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Summary. Burkholder's martingale transforms are especially useful in studying "predictable" martingale Hardy spaces. "Characterizations" of such spaces via martingale transforms are provided. In particular, it is shown that for $0<p<\infty$, a martingale in $\mathbf{h}^{p}$, defined by the conditioned square function, is the martingale transform of a $\mathrm{bmo}_{2}$ martingale with a multiplier sequence whose maximal function is in $L^{p}$.

## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

Let $(X, \mathscr{F}, \mu)$ be a probability space and let $\left\{\mathscr{F}_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a nondecreasing sequence of sub- $\sigma$-fields of $\mathscr{F}$ such that $\mathscr{F}=\vee \mathscr{F}_{n}$. We consider martingales $f=\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n \geqq 1}$ relative to $\left\{\mathscr{F}_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ and use the convention that $f_{0}=0$. The maximal function, the square function and the conditioned square function of $f$ are given, respectively, by $f^{*}=\sup _{n}\left|f_{n}\right|, S(f)=\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|d_{k} f\right|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}$ and $s(f)=\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E\left(\left|d_{k} f\right|^{2} \mid \mathscr{F}_{k-1}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}$
where $d_{k} f=f_{k}-f_{k-1}, k=1,2,3, \ldots$ We consider the following martingale Hardy spaces defined by these functions, $0<p \leqq \infty$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{*}^{p} & =\left\{f:\|f\|_{H_{p}}=\left\|f^{*}\right\|_{p}<\infty\right\} ; \\
H_{S}^{p} & =\left\{f:\|f\|_{H_{p}}=\|S(f)\|_{p}<\infty\right\} ; \\
\mathbf{h}^{p} & =\left\{f:\|f\|_{h^{p}}=\|s(f)\|_{p}<\infty\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is well-known that $H_{*}^{p} \approx H_{S}^{p}$ for $1 \leqq p<\infty$, and in this case they are denoted by $H^{p}$. We also note that $H_{S}^{p} \subset \mathbf{h}^{p}$ when $2 \leqq p<\infty$, and $\mathbf{h}^{p} \subset H_{S}^{p}$ when $0<p \leqq 2$. The spaces of martingales with bounded mean oscillation are defined by, for $1 \leqq p<\infty$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{BMO}_{p} & =\left\{f:\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{p}}=\sup _{n}\left\|\left[E\left(\left|\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} d_{k} f^{p}\right| \mid \mathscr{F}_{n}\right)\right]^{1 / p}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty\right\} ; \\
\mathrm{bmo}_{p} & =\left\{f:\|f\|_{\mathrm{bmo}_{p}}=\sup _{n}\left\|\left[E\left(\left|\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} d_{k} f^{p}\right| \mathscr{F}_{n}\right)\right]^{1 / p}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

All $\mathrm{BMO}_{p}$ spaces for $1 \leqq p<\infty$ are equivalent and are denoted by BMO, with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{*}$. However $\mathrm{bmo}_{p}$ form a decreasing family as the index $p$ increases. Fefferman's duality theorem gives that $\left(H^{1}\right)^{\prime} \approx \mathrm{BMO}$ and Herz [5] showed that $\left(\mathbf{h}^{1}\right)^{\prime} \approx \mathrm{bmo}_{2}$. In addition, we consider the following "predictable" subspaces of $H_{*}^{p}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{P}^{p}= & \left\{f \in H_{*}^{p}: \exists \text { nonnegative, nondecreasing adapted } \gamma=\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{n \geqq 1},\right. \\
& \text { such that } \left.\left|f_{n}\right| \leqq \gamma_{n-1}, \forall n \geqq 1, \text { and }\|f\|_{\mathscr{P}_{p}}=\inf _{\gamma}\left\|\gamma_{\infty}\right\|_{p}<\infty\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

These martingale spaces have been studied by Garsia [4], Herz [5] and most recently by Weisz [6].

Burkholder's martingale transforms [1] are especially useful in studying "predictable" Hardy spaces such as $\mathscr{P}^{p}$ and $\mathbf{h}^{p}$. Denote, for $0<p \leqq \infty$, the classes of adapted processes $v=\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n \geqq 1}$,

$$
V^{p}=\left\{v:\|v\|_{V^{p}}=\left\|v^{*}\right\|_{p}<\infty\right\} .
$$

The martingale transform $T_{v}$ for a given $v$ is defined by $T_{v}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_{n-1} d_{n} f$. The following boundedness results were obtained by Chao-Long [3]:

Theorem A Let $0<p \leqq \infty, v \in V^{p}$ and $\frac{1}{r}=\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}$.
(i) $T_{v}$ is of types $\left(H_{S}^{q}, H_{S}^{r}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbf{h}^{q}, \mathbf{h}^{r}\right)$ where $0<q \leqq \infty$.
(ii) $T_{v}$ is of type $\left(H^{q}, H_{*}^{r}\right)$ where $1 \leqq q<\infty$.

In the case that $q=\infty$, the spaces $H_{*}^{q}$ and $H_{S}^{q}$ could be (and are) replaced by BMO , and $\mathbf{h}^{q}$ by $\mathrm{bmo}_{2}$ :

Theorem B Let $0<p<\infty$ and $v \in V^{p}$. Then $T_{v}$ is of types ( $\mathrm{BMO}, H_{*}^{p}$ ), ( BMO , $\left.H_{S}^{p}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{bmo}_{2}, \mathbf{h}^{p}\right)$.

Other related results are found in [3]. In the next section, we shall derive a boundedness result of $T_{v}$ on $\mathscr{P}^{q}$ and use these boundedness properties to characterize the predictable spaces $\mathscr{P}^{p}$ and $\mathbf{h}^{p}$ via martingale transform $T_{v}$. When both $v$ and $f$ are martingales, $T(v, f)=T_{v} f$ is one version of paraproducts on martingales. We shall discuss various properties of the bilinear operator $T$ in a sequel to this paper.

## 2 Martingale transforms and the spaces $\mathscr{P P}^{p}$ and $h^{p}$

We first note that the boundedness result of $T_{v}$ on the maximal Hardy spaces $H_{*}^{q}$ as stated in Theorem A(ii) is not completely satisfactory because of the restriction $1 \leqq q<\infty$. Nevertheless, we have the following result of $T_{v}$ on the subspace $\mathscr{P}^{q}$ of $H_{*}^{q}$ :

Theorem 1 Let $0<p \leqq \infty, 0<q<\infty$ and $v \in V^{p}$. Then $T_{v}$ is of type $\left(\mathscr{P}^{q}, \mathscr{P}^{p}\right)$ with the bound $C\|v\|_{V^{p}}$ where $\frac{1}{r}=\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}$.

Proof. For $f \in \mathscr{P}^{q}$, let $\gamma=\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{n \geqq 1}$ be the (nondecreasing) least majorant of $f$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|d_{n} f\right| \leqq 2 \gamma_{n-1}, \quad\left|d_{n}\left(T_{v} f\right)\right| \leqq 2 v_{n-1}^{*} \gamma_{n-1} \equiv \rho_{n-1} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left|\left(T_{v} f\right)\right| \leqq\left(T_{v} f\right)_{n-1}^{*}+\rho_{n-1}
$$

As in Burkholder [2], the pairs $\left(S(f), f^{*}+\gamma_{\infty}\right)$ and $\left(\left(T_{v} g\right)^{*}, S\left(T_{v} g\right)+\rho_{\infty}\right)$ satisfy the good- $\lambda$ inequality. Hence

$$
\|S(f)\|_{q} \leqq C\left(\|f *\|_{q}+\left\|\gamma_{\infty}\right\|_{q}\right) \leqq C\|f\|_{\mathscr{q}},
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(T_{v} f\right)^{*}\right\|_{r} & \leqq C\left(\left\|S\left(T_{v} f\right)\right\|_{r}+\left\|\rho_{\infty}\right\|_{r}\right) \\
& \left.\leqq C\|v\|_{V_{p}}\|S(f)\|_{q}+\left\|\gamma_{\infty}\right\|_{q}\right) \\
& \leqq C\|v\|_{V^{p}}\|f\|_{\mathscr{P} q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, using (*) again, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T_{v} f\right\|_{\mathscr{P} r} & \leqq C\left(\left\|\left(T_{v} f\right)^{*}\right\|_{r}+\left\|\rho_{\infty}\right\|_{r}\right) \\
& \leqq C\|v\|_{V_{p}}\|f\|_{\mathscr{P} q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves Theorem 1.
We note here that the case $q=\infty$ (i.e., $T_{v}$ with $v \in V^{p}$ is of type (BMO, $\mathscr{g}^{p}$ ) for $0<p<\infty$ ), is contained in Theorem 3 below. This is a stronger result than Theorem B since $\mathscr{P}^{p} \subset h^{p} \subset H_{S}^{p}$ when $0<p \leqq 2$, so that $\mathscr{P}^{p} \subset H_{*}^{p} \cap H_{S}^{p}$ for $0<p<\infty$.

As indicated by A. Garsia [4], martingale transforms can be used to study the relations amongst the spaces $\mathscr{P}^{p}$, as well as amongst the spaces $\mathbf{h}^{p}$. He pointed out that for any given $p$ and $q$, the elements in $\mathscr{P}^{p}$ (or $\mathbf{h}^{p}$ ) are martingale transforms of those in $\mathscr{P}^{q}$ (or $\mathbf{h}^{q}$, respectively). When one of the indices is more convenient to study certain problems (e.g. $q=2$ ), such representations would be very useful. However, Garsia's discussion was incomplete in several respects. For instance, in the endpoint case (i.e. $q=\infty$ ), his argument failed to work for $p \leqq 1$. We shall extend Garsia's results to a sort of "characterization" of the spaces $\mathscr{P}^{p}$ and $\mathbf{h}^{p}$, and provide such a characterization for the endpoint case.

Theorem 2 Let $0<p<q<\infty$ and $\alpha=\frac{p q}{q-p}$.
(i) For $f \in \mathscr{P}^{p}$, there exists a $g \in \mathscr{P}^{q}$ such that $f=T_{v} g=\Sigma v_{n-1} d_{n} g$ where $v$ is an adapted process in $V^{\alpha}$, with $\|v\|_{V^{x}}^{\alpha} \leqq\|f\|_{\mathscr{P}_{p}}^{p}$, and

$$
\|g\|_{\mathscr{P} q} \leqq C_{p, q}\|f\|_{\mathscr{P} P}^{p / q}
$$

Conversely, for any $v \in V^{\alpha}$ and $g \in \mathscr{P}^{q}, f=T_{v} g$ is in $\mathscr{P}^{p}$ and $\|f\|_{\mathscr{P}_{P}} \leqq C\|v\|_{V^{\alpha}}\|g\|_{\mathscr{P}_{q}}$.
(ii) Same statement as in (i) when $\mathscr{P}$ is replaced by $\mathbf{h}$.

Proof. The first parts of statements (i) and (ii) are both due to Garsia [4] by constructing the process $v$. (In fact, $v$ is constructed as a nondecreasing process.) The converse parts of (i) and (ii) follow from Theorem 1 and Theorem A.

Now for the endpoint case, $q=\infty, \mathscr{P}^{\infty} \subset L^{\infty}$ and $\mathbf{h}^{\infty}$ are replaced as usual by BMO and $\mathrm{bmo}_{2}$, respectively.

Theorem 3 Let $0<p<\infty$. Given $f \in \mathscr{F P}^{p}$, there exist $g \in \mathrm{BMO}$ and a (nonnegative, nondecreasing) $v \in V^{p}$ with $\|g\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{1}} \leqq 4$ and $\|v\|_{V_{p}} \leqq C\|f\|_{\mathscr{P} p}$, such that $f=T_{v} g$. Conversely, each $f=T_{v} g$, with $v \in V^{p p}$ and $g \in \mathrm{BMO}$, must be in $\mathscr{P}^{p}$ and $\|f\|_{\mathscr{P}^{p}}$ $\leqq C\|v\|_{Y p}\|g\|_{*}$.

Proof. For the converse assertion, we know from Theorem B that $\left\|T_{v} g\right\|_{H_{*}^{p}}$ $\leqq C\|v\|_{V_{p}}\|g\|_{*}$. It remains to show that $f=T_{\nu} g$ is actually in $\mathscr{P}^{p}$. In fact, since

$$
\left|\left(T_{v} g\right)_{n}\right| \leqq\left(T_{v} g\right)_{n-1}^{*}+v_{n-1}^{*}\|g\|_{*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T_{v} g\right\|_{\mathscr{P} P} & \leqq C\left\|\left(T_{v} g\right)^{*}\right\|_{p}+C\left\|v^{*}\right\|_{p}\|g\|_{*} \\
& \leqq C\|v\|_{V^{p}}\|g\|_{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now suppose $f \in \mathscr{P P}$. Choose $0<p_{0}<p$ and define $v_{0}=1$ and

$$
v_{k}=\sup _{m \leqq k} E\left(\gamma_{\infty}^{p_{0}} \mid \widetilde{\mathscr{F}_{m}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{0}}} \quad k \geqq 1
$$

where $\gamma=\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{n \geqq 1}$ is the nondecreasing least majorant of $f$. Consider

$$
g_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} v_{k-1}^{-1} d_{k} f, \quad n \geqq 1 .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{N}-g_{n-1} & =\sum_{n}^{N} v_{k-1}^{-1} d_{k} f \\
& =v_{N-1}^{-1}\left(f_{N}-f_{n-1}\right)+\sum_{n}^{N-1}\left(f_{k}-f_{n-1}\right)\left(v_{k-1}^{-1}-v_{k}^{-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|g_{N}-g_{n-1}\right| & \leqq 2 v_{N-1}^{-1} \gamma_{N-1}+2 \sum_{n}^{N-1} \gamma_{k-1}\left(v_{k-1}^{-1}-v_{k}^{-1}\right) \\
& =2 v_{n-1}^{-1} \gamma_{n-1}+2 \sum_{n}^{N-1} v_{k}^{-1}\left(\gamma_{k}-\gamma_{k-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From Jensen's inequality, we get

$$
v_{k}^{-1} \leqq E\left(\gamma_{\infty}^{p_{0}} \mid \mathscr{F}_{k}\right)^{-\frac{1}{p_{0}}} \leqq E\left(\gamma_{\infty}^{-1} \mid \mathscr{F}_{k}\right), \quad k \geqq 1 .
$$

Thus

$$
E\left(\left|g_{N}-g_{n-1}\right| \mid \mathscr{F}_{n}\right) \leqq 2+2 E\left(\left.\sum_{n}^{N-1} E\left(\left.\frac{\gamma_{k}-\gamma_{k-1}}{\gamma_{\infty}} \right\rvert\, \mathscr{F}_{k}\right) \right\rvert\, \mathscr{F}_{n}\right) \leqq 4 .
$$

Hence $g \in$ BMO with $\|g\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{1}} \leqq 4$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|v\|_{V_{p}}^{p} & =\left\|v^{*}\right\|_{p}^{p}=E\left(\left(\gamma_{\infty}^{p_{0}}\right)^{*} \frac{p}{p_{0}}\right) \\
& \leqq C E\left(\gamma_{\infty}^{p}\right)=C\|f\|_{\mathscr{P p}_{p}}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.
Theorem 4 Let $0<p<\infty$. Given $f \in \mathbf{h}^{p}$, there exist $g \in \mathrm{bmo}_{2}$ with $\|g\|_{\mathrm{bmo}_{2}} \leqq 1$, and $a$ (nonnegative, nondecreasing) $v \in V^{p}$ with $\|v\|_{V_{p}} \leqq C\|f\|_{\mathbf{h}^{p}}$ such that $f=T_{v}$ g. Conversely, each $f=T_{v} g$, with $v \in V^{p}$ and $g \in \mathrm{bmo}_{2}$, must be in $\mathbf{h}^{p}$ and $\|f\|_{\mathbf{h} p} \leqq$ $C\|v\|_{V^{p}}\|g\|_{\text {bmo }_{2}}$.
Proof. The converse assertion follows from Theorem B. Given $f \in h^{p}$. Choose $p_{0}$ with $0<p_{0}<p$, and define $v_{0}=1$ and for $n \geqq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{n}=\sup _{m \leqq n} E\left(s(f)^{p_{0}} \left\lvert\, \mathscr{F}_{m} \frac{1}{p_{0}}\right.\right. \\
& g_{n}=\sum_{1}^{n} v_{k-1}^{-1} d_{k} f .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $f=T_{v} g$. Moreover, we have

$$
E\left(\left|g_{N}-g_{n}\right|^{2} \mid \mathscr{\mathscr { F }}_{n}\right)=\sum_{k=n+1}^{N} E\left(v_{k-1}^{-2} E\left(\left|d_{k} f\right|^{2} \mid \mathscr{F}_{k-1}\right) \mid \mathscr{F}_{n}\right)
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{k-1}^{-2} & \leqq E\left(s(f)^{p_{0}} \mid \mathscr{F}_{k-1}\right)^{-\frac{2}{p_{0}}} \\
& \leqq E\left(s(f)^{-2} \mid \mathscr{F}_{k-1}\right), \quad k \geqq 1,
\end{aligned}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(\left|g_{N}-g_{n}\right|^{2} \mid \mathscr{F}_{n}\right) & \leqq \sum_{k=n+1}^{N} E\left(s(f)^{-2} E\left(\left|d_{k} f\right|^{2} \mid \mathscr{F}_{k-1}\right) \mid \mathscr{F}_{n}\right) \\
& \leqq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $g \in \mathrm{bmo}_{2}$ and $\|g\|_{\mathrm{bmo}_{2}} \leqq 1$.
In addition, since $p_{0}<p$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|v\|_{V_{p}} & \leqq E\left(\left(s(f)^{p_{0}}\right)^{* \frac{p}{p_{0}}} \frac{1}{p}\right. \\
& \leqq C\|s(f)\|_{p}=C\|f\|_{\mathbf{h} p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
Finally, we remark that a similar "characterization" for the spaces $H^{p}$ is not obtainable. The reason is as follows. For any $v \in V^{p}(1 \leqq p<\infty)$ and $g \in \mathrm{BMO}$, we have $T_{v} g \in \mathscr{P}^{p}$. Since $\mathscr{P}^{p}$ is a proper subspace of $H^{p}$ in general, we know $f \in H^{p}$ could not be represented as $f=T_{v} g$. Likewise, the statement: "Given $f \in \mathbf{h}^{r}$, there exist $v \in V^{p}$ and $g \in \mathscr{P}^{q}$ with $\frac{1}{r}=\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}$, such that $f=T_{v} g "$ is false, because,
from Theorem 1, we know that such a $T_{v} g$ is in $\mathscr{P}^{r}$ and $\mathscr{P}^{r}$ is a proper subspace of $\mathbf{h}^{r}$ in general.

The argument used here has been extended by F. Weisz to treat two-parameter martingale spaces [7].
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