

### References

1. Abramowitz, M., Stegun, I. A.: Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables. New York: Dover Publications, Inc. 1965.
2. Bateman Manuscript Project: Higher transcendental functions, vols. I and II, edited by A. Erdelyi. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Co. Inc. 1953.
3. Bulirsch, R.: Handbook series special functions, numerical calculations of elliptic integrals and elliptic functions. Numer. Math. 7, 78–90 (1965).
4. Byrd, P. F., Friedman, M. D.: Handbook of elliptic integrals for engineers and scientists. Second edition, revised. New York-Heidelberg-Berlin: Springer 1971.
5. Hofsmoer, D. J., Riet, R. P. van de: On the numerical calculation of elliptic integrals of the first and second kinds and the elliptic function of Jacobi. Numer. Math. 5, 291–302 (1963).
6. Morita, T., Horiguchi, T.: Calculation of the Lattice Green's function of the b.c.c., f.c.c., and rectangular lattices. J. Math. Phys. 12, 986–992 (1971).
7. Morita, T., Horiguchi, T.: Table of the lattice Green's function for the cubic lattices (values at the origin). Applied Math. Res. Group, Dept. Applied Science, Fac. Engineering, Tohoku Univ., Sendai, Japan, 1971.

Tohru Morita  
 Department of Applied Science  
 Faculty of Engineering  
 Tohoku University  
 Sendai 980/Japan

Tsuyoshi Horiguchi  
 Department of Physics  
 Ohio University  
 Athens, Ohio 45701/U.S.A.

### Errata

Beyer, W. A., Waterman, M. S.:  
 Ergodic Computations with Continued Fractions and Jacobi's Algorithm  
 Num. Math. 19, 195–205 (1972)

In a computation in the above paper, a mistake was made in one digit in the transcription of the decimal digits of Euler's constant  $\gamma$ . A new calculation yields the following corrections.

Page	Line	In place of	Read
198	3 from bottom	3420	3450
199	3	2.661277	2.684953
199	3	3420	3450
199	7	2.351399	2.376474
199	13	1.00382	1.000825
203	2	3420	3450

The last line of Table 1 should read

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2999} \chi_{[a, b]}(T^k(x))/300 \quad 1.40 \quad 1.31 \quad 1.08 \quad 1.02 \quad 0.97 \quad 0.92 \quad 0.98 \quad 0.79 \quad 0.81 \quad 0.72$$

Also, replace  $\Sigma$  by II in Eq. (7).