Integr Equat Oper Th Vol. 23 (1995)

ERRATA

Hiroyuki TAKAGI

Fredholm weighted composition operators Integr. Equat. Oper. Th. 16 (1993), pp.267-276.

It has been pointed out by B.S. Komal and Nagesh Singh that there is an ambiguous sentence in the paper above. The sentence in question is Condition (ii) of Theorem 1: Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and φ be a continuous map from X into X.

(ii) the range $\varphi(X)$ contians all but finitely many isolated points of X.

I wrote this sentence in the following sense;

(*) $X \setminus \varphi(X)$ consists of at most finitely many isolated points,

and the proof was done in this context. But (ii) leaves the possibility of being interpreted as follows: Let S be the set of all isolated points of X. Then the set $S \setminus \varphi(X)$ is at most finite. So, I want to correct Condition (ii). Under our assumptions on X and φ , (*) is equivalent to

(ii)' the range $\varphi(X)$ contains all but finitely many points of X,

and I think (ii)' has no room for misunderstanding. For this reason, I change (ii) into (ii)'.

Finally, I wish to thank B.S. Komal and Nagesh Singh, who made some remarks concerning Condition (ii)' and kindly informed me that the expression such as (ii)' is suitable.

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Shinshu University Matsumoto 390, Japan