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Summary. - This paper is concerned with the global solvability of the Cauchy problem for the abstract nonlinear equation

$$
u^{n}+\varphi_{1}(u) A_{1} u+\varphi_{2}(u) A_{2} u=0
$$

where $A_{1}, A_{2}$ are non-negative symmetric operators on an Hilbert space, while $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}$ are locally Lipschitz continuous non-negative functions, in a Banach seale.

## 0. - Introduction.

This paper is concerned with the global solvability of the Cauchy problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime \prime}+\varphi_{1}(u) \dot{A_{1}} u+\varphi_{2}(u) A_{2} u=0  \tag{1}\\
u(0)=u_{0}, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=u_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $A_{1}, A_{2}$ are nonnegative symmetric operators on an Hilbert space while $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}$ are nonnegative functions.

A special attention will be paid to the case
(2)

$$
\varphi_{i}(u)=f_{i}\left(\left\langle P_{i} u, u\right\rangle\right) \quad(i=1,2)
$$

(with $P_{i}$ not necessarly equal to $A_{i}$ ) in view of the applications to the PDE's. When $P_{i}=A_{i}$, problem (1) is of «variational type».

A special case of (1) is the problem
(3)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime \prime}+f(\langle A u, u\rangle) A u=0 \\
u(0)=u_{0}, \quad u(0)=u_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

which was investigated by many authors (see e.g. [B], [M], [D], [Po], [R], [AS1]); here $A: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ is a symmetric positive defined operator from a Banach space to
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its dual, i.e.
(4)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\langle A u, v\rangle=\overline{\langle A v, u\rangle} \\
\langle A u, u\rangle \geqslant c\|u\|_{V .}^{2} \quad(c>0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

while $f(r)$ is a nonnegative function on $\boldsymbol{R}^{+}$.
Now Pohožaty ([P]) proved the global existence for (3) under the assumptions
(5)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f \text { is locally Lipschitz continuous and } \\
f(r) \geqslant v>0 \quad \text { on } \boldsymbol{R}_{+}
\end{array}\right.
$$

provided that the initial data $u_{0}, u_{1}$ are $A^{\frac{1}{2}}$-analytic vectors.
We recall that a vector $v \in V$ is called $A^{\frac{1}{2}}$-analytic if $\exists K, A \geqslant 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall j \in N, \quad A^{j} v \in V \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\left\langle A^{j} v, v\right\rangle\right|^{\frac{1}{3}} \leqslant K \Lambda^{j} j!. \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A similar result was proved, under weaker hypotheses, in [AS1], where the global existence for problem (3) is obtained provided that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f \text { is continuous and } \geqslant 0 \quad \text { on } \boldsymbol{R}^{+}  \tag{7}\\
\int_{0}^{+\infty} f(r) d r=+\infty \quad \text { or } \quad \sup _{\mathbf{R}^{+}} f<+\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

The most natural step towards a generalization of problem (3) is probably problem (1). Here (see Theorem 1 below) we prove a global existence result for (1) in a Banach scale generated by an $n$-tuple $\boldsymbol{B}=\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}\right)$ of operators.

More precisely, given a Hilbert triplet $\left(V, H, V^{\prime}\right)$ (i.e. a reflexive Banach space $V$ together with a bounded symmetric embedding $I$ of $V$ into its dual space $V^{\prime}, H$ being the Hilbert space obtained by completing $V$ in the inner product $(v, w)_{H}:=$ $:=\langle I v, w\rangle ;\langle$,$\rangle is the duality map) and n$ operators $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}$ in $\mathcal{L}(V, H)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[B_{h}, B_{k}\right]=0, \quad h, k=1, \ldots, n \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

([,] denoting the commutator), we introduce the Banach spaces

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{r}(\boldsymbol{B}):=\left\{v \in V:\|v\|_{r}<+\infty\right\}, \quad r>0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the norms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{r}:=\sup _{j \in N}\left\|\boldsymbol{B}^{j} v\right\|_{H} \cdot \frac{r^{j}}{j!} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have set for brevity

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{B}^{\alpha}=B_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} 0 \ldots \circ B_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} \quad\left(\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in N^{n}\right)  \tag{11}\\
\left\|\boldsymbol{B}^{j} v\right\|_{H}:=\left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\
|\alpha|=j}} \frac{\left\|\boldsymbol{B}^{\alpha} v\right\|_{H}^{2}}{\alpha!^{2}}\right) \cdot j!\quad(j \in \boldsymbol{N})
\end{array}\right.
$$

The family $\left\{X_{r}(\boldsymbol{B})\right\}_{r>0}$ is called the Banach scale generated by the $n$-tuple $\boldsymbol{B}$.
The elements of the Fréchet space

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{0^{+}}(\boldsymbol{B}):=\bigcap_{r>0} X_{r}(\boldsymbol{B}) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

are called the $\boldsymbol{B}$-analytic vectors.
Our main result can then be stated as follows (see Th. 5.1):
THEOREM 1.-Let us consider Pb. (1), assuming that $A_{1}, A_{2}$ are bounded symmetric nonnegative linear operators from $V$ into $V^{\prime}$, which satisfy the conditions

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\|A_{i} v\right\|_{H} \leqslant M\left(\|v\|_{H}+\left\|\boldsymbol{B}^{1} v\right\|_{H}+\left\|\boldsymbol{B}^{2} v\right\|_{H}\right)  \tag{13}\\
\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=j} \frac{\left\|\left[A, \boldsymbol{B}^{\alpha}\right] v\right\|_{H}^{2}}{\alpha!^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leqslant C(j+2)\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=j} \frac{\left(A \boldsymbol{B}^{\alpha} v, \boldsymbol{B}^{\alpha} v\right)}{\alpha!^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+ \\
\quad+C(j+1)(j+2) \sum_{h=0}^{j}\left\|\boldsymbol{B}^{h} v\right\|_{H} / h!
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\forall j \in N\left(\alpha \in N^{n}\right)$, for $M, C \geqslant 0$, while $\varphi_{1}(u), \varphi_{2}(u)$ are locally Lipschitz continuous, bounded functions $\geqslant 0$ on $V$.

Then $P b$. (1) is globally well-posed in $X_{0^{+}}(\boldsymbol{B})$, in the sense that for each $u_{0}, u_{1}$ in $X_{0^{+}}(\boldsymbol{B})$ there is an unique solution

$$
u \in C^{2}\left(\left[0,+\infty\left[; X_{0^{+}}(\boldsymbol{B})\right)\right.\right.
$$

In the "variational" case in which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{i}(u)=f_{i}\left(\left\langle A_{i} u, u\right\rangle\right), \quad i=1,2 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

the functions $f_{i}: \boldsymbol{R}^{+} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{+}$are nonnegative, locally Lipschitz continuous, bounded on $\boldsymbol{R}^{+}$.

However, in this special case the assumption of boundedness can be replaced by the following one:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{+\infty} f_{i}(r) d r=+\infty \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for one or both functions $f_{i}$.

Thus in particular we have the following result for PDE's (see Theorem 6.1):
Corollary 2. - Consider the Cauchy problem, for real $p, q \geqslant 1$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t t}-\left(\int_{\Omega} u_{x}^{2} d x d y\right)^{p} u_{x x}-\left(\int_{\Omega} u_{y}^{2} d x d y\right)^{q} u_{y y}=0  \tag{16}\\
u(x, y, 0)=u_{0}(x, y) \\
u_{t}(x, y, 0)=u_{1}(x, y)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Omega$ is a given rectangle in $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}$. Then for every $u_{0}, u_{1}$ analytic $\Omega$-periodic functions there exists an unique solution $u$ in $C^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{2} \times\left[0,+\infty[)\right.\right.$. Moreover, $u(\cdot, \cdot, t)$ and $u_{l}(\cdot, \cdot, t)$ are analytic $\Omega$-periodic, for every $t \geqslant 0$.

## 1. - Notations.

We begin with some preliminary definitions and results. For a more thorough treatment, see [AS2], [C].

Definition 1.1. - A Banach scale is a family $\left\{X_{r}\right\}_{r \in I}$ of Banach spaces, $I$ interval of $\boldsymbol{R}$, with norms $\|\cdot\|_{r}$, such that each $X_{r}$ is continuously embedded in each $X_{r-\delta}$, $\delta>0$ (it is usually supposed that $\|\cdot\|_{r-\delta} \leqslant\|\cdot\|_{r}$ ).

The spaces $X_{r^{+}}:=\bigcup_{\delta>0} X_{r \rightarrow \delta}$ and $X_{\infty}:=\bigcap_{I} X_{r}$ are endowed with the locally convex inductive limit topology.

The space $X_{r^{-}}:=\bigcap_{\delta>0} X_{r-\delta}$ will have the inverse limit topology with respect to the embeddings of the scale; it is a Fréchet space.

Finally, the analyticity radius of a vector $v \in X_{s}$ is defined as the number

$$
r_{v}:=\sup \left\{r \in I: v \in X_{r}\right\}
$$

Definition 1.2. - A Banach scale is said to be dense in itself if, for every $r$, $r+\delta \in I, \delta>0, X_{r+\delta}$ is dense in $X_{r}$.

Definition 1.3. - A linear operator $\mathcal{A}$ on $\bigcup_{I} X_{r}$ is said to be of order $m$ in the scale if, when $r, r-\delta \in I, \delta>0, A X_{r} \subseteq X_{r-\delta}$ and there exists a constant $K$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A v\|_{r-\delta} \leqslant \frac{K}{\delta^{m}}\|v\|_{r} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the introduction we defined the concept of a Banach scale generated by an $n$-tuple of operators. We repeat it here in a more general setting.

Definition 1.4. - Let $\boldsymbol{B}=\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}\right)$ an $n$-tuple of operators on the Hilbert space $H$. With $D_{\infty}(\boldsymbol{B})$ we denote the intersection of all of the $D\left(B_{i_{1}} \circ \ldots \circ B_{i_{n}}\right)$, $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{h}$ varying in $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $h$ in $N . B$ is said to be closed if, given any sequence $\left\{v_{k}\right\}_{N}$ contained in all of the $D\left(B_{i}\right)$, converging to a $v \in H$, and such that $B_{i} v_{k} \rightarrow w_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$, then $v \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} D\left(B_{i}\right)$ and $w_{i}=B_{i} v, i=1, \ldots, n . \quad B$ is said to be commuting if $\left[B_{h}, B_{k}\right]=0$ on $D_{\infty}(\boldsymbol{B})$.

Definition 1.5. - Let $\boldsymbol{B}$ be a closed commuting $n$-tuple of linear operators on $H$. The family of Banach spaces

$$
X_{r}(\boldsymbol{B}):=\left\{v \in D_{\infty}(\boldsymbol{B}):\|v\|_{r}<+\infty\right\} \quad(r>0)
$$

with norms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{r}:=\sup _{j \geqslant 0}\left\|\boldsymbol{B}^{j} v\right\|_{H} \frac{r^{j}}{j!} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

(employing notation (11)) is called the Banach scale generated by $\boldsymbol{B}$.
In this frame it is possible to define a new kind of order for linear operators, stronger than the one in def. 1.3.

Definition 1.6. - Given a pair $(m, \Lambda)$ of positive numbers, a linear operator $A$ on $D_{\infty}(\boldsymbol{B})$ is said to be of $\omega$-order $(m, \Lambda)$ with respect to $\boldsymbol{B}$ if there exists a constant $K$ s.t.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\boldsymbol{B}^{j} A v\right\|_{H} \leqslant K \cdot(j+m)!\sum_{h=0}^{j+m}\left\|\boldsymbol{B}^{h} v\right\|_{H} \frac{\Lambda^{j+m-h}}{h!} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $j \in \boldsymbol{N}$ and $v \in D_{\infty}(\boldsymbol{B})$.
Remark 1.7. - If an operator $A$ has $\omega$-order $(m, \Lambda)$ with respect to $\boldsymbol{B}$, then it has order $m$ in the scale $\left\{X_{r}(B)\right\}_{r<1 / \Lambda}$ (def. 3). For a proof, see [AS2].

Remark 1.8. - Two Banach scales $\left\{X_{r}\right\}_{I},\left\{Y_{r}\right\}_{I}$ are said to be equivalent if

$$
X_{r-\delta} \subsetneq X_{r} \subsetneq Y_{r+\delta}
$$

with continuous embeddings, whenever $\delta>0, r-\delta, r, r+\delta \in I$.
In this case, the first Banach scale is dense in itself (def. 1.2) iff the second is.
In particular, the scale generated by $\boldsymbol{B}$ is equivalent to the scale

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{X}_{r}(\boldsymbol{B}):=\left\{v \in D_{\infty}(\boldsymbol{B}):\|v\|_{r}<+\infty\right\} \quad(r>0) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with norms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{r}:=\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}}\left\|\boldsymbol{B}^{j} v\right\|_{\boldsymbol{H}}^{2} \frac{r^{2 j}}{j!^{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{2}} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. - The global existence in the linear case.

The theorem of this paragraph, due to Arosio and Spagnolo ([AS2], [S]), will be crucial in order to get our global existence result for Pb . (1); thus we reproduce it here for sake of completeness.

We begin by establishing our basic setting, in which both linear and nonlinear global existence results (see § 5) are obtained.

Suppose that:
i) a Hilbert triplet $\left(V, H, V^{\prime}\right)$ is given;
ii) an $n$-tuple $\boldsymbol{B}=\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}\right)$ of commuting operators of $\mathcal{L}(V, H)$ is given;
iii) the norms $\|v\|_{V}$ and $\|v\|_{H}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|B_{i} v\right\|_{H}$ are equivalent on $V$. For sake of simplicity, we will assume that

$$
\|v\|_{V}=\left(\|v\|_{H}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|B_{i} v\right\|_{H}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} ;
$$

iv) a positive constant $\Lambda$ is given, and the Banach scale

$$
\left\{X_{r}(\boldsymbol{B})\right\}_{0<r<1 / \Lambda}
$$

is dense in ltself (def. 1.2).
In this same setting, we will say that a linear operator $A$ on $D_{\infty}(B)$ satisfies a quasi-commutativity condition with $\boldsymbol{B}$ if

$$
\left(\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=j  \tag{23}\\
\alpha \in N^{n}}} \frac{\left\|\left[A, \boldsymbol{B}^{\alpha}\right] v\right\|_{h}^{2}}{\alpha!^{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{2}} \leqslant c(j+2) \Lambda\left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha \mid=j \\
\alpha \in \boldsymbol{N}}} \frac{\left(A \boldsymbol{B}^{\alpha} v, \boldsymbol{B}^{\alpha} v\right)}{\alpha!^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}+\quad \begin{align*}
& \quad+c(j+1)(j+2) \sum_{h=0}^{j}\left\|\boldsymbol{B}^{h} v\right\|_{H} \frac{\Lambda^{j+2-h}}{h!}
\end{align*}
$$

for some nonnegative constant $c$, for every $j \in \boldsymbol{N}, v \in X_{0^{+}}(\boldsymbol{B})$. [, ] denotes the commutator, and we have employed notations (11).

We recall that a linear operator $A: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ is said to be symmetric if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A v, w\rangle=\overline{\langle A w, v\rangle}, \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and nonnegative if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A v, v\rangle \geqslant 0 . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can now state the global existence theorem for the linear problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v^{\prime \prime}+A(t) v=0  \tag{26}\\
v(0)=v_{0}, \quad v^{\prime}(0)=v_{1} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 2.1. - Let the setting be as in (22). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(0,+\infty ; \mathfrak{L}\left(V, V^{\prime}\right)\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

be a family of symmetric nonnegative linear operators $A(t): V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$, operating on $X_{0^{+}}(B)$.

Assume that, for every $j \in \boldsymbol{N}, \alpha \in \boldsymbol{N}^{n}$ and $v \in X_{0^{+}}(\boldsymbol{B})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{B}^{\alpha} A(\cdot) v \text { is H-measurable } \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

A(t) satisfies, for each $t \geqslant 0$, the quasi-commutativity condition (23) with $\boldsymbol{B}$, and precisely

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=j \\
\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n}}} \frac{\left\|\left[A, \boldsymbol{B}^{\alpha}\right] v\right\|_{H}^{2}}{\alpha!^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leqslant \sqrt{\alpha(t)}(j+2) \Lambda\left(\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=j \\
\alpha \in V^{n}}} \frac{\left(A \boldsymbol{B}^{\alpha} v, \boldsymbol{B}^{\alpha} v\right)}{\alpha!^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+  \tag{29}\\
&+\alpha(t) \cdot(j+1)(j+2) \sum_{h=0}^{j}\left\|\boldsymbol{B}^{n} v\right\|_{H} \Lambda^{j+2-h} / h! \\
&\|A(t) v\|_{H} \leqslant \beta(t)\left(\|v\|_{H}\right.\left.+\left\|\boldsymbol{B}^{1} v\right\|_{H}+\left\|\boldsymbol{B}^{2} v\right\|_{H}\right) \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

for some nonnegative locally integrable functions $\alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t)$.
Then Pb. (2) is globally solvable in $X_{0^{+}}(\boldsymbol{B})$.
More precisely, if the initial data $v_{0}, v_{1}$ belong to $X_{r_{0}}(\boldsymbol{B})$ for some $r_{0}<1 / \Lambda$, and we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(t):=r_{0} \exp \left[-\Lambda\left(1+\frac{2}{\sqrt{1-r_{0} \Lambda}}\right) \int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{\alpha(s)} d s\right] \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists an unique solution

$$
u \in \bigcap_{T>0} \bigcap_{r<r(T)} H^{1}\left(0, T ; X_{r}(\boldsymbol{B})\right)
$$

Remark 2.2. - Actually, we won't need this theorem in its full generality; in fact, we are interested in the special case of the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v^{\prime \prime}+\left(a_{1}(t) A_{1}+a_{2}(t) A_{2}\right) v=0  \tag{32}\\
v(0)=v_{0}, \quad v^{\prime}(0)=v_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is easy to see that, in order to satisfy hypotheses (27)-(30), it is sufficient to require that, for $k=1,2$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k} \in L_{\mathrm{loe}}^{1}(0,+\infty) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{l i} \geqslant 0 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

(35) $A_{k} \in \mathcal{L}\left(V, V^{\prime}\right)$ is symmetric and nonnegative;
(36) $A_{10}$ satisfies the quasi-commutativity condition (23) with $B$;
(37) $A_{k}$ is of $\omega$-order $(2, A)$ with respect to $\boldsymbol{B}$ (def. 1.6) (and therefore operates on $X_{0^{+}}(B)$ : remark 1.7).

## 3. - An extension of a theorem by Nishida.

In order to prove our global existence result for Pb . (1) we shall firstly prove the local existence. To this end, we will use a nonlinear version of Ovčiannikov's Theorem; such a result was obtained by Kano and Nishida for a first order equation (see [KN] for the original proof). It's not too difficult to generalize it to the case of an $m$-th order equation, for $m \geqslant 2$.

Theorem 3.1. - Let $\left\{X_{\varrho}\right\}_{I}$ be a Banach scale, $I=\left[\varrho, \varrho_{0}\right]$. Consider the Problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d^{m} u}{d t^{m}}=F(t, u(t)), \quad 0 \leqslant t \leqslant T  \tag{38}\\
u^{(j)}(0)=u_{j}, \quad j=1, \ldots, m-1, u_{j} \in X_{\varrho_{0}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $R_{0}=\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} T^{j}\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{\varrho_{0}}$, and $R>R_{0}$.
We will assume that:
i) the mapping $(t, u) \mapsto F(t, u)$ is continuous on $[0, T] \times\left\{u \in X_{\varrho}:\|u\|_{\varrho}<R\right\}$ with values in $X_{\varrho-\delta}\left(\right.$ for every $\varrho, \varrho-\delta \in\left[\bar{\varrho}, \varrho_{0}[, \delta>0)\right.$.
Moreover, there exists a $k \geqslant 0$ s.t.

$$
\|\boldsymbol{F}(t, 0)\|_{\varrho} \leqslant \frac{k}{\left(\varrho_{0}-\varrho\right)^{m}} \quad \text { for every } \varrho \in\left[\bar{\varrho}, \varrho_{0}[.\right.
$$

ii) For every $\varrho, \varrho^{\prime} \in\left[\bar{\varrho}, \varrho_{0}\left[\right.\right.$, with $\varrho^{\prime}>\varrho$, and $u$, vin $X_{\varrho^{\prime}}$ with $\|u\|_{\varrho^{\prime}}<R,\|v\|_{e^{\prime}}<R$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|F(t, u)-\vec{F}(t, v)\|_{\varrho} \leqslant C \frac{\|u-v\|_{\varrho^{\prime}}}{\left(\varrho^{\prime}-\varrho\right)^{m}} . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists a positive constant $\alpha$ such that (38) has an unique solution $u$ in $C^{m}\left(\left[0, \alpha\left(\varrho_{0}-\varrho\right)\left[; X_{\varrho}\right)\right.\right.$ for every $\varrho \in\left[\bar{\varrho}, \varrho_{0}\left[\right.\right.$. Moreover, $\|u(t)\|_{\varrho}<R$.

Proof. - The proof closely follows the idea of Nishida's theorem. However, we exhibit it for sake of completeness. We divide it into several steps.

1) We can suppose $\bar{\varrho}=0$. - Simply translate the scale, i.e. set $Y_{\varrho}:=X_{\varrho+\bar{\varrho}}$ and reformulate the theorem in this frame.
2) Integral version of the problem. - (38) is equivalent to

$$
u(t)=U_{0}(t)+\int_{0}^{t} d t_{2} \int_{0}^{t_{2}} d t_{3} \ldots \int_{0}^{t_{m}} d s F(s, u(s))
$$

where $U_{0}(t):=\sum_{j=0}^{m} t^{j} u_{j}$. Note that any solution of the integral equation is $C^{m i}$ as soon as it is continuous.
3) We can suppose $\vec{F}(t, 0)=0$. - It is sufficient to set

$$
\bar{U}_{0}=U_{0}+\iiint F(s, 0) d s, \quad \bar{F}(t, w):=F(t, w)-F(t, 0)
$$

4) The basic space. - Let $\alpha$ be a positive constant, whose value will be precised in the following. Let $X$ be the Banach space of functions belonging to $O\left(\left[0, \alpha\left(\varrho_{0}-\varrho\right)\left[; X_{\varrho}\right)\right.\right.$ for every $\varrho \in\left[0, \varrho_{0}[\right.$, with the norm

$$
M[u]:=\sup _{\varrho \in\left[0, e_{0}\left[t \left[0, \alpha\left(\varrho_{0}-\varrho\right)!\right.\right.\right.} \sup \|u(t)\|_{\varrho}\left(1-\frac{t}{\alpha\left(\varrho_{0}-\varrho\right)}\right)
$$

(finite on $X$ ). Define inductively the approximate solutions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{0}(t)=U_{0}(t) \\
& u_{k_{+1}}(t)=U_{0}(t)+\iiint F\left(s, u_{t}(s)\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

and set $\alpha_{0}=2 \alpha, \alpha_{k+1}=\alpha_{k}-\alpha_{0} \cdot 2^{-k-2}$, so that $\alpha_{k} \downarrow \alpha$.
We have to show that the $u_{r^{\prime}}$ 's are well defined (namely, that their values are in the domain of $F$, for suitable values of $t$ ).

We will proceed by induction. Suppose that for $k=0, \ldots, n$

$$
\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{\varrho}<R \quad \text { when } \varrho \in\left[0, \varrho_{0}\left[, t \in\left[0, \alpha_{k}\left(\varrho_{0}-\varrho\right)[\right.\right.\right.
$$

In this case we see immediately that $u_{n+1}$ is well defined, continuous on $\left[0, \alpha_{n+1}\left(\varrho_{0}-\varrho\right)\left[\right.\right.$ with values in $X_{\varrho}$. The same is true for $v_{k}:=u_{k+1}-u_{k}$ (for $k=0, \ldots, n)$.
5) More norms. - Put, for $k \geqslant 0$,

$$
M_{k}[u]:=\sup _{Q \in\left[0, \varrho_{0} I t \in\left[0, \alpha_{k}\left(\varrho_{0}-\varrho\right)[ \right.\right.} \sup \|u(t)\|_{Q}\left(1-\frac{t}{\alpha_{k}\left(\varrho_{0}-\varrho\right)}\right)
$$

and note that, for $k=1, \ldots, n$

$$
\lambda_{k} \equiv M_{k}\left[v_{k}\right]<+\infty .
$$

In fact, if $\left\|v_{k-1}(t)\right\|_{\varrho} \leqslant C_{0}$ for $\varrho \in\left[0, \varrho_{0}\left[, t \in\left[0, \alpha_{k-1}\left(\varrho_{0}-\varrho\right)\left[\right.\right.\right.\right.$, then $\left\|v_{k}(t)\right\|_{e} \leqslant$ $\leqslant \int_{0}^{t} \ldots \int_{0}^{t m} d s C \cdot\left\|v_{k-1}(s)\right\|_{\varrho^{\prime}}\left(\varrho^{\prime}-\varrho\right)^{-m} \leqslant C \cdot C_{0} \cdot \alpha_{k}^{m}$ for $t \in\left[0, \alpha_{k-1}\left(\varrho_{0}-\varrho\right)\left[\right.\right.$ (and any $\left.\varrho^{\prime} \in\right] \varrho, \varrho_{0}[$ ) (apply (39)).
6) Estimate of $\lambda_{k}$. - Set $\varrho(s)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\varrho_{0}-s / \alpha_{0}+\varrho\right)$; from (39) and the assumption in step 3 we have

$$
\left\|F\left(t, u_{0}(t)\right)\right\|_{e} \leqslant C \cdot\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\varrho_{0}-\frac{s}{\alpha_{0}}\right)\right)^{-m} \cdot\left\|u_{0}(t)\right\|_{e^{(s)}}
$$

(note that, if $s \in\left[0, \alpha_{0}\left(\varrho_{0}-\varrho\right)[\right.$, then $\varrho(s) \in] \varrho, \varrho_{0}[)$ whence

$$
\lambda_{0} \leqslant \sup _{\varrho \in\left[0, \ell_{0}\left[t \in \left[0, \alpha_{0}\left(\varrho_{0}-\varrho\right)[ \right.\right.\right.} \sup \left\{C R_{0}\left(\iiint \frac{2^{m} \cdot \alpha_{0}^{m}}{\left(\alpha_{0}\left(\varrho_{0}-\varrho\right)-s\right)^{m}} d s\right) \cdot\left(1-\frac{t}{\alpha_{0}\left(\varrho_{0}-\varrho\right)}\right)\right\} .
$$

The integral here equals (writing $\sigma=\alpha_{0}\left(\varrho_{0}-\varrho\right)$ )

$$
\frac{2^{m} \alpha_{0}^{m}}{(m-1)!} \ln \frac{\sigma}{\sigma-t} \leqslant \frac{2^{m} \alpha_{0}^{m}}{(m-1)!} \frac{t}{\sigma-t}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{0} \leqslant \frac{2^{m} C R_{0}}{(m-1)!} \alpha_{0}^{m} . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $\lambda_{k}$ : in this case we set $\varrho(s)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\varrho_{0}-s / \alpha_{k+1}+\varrho\right)$; we have

$$
\left\|v_{k+1}(t)\right\|_{\varrho} \leqslant C \int_{0}^{t} \ldots \int_{0}^{t_{m}} d s \frac{\left\|v_{k}(s)\right\|_{\varrho(s)}}{(\varrho(s)-\varrho)^{m}} \leqslant C \iiint \frac{\lambda_{k}}{\left(1-s / \alpha_{k}\left(\varrho_{0}-\varrho(s)\right)\right)} \frac{d s}{\left(\varrho(s)-\varrho_{0}\right)^{m}}
$$

by the definition of $\lambda_{k}$. If in the last formula we replace $\alpha_{k}$ with $\alpha_{k+1}$ (note that $\alpha_{k+1}<\alpha_{k}$ ), after a few passages we have

$$
\left\|v_{k+1}(t)\right\|_{Q} \leqslant C \lambda_{k} 2^{m} \alpha_{k+1}^{m} \iiint \frac{\alpha_{k+1}\left(\varrho_{0}-\varrho\right)+s}{\left\{\alpha_{k+1}\left(\varrho_{0}-\varrho\right)-s\right\}^{m+1}} d s .
$$

Applying the estimate of the Appendix, with $\sigma=\alpha_{k+1}\left(\varrho_{0}-\varrho\right)$, we finally obtain

$$
\left\|v_{k+1}\left(t_{\varrho}\right)\right\| \leqslant 2^{m+1} \frac{m-1}{m!} c \alpha_{k+1}^{m} \lambda_{k} \cdot\left(1-\frac{t}{\alpha_{k+1}\left(\varrho_{0}-\varrho\right)}\right)^{-1}
$$

for $\varrho \in\left[0, \varrho_{0}\left[, t \in\left[0, \alpha_{k+1}\left(\varrho_{0}-\varrho\right)[\right.\right.\right.$. Therefore

$$
\lambda_{k+1} \leqslant 2^{m+1} \frac{m-1}{m!} c \alpha_{k+1}^{m} \lambda_{k} \leqslant 2^{m+1} \frac{m-1}{m!} c \alpha_{0}^{m} \cdot \lambda_{k} .
$$

If $\alpha_{0}$ is sufficiently small, we have then, for $k=0, \ldots, n-1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k+1} \leqslant \frac{1}{3} \lambda_{k} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

7) Well-definition of $u_{k}^{\prime}$ 's. - First of all note that, for $k=0, \ldots, n$

$$
\left\|v_{1 k}(t)\right\|_{\mathrm{e}} \leqslant \lambda_{r_{k}} \cdot\left(1-\alpha_{k} / \alpha_{k+1}\right)^{-1}
$$

when $\varrho \in\left[0, \varrho_{0}\left[, t \in\left[0, \alpha_{k+1}\left(\varrho_{0}-\varrho\right)\left[\left(b y\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.$ def. of $\left.\lambda_{k}\right)$ so that

$$
\left\|u_{k+1}(t)\right\|_{\varrho} \leqslant \lambda_{k}\left(1-\alpha_{k+1} / \alpha_{k}\right)^{-1}+\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{\varrho} \leqslant \sum_{j=0}^{k} \lambda_{j}\left(1-\alpha_{j+1} / \alpha_{j}\right)^{-1}+\left\|u_{0}(t)\right\|_{\varrho}
$$

for $k=0, \ldots, n$ (by summing up for $j=0$ to $k$ ). From (41) it follows

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{k} \lambda_{j}\left(1-\alpha_{j+1} / \alpha_{j}\right)^{-1} \leqslant 12 \lambda_{0}
$$

(as $\left(1-\alpha_{j+1} / \alpha_{j}\right)^{-1}=2^{j+2} \alpha_{j} / \alpha_{j} \leqslant 2^{j+2}$ ). But from (40), if we choose a (possibly) smaller $\alpha_{0}$, we can obtain $\lambda_{0}<\left(R-R_{0}\right) / 24$ and finally, for $k=0, \ldots, n, \varrho \in\left[0, \varrho_{0}[\right.$, $t \in\left[0, \alpha_{k+1}\left(\varrho_{0}-\varrho\right)[\right.$

$$
\left\|u_{k+1}(t)\right\|_{\varrho} \leqslant \frac{R-R_{0}}{2}+R_{0}<R
$$

whence the desired well-definition condition on $u_{n+1}$. But note that the estimates (40), (41) are independent of $n$, so that with our choice of $\alpha_{0}$ (and consequently of $\alpha=\alpha_{0} / 2$ ) the approximated solutions $u_{k}$ will be well defined and continuous for $k \geqslant 0$.
8) Convergence of $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$. - On $\left[0, \alpha\left(\varrho_{0}-\varrho\right)\left[\left(\varrho \in\left[0, \varrho_{0}[)\right.\right.\right.\right.$

$$
\left\|u_{k+1}(t)-u_{k}(t)\right\|_{\varrho}=\left\|v_{k}(t)\right\|_{\varrho} \leqslant \lambda_{k}\left(1-\frac{t}{\alpha_{k}\left(\varrho_{0}-\varrho\right)}\right)^{-1}<\lambda_{k}\left(1-\frac{t}{\alpha\left(\varrho_{0}-\varrho\right)}\right)^{-1}
$$

therefore

$$
M\left[u_{k+1}-u_{k}\right] \leqslant \lambda_{k}
$$

The convergence of $\sum \lambda_{k}$ implies the convergence of $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ in $X$ to an $u(t)$, such that

$$
\|u(t)\|_{e} \leqslant R_{0}+\frac{R-R_{0}}{2}
$$

for $t$ in $\left[0, \alpha\left(\varrho_{0}-\varrho\right)[\right.$.
9) $u$ is a solution. - Fix $\left.\varrho^{\prime} \in\right] \varrho, \varrho_{0}\left[\right.$; for $t \in\left[0, \alpha\left(\varrho_{0}-\varrho\right)[\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| U_{0}(t)+\iiint F(s, u(s)) d s- & u(s) \|_{\varrho} \leqslant \\
& \leqslant \iiint\left\|F(s, u(s))-F\left(s, u_{k}(s)\right)\right\|_{\varrho} d s+\left\|u_{k_{+1} 1}(t)-u(t)\right\|_{\varrho} \leqslant \\
& \leqslant \frac{c}{\left(\varrho^{\prime}-\varrho\right)^{m}} \iiint\left\|u(s)-u_{k}(s)\right\|_{\varrho^{\prime}} d s+\left\|u_{k+1}(t)-u(t)\right\|_{\varrho}
\end{aligned}
$$

and observe that the convergence in $X$ implies the uniform convergence of $u_{k}$ with values in $X_{\varrho}^{!}$(for any $\varrho \in\left[0, \varrho_{0}[\right.$ ).
10) Uniqueness. - Fix a $\left.\varrho_{1} \in\right] 0, \varrho_{0}[$, and set on $X$

$$
M^{\mathrm{I}}[u]:=\sup _{\varrho \in\left[0, \varrho_{1}\right]} \sup _{t \in\left[0, \alpha\left(\varrho_{1}-\varrho\right) \mathrm{E}\right.}\|u(t)\|_{e}\left(1-\frac{t}{\alpha\left(\varrho_{\mathbf{1}}-\varrho\right)}\right)
$$

If $u, v$ are solutions, then $M^{1}[u], M^{1}[v]$ are finite (as $M^{1} \leqslant M$ ).
Setting $w=u-v, \varrho(s)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\varrho+\varrho_{1}-s / \alpha\right)$,

$$
\|w(t)\|_{\varrho} \leqslant C \iiint\|w(s)\|_{\varrho(s)}(\varrho(s)-\varrho)^{-m} d s
$$

on $\left[0, \alpha\left(\varrho_{1}-\varrho\right)[\right.$, and therefore

$$
\|w(t)\|_{\varrho} \leqslant 2^{m} C \alpha^{m+1} M^{1}[w] \iiint \frac{\alpha\left(\varrho_{1}-\varrho\right)+s}{\left\{\alpha\left(\varrho_{1}-\varrho\right)-s\right\}^{m+1}} d s
$$

Applying again the estimate given in the Appendix (with $\sigma=\alpha\left(\varrho_{1}-\varrho\right)$ ) we have
so that

$$
\|w(t)\|_{\varrho} \leqslant 2^{m+1} C \cdot \frac{1}{m!} \cdot \alpha^{m} \cdot\left(1-\frac{t}{\alpha\left(\varrho_{1}-\varrho\right)}\right)^{-1}
$$

that

$$
M^{1}[w] \leqslant \frac{2^{m+1}}{m!} C \alpha^{m} M^{1}[w] .
$$

If $\alpha$ is small enough, this implies $M^{1}[w]=0$, that is,

$$
w(t)=0 \quad \text { on }\left[0, \alpha\left(\varrho_{1}-\varrho\right)[\right.
$$

and this result holds for any $\left.\varrho_{1} \in\right] 0, \varrho_{0}[$.
Remark 3.2. - Actually, an analogous proof is valid for the integral equation

$$
u(t)=u_{0}(t)+\int_{0}^{i} d t_{2} \int_{0}^{t_{3}} d t_{3} \ldots \int_{0}^{t_{m}} d s F(t, s, u(s))
$$

for any $u_{0}$ continuous on $\left[0, A_{0}\left(\varrho_{0}-\varrho\right)\left[\right.\right.$ with values in $X_{\varrho}\left(\varrho \in\left[0, \varrho_{0}\left[, A_{0}>0\right)\right.\right.$, and such that $\left\|u_{0}(t)\right\|_{\varrho} \leqslant R_{0}$.

## 4. - The local existence.

In this section, we first specialize Th. 3.1 to Pb . (1); secondly, to the particular case $\varphi_{k}(u)=f_{k}\left(\left\langle P_{k_{k}} u, u\right\rangle\right)$, where $P_{l_{k}}$ can be different from $A_{k}$.

In the following section, it will be showed that the local solution thus obtained can be prolonged to a global one, under suitable assumptions.

Suppose we have a Banach space $V$, together with its dual space $V^{\prime}$, and a Banach scale $\left\{X_{r}\right\}_{r>0}$ in $V$. Actually, all that is needed is a scale $\left\{X_{r}\right\}_{1}$, with $I$ containing a (left) neighbourhood of $r_{0}, r_{0}$ being the index of the space $X_{r_{0}}$ where the initial data are chosen.

We consider problem (1) with the following assumptions $(k=1,2)$ :
(42) $\quad A_{k}: X_{\bar{r}} \rightarrow X_{\bar{r}}$ is a linear operator of order 2 in the scale $\left\{X_{r}\right\}_{r \geqslant \bar{r}}$ (def. 1.3), with $0<\bar{r}<r_{0}$,
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{k}: X_{\bar{r}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C} \quad \text { is locally Lipschitz continuous. } \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.1. - Problem (1) under assumptions (42), (43) is locally solvable in the scale $\left\{X_{r}\right\}_{r \geqslant \bar{r}} ;$ more precisely, for every initial data $u_{0}, u_{1}$ in $X_{r_{0}}, r_{0}>\bar{r}$, there exists a positive constant $\alpha$ such that (1) has an unique solution in $C^{2}\left(\left[0, \alpha\left(r_{0}-r\right)\left[; X_{r}\right)\right.\right.$ for every $r \in\left[\bar{r}, r_{0}[\right.$.

Proof. - Set, for $u \in X_{\bar{r}}$,

$$
F(u)=-\varphi_{1}(u) A_{1} u-\varphi_{2}(u) A_{2} u
$$

Pb . (1) becomes a particular case of (38), with $m=2$ and $F$ independent of $t$. We need only prove that $F$ satisfies assumptions i), ii) of Th. 3.1. Continuity is selfevident; we have to estimate the difference $F(u)-F(v)$.

Fix an $R>\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{r_{0}}+T\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{r_{0}}(T>0$ arbitrary $)$. Denote with $L_{i}$ the Lipschitz constant of $\varphi_{i}$ on $\left\{u \in X_{\bar{r}}:\|u\|_{\bar{r}}<R\right\}$; it will be as well its Lipschitz constant on all of the sets $\left\{u \in X_{r}:\|u\|_{r}<R\right\}$ with $\bar{r} \leqslant r \leqslant r_{0}$. Denote with $k_{i}$ the constants such that, whenever $r^{\prime}>r \geqslant \bar{r}$

$$
\left\|A_{i} v\right\|_{r^{\prime}} \leqslant k_{i} \frac{\|v\|_{r}}{\left(r^{\prime}-r\right)^{2}}
$$

for every $v \in X_{r^{\prime}}$. Then we have, if $u, v \in X_{r^{\prime}},\|u\|_{r^{\prime}}<R,\|v\|_{r^{\prime}}<R$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\varphi_{i}(u) A_{i} u-\varphi_{i}(v) A_{i} v\right\|_{r} \leqslant\left\|\varphi_{i}(u) A_{i} u-\varphi_{i}(v) A_{i} u\right\|_{r} & +\left\|\varphi_{i}(v) A_{i} u-\varphi_{i}(v) A_{i} v\right\|_{r} \leqslant \\
& \leqslant\left(L_{i} R+\mid \varphi_{i}(v) \|\right) \cdot \frac{k_{i}}{\left(r^{\prime}-r\right)^{2}} \cdot\|u-v\|_{r^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and majorizing $\left|\varphi_{i}(v)\right|$ with

$$
\left|\varphi_{i}(0)\right|+\left|\varphi_{i}(v)-\varphi_{i}(0)\right| \leqslant\left|\varphi_{i}(0)\right|+R \cdot L_{i}
$$

we obtain

$$
\|F(u)-F(v)\|_{r} \leqslant C \frac{\|u-v\|_{r^{\prime}}}{\left(r^{\prime}-r\right)^{2}}
$$

with $O=\sum_{i=1,2}\left(2 L_{i} R+\left|\varphi_{i}(0)\right| \cdot k_{i}\right)$.
For the next result we have to make an additional hypothesis on the Banach scale: we will suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { the embeddings } X_{r} \subsetneq V \text { are continuous for } r \geqslant \bar{r} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is always the case for Banach scales generated by operators (as it is readily seen).

We will consider the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime \prime}+f_{1}\left(\left\langle P_{1} u, u\right\rangle\right) A_{1} u+f_{2}\left(\left\langle P_{2} u, u\right\rangle\right) A_{2} u=0  \tag{45}\\
u(0)=u_{0}, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=u_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where, for $k=1,2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{k}: \boldsymbol{C} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C} \quad \text { is locally Lipschitz continuous. } \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 4.2. - Consider Pb. (45), where $A_{k}$ and $f_{k}$ satisfy (42) and (46) respectively, while $P_{b_{0}}(k=1,2)$ satisfies one of the following assumptions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{k} \in \mathcal{L}\left(V, V^{\prime}\right) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

or
(48) $\quad P_{k}: X_{\bar{r}} \rightarrow X_{\bar{r}}$ is of finite order in the scale (def. 1.3), and a continuous embedding $V \underset{\rightarrow}{\subsetneq} V^{\prime}$ is given.

Finally, we suppose that the scale satisfies (44).
Then Pb. (45) is locally solvable in the scale $\left\{X_{r}\right\}_{r>\bar{r}}$; more precisely, for every initial data $u_{0}, u_{1}$ in $X_{r_{0}}, r_{0}>\bar{r}$, there exists a positive constant $\alpha$ such that (45) has an unique solution in $C^{2}\left(\left[0, \alpha\left(r_{0}-r\right)\left[; X_{r}\right)\right.\right.$ for every $r \in\left[\bar{r}, r_{0}[\right.$.

Proof. - We just have to prove, under both hypotheses on $P_{i}$, that $\varphi_{i}(u)=$ $=f_{i}\left(\left\langle P_{i} u, u\right\rangle\right)$ is locally Lipschitz continuous on $X_{\bar{r}}$. Fix an $R>0$, and $u, v \in X_{\bar{r}}$ with $\|u\|_{\vec{r}},\|v\|_{\bar{r}}<R$.

In the first case (47)

$$
\left|\left\langle P_{i} u, v\right\rangle\right| \leqslant\left\|P_{i}\right\|_{\mathcal{E}\left(,, r^{\prime}\right)} \cdot \sigma^{2}\|u\|_{\bar{r}} \cdot\|v\|_{\bar{r}}
$$

if $\sigma$ is such that $\|\cdot\|_{V} \leqslant \sigma\|\cdot\|_{\bar{r}}$ (hyp. (44)).
In the second case (48)

$$
\left|\left\langle P_{i} u, v\right\rangle\right| \leqslant\left\|P_{i} u\right\|_{v} \cdot\|v\|_{v} ;
$$

the continuity of $V \subseteq V^{\prime}$ implies $\|\cdot\|_{V^{\prime}} \leqslant \sigma_{1}\left\|_{V} \cdot\right\|_{V}^{3}$, and fixed a $\delta>0$, the continuity of $X_{\bar{r}+\delta} \subset V$ implies $\|\cdot\|_{V} \leqslant \sigma_{2}\|\cdot\|_{\bar{r}+\delta}$, so that

$$
\left|\left\langle P_{i} u, v\right\rangle\right| \leqslant \sigma \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}\left\|P_{i} u\right\|_{\bar{r}+\delta} \cdot\|v\|_{\bar{r}} \leqslant \frac{k_{i}}{\delta^{m_{i}}} \sigma \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}\|u\|_{\bar{r}}\|v\|_{\bar{r}}
$$

(where $m_{i}$ is the order of $P_{i}$ in the scale and $k_{i}$ its constant).
In both cases, we see that $\left|\left\langle P_{i} u, v\right\rangle\right|$ is bounded by a constant dependent only on $R$, if $\|u\|_{\bar{r}},\|v\|_{\bar{r}}<R$; therefore $f_{i}$ can be considered to be Lipschitz continuous, with constant $\mathcal{L}_{i}$, in the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\varphi_{i}(u)-\varphi_{i}(v)\right| \leqslant\left|f_{i}\left(\left\langle P_{i} u, u\right\rangle\right)-f_{i}\left(\left\langle P_{i} u, v\right\rangle\right)\right|+ & \left|f_{i}\left(\left\langle P_{i} u, v\right\rangle\right)-f_{i}\left(\left\langle P_{i} v, v\right\rangle\right)\right| \leqslant \\
& \leqslant L_{i}\left(\left|\left\langle P_{i} u, u-v\right\rangle\right|+\left|\left\langle P_{i}(u-v), v\right\rangle\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and, applying the preceding estimates, we obtain the thesis.

## 5. - The global existence in the nonlinear case.

We have now all the necessary tools to prove our global existence results for Pb. (1). The setting will be the one stated in (22): a Hilbert triplet ( $V, H, V^{\prime}$ ), a commuting $n$-tuple $\boldsymbol{B}$ in $\mathcal{L}(V, H)$ generating the norm of $V$, and the resulting Banach scale $\left\{X_{r}(\boldsymbol{B})\right\}_{r>0}$, dense in itself for $\left.r \in\right] 0,1 / \Lambda[$.

Theonem 5.1. - Let us consider problem (1) under the following assumptions, $k=1,2$ :
(49) $\quad A_{k c} \in \mathcal{L}\left(V, V^{\prime}\right)$ is a symmetric nonnegative operator of $\omega$-order (2, 1 ) with respect to $\boldsymbol{B}$ (def. 1.6);
(50) $\quad A_{k}$ satisfies the quasi-commutativity condition (23) with $\boldsymbol{B} ; \varphi_{k}: X_{0^{+}}(\boldsymbol{B}) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{+}$ is a bounded nonnegative function, locally Lipschitz continuous on $X_{r}$ for any $r>0$.

Then $P b$. (1) is globally solvable in $X_{0^{+}}(\boldsymbol{B})$.
More precisely, if $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}$ are bounded by the constant $M$, and we set

$$
r(t):=r_{0} \exp \left[-\Lambda\left(1+\frac{2}{\sqrt{1-r_{0} \Lambda}}\right) M t\right]
$$

then for every choice of the initial data $u_{0}$, $u_{1}$ in $X_{r_{0}}$, with $0<r_{0}<1 / \Lambda$, there exists an unique solution

$$
u \in \bigcap_{T>0} \bigcap_{r<r(T)} C^{2}\left([0, T] ; X_{r}(\boldsymbol{B})\right)
$$

Proof. - 1) Lemma. - If $\bar{u} \in \mathscr{O}^{2}\left(\left[0, \bar{T}\left[; X_{\bar{r}}(B)\right)\right.\right.$, with $0<\bar{r}<r_{0}$, is a solution of Pb. (1), then $\bar{u} \in C^{2}\left([0, T] ; X_{r}(\boldsymbol{B})\right)$ for every $r<r(T), T<\bar{T}$ (where $r(t)$ is the function defined above); moreover, when $t \rightarrow \bar{T}^{-}, \bar{u}(t)$ and $\bar{u}^{\prime}(t)$ converge in $X_{r}$ for $r<r(T)$.

To prove this, put ( $k=1,2$ )

$$
a_{k}(t):= \begin{cases}\varphi_{k}(u(t)) & \text { for } t \in[0, \bar{T}[ \\ 0 & \text { for } t \geqslant \bar{T}\end{cases}
$$

Each $a_{k}$ is nonnegative, bounded by $M$ and continuous on [ $0, \bar{T}$ [ (as $\varphi_{k}$ is continuous on $X_{r}$ ).

Then the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v^{\prime \prime}+a_{1}(t) A_{1} v+a_{2}(t) A_{2} v=0  \tag{51}\\
v(0)=u_{0}, \quad v^{\prime}(0)=u_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

satisfies conditions (32)-(37) of Remark 2.2, therefore (as the solution of (51) is unique)

$$
\bar{u}=v \in \bigcap_{\bar{T}>T>0} \bigcap_{r<r(T)} H^{1}\left(0, T ; X_{r}(\boldsymbol{B})\right) .
$$

Note that, if $v \in C\left(\left[0, T\left[; X_{r}(B)\right),(T<\bar{T})\right.\right.$, then $A_{k} v$ is in $C\left(\left[0, T\left[; X_{r}(B)\right)\right.\right.$ for every $r^{\prime}<r$ (as $A_{v}$ is of finite order in the scale), whence $v \in C^{2}\left(\left[0, T\left[; X_{r^{\prime}}(\boldsymbol{B})\right)\right.\right.$; but $v$ is in $C\left(\left[0, T\left[; X_{r}(B)\right)\right.\right.$ when $0<T<T, 0<r<r(T)$, so that

$$
\bar{u}=v \in C^{2}\left([0, I] ; X_{r}(\boldsymbol{B})\right)
$$

(from the continuity of $r(t)$ ).
Finally, remarking that

$$
v \in C^{1}\left(\left[0, T\left[; X_{r}(\boldsymbol{B})\right)\right.\right.
$$

when $T>0,0<r<r(T)$, (in fact, $v^{\prime \prime}$ has its only discontinuity point in $\bar{T}$, but has finite right and left limits there) we complete the proof of the Lemma.
2) It is easy to see that all of the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied (see in particular Remark 1.7). This guarantees the existence of a local solution.

Let now $T^{*}$ be the supremum of the $T>0$ such that $\left.\exists r_{T} \in\right] 0, r_{0}[, \exists u \in$ $C^{2}\left(\left[0, T\left[; X_{r T}(\boldsymbol{B})\right)\right.\right.$ solution of (1). We will show that $T^{*}=+\infty$.

From the uniqueness part of Th. 4.1 it follows that two any local solutions coincide on the intersection of their domains.

This allows us to define a «maximal» solution $\bar{u}$ on [0, $T^{*}\left[\right.$. For every $T<T^{*}, \bar{u}$ is in $C^{2}\left(\left[0, T\left[; X_{r_{T}}(\boldsymbol{B})\right)\right.\right.$ and therefore in

$$
\bigcap_{T^{*}>T>0} \bigcap_{r<r(T)} C^{2}\left([0, T] ; X_{r}(\boldsymbol{B})\right)
$$

(Lemma). Suppose now that $T^{*}<+\infty ; \bar{u}$ and $\bar{u}^{\prime}$ have a left limit in $T^{*}$ (in $X_{r}$ with $r<r\left(T^{*}\right)$ ), so that we can apply Th. 4.1 again, starting in $t=T^{*}$. This produces a solution $\overline{\bar{u}}$ on $\left[T^{*}, T^{*}+\varepsilon\left[\right.\right.$, and $\bar{u}$ prolonged with $\overline{\bar{u}}$ is at least $C^{1}$. Proceeding as in the proof of the Lemma, i.e., linearizing the equation, we obtain that the prolonged solution is in

$$
\bigcap_{T^{*}+\varepsilon>T>0} \bigcap_{r<r(T)} C^{2}\left([0, T] ; X_{r}(\boldsymbol{B})\right)
$$

thus contradicting the maximality of $T^{*}$.
A last application of the Lemma gives us the final result; uniqueness is an obvious consequence of the uniqueness of the local solution.

In Corollary 4.2 we gave a local existence result for a particular form of Pb . (1), namely

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime \prime}+f_{1}\left(\left\langle P_{1} u, u\right\rangle\right) A_{1} u+f_{2}\left(\left\langle P_{2} u, u\right\rangle\right) A_{2} u=0  \tag{45}\\
u(0)=u_{0}, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=u_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We will suppose now in addition that, for $k=1,2$
(52) $\quad f_{k}: \boldsymbol{C} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{+}$is a nonnegative, bounded, locally Lipschitz continuous function.

Corollary 5.2. - Suppose that, for $k=1,2, A_{k}$ verifies (49), (50), while $f_{k}$ verifies (52). If each $P_{k}$ satisfies one of the following conditions

$$
P_{k} \in \mathcal{L}\left(V, V^{\prime}\right)
$$

or
$P_{k}$ is a linear operator on $X_{0^{+}}(\boldsymbol{B})$, of finite order in the scale $\left\{X_{r}(\boldsymbol{B})\right\}_{r>0}$ (def.1.3) then $P b$. (45) is globally solvable in $X_{0^{+}}(\boldsymbol{B})$.

More precisely, the conclusion of Th. 5.1 holds true (if $f_{1}, f_{2}$ are bounded by the constant $M$ ).
${ }^{2}{ }_{2}^{2}$ Proof. - Cor. 4.2 holds under assumption (44), that is always verified by Banach scales generated by operators; moreover, $V$ is continuously embedded in $V^{\prime}$ in an Hilbert triplet. Proceed now as in the proof of Th. 5.1, using Cor. 4.2 instead of Th. 4.1.

We finally focus our attention on a very special case of Pbs. (1), (45), the "variational» problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{n}+f_{1}\left(\left\langle A_{1} u, u\right\rangle\right) A_{1} u+f_{2}\left(\left\langle A_{2} u, u\right\rangle\right) A_{2} u=0  \tag{53}\\
u(0)=u_{0}, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=u_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

which is particularly interesting, as in this case we can replace the hypothesis

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{k} \text { bounded } \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the more useful one

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{+\infty} f(r) d r=+\infty \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

THEOREM 5.3. - Suppose that, for $k=1,2, A_{k}$ verifies (49), (50), while each $f_{k}: \boldsymbol{R}^{+} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{+}$is a nonnegative, locally Lipsohitz continuous function, verifying one of the assumptions (54), (55).

Then Pb. (53) is globally solvable in $X_{0^{+}}(\boldsymbol{B})$.
More preeisely, there exists a constant $M>0$ such that the conclusion of Th. 5.1 holds.

Proof. - Set $F_{k}^{\prime}(s):=\int_{0}^{s} f_{k}(r) d r$. If $u(t)$ is a solution of (53) on the interval [0, $T[, ~$

$$
E_{u}(t):=\frac{1}{2}\left\{F_{1}\left(\left\langle A_{1} u(t), u(t)\right\rangle\right)+F_{2}\left(\left\langle A_{2} u(t), u(t)\right\rangle\right)+\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{H}^{2}\right.
$$

It is easily verified that $E_{u}^{\prime}=0$, so that

$$
E_{u}(t)=E_{u}(0) \quad \text { if } t \in[0, T[
$$

Note that the nonnegativity of $f_{k}$ implies the nonnegativity of $F_{k}$, therefore $F_{k}\left(\left\langle A_{k} u(t), u(t)\right\rangle\right)(k=1,2)$ is bounded on $[0, T[$.

Suppose now that $f_{k}$ verifies (55): then $F_{k}(r) \rightarrow+\infty$ if and only if $r \rightarrow+\infty$, then $\left\langle A_{k} u(t), u(t)\right\rangle$ is bounded, too. As $f_{k}$ is a continuous function, it follows that $f_{k}\left(\left\langle A_{k} u(t), u(t)\right\rangle\right)$ is bounded on [0, $T[$.

If $f_{k}$ is itself bounded, the same result holds.
We can now proceed as in the proof of Th. 5.1: the only difference is that, when linearizing the equation, the boundedness of $a_{k}(t)$ follows from the argument above (instead of being an immediate consequence of the assumptions).

Remark 5.4. - All of the preceeding results can be extended to the more general problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime \prime}+\varphi_{1}(u) A_{1} u+\ldots+\varphi_{n}(u) A_{n} u=0  \tag{56}\\
u(0)=u_{0}, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=u_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with no particular difficulty. Note also that it's possible to perform any sort of "redistribution" of the assumptions: each $\varphi_{i}$ can satisfy any one of the conditions stated in Ths. 5.1, 5.3, and Cor. 5.2, the thesis remaining the same.

REMARK 5.5. - A slightly more general result can be proved: namely, in Pb. (56) we can suppose that, for some (one or more) $K$,

$$
A_{K} \in \mathscr{L}(V, H) \text { is of } \omega \text {-order }(1, \Lambda) \text { with respect to } B
$$

(with no assumption of nonnegativity, symmetry or commutativity) while the corresponding $\varphi_{K}$ satisfy

$$
\varphi_{K} \text { is bounded, locally Lipschitz continuous on } X_{r}(\boldsymbol{B}) \text { for every } r>0
$$

(with no assumption of nonnegativity, or even to be realvalued).
This is not surprising, as we can see such terms as "perturbations» of order one to an equation of order 2.

## 6. - Applications.

Let $\Omega$ be a parallelepiped in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$. With $\mathcal{A}_{\text {per }}(\Omega)$ we will denote the space of analytic $\Omega$-periodic functions on $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$.

Consider the problem, for real $p, q \geqslant 1$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t t}-\left(\int_{\Omega} u_{x}^{2} d x d y\right)^{p} u_{x x}-\left(\int_{\Omega} u_{y}^{2} d x d y\right)^{q} u_{y y}=0  \tag{16}\\
u(x, y, 0)=u_{0}(x, y) \\
u_{i}(x, y, 0)=u_{1}(x, y)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Omega$ is a rectangle in $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}=\boldsymbol{R}_{x} \times \boldsymbol{R}_{y}$.

Theorem 6.1. - Pb. (16) is globally solvable in $\mathscr{A}_{\text {per }}(\Omega)$. More precisely, given any $u_{0}, u_{1} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {per }}(\Omega)$, there exists a unique solution $u$ in $C^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{2} \times[0,+\infty[)\right.$, and for every $t \geqslant 0, u(\cdot, \cdot, t)$ and $u_{t}(\cdot, \cdot, t)$ are in $\mathcal{A}_{\text {per }}(\Omega)$.

Proof. - Set $H=L^{2}(\Omega) ; V=\left\{v \in H_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}(\Omega): v\right.$ is $\Omega$-periodic $\}$, with the norm of $H^{1}(\Omega) ; V^{\prime}$ will result to be the space of $H_{\text {loc }}^{-1} \Omega$-periodic functions.

We choose $\boldsymbol{B}=\left(\partial / \partial x, \partial_{k} \partial y\right)$; with this assumption, $D_{\infty}(\boldsymbol{B})$ is the space of $C^{\infty}$ $\Omega$-periodic functions, while $X_{r}(\boldsymbol{B})$ are spaces of analytic $\Omega$-periodic functions with uniform radius of convergence. Moreover, $X_{0^{+}}(\boldsymbol{B})=\mathcal{A}_{\text {per }}(\Omega)$.

Writing $A_{1}=-\partial^{2} / \partial x^{2}, A_{2}=-\partial^{2} / \partial y^{2}$, our equation becomes

$$
u^{\prime \prime}+\left(\left\langle A_{1} u, u\right\rangle\right)^{p} A_{1} \mathrm{~J}+\left(\left\langle A_{2} u, u\right\rangle\right)^{q} A_{2} u=0
$$

satisfying the assumptions of Th. 5.3 (note that $\boldsymbol{A}_{1}, A_{2}$ commute with $\boldsymbol{B}^{\alpha}$, so that (23) is trivially verified, and are of order $(2, \Lambda)$ for any $\Lambda>0)$.

Finally, the scale $X_{r}(\boldsymbol{B})$ is dense in itself: apply Remark 1.8 (noting that, for $r>0, \tilde{X}_{r}(\boldsymbol{B})$ contains the trigonometric polynomials $)$.

Consider now the problem in $\boldsymbol{R}_{x}^{n} \times \boldsymbol{R}_{t}^{+}$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t t}-\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla_{x} u\right|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u-\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(a_{i j}(x) u_{x_{j}}\right)_{x_{i}}=0  \tag{57}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

under the assumptions

$$
\begin{cases}a_{i j} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{per}}(\Omega), & i, j=1, \ldots, n  \tag{58}\\ \left|D^{\alpha} a_{i j}(x)\right| \leqslant 0_{0} \Lambda_{0}^{|\alpha|}, & \forall \alpha \in N_{i}^{n}, x \in \Omega \\ a_{i j}=\overline{a_{j i}} \text { and } \sum a_{i j} \xi_{i} \xi_{j} \geqslant 0 \quad & \text { for every }\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n}\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 6.2. - Pb. (57) under the assumptions (58) is globally solvable in $\mathcal{A}_{\text {per }}(\Omega)$. More precisely, given any $u_{0}, u_{1} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {per }}(\Omega)$, there exists an unique solution $u$ in $O^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n} \times\left[0,+\infty[)\right.\right.$, and for every $t \geqslant 0, u(\cdot, t)$ and $u_{t}(\cdot, t)$ are in $\mathcal{A}_{\text {ver }}^{\text {䅇 }}(\Omega)$.

Proof. - The proof follows the lines of the preceding one, with $A_{1}=-\Delta_{x}$, $A_{2}=-\sum\left(a_{i j}(x) v_{x_{j}}\right)_{x_{i}}, f_{1}(r)=r, f_{2}=1, \boldsymbol{B}=\left(\partial / \partial x_{1}, \ldots, \partial / \partial x_{n}\right)$. The only difference is the verification of hypotheses (49), (50), that follows, under assumptions (58), from Lemmas 4.1, 4.2 of [AS2].

In a similar way, an application of Corollary 5.2 yields an analogous global existence result in $\mathcal{A}_{\text {per }}(\Omega)$ for the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t t}-\Delta u-\psi\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \sum\left(a_{i j}(x) u_{x_{j}}\right)_{x_{i}}=0  \tag{59}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{i}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

under hypotheses (58), and assuming that
(60) $\quad \psi: \boldsymbol{R}_{+} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}_{+} \quad$ is nonnegative, bounded and locally Lipschitz continuous .

## 7. - Appendix.

Lemida. - Let $\sigma>0, t \in[0, \sigma[, m \geqslant 2$; then

$$
I(t)=\int_{0}^{t} d t_{2} \ldots \int_{0}^{t_{n}} d s \frac{\sigma+s}{(\sigma-s)^{m+1}} \leqslant \frac{2(m-1)}{m!}\left(1-\frac{t}{\sigma}\right)^{-1}
$$

Proof. - As

$$
\frac{d^{m}}{d s^{m}}\left[\frac{1}{m!} \frac{1}{\sigma-s}\right]=(\sigma-s)^{-m-1}, \quad \frac{d^{m}}{d s^{m}}\left[\frac{1}{(m-1)!} \ln (\sigma-s)\right]=-(\sigma-s)^{-m}
$$

writing

$$
\frac{\sigma+s}{(\sigma-s)^{m+1}}=2 \sigma(\sigma-s)^{-m-1}-(\sigma-s)^{-m}
$$

we obtain

$$
I(t)=\left[\frac{1}{m!} \frac{2 \sigma}{\sigma-s}+\frac{1}{(m-1)!} \cdot \ln (\sigma-s)\right]_{s=0}^{s=t}=\frac{2 t}{m!(\sigma-t)}+\frac{1}{(m-1)!} \ln \frac{\sigma-t}{\sigma} .
$$

As $\ln x \leqslant x-1$,

$$
I(t) \leqslant \frac{1}{m!}\left(m \frac{t^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}-(m-2) \frac{t}{\sigma}\right) \frac{\sigma}{\sigma-t} \leqslant \frac{2(m-1)}{m!} \frac{\sigma}{\sigma-t}
$$
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