# On the Genus of a Hyperplane Section of a Geometrically Ruled Surface (\*).

ALDO BIANCOFIORE - ELVIRA LAURA LIVORNI

**Summary.** - In this paper we estimate the minimal genus of hyperplane sections of a geometrically ruled surface.

#### Introduction.

Let D be a divisor on a geometrically ruled surface  $\pi: X \to C$ . If  $C_0$  is a minimal section and f is fiber on X we can write  $D \equiv aC_0 + bf$ . For a fixed number a we have studied two related problems:

- I) What is the minimal b (call it  $b_a$ ) such that  $D \equiv aC_0 + bf$  is very ample?
- II) What is the minimal genus  $\lambda_a$  of a very ample divisor D?

For g = g(C) = 0 (see [Ha, Corollary, V.2.18]) we have  $b_a = ae + 1$  and  $\lambda_a = (1/2)a(a-1)e$ , where  $e = -C_0 \cdot C_0$  is an invariant of X.

In this paper we obtain some answers for  $g \ge 1$ . In particular if g = 1 our answer (§ 6) is sharp i.e.

$$b_a = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} ae + 3 & \text{if } e \geqslant 0 \text{ and any } a \text{ or } e = -1 \text{ and } a \leqslant 3 \\ 1 - (a/2) + \varepsilon(a) & \text{if } e = -1 \text{ and } a \geqslant 4 \end{array} \right.$$

where

$$\varepsilon(a) = \begin{cases}
1 & \text{if } a \text{ even} \\
(1/2) & \text{if } a \text{ odd}
\end{cases}$$

and

$$\lambda_a = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} (1/2) \, a (a-1) \, e + 3 a - 2 & \mbox{if } e \geqslant 0 \mbox{ and any } a \mbox{ or } e = -1 \mbox{ and } a \leqslant 3 \mbox{} \\ (a-1) \, arepsilon(a) + a & \mbox{if } e = -1 \mbox{ and } a \geqslant 4 \mbox{ .} \end{array} 
ight.$$

<sup>(\*)</sup> Entrata in Redazione il 12 giugno 1985. Indirizzo degli AA.: Istituto di Matematica, Via Roma, 33 - 67100 L'Aquila.

For  $g \geqslant 2$  we found (§ 5) that if  $e \geqslant 0$ 

$$ae + 1 \leqslant b_a \leqslant ae + 2g + 1$$

$$(a(a-1)/2) e + ag \leqslant \lambda_a \leqslant (a(a-1)/2) e + (3a-2) g$$

and if e < 0

$$(1/2)ae + \varepsilon(ae) \leqslant b_a \leqslant (1/2)ae + 2g + \varepsilon(ae)$$

$$ag + (\varepsilon(ae) - 1)(a - 1) \leqslant \lambda_a \leqslant (3a - 2)g + (\varepsilon(ae) - 1)(a - 1).$$

For the case g=2 we can improve the above bounds (see § 7). In particular for  $e \ge 0$ ,  $b_a = ae + 5$  and  $\lambda_a = (1/2)a(a-1)e + 6a - 4$ .

Our results are very useful in the study of smooth, connected, projective, ruled surfaces with the genus of a hyperplane section less than or equal to seven. See  $[Li_1]$ ,  $[Li_2]$ , [Bi-Li].

We would like to express our gratitude to Andrew J. Sommese for the numerous conservations that we had at the University of Notre Dame, from which this work benefited.

## 0. - Background material.

The notation, throughout this paper, is essentially that used in [Ha].

- (0.1) Let X be an analytic space. We let  $\mathcal{O}_X$  denote its structure sheaf and let  $h^{i,0}(X) = \dim H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . If X is a complex manifold, we let  $K_X$  denote its canonical bundle.
- (0.2) Let X be a smooth connected projective surface. Let D be an effective Cartier divisor on X. We denote by L(D), the holomorphic line bundle associated to D. If L is a holomorphic line bundle on X, |L| denotes the linear system of Cartier divisors associated to L. Of course if |L| is non-empty then L(D) = L for  $D \in |L|$ . Let E be a second holomorphic line bundle on X, then  $L \cdot E$  denotes the evaluation of the cup product,  $C_1(L) \wedge C_1(E)$  on X, where  $C_1(L)$  and  $C_1(E)$  are the Chern classes of L and E respectively. If  $D \in |L|$  and  $C \in |E|$ , it is convenient to let  $D \cdot C = D \cdot E = L \cdot C = L \cdot E$ . We often let  $g = g(L) = (1/2)(L \cdot L + K_X \cdot L + 2)$ , which is called the adjunction formula. If there is a smooth  $D \in |L|$ , then

$$g = g(L) = h^{1,0}(D)$$
.

(0.3) Let L be a line bundle on a projective variety. We say L is spanned if  $\Gamma(L)$  is generated by its global sections. By [Ha, lemma 7.8] this is equivalent to saying that  $\Gamma(L)$  is base-point-free. We say L is very ample if L is spanned and

the map  $\varphi: X \to \mathbf{P}_C^N$  associated to  $\Gamma(L)$  is an embedding. We say that L is ample if some power of L is very ample.

- (0.4) Let D be an effective divisor on a smooth connected, projective surface X, D is k-connected if  $D \cdot D > 0$  and for every decomposition  $D = D_1 + D_2$  into effective divisors  $D_1 \cdot D_2 \geqslant k$ .
- (0.5) (Ruled surfaces). Let C be a smooth curve of genus g and  $\pi: X \to C$  a (geometrically) ruled surface. A section of X is a map  $\sigma: C \to X$  such that  $\pi \circ \sigma = \mathrm{id}_{\sigma}$ . The image of  $\sigma$  is a divisor  $C_0$  which we will also call a section. Let  $C_0 \subseteq X$  be a section, and let f be a fiber, then Pic  $X \simeq \mathbf{Z} \oplus \pi^*$  Pic C, where  $\mathbf{Z}$  is generated by  $C_0$ . Also Num  $X \simeq \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$  is generated by  $C_0$ , f with  $C_0 \cdot f = 1$  and  $f \cdot f = 0$ . For any ruled surface there exist a rank 2 vector bundle on  $C, p: E \to C$  such that  $P(E) \simeq X$ and viceversa. We have  $P(E) \simeq P(E')$  if and only if there is a line bundle L such that  $E' = E \otimes L$ . Moreover it is always possible to write X = P(E) with  $H^0(C, E) \neq 0$ and  $H^0(C, E \otimes L) = 0$ , for every line bundle with deg L < 0. Such an E is said to be normalized. It is not necessarily unique but deg E is uniquely determined and is an invariant of X. Let e be the divisor on C corresponding to  $A^2E$ . Set  $e = -\deg e = -\deg \Lambda^2 E$ . We fix a section  $C_0$  of X with  $\mathfrak{L}(C_0) = \mathfrak{O}_{P(E)}(1)$ . We have  $C_0^2 = \deg e = -e$  and  $C_0 \cdot f = 1$ . If  $\mathfrak{b}$  is any divisor on C, then we denote the divisor  $\pi^*\mathfrak{b}$  by  $\mathfrak{b}f$ . Thus any element of Pic X can be written  $aC_0 + bf$  with  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathfrak{b} \in \text{Pic } C$ . Any element of Num X can be written  $aC_0 + bf$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $D_h \equiv a_h C_0 + b_h f$ , h = 1, 2 we get

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} D_1 \cdot D_2 = a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1 - a_1 a_2 e \\ \\ D_1^2 = 2 a_1 b_1 - a_1^2 e \end{array} \right.$$

Moreover since

$$K_x = -2C_0 + (2g - 2 - e)f$$

we get

$$K_r^2 = 8(1-g)$$
.

If  $D \equiv aC_0 + bf$  and setting  $h^i(D) = \dim H^i(X, L(D))$ ,  $i \geqslant 0$  then by the Riemann-Roch Theorem we have

$$(0.8) h^0(D) - h^1(D) = (a+1)(b-(ae/2)-g+1).$$

Let  $D \equiv aC_0 + bf$  be a divisor on X. Then D is ample if and only if

$$(0.9) a>0 \text{and} b> \begin{cases} ae & \text{if } e\geqslant 0\\ (1/2)ae & \text{if } e<0 \end{cases}.$$

## 1. - Vanishing theorems.

Let  $\pi\colon X\to C$  be a ruled surface. Let  $X\simeq P(E)$ , where E is a normalized rank 2 vector bundle. Set  $L(\mathfrak{e})=\Lambda^2E$ . If deg  $\mathfrak{e}=-\mathfrak{e}$  then we write (numerically)  $L(\mathfrak{e})=L(-\mathfrak{e})$ . We have

$$E = E^* \otimes \Lambda^2 E = E^* \otimes L(e)$$

where  $E^*$  is dual to E. Let  $S^aE$  be the a-symmetric product of E. Then we have

$$(1.1) Hi(X, aC0 + bf) \simeq Hi(C, SaE* \otimes L(b)), i \geqslant 0$$

$$(1.2) S^a E \simeq S^a E^* \otimes (\Lambda^2 E)^{\otimes a} = S^a E^* \otimes L(ae).$$

Since E is normalized we have

$$(1.3) H0(C, E \otimes L(b)) \simeq H0(X, C0 + bf) = 0$$

for any b < 0. As before we set  $h^i(D) = \dim H^i(X, L(D))$ . Let  $D \equiv aC_0 + bf$  be a divisor on X. Then by the Kodaira Vanishing Theorem we have  $h^1(D) = 0$  if  $D - K_X$  is ample. Therefore using (0.7) and (0.9) we get  $h^1(D) = 0$  if

(1.4) 
$$a \geqslant -1 \quad \text{ and } \quad b > \begin{cases} (a+1)e + 2g - 2 & \text{if } e \geqslant 0 \\ (1/2)ae + 2g - 2 & \text{if } e < 0 \end{cases}.$$

By Serre duality, (1.1) and (1.2) we get

(1.5) 
$$\begin{cases} H^{0}(X, aC_{0} + bf) \simeq H^{1}(X, aC_{0} + (ae - b + 2g - 2)f) \\ H^{1}(X, aC_{0} + bf) \simeq H^{0}(X, aC_{0} + (ae - b + 2g - 2)f) \end{cases}.$$

THEOREM 1.1. – Let  $D \equiv aC_0 + bf$  be a divisor on X, with  $a \geqslant 1$ . We have  $h^1(D) = 0$  if

(1.6) 
$$b > \begin{cases} ae + 2g - 2 & \text{if } a = 1 \text{ and any } e \text{ or } a \ge 2 \text{ and } e \ge 0 \\ (1/2)ae + 2g - 2 & \text{if } a \ge 2 \text{ and } e < 0 \end{cases}$$

and  $h^1(D) > 0$  if

$$(1.7) b < (1/2)ae + g - 1.$$

PROOF. - (1.7) follows from (0.8). Consider now (1.6). The case e < 0 was already done in (1.4). We prove the case e > 0 by induction. By (1.3) and (1.5) we get  $h^1(D) = 0$  if a = 1, b > e + 2g - 2 and any e.

Suppose (1.6) true for a-1. We have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L(D - C_0) \rightarrow L(D) \rightarrow L(-ae + b) \rightarrow 0$$

since  $L(D)|_{C_a} \cong L(-ae+b)$ . Then

$$H^1(X, L(D-C_0)) \rightarrow H^1(X, L(D)) \rightarrow H^1(C, L(-ae+b)) \rightarrow 0$$
.

We have  $b>ae+2g-2\geqslant (a-1)e+2g-2$  since  $e\geqslant 0$ . Thus by induction  $H^1(X,L(D-C_0))=0$ . Moreover -ae+b>2g-2 implies  $H^1(C,L(-ae+b))=0$ . Hence  $h^1(D)=0$ .  $\square$ 

THEOREM 1.2. - Let  $D \equiv aC_0 + bf$  be a divisor on X, with  $a \geqslant 1$ . Then  $h^0(D) = 0$  if

(1.8) 
$$b < \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a = 1 \text{ and any } e \text{ or } a \geqslant 2 \text{ and } e \geqslant 0 \\ (1/2)ae & \text{if } a \geqslant 2 \text{ and } e < 0 \end{cases}$$

and  $h^0(D) > 0$  if

$$(1.8) b > (1/2)ae + g - 1.$$

PROOF. – (1.9) follows from (0.8). Consider now (1.8). The case a=1 is just (1.3). By (1.5) and (1.6) we get (1.8) in the case  $a \ge 2$ .  $\square$ 

## 2. - Very ample line bundles on ruled surfaces.

Let  $D \equiv aC_0 + bf$  be a divisor on a ruled surface  $\pi: X \to C$ , with  $a \ge 1$ . We set  $f_x = \pi^{-1}(x)$  for  $x \in C$ .

LEMMA 2.1. - If  $h^1(D - f_x) = 0$  then  $L(D)|_{f_x} = \mathcal{O}_{P_x}(a)$ .

Proof. - Since  $h^1(D - f_x) = 0$  we have

$$(2.1) \hspace{1cm} 0 \rightarrow H^0\big(X,\, L(D-f_x)\big) \rightarrow H^0\big(X,\, L(D)\big) \stackrel{\beta}{\rightarrow} H^0\big(X,\, L(D)\big)|_{f_x} \rightarrow 0 \,\, .$$

If  $D'|_{f_x}\not\equiv 0$  for some  $D'\in |L(D)|$  we would have  $L(D)|_{f_x}\cong \mathcal{O}_{P_1}(a)$ . But  $D'|_{f_x}\equiv 0$  for every  $D'\in |L(D)|$  implies  $\beta=0$ , hence  $h^0(D-f_x)=h^0(D)$ . On the other hand from (0.8) we get  $h^0(D)=h^0(D-f_x)+(a+1)+h^1(D)$  which implies  $h^0(D)>h^0(D-f_x)$  since  $a\geqslant 1$  and  $h^1(D)\geqslant 0$ . Therefore  $L(D)|_{f_x}=\mathcal{O}_{P_1}(a)$ .  $\square$ 

Proposition 2.2. - If  $h^1(D-f_x)=0$  then L(D) is spanned.

PROOF. - Since  $h^1(D-f_x)=0$  for every x, by lemma 2.1 we have

$$0 \to L(D - f_x) \to L(D) \to \mathcal{O}_{P_x}(a) \to 0$$

since  $\mathcal{O}_{P_1}(a)$  is very ample for  $a \ge 1$  we get that L(D) is spanned.  $\square$ 

Proposition 2.3. – If  $h^1(D-f_x)=0$  and  $h^1(D-2f_x)=0$  then L(D) is very ample.

Proof. - We have to prove that |L(D)| separates points and tangent vectors.

Case 1. – P and Q (or P and t) not in the same fiber. Let  $f_P$  and  $f_Q$  be the fibers which P and Q are on respectively. Since  $h^1(D - f_P - f_Q) = 0$  and  $L(D - f_P)|_{f_Q} = \mathcal{O}_{P_1}(a)$  we have

$$0 \to H^0(X,L(D-f_P-f_Q)) \to H^0(X,L(D-f_P)) \to H^0(\textbf{\textit{P}}_1,\mathfrak{O}_{\textbf{\textit{P}}_2}(a)) \to 0 \ .$$

So we can find  $D' \simeq D - f_P$  such that  $Q \notin D'|_{f_Q}$  i.e.  $Q \notin D'$ . Hence  $Q \notin D' + f_P \simeq D$  but  $P \in D' + f_P$ . In the case (P and t) we do the same considering  $P \equiv Q$ . Then we get  $P \in D' + f_P$  but  $2P \notin D' + f_P$  so t is not a tangent vector to  $D' + f_P$  at P.

Case 2. – P and Q (or P and t) are both in the same fiber  $f_x$  for some  $x \in C$ . From (2.1) we can find  $D' \simeq D$  such that  $P \in D'|_{f_x}$  but  $Q \notin D'|_{f_x}^{\S}$  ( $P \in D'|_{f_x}$  but  $2P \notin D'|_{f_x}$ ). Hence  $P \in D'$  and  $Q \notin D'$  (or  $P \in D'$  but t is not tangent to D' at P).

Corollary 2.4. – D is spanned if

$$b>\left\{egin{array}{ll} ae+2g-1 & ext{if } a=1 ext{ and any } e ext{ or } a\geqslant 2 ext{ and } e\geqslant 0 \ \\ (1/2)ae+2g-1 & ext{if } a\geqslant 2 ext{ and } e< 0 \end{array}
ight.$$

and D is very ample if

$$b > \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} ae + 2g & \text{if } a = 1 \text{ and any } e \text{ or } a \geqslant 2 \text{ and } e \geqslant 0 \\ (1/2)ae + 2g & \text{if } a \geqslant 2 \text{ and } e < 0 \end{array} \right..$$

#### 3. - On the 3-connectedness of a divisor on a ruled surface.

Let  $D \equiv aC_0 + bf$  be a divisor on a ruled surface. If  $D = D_1 + D_2$  we have  $D_1 \equiv xC_0 + yf = (a - \tilde{x}) C_0 + (b - \tilde{y}) f$  and  $D_2 \equiv (a - x) C_0 + (b - y) f = \tilde{x} C_0 + \tilde{y} f$ . Assume  $D^2 = a(2b - ae) > 0$ , i.e. a > 0 and b > (1/2) ae. In order to prove that D is 3-connected we have to prove that for any decomposition  $D = D_1 + D_2$  with  $D_i \not\simeq 0$  and  $h^0(D_i) \geqslant 1$  we get that  $D_1 \cdot D_2 \geqslant 3$ .

LEMMA 3.1. - Assume that  $h^0(xC_0 + yf) > 1$ , then a) x > 0

b) 
$$y \ge \begin{cases} (1/2)xe & \text{if } x \ge 2 \text{ and } e < 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0, 1 \text{ and } e < 0 \text{ or } e \ge 0 \text{ and any } x. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. - a) If x < 0 then  $h^0(D_1) = 0$ . It is enough to prove it for x = -1, since  $h^0(D_1 + C_0) = 0$  implies  $h^0(D_1) = 0$  for  $x \le -2$ . We have

$$0 \to L(D_1) \to L(yf) \to L(yf)|_{C_0} \simeq L(y) \to 0$$
.

Since  $h^0(yf) = h^0(y)$  and  $H^0(X, L(yf)) \to H^0(C, L(y))$  is surjective, we have  $h^0(D_1) = 0$ .

b) If x = 0, then  $h^0(D_1) = 0$  if y < 0. Therefore if  $x_j^{5} = 0$  and  $h^0(D_1) > 0$  it follows that  $y \ge 0$ . If  $x \ge 1$  then by (1.8) we get part b).  $\square$ 

Proposition 3.2. - Assume that e < 0 and  $a \ge 3$ . Then D is 3-connected if

$$b > \left\{ egin{array}{ll} 0 & ext{if } e = -1 ext{ and } a = 3 \ \\ (1/2) \, ae + 1 & ext{otherwise} \, . \end{array} 
ight.$$

Proof. – By lemma 3.1 x and  $\tilde{x}$  are non-negative.

Case 1. - Assume x = 0 (or  $\tilde{x} = 0$ ) from

$$(3.1) D_1 \cdot D_2 = \begin{cases} y(a-2x) + x(-(a-x)e+b) \\ \tilde{y}(a-2\tilde{x}) + \tilde{x}(-(a-\tilde{x})e+b) \end{cases}$$

we get  $D_1 \cdot D_2 = ya$  (or  $= \tilde{y}a$ ). Since a > 2 and y > 0 ( $\tilde{y} > 0$ ) we obtain  $D_1 \cdot D_2 > 2$ .

Case 2. Assume x = 1 (or  $\tilde{x} = 1$ ). From a - 2x > 0 and  $y \ge 0$  and (3.1) we have  $D_1 \cdot D_2 \ge b - (a - 1)e$ . If (a, e) = (3, -1) then b > 0 so -(a - 1)e + b > 2, hence  $D_1 \cdot D_2 > 2$ . If  $(a, e) \ne (3, -1)$  then b > (1/2)ae + 1 so

$$D_1 \cdot D_2 \geqslant b - (a-1)e > 1 + (1/2)ae - (a-1)e = 1 - (a-2)(e/2) \geqslant 2$$

then  $D_1 \cdot D_2 > 2$ .

Case 3.  $-2 \leqslant x \leqslant a-2$  and  $a-2x \geqslant 0$  (or  $2 \leqslant \tilde{x} \leqslant a-2$  and  $-(a-2x) = a-2\tilde{x} \geqslant 0$ ) we treat only the part  $a-2x \geqslant 0$ . The other part is similar. In this case  $y \geqslant xe/2$  so  $D_1 \cdot D_2 \geqslant (xe/2)(a-2x) + x(-(a-x)e+b) = x(b-(1/2)ae)$ . If (a,e) = (3,-1) then b>0 and we have  $D_1 \cdot D_2 \geqslant 3$ . If  $(a,e) \neq (3,-1)$  then  $D_1 \cdot D_2 \geqslant x(b-(ae/2)) \geqslant 2(b-(ae/2)) > 2$ . So  $D_1 \cdot D_3 > 2$ .  $\square$ 

## 4. - Very ampleness by Bombieri's method.

We would like to find new conditions for L to be very ample. In order to do this we shall use the following theorem.

THEOREM 4.1. – Let L be a line bundle over a surface X. We put  $L_0 = L \otimes K_x^{-1}$ . If i)  $h^0(L_0) \geqslant 7$ ; ii)  $L_0 \cdot L_0 \geqslant 10$ ; iii)  $L_0$  is 3-connected, then L is very ample.

PROOF. - See [VdV].

Theorem 4.1 has been proved using a method of Bombieri. See also [Be], [Bo],  $[So_1]$  and  $[So_2]$ .

We will apply Theorem 4.1 for L=L(D) where  $D\equiv aC_0+bf$  is a divisor over a ruled surface X. Then  $L_0=L(D_0)$  where

$$D_0 = D - K_X \equiv a_0 C_0 + b_0 f = (a+2) C_0 + (b-2g+2+e) f.$$

We are interested in the case e < 0 and  $a \ge 2$ . By (0.8) we have

$$h^0(D_0) - h^1(D_0) = (a_0 + 1)(b_0 + 1 - g - (a_0 e/2))$$
.

Since  $h^1(D_0) \geqslant 0$  we have  $h^0(D_0) \geqslant 7$  if

$$(4.1) b > 7/(a+3) + (ae/2) + 3g - 3.$$

By (0.6) we have  $L_0 \cdot L_0 = 2a_0(b_0 - (1/2)a_0e) = 2(a+2)(b-2g+2-(ae/2))$ . Therefore  $L_0 \cdot L_0 \ge 10$  when

$$(4.2) b > 5/(a+2) + (ae/2) + 2g - 2.$$

Moreover by Proposition 3.2 we have  $L_0$  is 3-connected if

$$(4.3) b > (ae/2) + 2g - 1.$$

We set

$$K_1 = 7/(a+3) + (ae/2) + 3g - 3$$
,  $K_2 = 5/(a+2) + (ae/2) + 2g - 2$ ,  $K_3 = (ae/2) + 2g - 1 + \varepsilon(ae)$ ,  $K_4 = K_3 + 1$ ,

where

$$\varepsilon(n) = \begin{cases}
1 & \text{if } n \text{ even} \\
1/2 & \text{if } n \text{ odd}.
\end{cases}$$

Using Theorem 4.1 we have D is very ample if  $b \geqslant K_0 = \text{Max}\{K_1, K_2, K_3\}$ . We have  $K_1 \geqslant K_2$ . For g = 1 we have  $K_0 = K_3$ . For g = 2

$$K_0 = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} K_1 & ext{if } \left\{ egin{array}{ll} a = 2, 3, 5, 7, 9 ext{ and } e = -1 \ a = 2, 3 & ext{and } e = -2 \ K_3 & ext{otherwise} \end{array} 
ight.$$

For  $g \geqslant 3$  then  $K_0 = K_1$ . Therefore by Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 2.4, in the case e < 0 and  $a \geqslant 2$ , D is very ample when

$$b \geqslant \min \{K_0, K_4\} = K$$

and

$$(4.4) K = K_0 = K_3 if g = 1$$

(4.5) 
$$K = \begin{cases} K_1 = K_4 & \text{if } \begin{cases} a = 2, 3, 5, 7, 9 \text{ and } e = -1 \\ a = 2, 3 & \text{and } e = -2 \end{cases} & \text{if } g = 2 \\ K_3 & \text{otherwise} . \end{cases}$$

For  $g \geqslant 3$  we have  $K = K_4$ .

## 5. - The genus of a very ample divisor on a ruled surface.

Let  $D = aC_0 + bf$  be a very ample divisor on a ruled surface X. Let  $\mathfrak{b} = \deg \mathfrak{b}$  and  $\gamma = g(D)$ . Then by the Adjunction formula we have  $2\gamma - 2 = D \cdot (D + K_X)$  where  $K_X = -2C_0 + (K_C + e)f$ . Therefore

$$(D+K_x)D=2(a-1)(b-1-(1/2)ae)+2ag-2$$

and hence

$$\gamma = (a-1)(b-1-(1/2)ae) + aq$$
.

We set  $\lambda_a = \lambda_a(C, X)$  and  $b_a = b_a(C, X)$  which are respectively the minimum genus and the minimum b of a very ample divisor  $D \equiv aC_0 + bf$  on a ruled surface X over the curve C. We have

(5.1) 
$$\lambda_a = (a-1)(b_a - 1 - (1/2)ae) + aq.$$

So finding  $\lambda_a$  is equivalent to finding  $b_a$ . The next step is finding an estimate for  $b_a$  (or  $\lambda_a$ ). We are interested in the case  $a \ge 2$ . Since if yD is very ample it is

ample. Hence

$$b_a> \left\{ egin{array}{ll} ae & ext{if } e\geqslant 0 \ \\ (1/2)ae & ext{if } e< 0 \end{array} 
ight.$$

and by corollary 2.4 we have

$$b_a \leqslant \left\{egin{array}{ll} ae+2g+1 & ext{if } e \geqslant 0 \ (1/2)ae+2g+arepsilon(ae) & ext{if } e < 0 \ . \end{array}
ight.$$

Therefore if  $e \geqslant 0$ 

$$(5.2) ae + 1 \leqslant b_a \leqslant ae + 2g + 1$$

and

(5.3) 
$$(a(a-1)/2) e + ag \leqslant \lambda_a \leqslant a(a-1)/2 + (3a-2)g$$

if e < 0

$$(5.4) (1/2)ae + \varepsilon(ae) \leqslant b_a \leqslant (1/2)ae + 2g + \varepsilon(ae)$$

and

$$(5.5) ag + (\varepsilon(ae) - 1)(a - 1) \leqslant \lambda_a \leqslant (3a - 2)g + (\varepsilon(ae) - 1)(a - 1).$$

If g = 0 then  $e \geqslant 0$  and  $b_a = ae + 1$  hence

(5.6) 
$$\lambda_a = (1/2) a(a-1) e$$

In the case g=1 or 2 we can improve the lower bound. By the short exact sequence

$$0 \to L(D - C_0) \to L(D) \to L(D)|_{C_0} \simeq L(ae + b) \to 0$$

we get that L(D) very ample implies L(ae + b) very ample.

In the case g=1 or 2, L(ae+b) is very ample if and only if b>ae+2g. If  $e\geqslant 0$  we have  $ae+2g+1\geqslant ae+1$  and

$$(5.7) b_a = ae + 2g + 1,$$

(5.8) 
$$\lambda_a = (1/2) a(a-1) e + (3a-2) g.$$

If e < 0 we have

$$(1/2)ae \left\{ egin{array}{ll} \geqslant ae + 2g & ext{ if } a \geqslant -4g/e \ < ae + 2g & ext{ if } a < -4g/e \ . \end{array} 
ight.$$

So

$$b_a \geqslant \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} ae + 2g + 1 & \text{if } a < -4g/e \\ \\ (1/2)ae + \varepsilon(ae) & \text{if } a \geqslant -4g/e \end{array} \right. .$$

#### **6.** – The case g = 1.

If e > 0 we have (5.7) and (5.8). It only remains to study the case e = -1. By (5.4), (5.9) and (4.4) we have for a > 2

(6.1) 
$$1-(a/2)+\varepsilon(a)\geqslant b^3\geqslant \begin{cases} -a+3 & \text{if } a\leqslant 3\\ -(a/2)+\varepsilon(a) & \text{if } a\geqslant 4. \end{cases}$$

We already know  $b_1 = 2$ . From (6.1) we have  $b_2 = 1$  and  $b_3 = 0$ . If  $a \ge 4$  then  $b_a$  is either  $-(a/2) + \varepsilon(a)$  or  $1 - (a/2) + \varepsilon(a)$ . We set  $D_a \equiv aC_0 + (-(a/2) + \varepsilon(a)) f$ .

Theorem 6.1. –  $D_a$  is not very ample.

In order to prove Theorem 6.1 we need the following.

LEMMA 6.2. – Let X be a ruled surface over C. Assume e = -1. Then there is  $P \in C$  such that  $h^0(2C_0 - Pf) \ge 1$ .

PROOF. – We put  $D \equiv 2C_0 - f$ . By (0.8) we have  $h^0(D) = h^1(D)$  and  $h^0(2C_0) = -h^1(2C_0) = 3$ . By (1.6)  $h^1(2C_0) = 0$ , so  $h^0(2C_0) = 3$ . Now  $h^0(2C_0) = h^0(S^2E)$  so there is a section  $\sigma$  in  $S^2E$  which has some zero, otherwise  $S^2E$  would be trivial which implies  $A^2S^2E = L(3e)$  is trivial which is a contradiction. Then by (1.8) we have  $D[\sigma] = (P)$ , i.e. only one point, and  $h^0(2C_0 - Pf) \geqslant 1$ .  $\square$ 

PROOF OF THEOREM 6.1. – Suppose  $D_a$  very ample. We set  $D_0 = 2C_0 - Pf$ . We have  $D_a \cdot D_0 = 2\varepsilon(a)$ , i.e.  $D_a \cdot D_0 = 1$  if a is odd and  $D_a \cdot D_0 = 2$  if a is even. In both cases  $D_a$  is a smooth rational curve (since  $D_0$  is irriducible) with respect to the embedding provided by  $|D_a|$ . But  $\pi|_{D_0} \colon D_0 \to C$  is a 2.1 map over an elliptic curve, which is a contradiction.  $\square$ 

THEOREM 6.3. – Let  $D \equiv aC_0 + bf$  be divisor on a ruled surface X over an elliptic curve C. Assume that  $a \ge 1$ . Then D is very ample if and only if

(6.2) 
$$b > \begin{cases} ae + 2 & \text{if } e \geqslant 0 \text{ and any } a \text{ or } e = -1 \text{ and } a \leqslant 3 \\ 1 - (a/2) & \text{if } e = -1 \text{ and } a \geqslant 4. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 6.4. – Let D be as above. Then

(6.3) 
$$b_a = \begin{cases} ae + 3 & \text{if } e \geqslant 0 \text{ and any } a \text{ or } e = -1 \text{ and } a \leqslant 3 \\ 1 - (a/2) + \varepsilon(a) & \text{if } e = -1 \text{ and } a \geqslant 4 \end{cases}$$

$$(6.3) \qquad b_a = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} ae + 3 & \text{if } e \geqslant 0 \text{ and any } a \text{ or } e = -1 \text{ and } a \leqslant 3 \\ 1 - (a/2) + \varepsilon(a) & \text{if } e = -1 \text{ and } a \geqslant 4 \end{array} \right.$$

$$(6.4) \qquad \lambda_a = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (1/2)a(a-1)e + 3a - 2 & \text{if } e \geqslant 0 \text{ and any } a \text{ or } e = -1 \text{ and } a \leqslant 3 \\ (a-1)\varepsilon(a) + a & \text{if } e = -1 \text{ and } a \geqslant 4 \end{array} \right.$$

#### 7. – The case q = 2.

Let X be a ruled surface over a curve C with g = g(C) = 2. Let  $D \equiv aC_0 + bf$ be a divisor over X with  $a \ge 2$ . As for the case g = 1, if  $e \ge 0$  we have

(7.1) 
$$b_a = ae + 5$$
 (actually it holds also for  $a = 1$  and  $e < 0$ ).

When e < 0 we have two cases e = -1 and e = -2. At first we consider the cases e = -1. From (5.4), (5.9) and (4.5) we have

$$\begin{cases} b_a\leqslant \begin{cases} -a+5 & \text{if } a\leqslant 7\\ -(a/2)+\varepsilon(a) & \text{if } a\geqslant 8 \end{cases} \\ b_a\geqslant \begin{cases} -(a/2)+4+\varepsilon(a) & \text{if } a=2,3,5,7,9\\ -(a/2)+3+\varepsilon(a) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

Therefore

(7.3) 
$$\begin{cases} \lambda_{a} \geqslant \begin{cases} 6a - 4 - (1/2)a(a - 1) & \text{if } a \leqslant 7 \\ (a - 1)(\varepsilon(a) - 1) + 2a & \text{if } a \geqslant 8 \end{cases} \\ \lambda_{a} \leqslant \begin{cases} 6a - 4 + (a - 1)(\varepsilon(a) - 1) & \text{if } a = 2, 3, 5, 7, 9 \\ 5a - 3 + (a - 1)(\varepsilon(a) - 1) & \text{otherwise} . \end{cases}$$

Now we consider the case e = -2. From (5.4), (5.9) and (4.5) we have

(7.4) 
$$\begin{cases} b_a \geqslant \begin{cases} -2a+5 & \text{if } a \leqslant 3 \\ -a+1 & \text{if } a \geqslant 4 \end{cases} \\ b_a \leqslant \begin{cases} -a+5 & \text{if } a \leqslant 3 \\ -a+4 & \text{if } a \geqslant 4 \end{cases}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_a \geqslant \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (a-1)(4-a) + 2a & \text{if } a \leqslant 3 \\ 2a & \text{if } a \geqslant 4 \end{array} \right. \\ \\ \lambda_a \leqslant \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 6a - 4 & \text{if } a \leqslant 3 \\ 5a - 3 & \text{if } a \geqslant 4 \end{array} \right. \end{cases}$$

#### REFERENCES

- Be] E. Bese, On the spannedness and very ampleness of certain line bundles on the blow-up of  $P_C^2$  and  $F_r$ , Math. Ann., **262** (1983), pp. 225-238.
- [Bi-Li] A. Biancofiore E. L. Livorni, Algebraic rules surfaces with low sectional genus, Preprint.
- [Bo] E. Bombieri, Canonical models of surfaces of general type, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., 42 (1973), pp. 447-495.
- [Gr-Ha] P. A. Griffiths J. Harris, *Principles of Algebraic Geometry*, A. Wiley, Interscience Publication, 1978.
- [Ha] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic Geometry, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [Li<sub>1</sub>] E. L. LIVORNI, Classification of algebraic surfaces with sectional genus less than or equal to six. II: Ruled surfaces with dim  $\Phi_{K_X \otimes L}(X) = 1$ , Can. J. of Math., 37, No. 4 (1986)
- [Li<sub>2</sub>] E. L. LIVORNI, Classification of algebraic ruled surfaces with sectional genus less than or equal to six and dim  $\Phi_{K_x \otimes L}(X) = 2$ , Math. Scand., **58** (1986).
- [Na] M. NAGATA, On self-intersection number of a section on a ruled surface, Nagoya Math. J., 37 (1970), pp. 191-196.
- [Sh] I. R. ŠHAFAREVIČ, Algebraic surfaces, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., 75 (1965) (translation by Amer. Math. Soc., 1967).
- [So<sub>1</sub>] A. J. Sommese, Hyperplane sections of projective surfaces. I: The adjunction mapping, Duke Math. J., **46** (1979), pp. 377-401.
- [So<sub>2</sub>] A. J. Sommese, The birational theory of hyperplane sections of projective therefolds, Unpublished (1981) manuscript.
- [VdV] A. Van de Ven, On the 2-connectness of very ample divisors on a surface, Duke. Math. J., 46 (1979), pp. 403-407.