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#### Abstract

Summary. - We show that the Euler-Lagrange operator and the Poincaré-Cartan form arise in a very simple and natural way from the contact structure of the second order jet space, in a purely differential context, without any reference to a variational problem. By the way we obtain an intrinsic expression of the Euler-Lagrange operator.


## Introduction.

The Euler-Lagrange equations have been introduced in the analytical approach to variational calculus, and now they are the fundamental field equations of physical theories. In the last few years, a new attention has been directed to the geometrical structures related to the calculus of variations. So, the geometrical approach has been greatly developed, and many deep results have been found (for instance, see [GS], [HE], [KR], [MM1], [TA], [TU], [VI]).

The aim of this paper is to show that the Euler-Lagrange equations can be simply obtained in a direct, natural and elegant way from the contact structure of the 1-jet space, independently of a variational approach.

The literature concerning this subject is large (see, for instance, [FF], [GS], [MM1], [TA], [TU], [VI]). Our approach is very quick and fully intrinsic. In particular, we base our procedure on the momentum and the canonical splitting of the differential of forms on jets. We derive the Euler-Lagrange operator and the Poincare-Cartan form in the same pure differential framework.

We consider first the relative tangent valued 1 -forms on a generic fibred manifold $q: F \rightarrow M$, i.e. the sections of the tensor bundle $T^{*} F \otimes_{F} T M \rightarrow F$, and make use of a well known result (see for example [SA]) which associates to such a section a derivation of degree 1 on the exterior algebra over the base space $M$.

This derivation lifts an $s$-form on $M$ to an $(s+1)$-form on $F$.

[^0]We then fix a fibred manifold $p: E \rightarrow B$, and apply this result to the contact morphism

$$
\mathscr{Q}^{k+1}: J_{k+1} E \rightarrow T^{*} B \bigotimes_{J_{k} E}^{\otimes} T J_{k} E
$$

and to its complementary morphism

$$
\theta^{k+1}: J_{k+1} E \rightarrow T^{*} J_{k} E \bigotimes_{J_{k} E} V J_{k} E
$$

on the $k$-order jet space of $E$, which are $p_{k+1, k}$-relative tangent valued 1 -forms, and obtain the two derivations $d_{h}$ and $d_{v}$, of degree 1 , on the exterior algebra over $J_{k} E$, called the horizontal and vertical differentials respectively. They reveal themselves to be very powerful tools.

Starting from a lagrangian density $\mathfrak{L}$, i.e. a $p_{B}$-semibasic $m$-form on $J_{1} E$, we make use of canonical isomorphisms and of the differentials $d_{h}, d_{v}$, relative to the second order jet space of $E$, for the construction of the fundamental objects of first order field theory. Namely, we obtain first the Legendre form, or momentum form-a $p_{B^{-}}$ semibasic $m$-form on $J_{1} E$-through the vertical derivative of the lagrangian. The application of the horizontal and vertical differentials to this $m$-form yields a canonical splitting of its exterior differential, hence the Euler-Lagrange operator and the Poincaré-Cartan form. Thus, these two objects arise in a very natural way.

Finally, for the sake of completeness, we link the objects previously obtained to the variational context, hence to field theory. So, we recover in a geometrical way, the well known result that the Euler-Lagrange equations are a necessary and sufficient condition for a section $s: B \rightarrow E$ to be critical, and we find an equivalent condition, involving the Poincaré-Cartan form, which allows us to easily prove the Noether theorem (see also [GS], [GA]).

## 0. - Preliminaries.

We assume all manifolds and maps to be smooth. We denote by $\mathscr{F}(M), \mathscr{T}(M), \Omega(M)$ the sheaves of local functions, vector fields and differential forms on the manifold $M$, respectively.

Our fundamental geometrical framework is constituted by a fibred manifold $p: E \rightarrow B$, with $\operatorname{dim} B=m, \operatorname{dim} E=m+n$. The typical fibred chart of $E$ will be denoted by ( $x^{\lambda}, y^{i}$ ), with $1 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant m, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$.

We recall a few notation and results on the geometry of jet spaces. We are involved with the $k$-jet space $J_{k} E$ of $p: E \rightarrow B$, where $k \geqslant 0$ is an integer, with the natural fibrings ([MM2], pag. 172-3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{k, B}: J_{k} E \rightarrow B, \\
& p_{k, h}: J_{k} E \rightarrow J_{h} E, \quad 0 \leqslant h<k .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $k=1$, we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{B} \equiv p_{1, B}: J_{1} E \rightarrow B, \\
& p_{E} \equiv p_{1,0}: J_{1} E \rightarrow E .
\end{aligned}
$$

The fibred charts on $J_{k} E$ induced by a fibred chart $\left(x^{\lambda}, y^{i}\right)$ of $E$ are denoted by

$$
\left(x^{\lambda}, y^{i}, y_{\lambda}^{i}, y_{\lambda_{2} \lambda_{2}}^{i}, \ldots, y_{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{k}}^{i}\right), \quad 1 \leqslant \lambda_{1} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant \lambda_{k} \leqslant m
$$

and the local bases for the vector fields and the 1 -forms on $J_{k} E$ are denoted respectively by

$$
\left(\partial_{\lambda}, \partial_{i}, \partial_{i}^{\lambda}, \ldots, \partial_{i}^{\lambda_{1}} \ldots \lambda_{k}\right), \quad\left(d^{\lambda}, d^{i}, d_{\lambda}^{i}, \ldots, d_{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{k}}^{i}\right),
$$

with the same restrictions on the indices.
Let $s: B \rightarrow E$ be a local section of $p: E \rightarrow B$. We have the $k$-jet prolongation of $s$

$$
j_{k} s: B \rightarrow J_{k} E,
$$

with coordinate expression

$$
\left(x^{\lambda}, y^{i}, y_{\lambda}^{i}, y_{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}^{i}, \ldots, y_{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{k}}^{i}\right) \circ j_{k} s=\left(x^{\lambda}, s^{i}, \partial_{\lambda} s^{i}, \partial_{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}} s^{i}, \ldots, \partial_{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{k}} s^{i}\right)
$$

where

$$
\partial_{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{k}} \equiv \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{\lambda_{1}} \ldots \partial x^{\lambda_{k}}} .
$$

The contact structure on $J_{k} E$ is the pair of complementary natural linear fibred morphisms over $J_{k} E$ ([MM2], pag. 182)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{D}^{k+1}: J_{k+1} E \rightarrow T^{*} B \bigotimes_{J_{k} E}^{\otimes} T J_{k} E,  \tag{1}\\
& \theta^{k+1}: J_{k+1} E \rightarrow T^{*} J_{k} E \bigotimes_{J_{k} E}^{\otimes} V J_{k} E, \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

with coordinate expressions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{O}^{k+1}=d^{\mu} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\mu}^{k+1}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{k+1}=\theta^{i} \otimes \partial_{i}+\theta_{\lambda_{1}}^{i} \otimes \partial_{i}^{\lambda_{1}}+\ldots+\theta_{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{k}}^{i} \otimes \partial_{i}^{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{k}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{\partial}_{\mu}^{k+1} \equiv\left(\partial_{\mu}+y_{\mu}^{i} \partial_{i}+y_{\mu \lambda_{1}}^{i} \partial_{i}^{\lambda_{1}}+\ldots+y_{\mu \lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{k}}^{i} \partial_{i}^{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{k}}\right): J_{k+1} E \rightarrow T J_{k} E, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$1 \leqslant \mu_{1} \leqslant m, 1 \leqslant \lambda_{1} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant \lambda_{k} \leqslant m$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\theta^{i} \equiv d^{i}-y_{\mu}^{i} d^{\mu}: J_{1} E \rightarrow T^{*} E,  \tag{6}\\
\cdots \cdots \\
\theta_{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{k}}^{i} \equiv\left(d_{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{k}}^{i}-y_{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{k} \mu}^{i} d^{\mu}\right): J_{k+1} E \rightarrow T^{*} J_{k} E
\end{array}\right.
$$

In order to define prolongations on $J_{1} E$ of vector fields on $E$, we recall the natural exchange fibred morphism over $J_{1} E \underset{E}{\times} T E$ ([MM1], pag. 33)

$$
\begin{equation*}
r: J_{1} T E \rightarrow T J_{1} E, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with coordinate expression

$$
\left(x^{\lambda}, y^{i}, y_{\lambda}^{i} ; \dot{x}^{\lambda}, \dot{y}^{i}, \dot{y}_{\lambda}^{i}\right) \circ r=\left(x^{\lambda}, y^{i}, y_{\lambda}^{i} ; \dot{x}^{\lambda}, \dot{y}^{i}, \dot{y}_{\lambda}^{i}-y_{\mu}^{i} \dot{x}_{\lambda}^{\mu}\right),
$$

where ( $x^{\lambda}, y^{i}, \dot{x}^{\lambda}, \dot{y}^{i} ; y_{\lambda}^{i}, \dot{x}_{\mu}^{\lambda}, \dot{y}_{\lambda}^{i}$ ) and ( $x^{\lambda}, y^{i}, y_{\lambda}^{i} ; \dot{x}^{\lambda}, \dot{y}^{i}, \dot{y}_{\lambda}^{i}$ ) are the charts induced on $J_{1} T E$ and $T J_{1} E$ by $\left(x^{\lambda}, y^{i}\right)$, respectively. Indeed, if $u: E \rightarrow T E$ is a local vector field with coordinate expression $u=u^{\lambda} \partial_{\lambda}+u^{i} \partial_{i}$, then we obtain the projectable vector field ([MM2], pag. 195)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{w} \equiv r_{\circ} J_{1} u: J_{1} E \rightarrow T J_{1} E, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with coordinate expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{w}=u^{\lambda} \partial_{\lambda}+u^{i} \partial_{i}+\left(\partial_{\lambda} u^{i}-y_{\mu}^{i} \partial_{\lambda} u^{\mu}+y_{\lambda}^{j} \partial_{j} u^{i}\right) \partial_{i}^{\lambda} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 1. - The horizontal and vertical differentials.

In this section we deal with a generic fibred manifold $q: F \rightarrow M$, which later will be specified.

Definition 1. - A section

$$
\chi: F \rightarrow \Lambda^{8}\left(T^{*} F\right) \otimes_{F} T M
$$

is said to be a relative tangent valued s-form on $F$ (vector-valued s-form along $q$ : [SA], pag. 75). We denote the sheaf of $q$-relative tangent valued 1 -forms by $\mathscr{J}^{*}(F) \otimes \mathscr{T}(M)$

We shall be concerned with the case of relative tangent valued 1-forms. The coordinate expression of a relative tangent valued 1 -form on $F$ is

$$
\chi=\left(\chi_{\lambda}^{\mu} d^{\lambda}+\chi_{i}^{\mu} d^{i}\right) \otimes \partial_{\mu},
$$

where $\chi_{\lambda}^{\alpha}, \chi_{i}^{\mu} \in \mathscr{F}(F)$.
We have a natural contraction between relative tangent valued 1-forms on $F$ and $s$-forms on $M$, which yields 1 -forms on $F$; its coordinate expression is, for $\chi=\left(\chi_{\lambda}^{\mu} d^{\lambda}+\chi_{i}^{\mu} d^{i}\right) \otimes \partial_{\mu} \in \mathscr{J}^{*}(F) \otimes \mathscr{T}(M)$ and $\alpha=\alpha_{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{s}} d^{\lambda_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge d^{\lambda_{s}} \in \mathscr{J}^{*}(M):$

$$
\alpha\lrcorner \chi=\left(\alpha_{\mu \lambda_{2} \ldots \lambda_{s}} \chi_{\lambda}^{\mu} d^{\lambda}+\alpha_{\mu \lambda_{2} \ldots \lambda_{s}} \chi_{i}^{\mu} d^{i}\right) \wedge d^{\lambda_{2}} \wedge \ldots \wedge d^{\lambda_{s}}
$$

The following proposition is the specialization of Proposition 3.4.4 and Proposition 3.4.7 of [SA], pag. 78-79, to the case of relative tangent valued 1 -forms.

Proposition 1. - Let $\chi: F \rightarrow T^{*} F \otimes_{F} T M$ a relative tangent-valued 1-form on $F$. Then, we obtain:
i) a derivation of degree 0

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{x}: \Omega(M) \rightarrow \Omega(F), \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

characterized, for $\alpha: M \rightarrow \Lambda^{s}\left(T^{*} M\right)$ by the relation

$$
i_{\chi} \alpha=\chi \downharpoonleft \alpha ;
$$

ii) a derivation of degree 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{x} \equiv i_{x} \circ d-d \circ i_{x}: \Omega(M) \rightarrow \Omega(F), \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d$ is the exterior derivative on the exterior algebra of $F$.
If $\chi_{1}, \chi_{2} \in \mathscr{S}^{*}(F) \otimes \mathscr{T}(M)$, then we have

$$
i_{\chi_{1}+\chi_{2}}=i_{\chi_{1}}+i_{\chi_{2}}, \quad d_{\chi_{1}+\chi_{2}}=d_{\chi_{1}}+d_{\chi_{2}}
$$

Now, let us go back to the fibred manifold $p: E \rightarrow B$. By recalling the canonical inclusions

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{k+1} E \underset{B}{\times} T^{*} B \hookrightarrow T^{*} J_{k+1} E, \\
V J_{k} E \hookrightarrow T J_{k} E,
\end{gathered}
$$

we can easily see that the contact morphism (1), (2) of $J_{k+1} E$ are relative tangent valued 1 -forms with respect to the fibring $p_{k+1, k}: J_{k+1} E \rightarrow J_{k} E$. We can then apply the abogve Proposition 1 to these 1 -forms and obtain the two derivations of degree 0

$$
\begin{align*}
& i_{h} \equiv i_{\mathscr{D}^{k+1}}: \Omega\left(J_{k} E\right) \rightarrow \Omega\left(J_{k+1} E\right),  \tag{12}\\
& i_{v} \equiv i_{g^{k+1}}: \Omega\left(J_{k} E\right) \rightarrow \Omega\left(J_{k+1} E\right), \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

and the two derivations of degree 1

$$
\begin{align*}
& d_{h} \equiv i_{h} \circ d-d \circ i_{h}: \Omega\left(J_{k} E\right) \rightarrow \Omega\left(J_{k+1} E\right),  \tag{14}\\
& d_{v} \equiv i_{v} \circ d-d \circ i_{v}: \Omega\left(J_{k} E\right) \rightarrow \Omega\left(J_{k+1} E\right) . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Definition 2. - The two derivations $d_{h}(14)$ and $d_{v}(15)$ are said to be the horizontal and vertical differentials, respectively.

Proposition 2. - The horizontal and vertical differentials (14) and (15) fulfill the following properties (see [SA], pag. 216-217):
a) $d_{h}+d_{v}=p_{k+1, k}^{*} \circ d ;$
b) $\left(j_{k+1} s\right)^{*} \circ d_{v}=0$;
c) $d \circ\left(j_{k} s\right)^{*}=\left(j_{k+1} s\right)^{*} \circ d_{h} ;$
d) $d_{h}^{2}=d_{v}^{2}=0$;
e) $d_{k} \circ d_{v}+d_{v} \circ d_{h}=0$.

The two differentials (14) e (15) are characterized by the following formulas, for each $f \in \mathscr{F}\left(J_{k} E\right)$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d_{h} f=\left(\partial_{\mu} f+y_{\mu}^{i} \partial_{i} f+\ldots+y_{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{k \mu}}^{i} \ldots i_{i}^{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{k}} f\right) d^{\mu} \equiv\left(\mathscr{D}_{\mu}^{k} \cdot f\right) d^{\mu}  \tag{16}\\
d_{h} d^{\lambda}=0 \\
d_{h} d_{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{k}}^{i}=-d_{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{k \mu}}^{i} \wedge d^{\mu}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d_{v} f=\partial_{i} f \theta^{i}+\partial_{i}^{\mu} f \theta_{\mu}^{i}+\ldots+\partial_{i}^{\lambda_{1}} \ldots \lambda_{k} f \theta_{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{k}}^{i}  \tag{17}\\
d_{v} d^{\lambda}=0, \\
d_{v} d_{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{k}}^{i}=d_{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{k i /}}^{i} \wedge d^{\mu} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence, in particular,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d_{h} x^{\lambda}=i_{h} d^{\lambda}=d^{\lambda},  \tag{18}\\
d_{h} y_{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{k}}^{i}=i_{h} d_{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{k}}^{i}=y_{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{k l /}}^{i} d^{\mu}, \\
d_{v} x^{\lambda}=i_{v} d^{\lambda}=0, \\
d_{v} y_{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{k}}^{i}=i_{v} d_{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{k}}^{i}=d_{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{k}}^{i}-y_{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{k \mu}}^{i} d^{\mu}=\theta_{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{k}}^{i}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## 2. - Fundamental objects.

The next step is the construction of the fundamental geometrical structures of field theory in a purely differential way; our main geometrical object is the fibred manifold $p: E \rightarrow B$, and our main tools are the horizontal and vertical differentials (14), (15), and further canonical isomorphisms.

We start from a lagrangian density

$$
\mathfrak{L}: J_{1} E \rightarrow \Lambda^{m}\left(T^{*} B\right),
$$

i.e. a $p_{B}$-semibasic $m$-form on $J_{1} E$. Its coordinate expression is $\mathfrak{L}=l \omega$, where

$$
l: J_{1} E \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}
$$

is a local function on $J_{1} E$, called the lagrangian function, and $\omega \equiv d^{1} \wedge \ldots d^{m}$.
The direct application of $d_{k}$ and $d_{v}$ to $\mathfrak{L}$ gives no new and interesting objects; indeed, by using Proposition $2 c$ ) and $a$ ), we can easily see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{h} \mathfrak{L}=0, \quad d_{v} \mathfrak{L}=d \mathscr{L}, \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have still denoted the pullback of $d \mathscr{L}$ over $J_{2} E$ by $d \mathscr{L}$ (we will always omit the indication of obvious pullbacks). It is interesting to see this locally. From the expressions (16)-(17), we see that the differentials $d_{h}$ and $d_{v}$ act only on the lagrangian function $l$, for they both vanish on the base components $d^{\lambda}$, and we see that $d_{h} l \wedge \omega=$ $=0$, and $d_{v} l \wedge \omega=d \mathscr{L}$. In this case, we have no new terms of second order.

The coordinate expression of $d \mathscr{L}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \mathfrak{L}=\partial_{i} l d^{i} \wedge \omega+\pi_{i}^{2} d_{\lambda}^{i} \wedge \omega, \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have set $\pi_{i}^{\lambda} \equiv \partial_{i}^{\lambda} l$.
Now, let us consider $\mathfrak{L}$ as a fibred morphism $\mathscr{L}: J_{1} E \rightarrow \Lambda^{m}\left(T^{*} B\right)$ er $p: E \rightarrow B$. Then, we can perform the vertical derivative

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{E} \mathfrak{L}: V_{E} J_{1} E \rightarrow V \Lambda^{m}\left(T^{*} B\right), \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{E}$ is the vertical functor with respect to the base space $E$ (for the sake of simplicity, we have omitted to write explicitly the pullback $p^{*}\left(T^{*} B\right)$ ).

Its coordinate expression is

$$
V_{E} \mathfrak{L}=\left(\pi_{i}^{\lambda} \dot{\bar{y}}_{\lambda}^{i}\right) \omega,
$$

where $\dot{\mathscr{y}}_{\lambda}^{i}$ are the fibre components of the linear chart ( $x^{\lambda}, y^{i}, y_{\lambda}^{i}, \dot{y}_{\lambda}^{i}$ ) induced on $V_{E} J_{1} E$ by ( $x^{\lambda}, y^{i}$ ).

Then, by taking into account the canonical isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \Lambda^{m}\left(T^{*} B\right) \approx \Lambda^{m}\left(T^{*} B\right) \underset{B}{\times} \Lambda^{m}\left(T^{*} B\right), \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

projecting $V_{E}$ 发 to the second factor and taking the pullback over $J_{1} E$, we obtain the section

$$
\begin{equation*}
\check{d} \mathfrak{L} \equiv p r_{2} \circ V_{E} \mathfrak{L}: J_{1} E \rightarrow V^{*} E \bigotimes_{J_{1} E}^{\otimes}\left(\Lambda^{m}\left(T^{*} B\right) \bigotimes_{B} T B\right), \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In coordinates we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\check{d} \mathfrak{L} \mathscr{L}=\pi_{i}^{\lambda}{ }^{\check{v}}{ }_{\lambda}^{i} \otimes \omega, \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have denoted by $\stackrel{v}{d}_{\lambda}^{i}$ the vertical 1-forms induced by the fibred chart ( $x^{\lambda}, y^{i}, y_{\lambda}^{i}$ ); they are to be kept distinct from the forms $d_{\lambda}^{i}$ on $T^{*} J_{1} E$.

We would like to apply the horizontal and vertical differentials to the vertical derivative $\breve{d} \mathfrak{L}$ of $\mathfrak{L}$; but th: $\bullet$ is not possible in a direct way, for $\breve{d} \mathfrak{L}$ is not a differential form. Hence, we have to transform $\stackrel{\breve{d}}{\mathfrak{L} \text { in an «equivalent» differential form, that is in }}$ a differential form which carries the same content of $\check{d} \&$; this content is essentially given by the derivatives $\pi_{i}^{\lambda} \equiv \partial_{i}^{\lambda} l$.

Thus, we have the following result.

Remark 3. - The following composition of natural maps

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{1} E \underset{E}{\times}\left(V^{*} E \underset{E}{\otimes}\left(\Lambda^{m}\left(T^{*} B\right) \underset{B}{\otimes} T B\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\langle,} J_{1} E \underset{E}{\times}\left(V^{*} E \underset{E}{\otimes} \Lambda^{m-1}\left(T^{*} B\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\theta^{1}}  \tag{25}\\
& \xrightarrow{\theta^{1 *}} T^{*} E \bigotimes_{E} \Lambda^{m-1}\left(T^{*} B\right) \hookrightarrow T^{*} E \bigotimes_{E}^{\otimes} A^{m-1}\left(T^{*} E\right) \xrightarrow{A} \Lambda^{m}\left(T^{*} E\right),
\end{align*}
$$

turns out to be an injective fibred morphism over $p_{E}: J_{1} E \rightarrow E$.
The section $\check{d} \mathfrak{L}(23)$ can then be characterized by the $p_{E}$-semibasic $m$-form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I: J_{1} E \rightarrow \Lambda^{m}\left(T^{*} E\right), \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coordinate expression of $\Pi$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi=\pi_{i}^{\lambda} \theta^{i} \wedge \omega_{\lambda}=\pi_{i}^{\lambda}\left(d^{i} \wedge \omega_{\lambda}-y_{\lambda}^{i} \omega\right), \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\lambda} \equiv i_{\partial_{\lambda}}=(-1)^{\lambda-1} d^{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \hat{d}^{\lambda} \wedge \ldots \wedge d^{m} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression confirms the equivalence of $\Pi$ and $\stackrel{\vee}{d}$ \& the fundamental content carried by $d \mathfrak{L}$, constituted by the derivatives $\pi_{i}^{\lambda}$, is maintained in (27).

Definition 3. - The $p_{E}$-semibasic $m$-form $\Pi$ (26) is said to be the legendre form, or the momentum form, associated with $\mathscr{L}$.

Now, let us apply the horizontal and vertical differentials to the Legendre form (26). Unlike (19), the result is now «non trivial»: from the coordinate expressions (27) and (16)-(17) for the action of $d_{h}$ and $d_{v}$ on functions, we see that the presence of the term $\pi_{i}^{\lambda} d^{i} \wedge \omega_{\lambda}$ yields at least a second-order term $y_{\lambda \mu}^{i}$.

Theorem 4. - The (pullback of the) differential of the Legendre form $\Pi$ (26), associated with the lagrangian $\mathfrak{\&}$, splits canonically on $J_{2} E$ into a sum of two $p_{2,1}$-semibasic $(m+1)$-forms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \Pi=d_{h} \Pi+d_{v} \Pi \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - This theorem is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2a). q.e.d.

The coordinate expressions of $d_{\hbar} \Pi, d_{v} I$ are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& d_{h} \Pi=-\left(\omega_{\lambda}^{2} \cdot \pi_{i}^{\lambda}\right) d^{i} \wedge \omega-\pi_{i}^{\lambda} d_{\lambda}^{i} \wedge \omega,  \tag{30}\\
& d_{v} \Pi=\partial_{j} \pi_{i}^{\lambda} \theta^{j} \wedge \theta^{i} \wedge \omega_{\lambda}+\partial_{j}^{\mu} \pi_{i}^{\lambda} \theta_{\mu}^{j} \wedge \theta^{i} \wedge \omega_{\lambda} . \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

These expressions show that $d_{h} I$ and $d_{v} \Pi$ are $m$-semibasic over $E$.
The $(m+1)$-forms $d_{h} \Pi$ and $d_{v} \Pi$ play a fundamental role in the construction of the
basic objects of our purely differential approach to field theory. First, we can characterize the Euler-Lagrange operator in the following way.

Theorem 5. - The ( $m+1$ )-form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon \equiv d \mathscr{E}+d_{h} \Pi: J_{2} E \rightarrow \Lambda^{m+1}\left(T^{*} E\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the unique combination (up to a scalar factor) of d\& and $d_{k} \Pi$ which is $p_{E}$-semibasic. Its coordinate expression is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}=\left(\partial_{i} l-\circlearrowleft_{\lambda}^{2} \cdot \pi_{i}^{\lambda}\right) d^{i} \wedge \omega=\left(\partial_{i} l-\partial_{\lambda} \pi_{i}^{\lambda}-y_{\lambda}^{j} \partial_{j} \pi_{i}^{\lambda}-y_{\lambda \mu}^{j} \partial_{j}^{\mu} \pi_{i}^{\lambda}\right) d^{i} \wedge \omega . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - It suffices to compare the expressions (20) for $d \mathfrak{\&}$ and (30) for $d_{h} \Pi$. q.e.d.

Definition 4. - The $(m+1)$-form $\&(32)$ is said to be the Euler-Lagrange form.

Remark 6. - Formula (32) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \mathscr{L}=\varepsilon-d_{h} I \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we can say that the differential $d \mathscr{L}$ of the lagrangian $\mathfrak{L}$ splits canonically on $J_{2} E$ into a sum of $p_{E}$-semibasic ( $m+1$ )-form $\&$ and in a $p_{2,1}$-semibasic ( $m+1$ )-form $d_{h} I$.

We can regard the Euler-Lagrange form in other equivalent and useful ways.

Proposition 7. - We can regard in a natural way the Euler-Lagrange form \& as a fibred morphism over $E$

Proof. - The image of the Euler-Lagrange form is contained in a subspace of $\Lambda^{m+1}\left(T^{*} E\right)$, which turns out to be isomorphic to $V^{*} E \bigotimes_{E} \Lambda^{m}\left(T^{*} B\right)$. q.e.d.

Definition 5. - The fibred morphism $\stackrel{\vee}{8}(35)$ is said to be the Euler-Lagrange operator associated with the lagrangian $\mathfrak{L}$.

Its coordinate expression is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathscr{8}}=\left(\partial_{i} l-\mathfrak{\omega}_{\lambda}^{2} \cdot \pi_{i}^{\lambda}\right) d^{i} \otimes \omega=\left(\partial_{i} l-\partial_{\lambda} \pi_{i}^{\lambda}-y_{\lambda}^{j} \partial_{j} \pi_{i}^{\lambda}-y_{\lambda, \mu}^{j} \partial_{j}^{\mu} \pi_{i}^{\lambda}\right) d^{i} \otimes \omega . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

So far we have been involved with the horizontal term $d_{h} \Pi$ of the canonical splitting (29); now let us investigate the role of the vertical term $d_{v} \Pi$.

Theorem 8. - Then sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon+d_{v} \Pi \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an exact form. Moreover, among all potentials of (37), we have the distinguished canonical $p_{E}$-semibasic $m$-form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta \equiv \mathscr{L}+\Pi: J_{1} E \rightarrow \Lambda^{m}\left(T^{*} E\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

which depends only on the objects so far introduced.
Proof. - From (32) and the canonical splitting (29), we obtain, on $J_{2} E$,

$$
\varepsilon+d_{v} \Pi=d \mathscr{L}+d_{h} \Pi+d_{v} \Pi=d(\mathfrak{L}+\Pi)=d \theta . \quad \text { q.e.d. }
$$

Definition 6. - The $p_{E}$ semibasic $m$-form $\theta$ is said to be the Poincaré-Cartan form associated with the lagrangian $\mathfrak{L}$. Its coordinate expression is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=l \omega+\pi_{i}^{\lambda} \theta^{i} \wedge \omega=\pi_{i}^{\lambda} d^{i} \wedge \omega_{\lambda}+\left(l-y_{\lambda}^{i} \pi_{i}^{\lambda}\right) \omega \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 9. - The differential $d \theta$ of the Poincaré-Cartan form $\theta$ splits canonically on $J_{2} E$ into the sum of $\&$ and $d_{v} \Pi$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \theta=\varepsilon+d_{v} \Pi \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. - Lagrangian field theory.

So far we have made use of a lagrangian, but no variational principle, or variational problem has been mentioned: the lagrangian was simply a $p_{B}$-semibasic $m$-form on the 1-jet space of a given fibred manifold $p: E \rightarrow B$, and theory developed in Section 2 is nothing but a consequence of the geometrical structure of 1 -jet space. In this section we see how our objects are related to the usual lagrangian field theory.

We refer to our given pair ( $E, \mathfrak{L}$ ) as the physical field, and set the related variational principle.

Definition 7. - The action associated to the physical field ( $E, \mathfrak{L}$ ) and to the section $s: B^{\prime} \rightarrow E$, where $B^{\prime} \subset B$ is a compact submanifold of maximal dimension, is defined to be the integral

$$
\mathfrak{a}_{\mathrm{s}} \equiv \int_{B^{\prime}}\left(j_{1} s\right)^{*} \mathfrak{L} .
$$

Defintion 8. - A variation of the field $E$ is defined to be a pair ( $E^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{u}$ ), where $E^{\prime} \equiv p^{-1}\left(B^{\prime}\right) \subset E, B^{\prime} \subset B$ is a compact submanifold of maximal dimension with bound-
ary, and $u: E^{\prime} \rightarrow T E^{\prime}$ с $T E$ is a projectable vector field such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u\right|_{\partial E^{\prime}}=0 \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The 1 -jet prolongation of $\left(E^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{u}\right)$ is the pair ( $J_{1} E^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{w}$ ), where

$$
\boldsymbol{w} \equiv r \circ J_{1} \boldsymbol{u}
$$

is the 1 -jet prolongation of $\boldsymbol{u}$ (8).
By considering the 1-parameter group of fibred diffeomorphisms of the total space $E$ generated by $\boldsymbol{u}$, we can see that our definition of variation is actually the infinitesimal version of the usual one.

Definition 9. - A section $s: B \rightarrow E$ is said to be critical if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B^{\prime}}\left(j_{1} s\right)^{*} L_{w} \mathfrak{L}=0, \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{w}$ is the Lie derivative with respect to the vector field $\boldsymbol{w}$ (8), for all variations ( $E^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{u}$ ) of $E$.

So, we can recover in a geometrical language the standard analytic procedure for deriving the Euler-Lagrange equations.

Lemma 10. - The following conditions are equivalent:
i) $A$ section $s: B \rightarrow E$ is critical;
ii) $\left(j_{2} s\right)^{*} i_{u} \varepsilon=0$,
for all vector fields $\boldsymbol{u}$ of $E$.
Proof. - By using a well known identity for the Lie derivative of a differential form, the canonical splitting (34) of $d \mathscr{L}$, the Stokes theorem and the boundary condition (41), we obtain

$$
\int_{B^{\prime}}\left(j_{1} s\right)^{*} L_{w} \mathfrak{L}=\int_{B^{\prime}}\left(j_{1} s\right)^{*}\left(i_{w} d \mathscr{L}+d i_{w} \mathfrak{L}^{\mathfrak{L}}\right)=\int_{B^{\prime}}\left(j_{2} s\right)^{*} i_{u} \varepsilon-\int_{B^{\prime}}\left(j_{2} s\right)^{*} i_{w} d_{h} \Pi .
$$

Now it is easy to see, by a straightforward calculation, that

$$
\left(j_{2} s\right)^{*} i_{w} d_{h} \Pi=d\left(j_{1} s\right)^{*} i_{u} \Pi .
$$

By using the Stokes theorem with the boundary condition (41), we see that $\int_{B^{\prime}} d\left(j_{1} s\right)^{*} i_{u} \Pi$ vanishes. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B^{\prime}}\left(j_{1} s\right)^{*} L_{w} \mathscr{L}=\int_{B^{\prime}}\left(j_{2} s\right)^{*} i_{u} \delta . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

i) $\Rightarrow$ ii) The definition of critical section and (44) imply

$$
\int_{B^{\prime}}\left(j_{2} s\right)^{*} i_{u} \mathcal{E}=0
$$

hence, for well known theorems on integration theory,

$$
\left(j_{2} s\right)^{*} i_{u} \varepsilon=0
$$

for all variational vector fields (i.e. such that the boundary condition (41) holds). Moreover, we remark that we can omit the boundary condition (41) by considering a standard technique of extension of local vector fields. So, (43) holds for all projectable vector fields on $E$.
ii) $\Rightarrow$ it follows immediately from (44). q.e.d.

Theorem 11. - Condition (43) is equivalent to the Euler-Lagrange equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\stackrel{\vee}{8} \circ j_{2} s=0,}^{2} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - It follows easily from the above Lemma, by expressing the Euler-Lagrange form in terms of the Euler-Lagrange operator. q.e.d.

The coordinate expression of this equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{i} l-\mathscr{Q}_{\lambda}^{2} \cdot \pi_{i}^{\lambda}\right) \circ j_{2} s \equiv\left(\partial_{i} l-\partial_{\lambda} \pi_{i}^{\lambda}-\partial_{\lambda} s^{j} \partial_{j} \pi_{i}^{\lambda}-\partial_{\lambda \mu} s^{j} \partial_{j}^{\mu} \pi_{i}^{\lambda}\right) \circ j_{1} s=0 . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have another important geometrical characterization of critical sections.
Theorem 12. - Condition (43) for a section s to be critical is equivalent to the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(j_{1} s\right)^{*} i_{w} d \theta=0, \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all local projectable vector fields $u: E \rightarrow T E$ (see [MM1], pag. 42).
Proof. - From the canonical splitting (40) of $d \theta$ on $J_{2} E$, we obtain

$$
\left(j_{1} s\right)^{*} i_{w} d \Theta=\left(j_{2} s\right)^{*} i_{u} \varepsilon+\left(j_{2} s\right)^{*} i_{w} d_{v} \Pi .
$$

Moreover, the coordinate expression (31) for $d_{v} \Pi$ tells us that the coordinate expression of the contraction $i_{w s} d_{v} \Pi$ will contain at least one of the 1 -forms $\theta^{i}, \theta_{\lambda}^{i}$, whose pullback by $j_{2} s$ vanishes. So, the second term vanishs. q.e.d.

For completeness of our exposition, we recover the well known results on symmetries and on Noether theorem, by using the Poincaré-Cartan form (see also [GA).

Definition 10. - A symmetry of the Poincaré-Cartan form $\theta$ is a projectable vector field

$$
u: E \rightarrow T E
$$

such that, for all critical sections $s: B \rightarrow E$,

$$
\left(j_{1} s\right)^{*} L_{w} \theta=0 .
$$

Definition 11. - A conserved current is an (m-1)-form

$$
y: J_{1} E \rightarrow \Lambda^{m-1}\left(T^{*} J_{1} E\right)
$$

such that, for all critical sections $s: B \rightarrow E$,

$$
\left(j_{1} s\right)^{*} d y=0 .
$$

Theorem 13 (Noether). - If

$$
u: E \rightarrow T E
$$

is a symmetry of $\theta$, then

$$
i_{u} \Theta: J_{1} E \rightarrow \Lambda^{m-1}\left(T^{*} E\right)
$$

is a conserved current.
Proof. - Let $s: B \rightarrow E$ be a critical section. Definition 10 and Theorem 12 give

$$
\left(j_{1} s\right)^{*} L_{w} \theta=\left(j_{1} s\right)^{*} i_{w} d \theta+\left(j_{1} s\right)^{*} d i_{u} \theta=\left(j_{1} s\right)^{*} d i_{u} \theta=0 \quad \text { q.e.d. }
$$
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