $\delta_1 = 1(2k)$ ,  $\delta_2 = 2(2k-1)$ , ...,  $\delta_k = k(k+1)$ . Once again it follows that the degree of  $X$  must be one of the  $\delta_i$ 's, hence  $E$  has the same Chern classes of a sum of line bundles of positive degree. Since  $c_1(E) \leq 2n - 4$ , by Ran's theorems this implies that  $X$  is C.I.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

REMARK 7. - We cannot use equation (4) to prove the case «  $n$  odd » because it becomes an identity: indeed it has degree  $\leq k$  in  $c_2 = d$  while complete intersections give  $k + 1$  distinct values for  $d$ , namely  $1(2k + 2)$ , ...,  $(k + 1)(k + 1)$ .

We cannot use equation (2) to prove the case «  $n$  even » because it has degree  $k$  in  $c_2 = d - n^2$  and non degenerate complete intersections give only  $k - 1$  values for  $d - n^2$ , so they do not exhaust all the roots of (2) but possibly there is a missing value.

§ 3. - Let us examine more closely the case of smooth subcanonical threefolds  $X$  in  $P^5$ .

REMARK 8. - Put  $\omega_x = \theta_x(e)$  and let  $S$  be a general smooth hyperplane section of  $X$ ; then  $\omega_s = \theta_s(e + 1)$ , moreover the irregularity of  $S$  is 0, indeed  $h^1(\theta_x) = 0$

by Barth-Larsen's theorem and we have the exact sequence  $H^1(\theta_x) \rightarrow H^1(\theta_s) \rightarrow H^2(\theta_x(-1))$  and, by Kodaira vanishing,  $h^2(\theta_x(-1)) = 0$ .

If  $e \leq 0$  it follows from Th. 1 and Remark 2 that  $S$  is C.I., hence also  $X$  is C.I.

**PROPOSITION 9.** - *If  $e = 1$ , then  $X$  is a complete intersection in  $\mathbf{P}^5$ .*

**PROOF.** - With the previous terminology, put  $d = \deg X = \deg S$ ;  $S$  is a smooth surface in  $\mathbf{P}^4$  and  $\omega_s = \theta_s(2)$  hence the formula (1) of § 1 ([H1], p. 434) gives strong restrictions on the possible values for  $d$ : indeed  $S$  is a surface of general type hence we must have  $\chi(\theta_s) > 0$  ([Be], Th. X.4) hence the only possible values for  $d$  are 4, 6, 10, 12, 16, 18, 22, 24.

There are no smooth surfaces in  $\mathbf{P}^4$  with  $\omega_s = \theta_s(2)$  and  $d = 4$ , while every such surface of degree 6, 10, 12 is C.I. In fact a general hyperplane section  $C$  of  $S$  is a smooth, connected subcanonical curve with  $\omega_c = \theta_c(3)$ , hence with genus  $g = (3/2)d + 1$ ; for  $d = 4$  no such curve exists; for  $d = 6$   $C$  is a plane curve and for  $d = 10$   $C$  is contained in a quadric, by CASTELNUOVO's bound; in both cases  $C$  must be C.I. (0.iii); for  $d = 12$ , by RIEMANN-ROCH,  $C$  is contained in a cubic and, if it does not lie on a quadric, it must lie on an irreducible quartic, thus  $C$  and  $S$  are C.I.

$S$  cannot have degree 18 or 22, indeed by formula (1) of § 1, we obtain respectively  $\chi(\theta_s) = 15$  and  $\chi(\theta_s) = 18$ ; in both cases, since  $g(S) = 0$ , the geometric genus  $p_g(S)$  is less than  $15 = h^0(\theta_{\mathbf{P}^4}(2))$ , thus  $S$  is contained in a quadric, hence  $C$  must be the complete intersection in  $\mathbf{P}^3$  of a quadric and another surface; this is impossible for reasons of degree, since  $\omega_c = \theta_c(3)$ .

$S$  cannot have degree 24 since we should have, by § 1, (1),  $\chi(\theta_s) = 8$  and this contradicts the celebrated Yau's inequality  $K_s \cdot K_s \leq 9\chi(\theta_s)$  for a surface of general type ( $K_s =$  canonical divisor of  $S$ ).

In the case  $d = 16$ , we have  $\chi(\theta_s) = 16$  and, by RIEMANN-ROCH,  $\chi(\theta_s(1)) = 8$  and  $p_g(S) = h^0(\theta_s(2)) = 15$  since  $g(S) = 0$ . From the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \theta_x \rightarrow \theta_x(1) \rightarrow \theta_s(1) \rightarrow 0$  we obtain:  $\chi(\theta_s(1)) = \chi(\theta_x(1)) - \chi(\theta_x)$  which is  $2 \cdot \chi(\theta_x(1))$  by duality, i.e.  $\chi(\theta_x(1)) = 4$ ; by Zak's theorem  $h^0(\theta_x(1)) = 6$ ; by duality  $h^3(\theta_x(1)) = 1$  and  $h^2(\theta_x(1)) = h^1(\theta_x)$  which is 0 by Barth-Larsen's theorem; furthermore  $h^1(\theta_x(2)) = 0$  by Kodaira vanishing; for reasons of degree,  $X$  cannot be C.I., hence it cannot lie on a quadric, thus  $h^0(\theta_x(2)) \geq 21$ . Putting all these numbers together, the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\theta_x(1)) \rightarrow H^0(\theta_x(2)) \rightarrow H^0(\theta_s(2)) \rightarrow H^1(\theta_x(1)) \rightarrow H^1(\theta_x(2)) \rightarrow 0$$

gives the contradiction.

**PROPOSITION 10.** - *If  $\omega_x = \theta_x(2)$  then  $X$  is a complete intersection in  $\mathbf{P}^5$ .*

**PROOF.** - Put  $d = \deg X$ . By Ran's theorems (§ 2) if  $X$  is contained in any cubic hypersurface, it is C.I. Thus assume  $X$  not contained in any cubic. The vector bundle  $E$  associated to  $X$  has Chern classes  $c_1(E) = 8$  and  $c_2(E) = d$ ; by the



sequence  $0 \rightarrow \theta_{\mathbf{P}^3} \rightarrow E \rightarrow I_X(3) \rightarrow 0$  ( $I_X$  = ideal sheaf of  $X$ ), since  $X$  is not contained in a cubic, it follows that  $h^0(E(-5)) = 0$ , i.e.  $E$  is semistable.

Suppose  $d \leq 16$ ; then by [B], § 3,  $E$  is not stable; it follows that  $E(-4)$  has a global section whose zero locus  $Y$ , by the semistability of  $E$ , is empty or has codimension 2. Since  $\deg Y = c_2(E(-4)) = d - 16$ , this implies that  $d = 16$  and  $Y = \emptyset$ , so that, by [H3], Th. 1.0.1,  $E$  is a sum of line bundles, i.e.  $X$  is C.I.

By Riemann-Roch formula on  $X$  (see [Mu], p. 40), if  $D \subseteq X$  is a divisor  $\chi(\theta_x(D)) = D^2/6 - (K_x D^2)/4 + (K_x^2 + c_2(TX))/12 - K_x(c_2(TX))/24$  ( $TX$  = tangent bundle,  $K_x$  = canonical divisor). By a straightforward computation, if  $\omega_x = \theta_x(e)$  then  $c_2(TX) = h^2(15 + e(6 + e) - d)$  where  $h$  is the class of an hyperplane section in the Chow ring of  $X$ ; thus in our case  $\chi(\theta_x(3)) = d(37 - d)/6$ . By Kodaira vanishing,  $\chi(\theta_x(3)) = h^0(\theta_x(3))$ ; if  $d \geq 24$  this is less than  $56 = h^0(\theta_{\mathbf{P}^3}(3))$ , hence  $X$  belongs to a cubic, absurd for reasons of degree.

It remains to examine what happens if the degree varies in the range  $16 < d < 24$ . Reducing ourselves to a general hyperplane section and using formula (1) of § 1 as before, we see that the only possible value for  $d$  is 19. In this case, for a general hyperplane  $H_1$   $E(-4)|_{H_1}$  would be semistable by [M], Th. 3.1 and would have Chern classes  $c_1 = 0$  and  $c_2 = 3$ ; this is impossible for a rank 2 vector bundle on  $\mathbf{P}^4$ , by Prop. 3.

REMARK 11. - Let  $X$  be a smooth codimension 2 subvariety of  $\mathbf{P}^6$ , with  $\omega_x = \theta_x(e)$ . The previous discussion shows that  $X$  is C.I. if  $e \leq 1$ . The same technique allows us to state the same result for  $e = 2$ .

Namely, formula (1) of § 1 for a generic intersection of  $X$  with a linear 4-space, implies that a priori  $d = \deg X$  can only have the values  $d < 20$  or  $d \geq 24$ . In the first case  $X$  is contained in a quartic hypersurface, hence, by Ran's theorems, it is C.I. In the second case, if  $V$  is a general smooth hyperplane section of  $X$ , by RIEMANN-ROCH,  $\chi(\theta_v) = 1 + d(42 - d)/8$  so that, since by Kodaira vanishing and by Barth-Larsen's theorem  $\chi(\theta_v) = h^0(\theta_v) - h^3(\theta_v) = 1 - h^0(\theta_v(3))$ , so we have  $h^0(\theta_v(3)) \leq 56 = h^0(\theta_{\mathbf{P}^5}(3))$ ; it follows that  $V$  is contained in a cubic hypersurface of  $\mathbf{P}^5$ , hence by Ran's theorems  $V$  and  $X$  are C.I.

Other cases can be handled in this way, but they seem to be too particular to be interesting.

We note that Ran's results *a*), *b*) and our theorem 6 give at once the following interesting result.

THEOREM 12. - *Let  $X$  be a non-singular codimension 2 subvariety of  $\mathbf{P}^n$ ,  $n \geq 6$ ; put  $c_2 := d := \deg X$ . If  $d \leq (n + 2)^2/4$ , then  $X$  is a complete intersection.*

Indeed look at Ran's inequalities *a*), *b*). Put  $\omega_x = \theta_x(e)$ . If  $c_1 \leq n + 1$ , then  $X$  is a complete intersection by theorem 6. If  $n + 2 < c_1 < 2n - 4$ , by Ran's inequality *b*), we have  $c_2 \geq c_1^2/4 \geq (n + 2)^2/4$ . If  $c_1 \geq 2n - 3$ , by Ran's inequality *b*), we have  $c_2 > (n - 2)(c_1 - n + 2) \geq (n - 2)(n - 1)$ .

Note that theorem 12 gives the first known quadratic bound for this problem. BARTH and VAN DE VEN proved the existence of a bound and then in [B-V] gave a linear bound.

REMARK 13. - We wish to point out the following extension, due to GRIFFITH and EVANS, of a classical theorem of GHERARDELLI for subcanonical curves (see [G]):

*if  $X$  is a smooth subcanonical variety of codimension 2 in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , then  $X$  is C.I. if and only if  $\forall m$  the map*

$$H^0(\theta_{\mathbf{P}^n}(m)) \rightarrow H^0(\theta_X(m))$$

*is surjective (see [E-G], Th. 2.4).*

## REFERENCES

- [A-R] M. F. ATIYAH - E. REES, *Vector Bundles on Projective 3-space*, Inv. Math., **35** (1976), pp. 131-153.
- [B] W. BARTH, *Some properties of stable rank 2 vector bundles on  $P_n$* , Math. Ann., **226** (1977), pp. 125-150.
- [B-E] W. BARTH - G. ELENCAJG, *Concernant la cohomologie des fibres algebriques stable sur  $P_n(C)$* , P. 1-24 on: *Varietes Analytiques Compactes - Colloque Nice 1977*, Springer Lecture Notes n. 683 (1978).
- [B-V] W. BARTH - A. VAN DE VEN, *On the geometry in codimension 2 of Grassmann manifolds*, P. 1-35 on: *Classification of Algebraic Varieties and Compact Complex Manifolds*, Springer Lecture Notes, n. 412 (1974).
- [Be] A. BEAUVILLE, *Surfaces Algebriques Complexes*, Asterisque, n. 54 (1978).
- [C] C. CILIBERTO, *Canonical surfaces with  $p_g = p_a = 5$  and  $K^2 = 10$* , Annali Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, serie IV, vol. 9 (1982), pp. 287-336.
- [E] G. ELENCAJG, *Les Fibres Uniformes de rang 3 sur  $P_2(C)$  sont Homogenes*, Math. Ann., **231** (1978), pp. 217-227.
- [E-F] G. ELENCAJG - D. FOSTER, *Bounding cohomology groups of vector bundles on  $P_n$* , Math. Ann., **246** (1980), pp. 251-270.
- [E-G] E. G. EVANS - P. GRIFFITH, *The syzygy problem*, Ann. of Math., **214**, n. 2 (1981), pp. 323-333.
- [F-L] W. FULTON - R. LAZARSFELD, *Connectivity and its applications in Algebraic Geometry*, on: *Algebraic Geometry, Proceedings, University of Illinois at Chicago Circle*, Springer Lecture Notes, n. 862 (1980).
- [G] G. GHERARDELLI, *Sulle curve sghembe algebriche intersezioni complete di due superficie*, Atti dell'Accademia Reale d'Italia, XXI (1942), pp. 128-132.
- [G-S] H. GRAUERT - M. SCHNEIDER, *Komplexe Unterräume und holomorphe Vectorraumbündel vom Rang zwei*, Math. Ann., **230** (1977), pp. 75-90.
- [G-P] L. GRUSON - C. PESKINE, *Genre des courbes dans l'espace projectif*, P. 31-59, on: *Algebraic Geometry Proceedings Tromso 1977*, Springer Lecture Notes, n. 687 (1978).
- [H1] R. HARTSHORNE, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, New York, 1977.

- [H2] R. HARTSHORNE, *Varieties of small codimension in projective space*, Bull. A.M.S., **80** (1974), pp. 1017-1032.
- [H3] R. HARTSHORNE, *Stable vector bundles on  $\mathbf{P}^3$* , Math. Ann., **238** (1978), pp. 229-280.
- [H4] R. HARTSHORNE, *Residues and Duality*, Springer Lecture Notes, n. 20 (1971).
- [H-M] G. HORROCKS - D. MUMFORD, *A rank 2 vector bundle on  $\mathbf{P}^4$  with 15,000 symmetries*, Topology, **12** (1973), pp. 63-81.
- [M] M. MARUYAMA, *Boundedness of semi-stable sheaves of small ranks*, Nagoya Math. J., **78** (1980), pp. 65-94.
- [Mu] J. P. MURRE, *Classification of Fano threefolds according to Fano and Iskovski*, on: *Algebraic Threefolds*, Springer Lecture Notes, n. 947 (1982).
- [R] Z. RAN, *The class of an Hilbert scheme inside another, with applications to Projective Geometry and special divisors*, (preprint).
- [Ra] P. RAO, *Liaison among curves in  $\mathbf{P}^3$* , Inv. Math., **50** (1979), pp. 205-217.
- [S] M. SCHNEIDER, *Holomorphic vector bundles on  $\mathbf{P}^n$* , P. 80-102, on: *Seminaire Bourbaki 1978*, Springer Lecture Notes, n. 770 (1978).
- [Se] F. SEVERI, *Intorno ai punti doppi impropri di una superficie generale dello spazio a quattro dimensioni e ai suoi punti tripli apparenti*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, **15** (1901), pp. 33-51.